Wetlands Protection Workbook

REVISED

Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Wetlands Protection
by the Environmental Law Institute
Washington, DC



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This workbook was prepared by the Environmental Law Institute for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under Cooperative Agreement # X-815224-01. ELI staff contributing to the workbook include Sara Nicholas, Dan Berger, Todd Edelman, Megan Lewis, Jim McElfish, Erik Meyers, Ethan Shenkman, and Nicole Veilleux.

EPA's Office of Wetlands Protection contributed to and reviewed the contents of the manual. EPA staff participating in the development of the workbook include Glenn Eugster, Mike Fritz, and Judy Johnson. The EPA Project Manager was Judy Johnson.

DISCLAIMER:

THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE OFFICIAL POSITIONS OF THE OFFICE OF WETLANDS OR THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.

Table of Contents

Index of Subjects

General Information

Question & Answer Profiles

Reference Manual

Federal Wetlands Regulation
Federal Agency Roles and Programs
Wetlands Definition, Delineation, and Trends
Wetlands Values and Functions
Federal Wetlands Legislation

Appendices

A	EPA Contacts
В	Army Corps of Engineers Contacts
С	Fish and Wildlife Service Contacts
D	Soil Conservation Service Contacts
E	Congressional Committee Offices
F	State Resource Agency Contacts
G	State Nongovernmental Organizations
H	National Nongovernmental Organizations
I	Regional, International, & National Commissions
J	Society of Wetland Scientists & National Wetlands Technical Council
K	Bibliography
L	National Wetlands Newsletter Index by State & Topic
M	Glossary of Terms
N	Suggested List of Publications for Distribution by the EPA Wetlands Hotline

Page Listings

- I. Introduction to the Workbook (1-3)
- II. Questions & Answers

General Questions

- (1) What is a wetland?
- (2) What are some values and functions of wetlands?
- (3) What laws protect wetlands?

Federal Programs

- (4) What is the federal government doing to protect wetlands?
- (5) What new wetlands bills have been introduced to Congress?
- (6) What is the Environmental Protection Agency's role in wetlands protection?
- (7) What is the role of the Army Corps of Engineers in wetlands protection?
- (8) What are the roles of federal resource management agencies in wetlands protection?
- (9) What federal regulatory programs protect wetlands?
- (10) What is the federal manual?
- (11) What wetland regulations apply to farming activities?
- (12) How is Swampbuster related to §404 of the Clean Water Act?
- (13) What types of discharges require CWA §402 NPDES permits?
- (14) Does the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) apply to §404 permits?
- (15) Does the EPA/Army MOA on Mitigation establish a "no net loss" of wetlands policy?
- (16) What are some incentive and disincentive programs that protect wetlands?
- (17) What kinds of wetlands research are being conducted at the federal level?
- (18) How does the EPA help other countries protect wetlands?

State and Local Wetlands Protection

- (19) What are state wetland conservation plans?
- (20) What funding is available to help states develop wetland protection programs?
- (21) What is "state assumption" of the §404 permit program?
- (22) What will EPA consider in deciding whether to approve a state's program to assume §404?
- (23) How can local governments protect wetlandss through regulation?
- (24) What assistance and incentive programs assist local governments in protecting wetlands?
- (25) How can a landowner protect wetlands?
- I. Reference Manual Pages

Federal Regulatory Programs

- (26) Clean Water Act: overview
- (27) Clean Water Act §404: overview
- (28) §404 regulated activities: overview
- (29) §404 permit application process: individual and general permits
- (30) §404 public interest review
- (31) §404(b)(1) Guidelines
- (32) Mitigation
- (33) §404 Advance Identification
- (34) §404(c)
- (35) §404(f) general exemptions
- (36) §404(f)(2) recapture provision
- (37) §404(f) minor v. major drainage
- (38) §404 citizen's role/citizen enforcement actions
- (39) §404 Nationwide Permits
- (40) §404 (q)
- (41) §404 (r)
- (42) §402 (NPDES): overview
- (43) Regulating Discharge of Solid Waste: §404 or §402? (MOA on Solid Waste)
- (44) §401: overview
- (45) Rivers and Harbors Act
- (46) Endangered Species Act
- (47) NEPA: overview
- (48) NEPA: The process
- (49) NEPA: applicability to wetlands
- (50) NEPA: EPA's §309 review
- (51) Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
- (52) Executive Order 11990: Protection of Wetlands
- (53) Executive Order 11988: Floodplain Management
- (54) Coastal Zone Management Act: overview
- (55) Coastal Zone Management Act: 1990 Reathorization
- (56) Wild & Scenic Rivers Act: overview
- (57) Wild & Scenic Rivers: Designation
- (58) State and Local River Conservation Assistance
- (59) Swampbuster: overview
- (60) Corps Regulatory Guidance Letters (1989 listings)
- (61) Corps Regulatory Guidance Letters (1990 listings)
- (62) EPA/Corps Wetland MOAs (highlights, 1985-1989)
- (63) EPA/Corps Wetland MOAs (highlights, 1989-1990)

Federal Agency Roles and Programs

- (64) Federal wetland efforts overview
- (65) EPA role and programs overview
- (66) EPA Office of wetlands
- (67) EPA wetlands research
- (68) Army Corps role and programs overview

- (69) Army Corps wetlands research
- (70) National Marine Fisheries Service overview and programs
- (71) Soil Conservation Service overview and programs
- (72) Fish and Wildlife Service overview and programs
- (73) Fish and Wildlife Service Action Plan
- (74) Emergency Wetlands Resources Act
- (75) USDA Overview and programs
- (76) Conservation Reserve Program
- (77) Wetlands Reserve Program
- (78) National Park Service overview
- (79) U.S. Forest Service overview
- (80) Bureau of Land Management overview
- (81) Office of Surface Mining overview
- (82) White House Domestic Policy Council
- (83) North American Waterfowl Management Plan
- (84) National Wetlands Policy Forum
- (85) American Wetlands Month

Wetlands Definition, Delineation, and Trends

- (86) Federal and state wetlands definitions overview
- (87) EPA/Corps definition of wetlands
- (88) Soil Conservation Service definition of wetlands
- (89) Fish and Wildlife Service definition of wetlands
- (90) Federal Delineation Manual
- (91) Fish and Wildlife Service classification scheme
- (92) Wetlands Characteristics hydrology
- (93) Wetlands Characteristics hydrophytic vegetation
- (94) Wetlands Characteristics hydric soils
- (95) Regional wetland types summary
- (96) Wetlands Trends: Historic loss rate: 1780s-1980s
- (97) Wetlands Trends: Recent loss rate: 1950s-1970s, 1970s-1980s
- (98) State, regional, and local wetland losses
- (99) Causes of wetland losses overview
- (100) Mapping efforts overview

Wetlands Values and Functions

- (101) Values and Functions overview
- (102) Values and Functions erosion control
- (103) Values and Functions fish and wildlife habitat
- (104) Values and Functions flood control
- (105) Values and Functions natural resource products
- (106) Values and Functions fisheries
- (107) Values and Functions recreational and aesthetic values
- (108) Values and Functions sediment control

- (109) Values and Functions acid mining drainage
- (110) Values and Functions water quality improvement
- (111) Values and Functions wastewater treatment
- (112) Values and Functions water supply
- (113) Wetlands valuation and assessment

Wetlands Legislation

- (114) 1989 North American Wetlands Conservation Act
- (115) 1990 Coastal Barrier Improvement Act
- (116) 1990 Water Resources Development Act (Corps programs)
- (117) 1990 Energy & Water Appropriations Act (Corps funding)
- (118) 1990 Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act
- (119) Currently Pending Wetlands Legislation
- (120) 1989 State and Local Multiobjective River Corridor Act (HR 4250)
- (121) Takings

Introduction

What is a wetland?

Wetlands are areas covered with water for periods long enough to support plants that thrive in "wet" soils. Technically known as vegetated aquatic ecosystems, wetlands include such areas as bogs, marshes, swamps, and prairie potholes. However, wetlands, in the ecological sense, do not always conform to the common image of having standing water, lush aquatic vegetation and lots of waterfowl. Many important wetlands may be seasonally dry or lack noticeable vegetation during certain seasons. Many never have surface water or provide habitat for waterfowl.

Wetlands are now recognized as some of the most unique and important natural areas on earth for they have many important values. Wetlands provide food and shelter to countless animals including many fishes, birds, reptiles, and mammals. A large proportion of federally listed threat-

Wetland Losses

Marsh in Wisconsin.

Despite their many values, wetlands have long been misunderstood and have suffered great destruction and abuse. When the Europeans first settled in America, there were over 200 million acres of wetlands. For many years these natural areas were perceived as "useless swamps" and were frequently filled, drained, polluted, or used for dumping grounds. Over time, more than 100 million acres, one-half of our nation's wetlands, have been destroyed by filling, drainage, pollution, channeling for insect control, grazing, clearing, and other modifications resulting from human activities.

During the last 30 years, researchers have discovered the significant, irreplaceable ecological values and roles that wetlands provide to communities, states and the nation. This new understanding has helped to increase awareness for the need to re-evaluate the effects of wetlands loss.

ened or endangered animals (45%) and plants (26%) de-

pend directly or indirectly on wetlands to complete their

life cycle successfully. Wetlands also provide other valu-

able benefits to people. They help reduce flooding, sustain

stream flows, cleanse polluted waters, and support wild-

life-associated recreation such as bird watching, fishing,

and hunting. Wetlands also provide critical habitat for a

vast majority of the commercial fish and shellfish con-

sumed in our nation. Widely recognized wetlands through-

out the country include the Okefenokee Swamp in Georgia, the Pocomoke River Swamp in Delaware and Maryland,

Merrymeeting Bay in Maine, the Gulf Coast areas near

Galveston, Texas, the vegetated Everglades in Florida, the

Yakima River corridor in Washington and the Horricon

Unfortunately, progress on improving protection for wetlands has not occurred as quickly as needed, and wetland losses have continued at an unacceptable rate. In order to reduce wetlands loss, the public must become more aware of wetland values and functions and the ways in which all of us can help to protect these important areas.

Recent Protection Efforts

Several years ago, in response to wetlands losses, a group of public and private leaders convened to address major policy concerns about how the nation should protect and manage wetland resources. Known as the National Wetlands Policy Forum, this group made recommendations for reframing national wetlands policies and programs to ensure protection of wetlands. Central to the Forum recommendations were two goals:

To achieve no overall net loss of the nation's remaining wetlands (in the near term); and

To increase the quality and quantity of the nation's wetlands resource base (in the longer term).

President Bush, in January 1989, recognized these goals and the need for timely action by stating that our national goal for wetlands protection would be, "no overall net loss of wetlands."

In response to the public's questions and requests for information about the values and functions of wetlands and options for their protection, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has established a Wetlands Hotline. The Hotline provides a wide range of oral and written information on wetlands protection through an 800 telephone number which public and private interests can call.

Comprehensive in nature and national in scope, the Wetlands Hotline is a central point of contact for people interested in information about wetlands protection efforts involving EPA and other public and private programs. The Hotline, intended to complement existing programs, includes information such as:

- General answers and expert referrals to questions about EPA's wetlands protection laws including Section 404 of the Clean Water Act;
- Referrals to questions about other federal wetlands protection laws such as the Farm Bill Conservation Title, the Farmland Protection Policy Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, the Coastal Barriers Resources Act, the Wetlands Executive Order 11990, the Floodplains Executive Order 11988, and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act;
- Information about available federal technical and financial assistance for states and local governments and private groups to protect wetlands;
- Assistance available to private landowners to protect, enhance and restore wetlands areas; and
- * Referrals for further information about state and private non-profit wetlands protection efforts.

The Hotline involves cooperation with other interested federal agencies as well as EPA's regional and Washington offices. Central to this information service, which has been developed by the Office of Wetlands Protection, the Environmental Law Institute and Geo-Resources Inc., is the development—and eventual publication—of a Wetlands Protection Workbook that describes EPA and related federal wetlands protection services, programs, regulations, offices and experts.

The Wetlands Protection Workbook is the primary guidebook for Hotline telephone information specialists. It is also a reference manual for EPA wetlands program staff in headquarters and in regional and field offices. It presents information collected from a variety of sources in an indexed reference manual. The workbook is not intended to be a substitute for communication with key government officials, but rather a point of contact to locate basic information on EPA wetlands protection programs and contacts for information on other government and private sector wetlands protection activities. In the following chapters the hotline information specialist or reader will find specific information on wetlands laws, guidelines, programs and activities. Section one provides commonly asked questions about wetlands and answers. Section two provides fact sheets that describe federal agency regulations, roles, programs, proposed legislation, and definitions. This section also includes a description of wetlands values and functions.

In the appendices there are three additional sections. The first part of the appendix identifies a number of wetlands contacts. The second portion of the appendix is a bibliography of selected wetlands publications, many of which are available through the Hotline service. The third section contains a glossary of terms. It is the Office of Wetlands Protection and the Environmental Law Institute's hope that this Wetlands Protection Workbook will not only provide Hotline information specialists with basic information to provide to interested callers, but also assist other government and private-sector interests to accomplish their wetlands protection goals.

Q: What is a wetland?

A: Wetlands are areas that are covered with water enough of the year to support plants that thrive in "wet" soils. While most people picture wetlands as marshy areas with lush aquatic plants and lots of waterfowl, there are actually a wide range of types of wetlands. In fact, many wetlands may be dry or lack signs of plant life in certain seasons. The formal definition of a wetland used by the Army Corps of Engineers and EPA is: "Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas."

Although historically wetlands have been damaged and destroyed, they are now being recognized as rich and important natural areas. Wetlands provide food and shelter to countless animals including fish, birds, reptiles and mammals. A large proportion of endangered species of plants and animals depend on wetlands as part of their habitat. Further, wetlands provide other valuable benefits such as reducing flooding, sustaining stream flows, cleaning polluted waters, and supporting recreation through bird watching and fishing. Wetlands are also vital habitats for most of the commercial fish and seafood eaten in this country.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Wetlands Contact, see Appendix A.
- 2) For a nongovernmental perspective on wetland protection, contact the Izaak Walton League, Appendix J.
- 3) For a more scientific perspective, contact your local Society of Wetlands Scientists/National Wetlands Technical Council representative, Appendix J.

Related Topics:

- 1) Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions, p. 86.
- 2) Wetlands Values and Functions, overview, p.101.
- 3) What is the Federal Government Doing to Protect Wetlands?, p.4.

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Document No. OPA-87-016. (Available through the hotline)
- 2) Kusler, Jon. Our National Wetland Heritage: A Protection Handbook. Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute, 1988.
- 3) For more information on how a wetland is defined by EPA, see the Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.

Q: What are some values and functions of wetlands?

A: Wetlands is the collective term for marshes, swamps, bogs, and similar areas that often develop between open water and dry land. Wetlands are defined formally by their water flow patterns, type of plant life and soil type. These areas can be found all across the country.

In the past, wetlands were often regarded as wastelands — sources of mosquitoes, flies, and unpleasant odors. Many people felt that wetlands were places to be avoided or even eliminated. Largely because of this negative view, more than half of America's original wetlands have been destroyed. They have been drained and converted to farmland, filled for housing development and industrial facilities, or simply used as dump sites for household and

hazardous waste.

More recently, with our increased understanding of ecology, attitudes towards wetlands have changed. Scientists have discovered that wetlands are valuable natural resources. Among other things, wetlands help improve water quality, reduce flood and storm damages, improve erosion control, provide important fish and wildlife habitat, and support commercial and recreational fishing activities. In addition, many people take advantage of the natural beauty and serenity of wetlands for such activities as bird watching and general relaxation. (See below for descriptions of individual values and functions of wetlands.)

Notes:		 ***************************************

Contacts:

- 1) Glenn Eugster, EPA Headquarters OWP Outreach Contact, (202) 382-5045,
- 2) For a scientific perspective, call your local Society

of Wetlands Scientists/National Wetlands Technical Council respresentative, Appendix J.

Related Topics:

- 1) Fish and Wildlife Habitat p. 103
- 2) Water Quality Improvement p. 110.
- 3) Sediment Control p.108.
- 4) Shoreline Erosion Control p. 102

- 5) Flood Control p.104
- 6) Water Supply Improvement p. 112
- 7) Treating Acid Mine Drainage p. 109
- 8) Wastewater Treatment p. 111

Publications:

- 1) American Water Resources Association. Wetlands: Concerns and Successes. Bethesda, MD, 1989.
- 2) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Prepared by the Office of Wetland

Protection. Report No. OPA-87-016, 1988. (available from the hotline)

Q: What laws protect wetlands?

A: The most widely-known wetlands protection law is the federal Clean Water Act. Section 404 of the Act establishes a permit program regulating the discharge of dredged and fill material into waters of the United States, including most of the nation's wetlands. The Swampbuster provisions of the 1990 Farm Bill are completely separate from the 404 program, and restrict agricultural subsidies and loan guarantees for areas where wetlands have been converted for crop production. Other federal laws that protect wetlands include the Endangered Species Act, which protects rare plants and animals, many of which are wetland-dependent; the Rivers and Harbors

Act of 1899, which regulate activities in wetlands adjacent navigable waters; and the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, which protects wetlands adjacent to rivers designated as protected under the Act. In addition, many states have their own wetlands protection laws, either specifically for wetlands or else a combination of regulations that work to protect wetlands. Local governments may use planning and zoning powers and other means to protect wetlands. For more information on wetlands laws in your state or region, contact your state natural resource agency or local government.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- EPA Regional wetlands coordinator, see Appendix
 A.
- 2) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, Mike Fritz, (202) 245-3913.
- 3) Jon Kusler, Association of State Wetlands Managers, (518) 872-1804.
- 4) State natural resource agency (Appendix F)

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act overview, p. 26
- 2) Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 overview, see p.45
- 3) Endangered Species Act, see 46

4) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act overview, 56

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Report No. OPA-87-016. (available from the hotline)
- 2) Conservation Foundation. 1988. Protecting America's Wetlands: An Action Agenda. Harper Graphics, Waldorf, MD.
- 3) Kusler, Jon. Our National Wetland Heritage: A

Protection Handbook. Environmental Law Institute, Washington, DC.

- 4) Want, William. Law of Wetlands Regulation, 1990.
- 5) Protecting Nontidal Wetlands, American Planning Association, 1989.

Q: What is the federal government doing to protect wetlands?

A: At the federal level, wetlands protection can be divided into four general areas:

Direct regulation of activities conducted in wetlands is authorized under the Clean Water Act §404, which covers discharges of dredged or fill material to waters of the United States, including many of the nation's wetlands. The Clean Water Act also includes water quality standards for wetlands. In addition, the Endangered Species Act can play an important role where wetlands serve as critical habitat for threatened or endangered species.

Economic disincentives are used to limit destructive activities. For example, "Swampbuster" provisions of the 1985 and 1990 Food Security Acts (also known as the Farm Bills) remove agricultural subsidies and loan guarantees when wetlands are converted for crop production.

Planning can be an effective tool of wetlands protection. In the West, the federal government owns huge tracts of

land and is required to develop management plans to protect wetlands on those lands. Also, federal agencies involved in highway construction, land management, and water planning are required to develop policies for conserving wetlands under their control. In addition, the federal government is encouraging states to protect wetlands by providing technical and financial assistance, such as EPA grants to states for State Wetland Conservation Plans. Finally, planning efforts are enhanced by extensive federal efforts to map and monitor wetlands, and to conduct research into the physical and biological properties of wetlands.

Land acquisition is another tool for wetlands protection. Two notable federal examples are the network of National Wildlife Refuges (which include significant wetlands acreage) and land acquisition under the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional wetlands coordinator, see Appendix
- 2) Jeanne Melanson, Federal Activities Coordinator, EPA Headquarters OWP (202) 382-7073.
- 3) Jon Kusler, Association of State Wetlands

Managers, (518) 872-1804.

4) Scott Feierabend, National Wildlife Federation, (202) 797-6800.

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act Overview, p26
- 2) Swampbuster, p.59.
- 3) Endangered Species Act, p. 46

- 4) Fish and Wildlife Service Overview, p. 72
- 5) EPA Overview, p.65.

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Report No. OPA-87-016. (Available from the hotline)
- 2) Conservation Foundation. 1988. Protecting America's Wetlands: An Action Agenda. Harper Graphics, Waldorf, MD.
- 3) Kusler, Jon. Our National Wetland Heritage: A Protection Handbook. Environmental Law Institute, Washington, DC.
- 4) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to Wetlands Protection. 1989.
- 5) Protecting Nontidal Wetlands, American Planning

Association, 1989.

- 6) National Guidance: Water Quality Standards for Wetlands, EPA.
- 7) Wetlands Action Plan: Meeting the President's Challenge. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990.
- 8) Wetlands: Accomplishments and Opportunities. EPA, 1990. (Available from the hotline)
- 9) Wetlands and 401 Certification: Opportunities and Guidelines for States and Eligible Indian Tribes. EPA Office of Water. April, 1989.

Q: What new wetlands bills have been introduced to Congress

A: Each year Congress intriduces a number of bills related to wetlands regulation and preservation. This year many bills are likely to be introduced because the Clean Water Act, which regulates certain activities in wetlands under §404, is scheduled for reauthorization in 1992. Several bills related to wetlands have already been introduced during the 1991 session of Congress.

1991 Bills introduced:

In the House of Representatives, the Wetlands No Net Loss Act of 1991, H.R. 251 sponsored by Charles Bennett (D-FL), promotes the conservation and enhancement of wetlands and prevents wetland losses by establishing several new programs at the federal level. The Wetlands Protection and Regulatory Reform Act of 1991, H.R. 404 sponsored by John Hammerschmidt (R-ARK), seeks to amend §404 of the Clean Water Act by, among other things, providing differing levels of protection for categories of

wetlands, and by providing a new definition of wetlands. The Wetlands Conservation and Management Act of 1991, co-sponsored by Jimmy Hughes (D-LA) and Tom Ridge (R-PA), seeks to amend \$404 of the Clean Water Act by creating a tiered classification scheme for wetlands that would offer differing levels of protection to wetlands based upon their values and functions, and would give the Army Corps of Engineers exclusive federal jurisdiction over \$404.

In the Senate, Senator Steven Symms' (R-ID) Private Property Act of 1991, Senate Bill 50, would require all federal agency actions to be certified by the U.S. Attorney General for compliance with Executive Order 12630, which requires an assessment of the potential for any federal action to violate the Fifth Amendment by taking private property without compensation.

Notes:			
	-		

Contacts:

- 1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20215 (appendix E)
- 2) Senate Document Room, B-04-Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, DC (appendix E)
- 3) National Wildlife Federation, Steve Moyer; Legislative Liaison for wetlands; (202) 797-6800.

Related Topics:

- 1) National Wetlands Policy Forum, p.84
- 2) State and Local Multiobjective River Corridor Act of

1989, p.120.

Publications:

- 1) H.R. 251. Bennet (D-FI). Wetlands No Net Loss Act of 1991.
- 2) H.R. 404. Hammerschmidt (R-ARK) Wetlands Protection and Regulatory Reform Act of 1991.
- 3) Wetlands Conservation and Management Act of

1991. Hughes (D-LA).

3) S. 50. Symms (R-ID). Private Property Rights Act of 1991.

Q: What is the Environmental Protection Agency's role in wetland protection?

A: The Environmental Protection Agency, in partnership with federal, state and local governments, is responsible for restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters. Because of the value of wetlands as an integral part of those waters, EPA is also charged with protecting wetland resources. Amajor federal regulatory tool for this is Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, which is jointly administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and EPA. Section 404 establishes a permit program to regulate the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including most of the nation's wetlands. EPA's key responsibilities in the Section 404 program include: development of the program's environmental standards (the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines), determining the scope

of geographic jurisdiction (that is, areas which are subject to Section 404), state program assumption, enforcement, and review of individual permit applications.

EPA Cooperation in Wetlands Protection

EPA actively cooperates with other federal agencies, states, local governments; developers; environmental groups; the scientific community; and others to protect wetlands through regulatory and nonregulatory means. To provide leadership in building such a broad-based national effort, EPA established an Office of Wetlands Protection in 1986. EPA also conducts an active research program on wetlands through its Corvallis, Oregon, and Duluth, Minnesota, laboratories.

Notes:		
		ļ

Contacts:

- 1) Glenn Eugster, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-5045.
- 2) EPA Regional Wetlands Coordinator (see Appendix
- A)
- 3) Dianne Fish, Office of Wetland Protection, EPA (202) 382-7071.

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act Section 404 Overview, p.27
- 2) EPA Office of Wetland Protection, p. 66
- 3) EPA Wetland Research, p67
- 4) Federal Incentive Programs, p. 16.

Publications:

- 1) EPA. Wetlands Protection. Fact Sheets prepared by the Office of Wetland Protection. (available from the hotline)
- 2) EPA. Highlights of Section 404. Prepared by the Office of Wetland Protection. (available from the

hotline)

3) EPA Office of Water. Wetlands: Accomplishments and Opportunities. November 2, 1990. (avaible from the hotline)

Q: What is the role of the Army Corps of Engineers in wetlands protection?

A: The Army Corps of Engineers has been regulating activities in the nation's waters since 1890. Until the 1960s, the primary purpose of the regulatory program was to protect navigation. Since then, the Corps efforts have broadened to include wetlands protection under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and the Clean Water Act.

Scope of the Corps Regulatory Program

The Corps receives about 15,000 permit applications per year. In the course of its activities, the Corps last year had about 6,000 enforcement actions.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) For site-specific questions, contact the Corps of Engineers District Office, see Appendix B.
- 2) For general policy-related questions, contact Zell

Stever, Corps of Engineers Headquarters (202) 272-1780.

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act Section 404 overview, p27
- 2) Rivers and Harbors Act, p.45
- 3) For information on the Corps research program, see

p. 69.

Publications:

- 1) US Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program. Document No. EP 1145-2-1, May 1985.(available from the Hotline)
- 2) Kusler, Jon. Our Wetland Heritage. Washington,

DC: Environmental Law Institute, 1989.

3) Schmitz, William. *The Corps' Great LEAP*. <u>National Wetlands Newsletter</u> Vol.12, No. 5 14.

Q: What are the roles of the federal resource management agencies in wetlands protection?

A: The activities of federal agencies vary aidely, including providing technical assistance, acquiring wetlands areas, and managing federal lands with wetlands. Major federal landowners include the Bureau of Land Management, the Forest Service, the Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Park Service, the Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Department of Defense.

Bureau of Land Management

The Bureau of Land Management administers more than 250 million acres of federal lands, about 10 percent of which are wetlands. The Bureau is developing plans for monitoring and managing these vast wetland areas.

Fish and Wildlife Service

The Service manages over 450 National Wildlife Refuges, is actively mapping wetlands across the United

States, and works to protect endangered species and migratory birds, many of which are wetland-dependent.

National Park Service and Forest Service

These federal agencies own and administer huge tracts of land across the country, and have just recently begun active wetlands programs to inventory wetlands on their lands and to improve protection and restoration of wetlands, particularly along rivers and streams. The National Park Service is also developing cooperative strategies with state and local governments and private landowners on lands related to Park Service lands.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Under the authority of the Coastal Zone Management Act to provide comprehensive management and protection of coastal wetland resources.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) BLM Headquarters Public Relations Office (202) 653-9210.
- 2) Jeanne Melanson, EPA Office of Wetland Protection, (202)382-7073.
- 3) David Heffernan, Fish and Wildlife Service, (202) 385-2043.
- 4) Peter Boice, Department of Defense, (202) 325-2215.
- 5) Pam Matthes, National Park Service, (202) 208-4639
- 6) Suzanne Bolton, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, (202) 673-3959.
- 7) Larry Schmidt, U.S. Forest Service, (202) 453-9475
- 8) Jim Wolcott, Army Corps of Engineers, (202) 277-1787.

Related Topics:

- 1) Fish and Wildlife Service overview, p. 72
- 2) Forest Service programs, p.79
- 3) Bureau of Land Management programs, p.80
- 4) Army Corps of Engineers overview, p. 68
- 5) National Park Service overview, p.78

Publications:

1) Kusler, Jon. *Our Wetland Heritage*. Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute, 1989.

Q: What federal regulatory programs protect wetlands?

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

The most widely-known federal wetlands protection program is authorized by §404 Clean Water Act. Section 404 establishes a permit program regulating the discharge of dredged and fill material into waters of the U.S., including most of the nation's wetlands.

In addition to §404, there are a number of other federal regulatory programs that have an important role in the national effort to protect and conserve wetlands. Here is an overview of some of these programs:

Section 402 of the Clean Water Act

Section 402 of the Act establishes the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program, administered by EPA, or by states approved by EPA. The NPDES program regulates the discharge of pollutants other than dredged and fill material into the waters of the U.S., including wetlands.

Section 401 of the Clean Water Act

Section 401 of the Act gives broad statutory authority to the states to grant, condition, or deny certification of federally permitted or licensed activities which result in a discharge to waters of the U.S. including wetlands.

Section 303 of the Clean Water Act

Section 303 provides for the adoption of state water quality standards to protect the physical, chemical and biological integrity of their waters.

The Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

This Act establishes a permit program for activities that take place in navigable waters. The Act is administered by the Army Corps of Engineers.

The Endangered Species Act

The Endangered Species Act protects rare species of plants and animals, many of which are wetland-dependent. The Act is administered by the Fish and Wildlife Service, and must be considered in all federal decisions regarding wetlands.

Other Laws and Programs

Some of the other laws and programs that directly or indirectly protect wetlands through regulation are the "Swampbuster" program in the Food Security Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act.

Contacts:

- EPA Regional wetlands coordinator, see Appendix
 A
- 2) EPA Headquarters, Office of Wetlands Protection, Dianne Fish (202) 382-7071 (for general policy or program questions)
- 3) EPA Headquarters, Office of Wetlands Protection,

Greg Peck (202) 475-8794 (for specific regulatory questions)

4) Jon Kusler, Association of State Wetlands Managers, (518) 872-1804.

Related Topics:

- 1) CWA §404 Overview, p.26
- 2) CWA §402 NPDES Overview, p.42
- 3) Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Overview, p.45
- 4) Endangered Species Act, p.46
- 5) "Swampbuster" Overview, p.59
- 6) Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA), p. 54
- 7) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, p.56
- 8) National Environmental Policy Act Overview, p.47
- 9) Q: What Are Some Incentive and Disincentive Programs That Protect Wetlands?, p.16.

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Report No. OPA-87-016.
- 2) Conservation Foundation. 1988. *Protecting America's Wetlands: An Action Agenda*. Harper Graphics. Waldorf. MD.
- 3) Kusler, Jon. Our National Wetland Hentage: A Protection Handbook. Environmental Law Institute.

Washington, DC.

- 4) Wetlands and 401 Certification: Opportunities and Guideline for States and Eligible Indian Tribes. EPA Office of Water, April 1989.
- 5) Water Quality Standards for Wetlands, EPA Office of Water, July 1990.

Q: What is the federal manual?

A: The Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands (also known as the Federal Manual) is an attempt to bring together the different definitions of wetlands of the various federal agencies. The manual was issued in January 1989 through a cooperative effort of four federal agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands: EPA, the Army Corps of Engineers, the Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Soil Conservation Service. It describes the three criteria that are used in determining whether an area is a wetland: hydrophytic plants, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology. All three of these characteristics must be found in an area before it can be

formally called a wetland. The manual also describes ways to gather information in the field for these three criteria, and methods for identifying and delineating jurisdictional wetlands. An Intergovernmental Committee made up of representatives of each of the four agencies is currently revising the Manual to make technical changes.

How can I get a copy of the Manual?

The current version of the Manual is available from the Government Printing Office and is document number 024-010-00603-8.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) Government Printing Office, (202) 783-3238.
- 2) For general information about the federal definition of wetlands, contact Mike Fritz, EPA Office of Wetland

Protection, (202) 245-3913.

3) For a site-specific case, contact your local Army Corps of Engineers District Office, Appendix B.

Related Topics:

- 1) Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions,
- p. 86
- 2) Wetlands Values and Functions, overview, p. 101
- 3) Wetland Hydrology, p.92

- 4) Hydrophytic Vegetation, p.93
- 5) Hydric Soils, p. 94

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Document No. OPA-87-016.
- 2) Kusler, Jon. Our National Wetland Heritage: A Protection Handbook. Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute, 1988.
- 3) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.

Q: What wetland regulations apply to farming activities?

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions. Refer caller to the contacts listed below.

A: Section 404 of the Clean Water Act regulates discharges of dredged and fill materials into wetlands. However, section 404(f) provides general exemptions from regulation for normal farming, ranching, and forestry activities such as plowing, cultivating, minor drainage; harvesting for the production of food, fiber and forest products; or upland soil and water conservation practices. This exemption pertains to normal farming and harvesting activities at established, ongoing farming or forestry operations. Activities which convert a wetland that has not been used for farming or forestry into such uses are not exempt. In addition, any activity which converts a wetland to upland is not exempt and requires a permit. Only the Army Corps of Engineers' district offices and EPA regional offices can make a determination as to what activities constitute normal farming activities. Section 404(f)(2) also includes a recapture provision that establishes a two-part test to determine whether an activity described in §404(f) might not be exempt from permitting requirements. If an activity involving a discharge of dredged or fill matieral represents a new use of the wetland, and the activity would result in a reduction in reach or impairment of flow or circulation of regulated U.S. waters, then the activity is not

exempted.

Other programs

In addition, the Swampbuster provisions of the 1985 and 1990 Farm Bills deny farm operators federal crop subsidies and guaranteed loans for converting wetlands to cropland by draining or filling. Many states have their own regulations governing wetlands and farming practices. For more information on state wetland regulations and farming, contact your state or local Soil Conservation Service office or regional EPA office.

Prior Converted Croplands

In late 1990, the Army Corps of Engineers issued a Regulatory Guidance Letter removing from federal jurisdiction under the Clean Water Act §404 program all wetlands designated as "prior converted croplands" under the 1985 Farm Bill. This exemption applies to all farmlands, except playa lakes and prairie potholes, that were converted to agricultural use prior to December 23, 1985, but exhibit ponding for less than 15 days or saturation for more than 7 days during the average growing season.

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional wetlands coordinator (see Appendix
- A)
- 2) Mike Fritz, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, Regulatory Activities Division; (202) 245-3913.
- 3) Army Corps of Engineers district office (see Appendix
- B)
- 4) State Soil Conservation Service office (Appendix D)
- 5) State Resource Agency for wetlands (see Appendix
- F

Related Topics:

- 1) 404 Overview, p. 27
- 2) 404(f) farming exemptions, p. 35
- 3) Swampbuster provisions, p. 59

4) Swampbuster and §404, p. 12

Publications:

- 1) Clean Water Act §404(f) Final Rule. 40 CFR Parts 232 and 233. Clean Water Act Section 404 Program Definitions and Permit Exemptions; Section 404 State Program Regulations. F.R.N. Vol. 52, No. 108, pp. 20764-20787.
- 2) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers Memorandum For the Field (5/1990); Clean Water Act Section 404 Regulatory Program and Agricultural Activities.

Q: How is swampbuster related to §404 of the Clean Water Act?

A: The two programs are different in many ways and generally do not overlap. A few exceptions apply to wetland definitions. Swampbuster is a disincentive program applicable only to farmland and farming operations and carried out by the Soil Conservation Service within the Department of Agriculture. The Clean Water Act §404 provisions, on the other hand, are generally regulatory requirements, apply to all wetlands that are waters of the United States, and are carried out jointly by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Army Corps of Engineers, with some input from the Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and state fish and game agencies. Section §404 requires permits for discharges of dredged or fill material into a wetland, but exempts certain activities from regulation. Swampbuster requires no permits and uses economic sanctions to limit wetland conversions.

Definition Overlaps

The two programs may intersect when it comes to defi-

nitions of agricultural wetlands. For instance, a 1990 Corps directive (Regulatory Guidance Letter 90-08) removed prior converted croplands from regulation under \$404 of the Clean Water Act, using a category of wetlands that are defined under the swampbuster program. "Prior converted wetlands" are defined in the Food Security Act Manual as agricultural wetlands converted prior to December 23, 1985 and which experience ponding for less than 15 days or saturation for more than 7 days during the average growing season. The two programs use different methods for making wetlands determinations; although the SCS is a signatory to the 1989 Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands—the guide used by the Corps and EPA—the SCS continues to delineate wetlands using the Food Security Act Manual for the purposes of implementing the swampbuster program. However, it is never safe for a producer to assume that because his or her activities are exempt under one program that they are exempt under the other.

Notes:	`	

Contacts:

- 1) Mike Fritz, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, Regulatory Activities Division; 401 M St. SW, A-104-F, Washington, DC 20460; (202) 245-3913.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers District Regulatory Branch

Chief [see Appendix B]

3) Soil Conservation Service, Lloyd Wright; (202) 382-1853, for policy questions on Swampbuster.

Related Topics:

- 1) Swampbuster, p.59
- 2) Clean Water Act §404 Overview, p.27
- 3) Clean Water Act §404(f); exemptions for normal farming activities, p.35

Publications:

- 1) S. 2830. Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990. Public Law 101-624.
- 2) Clean Water Act, Section 404(a) Final Rule. 40 CFR...
- 3) Clean Water Act, Section 404(f). Final Rule, 40 CFR

Parts 232 and 233.

4) National Governors Association. A Guide to Agricultural Wetlands Protection. 1991. NGA, Washington, DC.

Q: What Types of Discharges Require CWA §402 (NPDES) permits?

A: Direct discharges of all pollutants, other than dredged and fill material, into the waters of the United States (including surface waters and wetlands) require permits under §402 of the Clean Water Act. The §402 permit program is known as the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). Congress established the NPDES program to regulate the point source discharges of chemicals, heavy metals, and biological wastes — primarily in wastewater from industrial processes and publicly owned sewage

treatement works — to U.S. waters. NPDES permits are issued by EPA or by the state in which the discharge occurs, if the state has a federally approved program.

Only discharges of dredged and fill material into U.S. waters, including wetlands, require permits under §404 of the Act. Section 404 permits are issued by the Army Corps of Engineers.

Discharges regulated by §404 generally do not also require a §402 permit.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Water Office (see Appendix A)
- 2) State Water Office, if NPDES program is administered by the state (see Appendix F)
- EPA Headquarters, Office of Wetlands Protection,
 Sherri Fields (202) 245-3932 (for questions

concerning wastewater and stormwater treatment and wetlands)

4) EPA Headquarters, Office of Wetlands Protection, Greg Peck (202) 475-8794 (for regulatory questions)

Related Topics:

- 1) NPDES Overview, p.42
- 2) Regulating Discharge of Solid Waste: §404 or

§402?, p. 43

3) Clean Water Act, overview, p. 26.

Publications

- 1) Clean Water Act Section 402, 33 U.S.C. 1342
- 2) EPA Administered Permit Programs The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, 40 C.F.R. Part 122.
- 3) Environmental Law Institute, Clean Water Deskbook, 1988.

Q: Does the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Apply to §404 Permits?

A: NEPA requirements apply to most federal agency regulatory activities, including the Army Corps of Engineers §404 permitting program. The Corps prepares an environmental assessment for most §404 permit

applications. If the Corps determines that the proposed activity is likely to have a significant impact on the environment, an in-depth analysis, known as an environmental impact statement, will be prepared.

Notes:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	

Contacts:

- 1) Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief (See Appendix B)
- 2) EPA Regional Wetlands Coordinator (see Appendix A)

Related Topics:

- 1) NEPA Overview, p47
- 2) The NEPA Process, p48

3) NEPA: Applicability to Wetlands, p.49

References/Publications

- 1) U.S. EPA, Facts About The National Environmental Policy Act, September, 1989. (Available from the EPA Office of Federal Activities 202-382-5053.)
- 2) CEQ, Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act, 46 FR 18026 (1981)
- 3) CEQ, Regulations For Implementing the

Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, Reprint of 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508
4) Army Corps of Engineers NEPA Regulations, 33 C.F.R. Part 230, 53 Fed. Reg. 3127 (Feb. 3, 1988)
5) Environmental Law Institute, NEPA Deskbook, 1989

Q: Does the EPA/Army MOA on Mitigation establish a policy of "No Net Loss of Wetlands?"

A: The EPA/Army Memorandum of Agreement on Mitigation Sequencing is not, in itself, a no net loss policy. EPA and the Corps will strive to achieve President Bush's goal of no net loss; however, the MOA clearly recognizes that mitigation which is not "appropriate" or "practicable" will not be required, nor will each permit be required to achieve no net loss of wetlands. The

purpose of the MOA is to provide general guidance to Corps and EPA field offices on the §404(b)(1) Guidelines mitigation requirements for standard permit applications in all waters of the U.S., including wetlands. As such, it reflects agency policy and procedures, but does not, itself, establish new policy.

Notes:		
·		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection Regulatory Activities Division, Cliff Rader, (202)382-5087.
- 2) Army Corps Headquarters, Zell Steever, (202) 797-1780

Related Topics:

- 1) EPA/Army Corps Memorandum of Agreements, pp. 62, 63.
- 2) Section 404(b)(1) Review, p.31.

Publications

- 1) MOA Between the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of the Army Concerning the Determination of Mitigation Under the CWA §404(b)(1) Guidelines (signed 2/6/90)
- 2) The Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of the Army, Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines Mitigation MOA: "Questions and Answers."

Q: What are some incentive or disincentive programs that protect wetlands?

A: Incentive and disincentive programs are an important and necessary complement to state and federal regulatory programs that protect wetlands. While direct regulation of wetlands destruction or degradation is available through the Clean Water Act, the Ocean Dumping Act, and the Rivers and Harbors Act, a number of federal programs provide disincentives to wetlands conversion and incentives to landowners, states, and local governments to limit wetlands losses and restore or create wetland habitat.

Federal Disincentive Programs

Important examples of disincentive programs include the "swampbuster" provisions of the 1985 and 1990 farm bills —which deny agricultural subsidies and loans to landowners who convert wetlands for cropland use—and the Coastal Barrier Resources Act—which denies federal flood insurance and other federal support programs to those who develop designated barrier islands and coastal areas.

Incentive Programs

EPA, the Army Corps, the Soil Conservation Service, and many other federal agencies offer a variety of programs designed to encourage public and private preservation and restoration of wetlands. Among these include the Conservation Reserve Program and the new Wetlands Reserve Program established in the 1990 Farm Bill. The Land and Water Conservation Fund, Migratory Bird Fund, and federal Water Bank programs all provide funds for wetland preservation and restoration. The Federal Emergency Man-

agement Agency's community ratings system provides reductions on insurance premiums to local governments that guide development away from floodplains or take other actions to reduce flood losses and protect wetland habitat.

Other Federal Programs

Many federal agencies have nonregulatory programs to protect wetlands on federal lands and to aid citizens, local governments, and states in protecting wetland resources. These range from public outreach and education programs to grants, technical assistance, cost-sharing programs, land-use and conservation programs on federal lands, acquisition of wetlands, and stewardship programs. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory program is nearing completion of a nationwide wetlands mapping effort that will establish a baseline of wetland acreage and record the present rate of wetland losses.

State, Local, and Private Efforts

Many states and local governments have their own programs designed to enhance wetland conservation and restoration, from education and training programs to costsharing, acquisition, easements, tax incentives, and many others. For state and local programs in your area, call your state resource agency or local government. In addition, there are numerous local, state-level and national organizations dedicated to wetland protection and enhancement, many with citizen activist programs, education programs, and fund-raising programs to acquire and protect wetlands.

Contacts:

- 1) Glenn Eugster, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-5045
- 2) Federal Emergency Management Agency; Frank Thomas; (202) 646-2717
- 3) Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands

Inventory, Thomas Dahl; (813) 893-3620.

- 4) Izaak Walton League of America (see Appendix H)
- 5) Jon Kusler, Association of State Wetland Managers, (518) 872-1804.

Related Topics:

- 1) EPA programs overview, p.65
- 2) Corps programs overview, p.68
- 3) FWS administration, programs, p.72
- 4) Swampbuster overview, p.59

5) Coastal Barriers Resource Act, p115.

Publications:

- 1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection circular (9/25/1989). "Non-regulatory Wetlands Activities."
- 2) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection factsheet (5/9/1989) "Programs for Protecting Wetlands." (available through the Hotline)
- 3) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (1990). 1990 Wetlands Action Plan. (available through FWS; (202) 358-2161).

Q: What kinds of wetlands research is being conducted at the federal level?

A: Both the EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers are very involved in wetlands-related research. The EPA research program, which is based at its Corvallis (Oregon) Laboratory, aims to improve the scientific basis for wetland decisions. Specifically, EPA's wetlands research program focuses on reducing uncertainties of wetlands creation and restoration, improving the understanding of the water quality benefits provided by wetlands, and assessing cumulative impacts. The Corps' work focuses on improvement of existing wetlands, reduction in wetlands loss and impacts, and provision of better environmental

accountability in water resource projects. Most of the Corps' research is conducted at the Waterways Experiment Station in Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Extensive wetlands research is also being performed at academic institutions, at non-profit organizations and research centers throughout the country, and at many state resource agencies. Programs at state land-grant colleges are increasingly including wetlands studies in their agriculture-related research.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection. Bill Sipple; (202) 382-5066, for research policy questions.
- 2) EPA Corvallis Lab Wetlands Matrix Manager Eric Preston; (503) 757-4666, for ongoing EPA wetlands research.
- 3) EPA Duluth Lab Water Quality Research Contact William Sanville; (218) 720-5500, for ongoing EPA wetlands research.
- 4) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Research Program Manager Russel F. Thenot; (601) 634-2733, for Corps wetlands research.
- 5) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, David Heffernan; (703) 358-2043, for FWS wetlands research.
- 6) National Wetlands Technical Council, and Society of Wetlands Scientists (See Appendix J) for private and academic wetlands research.

Related Topics

- 1) EPA Wetlands Research, p. 67
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Research, p. 69

69.

Publications:

- 1) EPA (3/89). Wetlands and Water Quality: EPA's Research and Monitoring Implementation Plan for the Years 1989-1994.
- 2) "Paxutent Wildlife Research Center," Fish and Wildlife News, February/March 1989.
- 3) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection (9/25/89).

Non-Regulatory Wetlands Activities.

4) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (3/30/90). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Research Program Fact Sheet.

Q: How does the EPA help other countries protect wetlands?

A: Wetland loss is a problem all over the world, for many of the same reasons as in the U.S. EPA's Office of Wetlands Protection provides information and technical assistance to organizations in other countries that may not be as advanced in the development of wetland protection techniques and programs. A small amount of grant money is available each year for the development and continuation of wetland education programs, research, and training in wetland identification and management.

The Office of Wetlands Protection exchanges information with other governments about what wetland protection programs have been successful in various ecological settings. Currently an informal process, international wetlands information exchange will become a formal program in 1992 when wetlands experts from several countries will meet to find solutions to common problems.

International Wetlands Organizations

The Office of Wetlands Protection participates in several international wetland protection organizations. The most notable of these is the Convention on Wetlands of International Importance Especially as Waterfowl Habitat, also known as the Ramsar Convention after the city in Iran where the convention was adopted in 1971. The Ramsar Convention provides the framework for international cooperation for the conservation of wetland habitats.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) Cory Giacobbe, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-5907.
- 2) Lawrence Mason, Fish and Wildlife Service, Office

of International Affairs, 703-358-1754.

3) John Waugh, World Conservation Union (IUCN), 202-797-5454.

Related Topics:

- 1) North American Waterfowl Management Plan, p.83
- 2) North American Wetlands Conservation Act, p.114.

Publications:

- 1) Ramsar Newsletter. Published by the Ramsar Convention Bureau, World Conservation Centre, Avenue Du Mont-Blanc, 1196 Gland, Switzerland.
- 2) Wetland Conservation: A Review of Current Issues and Required Action. Edited by Patrick J. Dugan, World Conservation Union, 1990. Available from: IUCN Publications Unit, Avenue Du MontBlanc, 1196 Gland, Switzerland.
- 3) Marine and Coastal Protected Areas: A Guide for Planners and Managers, by Rodney V. Salm and John
- R. Clark, 1989. Available from IUCN Publications Unit, Avenue Du Mont-Blanc, 1196 Gland, Switzerland.
- 4) Asian Wetland News. Published by the Asian Wetland Bureau, Institute of Advanced Studies (IPT), University of Malaya, Lembah Pantai, 59100 KualaLumpur, Maiaysia.
- 5) IWRB News. Published by the International Waterfowl and Wetlands Research Bureau, Slimbridge, Gloucester, GL2 7BX, United Kingdom.

Q: What are State Wetland Conservation Plans?

A: One of the major recommendations of the National Wetlands Policy Forum was that states should prepare State Wetlands Conservation Plans that demonstrate how the state will achieve the goal of no net loss of wetlands. The idea evolved out of a recognition that wetlands protection is best accomplished through a wide variety of regulatory and nonregulatory programs. Different state agencies are responsible for these disparate programs which are important in protecting the resource and achieving no net loss.

The concept includes developing a comprehensive strategy that coordinates the many programs affecting wetlands in the state. The plans are intended to produce balanced and

cohesive programs by providing a basis for coordinating the various elements of a state's wetlands program such as mapping and inventory, functional evaluation, regulation, fiscal incentives and disincentives, public education, acquisition, and landowner assistance. The Conservation Foundation/World Wildlife Fund is developing a guidebook for states interested in preparing a state wetlands conservation plan. This guidebook will provide information on the elements that should be included in a state wetlands conservation plan.

States may apply to EPA for a State Wetlands Development Grant for assistance in developing such a plan.

Natar	
Notes:	
	·
	<u> </u>
Contacts:	
1) Dianne Fish, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-7071.	(202) 382-5084. 3) Heidi Sherk, Conservation Foundation/World Wildlife
2) Lon Williams, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection,	
Related Topics:	
1)	

Publications:

1) Conservation Foundation\World Wildlife Fund, Protecting America's Wetlands: An Action Agenda. 1988. (Report of the National Wetlands Policy Forum)

2) Conservation Foundation\World Wildlife Fund, Recommendations for Comprehensive State Wetlands

Programs. October 1989.

3) Conservation Foundation\World Wildlife Fund, Guidebook on State Wetland Conservation Plans (to be released in June 1991).

Q. What funding is available to help states develop wetlands protection programs?

A: Starting in Fiscal Year 1990, Congress appropriated money specifically for the development of state wetlands protection programs. EPA's Office of Wetlands Protection administers this grant program under \$104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act. This money is available to any state agency involved in or having programs related to wetlands protection. Federally recognized Indian tribes are also eligible for funding.

Purposes

This money is available for the development of new state wetlands protection programs or the refinement or enhancement of existing state wetlands protection programs. Grant guidance is issued each year by the Office of Wetlands Protection explaining what is eligible for funding and

the procedures states must use to apply for funding. The criteria that are used to evaluate proposals are innovation, potential environmental results, and action orientation.

Projects

Suggested projects that are eligible for funding include, but are not limited to, State Wetlands Conservation Plans, integration of wetlands into traditional water/natural resource programs, multi-objective river-corridor management, water quality standards, incorporation of wetlands into Clean Water Act §401 programs, expanding activities covered and/or geographic jurisdiction of existing regulatory programs, wetlands planning initiatives, and monitoring activities.

Notes:	
Contacts: 1) Lori Williams, EPA's Office of Wetlands Protection, 202-382-5084.	2) EPA regional wetlands contacts (see appendix A)
Related Topics:	
1) See EPA Office of Wetland Protection, overview.	

Publications:

p.66.

- 1) Catalog of State Wetlands Protection Grants (Draft), Feb 1991.
- 2) State Grant Guidance. EPA Office of Wetlands Protection Memorandum. (January 2, 1991)
- 3) Federal Register, December 15, 1989. pp. 51470-71.
- 4) Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, 66.461; Wetlands Protection—State Development Grants.

Q: What is state assumption of the §404 permit program?

A: The Clean Water Act, under \$404(g), allows states to take over some of the permit responsibility from the Army Corps of Engineers if EPA determines that the state's program meets the standards for an approvable program. States cannot take over the permit program in "traditionally" navigable waters, coastal waters and adjacent wetlands. However, a state may administer an approved \$404 program over the remaining waters within its borders.

Once a state assumes the permit program, the Corps of Engineers no longer issues permits in that state's assumed waters. EPA becomes the central point of federal contact and comment for the federal agencies, including the Corps, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the National Marine Fisheries Service. EPA conducts oversight of the state's administration of the permit program and, in extreme cases, can initiate action to withdraw the state's program.

Notes:
Contacts:
1) Lori Williams, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, 2) EPA Regional wetlands contacts (see Appendix A)
202-382-5084.
Related Topics:
4) (4)
1) Clean Water Act overview, p .26 3) Guidelines for State Assumption, p.22 2) Section 404 Overview, p.27
Publications:
1) 40 CFR Parts 232 and 233 ,Federal Register, June
6, 1988, p. 20764

Q: What will EPA consider in deciding whether to approve a state's program to assume Clean Water Act §404?

A: EPA will look at the statutory and regulatory requirements for an approvable state program. These gram; include:

- 1) The extent of the state's jurisdiction;
- 2) The scope of activities regulated by the state;
- 3) The state's compliance with the \$404(b)(l) Guidelines;
- 4) The extent of public participation in the state's program;
- 5) Permit processing procedures;
- 6) The state's compliance and enforcement program; and
- 7) Adequate staffing and funding for the state's program.

Notes:	
0	
Contacts: 1) Lori Williams, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, 202-382-5084.	2) EPA regional wetland contacts (see Appendix A)
Related Topics:	
1) How can states assume the 404 program? p.21	
Publications:	
1) 40 CFR 232-233, <u>Federal Register.</u> June 6, 1988, p. 20764.	

Q: How can local governments protect wetlands?

Overview and regulatory protections

A: Local governments have broad responsibilities and many authorities that can be used to protect wetlands. Over 74 percent of the wetlands in the contiguous 48 states are on lands controlled by private landowners, both individual and corporate. New land-use decisions on these lands are usually made with some degree of involvement by local elected officials and governments. Often communities express strong desires to retain land-use decisionmaking powers and are sensitive about local tax bases, private property rights, and the involvement of state and federal governments. Local governments are also concerned and interested in balancing economic development with the protection of natural resources, maintaining the community's quality of life and character, and providing close-to-home open space and recreation opportunities to residents.

Many local governments, individually or cooperatively with other government entities, are taking voluntary actions to protect wetlands and/or discourage their inappropriate use. These include:

Local Wetlands Regulations

Land-use regulation is the most commonly used wetland protection technique among local governments throughout the country. Wetlands regulations have been adopted in at least 2,000 communities since 1988. Local governments can tailor a regulatory program to fit their needs. Regulations can be narrowly designed to protect discrete parts of a wetland from specific uses on related lands, or even throughout the community. Local entities can enact new regulations or amend their existing land-use control laws to include wetlands protection goals.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) Cory Giacobbe, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, 202-382-5907
- 2) Sam Stokes, National Park Service Rivers and Trails Program. (202) 208-6843 (NPS information).
- 3) Soil Conservation Service, (see Appendix D)
- 4) Coastal Zone Management Agency, NOAA, (future appendix ?X)

Related Topics:

1) Nonregulatory local wetlands protection, p.24.

Publications:

- 1) Protecting Nontidal Wetlands. American Planning Association. December, 1988.
- 2) Riverwork Book. National Park Service. 1988.
- Steps in State and Local Greenway Planning.
 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands

Protection. 1988. (available through hotline).

4) A Local Government Handbook for Wetlands Protection. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands Protection. May 1991.

Q: How can local governments protect wetlands in ways other than through regulation?

A: Local governments can provide protection for wetlands and other important natural resources through assistance programs and incentive programs.

Assistance Programs

Many state-, federal-government, and private-organization technical and financial assistance programs are available for local governments to use to protect wetlands. Local governments can secure technical assistance from these programs to develop wetlands protection plans and strategies; receive funds from public or private organizations to acquire wetlands for public purposes; or receive advice and information on educational and interpretive resources such as workshops, guide books, films, slide shows, and other audio-visual materials.

Incentive Programs

Local officials can also work with private interests in their community to secure tax credits or deductions for donations of land or for the restoration of wetlands through the Wetlands Reserve Program recently enacted in the 1990 Farm Bill. They can also pursue the formal recognition of wetlands with special qualities through public or private designation or registration.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) Cory Giacobbe, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, 202-382-5907.
- 2) Sam Stokes, National Park Service Rivers and Trails Program, (202) 208-6843.(NPS information).
- 3) Soil Conservation Service, (see Appendix D)
- 4) Coastal Zone Management Agency, NOAA, (future appendix?X)

Related Topics:

1) Local wetlands protection, overview and regulations,

p.23

Publications:

- 1) Protecting Nontidal Wetlands. American Planning Association. December, 1988.
- 2) Riverwork Book. National Park Service. 1988.
- 3) Steps in State and Local Greenway Planning. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands

Protection, 1988. (available through hotline).

4) A Local Government Handbook for Wetlands Protection. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands Protection. May 1991.

Q: How can a landowner protect wetlands?

A: Wetlands protection and creation requires the employment of a variety of approaches in a coordinated, thoughtful and effective manner. Over 74 percent of wetlands in the contiguous 48 states are on lands controlled by private landowners, both individual and corporate. In many areas of the country, private landowners are taking voluntary actions to protect, create, and enhance wetlands. A variety of public and private technical and financial assistance is available to help landowners. This assistance allows landowners to protect or create important wetlands while ensuring that traditional land uses are maintained and their rights are protected.

Riparian Lands

A variety of alternatives exist for riparian landowners to protect, create, and enhance wetlands. In many areas of the country there is an obvious history of landowner steward-

ship in wetland areas and many individuals and corporations have strong feelings about private protection efforts. The high quality of many of our existing wetlands is evidence that many riparian landowners are sensitive to the values and functions of these areas.

Local decisions

As our landscape changes over time, wetlands will continue to be considered for a variety of uses. Some of the new uses will be compatible with wetlands protection while others may be inappropriate, and could possibly destroy their character and value. Although state and federal agencies will have a great deal to say about certain new wetlands uses, in many instances development proposals will be decided by local governments and private land-owners.

Notes:			-

Contacts:

- 1) Glenn Eugster, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-5045.
- 2) Trust for Public Land, (415) 495-4014.
- 3) Michael Clarke, Natural Lands Trust, (215) 353-5587.

Related Topics:

- 1) Swampbuster, p.59.
- 2) Private Property Act of 1991, Pending Wetlands

Legislation, p. 119.

Publications:

- 1) Natural Lands Trust, Inc. A Handbook for the Landowner. October 1982.
- 2) Dealing with Change in the Connecticut River Valley: A design Manual for Conservation and Development. Center for Rural Massachusetts, Amherst, MA. 1988.
- 3) Hoose, Phillip M. Building an Ark: Tools for the Preservation of Natural Diversity Through Land

Protection. Covelo, CA. Island Press 19_.

- 4) Landowners Guide to Managing Streams in the Eastern United States. Virginia Tech and Virginia State, Publication No. 420-141. 1985.
- 5) Kusler, Jon. Wetlands Creation and Restoration.
- 6) Small, J. Stephen. *Preserving Family Lands*. Land Trust Alliance. 1988.

Clean Water Act

Overview

In 1972, Congress passed the federal Clean Water Act to prohibit the discharge of pollutants from a point source into waters of the United States—including wetlands—without a permit. Congress created two permit systems, under sections 402 and 404 of the Act, to regulate the point-source discharge of pollutants.

Section 404: 33 U.S.C. 1344

The §404 permit program regulates the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, a term which includes most of the nation's wetlands. This program is jointly implemented by EPA and the Army Corps of Engineers, with advice from the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service. The Corps of Engineers handles the day-to-day administration of the program, including reviewing permit applications and deciding whether to issue or deny the permit. EPA's key responsibilities include development of the program's environmental standards, prohibiting discharges that will have unacceptable adverse effects, enforcement, state program assumption, and determining the scope of jurisdiction (that is, areas subject to §404) and the applicability of permit exemptions under §404(f). EPA also aids in the development of state permitting programs through grants and technical assistance.

Section 402: 33 U.S.C. 1342

Section 402 of the Clean Water Act regulates the point source discharge of pollutants, including chemicals, heavy

metals, and biological wastes into waters of the United States, including surface waters. The §402 program is administered by the EPA or by the state in which the discharge occurs.

Section 401: 33 U.S.C. 1341

Under authority of §401, states may grant or deny "certification" for a federally permitted or licensed activity that may result in a discharge to the waters of the U.S., if it is the state where the discharges will originate. Although this certification is required before the Corps or any federal agency can issue a permit for activities involving a discharge to waters of the United States, a state may waive its water quality certification.

Other Relevant Sections

Section 309 of the Clean Water Act grants EPA a number of important enforcement powers under the Act, including the power to refer civil and criminal violations to the Department of Justice for enforcement action; to issue administrative orders; and to assess administrative penalties up to \$125,000.

Waters of the United States

Waters of the United States protected by the Clean Water Act in general include rivers, streams, estuaries, the territorial seas, and most ponds, lakes, and wetlands. Waters do not have to be navigable to be waters of the United States.

Contacts:

1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see

appendix B)

Related Topics:

- 1)Section 404 and Swampbuster, p.12
- 2) Rivers and Harbors Act, p.45

3) NPDES program overview, p.42

Publications:

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989. (Available through the Hotline)
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.
- 3) William Want. Law of Wetlands Regulation. Clark Boardman Company, Ltd. 1990.
- 4) Environmental Protection Agency. Wetlands and 401 Certification: Opportunities and Guidelines for States and Eligible Indian Tribes. April 1989. (Available through the hotline).
- 5) Clean Water Act. 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.

Overview

Congress enacted the Clean Water Act to "restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Nation's waters." Section 404 regulates the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, and establishes a permit program to ensure that such discharges comply with environmental requirements of the Act. No one may discharge dredged or fill material to the waters of the United States without a permit unless exempt from permit requirements. All permits must comply with the program's environmental standards—the §404(b)(1) Guidelines.

§404 Administration

Section 404 is administered jointly by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Army Corps of Engineers. The Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service have advisory roles in the program. The Corps has primary day-to-day responsibility for the permit program. States can assume a portion of the permitting program if they qualify under §404(g). To date only Michigan has assumed the §404 program.

EPA Role

EPA's role in administering §404 includes development of the environmental standards (§404(b)(1) Guidelines) by which permit applications must be evaluated; review of proposed permits; prohibition of discharges with unacceptable adverse impacts; approval and oversight of state as-

sumption of the program; establishment of the jurisdictional scope of waters of the United States; and interpretation of §404(f) exemptions. EPA shares enforcement authority for the program with the Corps.

Corps Role

The Army Corps of Engineers has responsibility for administering the §404 permit program. Before conducting any activity that involves a discharge of dredged or fill material into U.S. waters, an individual must apply to the Corps Division of Civil Works for a permit. The Corps determines whether the activity requires a permit, and can issue, deny, or condition a permit. Discharges can be authorized by either individual or general permits. All permit applications must comply with the §404(b)(1) Guidelines in order for the permit to be issued. General permits, issued on a state, regional, or nationwide basis, are authorized for specific activities which are similar in natural and will cause minimal adverse environmental effects individually or cumulatively. The Corps has issued a number of general permits affecting certain activities in wetlands for some areas of the country and nationwide.

Waters of the United States

Waters of the United States protected by the Clean Water Act in general include rivers, streams, estuaries, the territorial seas, and most ponds, lakes, and wetlands. They do not have to be navigable to be waters of the U.S.

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional wetlands contact (see Appendix A)
- 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief (see

Appendix B) for questions on permits.

Related Topics:

- 1) Section 404 and Swampbuster, p.12
- 2) Rivers and Harbors Act, p. 45
- 3) Nationwide Permits, p. 39.

- 4) §404 Regulated Activities, p. 28.
- 5) §404 permit application process, p. 29.

Publications:

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October, 1989. (Available through the Hotline)
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of

the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule, 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.

Regulated Activities

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions. Refer caller to the contacts listed below.

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act requires permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material in waters of the United States. Discharges of dredged and fill material are commonly associated with activities such as port development, channel construction and maintenance, fills to create development sites, road building and other transportation projects, and water resource projects such as dams, jetties, and levees. Other kinds of activities, such as land clearing for agriculture, may be regulated under \$404 if they involve discharges of dredged or fill material—for example, soil—into waters of the U.S.

Unregulated activities

Some activities that can adversely affect and even destroy wetlands, such as drainage and groundwater pump-

ing, are not regulated under §404 if they do not involve a discharge of dredged or fill material. However, the Corps should always be contacted before any such activities are begun in case they require a permit under §404 or under §402, which regulates discharge of point-source pollutants. Section 404 also contains exemptions for certain activities that involve discharges of dredged and fill material, including normal farming, silviculture, and ranching practices; maintenance of irrigation ditches, drainage ditches, and farm and stock ponds; and temporary road and sedimentation basin construction associated with construction.

Section 404(r) also provides a limited exemption for projects specifically authorized by Congress, with an environmental impact statement required under the National Environmental Policy Act for some projects.

Notes:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Contacts:

1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B] for questions on permits.

Related Topics:

- 1) Section 404 overview, p.27
- 2) Clean Water Act overview, p.26

- 3) NPDES (§402) overview, p.42
- 4) NEPA overview, p. 47

Publications:

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320

through 330. November, 1986.

Permit Application Process: An Overview

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions.

Refer caller to the contacts listed below.

Discharges can be authorized by either individual or general permits. Once the Corps receives a completed application for a proposed activity involving a discharge of dredged or fill materials in a U.S. waters, it makes a determination whether an individual or a general permit is required. The Corps' evaluation of a \$404 permit application is a two-part analysis which involves determining whether the project complies with the \$404(b)(1) Guidelines and a public interest review. A permit must be denied if the project fails to comply with the Guidelines or is found to be contrary to the public interest.

Individual permits: §404(a)

If an individual permit is required, an application form describing the proposed activity must be sent to the Corps or to a state agency that has assumed the §404 program. (So far only the state of Michigan has assumed the §404 program). Once a completed application is received, the Corps or state issues a public notice containing such information as the project location, scope, and likely impacts and applicable laws and regulations. Notice is sent to all interested people including adjacent landowners, appropriate state, local, and federal agencies, and anyone who requests notice. Any person may request that a public hearing be held to consider the application. Commentors have 30 days, or 60 days with a Corps approved extension, to send in comments on the application. The permit must be denied if the project fails to comply with the \$404(b)(1) Guidelines—the program's environmental standards.

General or Nationwide Permits: §404(e)

Where the Corps determines that an activity will cause minimal adverse environmental effects individually or cumulatively, it can authorize the activity by a general permit under Section 404(e). General permits may be issued on a state, regional, or nationwide basis. There are currently 26 nationwide permits, and numerous state and regional general permits. In most cases, activities authorized by general permits do not require the same public notice or public hearing as would be required for an individual permit. The general permits are designed to speed the permitting process as long as authorized activities do not cause serious environmental harm. However, anyone undertaking work in U.S. waters should always notify the Corps of a proposed project—even if it appears to fit all of the requirements of a nationwide permit—to avoid problems later on. For example, general permits may be modified or revoked if the permitted activities are found to have an adverse environmental impact. On a case-by-case basis, the permitting agency may invoke discretionary authority and require a discharger who would otherwise be covered by a general permit to apply for an individual permit. Of course, there are substantial penalties for failing to obtain a permit when one is required.

It is also important to remember that states may have their own permits that are required for activities in wetlands in addition to a \$404 permit.

Contacts:

1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B]

Related Topics:

- 1)Section 404 overview, p.27
- 2) Clean Water Act overview, p.26

- 3) Nationwide permits, p. 39
- 4) §404(b)(1) Guidelines, p. 31

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.
- 3) William Want. Law of Wetlands Regulation. Clark Boardman Company, Ltd. 1990.
 - 4) Clean Water Act Section 404. 33 U.S.C. 1344.

Clean Water Act: §404(a)

Permit Review Process: Public Interest Review

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions. Refer caller to the contacts listed below.

The Corps' evaluation of a \$404 permit is a two-part test which involves determining whether the project complies with the \$404(b)(1) Guidelines and a public interest review. A permit must be denied if the project fails to comply with the Guidelines or is found to be contrary to the public interest.

Public Interest Review

The Corps' public interest review is a balancing test in which the public and private benefits of a project are weighed against its adverse impacts on the environment. It includes such considerations as navigation, aesthetics, recreation, historical values, economics, water supply, water

quality, energy needs, and flood damage prevention. The Corps also considers all comments received in the permit process, whether in response to a public notice or a public hearing, when making a final permit decision. As part of its evaluation, the Corps may conduct an environmental assessment under the National Environmental Policy Act to determine whether the project has significant environmental impacts. If the project is determined to have significant impacts to the human environment, the Corps can require preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement under NEPA. This additional requirement can extend the review process by a year or more.

Notes:	
Contacts: 1)EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2)Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see	

Related Topics:

appendix B]

- 1)Section 404 overview, p.27
- 2) Clean Water Act overview, p26
- 3) 404(b)(1) Guidelines, p.31

Publications:

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320

through 330. November, 1986.

3) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Program: Applicant Information. EP 1145-2-1, 1985.

Clean Water Act: §404(b)(1)

Permit Review Process: Applying EPA's §404(b)(1) Guidelines

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions... Refer caller to the confacts listed below.

The Army Corps of Engineers' evaluation of a §404 permit is a two-part test which involves determining whether the project complies with the Section 404(b)(1) guidelines and a public interest review.

§404(b)(1) Guidelines: Overview

The §404(b)(1) guidelines (40 CFR Part 230) were developed by EPA in conjunction with the Corps of Engineers. The Guidelines contain the substantive environmental criteria used in evaluating discharges of dredged or fill material. All permit applicants must comply with the Guidelines in order for the permit to be issued. The Guidelines establish that dredged or fill material should not be discharged in to U.S. waters unless it can be demonstrated that the discharge will not have an unacceptable adverse impact, either individually or cumulatively, on the aquatic ecosystem.

Guideline Requirements

The Guidelines require Corps consideration of four key factors:

1) No discharge can be permitted if there is a practicable alternative with less adverse impact on the aquatic environment, unless the alternative poses other significant environmental problems. Where an activity is not water-dependent, the practicable alternatives test is applied more rigorously. The §404(b)(1) Guidelines presume that practicable alternatives for non-water dependent activities that

do not involve discharges in special aquatic sites are available, and that all practicable alternatives for discharges which do not involve discharges in a special aquatic site have less adverse impacts on the aquatic ecosystem, unless clearly demonstrated otherwise.

- 2) No discharge can be permitted if it would violate other applicable laws, such as state water quality standards, toxic effluent standards, or the Endangered Species Act.
- 3) No discharge can be permitted that would cause or contribute to significant degradation of waters of the United States.
- 4) Discharges can be permitted only when all appriopriate and practicable steps are taken to minimize (i.e., mitigate) the adverse impacts, including making compensation for unavoidable impacts.

Additional Requirements

During the Corps' evaluation under the Guidelines and public interest review, two additional requirements must be met before the Corps may issue a §404 permit: states must certify that the activity complies with its state water quality standards (Clean Water Act §401 certification) or else waive their right to certify; and coastal states must concur that the activity is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Plan of the state, or else waive their right to concur. States may also put conditions on their certifications.

Contacts:

1)EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A]
2)Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B]

3) State resource agency (for §401 authority) see Appendix F.

Related Topics:

- 1)Section 404 overview, p.27
- 2) Clean Water Act overview, p.26
- 3) 404 Public Interest Review, p.30

- 4) §401 Review, p. 44
- 5) Coastal Zone Management Act, p.53, 54
- 6) Mitigation, p.32

Publications:

- 1) EPA/Corps Memorandum of Agreement on Mitigation.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320

through 330. November, 1986.

3) EPA Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines. 40 CFR Part 230.

Mitigation

Overview

Mitigation is a term that means an effort to compensate for, or off-set, wetlands loss. The Clean Water Act's Section 404 (b)(1) says that discharge to a wetland can be permitted only when all practical steps are taken to mitigate damage to the wetland. Mitigation includes activities such as repairing the affected wetlands, or compensating for the impact by restoration or creation of an artificial wetland area. Exactly what types of activities constitute mitigation is determined by the regulatory agency involved. It is important to note that generally all mitigation must be carried out before the permitted activity begins. EPA has issued guidance on mitigation known as the 404(b)(1) Guidelines, and also signed a Memorandum of Agreement with the Army on mitigation sequencing. The Fish and Wildlife Service has also developed formal guidelines for mitigation of wetlands.

Mitigation Banking

One way to add more flexibility to the mitigation require-

ment is through mitigation banking. Under a banking system, a regulatory agency sets up a tally sheet (called a bank) of wetlands gains and losses. Through the bank, people involved in developing wetlands have the opportunity to buy and trade credits and debits. For example, a developer can offset damage to a wetland by paying a farmer to create a new artificial wetland. Also, the developer can overcompensate by restoring or creating substantial wetlands acreage in order to have credit against wetland losses in the future. Another possibility is that a developer could incur a debit in the bank by promising to restore a wetland in the future while building on a natural wetland now. The difficulty with a mitigation banking scheme lies in determining the relative values of wetlands gained and lost. Several states have mitigation banking systems and each is substantially different. EPA and the Army Corps of Engineers are currently working to develop national guidance on mitigation banking.

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Wetlands Contact, see Appendix A.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers District Office, see Appendix B.
- 3) For information on states with wetlands mitigation banks, call Jon Kusler, Association of State Wetlands Managers, (518) 872-1804.

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1), p. 31
- 2) Valuation and Assessment of Wetlands, see p. 113.
- 3) Memoranda of Agreement, see pp. 62, 63.

- 1) US Fish and Wildlife Service Mitigation Policy; Notice of Final Policy. <u>Federal Register</u> Vol. 46, No. 15 (Friday, January 23, 1981): 7644-7663.
- 2) US Army Corps of Engineers. Implementation of Fish and Wildlife Mitigation in the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program. Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 85-8 (November 8, 1985).
- 3) Herson, Albert I. Project Mitigation Revisited: Most Courts Approve Findings of No Significant Impact
- Justified by Mitigation in Ecology Law Quarterly Vol. 13, No. 51 (1986): 51-72.
- 4) Frank, Kathy. Can Mitigation Help Protect Wetlands? in Wetlands Watch (September/October, 1989): 3.
- 5) National Wetlands Newsletter special issue on mitigation, Vol. 8, No. 5 (1986).
- 6) EPA/ Army Corps of Engineers Memorandum of Agreement on Mitigation Sequencing (1989).

Advance Identification

Section 230.80 of the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines provides for a planning process to identify areas generally suitable or unsuitable for discharges into waters of the United States in advance of permit applications. The advance identification process is carried out jointly by EPA and the Army Corps of Engineers and includes consultation with the state in which the identification is being done. Often advance identification studies are conducted in areas that have important wetlands resources.

ADID Process

The advance identification process usually involves an assessment of wetlands functions and values associated with the ADID area using a variety of informational resources. This information is evaluated by the agencies to determine which wetlands in the study area are of ecologi-

cally high value and should be protected from future fill activities, and in some cases, which wetlands are of low value and could serve as potential future disposal sites. Areas can be designated as generally suitable or unsuitable for use as a discharge site. Often advance identification studies aid local and statewide zoning and planning efforts and preservation of wetland resources. Immediate results of the ADID study are informational and advisory, not regulatory. The identification of an area as a possible future disposal site does not constitute a permit. However, the results of an ADID study may be used to support a range of regulatory actions. For example, the Corps may issue general permits for certain activities in areas designated as suitable for disposal.

lotes:	
ontacts: 1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see	
appendix B) elated Topics:	

Publications:

1) Section 404 overview, p27

3) 404(b)(1) Guidelines, p31

1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989. (Available through the Hotline).

2) Section 404 Permit Process overview, p29

2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.

3) Environmental Protection Agency. 404(b)(1) Guidelines. 40 CFR Part 230.

Section 404(c): EPA Veto

Under Section 404(c), EPA may prohibit, withdraw, or restrict the use of a discharge site when the discharge would have unacceptable adverse impacts on municipal water supplies, shellfish beds and fishing areas (including spawning and breeding areas), wildlife, or recreational areas. EPA can excercise its authority under \$404(c) before, during, or after Corps action on a permit application, or in the absence of a specified permit application or Corps regulatory action. For example, EPA may conduct a \$404(c) action in conjunction with an Advance Identification action or a

Special Area Management Plan.

Veto Used Sparingly

In general, \$404(c) actions are taken by EPA only when it is clear that the project will have unacceptable adverse impacts on valuable environmental resources. As of January 1991, EPA has completed only 11 \$404(c) actions. This represents an extremely small percentage of the permits issued since \$404 was enacted in 1972.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] for site-specific questions.
- 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see

appendix B] for site-specific questions.

3) Will Garvey, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection (202) 245-3900, for policy questions.

Related Topics:

- 1) Section 404 overview, p. 27
- 2) Section 404 public interest review, p. 30
- 3) 404 Permit Application Process, p.29

Publications:

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320

through 330. November, 1986.

3) EPA. Clean Water Act §404(c) 33 U.S.C. 1344.

Clean Water Act §404(f)

Overview: General Exemptions

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions. Refer caller to the contacts listed below.

The Clean Water Act, under section 404(f), generally exempts discharges of dredged or fill material associated with normal farming, ranching, and forestry activities such as plowing, cultivating, minor drainage, and harvesting for the production of food, fiber and forest products or upland soil and water conservation practices. This exemption pertains to normal farming and harvesting activities that are part of an established, ongoing farming or forestry operation. Activities which convert a wetland that has not been used for farming or forestry into such uses are not considered part of an established operation, and are not exempt. In addition, any discharge associated with an activity that converts a wetland to upland is not exempt and requires a §404 permit.

Some Examples

For example, introduction of a new cultivation technique such as discing between crop rows for weed control may be a new farming activity, but because the farm operation is ongoing, the activity is exempt from permit requirements under §404. Planting different crops as part of an established rotation, such as soybeans to rice, is exempt. Rotating rice and crawfish production is exempt, but construction of fish ponds for crawfish production is not exempt, since it introduces a new type of farming operation and involves a discharge of dredged and fill materials. The Army and EPA signed a Memorandum for the Field in May 1990 that provides an explanation of new and ongoing farming activities for the purposes of implementing Section 404(f).

Contacts:

1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A]
2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B] for site-specific questions.

3) Mike Fritz, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection (202) 245-3913, for policy questions.

Related Topics:

- 1) Section 404(f)(2) Recapture Provisions, p.36
- 2) Section 404(f) Major versus minor drainage, p.37
- 3) 404 Permit Application Process, p. 29

4) Memorandum of Agreement, pp. 62, 63

- 1) EPA. Clean Water Act §404(f) Final rule. 40 CFR Parts 232 and 233. Clean Water Act Section 404 Program Definitions and Permit Exemptions; Section 404 State Program Regulations. F.R.N. Vol. 53, No. 108, pp. 20764-20787.
- 2) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers Memorandum For the Field (5/1990); Clean Water Act Section 404 Regulatory Program and Agricultural Activities.
- 3) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers. (1/1989) Memorandum of Agreement Between the Department
- of the Army and the Environmental Protection Agency Concerning the Determination of the Geographic Jurisdiction of the Section 404 Program and the Application of the Exemptions Under Section 404(f) of the Clean Water Act.
- 4) Army Corps. Regulatory Guidance Letter. Prior Converted Croplands. 90-09.
- 5) William Want. "Courts Ruling Limits §404 Silviculture Exemptions." *National Wetlands Newsletter*, Vol. 12, No. 3.

Clean Water Act §404(f)

CWA §404(f)(2): "Recapture" Provisions

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any slie-specific questions: Refercaller to the contacts listed below:

The Clean Water Act, under section 404(f)(2), includes a "recapture" provision that establishes a two-part test to determine whether an activity described in §404(f) might not be exempt from permitting requirements under the Clean Water Act. If an activity involving a discharge of dredged or fill material represents a new use of the wetland, and the activity would result in a reduction in reach or

impairment of flow or circulation of regulated U.S. waters, the activity is not exempted. Both conditions must be met in order for the activity to be considered nonexempt. However, discharges that are not exempt are not necessarily prohibited. Non-exempted discharges may be authorized either through a general or individual §404 permit.

Notes:	

Contacts:

1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B] for site-specific questions.

3) Mike Fritz, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection; (202) 245-3913, for policy questions.

Related Topics:

- 1)Section 404(f) Overview, p.35
- 2) Section 404(f) Major versus minor drainage,p.37

Publications:

- 1) EPA. Clean Water Act §404(f) Final rule. 40 CFR Parts 232 and 233. Clean Water Act Section 404 Program Definitions and Permit Exemptions; Section 404 State Program Regulations. F.R.N. Vol. 53, No. 108, pp. 20764-20787.
- 2) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers Memorandum For the Field (5/1990); Clean Water Act Section 404 Regulatory Program and Agricultural Activities.

3) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers. (1/1989) Memorandum of Agreement Between the Department of the Army and the Environmental Protection Agency Concerning the Determination of the Geographic Jurisdiction of the Section 404 Program and the Application of the Exemptions Under Section 404(f) of the Clean Water Act.

Clean Water Act: Section 404(f)

Minor versus Major Drainage

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions. Refer caller to the contacts listed below.

The Clean Water Act, under section 404(1), exempts minor drainage activities, such as building rice levees, to continue an established, ongoing wetland crop-production effort, or emergency minor drainage, such as removing blocks from an existing drainageway used as part of an

established crop production. Construction of new drainage ditches is not exempt if such construction drains or significantly modifies any wetlands or aquatic areas considered as waters of the U.S.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A]
- 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B] for site-specific questions.
- 3) Mike Fritz, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 245-3913, for policy questions.

Related Topics:

- 1) Section 404(f) Overview, p.35
- 2) Section 404(f)(2) Recapture provisions, p.36

Publications:

- 1) EPA. Clean Water Act §404(f) Final rule. 40 CFR Parts 232 and 233. Clean Water Act Section 404 Program Definitions and Permit Exemptions; Section 404 State Program Regulations. F.R.N. Vol. 53, No. 108, pp. 20764-20787.
- 2) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers Memorandum For the Field (5/1990); Clean Water Act Section 404 Regulatory Program and Agricultural Activities.

3) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers. (1/1989) Memorandum of Agreement Between the Department of the Army and the Environmental Protection Agency Concerning the Determination of the Geographic Jurisdiction of the Section 404 Program and the Application of the Exemptions Under Section 404(f) of the Clean Water Act.

Citizen's Role in §404

Individuals can participate in the §404 program in a variety of ways. The public notice and public hearing opportunity provided during Corps review of individual permit applications is an important avenue for public input into the decision or whether such a permit should be issued or denied. Individuals can be placed on a Corps District mailing list to receive public notices of permit applications for certain waters. Interested individuals should contact their Corps District Office. In addition, any member of the public can comment on any proposed agency rulemaking. The Federal Register contains all notices and proposed rulemakings.

Monitoring and Reporting

Citizens are also encouraged to report suspected violations of the Clean Water Act to their local Corps district office or the appropriate EPA regional office. In addition, §505 of the Act authorizes citizens to bring enforcement actions against any person who discharges dredged or fill material into a wetland or other water of the U.S. without a permit or in violation of the terms of a permit. A number of private environmental organizations monitor wetland protection programs at national, state, and local levels. Others provide general education programs and materials.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
DEPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2)Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B] for information on public notice and	hearings.
Related Topics:	
1) Section 404 overview, p.27	

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. *Highlights of Section 404*. October 1989. (Available through the Hotline)
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.
- 3) Lake Michigan Federation. Wetlands and Water Quality: A Citizen's Handbook for Protecting Wetlands. 1991.
- 4) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to Protecting Wetlands.

Nationwide Permits

Overview

Under §404(e) of the Clean Water Act, the Army Corps of Engineers may issue general permits authorizing specific activities which are similar in nature and will cause minimal adverse environmental effects individually or cumulatively. A general permit can be issued for a state, region, or for the entire country. Currently there are 26 nationwide permits, and many state and regional general permits. In most cases, activities authorized by nationwide permits do not require the same public notice or public hearing as would be required for an individual permit. The nationwide permits are designed to speed the permitting process, as long as the authorized activities do not cause serious environmental harm. Examples of some of the activities authorized under certain conditions through nationwide permits include installing navigation aids and markers; water quality testing equipment; structures for oil and gas exploration on the outer continental shelf and for surface coal mining; placement of crab traps and lobster

pots, and discharges of dredged or fill materials associated with bridge building, repairs of existing structures, and other projects. Nationwide permits are reissued every five years following notice in the *Federal Register* and a public comment period. In addition, states can deny water quality certification for projects that require a general permit.

Nationwide Permit 26

Nationwide permit 26 authorizes activities involving discharges of dredged or fill material into 10 acres or less of isolated waters or headwaters streams (nontidal streams where the average annual flow is 5 cubic feet per second or less). This nationwide permit is applicable only when such discharges will result in minimal adverse effects to the aquatic environment. For activities which affect between 1 and 10 acres of such waters, the applicant is required to notify the Corps of Engineers prior to proceeding with any discharge.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) Army Corps of Engineers District Contact, see Appendix B.
- 2) EPA Regional wetlands contact, see Appendix A.

Related Topics:

- 1) Section 404 overview, p. 27
- 2) Clean Water Act overview, 26,
- 3) Clean Water Act Section 404: Permit Application

Process, p. 29

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989.(Available through the hotline)
- 2) 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. Department of Defense. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. Nov. 13, 1986.
- 3) For the text of the 26 nationwide permits, see Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers: Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320-330. November, 1986.
- Jan Goldman-Carter, Nationwide Permit 26: The Wetlands Giveaway, National Wetlands Newsletter,

Section 404(g)

EPA works with the Corps of Engineers during the permit process whenever possible to ensure unacceptable adverse impacts are avoided. EPA reviews the public notice for individual permit applications and provides comments to the Corps regarding the environmental impacts of the proposed activities. Most concerns are resolved through this interagency consultation.

EPA/Army Memorandum of Agreement

In addition, pursuant to §404(q), the Corps and EPA have developed a process through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) to resolve any differences over permit decisions within a clear timeframe to minimize delays in the permit process. Under the §404(q) MOA, EPA may formally elevate interagency disputes for higher review. Disputes not resolved in the field may ultimately be elevated to Headquarters.

Notes:		
Contacte		

ontacts:

1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2)Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B)

3) Will Garvey, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection. (202) 245-3900.

Related Topics:

1)\$404(q) Memorandum of Agreement, p. 62.

- 2) 404(b)(1) guidelines, p.31
- 4) 404 program overview, p. 27.

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.
- 3) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers. Wetlands Enforcement Initiative. RGL 90-09. December 17, 1990.
- 4) Section 404(q) Memorandum of Agreement. (11/12/1985) Environmental Protection Agency.

Section 404(r)

Section 404(r) of the Clean Water Act provides that the discharge of fill material as part of a federal project specifically authorized by Congress is exempt from the permitting requirements of Section 404. For these projects, information on the effects of the discharge, including consideration of the Section 404(b)(1) guidelines, must be

included in an environmental impact statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. This environmental impact statement must be submitted to Congress before proceeding with any discharge associated with project construction, and before authorization of a project or appropriation of funds for the project.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
1)EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2)Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see	3) Will Garvey, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection,

Related Topics:

appendix B)

1)§404(q) Memorandum of Agreement, p. 62.

- 2) 404(b)(1) guidelines, p.31
- 4) 404 program overview, p. 27.

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. *Highlights of Section 404*. October 1989.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.
- 3) EPA/Army Corps of Engineers. Wetlands Enforcement Initiative. RGL 90-09. December 17, 1990.
- 4) Section 404(q) Memorandum of Agreement (11/12/1985) Environmental Protection Agency.

CWA §402: The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)

Overview

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions. Refer caller to the contacts listed below

Section 301 of the federal Clean Water Act prohibits the discharge of "pollutants" from a "point source" into the waters of the United States, including wetlands, without a permit. The Congress created two permit systems, under sections 402 and 404 of the Act, to regulate the point source discharge of pollutants. Typically, any discharge of a pollutant to any U.S. waters requires a permit.

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) was established by Congress pursuant to §402 of the Act to regulate point source discharges of chemicals, heavy metals, and biological wastes — primarily in wastewater from industrial processes and publicly owned sewage treatment works — to the waters of the United States. The NPDES permit program is administered by EPA or by the state in which the discharge occurs, if the state has a federally approved program. As of 1990, 37 states operate

federally approved programs. EPA retains significant oversight over state \$402 programs and often reviews state-issued NPDES permits.

NPDES Permit Requirements

40 C.F.R. Part 122 sets out the terms and conditions that must be contained in all NPDES permits, whether issued by EPA or the state. The central permit requirements are the "effluent limitations"—the amount and concentrations of pollutants allowed to be discharged from each point source. NPDES Permits also contain requirements for self-monitoring, reporting, record-keeping, and commonly include compliance schedules and other terms relating to enforcement.

NPDES Permit Application Process

40 CF.R. §122.21 sets out the NPDES permit application requirements. For more information, contact your regional EPA office or your state water office.

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Water Office (see Appendix A)
- 2) State Water Office, if NPDES program is

administered by the state (see Appendix F)

Related Topics:

- 1) What Federal Regulatory Programs Protect Wetlands?, p.9
- 2) Clean Water Act Overview, p.26
- 3) What Types of Discharges Require CWA §402

(NPDES) Permits?, p.13

4) Regulatory Discharge of Solid Waste: §404 or §402? p. 43

References/Publications:

- 1) Clean Water Act Sections 301 and 402, 33 U.S.C. 1311 and 1342
- 2) EPA Administered Permit Programs The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, 40

C.F.R. Part 122.

3) Environmental Law Institute, Clean Water Deskbook, 1988.

Regulating the Discharge of Solid Waste: §404 or §402?

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions:

Memorandum of Agreement on Solid Waste (signed 4/23/86)

The Memorandum of Agreement on Solid Waste between EPA and the Corps establishes criteria for determining whether section 402 or 404 of the Act applies to an ongoing or proposed discharge of solid waste.

Solid Waste MOA Provisions

A discharge will more than likely be considered as fill material regulated under §404 when the material is a "mixed bag" of wastes, and the prinicipal effects are physical in nature. More specifically, the MOA provides that the discharge will normally meet the definition of fill material based on the following factors:

- its primary purpose is to replace an aquatic area with dry land or to change the bottom elevation of the water body;
 - · it results from activities such as road construction or

other construction type-activities;

- a principal effect of the discharge is physical loss or modification of waters of the U.S., including smothering of aquatic life or habitat;
- the discharge is heterogenous in nature and of the type associated with sanitary landfill discharges.

Section 402 is likely to be applicable when the material to be discharged:

- is in liquid, semi-liquid, or suspended form; or
- is homogenous in consistency (that is, not a "mixed bag" waste) and normally associated with single industry wastes and discharged from a fixed conveyance (e.g. "end of the pipe" discharges) or from a single site and set of known processes.

Materials which are considered subject to §402 include placer mining wastes, phosphate mining wastes, titanium mining wastes, fly ash, and drilling muds.

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Headquarters, Office of Wetlands, Greg Peck (202) 475-8794 (for policy questions)
- 2) EPA Regional Water Office (see Appendix A) (for site-specific questions)
- 3) State Water Office, if program is administered by

the state (see Appendix F) (for site-specific questions)
4) Army Corps of Engineers District Office (see Appendix B) (for site-specific questions)

Related Topics:

- 1) NPDES Overview, p.42
- 2) What Types of Discharges Require CWA §402 (NPDES) Permits?, p.13
- 3) What Federal Regulatory Programs Protect Wetlands?, p.9
- 4) Clean Water Act Overview, p.26

- 1) Memorandum of Agreement on Solid Waste, Department of Defense and Environmental Protection Agency, 51 Fed. Reg. 8871 (March 14, 1986).
- 2) Environmental Law Institute, Clean Water Deskbook, 1988

State Water Quality Certification

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions: Refer caller to the contacts listed below

States have authority under §401 to grant, condition, or deny certification for any federally permitted or licensed activity that may result in a discharge to the waters of the United States. The state which has certifying authority is the state where the discharge originates. States base their decisions to grant or deny certification—using data submitted by an applicant and any other available information—on whether the proposed activity will comply with state- and EPA-enacted Clean Water Act \$401(a)(1) requirements, including: effluent limitations for conventional and nonconventional pollutants, water quality standards, new source performance standards, and toxic pollutants (sections 301, 302, 303, 306, and 308). Requirements also include any state laws or regulations more stringent than sections 301, 302, 303, 306, and 308, or their federal implementing regulations.

States adopt water quality standards pursuant to Section 303 of the Clean Water Act and have broad authority to

develop standards to protect waters' use and value for public water supplies, fish and wildlife habitat, recreational purposes, and other uses. Federal agencies are required to incorporate §401 conditions into a federal permit or license [§401(d)]. If states deny certification under §401, federal permitting agencies are prohibited from issuing a permit for the activity. If states fail to act on certification within a "reasonable time frame," interpreted by the Corps as 60 days and by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as one year, they waive their right to certification. State water quality certification approval or denial is generally included in a state's comments to the Corps during the permit review process under §404(a).

Other federal regulatory permit and license programs that may involve a discharge into waters of the U.S. and thus require §401 certification include Federal Energy Regulatory Commission hydropower licenses, Nuclear Regulatory Commission licenses, and others.

Notes:		

Contacts:

1) EPA Regional wetlands contact [see appendix A] 2) Army Corps District Regulatory Branch Chief [see appendix B] 3) State Resource agency [see appendix F]

Related Topics:

- 1) 404(b)(1) guidelines, p.31
- 2) 404 program overview, p.27.

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency. Highlights of Section 404. October 1989. (Available through the Hotline)
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330. November, 1986.
- 3) Environmental Protection Agency. Wetlands and Section 401 Certification. 1989. (Available through the Hotline)
- 4) EPA. Water Quality Guidelines for States to Protect Wetlands.

Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any site-specific questions, Refer caller to the contacts listed below.

History

The Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 was the first broadbased federal program regulating the nation's waterways. Initially it served a straightforward purpose: to protect and maintain the navigable capacity of the nation's waters. Today, the Rivers and Harbors Act, administered by the Army Corps of Engineers, and is one of the major wetlands protection laws.

Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act

The most frequently used section of the Act is Section 10 (33 U.S.C. 403) which covers construction, excavation, or deposition of materials in navigable waters, or any work which would affect the course, location, condition, or capacity of those waters. Regulations (33 CFR Part 329) have defined navigable waters generally as waters that are tidal and/or are used for interstate commerce.

Regulated Activities

All structures or activities in navigable waters require a Section 10 permit from the Corps. Activities requiring a Section 10 permit include building structures (such as piers, bulkheads, and transmission lines), and dredging or disposal of dredged material, or other modifications to the navigable waters of the United States. Under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Corps is required to seek advice from federal resource agencies, including the Fish and Wildlife Service, in the process of considering a permit application.

Overlap between Section 10 and the Clean Water Act's Section 404

Section 10 and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act do overlap in some activities involving wetlands. Permits for activities regulated under both Section 404 and Section 10 are processed simultaneously by the Corps.

Notes:	
Contacts: 1) Corps of Engineers District Office, see Appendix B.	
Related Topics: 1) Army Corps of Engineers Overview, p. 68 2) Clean Water Act Section 404 Overview, p. 27.	

- 1) US Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program. Document No. EP 1145-2-1, May 1985. (Available from the Hotline)
- 2) Want, William. Law of Wetlands Protection. New York: Clark Boardman, 1990.
- 3) Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, 33 USC 401 et seq. 4) Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program Final Rule, 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330.

Endangered Species Act

Overview

The Endangered Species Act requires federal agencies to conserve endangered and threatened species, and strictly prohibits any person from harassing or harming any federally-listed rare species. The Fish and Wildlife Service administers the program in cooperation with other federal agencies. Currently there are almost 600 species listed by the Service as endangered or threatened, and about 40 new species are added to the list each year. In placing a species on the list, the Service must carry out a detailed biological study and develop a plan for recovery of the species.

Endangered Species in Wetland Areas

Although wetlands cover less than 5 percent of the country's lands, they provide habitat for about 45 percent of the nation's federally-listed endangered animal and plant species. A few examples of wetland dependent endangered species are the American crocodile, the Everglade kite, the American wood stork, and the whooping crane. The tremendous natural diversity in wetland areas

provide unique habitats for many of the country's rarest species of plants and animals.

Wetlands Protection and the Endangered Species Act

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act requires all federal agencies to insure that their actions are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species, or cause harm to their habitat. Thus, any proposed activities involving wetlands that are carried out, funded, or regulated by a federal agency are subject to the provisions of the Endangered Species Act. The Army Corps of Engineers has a Regulatory Guidance Letter instructing Corps districts to stop processing a permit application if the proposed activity violates the Endangered Species Act. (RGL 88-12, "Regulatory Thresholds," September 9, 1988)

Contacts:

- 1) Tom Muir, Fish and Wildlife Service, (202) 208-5543.
- 2) Rob Fischman, Environmental Law Institute, (202) 939-3811.
- Michael Bean, Environmental Defense Fund, (202) 387-3500.
- 4) Army Corps of Engineers District, see Appendix B. 6) Bill Niering, Connecticut College, ((203) 447-1911.
- 7) Whooping Crane Conservation Association, see Appendix H.

Related Topics:

Fish and Wildlife Habitat, p.103 Fish and Wildlife Service, overview, p. 72 Values and Functions of Wetlands, overview, p. 101.

Publications:

- 1) Endangered Species Act, 16 USC Sections 1531-1544.
- 2) Endangered Species Act Regulations, 50 CFR Part 402.
- 3) Army Corps of Engineers. Regulatory Thresholds, a Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 88-12 (September 9, 1988).
- 4) Sidle, John G., and David B. Bowman. Habitat

Protection Under the Endangered Species Act, in Conservation Biology Vol. 2, No. 1 (March 1988): 116-118.

5) Rohlf, D. The Endangered Species Act: A Guide to Its Protections and Implementation. Stanford Environmental Law Society, 1989.

46 DRAFT REPORT National Wetlands Hotline

NEPA Overview

Background of the Act

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) was signed into law on January 1, 1970. The Act establishes national environmental policy and goals for the protection, maintenance, and enhancement of the environment and provides a process for implementing these goals within the federal agencies. The Act also established the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), which oversees NEPA.

NEPA Requirements

The declaration of environmental policy, in Title I of NEPA, requires the federal government to use all practicable means to create and maintain conditions under which people and nature can exist in productive harmony. Section 102 of the Act requires federal agencies to incorporate into agency decision-making appropriate and careful consideration of the environmental effects of proposed actions

and analyses of the potential environmental effects and alternatives of the proposed actions.

Specifically, all federal agencies are to prepare detailed statements assessing the environmental impact of and alternatives to "major federal actions significantly affecting the environment." These statements are referred to as environmental impact statements (EISs). Major federal actions include a direct federal action, a federal grant, and at times, the issuance of a federal permit.

Implementation

CEQ has promulgated regulations [40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508] implementing NEPA which are binding on all federal agencies. Most federal agencies, including EPA and the Army Corps of Engineers, have promulgated their own NEPA regulations which are tailored for the specific mission and activities of the agency.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
EPA Office of Federal Activities, Shannon Cunniff (202) 382-7072	272-1780
2) Army Corps Headquarters, Zell Steever, (202)	
Related Topics:	
NEPA Process, p.48 NEPA: Applicability to Wetlands, p.49	3) EPA's "309" Review Process, p.50

- 1) U.S. EPA, Facts About The National Environmental Policy Act, September, 1989. (Available from the EPA Office of Federal Activities).
- 2) CEQ, Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act, 46 FR 18026 (1981).
- 3) CEQ, Regulations For Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, Reprint of 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508.
- 4) Environmental Law Institute, NEPA Deskbook, 1987.

The NEPA Process

NEPA requires federal agencies to evaluate the environmental effects of agency actions, including alternatives to those actions. NEPA requirements apply to most federal regulatory activities, including permitting under section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Except for projects that are categorically excluded, federal agencies must prepare an environmental assessment (EA) and/or an environmental impact statement (EIS). Federal agencies are required to prepare environmental impact statements on major federal activities that may significantly affect the environment. Federal agencies prepare environmental assessments to determine if a full EIS is necessary, and are generally prepared for projects with minimal impacts. In both the EA and the EIS reviews, the agency must consider both the direct and the indirect environmental effects of the agency action. Some federal activities, however, may fall under a categorical exclusion from NEPA requirements.

Categorical Exclusions

A federal agency activity may be categorically excluded from a detailed environmental analysis if it meets certain criteria for having no "significant" environmental impact. A number of agencies have developed lists of actions which are normally excluded from environmental analysis under NEPA.

Environmental Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact

Federal agencies prepare environmental assessments to determine whether or not a federal activity would significantly affect the environment. The EA process is describe in section 1508.9 of CEQ's NEPA regulations.

Generally, an environmental assessment includes brief discussions of the following:

• The need for the proposed activity;

- Alternatives to the proposed activity (when there is an unresolved conflict concerning alternative uses of available resources);
- The environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives; and
 - A listing of agencies and persons consulted.

Once the EA is complete, the agency may issue a finding of no significant impact (FONSI). The FONSI may address measures which an agency will take to reduce potentially significant impacts.

If the EA determines that the environmental consequences of the proposed activity may be significant, the agency will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

An EIS is a much more detailed evaluation of the proposed activity and its alternatives. The public, other federal agencies, and outside parties may provide input into the preparation of an EIS and then comment on the draft.

An EIS, which is described in section 1502 of CEQ's NEPA regulations, should include discussions of:

- The purpose and need for the action;
- Alternatives:
- The affected environment:
- The environmental consequences of the proposed action; and
- Lists of preparers, agencies, and organizations and persons to whom the statement is sent.

After a final EIS is prepared, the federal agency must prepare a public record of its decision addressing how the EIS, including consideration of the alternatives, were incorporated into the decision-making process.

Contacts:

1) EPA Office of Federal Activities, Shannon Cunniff (202) 382-7072

2) Army Corps Headquarters, Zeil Steever (202)

272-1780

Related Topics:

1) NEPA Overview, p.47

2) NEPA: Applicability to Wetlands, p.49

3) EPA's 309 Review Process, p.50

Publications

1) U.S. EPA, Facts About The National Environmental Policy Act, September, 1989. (Available from the EPA Office of Federal Activities.)

2) CEQ, Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act, 46 FR

18026 (1981).

3) CEQ, Regulations For Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, Repnnt of 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508.

NEPA: Applicability to Wetlands

Warning: Do not attempt to answer any ejte-specific questions. Refer caller to the confacts listed below.

When issuing permits under \$404 of the Clean Water Act, the Army Corps of Engineers must incorporate NEPA requirements in the permitting procedure. The Corps has its own set of regulations that incorporate NEPA procedures for Corps programs.

When Does a §404 Permit Require an environmental assessment?

An environmental assessment is prepared by the Corps for most §404 permit applications. The Corps District Commander is then responsible for deciding whether an environmental impact statement (EIS) is necessary. If an EIS is not necessary, the Corps will prepare a finding of no significant impact (FONSI).

When Does a §404 Permit Require an environmental impact statement?

A §404 permit application will require an environmental

impact statement (EIS) if the Corps determines that the proposed activity is likely to have a significant environmental impact, as defined by NEPA. In practice, the Corps requires an EIS for a small percentage of §404 permit applications. If an EIS is required, the Corps may have the applicant pay for the EIS studies. A notice of intent to prepare an EIS is always published in the Federal Register.

Applicability to Nationwide Permits

The Army Corps of Engineers prepares environmental assessments for most permit applications under §404 of the Clean Water Act. However, individual environmental assessments are not prepared on a site-specific basis for activities in wetlands that are authorized by a nationwide general permit. Instead, the Corps prepares one, overall environmental assessment at the time the nationwide permits are issued in the Federal Register.

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Office of Federal Activities, Shannon Cunniff, (202) 382-7072
- 2) Army Corps District Office (see appendix B)

3) EPA Regional Wetlands Contact (see appendix A)

Related Topics:

- 1) NEPA Overview, p.47
- 2) The NEPA Process, p.48

- 3) EPA's 309 Review Process, p.50
- 4) CWA §404: Nationwide Permits, p.39

- 1) U.S. EPA, Facts About The National Environmental Policy Act, September, 1989. (Available from the EPA Office of Federal Activities.)
- 2) CEQ, Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act, 46 FR 18026 (1981).
- 3) CEQ, Regulations For Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, Reprint of 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508.
- 4) Army Corps of Engineers NEPA Regulations, 33 C.F.R. Part 230, 53 Fed. Reg. 3127 (Feb. 3, 1988).
- 5) Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Guidance Letter, No. 87-5, "Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Costs that Can Be Paid by the Applicant" (May 28, 1987).
- 6) William L. Want, *Law of Wetlands Regulation*, Clark Boardman Company, 1990, §5.03[5].

EPA's Role: "309" Review

Section 309 of the Clean Air Act requires EPA to review, comment on, and make those comments available to the public on all federal draft and final environmental regulations and other proposed major actions EPA considers to have significant environmental effects. EPA's "309" re-

view procedures are contained in the manual, Policies and Procedures for the Review of Federal Activities Impacting the Environment (1984). The EPA Office of Federal Activities has been designated the official recipient of all EISs prepared by federal agencies.

Notes:	
Ĺ	
Contacts:	
1) EPA Office of Federal Activities, Shannon Cunniff (202) 382-7072	
(LOL) 00L-1012	
	
Related Topics:	
	2) NEDA: Applicability to Methods a 40
1) NEPA Overview, p.47 2) The NEPA Process, p.48	3) NEPA: Applicability to Wetlands, p.49
e, the test of today, p. to	

References/Publications

1) U.S. EPA, Facts About The National Environmental Policy Act, September, 1989. (Available from the EPA Office of Federal Activities.)

2) CEQ, Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act, 46 FR

18026 (1981).

3) EPA, Policies and Procedures for the Review of Federal Activities Impacting the Environment, 1984.

The Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

The Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. §662] requires the Army Corps of Engineers to consult with and give strong consideration to the views of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and state wildlife agencies regarding the fish and wildlife impacts of projects in aquatic areas.

Pursuant to the Act, the Corps have entered into a

memorandum of understanding with the FWS and the NMFS that enables the agencies to collaborate during the §404 permit review process. During the Corps' CWA §404 permit review process, the FWS or NMFS may recommend denial of an application, the incorporation of additional permit conditions to minimize adverse effects, or mitigation actions.

Notes:	

Contacts:

- 1) Army Corps Headquarters, Zell Stever, (202) 272-1780
- 2) National Marine Fisheries Service, Jon Hall (301) 427-2325 or Jim Chambers (301) 427-2319
- 3) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Tom Muir, (703) 358-2161

Related Topics:

- 1) CWA §404 Permit Application Process, p. 29.
- 2) National Marine Fisheries Service, p.70
- 3) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, p. 72

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency, Highlights of Section 404. October 1989.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule. 33 CFR Parts
- 320-330. November 1986.
- 3) William Want, Law of Wetlands Regulation, Clark Boardman Company, Ltd., 1990.

Executive Order 11990: Protection of Wetlands

Purpose

Signed on May 24, 1977, by President Jimmy Carter, Executive Order 11990 establishes wetlands protection as the official policy of all federal agencies. The purpose of the Order is "...to avoid to the extent possible the long and short term adverse impacts associated with the destruction or modification of wetlands and to avoid direct or indirect support of new construction in wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative...."

Applicability to Federal Agencies

Each federal agency is ordered to provide leadership and take action to protect wetlands in carrying out the agency's reponsibilities for 1) acquiring, managing and disposing of federal lands and facilities; 2) providing federally supported construction and improvements; and 3) conducting land and water resources planning, regulating, and licensing activities.

The Order does *not* apply to federal agency-issued permits or licenses for activities involving wetlands on *non-federal* property.

Requirements

Federal agencies must avoid undertaking or supporting new construction located in wetlands unless the head of the agency finds:

- 1) that there is no practicable alternative, and
- 2) that the proposed action includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to wetlands.

In addition, federal agencies must provide opportunities for early public review of any plans or proposals for new construction in wetlands, including those projects not significant enough to require an environmental impact statement under Section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
EPA Headquarters, Office of Wetlands Protection, Dianne Fish (202) 382-7071	
Related Topics:	

Publications

p.53.

1) Executive Order 11990, *Protection of Wetlands*, 3 C.F.R. 121 (1978); as amended by Exec. Order 12608, 52 Fed. Reg. 34617 (Sept. 14, 1987)

1) Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management,

2) Jon A. Kusler, Our National Wetland Heritage: A Protection Guidebook, 1983, p.55

2) CWA §404(b)(1) On Practicable Alternatives, p.31.

Executive Order 11988: Floodplain Management

Purpose

On May 24, 1977, President Jimmy Carter signed Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, which requires all federal agencies to avoid impacts on floodplains and to refrain from direct or indirect support of floodplain development whenever there is a practicable alternative. The Order also calls for increased federal protection of wetlands, because wetlands are an integral part of the nation's floodplain system.

Requirements

Each federal agency must provide leadership and take action to reduce the risk of flood loss; to minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health and welfare; and to restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains through agency activities such as planning, regulating, and licensing.

Floodplain Considerations in the CWA §404 Permitting Process

In its permitting regulations, the Army Corps of Engineers recognizes that "Floodplains possess significant natural values and carry out numerous functions important to the public interest." In accordance with Executive Order 11988, district engineers must consider floodplain impacts as part of their public interest review of §404 permit applications. Specifically, in their review process, district engineers must try to:

- Avoid long and short term significant adverse impacts associated with projects that occupy and/or modify floodplains; and
- 2) Avoid authorizing floodplain developments whenever practicable alternatives exist outside the floodplain.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Headquarters, Office of Wetlands Protection, Dianne Fish (202) 382-7071
- 2) Association of State Floodplain Managers,

Rebecca Hughes (301) 974-3825

Related Topics:

- 1) Values and Functions: Flood Control, p.104
- 2) CWA §404: Public Interest Review, p.30
- 3) Executive Order 11990: Wetlands Protection, p.52

- 1) Executive Order 11988: Flood Plain Management, 3 C.F.R. 117 (1978); as amended by Executive Order 12148, 3 C.F.R. 412 (1980).
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule, 51 Fed. Reg. 219 (Nov. 13, 1986), codified at 33 C.F.R. Parts 320 through 330.
- 3) Butler, David (ed.), Floodplain Harmony, Association of State Floodplain Managers, 1989.
- 4) Association of State Floodplain Managers, Partnerships: Effective Flood Hazard Management, Proceedings of the Thirteenth Workshop, 1989.

The Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)

Program Background

The federal Coastal Zone Management Act [16 U.S.C. §1451 et. seq.] seeks to promote natural resources management in our nation's coastal areas. The purpose of the Act is to preserve, protect, develop, and restore the natural resources of the coastal zone, including wetlands. The Act is administered by the Office of Ocean and Coastal Resources Management in the Department of Commerce, which provides grants and technical assistance to any coastal state for the development of a coastal management program (CMP) for the state. As of 1988, 29 state and territorial coastal programs had been approved by the Secretary of Commerce.

Protection of Wetlands in the CMP

A major goal of coastal management is to preserve important estuarine and wetland areas by acquiring or dedicating land, or to protect them by minimizing adverse impacts from other coastal activities.

The CZMA directs that coastal wetlands protection be part of the state's CMP. The Act states that coastal zone

"programs should at least provide for (A) the protection of natural resources, including wetlands, floodplains, estuaries...within the coastal zone, and (B) the management of coastal development to minimize...the destruction of natural protective features such as beaches, dunes, wetlands and barrier islands." [16 U.S.C. §1452(2)(A),(B)]

§404 Permits Must Be Consistent with State CMP

Where a state has an approved coastal zone management program, the CZMA requires federal permit applicants to conduct activities in the state's coastal zone to furnish a certification that the proposed activity will be consistent. The Army Corps of Engineers regulations provide that the district engineer shall not issue a permit if the state fails to issue the certification. The only exceptions are if the Secretary of Commerce determines that the proposed activity is consistent with the purpose of the CZMA or is necessary for national security. The state has six months to act on a certification request. Failure to act during that time will be deemed as approval of the permit issuance.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, Jon Hall (301) 427-2325 or Jim Chambers (301) 427-2319
- 2) Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce,

Ann Burger (202) 673-5134 or Suzanne Bolton (202) 673-3959.

- 3) Assosiation of State Wetland Managers, Jon Kusler (518) 872-1804
- 4) Coastal States Organization, Kerry Kehoe or Gary Magnuson (202) 628-9636

Related Topics:

1) CWA §404(b)(1) Guidelines, p.31

2) CZMA 1990 Reauthorization, p.55.

Publications

1) NOAA, Office of Coastal Resource Management, Coastal Zone Information Center, CZM Information Exchange, January, 1991 Edition (contains summaries of recent legislation concerning coastal resources, summaries of state programs, and state lead agency contacts.)

2) NOĀA, Office of Coastal Resource Management, Coastal Management: Solution's to Our Nation's

Coastal Problems, 1988. 61pp.

3) NOAA, NOAA Estuarine and Coastal Ocean Activities: 1988 Annual report to the Under Secretary, August 1989. 96pp.

4) EPA, Office of Water, Coastal Water Programs Handbook, date?

5) "Can Our Coasts Survive More Growth?" EPA Journal, Vol. 15(5).

The Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)

1990 Reauthorization Act

The Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 was reauthorized as part of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990. The 1990 Act reauthorizes the CZMA through 1995, and continues the CZMA grants program to help coastal states guide shoreline development and protect coastal natural resources. The Act makes available \$200,000 grants to encourage the six states and territories without coastal zone management programs to develop them.

Importantly, the Act makes offshore oil and gas leasing and other federal agency activities in the coastal zone subject to the CZMA provisions and consistency requirements, overturning the U.S. Supreme Court's 1984 decision in Secretary of the Interior v. California.

The Act also requires each state with a coastal zone management program to develop a coastal water quality protection program to address nonpoint source pollution of coastal waters, and encourages each coastal state to improve coastal wetlands protection, natural hazards management, public beach access, reduction of marine debris, assessments of coastal growth and development, and environmentally sound siting of coastal energy facilities.

Notes:		,,,,	

Contacts:

- 1) National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, Jon Hall (301) 427-2325 or Jim Chambers (301) 427-2319
- 2) Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce,

Ann Burger (202) 673-5134 or Suzanne Bolton, (202) 673-3959.

- 3) Association of State Wetland Managers, Jon Kusler (518) 872-1804
- 4) Coastal States Organization, Kerry Kehoe or Gary Magnuson (202) 628-9636

Related Topics:

- 1) CWA §404(b)(1) Guidelines, p.31
- 2) CZMA overview, p.54

References/Publications

- 1) NOAA, Office of Coastal Resource Management, Coastal Zone Information Center, CZM Information Exchange, January, 1991 Edition (contains summaries of recent legislation concerning coastal resources, summaries of state programs, and state lead agency contacts.)
- 2) NOÃA, Óffice of Coastal Resource Management, Coastal Management: Solution's to Our Nation's Coastal Problems, 1988. 61 pp.
- 3) NOAA, NOAA Estuarine and Coastal Ocean Activities: 1988 Annual report to the Under Secretary, August 1989. 96pp.
- 4) EPA, Office of Water, Coastal Water Programs Handbook, date?
- 4) "Can Our Coasts Survive More Growth?" PA Journal, Vol. 15(5).
- 5) Sarah Chasis, "A Second Chance for the CZM Act," National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol.12(4).

The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

Overview

Congress passed the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act in 1968 to help protect free-flowing undeveloped rivers with outstandingly remarkable values from adverse impacts, especially from the impacts of water resource projects. The National Wild and Scenic Rivers System provides federal protection for certain free-flowing rivers and river segments. To be eligible for inclusion in the national system, the river or river segment must possess one or more of the following "outstandingly remarkable values": scenic, recreational, geologic, fish and wildlife, historic, cultural, or other similar values.

Status of the Wild and Scenic System

As of January 1990, 120 rivers or river segments have been included in the National Wild and Scenic River System. The system covers a total of 9,280 miles of rivers and river segments.

Wetlands Protection

Many important wetlands are located along and within U.S. rivers and stream corridors. Wetlands, within the corridors of designated National Wild and Scenic Rivers, receive special federal protection under §7 of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Other areas on the §5(d) list of rivers under study for designation or on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory also receive protection from federally funded, licensed or initiated projects through administrative guidelines and Presidential Executive Orders.

The Federal Agency Role

National Wild and Scenic rivers designated by an act of Congress are administered by one of the following agencies:

- U.S. Forest Service (U.S. Department of Agriculture)
- National Park Service (U.S. Department of the Interior)
- Bureau of Land Management (U.S. Department of the Interior)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (U.S. Department of the Interior)

It is the responsibility of these federal agencies to assure that the values for which the river was designated are protected and made available for the public to enjoy. Some examples of this federal role are to:

- develop a river management plan, in consultation with State and local agencies and private interests;
- manage the federally owned lands in the river corridor,
- control recreational use on the river if necessary to maintain river qualities; and
- participate in legal proceedings or Congressional actions which pertain to activities and uses of the river corridor.

Contacts:

 National Park Service Headquarters , John Haubert, (202) 343-3884 (for questions on Congressionally designated rivers and study rivers)
 National Park Service Headquarters (for questions on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory), Bern Collins

(202) 343-3884.

3) American Rivers, Inc. (private organization), Suzi Wilkins or Kevin Coyle (202) 547-6900

Related Topics:

- 1) Designating Wild and Scenic Rivers, p.57
- 2) State and local river conservation assistance, p. 58

- 1) Questions and Answers on the Wild and Scenic Rivers Program, Prepared by the U.S. Forest Service and National Park Service, May 1989.
- 2) River Mileage Classifications for Components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Prepared by the National Park Service, Division of Park Planning and Protection, January 1990. (Contains list of rivers and river segments in the national system.)
- 3) Department of the Interior and Department of Agriculture, National Wild and Scenic Rivers System; Final Revised Guidelines for Eligibility, Classification
- and Management of River Areas, 47 Fed. Reg. 39454 (Sept. 7, 1982).
- 4) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-542; as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1271, et seq.
- 5) Department of the Interior and National Association for State River Conservation Programs, 1990 River Conservation Directory. [Contains listings of federal agencies, regional offices, as well state offices, associations, and private organizations].
- 6) Conservation Foundation, A Citizen's Guide to River Conservation.

The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

Designating Wild and Scenic Rivers

Both the federal and state governments can initiate action to add rivers and river corridors to the Wild and Scenic Rivers System.

Federally-Initiated Action

Congress can amend §5(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior and/or the Secretary of Agriculture to study a river as a potential addition to the system. When the study is completed, the appropriate Secretary reports to the President on the suitability or non-suitability of that river for addition to the System and recommends management strategies. The President then reports to Congress on his recommendations and proposals. If the recommendations are affirmative and the proposals acceptable to Congress, then the Act is amended to officially add the river to the System.

State-Initiated Action

Section 2(a)(ii) of the Act allows a river to be designated as Wild and Scenic through a state initiative, with the approval of the Secretary of the Interior. The river must be designated as wild, scenic or recreational pursuant to an act of the state legislature. The state would then adopt a management plan to permanently protect the scenic and recreational qualities of the river and adjoining lands, including wetlands, and provide for public use and enjoyment. The Governor of the state may submit the manage-

ment plan to the Secretary of the Interior with a request to add the river to the national system. After evaluating the management plan, coordinating with federal agencies and assessing the environmental impact of the proposed addition, the Secretary can add the river to the National System by publishing notice in the Federal Register.

Classification Scheme

The Act establishes three classifications for rivers or river segments for inclusion in the system. A designated river may contain one or all of these classifications:

- 1. Wild. Rivers that are free of impoundments and generally inaccessible except by trail, with watersheds or shorelines essentially primitive and waters unpolluted. These represent the vestiges of primitive America. Most of these wild and scenic rivers are located on public lands.
- 2. <u>Scenic</u>. Rivers that are free of impoundments, with shorelines or watersheds still largely undeveloped but accessible in places by roads.
- 3. <u>Recreational</u>. Rivers that are readily accessible by road or railroad, that may have some development along their shorelines, and that may have undergone some impoundment or diversion in the past.

Contacts:

- 1) National Park Service Headquarters, John Haubert, (202) 343-3884 (for questions on Congressionally designated rivers and study rivers)
- 2) National Park Service Headquarters, Bern Collins

(202) 343-3884 (for questions on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory)

3) American Rivers, Inc. (private organization), Suzi Wilkins or Kevin Covle (202) 547-6900

Related Topics:

- 1) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act: Overview, p.56
- 2) State and local river conservation assistance, p. 58
- 3) Wetlands Values and Functions: Aesthetic and Recreational, p.107

4) Wetlands Values and Functions: Water Quality Improvements, p110.

- 1) Questions and Answers on the Wild and Scenic Rivers Program, Prepared by the Forest Service and National Park Service, May 1989.
- 2) River Mileage Classifications for Components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Prepared by the National Park Service, Division of Park Planning and Protection, January 1990. (Contains list of overs and river segments in the national system.)
- 3) Department of the Interior and Department of Agriculture, National Wild and Scenic Rivers System; Final Revised Guidelines for Eligibility, Classification
- and Management of River Areas, 47 Fed. Reg. 39454 (Sept. 7, 1982).
- 4) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-542; as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1271, et. seq.
- 5) Department of the Interior and National Association for State River Conservation Programs, 1990 River Conservation Directory. (Contains listings of federal agencies, regional offices, as well state offices, associations, and private organizations.)
- 6) Conservation Foundation, A Citizen's Guide to River Conservation.

The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

State and Local Conservation Assistance Program

The National Park Service has developed a State and Local Conservation Assistance Program, authorized under §11 of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The program is designed to:

- Assist state and local governments, federal agencies, private groups and landowners in the development of river conservation and management plans;
- Encourage river conservation through local actions while maintaining private ownership of riparian lands; and
 - Foster beneficial uses of rivers and their adjacent

lands by promoting comprehensive decision-making.

River conservation projects are undertaken at the request of a government agency, a private non-profit organization, or a member of Congress. Projects range in scale from statewide river assessments to plans for a single stream or urban waterfront. Additional activities include a variety of river conservation workshops, consultations, Wild and Scenic River designations, Congressional river studies, and Nationwide Rivers Inventory amendments and environmental reviews.

Notes:		-	

Contacts:

- 1) National Park Service Headquarters, Chris Brown (202) 343-3780
- 2) National Park Service Regional Offices (see

Appendix __; to be added)

Related Topics:

- 1) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act: Overview, p.56
- 2) Designating Wild and Scenic Rivers, p.57
- 3) Wetlands Values and Functions: Aesthetic and Recreational, p.107

4) Wetlands Values and Functions: Water Quality Improvements, p.110.

- 1) National Park Service, State and Local River Conservation Assistance Program, date? (brochure)
- 2) River Protection Through the National Wild and Scenci Rivers Act, date? (paper prepared by the National Park Service)
- Small Town and Rural Planning Newsletter,
 American Planning Association, Vol. 6, 1986 (This special issue on "River Conservation" provides several case studies of local river conservation
- projects; available from the APA, see appendix H).
 5) Department of the Interior and National Association for State River Conservation Programs, 1990 River Conservation Directory. (Contains listings of federal agencies, regional offices, as well state offices, associations, and private organizations.)
- 6) Conservation Foundation, A Citizen's Guide to River Conservation.

Swampbuster

Program Overview

The swampbuster provisions of the 1985 and 1990 Farm Bills deny crop subsidy payments and other agricultural benefits to farm operators who convert wetlands to cropland after December 23, 1985—the date that the 1985 Farm Bill was signed into law. The Soil Conservation Service is the agency responsible for determining compliance with swampbuster provisions, and for determining the status of wetlands on agricultural lands. The SCS classifies agricultural wetlands according to the Food Security Act Manual.

Changes in the 1990 Farm Bill

When the 1990 reauthorization and amendment of the 1985 Food Security Act was signed into law on November 28, 1990, as the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990, significant changes to the swampbuster provisions in the 1985 bill were adopted. Under the new Act, violations of swampbuster will be triggered by the act of conversion, as opposed to the act of planting a commodity crop in a converted area. A refined penalty structure in the new Act provides for fines ranging from \$750 to \$10,000, rather than total forfeiture of benefits. The new

bill provides for good faith exemptions where swamp-buster violators can demonstrate that they acted in good faith, had not violated Swampbuster more than once in the past 10 years, and have restored the converted wetland in accordance with a federally approved restoration plan. In addition, farmers who inadvertently drain a wetland once during a five-year period could avoid any penalty for a single minor violation, while second-time violators during this time period could be subject to fines ranging from \$500 to \$5,000. Producers are also exempt from loss of program benefits due to converting a wetland if such conversion has a minimal effect on wetland value, or if it is a farmed wetland and its conversion is mitigated through restoration of another previously converted wetland.

Regulations

The U.S. Department of Agriculture began drafting regulations to implement the provisions of the 1990 Farm Bill in January, 1991. The final regulations will be published in the *Federal Register* and are projected to be completed by the end of 1991.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) Soil Conservation Service district or state office [see appendix D] for site-specific questions.
- 2) Lloyd Wright, SCS headquarters; (202) 382-1853, for policy questions.
- 3) House Document Room. [see appendix E].for a copy of the 1990 Farm Bill.
- 4) Sandy Nelson, Agriculture Stabilization and Conservation Service (ASCS); (202) 447-7873.

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act §404(f), p. 35
- 2) Wetland Reserve, p. 77

3) Conservation Reserve Program, p. 76

Publications:

- 1) S. 2830. Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990. Public Law No. 101-624.
- 2) Soil and Water Conservation Society. *Implementing the Conservation Title of the Food Security Act.* Ankeny, IA: SWCS, 1990.
- 3) Steve Meyer. "Redressing Swampbuster," National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 13, No.1.
- 4) Steve Moyer. "A Narrow Escape," National Wetlands

Newsletter, Vol. 13, No. 1. p.5.

- 5) Jim McElfish and Ken Adler. "Swampbuster Implementation: Missed Opportunities for Wetlands Protection." *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, Vol.45, no.3. (1990).
- 6) Soil Conservation Service. Food Security Act Manual. 1988.

Army Corps of Engineers

Regulatory Guidance Letters: 1989 RGLs

Regulatory Guidance Letters, issued by the Office of the Chief of Engineers, provide guidance to the Corps District and Division Offices on important policy issues relating to permitting programs, including Section 404 of the CWA. RGLs are not regulations; they are used only as a means of interpreting or clarifying current regulations or policy.

RGLs are numbered sequentially and effective until December 31 of the second year after they are issued. Unless superseded by subequently issued regulations, Corps offices generally continue to follow RGLs after they officially expire. RGLs serve to fill gaps between infrequent revisions of Corps regulations; the guidance contained in RGLs is often put into Corps regulations when revised. Beginning in 1991, all RGLs will be published in the Notice Section of the Federal Register.

The following list contains all of the RGLs issued in 1989 and 1990. To obtain a copy of a RGL, and for assistance in interpreting the guidance, contact the Corps offices listed below.

RGL 89-01: General Permit Notifications

Establishes time limit for Corps review of activities to determine if they qualify for a general permit or require an individual permit.

RGL 89-02 (Extends RGL 85-07): Superfund Projects

Concerns the applicability of Corps permits to response actions taken by EPA under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CER-CLA).

RGL 89-03: Activities Within Superfund Sites

Concerns applications for permits to undertake activities at Superfund sites designated under CERCLA.

RGL 89-04: Consideration of Public Comments: Mandatory Public Notice Language

Provides language for public notices issued by the Corps for all indiviual permit applications.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) Army Corps Headquarters, Zell Steever, (202) 272-1780 (for policy questions)
- 3) Corps District Offices (See Appendix B) (for

site-specific questions)

Related Topics:

- 1) CWA §404 Nationwide Permits, p.39
- 2) Permit Application Process, p.29
- 3) CWA §401 Water Quality Certification, p.44
- 4) CWA §404 Regulated Activities, p.28
- 5) Federal and State Wetlands Definitions, p.86
- 6) EPA/Corps Definitions of Wetlands, p.87
- 7) 1990 RGLS, p. 61
- 8) EPA/Corps Memorandum of Agreements, p.62

Publications

- 1) Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Guidance Letters Issued by the Corps of Engineers; Notice, 56 Fed. Reg. 2408 (Jan. 22, 1991)
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Program of

the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule, 51 Fed. Reg. 41206 (Nov. 13, 1986); codified at 33 C.F.R. Parts 320-330.

Army Corps of Engineers

Regulatory Guidance Letters: 1990 RGLs

RGL 90-01: Nationwide Permit Verification

Provides standard language to be used in letters of verification on nationwide permits until they are reissued, modified, revoked, or expire.

RGL 90-02: Permits for Structures or Fills Which Affect Territorial Seas

RGL 90-03 (Extension of RGL 87-8): Testing Requirements for Dredged Material Evaluation

RGL 90-04: Water Quality Considerations

Clarifies "other water quality aspects" (33 C.F.R. 320.4(d)) that must be considered by the District Engineer; establishes procedures for when EPA disagrees with state water quality certification.

RGL 90-05: Landclearing Activities Subject to §404 Jurisdiction

In light of Avoyelles Sportsmen's League, Inc. v. Marsh, the RGL states that "landclearing activities using mechanized equipment such as backhoes or buildozers with sheer blades, rakes, or discs constitute point source discharges and are subject to §404 jurisdiction when they take place in wetlands which are waters of the United States."

90-06: Expiration Dates for Wetlands with Jurisdictional Delineations

Provides guidance for establishing time limits on official determinations of wetlands jurisdiction.

90-07: Clarification of the Phrase "Normal Circumstances" as it Pertains to Cropped Wetlands

Clarifies concept of "normal circumstances" as currently used in the Corps definition of wetlands (33 C.F.R. 328.3(b)), with respect to cropped wetlands. Excludes "prior converted cropland" from §404 jurisdiction.

RGL 90-08: Applicability of §404 to Pilings

Clarifies that placement of pilings in waters of the U.S. will require authorization under §404 when such placement is used in manner essentially equivalent to a discharge of fill material in physical effect, or functional use and effect (gives examples).

RGL 90-09: Wetlands Enforcement Initiative

Introduces EPA/Corps memorandum on establishing a wetlands enforcement initiative (the memorandum provides guidance on judicial civil and criminal enforcement priorities).

Notes:

Contacts:

- 1) Army Corps Headquarters, Zell Steever, (202) 272-1780 (for policy questions)
- 2) Corps District Offices (See Appendix B) (for

site-specific questions)

Related Topics:

- 1) CWA §404 Nationwide Permits, p.39
- 2) Permit Application Process, p.29
- 3) CWA §401 Water Quality Certification, p.44
- 4) CWA §404 Regulated Activities, p.28
- 5) Federal and State Wetlands Definitions, p.86
- 6) EPA/Corps Definitions of Wetlands, p.87
- 7) 1989 RGLS, p. 87
- 8) EPA/Corps Memorandum of Agreements, p.62.

Publications

- 1) Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Guidance Letters Issued by the Corps of Engineers; Notice, 56 Fed. Reg. 2408 (Jan. 22, 1991)
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Program of

the Corps of Engineers; Final Rule, 51 Fed. Reg. 41206 (Nov. 13, 1986); codified at 33 C.F.R. Parts 320-330.

Environmental Protection Agency/ Army Corps

Memorandum of Agreements (Highlights, 1985-1989)

The Army Corps, EPA and other federal agencies have signed several important memorandum of agreements (MOAs) which formalize procedures and policies on the agencies' roles in implementing the Clean Water Act \$404 program. The following is a list of some of the more important MOAs signed by the EPA and the Corps.

MOA on §404(q) of the Clean Water Act (signed 11/12/85)

Under this MOA, the Corps and EPA have developed a process, pursuant to §404(q), to resolve any interagency differences over permit decisions within a clear time-frame to minimize delays in the permit process. Under the §404(q) MOA, EPA may formally elevate interagency disputes for higher level review. Disputes not resolved in the field may ultimately be elevated to EPA Headquarters. MOAs concerning §404(q) were also signed between the Corps and the Department of the Interior, and between the Corps and the Department of Commerce.

MOA on Discharge of Solid Waste Material (signed January, 1986)

This MOA establishes criteria for determining whether

§402 or §404 of the CWA applies to solid waste discharges into U.S. waters. (see p. 43 for more detailed description).

MOA on Determination of Geographic Jurisdiction of the §404 Program and the Application of Exemptions under §404(f) (signed 1/19/89)

This MOA allocates responsibilities between EPA and the Corps for determining the geographic jurisdiction of the §404 program and the applicability of exemptions under §404(f). Under the terms of the MOA, the Corps has responsibility for performing the majority of the site-specific determinations, and will adhere to the "Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands" and any EPA guidance on jurisdiction and §404(f). EPA will have the ability to designate special cases for areas where EPA feels it necessary for EPA to make the determination on jurisdiction and the applicability of §404(f) exemptions.

Nata	
Notes:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, Regulatory Activities Division, Greg Peck, (202) 475-8794.
- 2) Army Corps Headquarters, Zell Steever, (202) 272-1780

Related Topics:

- 1) CWA §404(q), p.40
- 2) Permit Application Process, p.29
- 3) Regulating Discharges of Solid Waste, p.43
- 4) Federal Delineation Manual, p.90
- 5) CWA §404(f) General Exemptions, p.35
- 6) Section 404(b)(1) Review, p31
- 7) EPA and Army Corps roles, programs, pp. 65, 68.
- 8) Army Corps Regulatory Guidance Letters, pp. 60, 61.
- 9) MOAs, 1989-1990, p. 63.

- 1) Memorandum of Agreement Between the Department of the Army and the Environmental Protection Agency Concerning §404(q) of the Clean
- Water Act.
- 2) Army and EPA, Water Pollution Control; Memorandum of Agreement on Solid Waste, 51 Fed.

Environmental Protection Agency/Army Corps

Memorandum of Agreements and Other Guidance (Highlights, 1989-1990)

MOA on Enforcement (with Guidance on Previously Issued Corps Permits) (signed 1/19/89)

This MOA allocates appropriate responsibilities between the Corps and EPA for enforcement of the §404 program. The MOA establishes procedures and responsibilities for investigating violations, taking immediate enforcement actions, choosing enforcement responses, selecting the lead enforcement agency, and dealing with after-the-fact permits, among other enforcement issues. The Corps and EPA also issued a guidance to accompany the MOA on "procedures regarding the applicability of previously-issued Corps permits."

MOA on Mitigation (signed 2/6/90)

This MOA clarifies policies and procedures used in determining the type and level of mitigation necessary to comply with the §404(b)(1) Guidelines. One of the more

important provisions of the MOA is that mitigation should occur in a clear sequence of first, avoidance of impacts to wetlands, second, minimization of impacts to wetlands, and last, compensation of unavoidable impacts to the extent appropriate and practicable.

Memorandum for the Field on Agricultural Activities (dated 5/3/90)

This memorandum from EPA and the Corps only provides guidance to field personnel and is not a formal MOA. The memorandum was prepared in response to numerous questions that had been raised concerning the applicability of the §404 regulatory program to agriculture. It clarifies the exemption for "normal farming activities" under §404(f) and provides specific information on the requirements for activities such as rotational rice farming and fish pond construction.

Notes:		 	
	•		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, Regulatory Activities Division, Greg Peck, (202) 475-8794.
- 2) Army Corps Headquarters, Zell Steever, (202)

272-1780

Related Topics:

- 1) CWA §404(q), p. 40.
- 2) Permit Application Process, p.29
- 3) Regulating Discharges of Solid Waste, p.43
- 4) Federal Delineation Manual, p.90
- 5) CWA §404(1) General Exemptions, p.35
- 6) Section 404(b)(1) Review, p.31
- 7) EPA and Corps roles, programs, pp. 65, 68.)
- 8) Army Corps Regulatory Guidance Letters, pp. 60,61
- 9) MOAs, 1985-1989, p. 62.

- 1) MOA Between the Department of the Army and the Environmental Protection Agency Concerning Federal Enforcement For the §404 Program of the Clean Water Act.
- 2) MOA Between the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of the Army Concerning the Determination of Mitigation Under the CWA §404(b)(1) Guidelines.
- 3) The Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of the Army, §404(b)(1) Guidelines Mitigation MOA: "Questions and Answers."
- 4) The Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of the Army, Memorandum for the Field, Subject: CWA §404 Regulatory Program and Agnicultural Activities.

Overview of Federal Efforts to Protect Wetlands

At the federal level, wetlands protection can be divided into four general areas:

Direct regulation of activities conducted in wetlands is authorized under the Clean Water Act §404, which covers discharges of dredged or fill material to waters of the United States, including many of the nation's wetlands. The Clean Water Act also includes water quality standards for wetlands. In addition, the Endangered Species Act can play an important role where wetlands serve as critical habitat for threatened or endangered species.

Economic disincentives are used to limit destructive activities. For example, "Swampbuster" provisions of the 1985 and 1990 Food Security Acts (also known as the Farm Bills) remove agricultural subsidies and loan guarantees when wetlands are converted for crop production. Also, the Coastal Barrier Resources Act removes federal supports when certain barrier islands are developed.

Planning can be an effective tool of wetlands protection,

particularly in the West where the federal government owns and manages huge tracts of land. Also, guided by Executive Orders #11990 and #11998, and the National Environmental Policy Act, agencies involved in highway construction, land management, and water planning are required to develop policies for conserving wetlands under their control. In addition, the federal government is encouraging states to protect wetlands by providing technical and financial assistance, such as EPA grants to states for State Wetland Conservation Plans. Finally, planning efforts are enhanced by extensive federal efforts to map and monitor wetlands, and to conduct research into the physical and biological properties of wetlands.

Land acquisition is another tool for wetlands protection. Two notable federal examples are the network of National Wildlife Refuges which include significant wetlands acreage, and land acquisition under the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional wetlands coordinator, see Appendix
- 2) Jeanne Melanson, Federal Activities Coordinator, EPA Headquarters OWP (202) 382-7071.
- 3) Jon Kusler, Association of State Wetlands Managers, (518) 872-1804.
- 4) Scott Feierabend, National Wildlife Federation, (202) 797-6800.

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act Overview, p. 26
- 2) Swampbuster, p. 59
- 3) Endangered Species Act, p. 46

4) EPA - Overview, p. 65

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Report No. OPA-87-016. (Available from the Hotline)
- 2) Conservation Foundation. 1988. *Protecting America's Wetlands: An Action Agenda*. Harper Graphics, Waldorf, MD.
- 3) Kusler, Jon. Our National Wetland Heritage: A Protection Handbook. Environmental Law Institute, Washington, DC.
- 4) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to Wetlands Protection. 1989.
- 6) Protecting Nontidal Wetlands, American Planning Association, 1989.
- 7) National Guidance: Water Quality Standards for Wetlands, EPA.
- 8) Wetlands: Accomplishments and Opportunities. EPA, 1990. (Available from the Hotline)
- 9) Wetlands and 401 Certification: Opportunities and Guidelines for States and Eligible Indian Tribes. EPA Office of Water. April, 1989.(Available from the hotline)

Environmental Protection Agency

The Environmental Protection Agency, in partnership with federal, state and local governments, is responsible for restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters. Because of the value of wetlands as an integral part of those waters, EPA is also charged with protecting wetland resources. A major federal regulatory tool for this is Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, which is jointly administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and EPA. Section 404 establishes a permit program to regulate the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including most of the nation's wetlands. EPA's key responsibilities in the Section 404 program include: development of the program's environmental standards (the section 404(b)(1)

Guidelines), determining the scope of geographic jurisdiction (that is, the areas which are subject to Section 404), state program assumption, enforcement, and review of individual permit applications.

EPA Cooperation in Wetland Protection

EPA recognizes that an effective program to protect our nation's wetlands must be contain approaches other than Section 404 and requires the cooperation of federal, state, and local agencies; developers; environmental groups; the scientific community; and others. To provide leadership in building such a broad-based national effort, EPA established an Office of Wetlands Protection in 1986. EPA also conducts an active research program on wetlands through its Corvallis, Oregan, and Duluth, Minnesota, laboratories.

Notes:	
Contacts: 1) Glenn Eugster, EPA Headquarters OWP Outreach Contact, (202) 382-5045. 2) Dianne Fish, Leader, Strategies and Initiatives	382-7071. 3) EPA Regional Wetlands Coordinator (see Appendix A).

Related Topics:

1) Clean Water Act Section 404 Overview, p. 27.

Team, EPA Office Of Wetlands Protection, (202)

- 2) EPA Office of Wetland Protection, p. 66
- 3) EPA Wetland Research, p. 67.
- 4) Federal Incentive Programs, p. 16.

- 1) EPA. Wetlands Protection. Fact Sheets prepared by the Office of Wetland Protection. (Available from the Hotline)
- 2) EPA. Highlights of Section 404. Prepared by the Office of Wetland Protection. (Available from the Hotline)
- 3) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. (available from the hotline)
- Wetlands: Accomplishments and Opportunities. Office of Water, November 2, 1990. (available from the hotline)

EPA Office of Wetland Protection

Background

The Office of Wetland Protection was established in 1986 in order to coordinate EPA's wetland protection effort with other federal agencies, state and local governments, developers, environmental groups, farmers, and the scientific community. OWP is a part of EPA's Office of Water, and its wetland protection work can be divided into two general areas:

Regulatory Activities Division

The Regulatory Activities Division is responsible for regulatory activities under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The Regulatory Activities Division corrdinates its work with the Army Corps of Engineers, which administers the Section 404 program jointly with EPA, and other federal and state agencies.

Wetlands Strategies and State Programs Division

The Wetlands Strategies and State Programs Division is

responsible for state program activities and other wetlands protection efforts not covered under the Section 404 program. These activities include working with state, tribal and local governments and other Federal agencies; providing information on wetlands to the public; and sponsoring eductional activities. Within this division is the Wetlands Strategies and Initiatives Team, which is responsible for the supporting initiatives in a variety of areas including comprehensive regional planning and water quality management. The division also works with the scientific community to develop technical information on wetlands.

Activity at the Regional Level

The Office of Wetland Protection in Washington, DC, works closely with the wetlands coordinators in each of EPA's 10 Regional offices to coordinate field activity across the country.

Notes:		 	

Contacts:

- 1) Greg Peck, EPA Headquarters OWP Regulatory Activities Division, (202) 475-8794
- 2) Judy Johnson, EPA Headquarters OWP

Nonregulatory Programs Contact (202) 245-3907.
3) EPA Regional Contacts, see Appendix A.

Related Topics:

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency, overview, p. 64.
- 2) EPA Research Programs, p. 67.
- 3) Clean Water Act Section 404 Overview, p. 27.

Publications:

1) EPA. Wetlands Action Plan. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection, 1989. (Available from the

Hotline)

FPA Wetlands Research

Overview

Since 1985, EPA has intensified its wetlands-related research. Through its wetlands research programs, EPA hopes to improve the scientific basis for wetland decisions and to promote the development of national policies and approaches that are scientifically sound. The wetlands research program is under the direction of the Office of Research and Development in EPA Headquarters in Washington, D.C. However, the wetlands research program manager is in the Corvallis (Oregon) Laboratory, and the research is conducted primarily at the Corvallis and Duluth (Minnesota) Labs.

Research Topics

Although completed and ongoing research has covered a wide variety of topics related to wetlands, three research topics have received special attention: wetlands mitigation (i.e., creation, restoration, and enhancement of wetland areas), cumulative impact assessment, and water quality functions of wetlands. Current projects include research to evaluate different methods for the mitigation of wetland losses, to establish the technical foundation for water quality standards necessary to protect wetland function, and to develop and test methods for assessing the cumulative effects of wetland loss and degradation.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Headquarters Office of Wetlands Protection, Bill Sipple; 202-382-5066
- 2) Corvallis Lab Wetlands Program Matrix Manager, Eric Preston; (503) 757-4666
- 3) Duluth Lab Water Quality Research, William Sanville; (218) 720-5500

Related Topics:

- 1) Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Research, p. 69.
- 2) Wetlands Values and Functions: Overview, p.101
- 3) Water Quality Improvement, p. 110.

- 1) EPA (3/89). Wetlands and Water Quality: EPA's Research and Monitoring Implementation Plan for the Years 1989-1994.
- 2) "Patuxent Wildlife Research Center," Fish and Wildlife News, Feb.-March 1989.

Army Corps of Engineers

The US Army Corps of Engineers has been regulating activities in the nation's waters since 1890. Until the 1960s, the primary purpose of the regulatory program was to protect navigation. Since then, as a result of laws and court decisions, the program has been broadened to include protection and utilization of the nation's waters. Many wetlands are part of the Corps' jurisdiction under the following three acts:

Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 prohibits the obstruction or alteration of navigable waters of the United States without a permit from the Corps of Engineers.

Clean Water Act Section 404

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act prohibits the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States without a permit from the Corps of Engineers, unless exempted from permitting requirements.

Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972

Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (also known as the Ocean Dumping Act), authorizes the Corps to issue permits for the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping into the ocean within territorial limits.

Scope of the Corps' Regulatory Program

The Corps receives about 15,000 individual (standard) permit applications per year. In addition, last year the Corps authorized an estimated 40,000 projects by either a nationwide, state, or regional permit. In the course of its activities in regulating activities in the nation's waters, the Corps last year had about 6,000 enforcement actions. During the 1980s, the Corps experienced growth in the number and complexity of permit requests and regulatory actions.

Structure of the Corps Regulatory Effort

The Corps regulatory program is decentralized, with about 930 people in 38 District Offices around the country, 15 people in 11 Division Offices, and 14 people at Headquarters in Washington, DC. During the 1980s, the regulatory program had an annual budget of \$40-55 million with significant increases in funding levels occurring during the last two years. The program is budgeted for an increase to \$75 million in fiscal year 1991.

Great LEAP Partnership on the Great Lakes

During the past year, the North Central Division of hte Corps has been working to establish a partnership with federal and state agencies to improve the Great Lakes environment. This program is called the Great Lakes Environmental Action Program (Great LEAP) and has led to development of over 100 project proposals by state and federal agencies.

Contacts:

- 1) Corps of Engineers District Office, see Appendix B.
- 2) Zell Stever, Corps of Engineers Headquarters (202) 272-1780.
- 3) For information on the Great LEAP program,

contact Wiliam Schmitz, North Central Division, Army Corps of Engineers (312) 353-7762.

Related Topics:

- 1) Clean Water Act Section 404 overview, p. 27.
- 2) Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 Overview, see
- p. 45.

3) Corps research program, see p. 69.

Publications:

- 1) US Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program. Document No. EP 1145-2-1, May 1985. (Available from the Hotline)
- 2) Kusler, Jon. Our National Wetland Heritage.

Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute, 1989.
3) Schmitz, William. The Corps' Great LEAP. National Wetlands Newsletter Vol.12, No. 5 (Sept - Oct, 1990): 14.

Army Corps of Engineers: Wetlands Research

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is actively involved in wetlands research through its Waterways Experiment Station in Vicksburg, Mississippi. The Corps has \$22 million for a three-year wetlands research program at Waterways. The research program aims to improve existing wetlands, reduce wetlands loss and impacts, and provide better environmental accountability in water resource projects.

The Corps' Research Mandate

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is required to evaluate and minimize the environmental impacts of water resource projects associated with its various activities. Wetland restoration and development to replace lost or im-

pacted wetlands are therefore often a part of the Corps' work. The Corps must consider all functions and values of wetlands, negative impacts in wetlands, and cumulative or regional effects from wetlands modification.

Areas of Research

The Corps focuses on a number of research areas, including wetland delineation and evaluation, wetland restoration and enhancement, cumulative impacts analysis, stewardship and management plans, and trends analysis, as well as on critical processes. The research program devotes significant efforts toward useful and widely-disseminated technology transfer on wetlands creation and restoration techniques.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Research Program Manager Russell F. Theriot; (601) 634-2733	2) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Headquarters contact: Zell Steever; (202) 272-1780.
Related Topics 1) EPA Wetlands Research, p.67 2) Wetlands Values and Functions: Overview, p. 101 3) Water Quality Improvement, p. 110	4) Water Resources Development Act, p. 116. 5) Mitigation, p. 32
Publications: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (3/30/90). U.S. Army	Corps of Engineers Wetlands Research Program Fact Sheet.

National Marine Fisheries Service

The National Marine Fisheries Service consists of a headquarters in Washington, DC, 5 regional offices and 4 regional fisheries centers. The Service performs research relating to conservation, management, and development of marine fisheries.

Wetlands Protection Efforts

The National Marine Fisheries Service manages the nation's marine fisheries as part of the Department of Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The Service has a strong interest in estuarine wetlands because about two-thirds of the nation's commercially and recreationally important fisheries are estuarine-dependent. Under Regional Action Plans, habitat conservation activities are carried out through regional offices

and fisheries centers. These activities include providing recommendations to reduce degradation or loss of valuable estuarine habitats cause by development and other factors. The Service also plays an important consulting role in permitting programs under the Clean Water Act Section 404 and the Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10. This consulting role is established through the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.

National Coastal Wetlands Data Base

The Beaufort Laboratory of the National Marine Fisheries Service and the Strategic Assessment Branch of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration are developing a national coastal wetlands data base for incorporation into the National Estuarine Inventory.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) John Hall, Jim Chambers, National Marine Fisheries Service. (202)
- 2) Suzanne Bolton, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, (202) 377-2727.
- 3) American Fisheries Society (see Appendix H)
- 4) Stan Moberty, F.I.S.H. (206) 283-4844.
- 5) American Littoral Society (see Appendix H)

Related Topics:

- 1) Wetlands Values: Fish and Wildlife Habitat, p. 103
- 2) Clean Water Act Section §404 overview, p.27
- 3) Wetlands Values: Fisheries, p. 106.

Publications:

- 1) US Department of Commerce. NOAA Estuarine and Coastal Ocean Activities. NOAA Estuarine Program Office, 1988.
- 2) US Department of Commerce. Coastal

Evironmental Quality in the United States, 1990. A Special NOAA 20th Anniversary Report, 1990.

3) Moberly, Stan. F.I.S.H. National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 11, No. 5, p.10.

Soil Conservation Service

Background

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's Soil Conservation Service administers the Swampbuster program and other wetlands provisions of the 1990 Farm Bill, and provides technical assistance to private landowners and federal, state, and local agencies. The Service's soil scientists, biologists, and engineers also provide technical assistance to landowners to foster conservation efforts, including the restoration, creation, and enhancement of wetlands. Service technical and financial assistance programs include:

- (1) the Rural Clean Water Program, which provides funds for landowners to implement Best Management Practices:
- (2) the Small Watershed Management program, which provides technical and cost sharing assistance to States and localities for agricultural water management projects;
- (3) the Rural Development program, which authorizes the Service to inventory, monitor and classify wetlands:
- (4) Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Loans (administered by the Farmers Home Administration), which provide grants to local governments, soil and water conservation districts, and nonprofit watershed associations for projects including flood prevention, water

quality management, sedimentation control, and fish and wildlife development;

- (5) the Soil and Conservation Program, which aids landowners through technical assistance in planning and applying soil and water conservation practices; and
- (6) the Resource Conservation and Development Program, which provides grants and advisory services to states, local governments, and nonprofit groups in rural areas to carry out resource conservation projects, such as stream-bank stabilization and erosion control.

While not all of these Soil Conservation Service programs are expressly designed for wetlands enhancement or restoration, wetlands protection can be an integral part of many soil and water conservation projects by improving soil stabilization, flood control, aquifer recharge, erosion control, and other benefits.

Delineation of wetlands

The Soil Conservation Service is one of four agencies that cooperated in producing the Federal Delineation Manual to establish a single federal approach to delineating wetlands. The Service also uses the Food Security Act Manual for wetland delineations.

Contacts:

- 1) SCS Contact list, Appendix D.
- 2) SCS Deputy Chief for Programs (202) 447-4527.

 National Association of Conservation Districts (202) 547-6223.

Related Topics:

- 1) U.S. Department of Agriculture overview, p. 75.
- 2) Swampbuster overview, p. 59.

Publications:

- 1) 1990 Farm Bill, P.L. 101-624, (S.2830) Food, Agriculture, Conservation and Trade Act of 1990.
- 2) "Going Wild with Soil and Water Conservation," Soil Conservation Service, PA-1363.
- 3) "Conservation Planning You and Your Land," Soil Conservation Service, PA-1376.
- 4) Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation. 1989. Federal Manual for Indentifying

and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands.

- 5) <u>Food Security Act Manual of 1990</u>, USDA Soil Conservation Service.
- 6) Tuesday Letter. National Association of Conservation Districts.
- 7) Journal of Soil and Water Conservation.
- 8) Community Benefits from Watershed Projects. Agricultural Information Bulletin 337. USDA.

Fish and Wildlife Service

The Fish and Wildlife Service's mission is to conserve, protect, and enhance fish, wildlife and their habitats, particularly migratory birds, endangered species, freshwater fisheries, and certain marine mammals. The Service has 8 regional offices, a headquarters in Washington, DC, and a large number of field units across the country. The Service manages more than 450 national wildlife refuges to provide habitat for migratory birds, endangered species, and public recreation. It also sets migratory bird hunting regulations, and protects endangered and threatened animals and plants. The agency's research program provides scientific data needed to conserve and manage fish and wildlife resources.

Wetlands Programs

The Service manages over 90 million acres comprising the National Wildlife Refuge System. Nearly all reserve within the system contain managed wetlands. An active land acquisition program targets high-priority wetlands for protection, restoration and enhancement.

National Wetlands Inventory

The Service has mapped the wetlands for over 60 percent of the United States through its National Wetlands Inven-

tory program, and the project is scheduled for completion by 1998 in the lower 48 states. Nearly one-fifth of Alaskan wetlands have also been mapped. The Service has the lead role in carrying out the mapping, trends analysis, and other provisions of the 1986 Emergency Wetlands Resources Act.

Review Role

Service personnel also review the activities of other agencies that may affect wetlands, review permit applications and offer technical assistance on wetland matters. The Service has an important advisory role in the Clean Water Act's Section 404 program and in Swampbuster.

Partnerships

Partnerships are being developed with other federal, state, and local agencies to conserve wetlands under the North American Waterfowl Management Plan which covers the US, Canada and Mexico. A Memorandum of Agreement between the Service and Ducks Unlimited was signed several years ago which began a partnership of preserving and protecting wetland habitat, primarily in duck breeding sites in the mid-West.

Notes:			
		·	

Contacts:

- 1) Tom Muir, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, (202) 208-5543.
- 2) For information on the National Wetlands Inventory, contact Tom Dahl, Fish and Wildlife Service (813) 893-3620.
- 3) For information on the Service's Status and Trends Reports, contact Craig Johnson, Fish and Wildlife Service Headquarters, (703) 358-2201.
- 4) Dave Heffernan, Fish and Wildlife Service (703) 358-2043.

Related Topics:

- 1) Endangered Species Act, see p.46
- 2) Swampbuster overview, see p. 59
- 3) Fish and Wildlife Service Action Plan, see p. 73.
- 4) Fish and Wildlife Habitat in Wetlands, p.103.

Publications:

- 1) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Wetlands Action Plan: Meeting the President's Challenge. Washington, DC, 1990.
- 2) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Status and Trends Report 1950's 1970's. Washington, DC, 1990.
- 3) Dahl, Thomas. Status and Trends Report: Wetlands

Losses 1780's - 1980's. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990.

4) Dahl, Thomas. Wetlands Losses Since the Revolution, in National Wetlands Newsletter Vol 12, No. 6, p. 16.

Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetlands Action Plan

In 1990, the Fish and Wildlife Service prepared a Wetlands Action Plan which sets out the Service's strategies for wetlands conservation. These strategies include enhanced technical support to all federal agencies, especially the Army Corps of Engineers and the EPA in the Clean Water Act §404 permitting process. The Action Plan also includes incentive programs, increased public eduction and outreach, and partnerships with private organizations. The following are some of the major wetlands programs of the Fish and Wildlife Service:

National Wetlands Inventory — In cooperation with state and federal agencies, the Service is mapping wetlands in the U.S. About 60% of wetlands in the lower 48 states, 16% of Alaska and all of Hawaii have been mapped.

Land Acquisition/National Wildlife Refuge System — The Service has an active program of land acquisition for the 90-million-acre National Wildlife Refuge system. The system is managed by the Service and is designed primarily to protect migratory birds and endangered and threatened species. The system is funded through the Migratory Bird

Hunting and Conservation Stamp Act (also called the Duck Stamp Act), the Wetlands Loan Act, and the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act. Over 1/3 of the system is wetlands, and the Service adds about 45,000 acres of wetlands each year.

Permit and License Reviews — The Service is one of the primary advisors to the Army Corps of Engineers and EPA on regulatory permit matters relating to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The permit program regulates the discharge of fill material into wetlands and other waters of the US. The Service reviews approximately 10,000 individual public notices each year to evaluate their impact on wetlands ecosystems. Also, the Service provides recommendations to the Corps to mitigate wetlands-related impacts.

Farm Bill Activities — The Service provides technical assistance to the Soil Conservation Service, the Agricultural Stabilization and conservation Service and state agencies as part of the wetlands conservation provisions of the 1985 and 1990 Farm Bills.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) Tom Muir, US Fish and Wildlife Service, (202) 208-5543.
- 2) For information on the National Wetlands Inventory, contact Tom Dahl, Fish and Wildlife Service (813) 893-3620.
- 3) For information on the Service's Status and Trends Reports, contact Craig Johnson, Fish and Wildlife Service Headquarters, (703) 358-2201.
- 4) Dave Heffernan, Fish and Wildlife Service Headquarters, (703) 358-2043.

Related Topics:

- 1) Endangered Species Act, see p. 46
- 2) Swampbuster overview, see p. 59

- 3) Fish and Wildlife Service overview, see p. 72
- 4) Fish and Wildlife Habitat in Wetlands, p.103.

- 1) US Fish and Wildlife Service. Wetlands Action Plan: Meeting the President's Challenge. Washington, DC, 1990.
- 2) US Fish and Wildlife Service. Status and Trends Report 1950's 1970's. Washington, DC, 1990.
- 3) Dahl, Thomas. Status and Trends Report: Wetlands
- Losses 1780's 1980's. US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990
- 4) Dahl, Thomas. Wetlands Losses Since the Revolution, in National Wetlands Newsletter Vol 12, No. 6, p. 16.

Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986

This statute was enacted by Congress to promote the conservation of wetlands in the United States, in order to maintain the public benefits they provide. The intent of the act was to protect, manage, and conserve wetlands by intensifying cooperative and acquisition efforts among private interests and local, state, and federal governments. The Act contains a broad variety of measures to promote wetland conservation and offset or prevent wetland losses. There are three main sections of the Act: conservation of habitat, acquisition of wetlands, and assessment of the status of wetlands in the United States.

Title II

This section provides increased funds for federal acquisition of migratory bird habitat by authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to establish entrance fees at designated national wildlife refuges. It also transfers import duties collected on arms and ammunition to the Migratory Bird Conservation Fund, and raises the price of the Migratory Bird Hunting and Conservation Stamp each year (currently it is \$15.00).

Title III

This section amends the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) Act to:

- 1) Eliminate the restriction on acquiring migratory waterfowl areas;
- 2) Require that Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plans (SCORPS) specifically address wetlands as important outdoor recreation resources; and
- 3) Qualify wetlands as suitable replacement for LWCF lands converted to other uses.

It also requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish a National Wetlands Priority Conservation Plan (NWPCP) specifying the types of, and interests in, wetlands to be given priority for federal and state acquisition. The Secretary is authorized to purchase wetlands or interests in wetlands consistent with the NWPCP.

Title IV

This section directs the Secretary of the Interior to continue the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Project and to update the report on "Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitat in the Conterminous United States, 1950s to 1970s." Also, the Secretary is directed to report to Congress on the status, condition, and trends of wetlands and the effects of federal programs on wetlands in specified problem areas of the United States.

Contacts:

- 1) Fish and Wildlife Service; Craig Johnson, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory, 703/358-2201.
- 2) National Wetlands Priority Conservation Plan,

Carlos Medoza, 202/358-2201, or Dale Pierce, 202/358-2448.

Related Topics:

- 1) Federal Wetlands Efforts, p.64
- 2) Fish and Wildlife Service Action Plan, p. 72
- 3) Wetlands Values and Functions Fish and Wildlife Habitat, p. 103

- 1) Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986.
- 2) Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats in the Conterminous United States, 1950s to 1970s. Frayer et al., Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, Co, 1983.
- 3) Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous United States, 1970s and 1980s. Dahl, Thomas E. and
- Craig E. Johnson, Fish and Wildlife Service (Draft), 1990
- 4) National Wetlands Priority Conservation Plan. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, April 1989.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Programs: Overview

The U.S.Department of Agriculture (USDA) has a number of programs designed to promote wetlands protection, many of which are included as provisions in the 1990 Farm Bill. The most well-known of these are the provisions of Swampbuster, the new Wetlands Reserve program, and the Conservation Reserve Program.

Other USDA programs that offer incentives for wetlands protection include loans for conservation projects, federal cost-sharing programs for states and local governments, technical assistance and information, counseling, and county extension services. These programs, carried out by the Soil Conservation Service (SCS), the Agriculture Sta-

bilization and Conservation Service (ASCS), and the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA), include, in part:

- Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Loans (FmHA)
- * Resource Conservation and Development (SCS and FmHA)
 - * Soil and Water Conservation (SCS)
 - * Small Watershed Program (SCS)
 - * Water Bank Program (FmHA).

Notes:	
•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Contacts: 1) SCS state office [see appendix D]	
2) USDA Office of Public Affairs, (202) 447-8376.	
Related Topics:	
Soil Conservation Service overview, p. 71	
Publications:	
1) Steve Moyer, A Narrow Escape. The 1990 Farm Bill. National Wetlands Newsletter. Vol. 13. No. 1, p.5	Farm Bill. National Wetlands Newsletter. Vol. 13. No. 1. p.4.
2) Steve Meyer, Redressing Swampbuster. The 1990	•

Conservation Reserve Program

Overview

The Conservation Reserve Program was carried over from the 1985 Farm Bill and expanded in the 1990 Act to include wetlands and other types of environmentally sensitive lands. The program, operated through the Agriculture Stabilization and Conservation Service, offers landowners annual payments for 10 years in return for placing environmentally sensitive cropland into an easement and implementing a conservation plan for the easement. Program goals include reducing soil erosion, reducing sedimentation, improving water quality, providing fish and wildlife habitat, limiting surplus commodities, and support income for farmers. Operators must implement a conservation plan approved by the local conservation district that converts

sensitive lands to a less intensive use. The fiscal year 1991 budget for the program was \$2.25 billion.

Changes in the 1990 Farm Bill

The Conservation Reserve Program in the 1990 Farm Bill has a goal of enrolling not less than 40 million acres and no more than 45 million acres by the year 1995. Categories of eligible lands were expanded under the Act to include environmentally sensitive lands, windbreaks, and marginal pasture lands planted to trees. The Act continues the general limitation that not more than 25 percent of a county's cropland may be enrolled in the Conservation Reserve Program.

Notes:		

Contacts:

1) Conservation and Environmental Protection Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Department of Agriculture, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013; (202) 447-6221, for questions on the program..

- 2) Senate Document Room [see appendix E] for a copy of the 1990 Farm Bill.
- 3) House and Senate Agriculture Committees [See Appendix E] for information on the 1985 and 1990 Farm Bills

Related Topics:

- 1) Wetland Reserve, p.77
- 2) Swampbuster, p. 59

Publications:

- 1) S. 2830. Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990. Public Law No. 101-624.
- 2) Soil and Water Conservation Society. *Implementing the Conservation Title of the Food Security Act.* Ankeny, IA: SWCS, 1990.
- 3) Steve Meyer. "Redressing Swampbuster," National

Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 13, No. 1, p.4.

4) Steve Moyer. "A Narrow Escape," National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 13, No. 1, p.5.

5) C. Young and C.T. Osborn, "Costs and Benefits of the Conservation Reserve Program." *Journal of Soil* and Water Conservation, Vol. 45, No. 3. (1990).

Wetland Reserve Program

Overview

A new program established in the 1990 Farm Bill, the Wetland Reserve is a voluntary program to enroll up to 1 million acres of restored wetlands into conservation easements in return for federal payments. Farm operators who enroll their lands must agree to a restoration plan for croplands and place the restored wetlands in the easement reserve where they cannot drain or plow them. Easements can be either for 30 years or permanent easements. Initially, only permanent easements will be eligible. In the 1990

Farm Bill, Congress required the Department of Agriculture to enroll 1 million acres in the reserve by 1995, a projected cost of \$450-500 million. The program gives priority to wetlands that enhance habitat for migratory birds and other wildlife. Under the 1990 Farm Bill, the Fish and Wildlife Service has an active role in assessing lands that are being considered for placement in the Wetland Reserve.

Notes:		
·		

Contacts:

1)Soil Conservation Service district or state office [see appendix D] for site-specific questions.

2) USDA Public Affairs Office, Washington, (202) 447-8376, for general information or policy questions.

3) Fish and Wildlife Service, Regional Office (see appendix D) for information on FWS's role.

Related Topics:

- 1) Swampbuster overview, p.59
- 2) Conservation Reserve Program, p.76

- 1) S. 2830. Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990. Public Law No. 101-624.
- 2) Soil and Water Conservation Society. Implementing the Conservation Title of the Food Security Act. Ankeny, IA: SWCS, 1990.
- 3) Steve Meyer. "Redressing Swampbuster," National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 13, No. 1, p.4
- 4) Steve Moyer. "A Narrow Escape," National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 13, No. 1, p.5

National Park Service

Agency Missions and Wetlands Program

Agency Mission

The mission of the National Park Service is to conserve, preserve, and manage resources in units of the National Park System so that they remain for the enjoyment of people today and in the future. The Park Service carries out natural, cultural, and recreational resource inventory and research program which have regional and cross-agency scope, such as the Wild and Scenic Rivers Program, National Natural Landmarks, Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreational Planning, Land and Water Conservation Fund Grants-in-Aid, NPS General Management Plan Pro-

gram, Cultural Resource Programs, and Congressionally-directed study efforts.

Wetland Protection

The Park Service Regional Water Coordinators are responsible for a wide range of activities including restoration, enhancement, management, inventory, research, and outreach programs directly or indirectly involving wetlands protection. The Park Service headquarters in Washington, DC, coordinates and develops nationwide policy for the National Park System.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
 Pam Matthes, NPS (202) 208-4639 Jeanne Melanson, Federal Agency Coordinator, 	EPA Office of Wetland Protection (202) 382-7073.
Related Topics:	
 Forest Service programs, see p. 79. Bureau of Land Management programs, see p. 80. 	

Publications:

1) Dalrymple, George H., William F. Loftus, and Frank S. Bernadino, Jr. (Eds.). Wildlife in the Everglades and Latin American Wetlands: Abstracts of the Proceedings of the First Everglades National Park

Symposium. (Miami: February 25 - March 1, 1985) Homestead, FL: National Park Service, 1988: 72 pp.

USDA Forest Service: Overview

The Forest Service is one of the largest landowners in the country with 191 million acres it owns or operates. Nine million of these acres are wetlands, and 60 percent of those wetland acres are located in Alaska. The Forest Service also operates its lands to achieve multiple objectives, including timber and mining production, enhancing water quality and quantity through watershed protection, and other goals such as habitat preservation.

Wetlands Programs

The Forest Service's wetlands protection efforts have focused on wetlands located along river corridors. Priority wetlands include rare and diverse habitat. The Service's

land-use planning now takes into account how the agency's actions will affect wetland-dependent species. The Service recently completed an inventory of its riparian lands, but has not completed an inventory of its wetland resources. It plans to complete an inventory by 1995 at an expense estimated at \$17 million. Most Forest Service programs related to wetlands are nonregulatory partnerships, including participation in ithe North American Waterfowl Mangement Plan. The Service has recently begun using excess timber receipts to restore riparian lands, including wetlands.

Notes:			
	1		

Contacts:

- 1) Larry Schmidt, Forest Service.,(202) 453-9475.
- 2) Association of State Foresters, Washington., DC (202) 624-5416.
- 3) Jeanne Melanson, EPA Office of Wetlands

Protection, Coalition of Federal Land Management Agencies. 401 M St. SW A-104-F, Washington, DC 20460; (202) 382-7073.

Related Topics:

1) North American Waterfowl Management Plan, p.83

- 1) Federal Land Management Agencies. 1989. Wise Use and Protection of Federally Managed Wetlands: The Federal Land Management Agency Role. Results of workshop.
- 2) Forest Service Manual Series 2526. Definition of "Riparian."

Bureau of Land Management

The Bureau of Land Management administers about 270 million acres of land owned by the Federal government. Of these lands, almost 10 percent, or 23.7 million acres, are wetlands areas. The Bureau is charged with managing these lands in order to provide the widest variety of vegetation and habitat diversity for wildlife, fish, livestock and watershed protection.

Riparian Wetland Initiative

The Bureau has devised a blueprint for its wetlands

protection effort this year called the Riparian Wetland Initiative. Under the Initiative, the Bureau will compile information on the status of wetlands on its lands, review land management plans to incorporate wetlands protection goals, expand wetland areas through land exchanges, avoid or mitigate the impact of surface disturbance activities on wetland areas, and develop infrastructure such as fences or treelines to maintain wetlands.

Notes:	'	
		
Contacts: 1) BLM Headquarters Public Relations Office (202) 653-9210. 2) Jeanne Melanson, Federal Agency Coordinator EPA Office of Wetland Protection, (202)382-7073.		
Related Topics: 1) Federal wetland efforts-overview, p.64.		
Publications: 1) Bureau of Land Management. Riparian-Wetland Initiative for the 1990's. Washington, DC, 1990.		

Office of Surface Mining

Coordinated effort with Ducks Unlimited

Ducks Unlimited and the federal Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement have signed a Memorandum of Understanding establishing a coordinated effort to create wetlands on abandoned surface mines, and other disturbed areas. The agreement was signed in January of 1991. The two organizations have agreed to exchange

information and to look for potential wetland creation sites — particularly those that would provide good waterfowl habitat and further the objectives of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan. The Office of Surface Mining has already completed an experimental reclamation project in which it developed a 37-acre wetland habitat over a slurry pond.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
1) Howard Marks, Office of Surface Mining, (202) 208-2553.	chapter)
2) Ducks Unlimited (See Appendix G for regional	
Related Topics:	
For more information on the North American Waterfowl Management Plan, see Fish and Wildlife	Service overview, p.83
-	
Publications:	
Memorandum of Understanding Between Ducks Unlimited, Inc., and the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, US Department of the	Interior, January 23, 1991.

Domestic Policy Council Task Force on Wetlands

In 1989, President Bush established the Domestic Policy Council Task Force on Wetlands to revise the executive order on wetlands (executive order 11990) and to implement the president's no net loss of wetlands goal. The task force is composed of representatives from eight federal agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency, the Army Corps of Engineers, the Fish and Wildlife Service, the Department of Commerce, the Department of Energy, Housing and Urban Development, the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of the Interior, and

the Federal Emergency Management Agency, and is chaired by Whilte House Domestic Policy Council staff. The Task Force held a series of six public meetings in cities across the country during the summer and fall of 1990 to hear testimony from invited panelists and the general public on no net loss issues. The Fish and Wildlife Service is currently preparing a report of the public comments received on the meetings and testimony given at the meetings for publication in the Federal Register.

Notes:	
Contacts:	
1) Dianne Fish, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, DPC	
representative, (202) 382-7071.	
Related Topics:	

Publications:

1) Federal Register Notice Vol. 55 No. 143 (7/25/1990) Wetlands Task Force Meetings. Notice of meetings and request for comments.

1) Present Wetlands Loss Rates, p. 97. 2) Executive Order 11990, p. 52.

- 2) National Wildlife Federation. Wetlands Fact Pack. National Wildlife Federation, 1400 16th St. NW, Washington DC 20036.
- 3) "How Wetlands Played in Peoria." *National Wetlands Newsletter*. Vol.12 No. 6, p.15.
- 4) World Wildlife Federation/Conservation Foundation. Report on the National Wetlands Policy Forum, 1988.

North American Waterfowl Management Plan

Overview

The North American Waterfowl Management Plan, drafted in 1986, is an international agreement between the United States and Canada created in response to drastic declines in waterfowl and other wetland-dependent species during the past decade. The plan creates a framework for protecting, restoring, creating, and enhancing critical wetland areas in both United States and Canada that function as waterfowl habitats. This plan hopes to initiate long-term solutions to land use problems in the region by involving the coordinated action of governments, private organizations, landowners, and other citizens. Currently the plan is being revised and expanded to include Mexico and to maximize the broad benefits of wetlands conservation. The office that oversees this program is the North American Waterfowl and Wetlands Office, which is located in

Progress Report on the Plan

The Environment Canada/Canadian Wildlife Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service produced a report that provides a quick update on the progress of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan. The report provides information on current waterfowl population levels and trends in Canada and the United States; information on acres of wetlands restored, protected, or enhanced; and a policy statement on future directions. It also provides summaries of ongoing waterfowl habitat enhancement projects in the Cosumnes River Preserve (California), Ace Basin (South Carolina), Quill Lakes (Saskatchewan), and Matchedash Bay (Ontario). The progress report is available from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Notes:			

Washington DC.

Contacts:

1) Robert Streeter, c/o U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arlington Square, Room 340, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington DC 20240-3000. 703/358-1784; Fax

703/358-2282.

2) Cory Giacobbe, EPA-FWS liason contact, Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-5907.

Related Topics:

Office of Surface Mining Wetlands Program, p. 81 Wetlands Values and Functions - Overview, p. 101.

- 1) North American Waterfowl Management Plan: Progress Report A New Beginning... Environment Canada/Canadian Wildlife Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990.
- 2) National Wetlands Newsletter, "Bridging the Basin:
- U.S.-Canadian Great Lakes Agreements, *Vol. 12, No. 5
- 3) Wetlands: Meeting the President's Challenge, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wetlands Action Plan, 1990.

Federal Activities

National Wetlands Policy Forum

Creation of the Forum

In the spring of 1987, EPA asked The Conservation Foundation*, a non-profit organization dedicated to wetlands protection, to convene a national forum on wetlands issues. With initial funding support from EPA, the Conservation Foundation established the independent National Wetlands Policy Forum. The Forum included three state governors; representatives from state and local governments; the oil, gas, agriculture, and forestry industries; academic institutions; and environmental/conservation groups; as well as the EPA and other federal agencies.

Results of the Forum

In November 1988, the Wetlands Forum issued its report containing over 100 specific actions for all levels of government and the private sector. Recommended projects range from wetlands restoration initiatives in coastal Louisiana to workshops and training seminars on river corridor management. The Forum continues to assess the implementation of these actions and to consider the need for additional actions. President George Bush, during his 1988 presidential campaign and afterwards, has called for adoption of the Forum's no net loss goal.

EPA Response to the Forum's Recommendations

EPA has adopted the goal of the National Wetlands Policy Forum to achieve no overall net loss of the nation's remaining wetland base, as defined by acreage and function; and to restore and create wetlands. EPA will review and, when necessary, revise its program to protect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of wetlands.

EPA's goals include: the creation of wetlands planning initiatives; the development of a policy to mitigate the impacts of wetlands losses; the improvement of wetlands enforcement, new mechanisms to enhance state and local participation in wetlands protection; the development of methods for assessing the cumulative effects of wetland loss and degradation; and projects to restore wetlands and to increase public awareness of wetlands issues. Other federal agencies, including the Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of the Interior, have also adopted the no net loss goal. The White House Domestic Policy Council Task Force on Wetlands is studying how best to implement such a goal.

 The Conservation Foundation is now part of the World Wildlife Fund.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) Heidi Sherk, Conservation Foundation/World Wildlife Fund, (202) 293-4800.
- 2) Dianne Fish, ⊕A Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-7071.

Related Topics:

1) White House Domestic Policy Task Force on Wetlands, p. 88

- 1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection (1/89). Wetlands Action Plan.
- 2) Conservation Foundation (1988). Protecting America's Wetlands: An Action Agenda

American Wetlands Month

May 1991 Overview

Recognizing the need to respond to public interest in the values and functions of wetlands, EPA, in cooperation with other public and private organizations, is working to establish a nationwide celebration in May 1991 of "American Wetlands Month." The goal of this event is to increase public awareness of the values and importance of wetland areas, encourage people to take advantage of the unique opportunities these areas have to offer, and take action to protect, enhance, or restore wetland areas in their community.

Activities Planned

EPA is working with other federal, state, and local agencies and private organizations on American Wetlands Month activities to include:

Wetlands Month Ceremony

An official American Wetlands Month Ceremony and Reception will be held featuring EPA Administrator William Reilly, cabinet secretaries, governors, members of Congress, other federal and state officials, representatives from environmental, business and recreational groups and public personalities. President Bush will also be invited. This event will include a major policy speech by Administrator Reilly, presentations of the National Wetlands Awards, and grants for State Comprehensive Wetlands Plan demonstration projects.

Wetlands Wonderland Program

The National Safety Council's Project Echo, in cooperation with EPA, will conduct a live drama entitled, "Wetlands are Wonderlands" for kindergarten through third grade classes in the Alexandria, Virginia, schools. The play will introduce children to lovable and valuable wetlands characters who need to be protected.

Wetlands Information

EPA will distribute information packets on American Wetlands Month to interested groups and individuals. This packet will include information on wetlands values and functions, wetlands protection organizations, a sample news release, a list of possible activities, information about EPA's Wetlands Hotline, the Environmental Law Institute's National Wetlands Awards, and the Wetlands Forum.

Contacts:

1) Judy Johnson or Lori Williams, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (A-104F), 401 M Street, SW,

Washington, D.C. 20460; (202) 245-3907.

Related Topics:

1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection Overview, p. 66

Publications:

1) American Wetlands Month: May 1991. EPA Fact Sheet. (Available through the hotline)

2) America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection. Report No. OPA-87-016, 1988. (Available

through the hotline).

3) American Wetlands Month Brochure. EPA. Available in April, 1991.

Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions

Federal Definitions of Wetlands

There are four federal agencies that are primarily involved with identification of wetlands in the United States: the Environmental Protection Agency; the Army Corps of Engineers; the Department of the Interior's Fish and Wildlife Service; and the Department of Agriculture's Soil Conservation Service and Agriculture Stabilization and Conservation Service. Each agency has its own definition of wetlands, and these wetlands definitions are similar to each other; they all include three basic elements for identifying wetlands — hydrology (is the area saturated or inundated with water during the growing season?), vegetation (what kind of plants are present?), and soils (what kind of soils are present?).

Other Federal Definitions

Some of the federal land management agencies such as

the National Park Service, Forest Service, and Bureau of Land Management either have a definition of wetlands in their own regulations or are in the process of developing one. For information on a wetlands definition of a particular federal agency, call that agency.

State Definitions

Most states also have their own wetlands definitions. These definitions may differ from the federal definition in many ways -some states, such as New York, require that vegetation be present. However, many states do follow the definition in the 1989 Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. For information on an individual state definition, call the state resource agency (See Appendix F).

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Wetlands Office Contact (Appendix
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers District Office (Appendix
- 3) Fish and Wildlife Service Regional Office (Appendix
- 4) Soil Conservation Service State Office (Appendix
- **D**).
- 5) Mike Fritz, Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, (202) 245-3913
- 6) Jon Kusler, Association of State Wetland Managers, (518) 872-1804.
- 7) State resource agencies (Appendix F).

Related Topics:

- 1) U.S. EPA and Army Corps of Engineers Definition, p. 87
- 2) Soil Conservation Service Definition, p. 88
- 3) Fish and Wildlife Service Definition, p. 89
- 4) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating
- Jurisdictional Wetlands, p. 90
- 5) Wetland Hydrology, p. 92
- 6) Hydrophytic Vegetation, p. 93
- 7) Hydric Soils, p. 94

- 1) The Wetlands Resource. (Fact Sheet). EPA Office of Wetlands Protection. (Available through the Hotline)
- 2) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.
- 3) Wetlands Protection: A Handbook for Local Officials. Environmental Planning Information Series, Report #7, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, May 1990.

Federal Wetlands Definitions

U.S. EPA and Army Corps of Engineers Definition

The following definition of a wetland is the regulatory definition used by the U.S. EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for administering the Clean Water Act's Section 404 permit program:

"Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to

support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marches, bogs, and similar areas." (EPA, 40 CFR 230.3 and Corp, 33 CFR 328.3).

Notes:	
Contacts:	
De A Regional Office (Appendix A). Army Corps of Engineers District Office (Appendix	B).
Related Topics:	
 Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions and Delineation, p.86 Soil Conservation Service Definition, p. 88 Fish and Wildlife Service Definition, p. 91 	4) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands, p. 90 5) Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme, p.91
Publications: 1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.	

Federal Wetlands Definitions

Soil Conservation Service Definition

The following definition of a wetland, from the Food Security Act of 1985, is used by the Soil Conservation Service for identifying wetlands on agricultural land for the U.S. Department of Agriculture's "Swampbuster" program:

"Wetlands are defined as areas that have a predominance of hydric soils and that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of hydrophytic vegetation typically adapter for life in saturated soil conditions..."

This is the same definition used in the Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986. Although the Soil Conservation Service is a signatory to the Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands, it still uses the Food Security Act Manual for delineating wetlands in farmland areas.

Notes:	
	ı
Contacts:	
Soil Conservation Service State Office (Appendix D).	

Related Topics:

- 1) Swampbuster Program, p. 59
- 2) Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions and Delineation, p. 86
- 3) U.S. EPA and Army Corps of Engineers Definition, p.87
- 4) Fish and Wildlife Service Definition, p.89
- 5) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands, p. 90
- 6) Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme, p.91

- 1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.
- 2) National Food Security Act Manual, 1988.
- 3) "Case of the Missing Wetlands," Mark Shoup, National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 13, No. 2.

Federal Wetlands Definitions

Fish and Wildlife Service Definition

The following definition of a wetland is used by the Fish and Wildlife Service:

"Wetlands are lands transitional between terrestrial andaquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface or the land is covered by shallow water. For purposes of this classification wetlands must have one or more of the following three attributes: 1) at least periodically, the land supports predominantly hydrophytes, 2) the substrate is predominantly undrained hydric soil, and 3) the substrate is nonsoil and is saturated with water or covered by shallow water at some time during the growing season of each year." (Cowardin, et al., 1979).

Notes:	
Contacts: Fish and Wildlife Service Contacts (Appendix C).	,
Related Topics: 1) Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions	4) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating
and Delineation, p. 86	Jurisdictional Wetlands, p. 90.

Publications:

1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.

2) U.S. EPA and Army Corps of Engineers Definition,

3) Soil Conservation Service Definition, p. 88

2) Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats

of the United States. Cowardin, L.M. et al., Fish and Wildlife Service, 1979.

5) Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme, p.91.

6) Efforts to Map Wetlands, p. 100

Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands

Overview

This manual was issued in January 1989 through a cooperative effort of four federal agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands: EPA, the Army Corps of Engineers, the Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Soil Conservation Service. It describes the three technical criteria that are used in determining a wetland: hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology. All three of these technical criteria must be met for an area to be identified as a wetland. The manual also describes ways to gather identification

information on these three criteria, and methods for identifying and delineating jurisdictional wetlands. An Intergovernmental Committee made up of representatives of each of the four agencies is currently revising the Manual to make technical changes. A new version of the manual is scheduled for publication later this year. The current version of the manual is available from the Government Printing Office, Document number 024-010-00603-8.

Notes:	 		

Contacts:

- 1) Government Printing Office, (202) 783-3238, for a copy of the manual.
- 2) Mike Fritz, Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, (202) 245-3913.
- 3) EPA Regional Wetlands Office Contact (Appendix
- 4) Army Corps of Engineers District Office (Appendix B).

Related Topics:

- 1) Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions and Delineation, p. 86
- 2) Wetlands Hydrology, p.92

- 3) Hydrophytic Vegetation, p.93
- 4) Hydric Soils, p.94
- 5) Efforts to Map Wetlands, p. 100

Publications:

1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands, Federal Interagency

Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.

Wetlands Classification

Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme

The Fish and Wildlife Service's 20-class scheme of wetlands of the United States was developed in 1956. The twenty types are grouped under four major wetland categories:

Inland Fresh Areas (types 1 to 8); Inland Saline Areas (types 9 to 11); Coastal Fresh Areas (types 12 to 14); and Coastal Saline Areas (types 15 to 20).

This manual is useful for understanding the functions and values of wetlands and for field identification of wetlands. It is also used to map wetlands for the National Wetlands Inventory. However, identification does not assign a value to the wetland or delineate it for the purposes of regulation.

Notes:	
	•
Contacts: Fish and Wildlife Service regional office (Appendix C).	
Related Topics: 1) Fish and Wildlife Service - Mission and Programs, p. 72	Regional Wetlands Types, p.95 Wetlands Values and Functions - Overview, p.101

- 1) Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States, Cowardin et al, 1979.
- 2) Wetland Identification and Delineation Manual, EPA.
- Field Guide to Nontidal Wetland Identification, Ralph W. Tiner, Jr. Maryland Department of Environmental Protection, April 1988.

Wetlands Characteristics

Wetland Hydrology

Wetland hydrology means the wetness of the wetland area. The presence of water, whether permanent or periodic, is very essentially what makes a wetland a wetland. Soils and hydrology are derived from the presence of water. The hydrology determines the types of plants that can grow and the types of soils that may develop. Numerous factors influence the wetness of an area, including precipitation, topography, soil permeability, and plant cover. All wetlands usually have at least a seasonal abundance of water. This water may come from direct precipitation, overbank flooding, surface water runoff due to precipitation or snow

melt, groundwater discharge, or tidal flooding. The length of time that soil saturation and inundation occurs and lasts can widely vary, from just a few weeks of the year to constant wetness. It may be present as standing water or simply saturate the soil during a critical part of the growing season.

Of the three technical criteria for wetland identification, wetland hydrology is the most important, however it is often the least exact and most difficult to establish in the field due to annual, seasonal, and daily fluctuations.

Notes:		

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Wetlands Office (Appendix A).
- 2) Billy Teels, USDA Soil Conservation Service, (202) 447-5991.
- 3) Society of Wetland Scientists (Appendix J).
- 4) National Wetlands Technical Council (Appendix J).

Related Topics:

- 1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands, p. 90
- 2) Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme, p. 91
- 3) Hydrophytic Vegetation, p. 93.
- 4) Hydric Soils, p. 94

Publications:

- 1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.
- 2) Field Guide to Nontidal Wetland Identification.

Raiph W. Tiner, Jr. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1988.

Wetlands Characteristics

Hydrophytic Vegetation

The plant life that grows in a wetland is considered to be of plant species growing in wetlands also grow in non-wethydrophytic vegetation, because it grows in water, soil, or on a substrate that is at least periodically deficient in oxygen as a result of excessive water content. However, not all plants that grow in wetlands grow only in wetlands. Those plants that do - called obligate wetland species - are only 27 percent of the nearly 7,000 vascular plant species that grow in U.S. wetlands. This means that the majority

lands — or in upland — areas in varying degrees. Plants that are often found in wetlands and upland areas alike are known as facultative wetland plants, and constitute the majority of plant types found in wetlands. In addition, a category of plants found less frequently in wetlands and primarily in upland areas are known as facultative-upland

Notes:	

Contacts:

- 1) Bill Sipple, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-5066.
- 2) Society of Wetlands Scientists, (Appendix J).
- 3) National Wetlands Technical Council (Appendix J).

Related Topics:

- 1) Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions and Delineation, p. 86
- 2) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands, p.90.
- 3) Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme, p. 91
- 4) Wetlands Hydrology, p.92
- 5) Hydric Soils, p. 94

Publications:

- 1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.
- 2) National List of Plant Species That Occur in Wetlands: National Summary. P.B. Reed, Jr., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1988.
- 3) Field Guide to Nontidal Wetland Identification. Ralph W. Tiner, Jr. Maryland Department of Natural

Resources, 1988.

- 4) Walking the Wetlands: A Hiker's Guide to Common Plants and Animals of Marshes, Bogs, and Swamps. Lyons, Janet and Sandra Jordan, 1989.
- 5) Field Guide to the Submerged Aquatic Vegetation of the Chesapeake Bay. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990.

Wetlands Characteristics

Hydric Soils

The current definition of hydric soils describes them as soils that are saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper layers near the surface. In general, this time period is usually one week or more when soil temperatures are above 41 degrees Fahrenheit. Usually these soils support hydrophytic vegetation, but many hydric soils have been thoroughly drained and no longer have the hydrology to support wetlands vegetation. A hydric soil by itself is

not a conclusive indicator of a wetland. The National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils has developed criteria for hydric soils and a list of the nation's hydric soils.

An alteration of this definition is under consideration by the Soil Committee. When the changes are final, they will be incorporated into the manual.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) Bill Sipple, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-5066.
- 2) Society of Wetlands Scientists, (Appendix J).
- 3) National Wetlands Technical Council (Appendix J).

Related Topics:

- 1) Overview of Federal and State Wetlands Definitions and Delineation, p.86
- 2) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Junsdictional Wetlands, p.90
- 3) Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme, p. 91
- 4) Wetlands Hydrology, p.92
- 5) Hydrophytic Vegetation, p.93

- 1) Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation, 1989.
- 2) Hydric Soils of the United States. USDA Soil Conservation Service, 1987.

Wetlands Classification

Regional Wetlands Types

Wetlands are known by many names that can vary from one region of the country to another.

Bogs

They have a thick layer of floating peat on the surface and are highly acidic. They have no regular inlet or outlet of water, thus they are dependent upon precipitation for water. Bogs are found in the northern United States.

Bottomland Hardwoods

These are deciduous forested wetlands, found along rivers and streams generally in the broad floodplains of the southeast and southcentral United States.

Emergent Wetlands

They are characterized by free-standing, nonwoody plants. They can be either freshwater or saltwater. Emergent wetlands are found throughout the United States, particularly in coastal areas, adjacent to major lakes, and in the West.

Fens

They have a defined outlet and are supported by mineralrich groundwater that has seeped to the surface. Like bogs, fens have large amounts of peat. They are found in the northern United States.

Mangroves

These are coastal saltwater wetlands that are covered with water all year around. Mangroves are found along the coast of the southern United States.

Marshes

These are emergent wetlands with a regular inlet and outlet of water. They can be either salt or freshwater, inland or coastal. They are dominated primarily by nonwoody vegetation. Marshes are found throughout the United States.

Swamp

Swamps are dominated primarily by trees or shrubs, and are found throughout the United States.

Prairie Potholes

These are depressional wetlands found in the Upper Midwest, especially North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota. They are major waterfowl breeding and migration resting areas.

Playa Lakes

These are periodically flooded wetland basins that are common in parts of the Southwest and Plains States.

Contacts:

- 1) Bill Sipple., EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, 202/382-5066.
- 2) Izaak Walton League of America (Appendix J).
- 3) National Audubon Society (Appendix J).
- 5) Society of Wetlands Scientists (Appendix J).

Related Topics:

- 1) Fish and Wildlife Service 20-Class Scheme, p. 91
- 2) Wetlands Values and Functions Overview, p. 101

Publications:

- 1) Certified: A Citizen's Step-by-Step Guide to Protecting Vernal Pools. Colburn, Elizabeth A., editor, Massachusetts Audubon Society, 1989.
- 2) Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States, Cowardin et al, 1979.
- 3) Coastal Marshes: Ecology and Wildlife Management. Chabreck Robert A., University of Minnesota, 1988.
- 4) Field Guide to Nontidal Wetland Identification, Ralph W. Tiner, Jr. Maryland Department of Environmental Protection, April 1988.
- 5) Marsh Management in Coastal Louisiana: Effects and Issues. Duffy, Walter G. and Darryl Clark, editors. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1989.
- 6) Northern Prairie Wetlands. Van der Valk, Arnold,

4) National Wildlife Federation (Appendix H).

- Editor. Iowa State University, 1989.
- 7) Our National Wetlands Heritage: A Protection Guidebook, Dr. Jon A. Kusler, 1983.
- 8) Reestablishment of Bottomland Hardwood Forests on Disturbed Sites: An Annotated Bibliography. Haynes, Ronnie J. et al. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1988.
- 9) South Carolina River Assessment. Beasley, Barry R. David A. Lange, and Wallace C. Brittain. South Carolina Water Resources Commission, 1988.
- 10) Walking the Wetlands: A Hiker's Guide to Common Plants and Animals of Marshes, Bogs, and Swamps. Lyons, Janet and Sandra Jordan, 1989.

Loss of Wetlands in the United States

Historic Rate of Loss Nationally

In 1989, through the North American Wetlands Conservation Act, Congress directed the Secretary of the Interior to assess the number of wetland acres that existed in the 1780s and in the 1980s for the United States and for each state. Congress also required an assessment of the estimated percentage of loss of wetlands in each state during this 200-year time span.

Wetlands in the U.S. in the 1780s

The Fish and Wildlife Study found that, at the time of Colonial America, the United States contained an estimated 392 million acres of wetlands. Of this total, 221 million acres were thought to be located in the lower 48

states. Other studies have come up with different totals, ranging from 211 million acres by the USDA Soil Conservation Service to 217 acres by the USDA Economic Research Service.

Wetlands in the U.S. in the 1980s

By the 1980s, the entire United States, including Alaska and Hawaii, contained only 274 million acres of wetlands, a 70 percent loss. The lower 48 states had 104 million acres of wetlands remaining. Over a period of 200 years, the lower 48 states have lost an estimated 53 percent of their original wetlands.

Notes:	 	

Contacts:

- 1) Craig Johnson, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory, 703/358-2201.
- 2) USDA Economic Research Service; (202) 344-2264.
- 3) USDA Soil Conservation Service, State Conservationists (Appendix D).

Related Topics:

- 1) Rate of Present Losses Nationally, p. 97
- 2) State and Regional Loss Statistics, p. 98
- 3) Major Causes of Wetlands Losses, p. 99
- 4) Mapping Efforts of Wetlands, p. 100
- 5) North American Wetlands Conservation Act of 1989, p. 114.

- 1) Wetlands Losses in the United States, 1780s to 1980s. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990.
- 2) Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats in the Conterminous United States, 1950s to 1970s. Frayer et al., Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, 1983.
- 3) Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous United States, 1970s to 1980s. Dahl, Thomas E. and Craig E. Johnson, Fish and Wildlife Service (Draft), 1990.
- 4) Soil Taxonomy. A Basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil Surveys. USDA Soil Conservation Service, 1975.
- 5) Wetland Priority Analysis the lower 48 States (unpublished). USDA Economic Research Service, 1989.
- 6) Farm Drainage in the United States: History, Status, and Prospects. Pavells, G.A., editor, USDA Economic Research Service, 1987.

Loss of Wetlands in the United States

Rate of Present Losses Nationally

1950s to 1970s

In the 1950s total acreage of wetlands in the lower 48. United States - not including Alaska and Hawaii - was 108.1 million acres. In the 1970s it was 99.0 million acres, a net loss of over nine million acres. Average annual net loss for the 20-year period was 458,000 acres. Average annual net loss of inland wetlands was 439,000 acres, and the remaining 19,000 acres was from estuarine wetlands wetlands. Much of this loss was due to wetland conversion for agriculture and occurred primarily in Midwestern and Mississippi River Valley States.

1970s and 1980s

New information on wetlands losses between the 1970s and the 1980s is forthcoming in the updated version of Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats in the Conterminous United States, due for publication in 1991. This report will generate new information based on a statistical analysis of wetland changes from the 1970s to the 1980s. The status and trends report will be updated every ten years by the Fish and Wildlife Service as required by the Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986.

Notes:	
-	
	·
Contacts:	
1) Craig Johnson, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory, 703/358-2201.	
Related Topics:	
Historic Rate of Loss Nationally, p. 96 State and Regional Loss Statistics, p. 98	3) Major Causes of Wetlands Losses, p. 99

Publications:

- 1) Wetlands Losses in the United States, 1780s to 1980s. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990.
- 2) Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats in the Conterminous United States, 1950s to 1970s. Frayer et al., Colorado State University, Ft.

Collins, 1983.

3) Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous United States, 1970s to 1980s. Dahl, Thomas E. and Craig E. Johnson, Fish and Wildlife Service (Draft), 1990.

Loss of Wetlands in the United States

State and Regional Loss Statistics

Approximately 53 percent of the original wetlands in the lower 48 states have been lost in the past two hundred years. Alaska has lost a fraction of one percent while Hawaii has lost an estimated 12 percent of its original wetland areas. On average, this means that the lower 48 states have lost over 60 acres of wetlands for every hour between the 1780s and the 1980s.

Greatest Areas of Loss, 1780s to 1980s

Ten states - Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, and Ohiohave lost 70 percent or more of their original wetland acreage. California has lost the largest percentage of original wetlands within the state (91 percent), and Florida has lost the most acreage (9.3 million acres). Twenty-two states have lost 50 percent or more of their original wetland areas. With the exception of Alaska, New Hampshire, and Hawaii, no state has lost less than 20 percent of its original wetland acreage.

Current Wetlands Status

Among the lower 48 states, Florida, Louisiana, Minnesota, and Texas are the four states with the greatest wetlands acreage. Other states with considerable wetlands include Alabama, Georgia, Maine, Michigan, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Wisconsin.

Difficulty in Estimations

It is difficult to make accurate estimates of wetland

acreage during colonial time. Two problems make it difficult to use original acreage surveys or land use reports: 1) quantitative information on wetlands is not available from early engineering or recomnaissance survey reports; and 2) national and state boundaries have changed dramatically since the 1780s.

Data on existing wetland acreage also must be interpreted with caution. For some states, the wetlands have been mapped for the entire state by the National Wetlands Inventory, and acreage summary reports are available detailing the extent of wetlands. However, for those states that are not completely mapped or where acreage summaries are not yet compiled, an accurate accounting of wetland acreage is not always available. In addition, the current status of wetlands in the United States is always changing. Individual states may have information available on wetlands trends in addition to information available through the Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory.

Future Losses

Based on the Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory estimates of past wetlands losses, the Nation will lose an additional 4,250,000 acres of wetlands by the year 2000. This represents an area larger than the states of Connecticut and Rhode Island combined.

Contacts:

- 1) State resource agencies (Appendix F).
- 2) EPA Regional Office Wetlands Contact (Appendix
- A۱.
- 3) Army Corps of Engineers District Office (Appendix
- 8).

- 4) Fish and Wildlife Service Regional Office (Appendix
- 5) Soil Conservation Service State Office (Appendix D).

Related Topics:

- 1) Historic Rate of Loss Nationally, p. 96
- 2) Rate of Present Losses Nationally, p. 97

3) Major Causes of Wetlands Losses, p. 99.

- 1) Wetlands Losses in the United States, 1780s to 1980s, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1990.
- 2) Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats in the Conterminous United States, 1950s to 1970s. Frayer et al., Colorado State University, Ft. Collins. 1983.
- 3) Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous
- United States, 1970s to 1980s. Dahl, Thomas E. and Craig E. Johnson, Fish and Wildlife Service (Draft), 1990.
- 4) Wetlands of the United States: Current Status and Recent Trends, U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, 1984.
- 5) Wetlands: Meeting the President's Challenge. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wetlands Action Plan, 1990.

Loss of Wetlands in the United States

Major Causes of Wetlands Losses

Since the first European settlers came to the United States, several different forces have contributed to the loss and degredation of wetlands in the United States.

Human Impacts

- Drainage
- Dredging and stream channelization
- Deposition of fill material
- Diking and damming
- Tilling for crop production
- Grazing by domesticated animals
- Discharge of pollutants

- Mining
- Alteration of hydrology

Natural Threats:

- Erosion
- Subsidence
- Sea-level Rise
- Droughts
- · Hurricanes and other storms
- Overgrazing by wildlife

Notes:		•	
			,

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, Glenn Eugster, (202) 382-5045.
- 2) Thomas Dahl, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service., St.

Petersburg, FL (813) 893-3620.

3) State and Territorial Agencies and Citizens' Groups (Appendix G).

Related Topics:

- 1) Historic Rate of Losses Nationally, p. 96
- 2) Rate of Present Losses Nationally, p. 97

3) State and Regional Loss Statistics, p.98

Publications:

- 1) Wetlands Losses in the United States, 1780s to 1980s. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1990.
- 2) Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats in the Conterminous United States, 1950s to 1970s. Frayer et al., Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, 1983.
- 3) Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous

United States, 1970s to 1980s. Dahl, Thomas E. and Craig E. Johnson, Fish and Wildlife Service (Draft), 1990.

4) Wetlands of the United States: Current Status and Recent Trends, U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, 1984.

Loss of Wetlands in the United States

Efforts to Map Wetlands

There have been several efforts to map wetlands in the United States, and for many reasons, such as determining jurisdictional wetlands, acres of wetlands lost, or the current status of wetlands. Almost all federal land management agencies have either been mapping wetlands or have recently begun to map wetlands, and many states have also mapped their wetlands. These maps alone, however, should not be relied on to determine the existence of wetlands on a particular parcel or area.

Federal Land Management Agencies

- The Fish and Wildlife Service is the leading federal agency with responsibility for mapping the nation's wetlands through its National Wetlands Inventory program. The National Wetlands Inventory maps the status of wetlands in the United States and the percentage of each state that is wetland.
- The Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service (ERS) has mapped the extent and location of land in the U.S., by state and by region, that has been drained for agricultural use. Beginning in 1900, the ERS has compiled this information for five-year time intervals. It conducted another survey in 1978 and in 1980, and for every year thereafter.
- The Department of Defense (DOD) has recently begun to map wetlands on their bases around the country, and on lands leased by the DOD. The Navy signed an agreement last year with the Fish and Wildlife Service to inventory and preserve wetlands on 70 naval installations.

- The Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) has been conducting ongoing wetlands mapping activities for purposes of Section 404.
- The National Park Service began a wetlands preservation, mapping, and research program this year.
- The Forest Service has an ongoing program to inventory wetlands and other riparian lands.
- The Bureau of Land Management began a program to map riparian wetlands areas on land it administers in the Western United States this year, including a five-year effort to inventory wetlands.
- The Soil Conservation Service's Natural Resources Inventory measures soil types in the United States, which is uses in making swampbuster determinations under the 1985 and 1990 Farm Bills. County SCS offices have maps of wetlands on farmland for the purposes of the Swampbuster program.
- The U.S. Geological Survey has topographic maps, on the scale of 1:24,000, and land use and land cover maps, both which may have maps of wetlands.

State Mapping Efforts

Many states have been mapping their wetlands for several years - often the information for federal mapping is derived from state-conducted mapping. For information on state-level mapping, contact your state natural resource agency for wetlands (See Appendix F).

Contacts:

- 1) Craig Johnson, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory, 703/358-2201,
- 2) Soil Conservation Service headquarters, public information office; 202/382-1861.
- 3) Department of Defense, Peter Boice, (202) 325-2215.
- 4) National Park Service, Pam Matthes, (202) 208-4639..
- 5) Forest Service, Larry Schmidt, (202) 453-9475..
- 6) U.S. Geologic Survey, Geological Research, (703) 860-6341.

Related Topics:

- 1) Historic Rate of Loss Nationally, p. 96
- 2) Rate of Present Losses Nationally, p. 97
- 3) State and Regional Loss Statistics, p. 98.

- 1) Farm Drainage in the United States: History, Status, and Prospects. USDA ERS, 1987.
- 2) Status and Trends of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats in the Conterminous United States, 1950s to 1970s. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1983. Copies from Department of Forest and Wood Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, for \$5.00.
- 3) Summary Report, 1987 National Resources Inventory. USDA Soil Conservation Service, 1989.
- 4) Wetlands of the United States: Current Status and Recent Trends. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1984. Available from the Government Printing Office.
- 5) Our National Wetlands Heritage: A Protection Guidebook. Dr. Jon A. Kusler, 1983.

The Importance of Wetlands - Overview

Wetlands is the collective term for marshes, swamps, bogs, and similar areas that often develop between open water and dry land. These wet areas can be found in every county of every state in the United States.

In the past, wetlands were often regarded as wastelands—sources of mosquitoes, flies, and unpleasant odors. Most people felt that wetlands were places to be avoided, or better yet, eliminated. Largely because of this negative view, more than half of America's original wetlands have been destroyed. They have been drained and converted to farmland, filled for housing development and industrial

facilities, or simply used as dump sites for both household and hazardous waste.

More recently, with our increased understanding of ecology, attitudes towards wetlands have changed. Scientists have discovered that wetlands, are valuable natural resources. Among other things, wetlands help improve water quality, reduce flood and storm damages, provide important fish and wildlife habitat, and support hunting and fishing activities. (See below for descriptions of individual values and functions of wetlands.)

Notes:		 	

Contacts:

- 1) Glenn Eugster, EPA Headquarters OWP Outreach Contact, (202) 382-5045.
- 2) For a scientific perspective, call your Society of Wetlands Scientists/National Wetlands Technical

Council, Appendix J.

3) EPA Regional Wetlands Coordinator, see Appendix A.

Related Topics:

- 1) Fish and Wildlife Habitat p.103
- 2) Water Quality Improvement p.110
- 3) Sediment Control p. 108
- 4) Shoreline Erosion Control p.102.
- 5) Flood Control p. 104.

- 6) Water Supply Improvement p. 112
- 7) Treating Acid Mine Drainage p.109
- 8) Natural Resource Products p.105.

Publications:

- 1) American Water Resources Association. *Wetlands:* Concerns and Successes. Bethesda, MD, 1989.
- 2) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Prepared by the Office of Wetland Protection. Report No. OPA-87-016, 1988. (available

from the hotline)

3) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to Protecting Wetlands. 1989.

Erosion Control

Wetlands are an effective means of erosion control. By anchoring the soils along river banks and lake shores, wetland plants hold soils in place. The plants also reduce erosion by dissipating energy from small waves and currents, with mangroves being particularly resistant.

Erosion control and development

Publications

1) National Research Council. Managing Coastal

Erosion. National Academy Press, Washington, DC,

Erosion control is particularly important in areas where streams and rivers are threatened by development pressure.

Improper land development can encroach on shorelines, leaving little vegetation to trap sediment or control future stream bank erosion. Communities that preserve their wetlands may be able to avoid future expenditures on sediment control basins or traps, and some states are now recommending the planting of wetland vegetation to control shoreline erosion in coastal areas.

Notes:	
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
Contacts:	
Society of Wetlands Scientists, see Appendix J, for technical questions.	International Erosion Control Association, see Appendix H.
Related Topics:	
 Sediment Control, p.108 Flood Control, p. 104 	

1990.

Fish and Wildlife Habitat

Wetlands support a wide variety of plants and animals, including many threatened and endangered species. Because wetlands are among the world's most productive ecosystems, there is seldom a shortage of food for fish and wildlife in areas where wetlands have not been destroyed. The abundant plant growth in wetlands provides the foundation of the food chain, as well as shelter for animals. Crabs, clams, small fish, and other animals commonly feed on "detritus" — material that results from the breakdown of wetland plants. In turn, larger animals feed on detrituseating animals. Wetlands are ideal breeding sites for a wide variety of birds and mammals, including ducks, geese, mink, and beaver. In addition to providing year-round habitats for resident birds, wetlands are especially impor-

tant as breeding grounds, overwintering areas, and feeding grounds for migratory waterfowl and numerous other birds.

Endangered Species in Wetland Areas

Although wetlands cover less than 5 percent of the country's lands, they provide habitat for about 45 percent of the nation's federally-listed endangered animal and plant species. A few examples of wetland dependent endangered species are the American crocodile, the Everglade kite, the American wood stork, and the whooping crane. The tremendous natural diversity in wetland areas provide unique habitats for many of the country's rarest species of plants and animals.

Notes:		 	

Contacts:

- 1) Tom Muir, US Fish and Wildlife Service (202) 208-5543.
- 2) Ducks Unlimited, (202) 452-8824.
- 3) Trout Unlimited, (703) 281-1100.
- 4) Scott Feierabend, National Wildlife Federation, (202) 797-6800.
- 5) Whooping Crane Conservation Association (Appendix H).
- 6) Your local Audubon, National Wildlife Federation, or other NGO, see Appendix G.
- 7) Wildfowl Trust of North America (see Appendix H)
- 8) International Wild Waterfowl Association (see Appendix H)
- 9) American Omithologists Union and Association of Field Omithologists (see Appendix H)

Related Topics

- 1) Endangered Species Act, p. 46.
- 2) Fish and Wildlife Service Mission and Programs, p.72.
- 3) North American Waterfowl Management Plan, p.

83.

Publications

- 1) Lyons, Janet and Sandra Jordan. Walking the Wetlands: A Hiker's Guide to Common Plants and Animals of Marshes, Bogs, and Swamps. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1989. 222 pages.
- 2) North American Waterfowl Management Plan, 1990 Update, US Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 3) US Fish and Wildlife Service 1990 Wetlands Action Plan.
- 4) Feierabend, Scott. "Wetlands: The Lifeblood of Wildlife," in Hammer, Donald, ed. Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment. Lewis

Publishers, 1990.

- 5) Kraus, Mark. "Urban Wetlands" in National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 13, No. 1, p.1.
- 6) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. (available from the hotline)
- 7) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to Wetlands Protection. 1989.
- 8) Wharton, Charles. Southern River Swamp. Georgia State University.

Flood Control

Wetlands function as natural basins, storing flood waters that overflow riverbanks or surface water that collects in isolated depressions. By doing so, wetlands help protect adjacent and downstream areas from flood damage. Trees and other wetland vegetation also help to slow the speed of flood waters.

A one-acre wetland can hold up to 330,000 gallons of water if flooded to a depth of one foot. Saturated wetland soils can also hold large volumes of water like a giant sponge. If wetlands are removed or filled, downstream flood levels will rise and crest much faster. Wetlands can help protect the public from flood damage and may reduce the need for public spending on flood control structures or extensive drainage systems.

In agricultural areas, wetlands can help to reduce the

potential of flood damage to crops. Wetlands within and upstream of cities are especially valuable for flood protection—urban development increases flooding by eliminating vegetation and covering areas where flood waters could seep back into the ground.

National Flood Insurance Program

Lawmakers recognized the value of wetlands for flood control when they passed the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, which created the National Flood Insurance Program. This program offers low-cost, guaranteed insurance to homeowners in flood-prone communities in return for communities directing new development out of the flood-plain and enforcing floodplain regulations.

	 		 	 		
Notes:						
-						
		_	 			

Contacts:

- 1) Tennessee Valley Authority. Jim Wright. (615) 632-4792.
- 2) Rebecca Hughes, Association of State Floodplain Managers, (301) 974-3825.
- 3) Beth Milleman, Coast Alliance, Washington, DC.

4) For more information on the National Flood Insurance Program, contact Frank Thomas, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC.

Related Topics:

- 1) Erosion Control, p. 102
- 2) Sediment Control, p. 108

3) Coastal Barriers Resources Act, p.115

- 1) Cities Under Water: A Comparative Evaluation of Ten Cities' Efforts to Manage Floodplain Use.
- 2) Butler, David. Floodplain Harmony. Association of State Floodplain Managers, 1989.
- 3) Association of State Floodplain Managers Newsletter.
- 4) Beth Milleman and Elise Jones. Improving the National Flood Insurance Program. *National Wetlands Newsletter*. Vol. 12, No. 3 (1990).
- 5) Wharton, Charles. Southern River Swamp. Georgia State University.

Natural Resource Products

A wealth of natural products are produced by wetlands. Those available for human use include timber, fish and shellfish, blueberries, cranberries, sugar cane, and wild rice. For more information on the role of wetlands in fisheries and waterfowl hunting, see the following page pages.

Timber

Forested wetlands are an important source of timber. For example, timber production on 2,300 acres of the Alcovy River in Georgia was estimated to be worth over \$1.5 million per year in 1985. There can be problems associated with timber cutting in wetlands.

Other products

Wetlands are highly productive. This productivity is typically seen as lush growth of vegetation, but also extends to very rich soils. Historically, wetlands have had agricultural uses including the production of hay and muck-farm vegetables such as celery and onions, and wetland grasses are very effective mulches. In addition, some wetlands are rich in peat—a type of moss that grows in extremely wet areas. Although peat moss mining has become a common practice in some areas both as fuel and as an agricultural product, mining also can destroy the natural functions of the wetland and is strictly regulated in most states.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) For information on fisheries, contact the National Manne Fisheries Service., public affairs officee, (202) 377-3263.
- For information on waterfowl hunting in wetlands, contact Ducks Unlimited (see Appendix H for area chapters)
- 3) For information on the role of wetlands in fisheries, contact the American Fisheries Society, (301)

897-8616.

- 4) National Audubon Society (see Appendix H for local chapter).
- 5) For a more scientific perspective, contact the Society of Wetlands Scientists or National Wetlands Technical Council chapter in your region (see Appendix J).

Related Topics:

- 1) Fish and Wildlife Habitat, p.103
- 2) Natural Resource Products, p. 105

3) Importance of Wetlands - Overview, p.101.

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. (Available from the Hotline)
- 2) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to

Protecting Wetlands. 1989.

3) South Carolina Research Center Newsletter.

105

Fisheries

Wetlands are a vital part of the nation's commercial and recreational fishing industries. When wetland plants die, bacteria and fungi transform them into minute fragments of food and vitamin rich detritus which are carried into tidal creeks, bays, and offshore waters. Many species of sport and commercial fish and shellfish are dependent upon this detritus. In addition, salt marshes provide protected nursing areas for important commercial fishes such as cod, herring, and mackerel.

Today, much of the nation's fishing and shellfishing industries harvest wetland-dependent species. For example, in the Southeast 96 percent of the commercial catch and over 50 percent of the recreational harvest are fish and shell fish that depend on the estuary coastal wetland system. Currently, the U.S. commercial fisheries harvest is valued at more than \$10 billion per year.

Notes:			·
	 _		

Contacts:

- 1) For information on fisheries, contact the National Marine Fisheries Service, public affairs office, (202) 377-3263...
- 2) For information on the role of wetlands in fisheries, contact the American Fisheries Society. (301)

897-8616.

3) For a more scientific perspective, contact the Society of Wetlands Scientists or National Wetlands Technical Council chapter in your region (see Appendix J).

Related Topics:

- 1) Fish and Wildlife Habitat, p. 103
- 2) Natural Resource Products, p.105

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. (Available from the Hotline)
- 2) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to

Protecting Wetlands. 1989.

3) South Carolina Research Center Newsletter.

Recreational and Aesthetic Values

General Recreational and Aesthetic Values

Both tidal and nontidal wetlands are areas of great diversity and beauty and provide open space for recreational and visual enjoyment. Wetlands provide endless opportunities for popular recreational activities, such as hiking, hunting, fishing and swimming. As such, wetlands contribute to the tourist industry in many ways. Increasingly, wetlands are also being viewed as valuable simply for the natural beauty they offer.

Bird Watching

Through the centuries, painters and writers have sought to capture the beauty of wetlands on canvas and paper. A wide variety of birds are dependent on wetlands for breeding. Today, artists are often joined by others with cameras, video and sound recorders seeking the natural diversity of

wetlands. Bird watching and photography enticed an estimated 50 million people to wetlands in this country last year.

Urban Open Space Values

Wetlands provide important open space in and around urban areas. A 1981 study of the Charles River in Massachusetts, for instance, found that land values generally were higher when the land abutted wetlands.

Hunting and Fishing

Twenty million Americans enjoy recreational fishing. Many types of fishes are dependent on wetlands as sources of food or for habitat to spawn. In addition, millions of people hunt waterfowl which depend on wetlands for feeding, breeding, and resting.

Notes:		 <u> </u>	

Contacts:

- 1) Linda Winter, Izaak Walton League (703) 528-1818.
- 2) Glenn Eugster, EPA Headquarters OWP, (202) 382-5045.
- 3) Jeanne Melanson, Federal Agency Coordinator, EPA Office of Wetlands Protection, (202) 382-7073.

For more information on bird watching, contact the one of the following organizations:

- 4) National Audubon Society (see Appendix H for local chapter)
- 5) Wildfowl Trust of North America (see Appendix H)
- 6) International Wild Waterfowl Association (see Appendix H)
- 7) American Omithologists Union and Association of Field Omithologists (see Appendix H)

Related Topics:

Fish and Wildlife Habitat, see p. 103

Publications:

- 1) Caduto, Michael. Pond and Brook: A Guide to Nature in Freshwater Environments.
- 2) Walking the Wetlands: A Hiker's Guide.
- 3) National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to

Wetlands Protection. 1989.

4) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. (Available from the Hotline)

Sediment Control

Problems Associated with Sediment Buildup

Excess sediment in rivers and streams causes a number of problems. It reduces the penetration of light into the water which limits plant growth. Sediment also smothers bottom-dwelling organisms, such as oysters and clams, and can harm many fish species. As little as one-tenth of an inch of sediment on trout or smallmouth bass eggs may prevent them from hatching. Sediment also can fill in spawning areas, destroying a fish's ability to reproduce. In other instances, sediment can eliminate much of the insect life that fish feed on. Finally, as sediment settles to the bottom, it reduces water depth and increases the need or desire for dredging.

The Role of Wetlands in Sediment Control

Wetlands slow down flood waters which reduces the ability of flood waters to erode. Wetland vegetation filters and holds sediment, while the roots of the plants bind the soil in place and prevent further erosion of the site. Wetlands are particularly useful in sediment control in areas of intensive land use or development, such as farms or cities. Also, wetlands play a vital role in protecting water quality along streams and rivers by catching incoming sediments. The Bureau of Land Management, the Forest Service and the National Park Service are all experimenting with wetlands resotration to reduce erosion and sedimentation.

Notes:	, <u> </u>			- · · · ·

Contacts:

- 1) Soil Conservation Service State and District Offices, see Appendix D.
- 2) National Wetlands Technical Council and Society of Wetlands Scientists, see Appendix K.
- 3) Bureau of Land Management Headquarters, (202) 653-9210.
- 4) Bob Thronson, Assessment and Watershed

Protection, US EPA (202) 382-7103 — Contact for "Fifth Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference, Las Vegas, NV, March 18-21, 1991.

- 5) Donald A. Hammer, Tennessee Valley Authority.
- 6) Rebecca Hughes, National Association of Floodplain Managers, (202) 858-8041.

Related Topics:

- 1) Erosion Control, p.102.
- 2) Water Quality Improvement, p. 110
- 3) Fish and Wildlife Habitat, p. 103

4) USDA Soil and Water Conservation Programs, p. 75

Publications:

1) Coastal Environmental Quality in the United States, 1990: Chemical Contamination in Sediment and Tissues, a Special NOAA Report. US Department of Commerce, 1990.

Treating Acid Mine Drainage

Water passing through active and inactive coal mines is often contaminated with sulfur and other by-products of mining operations. This makes the water unusually acidic when it emerges from the mine, and the result is called "acid mine drainage." In addition, water passing through coal mines often picks up significant concentrations of heavy metals.

Wetlands and Acid Mine Drainage

Artificial wetlands have proved successful at improving the quality of water contaminated with acid mine drainage. In the wetlands, metals can be separated out of the water and taken up by the soil and plants. This process also can remove some of the acidity from the mine waters. It costs significantly less to maintain artificial wetlands than to maintain conventional treatment systems. As a result, wetlands have been created at more than 100 active and inactive coal mines in Pennsylvania alone. Very few of these wetlands are capable of replacing chemical treatment completely, and their effectiveness in removing metals may decrease over time. Nevertheless, the experience of the mining industry further shows the ability of wetlands to improve water quality. It is important to add that while EPA and other agencies are actively studying the use of created wetlands for treating acid mine drainage, they have not advocated the use of natural wetlands for this purpose.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) Howard Marks, Department of the Interior, Office of Surface Mining, (202) 208-2553.
- 2) James McElfish, Environmental Law Institute, (202)

328-5150.

3) Donald A. Hammer, Tennessee Valley Authority.

Related Topics:

1) Water Quality Improvement, p. 110.

- 1) Wetlands and Water Management on Mined Lands, Proceedings of a Conference at the Pennsylvania State University on October 23-24, 1985, Sponsored by the School of Forest Resources, College of Agriculture.
- 2) Wieder, R.K. A Survey of Constructed Wetlands for Acid Coal Mine Drainage Treatment in the Eastern United States, in Wetlands, Vol. 9 (1989), p.299.
- 3) Brodie, GA, DA Hammer, and DA Tomljanovich. Treatment of Acid Mine Drainage with a Constructed Wetland at the Tennessee Valley Authority 950 Coal
- Mine, in Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment. Lewis Publishers, 1990: 201-210.
- 4) Wilderman, TR, and LS Laudon. Use of Wetlands for Treatment of Environmental Problems in Mining: Non-Coal Mining Applications, in Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment. Lewis Publishers, 1990: 221-232.
- 5) Hammer, Donald A. TVA's Use of Man-Made Marshes to Control Acid Mine Drainage, in National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 9, No. 1, p. 5.

Water Quality Improvement

Wetlands help maintain and improve the water quality of our nation's rivers and other water bodies. Wetlands do this by removing and retaining nutrients; processing chemical and organic wastes; and reducing the amount of sediment in the water. Wetlands are particularly good water filters. Due to their position between upland and deep water, wetlands can also intercept surface-water runoff from land before it reaches open water. The water-cleansing function of wetlands is particularly important in agricultural and urban areas where runoff carries a heavy

sediment load.

Nonpoint Source Pollution

Wetlands along rivers and stream edges dramatically reduce nonpoint source pollution helping to improve water quality and protect commercial and sport fisheries. It has been estimated that a high quality wetland can remove more than 90 percent of the nitrogen and phosphorous contained in stormwater runoff.

Notes:	 	
•		

Contacts:

- 1) Society of Wetlands Scientists or National Wetlands Technical Council (see Appendix J) for technical information.
- 2) Cameron Davis, Deputy Director, Lake Michigan

Federation (312) 939-0838.

3) Dianne Fish, Office of Wetlands Protection, EPA (202) 382-7071, for policy questions.

Related Topics:

- 1) Acid Mine Drainage, p. 109
- 2) Water Supply, p.112

- 3) Sediment Control, p. 108
- 4) Wastewater Treatment, p. 111.

Publications:

- 1) Hammer, Donald A. Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: Municipal, Industrial and Agricultural. Ft. Lauderdale, FL: CRC Press, Inc., 1990, 831pp.
- 2) EPA. Memo with Attachment: National Guidance: Wetlands and Nonpoint Source Control. OWRS, 1990.
- 3) EPA. Report on the Use of Wetlands for Municipal Wastewater Treatment and Disposal. EPA 430/09-88-005, 1987.
- 4) EPA. Water Quality Standards for Wetlands. EPA

440/S-90-011. July, 1990.

- 5) Paulson, Gerald A. Wetlands and Water Quality: A Citizen's Handbook for Protecting Wetlands. Lake Michigan Federation, 1990.
- 6) National Research Council. National Water Quality Assessment, a review of the USGS. National Academy Press, 1990.
- 7) Shisler, Joseph K. Are Floodplains Compatible with Stormwater Management? in National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol 10, No. 5.

Wastewater and Stormwater Treatment

Historically, wetlands have been subjected to municipal and industrial wastewater discharges, agricultural and surface mine runoff, and urban stormwater discharges. Recently, however, the planned use of wetlands for water treatment has been tried and studied. In fact, many wetlands are able to provide a high level of wastewater treatment. However, concern has also been expressed about the harmful effects to wildlife, plants and soils of toxic materials and pathogens in wastewaters, and about the long-term degradation of wetlands from their use for water treatment. In addition, some wetlands cannot handle large or continuous flows of wastewaters, and there is a potential in all wetlands for development of odors and an increase in the number of mosquitoes and flies.

Wastewater Treatment

Wetlands plants, soils, and microorganisms appear to perform at least some degree of all the biochemical treatment of wastewater that takes place in conventional water treatment systems. Use of natural wetland treatment systems is limited to removing nutrients and solids from waters that already meet EPA's applicable water quality standards (tertiary treatment). The use of constructed wet-

lands is promising for secondary treatment of municipal wastes. Some large-scale wellands constructed for wastewater treatment have had many other goals as well, including creating habitat for wildlife.

Permit Requirements for Wastewater or Stormwater Discharge to Wetlands

Proposed modification of wetlands to allow water treatment requires a permit from the Army Corps of Engineers and any discharge into a natural or artificial wetland is subject to relevant EPA permits. In all cases where wetlands may be may be used for water treatment, the appropriate EPA Regional Office and the Corps District office should be contacted.

EPA Policy

The EPA continues to review requests for the use of natural wetlands for water treatment on a conservative, case-by-case basis. The Agency does, however, encourage the use of artificial wetlands for water treatment and provides construction grants for this purpose. EPA is in the process of writing guidance on the use of wetlands to accept stormwater discharges.

Notes:			
	 	·	

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Wetlands Contact, see Appendix A.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers District Office, see Appendix B.
- 3) For more information on stormwater discharges, contact Frances Eargle, EPA OWP, (202) 245-3954.
- 4) For more information on nonpoint source pollution

and wetlands, contact Sherri Fields, EPA OWP (202) 245-3932.

5) For more information on wetlands and water quality, contact Doreen Robb, EPA OWP (202) 245-3906.

Related Topics:

- 1) Treating Acid Mine Drainage, p. 109
- 2) Wetlands Values and Functions, overview, see

p.101.

- 1) EPA. Report on the Use of Wetlands for Municipal Wastewater Treatment and Disposal. Document No. 430/09-88-005 (October, 1987).
- 2) EPA. OW Guidance to Supplement the October 1987 Burdick Report on the Use of Wetlands for Municipal Wastewater Treatment and Disposal. (September 20, 1988)
- 3) EPA Region IV. Freshwater Wetlands for Wastewater Management: Environmental Assessment Handbook. Atlanta, Georgia (September, 1985).
- 4) Hammer, Donald A., ed. <u>Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: Municipal. Industrial and Agricultural Chelsea</u>, Michigan: Lewis Publishers, 1989. 831 pp.

Water Supply

Groundwater

With the growth of urban centers and increasing demands on groundwater supplies, wetlands are increasingly important to watershed management because they can serve as water storage and recharge areas that replenish local aquifers. Wetland plants and soils can absorb great quantities of water. As a result, wetlands can slow down the rate of surface water runoff, permitting it to seep into the ground. Many wetlands store water during the wetter parts of the year and release it at relatively constant rates, helping to maintain regular surface and groundwater flows. This process helps to recharge underground aquifers, an important source of drinking water, especially in coastal

communities. Wetlands can also help purify this water by trapping sediments and other pollutants.

Surface Water

Wetlands can serve a similar function for surface water as for groundwater. As running water pass through wetlands, the water can be absorbed by plants and soils, and released into water bodies at a more constant rate. This helps provide a more stable year-round supply of surface water. In addition, wetlands can help to remove sediment and other pollutants from surface water. Wetlands are also being studied for their capacity to treat wastewater and stormwater.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) Jack Lehman, EPA Office of Surface Water Compliance, (202) 382-5400.
- 2) For more information on groundwater and wetlands, contact Peter Cook, EPA Office of Groundwater Protection, (202) 382-7077.
- 3) Rebecca Hughes, Association of State Floodplain Managers, (301) 974-3825.
- 4) Joe Shisler, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, for storm-water management issues: (201) 446-3669.
- 5) National Water Resources Association (see Appendix H)

Related Topics:

1) Water Quality Improvement, p. 110

2) Flood Control, p.104.

Publications:

- 1) EPA. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. (Available from the Hotline)
- 2) National Wetlands Newsletter, Focus Issue on

Hydrology. Vol. 9, No. 2.

Wetlands Valuation and Assessment

Many scientists and computer modellers today are working to quantify the values and functions of wetlands. These assessments can be used in a variety of policy settings, including determining appropriate mitigation for damage to wetlands. Valuation techniques are becoming more sophisticated, however, it is important to note that the natural diversity of wetlands makes them impossible to value exactly or categorize simply. Two common wetland valuation models are the Wetland Evaluation Technique (WET II) developed by the Federal Highway Administration, and the Habitat Evaluation Procedure (HEP) developed by the Fish and Wildlife Service. The following description of WET II gives an idea of how these techniques work.

The Wetland Evaluation Technique

One example of a computer model for wetland valuation

is the Wetland Evaluation Technique (known as WET II). WET II brings together a wealth of scientific information from field work and journal articles and provides a valuable data base on wetlands. WET II can be accessed through a personal computer and has been used around the country by regulatory agencies and land managers to prioritize wetlands for acquisition, to review applications for wetland alteration, and to rank wetlands under EPA's advanced identification program. The model is intended for those in government who must evaluate wetland functions without regular access to a team of specialists. The authors of WET II have stated that the model is not meant for use in setting general policy, and recommend comparing the results of WET II with another model if possible before using it for any decisions.

Contacts:

- 1) EPA Regional Wetlands Contact, see Appendix A.
- 2) Army Corps of Engineers District Office, see

Appendix B.

Related Topics:

- 1) Mitigation, see p. 32.
- 2) Wetlands Values and Functions, overview, p. 101.

- 1) Adamus, Paul R., and Ellis J. Clairain, Jr. Wetland Evaluation Techniques Released in National Wetlands Newsletter Vol. 10, No. 4 (July/August, 1988): 2-3.
- 2) Kraus, Mark L. The Unsung Virtues of an Urban Wetland in National Wetlands Newsletter Vol. 13, No.
- 1 (January/February, 1991): 8-9.
- 3) Scodari, Paul F. Wetlands Protection: The Role of Economics (Washington, DC): Environmental Law Institute, 1990. 89pp.

North American Wetlands Conservation Act

Goal of Act

The North American Wetlands Conservation Act of 1989 encourages partnerships among public agencies and other interests to protect, restore, enhance, and manage wetlands and other wildlife habitats. The Act also seeks to increase protection and restoration of wetlands and birds under the North American Waterfowl Management Plan, and authorizes Congress to appropriate up to \$15 million for the Plan's implementation.

Programs and Reports Created by the Act

Through wetlands conservation projects in the United States, Canada, and Mexico, the Act provides for the purchase of real property interests in land or waters; the restoration, management, or enhancement of wetlands and other wildlife habitats; technical assistance to conserve and manage wetlands in Mexico; and studies on the sustainable use of wetland resources for projects in Mexico. The Act also mandates the production of various government reports on changes in the total acreage wetland habitat and in the numbers of migratory birds. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service must produce estimates of the total acre-

age of wetlands in each state for both the 1780s and the 1980s, as well as an estimate of the percentage of wetlands lost in each state during that two-century period.

North American Conservation Council

The nine-member council, appointed by the Secretary of the Interior in spring, 1990, to evaluate wetlands projects and assign priorities for their funding and acquisition, includes:

Peter Bontadelli, director of the California Department of Fish and Game; Gary Myers, executive director of the Temessee Wildlife Resources Agency; Matthew B. Connolly, Jr., executive vice-president of Ducks Unlimited; W. Alan Wentz, undersecretary of the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks; John C. Sawhill, president of The Nature Conservancy; James A. Timmerman, Jr., executive director of the South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department; William W. Howard, Jr., executive vice-president and chief operating officer of the National Wildlife Federation; John F. Turner, director of the Fish and Wildlife Service; and Charles H. Collins, executive director of the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.

Notes:		

Contacts:

1) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Branch of Federal Activities, David A. Tilton or Frank DeLuise; (202) 358-2183

2) U.S. House of Representatives Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20510

Related Topics:

- 1) Wetland Loss Rates; p. 96, 97, 98.
- 2) Fish and Wildlife Service Overview, p. 72

3) North American Waterfowl Management Plan, p. 83

Publications:

- 1) North American Wetlands Conservation Act, Pub.L.No. 101-233 (Senate Bill 804)
- 2) U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (1990). Wetlands: Meeting the President's Challenge—Wetlands Action Plan 1990
- 3) U.S. Department of the Interior (1986). North American Waterfowl Management Plan
- 4) U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildilife

Service (1990). North American Waterfowl Management Plan: Progress Report—A New Beginning

5) U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (1990). Wetlands Losses in the United States: 1780s to 1980s

Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990

Land Protection Measures in the Act

The Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 more than doubles the area protected by the Coastal Barrier Resources System by adding almost 800,000 acres of undeveloped barrier islands and associated wetlands. The system was originally set up by the Coastal Barrier Resources Act of 1982 to protect coastal barriers by prohibiting the use of federal subsidies—such as flood insurance, disaster relief, and community block and water treatment plantsfor development on undeveloped barrier islands. The 1990 Act includes, for the first time, almost 30,000 acres along the shores of the Great Lakes, 65,000 acres in the Florida Keys, 20,000 acres in Puerto Rico, and 3700 acres in the Virgin Islands. Hundreds of thousands of acres of wetlands and secondary coastal barriers along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts will also be added to the system. The Act authorizes \$1 million annually for the next four years to implement

the provisions of the 1982 Act and \$2 million over the next two years to carry out the new provisions.

Studies Required by the Act

The Act requires the Department of the Interior to initiate a study of the Pacific coast for potential areas to add to the reserve system, to map all of these areas, and to recommend to Congress the areas that do qualify and that the governors of these states and territories consider appropriate for inclusion within the system. Another provision of the new Act requires the Resolution Trust Corporation and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to issue an annual inventory of all failed savings and loan and bank properties that have acquired 50 or more acres adjacent or contiguous to otherwise protected areas so that Congress and nonprofit organizations can have a 180 days to consider purchasing these properties for protection.

Notes:		_
		i

Contacts:

- 1) Frank McGilvrey, Fish and Wildlife Service (DOI), (703) 358-2201.
- 2) Elise Jones, National Wildlife Federation; (202) 797-6800
- 3) Congressman Gerry Studds' (D-MA) office; (202) 225-3111
- 4) Beth Milleman, Coastal Alliance, (202) 265-5518.

Related Topics:

1) Erosion Control, p.102.

Publications:

- 1) 1982 Coastal Barrier Resources Act, Pub. L. No. 97-348
- Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-591
- 3) National Research Council Committee on Coastal

Erosion Zone Management (1990). Managing Coastal Erosion.

4) National Wildlife Federation (1990). Summary of the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990

Water Resources Development Act of 1990

(H.R. 5314)

Provisions of the Act

The Water Resources Development Act authorizes \$2.3 billion for 26 new Army Corps of Engineers water projects. It requires the Corps to develop a wetlands action plan to achieve an interim goal of no overall net loss of wetlands

and a long-term goal of wetlands gain in quality and quantity. The Act specifically requires the Corps to achieve no net loss of wetlands at all new water projects and to improve the quality of wetlands at existing projects.

Notes:			

Contacts:

- 1) Senate Document Room, B-04-Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, DC 20510 (request Senate Bill 2740)
- 2) Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works; (202) 224-6176
- 3) House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs; (202) 225-2761
- 4) Corps District contact (Appendix B)

Related Topics:

- 1) Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Research, p. 69 2) Energy and Water Fiscal Year 1991 Appropriations Act. p.117.
- 3) Mitigation, p. 32.

- 1) Water Resources Development Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-640
- 2) National Wetlands Newsletter, January/February 1991, p.15.

Energy and Water Fiscal Year 1991 Appropriations Act

The Energy and Water Appropriations Act will provide \$3.3 billion for the Army Corps of Engineers' projects and operations in fiscal year 1991. Many of these projects include provisions for wetlands restoration, preservation, and a no net loss objective. This level of funding represents

an almost 4-percent increase over current appropriations levels. The Act will fund new and ongoing Corps water projects, the largest of which is a \$93 million appropriation for continued work on the Red River Waterway between the Mississippi River and Shrevesport, Louisiana.

Notes:	

Contacts:

- 1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20515 (request H.R 5019)
- 2) Senate Committee on Appropriations; (202) 224-3471
- 3) House Committee on Appropriations; (202) 225-2771
- 4) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District Office (Appendix B)

Related Topics:

- 1) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Reseach, p. 69.
- 2) Water Resources Development Act of 1990, p. 116

- 1) Energy and Water Fiscal Year 1991 Appropriations Act, Pub. L. No. 101-514
- 2) National Wetlands Newsletter, January/February
- 1991, p. 16, for summary of 1990 wetlands legislation

Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990

Wetlands-Related Provisions

This Act retains the major provisions of the Louisiana Coastal Wetlands Bill, which authorizes spending for wetlands restoration projects, particularly in the state of Louisiana. The Act establishes a task force and planning process for protecting and restoring coastal wetlands in Louisiana. It also contains a related provision that creates a coastal wetlands restoration cost-sharing program open to all coastal states: states that set aside funds for acquiring coastal wetlands and other natural areas and design resto-

ration projects consistent with the National Wetlands Priority Conservation Plan will receive higher priority under the Act for federal cost-sharing dollars. The wetlands provisions will be funded through a gasoline tax on small engines, such as lawn mowers and chain saws, which is projected to generate annual revenues of \$45-80 million. Signed into law by the President in November 1990, this Act also contains provisions from the Zebra Mussel Bill and the Great Lakes Fish and Wildlife Restoration Act.

Notes:	-		
			1
		 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Contacts:

- 1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20515 (request H.R. 5390)
- 2) Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works; (202) 224-6176
- 3) House Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries; (202) 225-4047
- 4) Senator John Breaux's (D-LA) office; (202) 224-4623
- 5) Louisiana State Resource Agency (Appendix F)

Related Topics:				
		-		

- 1) Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-646
- 2) National Wetlands Newsletter, January/February
- 1991, p. 17, for summary of 1990 wetlands legislation..
- 3) Coastwise. Paul Kemp, Ed.

Wetlands-Related Bills Currently Pending in Congress

Wetlands Protection and Regulatory Reform Act of 1991

Introduced by Representative John Paul Hammerschmidt (R-Arkansas) as House Resolution 404, this comprehensive bill would amend the Clean Water Act to "establish a no overall net loss policy for wetlands in the United States, to provide for differential levels of protection for wetlands based on their acreage, function, and value, and to reform procedures for issuance of permits for discharges of dredged or fill materials into navigable waters.'

Wetlands No Net Loss Act of 1991

Introduced by Representative Charles Bennett (D-Florida) as House Resolution 251, this bill seeks to promote the conservation and enhancement of wetlands and to prevent

wetland losses by establishing several new federal wetlands programs, including a Wetlands Preservation Trust and tax incentives for charitable donations of wetlands.

The Comprehensive Wetlands Conservation Management Act of 1991

Sponsored by Representative Jimmy Hayes (D-Louisiana), this bill seeks to amend Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Private Property Rights Act of 1991

Sponsored by Senator Steven Symms (R-Idaho) as Senate Bill 50, this bill would require the U.S. Attorney General to review all federal activities to determine whether they constitute a taking of private property.

Washington, DC 20515 (R-AK) office; (202) 225-4301 2) Representative Charles Bennett's (D-FL) office; 4) Rep. Jimmy Hayes' (D-LA) office; (202) 225-2031	Notes:	
1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20515 2) Representative Charles Bennett's (D-FL) office; (202) 225-4301 4) Rep. Jimmy Hayes' (D-LA) office; (202) 225-2031		
1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20515 2) Representative Charles Bennett's (D-FL) office; (202) 225-4301 4) Rep. Jimmy Hayes' (D-LA) office; (202) 225-2031		
1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20515 2) Representative Charles Bennett's (D-FL) office; (202) 225-4301 4) Rep. Jimmy Hayes' (D-LA) office; (202) 225-2031	!	
1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20515 2) Representative Charles Bennett's (D-FL) office; (202) 225-4301 4) Rep. Jimmy Hayes' (D-LA) office; (202) 225-2031		
(,	1) House Document Room, H-226, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC 20515	3) Representative John Paul Hammerschmidt's (R-AK) office; (202) 225-4301 4) Rep. Jimmy Hayes' (D-LA) office; (202) 225-2031

- 1) Wetlands No Net Loss Act of 1991, House Resolution 251.
- 2) Wetlands Protection and Regulatory Reform Act of 1991, House Resolution 404.
- 3) The Comprehensive Wetlands Conservation Management Act of 1991, House Resolution
- 4) Private Property Rights Act of 1991, Senate Bill 50.

State and Local Multiobjective River Corridor Assistance Act of 1989.

Bill overview

Introduced by Congressman McDade in 1989 as House Resolution 4250, this bill seeks to amend the Outdoor Recreation Act of 1963 to provide for multiobjective river corridor planning and assistance. First, the bill would direct the President to establish an interagency Multiobjective River Corridor Council, composed of the secretaries or chief executors of nine of the federal agencies most involved in riverine environmental management. The Council would be charged with making recommendations for the improvement of multiobjective river management at the federal, state, and local levels; developing training, preparing a directory and referral service for assistance, and other duties. Second, the bill would authorize the

provision of matching funds and technical assistance to state, local, and regional governments and to private non-profit organizations to assist in developing multiobjective plans for rivers and riparian lands. Finally, the bill would direct each of the Council members, and all recipients of assistance, to consider carefully the rights and concerns of private landowners.

Bill Status

While Congress defeated the bill in October 1990, sponsors plan to reintroduce a similar bill into the current 1991 session of Congress.

Notes:	
Contacts: 1) Rep. Joseph McDade (R-PA) staff; (202) 225-3731.	
Related Topics: Pending 1991 Wetlands Legislation summary, p. 119.	
Publications: 1) State and Local Multiobjective River Corridor Assistance Act of 1989. McDade (R-PA).	

Takings

Overview

The Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution prohibits the federal government from "taking" private property without just compensation. A takings claim may arise where the federal government physically invades private property or where federal regulations affect the use of that property.

In analyzing regulatory taking claims, the approach of the federal courts has not been based on any set formula. Instead, the courts examine the particular facts of each case to determine whether the regulation substantially advances legitimate state interests, and whether the landowner was denied all economically viable use of their land. Another factor that the courts have considered is whether the landowner has a reasonable expectation of a property right in the land issue, for example, whether the land was purchased after the 1972 enactment of the Clean Water Act.

In March 1988, Executive Order 12630 on takings was issued. Its purpose is to ensure that federal agencies and departments assess the takings implications of proposed policies and actions on property interests protected by the Fifth Amendment. It should be noted that the Executive Order is intended only to improve the internal management of federal agencies and departments and is not intended to provide a basis for suing the federal government.

Notes:	
Contacts:	to information on County Bill 50 Pringte Property
 EPA Office of General Council; (202) 382-2090, for policy questions. Sen. Steven Symms' (R-ID) office; (202) 224-6142, 	for information on Senate Bill 50, Private Property Rights Act of 1991.
Related Topics: 1) Current pending wetlands legislation, p.119.	

Impacts, David Salveson, The Urban Land Institute,

Publications:

1) Private Property Rights Act of 1991, S. 50.

2) Wetlands: Mitigating and Regulating Development

1990.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

REGION I

Advance Planning/Planning Outreach—Region I: Cathy Manwaring
Enforcement Coordinator—Region I: Matt Schweisberg
Public Outreach—Region I: Stafford Madison
State Issues—Massachusetts: Virginia Laszewski
State Issues—New Hampshire/Vermont: Mark Kem
State Issues—Rhode Island: Peter Holmes
State Issues (Enforcement)—Maine, Region I: Kyla Bennett
State Issues (Science/Tech Transfer, Highways)—Connecticut: Greg Hellyer
REGION II
Contaminants/Superfund—NY, NJ, PR, VI: Mario Paula
Enforcement/Agricultural Issues—New York: Karen Sullivan
Enforcement/Superfund—New York: John Cantilli
Marine & Wetlands Prot. Br.—Mario Del Vicario, Chief
SAMPs, ADIDs—New Jersey (Hackensak, Meodowlands): Kathleen Drake (212)264-5170
SAMPs, ADIDs—New Jersey (Hackensack, Meodowlands): Mary Anne Thiesing (212)264-5170
State Programs/Public Outreach—New Jersey/NY Harbor: Barbara Spinweber (212)264-5170
Wetlands Research—New Jersey: Bob Montgomerie
Wetlands Research—NY/Long Island: Audrey Moore
Wetlands—Daniel Montella, Section Chief
REGION III
Enforcement—Maryland/Delaware Watershed: Laurie Richardson

Enforcement—VA/PA/WV/DE: Jeff Lapp
Permits/ADID—Pennsylvania: Laury Zicari
Permits/Aerial Photo ID/Superfund/ADID—Maryland: Peter Stokely (215)597-3642
Permits/Dredging/Water Supply—Virginia: Regina Poeske
Permits/Mitigation/Grants—WV/DE: John Forren
Permits/Plant Ecologist/Transportation—Virginia: Art Spingarn
Policy—Regional: Barbara D'Angelo
Wetlands Delineation Expert/Regional Wetlands Expert—Regional: Charles Rhodes (215)597-9922
REGION IV
Permitting & Enforcement—Alabama: Ann Inderbitzen
Permitting & Enforcement—Florida: Jim Couch
Permitting & Enforcement—Florida:Bill Kruczynski
Permitting & Enforcement—Florida: Mike Wylie
Permitting & Enforcement—Georgia: Laura Mazanti
Permitting & Enforcement—Kentucky: Bill Ainslie
Permitting & Enforcement—Mississippi: Mike Wylie
Permitting & Enforcement—North Carolina: Lee Pelej
Permitting & Enforcement—South Carolina: Steve Chapin
Permitting & Enforcement—Tennessee: Laura Mazanti
Planning & Public Outreach—All: Gail Vanderhoogt
Regulation & Public Outreach—All: Tom Welborn
·
REGION V
All—IL/IN/MI/MN/OH/WI: Doug Ehorn, Supervisor
All—Minnesota: Ted Rockwell

Enforcement—IL/WI/MI: Dave Schulenberg
Enforcement—OH/IN: Greg Carlson
Permits—Illinois: Janice Cheng
Permits/Planning—Indiana: Sue Elston
Permits/Planning—Michigan: Carolyn Bury
Permits/Planning—Ohio: Lynn Rothman
Permits/Planning—Wisconsin: Cathy Garra
Planning/Studies/Training—All: Tom Glatzel
Superfund/Data Mgmt—All: Wayne Gorski
REGION VI
404 Enforcement—All: Tom Nystrom
Ocean Dumping—LA/TX: Darlene Coulson
Wetland Initiatives—LA: Norm Thomas
Wetlands Regulation—All: Norm Sears
Wetlands Science—All: Bill Kirchner
REGION VII
Wetlands Protection—Diane Hershberger, Chief
Wetlands Protection—Iowa: Bob Barber, Coordinator
Wetlands Protection—Kansas: Gerry Shimek, Coordinator
Wetlands Protection—Missouri: Kathy Mulder, Coordinator
Wetlands Protection—Nebraska: Tom Taylor, Coordinator
SECTION VIII
Enforcement—All: John Brink

Enforcement/Policy—Colorado: Brad Miller
NEPA/404/Water Projects —All: Dave Ruiter
Permit Review/Enforcement—Montana: Steve Potts
Permit Review/Enforcement—South Dakota/Utah: Bob Mairley
Permit Review/Enforcement—Wyoming/Omaha District in Colorado: Vern Helbig (303)293-1585
Permit Review/230.80/NEPA—Colorado: Sarah Fowler
Permit Review/230.80/EnforcementMontana/North Dakota: John Peters
Public Outreach—All: Paul McIver
Wetlands—All: Mary Alice Reedy, Section Secretary
Wetlands—All: Gene R. Reetz, Section Chief
REGION IX
Central Coast California/Nevada—Harriet Hill
Central Coast California/Nevada—Harriet Hill
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross(415)744-1982Inland Southern California/Arizona—Mary Butterwick(415)744-1976North Coast/Central and Northeastern California—Nancy Dubbs(415)744-1969Permits and Planning Team—Clyde Morris, Team Leader(415)744-1974Regional Wetlands Coordinator—Philip Oshida, Chief(415)744-1971Regional Wetlands Technical Specialist/Policy & Science—Tom Yocom(415)744-1975
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross(415)744-1982Inland Southern California/Arizona—Mary Butterwick(415)744-1976North Coast/Central and Northeastern California—Nancy Dubbs(415)744-1969Permits and Planning Team—Clyde Morris, Team Leader(415)744-1974Regional Wetlands Coordinator—Philip Oshida, Chief(415)744-1971Regional Wetlands Technical Specialist/Policy & Science—Tom Yocom(415)744-1975Wetlands Assistant (AARP)—May Smith(415)744-1956
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross. (415)744-1982Inland Southern California/Arizona—Mary Butterwick. (415)744-1976North Coast/Central and Northeastern California—Nancy Dubbs. (415)744-1969Permits and Planning Team—Clyde Morris, Team Leader. (415)744-1974Regional Wetlands Coordinator—Philip Oshida, Chief. (415)744-1971Regional Wetlands Technical Specialist/Policy & Science—Tom Yocom. (415)744-1975Wetlands Assistant (AARP)—May Smith. (415)744-1956Wetlands Enforcement—Steven John. (415)744-1973
404 Dredge Material Disposal/Coastal Southern California—Brian Ross. (415)744-1982Inland Southern California/Arizona—Mary Butterwick. (415)744-1976North Coast/Central and Northeastern California—Nancy Dubbs. (415)744-1969Permits and Planning Team—Clyde Morris, Team Leader. (415)744-1974Regional Wetlands Coordinator—Philip Oshida, Chief. (415)744-1971Regional Wetlands Technical Specialist/Policy & Science—Tom Yocom. (415)744-1975Wetlands Assistant (AARP)—May Smith. (415)744-1956Wetlands Enforcement—Steven John. (415)744-1973Wetlands—Donna Pinkard, Branch Secretary. (415)744-2300

Advance Identification/Research/Outreach/Delineation—Fred Weinmann (206)553-1414
Dredging/Sediment Quality—John Malek
Dredging/Sediment Quality—Justine Smith
Enforcement—Fletcher Shires
Enforcement—Gary Voerman
Washington 404 Permit Review/Stormwater—Linda Storm
Water Resources Assessment—William M. Riley, Chief
ALASKA OPERATIONS OFFICE
Southeast Alaska—Susan Cantor
Enforcement—Heather Dean
Central and Southwest Alaska—Phil North
North Slope—N/A
IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICE
Idaho Permit Review/Enforcement/FERC Review/Wetland & NPS Coordination/Outreach—John Olson
OREGON OPERATIONS OFFICE(503)221-3250
Oregon Permit Reviews/ADID Outreach/Enforcement—Tom Robertson, Ralph Rogers



OFFICE OF WETLANDS PROTECTION PROGRAM OFFICE CONTACTS IN REGIONS



Region I -- CN. MA. ME. NH. RI. VT Mr. Doug Thompson, Chief Wetlands Protection Section (WPP-1900) Water Management Division US EPA Region I John F. Kennedy Federal Building Boston, MA 02203 617-565-4421

Region II--NJ, NY
Mr. Dan Montello, Chief
Wetlands Protection Section (2WM-HWP)
Water Management Division US EPA Region II
26 Federal Plaza
New York, NY 10278
212-264-5170

Region III--DE, MD, PA, VA, WV
Ms. Barbara D* Angelo, Chief
Marine and Wetlands Policy Section (JES42)
Environmental Services Division
US EPA Region III
841 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107
215-597-9301

Region IV-AL, FL. GA. KY. MS. NC. SC. TN
Ms. Gail Vanderhoogt, Chief
Wetlands Planning Unit
Water Quality Management Branch (4WM-MEB)
US EPA Region IV
345 Courtland Street, N.Z.
Atlanta, GA 30365
404-347-2126

Region V--IN. IL. MI. MN. OH. WI Mr. Doug Ehorn, Deputy Chief Water Quality Branch (5W-TUB-8) Water Management Division US EPA Region V 230 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60604 312-353-2079 Region VI--AR, LA, NM, OK, TX
Mr. Norm Thomas
Technical Assistance Section (6E-FT)
Environmental Services Division
US EPA Region VI
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, TX 75202
214-655-2263

Region VII--IO, KS, MO, NB
Ms. Diane Hershberger, Chief
404 Section
Environmental Review Branch (ENRV-404)
US EPA Region VII
726 Minnesota Avenue
Kansas City, KS 66101
913-236-2823

Region VIII--CO. MT. ND. SD. UT. WY
Mr. Gene Reetz, Chief
Water Quality Requirements Section (8WM-SP)
US EPA Region VIII
One Denver Place
-999 18th Street
Denver, CO 80202
303-293-1568

Region IX-AZ. CA. HI. NV Mr. Phil Oshida, Chief Wetlands Section (W-7-1) US EPA Region IX 1235 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94103 415-744-1971

Region X-AK. ID. OR. WA
Mr. Bill Riley, Chief
Water Resources Assessment Section (WD-138)
US EPA Region X
1200 6th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
206-422-1412

EPA'S OFFICE OF WETLANDS PROTECTION · General Telephone Number: (202) 382-5043

MAJOR AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY

Note: if more than one contact is listed:

- (1) = primary contact
- (2) = secondary contact

WETLANDS STRATEGIES AND STATE PROGRAMS DIVISION

o (1) Bill Sipple (2) Mike Fritz (Regulatory Activities Division) 382-5066 245-3013

- Delineation Manual
- o Bill Sipple
 - Wetlands Ecology, Science, Research

Outreach and State Programs Staff

- o Lori Williams 382-5084
 - State Grants and Program Financing
 - State and Indian Tribe Assumption
 - Regional Review of State Laws & Regs
 - American Wetlands Month
- o Jeanne Melanson 382-7073
 - Interagency Coordination
 - Wetlands and Agriculture
 - Farm Bill
 - outreach to farmers
- o Marjorie Wesley 245-3905
 - Public Information and Dissemination
 - Wetlands Educational Outreach

o Corv Giacobbe 382-5907

- International Coordination
- Local Outreach
- Fish and Wildlife Service Liaison
 - North American Waterfowl
 - Management Plan.
 - Migratory Bird
 - Conservation Commission
- Corps/EPA regulatory data base
- Aububon's America

o Judy Johnson 245-3907

- Special Projects including:
 - Hotline
 - American Wetlands Month
 - Audubon's America

Strategies and Initiatives Team

o Sherri Fields 245-3932

State Wetland Conservation Plan Development NonPoint Source & Wetlands Domestic Policy Council staffing Superfund / RCRA Wastewater Treatment & Wetlands

o <u>Doreen Robb</u> <u>245-3906</u>

Wetland Water Quality Standards
Wetland Biological Criteria & Indicators
ORD Liaison on Water Quality
305b Reporting / Monitoring
Coastal Louisiana / Great Lakes (support)
Superfund / RCRA (technical support)

o Frances Eargle 245-3954

Stormwater & Wetlands
Coastal Liaison
Ecosystem Initiatives lead
Coastal Louisiana
Great Lakes Initiative
Legislative lead (non-404)
Strategic plans OWP
401 Certification & Wetlands (move into lead over time)
State Wetland Conservation Plan (support if needed)

o Martha Stout 475-6745

Alternatives to Impoundments / Water Conservation Wetland Flora & Fauna lists
Support for Regional Technical Training
Geographic Information Systems
Citizens Monitoring Methods

o Dianne Fish: Team Leader 382-7071

CWA Reauthorization Coordinator
DPC Support
State Wetland Conservation Plan Development
Co-chair of NPS / CZMA workgroup
401 Certification (phasing over to Frances)

REGULATORY ACTIVITIES DIVISION

- o (1) Will Garvey 245-3900
- o (2) Sandy Seig-Ross 245-3914
- o (2) Joe DaVia 245-3902
 - Elevated Cases
 - Section 404(c) and (q)
- o (1) Hazel Groman 475-8798
- o (2) John Goodin 245-3910
 - Enforcement
 - in general
 - specific cases
- o (1) John Goodin 245-3910
- o (2) Greg Peck 475-8794
 - Advance Identification
 - Dredged Material Disposal (e.g., contaminated sediments)
- o (1) Cliff Rader 382-5087
- o (2) Greg Peck 475-8794
 - 404(b)l Guidelines
 - Mitigation Issues (e.g., sequencing Memorandum of Agreement)
 - No Net Loss (related to 404)

- o (1) Tom Kelsch 475-8795
- o (2) Cliff Rader 382 5087
 - Categorization of Wetlands
 - Mitigation Banking
- o (1) Cliff Rader 382 5087
- o (2) Mike Fritz 245-3913
 - 404(f) exemptions
- o (1) Mike Fritz 245-3913
- o (2) Greg Peck 475-8794
 - Agricultural Wetlands Regulatory Policy
- o (1) Menchu Martinez 382-5299
- o (2) Cliff Rader 382-5087
 - Clean Water Act Reauthorization
 - Nationwide Permits (general permits)
- o (1) Hazel Groman 475-8798
- o (2) Greg Peck 475-8794
 - Takings
- o Menchu Martinez 382-5299
 - 404 Legislation
 - Liaison to Department of Transportation Agencies
 - Liaison to Strategies and State Programs Division on:
 - state 404 programs
 - outreach
 - Domestic Policy Council

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

LOWER MISSISSIPPI VALLEY DIVISION:
Leo Max Reed (Chief); Susan Harrison (Asst.) 601-634-5818/21 Memphis: Larry D. Watson (Chief) 901-544-3471 New Orleans: Ronald J. Ventola (Chief); P. Serio (Asst.); B. Baehr (Asst.) 504-862-2255 St. Louis: Fred Niermann, Jr. (Asst.) 314-331-8575 Vicksburg: E. Galen McGregor (Chief); K. Mosley (Asst.); E. Guynes (Asst.) 601-631-5276/89
MISSOURI RIVER DIVISION:
Mores V. Bergman (Chief)
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION:
William F. Lawless (Chief); Christine Godfrey (Asst.)
NORTH ATLANTIC DIVISION:
Lenny Kotkiewicz (Chief); John Smutz (Asst.)212-264-7535Baltimore: Don Roeseke (Chief); Tom Filip (Asst.)301-962-3670New York: Joseph Seebode (Chief); Richard Tomer (Asst.)212-264-3996Norfolk: William H. Poore, Jr. (Chief); Bruce F. Williams (Asst.)804-441-7068Philadelphia: Frank Cianfrani (Chief); Richard A. Hassel (Asst.)215-597-2812
NORTH CENTRAL DIVISION:
Mitchell A. Isoe (Chief) 312-353-6379 Buffalo: Paul G. Leuchner (Chief); Alan Sisselman (Asst.) 716-879-4313 Chicago: John Rogner (Chief); Peg McBrien (Asst.) 312-353-6428 Detroit: Gary R. Mannesto (Chief); W. Davy, Jr. (Asst.); R. Tucker (Asst.) 313-226-2432 Rock Island: Steven J. Vander Horn (Chief); D. Baugh (Asst.); D. Jones (Asst.) 309-788-6361 St. Paul: Ben Wopat (Chief); Char Hauger (Asst.) 612-220-0375

NORTH PACIFIC DIVISION:

John Zammit (Chief)	503-326-3780
Alaska: Robert K. Oja (Chief)	907-753-2712
Portland: Burt Paynter (Chief); Byron Blankenship (Asst.)	503-326-6995
Seattle: Warren Baxter (Chief); Steve Wright (Asst.)	
Walla Walla: Dean Hilliard (Chief); Brad Daly (Asst.)	
Walla Walla: Dean Filliald (Chief), Diad Daiy (Asst.)	307-322-0120/21
OHIO RIVER DIVISION:	
Roger D. Graham (Chief); Rodney Woods (Asst.)	513-684-3972
Huntington: Mike Gheen (Chief); Rick Buckley (Asst.)	304-529-5487
Louisville: Don Purvis (Chief); D.L. Evans (Asst.)	502-582-6461
Nashville: Joseph R. Castleman (Chief)	615-736-5181
Pittsburgh: Eugene J. Homyak (Chief); E. Raymond Beringer (Asst.)	412-644-6872
The boundary and the second and the	
PACIFIC OCEAN DIVISION:	
PACIFIC OCEAN DIVISION:	,
	000 420 0050
Stanley T. Arakaki (Chief); Warren Kanai (Asst.)	808-438-9238
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION:	
James M. Kelly, Jr. (Chief); Ms. Pat Bevel (Asst.)	404-331-2778
Charleston: Clarence H. Ham (Chief); Robert H. Riggs (Asst.)	803-724-4330
Jacksonville: John Hall (Chief); Bertil A. Heimer (Asst.)	904-791-1666
Mobile: Ron Krizman (Chief); Davis L. Findley (Asst.)	205-690-2658
Savannah: Steven Osvald (Chief); Kelly Hendricks (Asst.)	912-944-5347
Wilmington: G. Wayne Wright (Chief); Cliff Winefordner (Asst.)	919-251-4629
Wilmington: G. Wayne Wright (Ciner), Citir Willetordiler (Assa.)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
SOUTH PACIFIC DIVISION:	
Theodore E. Durst (Chief)	415-705-1443
Los Angeles: Charles M. Holt (Chief)	213-894-5606
Sacramento: Art Champ (Chief)	916-551-2275
San Francisco: Calvin C. Fong (Chief); Skid Hall (Asst.)	415-744-3036
SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION:	
SOUTH WESTERN DIVISION.	
	214_767_2432/6
Vacant (Chief); Larry Buck (Asst.)	EDE 766 7776
Allbuquerque: Andrew J. Rosenau (Chief)	. 202-700-2770
Fort Worth: Wayne A. Lea (Chief); S. Swihart (Asst.); J. Townsend (Asst.)	. 817-334-2081
Galveston: Marcos DeLaRosa (Chief); Dolan Dunn (Asst.)	. 409-766-3930

Little Rock: Louie C. Cockmon, Jr. (Chief); Benny F. Swafford (Asst.)) 501-324-5296
Tulsa: Don Ringeisen (Chief); David Manning (Asst.)	

DIVISIONS AND DISTRICTS FOR REGULATORY ACTIVITIES





)wa the eastern bank of the Missouri River is regulated by the Omaha office.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

RWC = Regional Wetland Coordinator SFBC = State Farm Bill Coordinator

FBC = Farm Bill Coordinator

Asst. = Assistant Alabama Larry E. Goldman, SFBC, Daphne East Office Plaza, Suite A, 2001 Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst; Ronnie Haynes, FBC, Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404-331-6343 Alaska Region 7: Jon Hall, RWC; David Dall, Asst.; Erv MacIntosh, FBC: Arizona Ron McKinstry, SFBC, 3616 West Thomas Road, Suite 6, Phoenix, Arizona 85019 602-261-4720 Region 2: Warren Hagenbuck, RWC; Curtis Carley, Asst.; Warren Hagenbuck, FBC: 500 Gold Ave., S.W., Room 4012, P.O. Box 1036, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 505-766-2914 **Arkansas** Robert Barkley, SFBC, Thomas Building, Room 235, 900 Clay Street, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180 601-638-1891 Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC; Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404-331-6343 California, North Martha B. Naley, SFBC, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-1803, Sacramento, California 95825 916-978-4613 Region 1: Dennis Peters, RWC; Howard Browers, Asst.; Jana Nelson, FBC: California, South John Hanlin, SFBC, Federal Building, 24000 Avila Road, Laguna, Negual, CA 92677 Region 1: Dennis Peters, RWC; Howard Browers, Asst.; Jana Nelson, FBC; Colorado Adam Misztal, SFBC, 134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Region 6: Chuck Elliot, SFBC, Bill Pearson, Asst.; Ralph Fries, FBC; 134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 303-236-8148

Connecticut Gordon, Beckett, SFBC, 22 Bridge Street, Ralph Pill Marketplace, Concord, New Hampshire 03301 Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;	603-225-1411
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158	. 617-965-5100
Delaware Glenn Kinser, SFBC; 1825B Virginia Street, Annapolis, Maryland 21301 Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC; One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158	
Florida	
David J. Wesley, SFBC; 3100 University Boulevard, South, Jacksonville, Florida 32216 Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;	
Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303	. 404-331-6343
Georgia Philip H. Laumeyer, SFBC; Federal Building, Room 334, Brunswick, Georgia 31520 Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;	. 912-265-9336
Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303	. 404-331-6343
Hawaii	
John Engbring, SFBC; 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 6307, P.O. Box 50167, Honolulu, Hawaii 96850	. 808-546-7530
Region 1: Dennis Peters, RWC; Howard Browers, Asst.; Jana Nelson, FBC; 1002 NE Holladay St., Portland, OR 97232-4181	. 503-231-6150
Idaho Walt D. Ray, SFBC; 4696 Overland Road, Room 566, Boise, Idaho 83705	. 208-334-1931
Region 1: Dennis Peters, RWC; Howard Browers, Asst.; Jana Nelson, FBC;	
1002 NE Holladay St., Portland, OR 97232-4181	. 505-251-0150
Illinois Tom Goutage, SFBC; Route 3, Box 328, Marion, Illinois 62959	. 618-997-5491
Region 3: Ron Erickson, RWC; John Anderson, Asst.; Rick Shultz, FBC; Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111	. 612-725-3570/3536
Indiana Dave Hudak, SFBC; 718 North Walnut Street, Bloomington, Indiana 47401	. 812-334-4261
Region 3: Ron Erickson, RWC; John Anderson, Asst.; Rick Shultz, FBC; Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111	
Iowa	
Rick Nelson, SFBC; 1830 2nd Avenue, Rock Island, Illinois 61201	. 309-793-5800
Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111	. 612-725-3570/3536

Kentucky Thomas S. Talley, SFBC; P.O. Box 845, Cookeville, Tennessee 38503
Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;
Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404-331-6343
Kansas Daww Cotto SERC 215 Sambaird Place Marketta Vance (6505)
Dewey Carter, SFBC; 215 Southwind Place, Manhattan, Kansas 66505
134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 303-236-8148/8180
Louisiana
David W. Fruge, SFBC; Brandywine II, Suite 102, 825 Kaliste Saloom,
Lafayette, Louisiana 70508
Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;
Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404-331-6343
Maine
Gordon Beckett, SFBC; 22 Bridge Street, Ralph Pill Marketplace,
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158
Maryland
Glen Kinser, SFBC; 1825B Virginia Street, Annapolis, Maryland 21301
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158
Massachusetts
Gordon Beckett, SFBC; 22 Bridge Street, Ralph Pill Marketplace,
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158 617-965-5100
Michigan
Leonard Schumann, SFBC; 310 Menly Miles Building, East Lansing, Michigan 48823
Region 3: Ron Erickson, RWC; John Anderson, Asst.; Rick Shultz, FBC;
Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111
Minnesota
Eric Nelson, SFBC; Federal Building, Room 23, 7820 St. Germain, St. Cloud, MN 56301 612-253-4682
Region 3: Ron Erickson, RWC; John Anderson, Asst.; Rick Shultz, FBC;
Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111
Mississippi
Robert Barkley, SFBC; Thomas Building, Room 235, 900 Clay Street,
Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180
Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;

Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Wiscouri .
Missouri Jerry Brabander, SFBC; P.O. Box 1506, Columbia, MD 65205
Region 3: Ron Erickson, RWC; John Anderson, Asst.; Rick Shultz, FBC; Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111
rederal Building, ron Shalling, I win Cities, MIN 33111
Montana
Jim Stutzman, SFBC; Bowdoin National Wildlife Refuge, Box J, Malta, Montana 59538 406-654-2863
Region 6: Chuck Elliot, SFBC, Bill Pearson, Asst.; Ralph Fries, FBC;
134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 303-236-8148
Nebraska
Kim Hanson, SFBC; 2604 St. Patrick Street, Suite 7, Grand Island, Nebraska 68803 308-381-5571
Region 6: Chuck Elliot, SFBC, Bill Pearson, Asst.; Ralph Fries, FBC;
134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 303-236-8148
, , ,
Nevada Nevada
Chester Buchanan, SFBC; 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite C, Reno, Nevada 89502
Region 1: Dennis Peters, RWC; Howard Browers, Asst.; Jana Nelson, FBC;
1002 NE Holladay St., Portland, OR 97232-4181
New Hampshire
Gordon Beckett, SFBC; 22 Bridge Street, Ralph Pill Marketplace,
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158 617-965-5100
New York
Len Corin, SFBC; 100 Grange Place, Cortland, New York 13045
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158 617-965-5100
New Jersey
Clifford Day, SFBC; 705 White Horse Pike, P.O. Box 534, Absecon, New Jersey 08201 609-646-0620
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158 617-965-5100

New Mexico
Chuck Mullins, SFBC; 3530 Pan American Highway, N.E., Suite D, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87107505-803-7877
Region 2: Warren Hagenbuck, RWC; Curtis Carley, Asst.; Warren Hagenbuck, FBC;
500 Gold Ave., S.W., Room 4012, P.O. Box 1036, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 505-766-2914/2174
North Carolina
Linda "Mike" Gantt, SFBC; 551-F Pylon Drive, P.O. Box 33726, Raleigh, North Carolina 27636-3726919-856-4520
Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;
Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404-331-6343

North Dakota Keria Willis SERC: 1500 Capital Avenue Bismank North Dakota 59501 701 255 4011/4415
Kevin Willis, SFBC; 1500 Capitol Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501
134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 303-236-8148
Ohio
Kent Kroonemeyer, SFBC; 6950-H Americana Parkway, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 614-469-6923 Region 3: Ron Erickson, RWC; John Anderson, Asst.; Rick Shultz, FBC;
Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111
Oklahoma
Dan Stinnert, SFBC; 222 South Houston, Suite A, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74127
Region 2: Warren Hagenbuck, RWC; Curtis Carley, Asst.; Warren Hagenbuck, FBC;
500 Gold Ave., S.W., Room 4012, P.O. Box 1036, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 505-766-2914/2174
Oregon
Patrick D. Wright, SFBC; 727 N.E. 27th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97232 506-231-6179
Region 1: Dennis Peters, RWC; Howard Browers, Asst.; Jana Nelson, FBC;
1002 NE Holladay St., Portland, OR 97232-4181
Pennsylvania
Charles Kulp, SFBC; 315 South Allan Street, Suite 322, State College, Pennsylvania 16801 814-234-4286
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158
Puerto Rico
Robert Pace, SFBC; P.O. Box 491, Boqueron, Puerto Rico 00622
Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;
Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404-331-6343
Rhode Island
Gordon Beckett, SFBC; 22 Bridge Street, Ralph Pill Marketplace,
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Region 5: Ralph Tiner, RWC; Glenn Smith, Asst.; Elizabeth Herland, FBC;
One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, Massachusetts 02158 617-965-5100
South Dakota
Lonnie Schroeder, SFBC; P.O. Box 1536, Watertown, South Dakota 57201 605-882-3410
Region 6: Chuck Elliot, SFBC, Bill Pearson, Asst.; Ralph Fries, FBC;
134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 303-236-8148
South Carolina
Roger Banks, SFBC; 217 Fort Johnson Road, P.O. Box 12559, Charleston, South Carolina 19412 803-724-4707
Region 4: John Hefner, RWC; Charlie Storrs, Asst.; Ronnie Haynes, FBC;
Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg., 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404-331-6343

Tennessee Thomas S. Talley, SFBC; P.O. Box 845, Cookeville, Tennessee 38503
Texas Mike McMollum, SFBC; Fritz Lanham Building, Room 9A33, 819 Taylor Street, Forth Worth, Texas 76102
UtahAdam Misztal, SFBC, 134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486,Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225303-236-8148Region 6: Chuck Elliot, SFBC, Bill Pearson, Asst.; Ralph Fries, FBC; 134 Union Boulevard,P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225303-236-8148
Vermont Gordon Beckett, SFBC; 22 Bridge Street, Ralph Pill Marketplace, Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Virginia Karen Mayne, SFBC; Mid-County Center, US Route 17, P.O. Box 480 White Marsh, Virginia 23183
Washington John Karbow, SFBC; 2625 Parkmont Lane, S.W., Bldg. 83, Olympia, Washington 98502 206-753-9440 Region 1: Dennis Peters, RWC; Howard Browers, Asst.; Jana Nelson, FBC; 1002 NE Holladay St., Portland, OR 97232-4181
West Virginia Chris Clower, SFBC; P.O. Box 1278, Elkins, West Virginia 26241
Wisconsin Eldon McLaury, SFBC; 658 South Gammon Road, Suite 201, Madison, Wisconsin 53719 608-264-5469 Region 3: Ron Erickson, RWC; John Anderson, Asst.; Rick Shultz, FBC; Federal Building, Fort Snalling, Twin Cities, MN 55111

Wyoming
Adam Misztal, SFBC, 134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center,
Denver, Colorado 80225
Region 6: Chuck Elliot, SFBC, Bill Pearson, Asst.; Ralph Fries, FBC;
134 Union Boulevard, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 303-236-8148

U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service

State Conservationists

ALABAMA Emest V. Todd, 665 Opelika Rd., P.O. Box 311, Auburn, Alabama 36830
ALASKA Burton L. Clifford, 201 East 9th, Suite 300, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3687
ARIZONA Donald W. Gohmert, Suite 200, 201 E. Indianola Ave., Phoenix, Arizona 85012 602-640-2247
ARKANSAS Ronnie D. Murphy, Federal Office Bldg., Room 5404, 700 West Capitol Ave., Little Rock, Arkansas 72201
CALIFORNIA Pearlie S. Reed, 2121-C Second Street, Davis, California 95616
COLORADO Duane L. Johnson, 655 Parfet Street, Room E200C, Lakewood, Colorado 80215-5517 303-236-2886
CONNECTICUT Judith K. Johnson, 16 Professional Park Rd., Storrs, Connecticut 06268-1299
DELAWARE Elesa K. Cottrell, Treadway Towers, Suite 207, 9 East Loockerman Street, Dover, Delaware 19901-7377
FLORIDA Niles T. Glasgow, Federal Bldg., Room 248, 401 S.E. 1st Ave., Gainesville, Florida 32601 904-377-0946
GEORGIA Hershel R. Read, Federal Bldg., Box 13, 355 East Hancock Ave., Athens, Georgia 30601 404-546-2272
GUAM Joan Perry, Director Pacific Basin Office, Suite 602, GCIC Bldg., 414 W. Soledad Ave., Agana, Guam 96910
HAWAII Warren M. Lee, 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 4316, P.O. Box 50004, Honolulu, Hawaii 96850 808-541-2601
IDAHO Paul H. Calverley, 3244 Elder Street, Room 124, Boise, Idaho 83705208-334-1601

ILLINOIS
John J. Eckes, Springer Federal Bldg., 301 N. Randolph Street, Champaign, Illinois 61820 217-398-5267
INDIANA Robert L. Eddleman, 6013 Lakeside Blvd., Indianapolis, Indiana 46278
IOWA J. Michael Nethery, 693 Federal Bldg., 210 Walnut Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309 515-284-4261
KANSAS James N. Habiger, 760 South Broadway, Salina, Kansas 67401
KENTUCKY Billy W. Milliken, 333 Waller Ave., Room 305, Lexington, Kentucky 40504 606-233-2749
LOUISIANA Horace J. Austin, 3737 Government Street, Alexandria, Louisiana 71302
MAINE Charles Whitmore, USDA Building, University of Maine, Orono, Maine 04473 207-581-3446
MARYLAND Jerome J. Hammond (Acting), John Hanson Business Center, 339 Revell Highway, Suite 301, Annapolis, Maryland 21401
MASSACHUSETTS Richard D. Swenson (Acting), 451 West Street, Amherst, Massachusetts 01002
MICHIGAN Homer R. Hilner, Room 101, 1405 S. Harrison Road, East Lansing, Michigan 48823-5202 517-337-6702
MINNESOTA Gary R. Nordstrom, Farm Credit Services Bldg., 375 Jackson Street, Room 600, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-1854
MISSISSIPPI Louie P. Heard, Federal Bldg., Suite 1321, 100 West Capitol Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39269 601-965-5205
MISSOURI Russell C. Mills, 555 Vandiver Drive, Columbia, Missouri 65202
MONTANA Richard J. Gooby, Federal Building, Room 443, 10 East Babcock Street, Bozeman, Montana 59715 . 406-587-6813
NEBRASKA Ron E. Moreland, Federal Bldg., Room 345, 100 Centennial Mall, N., Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-3866

NEVADA William D. Goddard, 1201 Terminal Way, Room 219, Reno, Nevada 89502
NEW HAMPSHIRE David L. Mussulman, Federal Building, Durham, New Hampshire 03824 603-868-7581
NEW JERSEY Barbara T. Osgood, 1370 Hamilton Street, Somerset, New Jersey 08873
NEW MEXICO Ray T. Margo, Jr., 517 Gold Avenue, S.W., Room 3301, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-766-2173
NEW YORK Paul A. Dodd, James M. Hanley Fed. Bldg., Room 771, 100 S. Clinton Street, Syracuse, New York 13260
NORTH CAROLINA Bobbye Jack Jones, 4405 Bland Road, Suite 205, Raleigh, North Carolina 27609 919-790-2888
NORTH DAKOTA Ronnie L. Clark, Federal Building, Rosser Avenue & Third St., P.O. Box 1458, Bismarck, North Dakota 58502
OHIO Joseph C. Branco, 200 North High Street, Room 522, Columbus, Ohio 43215
OKLAHOMA C. Budd Fountain, USDA Agricultural Center Bldg., Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074
OREGON Jack P. Kanalz, Federal Bldg., Room 1640, 1220 S.W. Third Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204 503-326-2751
PENNSYLVANIA Richard N. Duncan, One Credit Union Place, Suite 340, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110 717-782-2202
PUERTO RICO Humberto Hernandez (Director), USDA-SCS, GPO Box 4868, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00936 809-753-4206
RHODE ISLAND Robert J. Klumpe, 46 Quaker Lane, West Warwick, Rhode Island 02893
SOUTH CAROLINA Billy R. Abercrombie, 1835 Assembly Street, Room 950, Strom Thurmond Federal Bldg., Columbia, South Carolina 29201

SOUTH DAKOTA Ronald E. Hendricks, Federal Building, 200 4th Street, S.W., Huron, South Dakota 57350 605-353-1783
TENNESSEE Jerry S. Lee, 675 Estes Kefauver, FB-USCH, 801 Broadway, Nashville, Tennessee 37203615-736-5471
TEXAS Harry W. Oneth, W.R. Poage Federal Bldg., 101 S. Main Street, Temple, Texas 76501-7682 817-774-1214
UTAH Francis T. Holt, Wallace F. Bennett, Federal Building, Room 4402, 125 So. State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138
NATIONAL TECHNICAL CENTER DIRECTORS
VERMONT John C. Titchner, 69 Union Street, Winooski, Vermont 05404
VIRGINIA George C. Norris, Federal Bldg., Room 9201, 400 North 8th Street, Richmond, Virginia 23240 804-771-2455
WASHINGTON Lynn A. Brown, West 920 Riverside Avenue, Room 360, Spokane, Washington 99201 509-353-2335
WES'T VIRGINIA Rollin N. Swank, 75 High Street, Room 301, Morgantown, West Virginia 26505 304-291-4151
WISCONSIN Duane L. Johnson, 6515 Watts Road, Suite 200, Madison, Wisconsin 53719-2726 608-264-5577
WYOMING Frank S. Dickson, Jr., Federal Office Bldg., 100 East "B" Street, Room 3124, Casper, Wyoming 82601307-261-5201
MIDWEST NTC August J. Dornbusch, Jr., Federal Bldg., Room 345, 100 Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-3866
NORTHEAST NTC Arthur B. Holland, 160 East 7th Street, Chester, Pennsylvania 19013
SOUTH NTC Paul F. Larson, Fort Worth Federal Center, Building 23, Room 60, Felix & Hemphill Streets, P.O. Box 6567, Fort Worth, Texas 76115

WESTNTC	
Stanley, N. Hobson, Federal Building, Room 248, 511 N.W. Broadway,	
Portland, Oregon 97209-3489	2824

United States Congress

For bills, laws and other congressional documents:

SENATE DOCUMENT ROOM

B-04-Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

HOUSE DOCUMENT ROOM

H-226, US Capitol Washington, DC 20515

COMMITTEES

SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION AND FORESTRY (202)224-2035
SENATE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES(202)224-4971
SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS(202)224-6176
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE (202)225-2171
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS(202)225-2771
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS(202)225-2761
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON MERCHANT MARINE AND FISHERIES (202)225-4047
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION(202)225-4472

State Resource Agencies

ALABAMA: Gary Ellis, Water Quality Division, Montgomery, AL
ALASKA: Doug Redburn, Office of Water Quality Management, Juneau, AK (907)465-2634
ARIZONA: Edward Swanson, Water Qualty Management Unit, Phoenix, AZ
ARKANSAS: Steve Brown, Water Division, Little Rock, AR
CALIFORNIA: Peter R. Douglas, Coastal Commission, San Francisco, CA
COLORADO: Patsy Goodman, Habitat Resources, Denver, CO
CONNECTICUT: Dennis Cunningham, Natural Resources Committee, Hartford, CT (203)566-7220
DELAWARE: William F. Moyer, Wetlands Section, Dover, DE
FLORIDA: Mark Latch, Water Quality Management Bureau, Tallahassee, FL (904)488-0130
GEORGIA: David Word, Water Resources Management Branch, Atlanta, GA (404)656-4807
HAWAII: Richard G. Poirier, Long-Range Plans Branch, Honolulu, HI
IDAHO: Susan Martin, Water Quality, Boise, ID
ILLINOIS: Marion Hubbell, Planning Division, Springfield, IL
INDIANA: John Winters, Water Quality Section, Indianapolis, IN
IOWA: Mike Carrier, Parks, Recreation, & Preservation Division, Des Moines, IA (515)281-5207
KANSAS: Robert Meinen, Department of Wildlife & Parks, Topeka, KS
KENTUCKY: Don Walker, Division of Water, Frankfort, KY
LOUISIANA: Charles G. Groat, Coastal Management Office, Baton Rouge, LA (504)342-7591
MAINE: Donald T. Witherill, Land Quality Control Bureau, Augusta, ME (207)289-2111
MARYLAND: William Burgess, Wetlands Division, Annapois, MD
MASSACHUSETTS: Gary Clayton, Wetlands and Waterways Regulation Division, Boston, MA (617)292-5856
MICHIGAN: Steve Sadewasser, Wetland Protection Unit, Lansing, MI
MINNESOTA: David B Milles, Permits Unit, St. Paul, MN
MISSISSIPPI: Robert Seyfarth, Water Quality Management Section, Jackson, MS (601)961-5171

MISSOURI: Laurie Bobbitt, Permits Section, Jefferson City, MO
MONTANA: Loren L. Bahls, Water Quality Management Section, Helena, MT (406)444-2406
NEBRASKA: Dave Jensen, Surface Water Section, Lincoln, NE
NEVADA: Wendell McCurry, Water Quality Section, Environmental Protection Division, Carson City, NV
NEW HAMPSHIRE: Delbert F. Downing, Water Management Bureau, Water Resources Division, Concord, NH
NEW JERSEY: John Weingart, Division of Coastal Resources, Trenton, NJ (609)292-2795
NEW MEXICO: Bob Findling, Park Planning, Division of Parks and Recreation, Santa Fe, NM (505)827-7859
NEW YORK: Patricia Riexinger, Wetlands Program, Division of Fish and Wildlife, Albany, NY (518)457-5581
NORTH CAROLINA: Charles Wakild, Water Quality Section, Division of Environmental Management, Raleigh, NC
NORTH DAKOTA: Dale L. Henegar, Game and Fish Department, Bismarck, ND (701)221-6300
OHIO: Mike Colvin, Environmental Review, Office of Outdoor Recreational Services, Columbus, OH (614)265-6413
OKLAHOMA: Steven A. Lewis, Department of Wildlife Conservation, Oklahoma City, OK (405)521-3851
OREGON: Ken Bierly, Division of State Lands, Salem, OR
PENNSYLVANIA: Eugene Counsil, Div Waterway & Stormwater Mgmt,Bur of Dams & Waterway Mgmt, Harrisburg, PA
RHODE ISLAND: Dean Albro, Wetlands Section, Division of Groundwater & Freshwater Wetlands, Providence, RI
SOUTH CAROLINA: Alfred H. Vang, Water Resources Commission, Columbia, SC (803)737-0800 Wayne Beam, South Carolina Coastal Council, Columbia, SC (803)737-0880
SOUTH DAKOTA: Duane Murphy, Water Resources Management Division, Pierre, SD (605)773-42126
TENNESSEE: Larry Bowers, Natural Resource Section, Division of Water Pollution Control, Nashville, TN
TEXAS: See U.S. Army Corps of Engineers phone list.
UTAH: Mike Reichert, Water Quality Mgmt & Grndwtr Sect, Bur of Water Pollution Control, Salt Lake City, UT
VERMONT: David Clough, Water Quality Division, Department of Environmental Conservation, Waterbury, VT

VIRGINIA: William Pruitt, Marine Resources Commission, Newport News, VA (804)247-2200
WASHINGTON: Rod Mach, Shorelands & Coastal Zone Management Program, Olympia, WA (206)459-6777
WEST VIRGINIA: Frank B. Pelurie, Groundwater, Water Resources Division, Charleston, WV (304)348-2108
WISCONSIN: Robert Roden, Water Regulation & Zoning Bureau, Madison, WI (608)266-8034
WYOMING: E.J. Sanning, Water Quality Division, Cheyenne, WY

State And Territorial Agencies and Citizens' Groups

ALABAMA
ALABAMA COOPERATIVE FISH AND WILDLIFE RESEARCH UNIT: Auburn, AL (205)242-7100 SEA GRANT PROGRAM: Ocean Springs, MS
ALASKA
ALASKA SEA GRANT COLLEGE PROGRAM: Fairbanks, AL
ARIZONA
ARIZONA WILDLIFE FEDERATION: Scottsdale, AZ
ARKANSAS
NATURAL AND SCENIC RIVERS COMMISSION: Little Rock, AR
CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA COOPERATIVE FISHERY RESEARCH UNIT: Arcata, CA
COLORADO
IZAAK WALTON LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC.: Trinidad, CO
CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT WILDLIFE FEDERATION: Middletown, CT
DELAWARE
DELAWARE WILD LANDS, INC.: Odessa, DE (302)834-1332
FLORIDA

FLORIDA COOPERATIVE FISH AND WILDLIFE RESEARCH UNIT, U.S.D.I.:

Gainesville, FL
GEORGIA
GEORGIA WILDLIFE COMMISSION: Conyers, GA
HAWAII
INSTITUTE OF TROPICAL AGRICULTURE AND HUMAN RESOURCES: Honolulu, HI
IDAHO
IDAHO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL: Idaho Falls, ID
ILLINOIS
ILLINOIS AUDOBON SOCIETY: Wayne, IL
INDIANA
INDIANA AUDOBON SOCIETY: Connersville, IN
IOWA ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION: Iowa City, IA
KANSAS
KANSAS ORNITHOLOGICAL SOCIETY: Newton, KS
KENTUCKY
KENTUCKY AUDOBON COUNCIL: Versailles, KY
LOUISIANA
LOUISIANA WLIDLIFE FEDERATION, INC.: Baton Rouge, LA

MAINE MAINE ASSOCIATION OF CONSERVATION COMMISSIONS: Belfast, ME (207)338-5536 **MARYLAND** COMMITTEE TO PRESERVE ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, INC.: Towson, MD (301)828-4520 **MASSACHUSETTS** MASSACHUSETTS ASSOCIATION OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS: North Easton, MA . . . (508)588-8914 MICHIGAN IZAAK WALTON LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC.: Grand Rapids, MI (616)281-3036 **MINNESOTA** MINNESOTA ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION: Minneapolis, MN (612)546-4220 **MISSISSIPPI** MISSISSIPPI ASSOCIATION OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS, INC.: Hattlesburg, MS (601)266-4734 **MISSOURI MONTANA** NEBRASKA IZAAK WALTON LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC.: Lincoln, NE (402)488-1640 NEBRASKA ASSOCIATION OF RESOURCES DISTRICTS: Oakland, NE (402)685-5956

	•
NEVADA	
NEVADA	۱
	7

NEVADA WILDLIFE FEDERATION: Reno, NV
NEW HAMPSHIRE
NEW HAMPSHIRE WILDLIFE FEDERATION, INC.: Concord, NH
NEW JERSEY
ASSOCIATION OF NEW JERSEY ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSIONS: Mendham, NJ (201)539-7547 NEW JERSEY AUDOBON SOCIETY: Franklin Lakes, NJ
NEW MEXICO
NEW MEXICO WILDLIFE FEDERATION: Albuquerque, NM
NEW YORK
FEDERATION OF NEW YORK STATE BIRD CLUBS,INC.: New Berlin, NY
NORTH CAROLINA
CAROLINA BIRD CLUB, INC.: Raleigh, NC
NORTH DAKOTA
NORTH DAKOTA WILDLIFE FEDERATION, INC.: Bismarck, ND
OHIO OHIO AUDOBON COUNCIL, INC.: Springfield, OH
OKLAHOMA
IZAAK WALTON LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC.: Stillwater, OK
OREGON

OREGON WILDLIFE HERITAGE FOUNDATION: Portland, OR
PENNSYLVANIA
CHESAPEAKE BAY FOUNDATION, INC.: Harrisburg, PA
Media, PA (215)353-5587 WILDLANDS CONSERVANCY: Emmaus, PA (215)965-4397
PUERTO RICO
CONSERVATION TRUST OF PUERTO RICO: San Juan, PR
RHODE ISLAND
RHODE ISLAND ASSOCIATION OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS: Carolina, RI (401)364-4069 SAVE THE BAY: Providence, RI
SOUTH CAROLINA
SOUTH CAROLINA WILDLIFE FEDERATION: Columbia, SC
IZAAK WALTON LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC.: Rapid City, SD
TENNESSEE
TENNESSEE CHAPTER, AMERICAN FISHERIES SOCIETY: Cookeville, TN
TEXAS
TEXAS COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES: Dallas, TX
UTAH UTAH WILDLIFE FEDERATION: Salt Lake City, UT
VERMONT
VERMONT ASSOCIATION OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS: Shoreham, VT

VIRGINIA

CHESAPEAKE BAY FOUNDATION, INC.: Richmond, VA
WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON WILDLIFE FEDERATION: Pullman, WA
WEST VIRGINIA
IZAAK WALTON LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC.: Ceredo, WV
WISCONSIN
WISCONSIN SOCIETY FOR ORNITHOLOGY, INC., THE: Waunakee, WI
WYOMING
WYOMING STATE PARKS AND HISTORIC SITES: Cheyenne, WY

International, National, and Regional Organizations

AMERICAN FISHERIES SOCIETY: Bethesda, MD
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF FISHERY RESEARCH BIOLOGISTS: Bronx, NY (409)766-3325
AMERICAN LEAGUE OF ANGLERS AND BOATERS: Washington, DC (202)662-7420
AMERICAN LITTORAL SOCIETY: Highlands, NJ
AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION, INC.: Washington, DC (813)974-2242
AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIATION: Washington, DC
AMERICAN RIVERS (formerly American Rivers Conservation Council): Washington, DC (202)547-6900
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF LIMNOLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY, INC.:
Dartmouth, NS B2Y 4A2, Canada
AMERICAN WATER RESOURCES ASSOCIATION: Bethesda, MD
ANGLERS FOR CLEAN WATER, INC.: Montgomery, AL
ASSOCIATION FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE ENFORCEMENT TRAINING: Edmonton, Alberta
ASSOCIATION OF FIELD ORNITHOLOGISTS, INC.: Washington, DC (202)357-2031
ASSOCIATION OF MIDWEST FISH AND WILDLIFE AGENCIES: Pres. David Hales, Chairman, Michigan Dept. of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, MI 48909
ASSOCIATION OF MIDWEST FISH AND GAME LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS: Columbus, OH
ATLANTIC CENTER FOR THE ENVIRONMENT, THE: Ipswich, MA
AUDOBON NATURALIST SOCIETY OF THE CENTRAL ATLANTIC STATES, INC.:
Chevy Chase, MD
CENTER FOR MARINE CONSERVATION, INC.: Washington, DC (202)429-5609
CENTER FOR THE GREAT LAKES: Chicago, IL
CLEAN WATER ACTION: Washington, DC
•

COASTAL CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION, INC.: Houston, TX
COASTAL SOCIETY, THE: Gloucester, MA
CONSERVATION FOUNDATION, THE: Washington, DC
CONSERVATION FUND, THE: Arlington, VA
CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION, INC.: Boston, MA
DUCKS UNLIMITED, INC.: Long Grove, IL
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND, INC.: (212)505-2100 New York, NY (202)387-3500 Washington, DC (202)387-3500 Oakland, CA (415)658-8008 Boulder, CO (303)440-4901 Richmond, VA (804)780-1297 Raleigh, NC (919)821-7793 Austin, TX (512)478-5161
ENVIRONMENTAL LAW INSTITUTE, THE: Washington, DC
FISH AND WILDLIFE REFERENCE SERVICE: Bethesda, MD
FRESHWATER FOUNDATION: Navarre, MN
FRIENDS OF THE EARTH, ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY INSTITUTE, OCEANIC SOCIETY: Washington, DC
GAME CONSERVATION INTERNATIONAL: San Antonio, TX
GREAT LAKES SPORT FISHING COUNCIL: Elmhurst, IL
GREAT LAKES UNITED: Buffalo, NY
GREAT SWAMP RESEARCH INSTITUTE: Indiana, Pa
GREENPEACE USA, INC.: Washington, DC
INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FISH AND WILDLIFE AGENCIES: Washington, DC . (202)624-7890
INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR BIRD PRESERVATION: Kimberly Young, ICBP Inc., c/o WWF-US, 1250 Twenty-fourth St., NW, Washington, DC 20037
INTERNATIONAL CRANE FOUNDATION:Baraboo, WI
INTERNATIONAL EROSION CONTROL ASSOCIATION (IECA): Steamboat Springs, CO (303)879-3010
INTERNATIONAL GAME FISH ASSOCIATION:Ft. Lauderdale, FL

INTERNATIONAL OSPREY FOUNDATION, INC., THE: Sanibel, FL
INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR CONSERVATION OF NATURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES (IUCN), WORLD CONSERVATION UNION: Washington, DC
INTERNATIONAL WILD WATERFOWL ASSOCIATION: Medina, OH (603)659-5442
IZAAK WALTON LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC., THE: Arlington, VA
LAKE ERIE CLEANUP COMMITTEE, INC.: Dearborn, MI
LAKE MICHIGAN FEDERATION: Chicago, IL
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR STATE RIVER CONSERVATION PROGRAMS: Washington, DC
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS: Washington, DC (202)547-6223
NATIONAL AUDOBON SOCIETY: New York, NY
NATIONAL COALITION FOR MARINE CONSERVATION: Savannah, GA (912)234-8062
NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION: Washington, DC (202)343-1040
NATIONAL WATER RESOURES ASSOCIATION: Arlington, VA
NATIONAL WATERWAYS CONFERENCE, INC.: Washington, DC (202)296-4415
NATIONAL WETLANDS TECHNICAL COUNCIL: Washington, DC (202)328-5150
NATIONAL WILDLIFE FEDERATION: (202)797-6800 Washington, DC (703)790-4000
NATURE CONSERVANCY, THE: Arlington, VA
NORTH AMERICAN LAKE MANAGEMENT SOCIETY: Washington, DC
NORTH AMERICAN LOON FUND: Meredith, NH
OCEAN ALLIANCE: San Francisco, CA
PUGET SOUND ALLIANCE: Seattle, WA
SIERRA CLUB: San Francisco, CA
SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION SOCIETY: Ankeny, IA
SOUTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER: Charlottesville, VA

TROUT UNLIMITED: Vienna, VA
TRUST FOR PUBLIC LAND, THE: San Francisco, CA
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FEDERATION: Alexandria, VA
WETLANDS FOR WILDLIFE, INC.: West Bend, WI
WHOOPING CRANE CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION, INC.: Sierra Vista, AZ (602)458-0971
WILDFOWL FOUNDATION, INC.: Arlington, VA
WILDFOWL TRUST OF NORTH AMERICA, INC., THE: Grasonville, MD (301)827-6694

Society Of Wetland Scientists

NATIONAL OFFICERS

President: Dr. Mark M. Brinson, Department of Biology, East Carolina University; Greenville, NC 27834
Vice President: Dr. G. Ronnie Best, Center for Wetlands, Phelps Laboratory, University of Florida; Gainesville, FL 32611
Executive Secretary: Mr. David M. DuMond, 225 Cheyenne Trail; Wilmington, NC 28409 (919)799-0363
Treasurer: Dr. Virginia Carter, U.S. Geological Survey, 430 National Center, Reston, VA 22092 (703)648-4000
Immediate Past President: Dr. Jay A. Leitch, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University; Fargo, ND 58105
Journal Editor: Dr. Douglas A. Wilcox, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, National Fisheries Research Center, 1451 Green Road; Ann Arbor, MI 48105
Bulletin Editor: Dr. Lyndon C. Lee, L.C. Lee & Associates, Inc., 221 1st Avenue West, Suite 415; Seattle, Wa 98119
Archivist: Mr. Paul Knutson, Route 3,Box 958; Gloucester, VA 23061 (804)693-2154
REGIONAL CHAIRPERSONS
North Atlantic Chapter (Region I)—Dr. Brian H. Hill, Division of Science, Marist College; Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
North Atlantic Chapter (Region I)—Dr. Brian H. Hill, Division of Science, Marist College; Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
Marist College; Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
Marist College; Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
Marist College; Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
Marist College; Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

Dr. Ian Hutchinson, Department of Geography, Simon Fraser University; Burnaby, British Columbia; Canada V5A 1S6	(604)291-3232
International Chapter (Region VIII)—Dr. Armando A. de la Cruz, Department of Biological Sci Mississippi State University, P.O. Box GY; Mississippi State, MS	iences, (601)325-3120
Dr. Francis D. Heliotis, Department of Biology, George Mason University; Fairfax, VA 22030	(703)323-4140
Rocky Mountain Chapter (Region IX)—Dr. David J. Cooper, 3803 Silver Plume; Boulder, CO 80303	(303)443-7325
Pacific Northwest Chapter (Region X)—Mr. Marc Boule, Shapiro & Associates, 1812 Smith Tower, Seattle, WA 98104	(206)624-9190

National Wetlands Technical Council

CALIFORNIA
Dr. R. Terry Huffman, Huffman and Associates, 69 Aztec St., San Francisco, California 94110 415-821-4159
Dr. Joy B. Zedler, Pacific Estuarine Research Laboratory, Dept. of Biology,
San Diego State University, San Diego, California 92812-0057
CONDITION
CONNECTICUT
Dr. William A. Niering, Connecticut College, 270 Mohegan Ave., Box 5511,
New London, Connecticut 06320-4196
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
J. William Futrell (President), Tim Henderson (Staff Attorney), Nicole Veilleux (Editor NWN),
Erik Meyers (Director of Development), Environmental Law Institute,
1616 P Street, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20036
FLORIDA
John R. Clark, National Park Service Program, School of Marine Sciences, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, Florida 33419
GEORGIA
Dr. Eugene P. Odum, Center for Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602 404-542-3314
ILLINOIS
Dr. J. Henry Sather, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 103 Oakland Lane, Macomb, Illinois 61455 309-833-5341
Dr. Richard P. Novitski, U.S. Geological Survey, 102 E. Main St., 4th Floor, Urbana, Illinois 61801 . 217-398-5353
IOWA
Dr. Arnold van der Valk, Department of Botany, Iowa State University,
Ames, Iowa 50011
T ONTOVANIA
LOUISIANA
Dr. William H. Patrick, Jr., Laboratory for Wetlands Soils & Sediments,
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803
MASSACHUSETTS
Dr. Joseph S. Larson, The Environmental Institute, University of Massachusetts,
Amherst, Massachusetts 01003
Participi, transactioned of the control of the cont

NEW YORK Jon A. Kusler, Esq., P.O. Box 2463, Berne, New York 12023
OHIO Dr. Orie L. Loucks, Department of Zoology, Miami University, 212 Biological Sciences Bldg., Oxford, Ohio 45056
PUERTO RICO Dr. Ariel E. Lugo, Institute of Tropical Forestry, USDA Forest Service, Call Box 2500, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00928-2500
TEXAS Dr. Milton W. Weller, Dept. of Wildlife & Fisheries, 201 Nagle Hall, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843
UTAH Dr. Jessop Low, College of Natural Resources, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322

Bibliography

- An asterisk (*) indicates immediate availability through EPA hotline.
- Adams, Lowell W. and Louise E. Dove. Wildlife Reserves and Corridors in the Urban Environment. Columbia, MD: National Institute for Urban Wildlife, 1989. 91 pp. \$7
- Alaska: Regional Wetland Functions. Amherst, MA: The Environmental Institute (University of Massachusetts), 1990. 132 pp.
- Amacher, Gregory S., Richard J. Brazee, Johnathan W. Bulkley, and Russell A. Moll. Application of Wetland Valuation Techniques: Examples from Great Lakes Coastal Wetlands. Ann Arbor, MI: School of Natural Resources (University of Michigan), 1989. 38 pp.
- An Interdisciplinary Approach to Valuation of Michigan Coastal Wetlands. Ann Arbor, MI: School of Natural Resources (University of Michigan), 1988. 101 pp.
- * Army Corps of Engineers. 1987. Recognizing Wetlands. Waterways Experiment Station. MS. 8p. This brochure describes, in nontechnical terms, ways an individual can determine whether an area may be a wetland for purposes of the Corps of Engineers permit program. It also tells who to contact if you think an area to be filled is a wetland. 4" X 9"
- Association of State Floodplain Managers. 1988. "Floodplain Harmony" Proceedings from: Twelfth Annual Conference of the Association of State Floodplain Managers Workshop. 363p. The Association of State Floodplain Managers Twelfth Annual Conference was held in Nashville, Tennessee. Forum followed the theme "Floodplain Harmony, Note the Past Tune to Future!" demonstrating need to meet to share problems and solutions to reduce flood damages. Formats and presenters focused on future in floodplain and flood-risk management. Attended by over 360 floodplain managers representing federal, state and local governments, special districts, universities, nonprofit organizations and private sector.
- Association of State Floodplain Managers. 1989. "Partnerships: Effective Flood Hazard Management."

 Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Conference. 295p. Thirteenth annual conference of State Floodplain Managers was held in Scottsdale, Arizona. Focus was on the benefit of multiobjective management strategies, and the need for floodplain managers to join in partnership with their colleagues interested in wetlands, recreation, dam safety, coastal management, insurance and emergency management.
- Baron, Wayne R., and David E. Normsen. Swampbuster and You. Bismarck, ND: National Wildlife Federation (Prairie Wetlands Resource Center), 1988. 12 pp.
- Beasley, Barry R., David A. Lange, and Wallace C. Brittain. South Carolina River Assessment. Columbia, SC: South Carolina Water Resources Commission, 1988. 249 pp. Free
- Berger, John J. et al. (editors). Environmental Restoration: Science and Strategies for Restoring the Earth. Washington, DC: Island Press, 1990. 409 pp. \$19.95
- Bryant, Tracey L., and Jonathan R. Pennock (editors). The Delaware Estuary: Rediscovering A Forgotten Resource. Newark, DE: University of Delaware Sea Grant Communications, 1988. 144 pp. \$20
- Burby, Raymond J., Scott A. Bollens, James M. Holway, Edward J. Kaiser, David Mullan, and John R. Schaeffer. Cities Under Water: A Comparative Evaluation of Ten Cities' Efforts to Manage Floodplain Land Use. Boulder, CO: Natural Hazards Research and Applications Information Center (University of Colorado), 1988. 250 pp. \$8
- Burke, David G., Erik J. Meyers, Ralph W. Tiner, Jr., and Hazel Groman. 1988. *Protecting Nontidal Wetlands*.

 American Planning Association. Washington, D.C. ** This report is designed to help local government officials,

- public interest groups, landowners and other citizens to preserve nontidal wetlands. It describes wetland types and values and includes a look at the current status of wetlands in the United States; it discusses how to create a wetland protection program; and it reviews federal, state and local regulations to protect nontidal wetlands. This report draws heavily from an APA survey of PAS subscribers and on two earlier works—Non-Tidal Wetlands Protection: A Handbook for Maryland Local Governments and Wetlands of the United States: Current Status and Recent Trends.
- Butler, David (editor). Floodplain Harmony. Madison, WI: Association of State Floodplain Managers, 1989. 378 pp. \$13.50
- Butler, Lynda Lee and Margit Livingston. Virginia Tidal and Coastal Law. Charlottesville, VA: The Michie Company, 1988. 888 pp. \$85
- Caduto, Michael J. Pond and Brook: A Guide to Nature in Freshwater Environments. Hanover, NH: University Press of New England, 1990. 276 pp. \$12.95
- The Center for the Great Lakes. A Look at the Land Side: Great Lakes Shoreline Management. Chicago: The Center for the Great Lakes, 1988. 32 pp. \$8
- Chabreck, Robert A. Coastal Marshes: Ecology and Wildlife Management. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 1988. 138 pp. \$12.95
- Chandler, William (editor). Audubon Wildlife Report 1988/1989. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, Inc., 1988. 817 pp. \$24.95
- Clean Water Deskbook. Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute, 1988. 528 pp. \$80
- Clean Water Act, Section 404: 33 U.S.C. 1344.
- Coastal Management: Solutions to Our Nation's Coastal Problems. Washington, DC: Office of Coastal Resource Management, 1988. 61 pp.
- Colburn, Elizabeth A. (editor). Certified: A Citizen's Step-By-Step Guide to Protecting Vernal Pools. Lincoln, MA: Massachuseus Audubon Society, 1989. 107 pp. \$7.95
- Collins, Beryl Robichaud, and Emily W.B. Russell (editors). Protecting the New Jersey Pinelands: A New Direction in Land-Use Management. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1988. 344 pp. \$12
- Conservation Foundation. 1988. Protecting America's Wetlands: An Action Agenda. Harper Graphics, Waldorf, Maryland. 70p. In 1987, at the request of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Conservation Foundation convened the National Wetlands Policy Forum chaired by Governor T. H. Kean of New Jersey to address how the nation should protect and manage its valuable wetlands resources. The 20 member Forum was represented by 3 governors, state legislator and government officials, environmental groups and businesses, agriculture and other interests. The results (over a hundred recommendations) of the Forum are described in this publication.
- Dalrymple, Geroge H., William F. Loftus, and Frank S. Bernardino, Jr. (editors). Wildlife in the Everglades and Latin American Wetlands: Abstracts of the Proceedings of the First Everglades National Park Symposium. (Miami: February 25-March 1, 1985) Homestead, FL: National Park Service, 1988. 72 pp.
- Day, John W., Jr. et al. Estuarine Ecology. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1989. 558 pp. \$54.95
- Diehl, Janet and Thomas S. Barrett. The Conservation Easement Handbook: Managing Land Conservation and Historic Preservation Easement Programs. Alexandria, VA: Land Trust Exchange, 1988. 269 pp. \$19.95
- Douglas, Marjory S. The Everglades: River of Grass, Revised Edition. Sarasota, FL: Pineapple Press, Inc., 1988. 448 pp. \$17.95

- Duffy, Water G. and Darryl Clark (editors). Marsh Management in Coastal Louisiana: Effects and Issues. Washington, DC: US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1989. 378 pp. Free
- Edsall, Thomas A., Bruce A. Manny, and C. Nicholas Raphael. The St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan:
 An Ecological Profile. Slidell, LA: US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1988. 130 pp.
- Environmental Law Institute. Implementation of the Swampbuster Provisions of the Food Security Act of 1985. Washington, DC: 1990. 47 pp.
- * Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection (EPA). Report No. OPA-87-016. Washington D.C. 8p. This colorful, informative brochure defines wetlands, identifying some of the many different types of wetlands and discusses their functions and values. It presents the current status and trends in terms of wetland losses, describing protection mechanisms available to private and government organizations.
- * Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990. Beyond the Estuary: The Importance of Upstream Wetlands in Estuarine Processes. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection with the Office of Marine and Estuarine Protection. EPA #20W-5003. Examines the functions and values of coastal, brackish and freshwater wetlands as well as threats to these wetlands in estuarine processes. Some wetlands functions described are improving water quality, storing floodwater, and providing habitat for fishes and wildlife. Describes and promotes efforts in management, protection and enhancement of upstream wetlands and the estuaries.
- * Environmental Protection Agency. 1988. Bibliographic Series: Wetlands Protection. Prepared by Information Services and Library (EPA). Publication No. MSD/88-009. 93p. The purpose of Wetlands Protection is to provide individuals with a useful tool for understanding wetlands and the regulations, policies and activities that form the current framework for protection or degradation and loss. It is meant to be a resource for individuals who wish to gain an in-depth understanding of wetlands and the issues affecting protection. The first half focuses on wetland communities, the second on existing framework for protection.
- * Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988. Environmental Backgrounder: Wetlands. Prepared by the Office of Public Affairs (OPA). Washington, D.C. 6p. 2 Developed for the press as part of a series of informative publications on environmental "Wetlands" introduces the serious issues, confronting wetlands. It provides background information on wetlands, their complex benefits to society and available legal tools for protecting them, including federal and state action and public action.
- Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. EPA Journal: "Can Our Coasts Survive More Growth?". 56p. Vol. 15(5). This issue describes the "phenomenon of Americans moving, lemming-like, to coastal areas and the squeeze this is putting on the natural environment." Presents EPA's policy regarding the environmental problems affecting our coasts, its tough stand on coastal and marine pollution, Congressional steps necessary to rescue the environment and concludes with a feature on "people power" for getting a handle on the pollution.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986. EPA Journal: "Protecting Our Wetlands." Vol. 12(1). Addresses the situation of wetlands protection, including views of several key leaders with wetlands concerns. Articles cover regulatory responsibilities of four federal government agencies under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, separate roles of which are presented by EPA.
- * Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. Highlights of Section 404. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection. Washington, D.C. lOp. Summarizes the Federal regulatory Program to protect waters of the United States. Discusses various roles of the different Federal agencies involved in administering the program. Describes permit process, the geographic scope of, the activities regulated by, unacceptable adverse impacts and enforcement of Section 404. Also identifies state and citizen roles in wetland protection.
- * Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990. Memo with Attachment: "National Guidance: Wetlands and Nonpoint Source Control." From: Martha G. Protho. OWRS. Provides information and guidance on the coordination of State and Federal NPS control and wetland programs and the implementation of activities that can benefit both programs.

- Environmental Protection Agency and National Audubon Society. 1990. Audubon's America. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection. Washington, D.C. A proposal to develop a 26 State cooperative local wetlands and natural area protection project using the work, life and travels of John James Audobon as a theme.
- Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. "Non-regulatory Wetlands Activities". Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection. 12p. Discusses EPA's wetlands program beyond the traditional Section 404 permit program to take advantage of other opportunities to protect wetlands. Efforts addressed in publication include influencing federal agency policies and programs, developing State, Indian and local programs, public information and education, international activities, integration with other EPA programs affecting wetlands, new wetlands strategies and improving scientific and technical information base. Reviews Regulatory Advance Identification in Section 404.
- Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Rivers. Prepared by the Office of Water (EPA). Washington, D.C. Report #EPA/444/4-89-001. Response to EPA's major study (1986) of the Agency's surface water monitoring activities. Responding to results of study, the Assessment and Watershed Protection Division developed rapid bioassessment protocols to provide basic aquatic life data for planning and management purposes, including screening, site ranking and trend monitoring. Document provides individual States with cost-effective biological assessments of lotic systems.
- Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. Wetlands Action Plan. Prepared by Office of Water, Office of Wetlands Protection. Signed by EPA Administrator, this agenda is the Agency's response to recommendations of the National Wetlands Policy Forum. It presents EPA's near-term goal to protect the nation's wetlands through no net loss and long-term goal to increase the quality and quantity of the nation's wetlands resource base. The Plan identifies and discusses EPA's seven objectives to achieve its goal.
- *Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989. Wetlands and 401 Certification. Prepared by the Office of Water. Washington, D.C. 64pp. This handbook highlights the potential of State water quality certification process for protecting wetlands, providing information and guidance to the States, as recommended by the National Wetlands Policy Forum in its report issued November 1988. Examples of integrating existing programs related to wetlands include coastal zone management, floodplain management and nonpoint source programs.
- *Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990. Wetlands: Accomplishments and Opportunities. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection. Washington, D.C. 12pp. Summarizes 1990 accomplishments of EPA's wetlands protection efforts and outlines activities for 1991.
- *Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989. "Wetlands Protection." Fact sheets prepared by EPA Office of Wetlands Protection. Washington, D.C. 13 p. Series of seven individual fact sheets: Wetlands Protection, the Wetlands Resource, Wetlands Functions and Values, Wetlands Impacts and Losses, Programs for Protecting Wetlands, the Section 404 Program and EPA's Wetland Protection Program.
- Eugster, J. Glenn and Diedre Gibson. 1990 Heritage Areas: An Integrated Approach to Landscape Conservation. U.S. EPA Office of Wetlands Protection. Free.
- Eugster, J. Glenn. 1988. Statewide River Assessments. Describes conceptual approach and a method for cooperative statewide river assessments to inventory and evaluate natural, cultural recreational and developmental values and uses of river corridors including wetlands and other natural areas. U.S. EPA Office of Wetlands Protection. Free.
- * Eugster, J. Glenn. 1988. "Steps in State and Local Greenway Planning". in Sept.-Oct. 1988 National Wetlands Newsletter. Discusses National Park Service cooperative work with public and private organizations to "identify and conserve Greenway values." Outlines Greenway Planning Process in nine steps, incorporating both social and political factors. The nine-step approach has been used in over 40 States and 150 river areas, resulting in more effective use of existing government programs.

- Federal Land Management Agency. 1989. "Wise Use and Protection of Federally Managed Wetlands: The Federal Land Management Agency Role." Results of workshop. Prepared by EIWPCC. Boston. Precedings from three-day workshop in 1989 in staff level/middle management from nine Federal agencies to gain a better understanding of the agencies' missions and the constraints of their missions relative to use and protection of wetlands on Federal lands, and to develop ways to collaborate on common issues and concerns.
- Giffen, Alec, Drew Parkin, et. al. Maine Lakes Study. Maine Land Use Regulatory Commission, Augusta, ME. Free.
- Goldfarb, William. Water Law, Second Edition. Chelsea, MI: Lewis Publishers, Inc., 1988. 284 pp. \$44.95
- Goldman-Carter, Jan. A Citizens' Guide to Protecting Wetlands. Washington, DC: National Wildlife Federation, 1989. 64 pp. \$10.25
- Goode, Bernard N. and Robert J. Pierce (editors). Federal Wetland Regulation Reference Manual. Poolesville, MD: Wetland Training Institute, Inc., 1990. 283 pp. \$33
- Groman, Hazel A., David M. Burke and Jon Kustler, editors. 1985. Wetlands of the Chesapeake, Protecting the Future of the Bay. Environmental Law Institute. Washington, D.C. Contains papers presented at the "Conference on The Wetlands of the Chesapeake: Protecting the Future of the Bay" held during April 1985. Organized by Environmental Law Institute, the Maryland Department of Natural Resources and the Association of State Wetland Managers. Papers in "Proceedings" discuss both the role that wetlands play in protecting the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem and methods for improving their management and protection.
- Hamann, Richard. Selected Annotated Bibliography: Coastal Resource Management. Gainesville, FL: Sea Grant Extension Program (University of Florida), 1987.
- Hammer, Donald A. (editor). Constructed Wellands for Wastewater Treatment: Municipal, Industrial, and Agricultural. Ft. Lauderdale, FL: CRC Press, Inc., 1990. 831 pp. \$69.95
- Haynes, Ronnie J., James A. Allen, and Edward C. Pendleton. Reestablishment of Bottomland Hardwood Forests on Disturbed Sites: An Annotated Bibliography. Biological Report #88(42). Slidell, LA: US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1988. 104 pp.
- Hecimovich, Jim (editor). Protecting Nontidal Wetlands. Chicago, IL: American Planning Association, (1989). 76 pp. \$36
- Hickcox, David H. (editor). Proceedings of the Symposium on The Great Lakes: Living With North America's Inland Waters. Bethesda, MD: American Water Resources Association, 1988. 296 pp. \$49
- Hurley, Linda M. Field Guide to the Submerged Aquatic Vegetation of the Chesapeake Bay. Annapolis, MD: USFWS, 1990. 51 pp. Free
- Illinois Department of Conservation. A Field Guide to the Wetlands of Illinois. Springfield, IL: Illinois Department of Conservation, 1988. 244 pp.
- Josselyn, Michael, Molly Martindale, and Joan Duffield. Public Access and Wetlands: Impacts of Recreational Use. Tiburon, CA: Romberg Tiburon Centers, 1989. 56 pp. \$11.50
- King, James G., and Mary Lou King. Birds and Wetlands of Alaska. Fairbanks, AK: Sea Grant Program (University of Alaska), 1988. 187 pp. \$14
- Klein, Richard D. Dealing With Development. Maryland Line, MD: Community & Environmental Defense Associates, 1989. 150 pp. \$24.95
- Kusler, Jon A. Our Wetland Heritage: A Protection Guidebook. Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute,

- Kusler, Jon A., Millicent L. Quammen, and Gail Brooks (editors). National Wetland Symposium: Mitigation of Impacts and Losses. Berne, NY: Association of State Wetland Managers, 1988. 460 pp.
- Kusler, Jon A., Sally Daly, and Gail Brooks (editors). Urban Wetlands: Proceedings of the National Wetlands Symposium. Berne, NY: Association of Wetland Managers, 1988. 402 pp. \$26
- * Kusler, Jon A. and Mary E. Kentula, editors. 1989. Wetland Creation and Restoration: The Status of the Science, vol. I. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection. EPA 600/3-89/38a. 473 p. Wetland Research Plan adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in 1986. Research surveyed to determine how well created and restored wetlands compensate for losses permitted under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. This status report is the first resulting from this research and is volume I of a two volume set.
- Kusler & Kentula, Wetland Creation and Restoration: The Status of the Science, vol. II. Prepared by the Office of Wetlands Protection. EPA 600/3-89/38b. 88 p. See preceding on Volume I of the two volume set.
- Kusler, Jon A. and Mary E. Kentula. 1990. Wetland Creation and Restoration: The Status of the Science (final). Island Press, Washington, D.C. 595p. Wetland Research Plan adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in 1986. Research surveyed to determine how well created and restored wetlands compensate for losses permitted under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Same as preceding Volumes I and II but published as one document.
- Land Trust Exchange. 1989 National Directory of Conservation Land Trusts. Alexandria, VA: Land Trust Exchange, 1989. 127 pp. \$18
- Landin, M.C. (editor). Inland Waterways: Proceedings of a National Workshop on the Beneficial Uses of Dredged Material. Vicksburg, MS: US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, 1988. 310 pp.
- Larson, Joseph S., Paul R. Adamus, and Ellis J. Clairain, Jr. Functional Assessment of Freshwater Wetlands: A Manual and Training Outline. Amherst, MA: The Environmental Institute (University of Massachusetts), 1989. 62 pp. \$10
- Lazell, James D., Jr. Wildlife of the Florida Keys: A Natural History. Washington, DC: Island Press, 1989. 225 pp. \$19.95
- Leitch, Jay A., Brenda L. Ekstrom. Wetland Economics and Assessment: An Annotated Bibliography. New York: Garland Publishing, Inc., 1989. 194 pp. \$27
- Liebesman, Lawrence R. Developer's Guide to Federal Wetlands Regulations. Washington, DC: National Association of Homebuilders, 1990. 150 pp. \$64
- Lyons, Janet and Sandra Jordan. Walking the Wetlands: A Hiker's Guide to Common Plants and Animals of Marshes, Bogs, and Swamps. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1989. 222 pp. \$10.95
- Majumdar, Shyamal K., Robert P. Brooks, Fred J. Benner, and Ralph W. Tiner (editors). Wetlands Ecology and Conservation: Emphasis in Pennsylvania. Easton, PA: Pennsylvania Academy of Science, 1989. 395 pp. \$45
- National Conference of State Legislatures. Wetlands Protection and the States. Denver: NCSL, 1990. 26 pp. \$11.50
- National Park Service (NPS). 1990 Great Egg Harbor River Study. Mid-Atlantic Regional Office, Philadelphia, PA. Free.
- National Park Service (NPS) 1988 Riverwork Book. Mid-Atlantic Regional Office, Philadelphia, PA. 98pp. Free.

- National Research Council Committee on Coastal Erosion Zone Management. Managing Coastal Erosion. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1990. 182 pp. \$19.95
- National Research Council Water Science and Technology Board. Irrigation-Induced Water Quality Problems. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1989. 157 pp. \$24.95
- National Wetlands Policy Forum. Recommendations for Comprehensive State Wetlands Programs. Washington, DC: Conservation Foundation, 1989. 21 pp.
- National Wetlands Policy Forum. Recommendations for Executive Action. Washington, DC: Conservation Foundation, 1989. 27 pp.
- National Wetlands Policy Forum. Recommendations for Federal Wetlands Legislation. Washington, DC: Conservation Foundation, 1989. 21 pp.
- National Wildlife Federation. A Citizen's Guide to Protecting Wetlands Washington, DC: March, 1989. 64 pp.
- National Wildlife Federation. Status Report on Our Nation's Wetlands. Washington, DC: October, 1987. 46 pp.
- Non-Tidal Wetlands Protection: A Handbook for Maryland Local Governments. Annapolis, MD: Tidewater Administration, Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1983. 71 pp.
- North American Riparian Council. Wetland and Riparian Ecosystems of the American West: Proceedings of the Society of Wetland Scientists' Eighth Annual Meeting. Denver: Planning Information Corporation, 1988. 349 pp.
- Novotny, Vladimir (editor). Nonpoint Pollution: 1988—Policy, Economy, Management, and Appropriate Technology. Bethesda, MD: American Water Resources Association, 1988. 314 pp. \$15
- Office of Technology Assessment. Wetlands: Their Use and Regulation. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1984. 195 pp.
- Pacific Regional Welland Functions. Amherst, MA: The Environmental Institute (University of Massachusetts), 1990. 162 pp.
- Parkin, Drew, David A. Lange, and J. Glenn Eugster. *Maine Rivers Study*. U.S. National Park Service, Mid-Atlantic Regional Office, Philadelphia, PA. Free.
- Parkin, Drew, et. al. Pacific Northwest Rivers Study. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. Free.
- Paulson, Gerald A. Wetlands and Water Quality: A Citizen's Handbook for Protecting Wetlands. Chicago: Lake Michigan Federation, 1990. 42 pp.
- Platt, Rutherford H. 1987. "Coastal Wetland Management: Strengthening EPA Role". Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency. Report. 62p. Findings and recommendations based on study of background of public policy on coastal wetlands, federal programs affecting coastal wetlands, the 404 Wetlands Program, coastal wetlands management issues status, policies, and programs within the federal government, and options for improving coastal wetlands management under Section 404.
- Resource Guide to Wetland Scientists of the Pacific Northwest. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology, 1988. 92 pp.
- Reyer, Anthony J., Donald W. Field, Jennifer E. Cassells, Charles E. Alexander, and Cynthia L. Holland. The Distribution and Areal Extent of Coastal Wetlands in Estuaries of the Gulf of Mexico. Rockville, MD: National Coastal Wetlands Inventory, 1988. 18 pp.

- Richter, Joanne. State of the Sound 1988 Report. Seattle, WA: Puget Sound Water Quality Authority, 1988. 225 pp.
- Roddewig, Richard J., and Christopher J. Duerksen. Responding to the Takings Challenge: A Guide for Officials and Planners. Washington, DC: American Planning Association, 1989. 39 pp.
- Salveson, David. Wetlands: Mitigation and Regulating Development Impacts. Washington, DC: Urban Land Institute, 1990. 117 pp. \$40.50
- Sewage and Industrial Waste Treatment: Wetlands (January 1977-July 1988): Citations from the Selected Water Resources Abstracts Database. Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service, 1988. 63 pp. \$58
- Shevenell Gallen and Associates, Inc. Rise in Sea Level and Coastal Zone Planning. Concord, NH: New Hampshire Office of State Planning, 1987. 19 pp.
- Short, Cathleen. Mitigation Banking. Fort Collins, CO: National Ecology Research Center (US Fish and Wildlife Service), 1988. 103 pp.
- Smith, Loren M. et al. (editors). Habitat Management for Migrating and Wintering Waterfowl in North America. Lubbock, TX: Texas Tech University Press, 1989. 559 pp.
- Soil and Water Conservation Society. Implementing the Conservation Title of the Food Security Act. Ankeny, IA: SWCS, 1990. 74 pp. Free
- Steinberg, Robert E. (editor). Wetlands and Real Estate Development. Rockville, MD: Government Institutes, 1988. 229 pp. \$72
- Steiner, Frederick and Warren Zitzmann. 1989. Land-Use Planning and Regulation for APA Book on Small Town and Rural Community Planning. Ed. by Vernon Deines. Addresses planning activities that affect both public and private lands in rural regions and communities. Describes systems used by the federal government for public land and 50 different approaches utilized by the states for private land. Also describes federal policy affecting use of rural private lands, especially farmland. Also includes numerous strategies used by local government and non-governmental organizations.
- Stuber, Patricia J. (editor). Proceedings of the National Symposium on Protection of Wetlands from Agricultural Impacts. Fort Collins, CO: Henrietta Cullinane (Colorado State University), 1988. 221 pp. \$25
- Tessier, Laura E. A Model Ordinance for Wetlands Protection. White Plains, NY: Westchester County Soil and Water Conservation District, 1988. 35 pp. \$10
- "The Last Wetlands," a special issue of Audubon (July, 1990)
- Thompson, Paul, Robert Adler, and Jessica Landman. Poison Runoff: A Guide to State and Local Control of Nonpoint Source Water Pollution. Washington, DC: Natural Resources Defense Council, 1989. 484 pp. \$29.95
- Tibbetts, John and Virginia Beach (editors). Wealth? Or Wastelands? South Carolina's Freshwater Wetlands:

 Proceedings of the South Carolina Sea Grant Consortium's Seventh Annual Winter Conference. Charleston,
 SC: South Carolina Sea Grant Consortium, 1988. 49 pp.
- Tiner, Ralph W., Jr. Field Guide to Nontidal Wetland Identification. Annapolis, MD: Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1988. 283 pp. \$20
- * Tiner, Ralph W., Jr. Mid-Atlantic Wetlands: A Disappearing Natural Treasure. Prepared by US Environmental Protection Agency and US Fish & Wildlife Service. Newton Corner, MA. 28p. Provides general public information (1987) on the status and recent trends in wetlands of five states in the Mid-Atlantic region: Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia. Provides pertinent background information on wetland types and values, and presents recommendations to improve the future for wetlands.

- Wetlands of Rhode Island. Amherst, MA: Cartographic Information Research Service (University of Massachusetts), 1989. 70 pp. \$7
- Titus, James G. 1988. Greenhouse Effect, Sea Level Rise, and Barrier Islands. PM-220. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. EPA #230-05-86013. 37p. This report examines the potential impacts of sea level rise on coastal wetlands in the United States. Coastal marshes within a few feet of sea level could be lost if sea level rises significantly. Report provides overview of the Greenhouse Effect, projects future of sea level rise and its impact on coastal wetlands, estimating nationwide impact and describes available measures for wetland protection. 152 p.
- Titus, James G. 1989. "Greenhouse Effect, Sea Level Rise, and Barrier Islands", draft. PM-220. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. Note that this document is a draft. Describes the global warming trend that could raise sea level one meter in the next century, a phenomenon known as the Greenhouse Effect. Discusses impact on coastal lands including wetland loss and the effect of developed areas on wetlands. Presents options to prohibit development while describing an approach to enable people to use their property today as they choose but establishing a mechanism to ensure land is abandoned if and when sea level rises enough to abandon it.
- U.S. Dept. of the Interior: Fish and Wildlife Service. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. Document No. FWS/OBS-79/31, December 1979. 103 pp.
- U.S. Dept. of the Interior. The Impact of Federal Programs on Wetlands: A Report to Congress. Washington, DC: 1988. 114 pp.
- U.S. Dept. of the Interior: Fish and Wildlife Service. 1989. National Wetlands Priority Conservation Plan.

 Washington, D.C. Government Printing Office. 58p. Plan was developed by Department of the Interior after consultation with Federal agencies, each State and environmental groups. It provides framework, criteria and guidance for identifying wetlands warranting priority attention for government acquisition using Land and Water Conservation Funds. It is to help in the acquisition of the more important, scarce and vulnerable wetlands in the Nation. Also outlines measures to identify wetlands warranting protection through measures other than land acquisition. Plan also to help States meet requirements outlined in the Emergency Wetlands Resources Act.
- U.S. Dept. of the Interior: Fish and Wildlife. 1990. Wetlands Action Plan: Meeting the President's Challenge. 64p.

 The purpose of the Action Plan is to consolidate and facilitate coordination of the Service's existing programs to contribute to the President's goal of no net loss of wetlands, recognizing the Service's mission as steward of wetland resources. It emphasizes achieving the President's goal through coordinated efforts within Department of the Interior and cooperation with other agencies, States, Tribes, local governments, and private organizations and individuals.
- US Fish and Wildlife Service. National Wellands Priority Conservation Plan. Washington, DC: USFWS, 1989. 57 pp. Free
- North American Waterfowl Management Plan: Progress Report—A New Beginning. Twin Cities, MN: USFWS, 1990. 12 pp.
- US General Accounting Office. The Corps of Engineers' Administration of the Section 404 Program. Document No. GAO/RCED-88-110. Gaithersburg, MD: USGAO, 1988. 122 pp.
- US General Accounting Office. Public Rangelands: Some Riparian Areas Restored But Widespread Improvement Will Be Slow. Gaithersburg, MD: USGAO, 1988. 85 pp. Free
- Van der Leeden, Frits, Fred L. Troise, and David Keith Todd. *The Water Encyclopedia*, Second Edition. Chelsea, MI: Lewis Publishers Inc., 1990. 808 pp. \$125

- Van der Valk, Arnold (editor). Northern Prairie Wetlands. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press, 1989. 400 pp. \$34.95
- Virginia Marine Resource Bulletin. Spring 1989. Vol.21 (1). Marine Advisory Services of the Virginia Sea Grant Program. 21p. This issue presents projects which focus on the inner workings of tidal freshwater wetlands, including coastal wetlands acting as buffers, nutrient retention and the issue of development pressure.
- Ward, Larry G., Peter S. Rosen, William J. Neal, Orrin H. Pilkey, Jr., Orrin H. Pilkey, Sr., Gary L. Anderson, and Stephen J. Howie. *Living With Chesapeake Bay and Virginia's Ocean Shores*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1989. 236 pp. \$12.95
- Water Resources Development in the District of Columbia 1987. New York: Army Corps of Engineers (North Atlantic Division), 1987. 13 pp.
- Wetlands Protection: A Handbook for Local Officials, Report #7 of the Environmental Planning Information Series. Harrisburg, PA: Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, 1990. 99 pp.
- Wetland Regulations Guidebook. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology, 1988. 46 pp. Free
- Wetlands. An Audubon Society Nature Guide. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Inc., 1987. 638 pp. \$14.95.
- Wetlands. A Journal of the Society of Wetland Scientists, Wilmington, South Carolina.
- Wetlands: Concerns and Successes. Bethesda, MD: American Water Resources Association, 1989. 568 pp. \$50
- Wetlands Legislation and Management January 1977-January 1988: Citations from the Selected Water Resources
 Abstracts Database. Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service, 1988. 179 pp. \$49.50
- Zelazny, John and J. Scott Feierabend (editors). *Increasing Our Wetland Resources*. Washington, DC: National Wildlife Federation, 1988. 363 pp. \$25 Homebuilders, 1990. 150 pp. \$64

POSTERS

- Ducks Unlimited Canada. Wetland Wonderland: Conserving Wetlands For All Of Us. Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2E2.

 Poster. Wetlands color-in poster with cross-section of a wetland including typical plants and animals common to wetlands. 11" X 24"
- Ducks Unlimited Canada. Wetlands Are For Wildlife, Waterfowl. Waders, Wide Anclers... Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2E2. Poster. Colorful and whimsical poster with caricatures of all kinds of wetland animals, featuring turde, duck, fisherman and many more. 12" X 24"
- Environmental Protection Agency(EPA).1988. Welcome to the Wetlands. Prepared by Region 5. poster. Color-in A color-in poster for grades 4 through 8 features plants and animals that are often found in marshes and other wetlands throughout the United States. It also provides background text on the varieties of wetlands, describing freshwater and saltwater wetlands types.
- Environmental Protection Agency. 1938. Wetlands Are Wonderlands. Prepared by Office of Public Affairs (EPA). Washington, D.C. Poster. 16" X 22" Color poster originally prepared for National Science Teachers magazine issue on wetlands. Valuable wetland information on back may be xeroxed and used by teachers for handouts.

International, National, and Regional Commissions

ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION: Washington, D.C (202)387-5330
GREAT LAKES COMMISSION: Ann Arbor, MI
GREAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION: Ann Arbor, MI
GULF STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION: Ocean Springs, MS (601)875-5912
INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION: Washington, D.C. (202)673-6222 Ottawa, Ontario (613)995-2984 Windsor, Ontario (519)256-7821
INTERSTATE COMMISSION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN: Rockville, MD (301)984-1908
MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATORY COMMISSION: Washington, D.C
NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC FISHERIES COMMISSION: Rm. 425, Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London SW1P 3HX
PACIFIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION: Portland, OR



UTHOR

Adamus, Paul R. and Ellis J. Clairain Jr., Wetland Evaluation Techniques Released, 10.4.2

Adamus, Paul and Joseph S. Larson, Wetlands Protection in China: A Rising Star?, 9-1:18.

Albrecht, Virginia S., Special Area Management Planning in the Hackensack Meadowlands, 11:2:5

Ames, Ramie and Chris, Protecting What's Left: The Story of Florida's Big Bend Purchase, 10:1:10.

Andersen, Per A., Steve Brechtel and Ken R. Ambrock, The Alberta Landowner Habitat Project, 10:4.10.

Aueutt, Tom, Riparian Management in Idaho, 10:2:4.

Baldwin, Malcolm F, CEQ Supports the Corps on NEPA, 9:4:2. Baldwin, Malcolm F, Conserving the World's Wetlands, 8:2:12.

Baldwin, Malcolm F., EPA Refers Proposed Corps NEPA Procedures to CEQ, 7:3:3.

Banta, John S., Wetlands Regulation in the Adirondack Park, 5:4:9.

Barber, Mary, NOAA Estuarine Programs Office, 6:5:9.

Bardecki, Michal and Nancy Patterson, Ontario Wetlands: Inertia or Momentum?, 11.2:9.

Barron, Rita, Protecting the Charles River Corndor, 11:3:8.

Barrows, David B., Mittgatton in the Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, 8.5:11.

Bell, Wayne H., Coastal Seas' Governance: An International Project for Management Policy on Threatened Coastal Seas, 8:6:9.

Bembridge, Bob, Plan for New Jersey Pinelands Under Review, 6:5:11.

Benesch, Jane, St. Mary's Dam Project, 4:5:11.

Benesch, Jane, The Upper Chester River Watershed Project, 6:3:12.

Benforedo, Jay, Bottomland Hardwood Ecosystems: Ecological Functions and Status, 7,2:3

Bernard, William, OTA Publishes Study on Use and Regulation of Wetlands, 6.2:6.

Bissonnette, Pam, Wetlands in an Urban Environment, 9:4:7.

Black, Spencer, The Threat to the Mississippi River Wetlands, 5:6:5.

Blood, Tom, Creation of Common Property Spells Uncertain Future for

Montana's Wetlands, 7:5 11.

Bostwick, Peg, Michigan Section 404 Program Update, 11:4:5.

Brooks, Robert P., Wetlands as a Compatible Land Use on Coal Surface Mines, 8:2:4.

Brown, Stephen, Michigan: An Experiment in Section 404 Assumption, 11:4:5.

Brown, William Y., Corps Proposals Are Further Retreat From Wetlands Protection, 5:4-7.

Bunker, Stephen M., The Maryland Critical Area Program A Comprehensive Land Management Approach, 9:1:10.

Burg, Mary E., Washington State Wetlands Conference, 8:4.16.

Burke, David G., Chesapeake Bay Clean-Up Campaign Includes Instances to Protect Freshwater Wetlands in Maryland, 6:3:9.

Burke, David G, Maryland's New Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act, 11.5.2. Chasis, Sarah, A Second Chance for the Coastal Zone Management Act, 12:4:5.

Ciupek, Rosanna B., Protecting Wetlands Under Clean Water Act §404 EPA's Conservative Policy on Mitigation, 8:5 12.

Clark, Edwin H., An Agenda to Protect America's Wetlands, 10.6:6

Clark, John, Book Review: Ecological Processes in Coasial and Marine Sciences, 2:4:15.

Clark, John, Book Review: Freshwater Wetland: Ecological Processes and Management Potential, 1:2:16.

Clark, John, Book Reviews, 3-6:11

Clark, John, Mexico's Great Delta Wetlands, 9:4:14

Clark, John, The River Corridor Approach to Bottomland Management, 2.2.9.

Clark, John and Jay Benforado, Wilderness Lost?, 2.5.10.

Clark, Walter, North Carolina's Mitigation Policy: A New Challenge, 6:6:13.

Colborn, Theo, The Wildlife Connection: Wetlands and Toxicology, 12:5:17.

Cole, John N., Book Review: Marshes of the Ocean Shore: Development of an Ecological Ethic, 6:6:17.

Collins, Charles H., Recent Strides in North American Wetland and Waterfowl Protection, 10:4:9.

Collins, Richard C., Protecting Virginia's Nontidal Wetlands: Advice From the Roundtable, 12:2:9.

Cooper, David J. and Lyndon C. Lee, Rocky Mountain Wetlands: Ecosystems in Transition, 9.3:2.

Cooper, Douglas, Wetlands Protection in Connecticut, 8:3.6.

Cooper, Josephine S., Wetlands or Wastelands?, 7.4.4.

Cotter, Patrick J., EPA/FWS Wetland Projects in the Western United States, 7:4-6.

Cowan, James H. Jr., R.E. Turner and D.R. Cahoon, Marsh Management Planning in the Louisiana Coastal Zone, 9:67

Cowles, Deming and William Britt, EPA State Wetland Program Report, 9:3:11.

Coyle, Kevin J., The Role of the Developer in Greenway Acquistuon, 10:5:10.

Cross, Edward L. Jr., New Hampshire Supreme Court Reaffirms State's Right to Protect Wetlands From Development, 7 6:16.

Dahl, Thomas E., Wetlands Loss Since the Revolution, 12:6:16

D'Angelo, Barbara, Doug Ehorn, Romy Myzska, Mary Lou Soscia, and Karen Sullivan, A Glimpse at EPA's Great Lakes Wetlands Programs, 12:5:15.

Davis, David G., Wetlands Conservation Since 1970 One Observer's Reflections, 10:6:2.

Davis, Michael L., The Case for Deregulating Prior Converted Croplands, 12:6:2.

Dawson, Alexandra D., Hydrology, Wetlands Systems, and the Law, 9 2 14

Dawson, Thomas J., Effective Public Participation in the 404 Program —
The Wisconsin Experience, 5:2.6.

The National Wetlands Newsletter is published six times a year by the Environmental Law Institute. The Newsletter reports on recent developments in wetlands policy, law, and science at the federal, state, and local levels. With in-depth feature articles written by noted experts, and through its regular COURTS, CONGRESS, BOOKS, and PERIODICALS sections, it provides timely information and insight on current topics of interest regarding wetlands protection and management.

Subscription price: \$48 per year. Back issues are available for \$10 each. For more information about the *Newsletter*, contact the editors at the Environmental Law Institute, 1616 P Street NW, Washington, DC 20036; (202) 328-5150. A subscription form is provided on the back cover.

Dawson, Thomas J., States Need Commitment, Leadership, and Backbone,	Volume 1
Not Section 404, 12:1:4.	Volume 2
Deans, Stuart R., Pressing the Sound's Barriers, 12:3:5. DeFrates, Denice, The RIM Program: Minnesota's New Approach to	Volume 4
Wetlands Restoration, 10:3:4.	Volume 5
Dennis, Michael, Federal Tax Initiatives Proposed to Complement Recent	Volume 6
Wetlands Legislation, 5:5:3.	Volume 7
Dennis, Nona B. and Mary Laurel Marcus, Status and Trends in Protection	Volume 8
of California Wetlands, 6:1:9.	Volume 10
Dolan, Mary G., Plan Attempts to Resolve Environmental/Economic	Volume 11
Conflict in Baltimore Harbor, 5:2:7. Dunkle, Frank and Bob Misso, Farm Bill-Related Wetland Protection and	Volume 12
Restoration Opportunities, 10:1:3.	
DuPriest, Douglas M. and Jon Christenson, Constraints on Miligation.	Holmes, Bestrice H., Everglades National Park Wildlife Resources
Ranking: Oregon's Mitigation Banking Act of 1987, 10:6:9.	Damaged by High Water. Park Officials Ask Water Management Districto Out Releases, 5:2:9.
Eggert, Thomas, Out With the Old, In with the New: The Corps'	Holmes, Beatrice H., SCS Floodplain Management Studies, 3:2:8.
Controversual Interpretation of the §404(b)(1) Guidelines, 7:5:2. Ervin, Thomas, Book Review: Non-Tidal Wetlands Protection: A Handbook	Houck, Oliver A., The Atchafalaya, 7:2:11.
for Local Governments, 5:3:14.	Hubbell Marvin E., Illinois Initiates Wetlands Program, 7:3.13.
Freein, Kevin L., The Implications of Hydrology and Landscape Ecology	Huffman, Robert Terry, Corps Wetlands Research Program, 3:4.4. Hunt, Constance, The Need for Riparian Habitat Protection, 7:5.5
on the Maintenance of Freshwater Ecosystems in Florida, 9:0:3.	Twee Cynthia M., New Hampshire Littgation, 1:5:6.
Eugster, J. Glenn, Steps in State and Local Greenway Planning, 10:5:5.	Jackson, Jerry, The Constitutional Test for Wetlands Jurisdiction Agencies
Eugster, J. Glenn, The Wild and Scenic Rivers System Study in the	in a Muddle, 9:5:7.
Northeast, 2:4:11. Faulkner, Stephen, Scientific Excursions into Delineating Bottomland	Jacobson, Alyse M., Proposition 19: The California Fish and Wildlife
Handwood Wellands in the Lower Mississippi Valley, 8:4:2.	Enhancement Act of 1984, 7:4:11. Johnson, Peggy B., A Case History of Local Wetlands Protection: Oakland
Fenwick, George H., The Nature Conservancy's National Wellands	Township Michigan, 3:3:10.
Conservation Project, 7:1:12. Finn, John T., CHARM Project Assesses Changes in Northeast Coastal	Johnston, Larry and Stuart Bramen, Community Acquisition of Developed
Habitat, 6:4:4.	Floodplains, 1:4:5. Kadlec, John A., Rising Great Salt Lake Inundates Marshes, 6:4.2.
Fish, Dianne and Sherri Fields, EPA Releases Wetlands Action Plan in	Kadlec, Robert H., Wetland Water Movement and Pollution Abatement
Personne to Forum Recommendations, 11:1:4.	9-2-11.
Flanagan, Ronald D., Planning for Multi-Purpose Use of Greenway	Kantor, Richard A. and David J. Charette, Wetlands Mitigation in New
Corridors, 10:2:7. Fryling, Charles, J., Atchafalaya Plan Requires Communent, 4:6:9	Jersey's Coastal Management Program, 8:5:14.
Furst, Felice, A New Approach to Wetlands Protection for Nebraska's	Kean, Governor Thomas H., A Reply to Mr. Wood, 11:6:2. Kehoe, R. Kerry, Wetlands: A Forgotten Element in the National Floor
Rainwater Basin, 8:4:5.	Insurance Program, 11:1:10.
Garton, Jan, Kansas' Cheyenne Bottoms: Efforts to Save a Threatened	Kelly, Joyce M. and Debra S. Pressman, Council Helps Enhance Wildlife
Wetland, 8:1:11. Gianelli, William R., Regulatory Reform Equals Good Government, 5:4:6.	Habitat on Corporate Lands, 11:1:9. Kemp, Paul, Louisiana Coalition Promotes Coastal Restoration, 11:3:12.
Goldman-Carter, Jan, Cropped Wetlands Deserve Protection, Too, 12:6:3.	Kentula, Mary E., EPA Adopts Wetlands Research Plan, 8 4 14
, Goldman-Carter, Jan, Nationwide Permit 26: The Wetlands Giveaway,	Keough, Janet R. Water Level Fluctuation and the Great Lakes Wetlands
11-6-4	12:5:19
Goldstein, Jon H., The Impact of Federal Programs on Wetlands: DOI's	Kitsos, Thomas R., Providing Block Grants to States From Offshore Oil and Gas Revenues: New Hope for Ocean and Coastal Resources, 5.3:6.
Report to Congress, 11:2:2. Goldstein, Jon H. and Bill Wilen, Response to an Assessment of the Impact	Klockner, Wayne, Chesapeake Wetlands and The Nature Conservancy
of Federal Programs on Praine Pothole Drainage, 9:6:11.	6-3-10
Golet, Francis C., Critical Issues in Wetland Mitigation: A Scientific	Koeloff, Laura H., Nonnavigable Tidelands Subject to Public Trust Doctine
Perspective, 8:5:3. Goode, Bernard N., In Defense of Nationwide Permit 26, 11:6:4.	10:3:9. Kramer, Randall A., Economic Incentives for Conversion of Bottomland
Goods Remard N. The Public Interest Review Process, 3:1:0.	Handwood Forests, 7:2:5.
Granger, Dale W. and Daniel H. Morgan, Pointe Mouillee Marsh	Kunz Kathleen, Michael Rylko and Elaine Somers, An Assessment of
Restoration 8:2:9	Wetland Mitigation Practices in Washington State, 10 3.2.
Gruenwald, Gail, Great Lakes Wetlands Policy Consortium: A Policy	Kusler, Jon and Erik Meyers, Takings: Is the Claims Court All Wet?, 12.6 6 Kusler, Jon and Erik Meyers, The Taking Issue and Land Regulation in the
Agenda, 12:5:8. Gueciardo, Linda, Weilands for Iowa Program, 8:2:8.	U.S. Supreme Court, 9:4:9.
Hoberman Clark G., Nebraska Weilands; An Emerging Issue, 0:0:11.	Kusler, Ion and Hazel Groman, Mitigation: An Introduction, 8.5:2
Hall Carlyle W. Jr., Using the Courts to Preserve Fragile Coastal and	Kusler, Jon and Hazel Groman, Wetlands Hydrology: An Introduction
Mountain Ecosystems in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area, 7:1:15.	9:2:2. Kusler, Jon and Richard Hamann, Wetland Workshop Summary: Innovative
Hammer, Donald A., Tennessee Valley Wetlands and TVA, 7:5:8. Hammer, Donald A., TVA's Use Of Man-Made Marshes to Control Acid	Approaches, Unsolved Problems, and Future Direction, 6:6.9
Mine Drainage, 9.1:5.	Kusler, Ion and Sara Nicholas, The Lakeside View, 12:5.2.
Hamington Hal F. Michigan 404 Program Assumption, 7:1:10.	Knoley Ion No Net Loss: The States' Views, 12:1:8.
Harvey, Rose and Mary Keams-Kaplan, Limited Development: A weumits	Kusler, Jon. Urban Wetlands and Urban Ripanan Habitat, 10:2-2. Kusler, Jon and Anne Southworth, Greenways: An Introduction, 10.5 2.
Preservation Solution, 12:1:6. Hecimovich, Jim, APA Publishes Comprehensive Report on Protecting	I a Force Melanie Wetland Scientists as Expert Witnesses, 9:3 0.
Nontidal Wetlands, 11:2:11.	Tabana Melanie Wetlands Protection at Superfund Siles, 10:1 3.
Heimlich, Ralph E., Economics of Wetland Conversion: Farm Programs and	Lamb, Berton L., State Protection of Wetland and Instream Uses of Water
Income Tax 8:47	6:4:11. LaRoe, Edward T., Wetland Habitat Mitigation: An Historical Overview
Hey, Donald L., The Des Plaines River Wetlands Demonstration Project:	LEROS, COWER I., Woulder Introduct Introduction of Transcription

Glacuated Regions, 9:2:6.
Holmberg, Nevin D. and Robert Misso, Mitigation: Determining the Need,

8:5:10.

Wetlands Restoration, 10:3:4.	Volume 5
Dennis, Michael, Federal Tax Initiatives Proposed to Complement Recent	Volume 6
Wetlands Legislation, 5:5:3.	Volume 8
Dennia, Nona B. and Mary Laurel Marcus, Status and Trends in Protection	Volume 9
of California Wetlands, 6:1:9. Dolan, Mary G., Plan Attempts to Resolve Environmental/Economic	Volume 10
Conflict in Baltimore Harbor, 5:2:7.	Volume 11
Dunkle, Frank and Bob Misso, Farm Bill-Related Wetland Protection and	Volume 12
Restoration Opportunities, 10:1:3.	
DuPriest, Douglas M. and Jon Christenson, Constraints on Mitigation	Holmes, Beatrice H., Everglades National Park Wildlife Resources
Banking: Oregon's Mitigation Banking Act of 1987, 10:6:9.	Damaged by High Water. Park Officials Ask Water Management District
Forest Thomas Out With the Old, In with the New: The Corps	to Out Releases, 5:2:9.
Compoversual Interpretation of the \$404(b)(1) Guidelines, 7:5:2.	Holmes, Beatrice H., SCS Floodplain Management Studies, 3:2:8.
Ervin, Thomas, Book Review: Non-Tidal Wellands Protection: A Handbook	Houck, Oliver A., The Atchafalaya, 7:2:11. Hubbell, Marvin E., Illinois Initiates Wetlands Program, 7:3.13.
for Local Governments, 5:3:14.	Huffman, Robert Terry, Corps Wetlands Research Program, 3:4.4.
Erwin, Kevin L., The Implications of Hydrology and Landscape Ecology	Hunt, Constance, The Need for Riparian Habitat Protection, 7:5.5
on the Maintenance of Freshwater Ecosystems in Florada, 9:6:5.	Twee Cynthia M., New Hamnshire Littgatton, 1:5:6.
Eugster, J. Glenn, Steps in State and Local Greenway Planning, 10:5:5. Eugster, J. Glenn, The Wild and Scenic Rivers System Study in the	Jackson, Jerry, The Constitutional Test for Wetlands Jurisdiction Agencies
Northeast, 2:4:11.	in a Muddle, 9:5:7.
Faulkner, Stephen, Scientific Excursions into Delineating Bottomland	Jacobson, Alyse M., Proposition 19: The California Fish and Wildlife
Handwood Wellands in the Lower Mississippi Valley, 8:4:2.	Enhancement Act of 1984, 7:4:11. Johnson, Peggy B., A Case History of Local Wetlands Protection: Oakland
Fenwick, George H., The Nature Conservancy's National Wetlands	
Conservation Protect. 7:1:12.	Township, Michigan, 3:3:10. Johnston, Larry and Stuart Bramen, Community Acquisition of Developed
Finn, John T., CHARM Project Assesses Changes in Northeast Coastal	Floodplams, 1:4:5.
Habitat 6-4-4	Kadlee John A., Rising Great Salt Lake Inundates Marshes, 6:4.2.
Fish, Duanne and Sherri Fields, EPA Releases Wetlands Action Plan in	Kadlee, Robert H., Wetland Water Movement and Pollutton Abatement,
Response to Forum Recommendations, 11:1:4.	9:2:11.
Flanagan, Ronald D., Planning for Multi-Purpose Use of Greenway	Kantor, Richard A. and David J. Charette, Wetlands Mitigation in New
Corridors, 10:2:7. Fryling, Charles, J., Atchafalaya Plan Requires Communent, 4:6:9	Jersey's Coastal Management Program, 8:5:14.
Furst, Felice, A New Approach to Wetlands Protection for Nebraska's	Kean, Governor Thomas H., A Reply to Mr. Wood, 11:6:2. Kehoe, R. Kerry, Wetlands: A Forgotten Element in the National Flood
Painwater Rasin, 8:4:5.	Insurance Program, 11:1:10.
Garton, Jan, Kansas' Cheyenne Bottoms: Efforts to Save a Threatened	Kelly, Joyce M. and Debra S. Pressman, Council Helps Enhance Wildlife
Wetland 8:1:11	Habitat on Cornorate Lands, 11:1:9.
Gianelli William R. Regulatory Reform Equals Good Government, 5:4:6.	Kemp. Paul. Louisiana Coalition Promotes Coastal Restoration, 11:3:12.
Coldman Corter Ian Cronned Weilands Deserve Protection, 100, 12:0:3.	Kentrile Mary F., EPA Adopts Wetlands Research Plan, 8 4 14
Goldman-Carter, Jan, Nationwide Permit 26: The Wetlands Giveaway,	Keough, Janet R. Water Level Fluctuation and the Great Lakes Wetlands,
11:6:4. Goldstein, Jon H., The Impact of Federal Programs on Wetlands: DOI's	12:5:19. Kitson, Thomas R., Providing Block Grants to States From Offshore Oil and
Report to Congress, 11:2:2.	Gas Revenues: New Hope for Ocean and Coastal Resources, 5.3:6.
Goldstein, Jon H. and Bill Wilen, Response to an Assessment of the Impact	Klockner, Wayne, Chesapeake Wetlands and The Nature Conservancy,
of Federal Programs on Praine Pothole Drainage, 9:6:11.	6-3-10
Golet, Francis C., Critical Issues in Wetland Mitigation: A Scientific	Koeloff, Laura H., Noonavigable Tidelands Subject to Public Trust Doctine,
Perspective, 8:5:3.	10:3:9.
Goode, Bernard N., In Defense of Nationwide Permit 26, 11:6:4.	Kramer, Randall A., Economic Incentives for Conversion of Bottomland
Goode, Bernard N., The Public Interest Review Process, 3:1:6. Granger, Dale W. and Daniel H. Morgan, Pointe Mouillee Marsh	Hardwood Forests, 7:2:5. Kunz, Kathleen, Michael Rylko and Elaine Somers, An Assessment of
Restoration, 8:2.9.	Wetland Mitigation Practices in Washington State, 10 3.2.
Greenwald, Gail, Great Lakes Wetlands Policy Consortium: A Policy	Knoles Ion and Frik Meyers, Takings; Is the Claims Court All Wet?, 12.00
Agenda, 12:5:8.	Kusler, Jon and Erik Meyers, The Taking Issue and Land Regulation in the
Gueriando I unda Wetlands for Iowa Program, 8:2:8.	II S. Supreme Court. 9:4:9.
Haberman, Clark G., Nebraska Wellands: An Emerging Issue, 6:6:11.	Kusler, Jon and Hazel Groman, Mitigation: An Introduction, 8.5:2
Hall Carlyle W. Jr., Using the Courts to Preserve Pragile Coastal and	Kusler, Jon and Hazel Groman, Wetlands Hydrology: An Introduction,
Mountain Ecosystems in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area, 7:1:15.	9:2:2.
Hammer, Donald A., Tennessee Valley Wetlands and TVA, 7:5:8.	Kusler, Jon and Richard Hamann, Wetland Workshop Summary Innovative Approaches, Unsolved Problems, and Future Direction, 6:6.9
Hammer, Donald A., TVA's Use Of Man-Made Marshes to Control Acid	Kusler, Jon and Sara Nicholas, The Lakeside View, 12:5.2.
Mine Drainage, 9.1:5. Harrington, Hal F., Michigan 404 Program Assumption, 7:1:10.	Kneley Ion No Net Loss: The States' Views, 12:1:8.
Harvey, Rose and Mary Kearns-Kaplan, Limited Development: A Wetlands	Kneler Ion Urban Wetlands and Urban Riparian Habitat, 10:2'2.
Preservation Solution, 12:1:6.	Kusler, Ion and Anne Southworth, Greenways: An Introduction, 10.5 2.
Hecimovich, Jim, APA Publishes Comprehensive Report on Protecting	La Force Melanie, Wetland Scientists as Expert Witnesses, 9:3 0.
Nontidal Wetlands, 11:2:11.	LaForce, Melanie, Wetlands Protection at Superfund Sites, 10:15. Lamb, Berton L., State Protection of Wetland and Instream Uses of Water,
Heimlich, Ralph E., Economics of Wetland Conversion: Farm Programs and	
Income Tax, 8:4.7.	6:4:11. LaRoe, Edward T., Wetland Habitat Mitigation: An Historical Overview,
Hey, Donald L., The Des Plaines River Wetlands Demonstration Project:	Q.4.g
Creating Wetlands Hydrology, 9:2:12.	
The Donald I Wetlands: A Strategic National Resource, 7:1:X.	Larsen Norman E., Point of View: The Real Issue in Wetlands
Hey, Donald L., Wetlands: A Strategic National Resource, 7:1:8. Hill Paul L. and Dennis H. Treacy, Wetlands Protection Through the §401	Larsen, Norman E., Point of View: The Real Issue in Wetlands
Hill, Paul L. and Dennis H. Treacy, Wetlands Protection Through the 9401 Certification Program in West Virginia, 11:1:6.	Larsen, Norman E., Point of View: The Real Issue in Wetlands Management, 2:2:10. Larsen, Norman E., Wetlands Protection Oriented to Chesapeake Bay —
Hill, Paul L. and Dennis H. Treacy, Wetlands Protection 1 hrough the 9401 Certification Program in West Virginia, 11:1:6. Hocker, Jean Protecting Wetlands With Land Trusts, 10:3:8.	Larsen, Norman E., Point of View: The Real Issue in Wetlands Management, 2:2:10. Larsen, Norman E., Wetlands Protection Oriented to Chesapeake Bay "The Virginia Picture." 6:3:6.
Hill, Paul L. and Dennis H. Treacy, Wetlands Protection I brough the 9401	Larsen, Norman E., Point of View: The Real Issue in Wetlands Management, 2:2:10. Larsen, Norman E., Wetlands Protection Oriented to Chesapeake Bay —

Larson, Joseph S., 1987 Meeting of the Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, 9:4:12.
 Larson, Joseph S., Wetland Value Assessment — State of the Art, 3.2:4.

- Larson, Joseph S. and Hazel Groman, A National Program for Regional Wetland Assessment, 6:5:2.
- Leach, Paul J., Restoration of Fisheries Habitat: A Legislative Proposal, 8:4:13.
- Ledec, George, Point of View: Carter's New Water Policy A Bonus for Wetlands?, 1:1:9.
- Leitch, Jay A., Tailoring Wetland Protection Policies, 6:1:6.
- Leitch, Jay A., David M. Sazowsky and Michael G. McKenna, North Dakota Wetlands Protection Law, 9:5:13.
- Liebesman, Lawrence R., The Farming Exemption Under §404(f) of the Clean Water Act — Congressional Intent and Judicial Construction, 7:4.14.
- Liebesman, Lawrence R., The "Sweedens Swamp" Controversy Focusing on EPA's Role in the Clean Water Act \$404 Program, 9:6:15.
- Lombardo, Pio and Thomas Neel, Wetlands and Wastewater Treatment: The Mayo Peninsula Water Reclamation Facilities Plan, 9:2:18.
- Lucier, Alan A. Forest Products Industry Conducting Forested Wetlands Research, 12:2:14.
- Madsen, Carl, Wetlands Restoration on Private Lands A Pilot Effort, 7:5:10.
- Melac, Barry F., Bottomland Hardwoods: The Forest Industry's View, 7:2:10.
- Mangun, William R., Wetlands and Wildlife Issues, 5:6:6.
- Marreus, Laurie, Alaska Wetlands: An Opportunity for Protection, 7:6:10.

 Marriott, Robert W Jr., Andrea Van Arsdale and Eugene A. Bober, The
 Development of a Local Interim Review Procedure for the Protection of
 the Chesapeake Bay, 7:5:16
- Mason, Larry, Conference on Wetlands of International Importance Especially as Waterfowl Habitat — May 1984 Conference of Parties, 6:5:9.
- Mason, Larry and Tom Dahl, 1987 Meeting of the Ramsar Convention: The U.S. Delegation Responds, 9:6:12.
- Masterman, Vicki O., Book Review: The First Wetlands Regulation Deskbook, 12:2:16.
- May, James W., Saving the Coast: New Legislative Initiatives in Florida, 6:2.10.
- McColloch, Patrick, Donald J. Wissman and James Richardson, An Assessment of the Impact of Federal Programs on Prairie Pothole Dramage, 9:4:3.
- McCormack, Fred, Florida Legislature Passes Comprehensive Wetlands
 Protection Legislation, 6:4:12.
- McMillan, Andrew, Washington State's Wetlands Protection Program, 7:6-6.
- Meagher, John, EPA's Contribution to the Greenways Effort, 10:5:7.
- Melanson, Jeanne Christie and James M. Wright, A Status Report on the Nation's Floodplain Management Activity, 11.5:8.
- Metz, Eric D., The Ballona Wetland Project: Habitat Restoration and Environmental Education, 9:1.16.
- Metz, Erik D., Coastal Conference Highlights Administration's Position on §404 and Points to New Role for Wetland Scientists, 5:4:4
- Meyers, Enk, Murky Waters: Florida Rock Revisited, 8:4:17.
- Meyers, Erik, NWF Corporate Conservation Council's Wetlands Statement, 6:5:10.
- Millemann, Beth and Elise Jones, Improving the National Flood Insurance Program, 12:3:2.
- Miller, Barbara, Jury Finds Defendant in Violation of Clean Water Act §404, 10.1.8.
- Moberly, Stan, Fl.S.H.: Fishermen Involved in Saving Habitat, 11:5:10.
- Morgan, Dave, Kentucky's Adopt-a-Weiland Program, 8:6:8
- Morrison, Charles C., Protecting Rivers and Their Environs: The New York State River Program, 9 6:2.
- Muir, Tom, FWS Unveils Action Plan, 12:6:18.
- Murn, Thomas J., Wisconsin Weilands Association: Independent, Private-Sector Weilands Advocacy, 6:4-15.
- Nelson, R. Wayne and William J. Logan, Playa Wetlands of the Southern Great Plains, 7.1.2.
- Newling, Charles J., The Certification of Wetland Scientists, 11:4:10.
- Newman, Vivian D, Reinventing the Swamp, 8:5:15.
- Niering, William A., Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Wetland Plants and Animals of the Continental United States, 9:3:16.
- Niering, William A., Wellands Hydrology and Vegetation Dynamics, 9:2:9.
 Novitzki, Richard P., Some Observations on Our Understanding of
 Hydrologic Functions, 9.2:3
- O'Brien, Catherine L., Vermont Adopts Wetland Rules, 12:3.8.
- Oberstar, Hon. James L., Section 404 Should Congress Reenter the Fray?,
- Odum, Eugene P, Commentary: Wetlands and Their Values, 5:3.2.
- Odum, William E., Tidal Freshwater Wetlands: An Ignored Resource, 5:5:2.
 Offrings, Jane and Karen Wolper, EPA Region III Develops Policy for Regulating Peat Mining, 7:6:4
- Ortman, David E., Grays Harbor Watermeadows Await Final Verdict, 6:1:4

- Ortman, David E., Ninth Circuit Upholds Issuance of After-the-Fact Permit, 8:6:11.
- Ortman, David E., Wetlands or Uplands Northwest Courts Make the Call, 8:3:13.
- Otte, Lee, North Carolina Pocosins: Their Development History, 9:5.2.
- Palm, Daniel J., Citizen Action Influences Wetlands Policy, 12.1.6.
- Palmer, Mollie Glover, Restoration of the Kissimmee River, 8:1 8.
- Parcells, Steven J., Creation of a U.S. National Ramsar Committee, 11:5:9

 Parcells, Steven J., International Debt for Wetlands Legislation, 9 5:12
- Parcells, Steven J., Treasury Issues Standards Protecting Tropical Wetlands, 10:6:12.
- Parcella, Steven J., Tropical Wetlands and the Development Assistance Agencies, 10:1:6.
- Paterson, Frances J., FWS Assists State Section 404 and Section 208 Federal Programs, 2:5:2.
- Patterson, Nancy, Towards a Wetlands Protection Strategy for Ontario, 9:4:16.
- Paulson, Gerald, Wetlands Workshop Focuses on Great Lakes States, 5:5:11.
- Pearlstine, Leonard G. and Wiley M. Kitchens, A Succession Modelling Approach to Wetland Impact Assessment, 9:1:13.
- Pendleton, Alan R. and Steven A. McAdam, Twenty Years of Managing San Francisco Bay, 8:2:6.
- Phillips, Patrick L., Wetlands Mitigation. Harmon Meadow Case Sends Mixed Signals, 9:5:15.
- Piel, Robert Jr., New Jersey's Management of Freshwater Weilands and Adjacent Transition Areas, 11.5:4.
- Pierce, Dale, The Emergency Wetlands Resources Act: Status Report on Implementation, 9:5:10.
- Pierce, Gary J., New York State Department of Transportation Wetland Construction, 5:6:12.
- Porter, Bruce W., The Wetland Edge: Ecology and the Need for Protection, 2:4.2.
- Quammen, Millicent L., Measuring the Success of Wetlands Mitigation, 8:5:6.
- Quarterman, Philip J., Oregon's Estuarine Mitigation Policy, 7:6:8.
- Ransel, Katherine, EPA and the Corps Enter Three MOAs on Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Under the Section 404 Program, 11:1:2.
- Ransel, Katherine, State Water Quality Certification and Wetlands Protection. 10:4:6.
- Rebagliati, Mimi and Katharina Timmermann, Leslie Salt: The Case of the Accidental Wetland, 12:6:8.
- Rieminger, Patricia, New York State Freshwater Wetlands Law Amended for Staten Island, 10:2:3.
- Robbins, Eleanora I. and Lucinda K. Taft, Huntley Meadows Wetland of Fairfax County, Virginia, 7:5:14
- Robertson, Davis J., Freshwater Wetland Reclamation by the Florida Phosphate Industry, 8:3:9
- Roman, Charles T. and Ralph E. Good, Buffer Requirements for New Jersey
- Pinelands Wetlands, 8:3:2.

 Rosenbaum, Kenneth L., Supreme Court Endorses Broad FWPCA §404

 Wetlands Jurisdiction, 8:1:14.
- Ruhl, B. Suzi, Underground Injection: Key Largo Project Highlights Potential Threat to Wetlands, 11:2:7.
- Ruswick, Frank J. Jr., Wetland Protection in the Political Arena, 3:6:6.
- Sandiford, Sandra R., Massachusetts Succeeds in Halting Georges Bank Lesse Sale, 5:5:13.
- Sather, J. Henry, FHWA Method for Weiland Functional Assessment Some Observations, 8:6-4.
- Sather, J. Henry and Ellis J. Claurain Jr., Wetlands Value Assessment. An Example of Interagency Cooperation, 7:3:2
- Sato, Mike, Protecting Wetlands Under the Puget Sound Water Quality Management Plan, 9:1:11
- Scheibel, John, Court Rejects First Challenge to Coastal Barrier Resources Act. 6:2:17.
- Scheibel, John, FEMA Issues Final Rule Denying Flood Insurance on Undeveloped Coastal Barriers, 5:5:5.
- Scheibel, John, FEMA Meets With Early Success in Suit to Recover Flood Insurance Payments, 5:4:13.
- Scheidt, Daniel J., Nutrient Enrichment in the Everglades, 10:3:5.
- Schmitz, William, The Corps' Great LEAP, 12:5:14.
- Schwartz, Larry N. and Mary F. Smallwood, Regulation of Wastewater Discharge to Florida Wetlands, 8.6:5.
- Schwartz, Suzanne E., State/Federal Cooperation Strengthened at Wetlands
 Protection Workshop, 6:6:7.
- Seyffert, John D., The Basics of Greenway Acquisition, 10:5.3.
- Shabman, L. and S. Batte, Economic Analysis and Wetland Policy, 3:2:2. Shields, Pam, Wetlands Advance Identification Program in Southern Maine,
- Shisler, Joseph K., Are Floodplains Compatible With Stormwater Management?, 10:5:9.

Shisler, Joseph K. and David Charette, Evaluation of Artificial Salt Marshes in New Jersey, 8:3:4.

Shogan, Cindy, Restoring the Chesapeake Bay: A Role Model for Citizen Action, 8:3:8.

Sidle, John G., Michael LeValley and John G. VanDerwalker, FERC Attempts to Protect Platte River, 12:4:8.

Siebert, David and Brett Hulsey, A Comparison of State and Provincial Wetlands Regulation, 12:5:4.

Silberhorn, Gene M., Chesapeake Bay: Wetlands Status, 6:3:3.
Sklar, Stephane, Floodplain Forests and The Nature Conservancy, 7:2:13. Smith, Douglas L., The Federal Highway Administration's Wetlands Research Program, 7:3:7.

Smith, Mark O., New Jersey's Proposed Freshwater Wetlands Protection ACL 5:6:10.

Soileau, David M., David W. Fruge and James D. Brown, Mitigation Banking: A Mechanism for Compensating Unavoidable Fish and Wildlife Habitat Losses, 7:3:11.

Stiles, Thomas C., Kerry L. Wedel and Stephen A. Hurst, Wetland Protection Policies in Kansas, 8:3:5.

Stromberg, R. Erik, Few Surprises for Scaports, 12:2:2.
Stuber, Patricia, FWS Convenes National Wetlands Values Assessment Workshop, 5:4·4.

Stuber, Patricia, FWS Databases on Wetland Values and Plant Species, 5:5:9

Stuber, Patricia and J. Henry Sather, Research Gaps in Assessing Wetlands Functions, 6:2:2.

Studt, John F., Special Area Management Plans in the Army Corps of

Engineers Regulatory Program, 9:3:8. Sullivan, J. Kevin and Lee R. Epstein, The Race to Protect the Chesapeake

Bay, 12:6:10. Sumner, Richard, The Clean Water Act §404 Program in Alaska - An EPA Perspective, 8:6:2

Thomas, Edward A., Floodplain and Wetland Coordination, 9:2:16.

Thomas, Lindsay, Farmed Wetlands: A Balancing Act, 12:6:3

Thompson, Carol S., Experimental Practices in Surface Coal Mining -Creating Wetland Habitat, 6:2:15.

Thompson, Edward Jr., Point of View: Different Perspective on §404, 1:1:4. Tiner, Ralph W. Jr., A Clarification of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetland Definition, 11:3:6.

Tiner, Ralph W Jr., Recent Wetland Trends in the Mid-Atlantic Area, 9:3:6 Titus, James G., Timothy R. Henderson and John M. Teal, Sea Level Rise and Wetlands Loss in the United States, 6:5:3.

Tomasello, Thomas G., Congressional Action on §404, 2:4:4.
Tripp, James, Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands — Fifth Circuit Offers Protection at Last, 5:6:14

Tripp, James and David Hoskons, Implementation of a §404 Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands Regulatory Program: Rethinking Lower Mississippi Resource Objectives and Priorities, 7.2:8.

Tripp, James, Robert Gorman and Ross Vincent, Restoring Coastal Louisiana: A Citizens' Initiative, 9:3:13.

Turrini, Anthony N., ASCS Gets Serious About Swampbuster, 10:6:8. Walker, Rusty, North Carolina Phosphate Corporation's Wetland Creation

Efforts, 7:4:17. Want, William L., Court Ruling Limits §404 Silviculture Exemption,

12:3:10.

Want William L., 1902 Atlantic Ltd. v. Hudson: A Case for Concern, 6:3:14 Warren, Wayne, Ohio's Coastal Management Program, 11:3:10.

Waterman, Melissa, The Gulf of Maine Initiative, 12:4.2.

Watson, Jeter M., Virginia's Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, 10:4:5.

Weber, Michael, Marine Sanctuary Program Update, 6:1:2

Weller, Philip, Dan Ray and Sandy Connell, Bridging the Basin U.S.-Canadian Great Lakes Agreements, 12:5:12.
Wetzel, Paul R., The Use of Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: An

Introduction, 6:5:6.

Whitmire, John and Harvey Miller, Plays Lakes Joint Venture, 12:4:11.

Wieder, R. Kelman, Gerald E. Lang and Alfred E. Whitehouse, Sphagnum-Dominated Wetland Treatment of Mine Drainage Water, 7:4:2

Wilcher, LaJuana S. and Robert W. Page, A Victory on Many Fronts, 12:2.2.
Williams, Lori, EPA Announces New State Wetlands Grant Initiative, 12:1:11.

Williams, Lori, EPA Proposes Revisions to 404 State Program Regulations,

6:6:4. Williams, Lori, EPA Revises State Wetlands Regulations, 10:5:13

Wilmar, Mike, Mitigation: The Applicant's Perspective, 8:5:16.

Winkler, Marjory J., Assessing the Use of Peat Deposits as Energy Source, 3:1:2

Winter, Linda, Summu Pulled Rank, 12.2:3.

Witherill, Donald T., Freshwater Wetlands: New Legislation for Maine, 8:1:10.

Wolverton, Charles L., State Court of Appeals Upholds Michigan Wetlands Regulations, 10:5:14.

Wolverton, Charles L., Wetland Protection: The Michigan Experience, 6:2:12

Wood, Lance D., Section 404 Delegation: A Rebuttal to Governor Kean, 12:1:2

Wood, Lance D., The Forum's Proposal to Delegate §404 to the States: A Bad Deal for Wedlands, 11:4:2.

Young, Representative Don, Wetlands Before Hospitals, 12.2:3

Zagata, Michael D., Mitigation by Banking Credits - A Louisiana Pilot Project, 7:3:9

Zedler, Paul H., California's Vernal Pools, 11:3:2.



ubject

Acquisition

The Role of the Developer in Greenway Acquisition, by Kevin J. Coyle,

The Basics of Greenway Acquisition, by John D. Seyffert, 10:5:3. Protecting Wetlands With Land Trusts, by Jean Hocker, 10:3:8. The Nature Conservancy's National Wetlands Conservation Project, by

George H. Fenwick, 7:1:12. FWCA Amendments, Wetlands Acquisition Legislation Pass House, Die in Senate, 6:6:5.

The New Jersey Pinelands — Recent Acquisitions, 5:3:11. Supreme Court Upholds Acquisition of Waterfowl Production Areas, 5 2:10.

Nature Conservancy Starts Up \$50 Million Nationwide Weilands Acquisition Project With \$25 Million From R.K. Mellon Foundation, 5.1:2

Nature Conservancy Acquires Sycan Marsh, 4:1:9. Waterfowl Production Area Acquisition Upheld, 3:3:19. Watt Supports Weiland Acquisition, 3:2:10 North Dakota Acquisition Block Overruled, 2:4:9 GAO Releases Reports on Acquisition, Program Evaluation, 2:3:2. Interior Examines Acquisition Policies, 2:1:11.

Appalachicola Bay, 1:4:10.

Baitimore County Floodplains, 1:4:10.

Cyprus Creek, Texas, 1:4:9.

Litydale, Munnesota, 1:4:9.

Atchafaylaya River Basin, 1:4:8

Soldiers Grove, Wisconsin, 1:4:8. Canaan Valley Refuge, 1:4:7.

Salmon River Wilderness, 1:4:7.

Snohomish River Basin, 1:4:7.

Federal Acquisition Authority, 1:4:6.

Community Acquisition of Developed Floodplains, by Larry Johnston and Stuart Bramen, 1:4:5.

Non-Public Acquisition, 1:4.2.

Special Issue: Acquisition of Weslands and Floodplains, 1:4:1.

The Acquisition Alternative, 1:4:1.

Agriculture

Cropped Wetlands Deserve Protection, Too, by Jan Goldman-Carter, 12:6:3.

Farmed Wetlands: A Balancing Act, by Representative Lindsay Thomas, 12:6:3.

The Case for Deregulating Prior Converted Croplands, by Michael L. Davis, 12:6:2

ASCS Reverses Blanket Swampbuster Exemption, 11:1:5.

ASCS Gets Serious About Swampbuster, by Anthony N. Turrini, 10:6:8. USDA's New Filter Strup Institutive, 10:4:4.

An Assessment of the Impact of Federal Programs on Prairie Pothole Drainage, by Patrick McColloch, Donald J. Wissman and James Richardson, 9:4:3.

Economics of Wetland Conversion: Farm Programs and Income Tax, by Ralph E. Heimlich, 8:4:7.

The Farming Exemption Under \$404(f) of the Clean Water Act -Congressional Intent and Judicial Construction, by Lawrence R. Liebesman, 7:4:14

Tailoring Wetland Protection Policies, by Jay A. Leitch, 6:1:6.
Government-Sponsored Survey of Agricultural Impacts on Wildlife Habuat Shows Continuing Conversion of Wetlands to Cropland, 4:6:2. SCS Proposes New Rules, 3:5:9.

SCS Floodplain Management Studies, by Beatrice H. Holmes, 3.2:8.

SCS Studies: Resources, Aquaculture, 3:1:9.

Water Bank Funds Cut, 2:3:11. SCS Models Land Uses, 2:3:3.

USDA Studies Conservation Programs, 1:6:8.

Water Bank Program Expanded, 1:6:6.

Erosion Insurance Study, 1:5:2.

Alahama

EPA Final §404(c) Determination on Mobile Bay Disposal Site Issued, 6:4:6.

Alaska

Regional Land Use Planning: Anchorage, Alaska, 11:6:9. The Clean Water Act \$404 Program in Alaska - An EPA Perspective, by Richard Sumner, 8:6:2.

Alaska Wetlands: An Opportunity for Protection, by Laurie Marcus, 7:6:10.

Army Corps of Engineers

The Corp's Great LEAP, by William Schmitz, 12:5:14. In Defense of Nanonwide Permu 26, by Bernard N. Goode, 11:6:4. Nationwide Permit 26: The Wetlands Giveaway, by Jan Goldman-Carter, 11:64

CEQ Supports the Corps on NEPA, by Malcolm F. Baldwin, 9:4:2. Special Area Management Plans in the Army Corps of Engineers

Regulatory Program, by John F. Studt, 9:3:8.

Army Issues Final Clean Water Act §404 Regulations, 9:1:8. Mitigation in the Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, by

David B. Barrows, 8:5:11. EPA and Army Sign MOA on Clean Water Act Regulation of Solid Waste Discharges, 8:2:2.

Out With the Old, In With the New: The Corps' Controversial Interpretation of the §404(b)(1) Guidelines, by Thomas Eggert, 7.5:2. FWS Northern New Jersey Wetlands Report Critical of Corps and EPA,

Corps Issues Final Settlement Regulations, NWF Claims Breach of Agreement, 6:6:2

Corps Proposes \$404 Regulations Implementing NWF v. Marsh Settlement, 6:3:2.

Court Approves Settlement Agreement in NWF v. Marsh, 6:2:4. Corps Holds Public Hearing on Proposed Permit Regulations, 5:6:3. Corps Proposals Are Further Retreat From Wetlands Protection, by William Y. Brown, 5:4:7.

Regulatory Reform Equals Good Government, by William R. Gianelli, 5.4:6

Corps Proposes Additional Revisions to §10/§404 Permit Regulations, 5.3:3.

Corps Uses Dredged Materials to Restore Pelican Islands, 5:1:10. Corps Instruction to Shorten Permutting Process by Shortening the Comment Period Under Review, 4:5:6.

Corps Proposes Controversial State Program General Permit for Activities in Louisiana Coastal Zone and Other State Program Permits, 4.5:5.

Wisconsin Uses Denial of Section 401 Certification of Nationwide Permits to Require Individual Permits - Other States Plan Similar Action — Corps Has Its Own Plans, 4:4:6.
Corps Marsh Creation and Wetlands Research Studies, 4:3:3.

Court Invalidates Corps Permu for Westway Project, 4:2:5.

Corps Reviews §404, 3:5:6. Corps Weslands Research Program, by Robert Terry Huffman, 3.4 4 Corps Amends Permit Regulations, 2:4:5 Corps Suit Requires Revegetation, 2:2:15. Corps Surveys Wetland Attutudes, 2:1:2.

Barrier Islands

Corps' Jurisdiction Defined, 1:5:6.

Interior Issues Draft Report on Coastal Barrier Resources System, 9:3:10 Court Rejects First Challenge to Coastal Barrier Resources Act, by John Scheibel, 6:2:17

FEMA Issues Final Rule Denying Flood Insurance on Undeveloped Coastal Barriers, by John Scheibel, 5:5:5.

Conservancy and Sportsmen, 4:5:9.

Coastal Barrier Resources Act Passes, 4:5:2.

Shellfish Beds Would Be Affected by Proposed Marinas on South Carolina Coastal Islands, 4:4:10.

Progress in Protection of Barrier Islands, 4:1:3.

Barrier Island Legislation, 3:2:9.

New Wildlife Refuges Designated, 3:1:7. Barrier Islands Film, 2:2:3.

Barrier Islands Protection, 2:1:4.

Virginia Barrier Islands Film, 1:6:2.

Botany

Sphagnum-Dominated Wetland Treatment of Mine Drainage Water, by R. Kelman Wieder, Gerald E. Lang and Alfred E. Whitehouse, 7:4:2. FWS Databases on Wetland Values and Plant Species, by Patricia Stuber,

A Loosestrife Invasion - Will Wesland Ecosystems Survive?, 4:3:11.

Bottomiand Hardwoods

Scientific Excursions Into Delineating Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands in the Lower Mississippi Valley, by Stephen Faulkner, 8-4:2.

Fifth Circuit Upholds Corps Permits to Clear Bottomland Hardwoods, 7:5:19.

Bottomland Hardwoods: The Forest Industry's View, by Barry F. Malac, 7:2:10.

Implementation of a §404 Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands Regulatory Program: Rethinking Lower Mississippi Resource Objectives and Priorities, by James T.B. Tripp and David Hoskins, 7:2:8.

Economic Incentives for Conversion of Bottomiand Hardwood Forests, by Randall A. Kramer, 7:2:5.

Bottomland Hardwood Ecosystems: Ecological Functions and Status, by Jay Benforado, 7:2:3.

Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands — An Introduction, 7.2:2.

The Nature Conservancy's National Weilands Conservation Project, by George H. Fenwick, 7:1:12.

EPA to Sponsor Bottomland Hardwood Workshops, 6:6:3.

Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands — Fifth Circuit Offers Protection at Last, by James T.B. Tripp, 5:6:14.

Bottomland Hardwood Proceedings, 3:5-4.

Mellon Bottomiand Grant, 3:5:2.

New Wildlife Refuges Designated, 3:1:7.

Forum: Progress in the Conservation of Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands, 2:3:6.

Bottomlands Workshop Report, 2:3:4

Bottomland Hardwoods Workshop, 2:2:9.

The River Corridor Approach to Bottomland Management, by John Clark, 2.2.9.

Bottomland Hardwoods: Management Alternatives, 2:2:3.

Bottomland Hardwoods: Their Functions, Values, and Status, 2:1:6.

Bureau of Land Management See Department of the Interior

California

California's Vernal Pools, by Paul H. Zedler, 11:3:2.

The Ballona Wesland Project: Habitat Restoration and Environmental Education, by Eric D. Metz. 9:1:16.

Twenty Years of Managing San Francisco Bay, by Alan R. Pendleton and Steven A. McAdam, 8:2:6.

Proposition 19: The California Fish and Wildlife Enhancement Act of 1984, by Alyse M. Jacobson, 7:4:11.

Using the Courts to Preserve Fragile Coastal and Mountain Ecosystems in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area, by Carlyle W. Hall Jr., 7:1:15. Status and Trends in Protection of California Wetlands, by None B. Dennis and Mary Laurel Marcus, 6:1:9.

Compromise Reached on Dumping of Small Amounts of Dredged Materials in Raccoon Straits Area of San Francisco Bay, 4:6:8. Local Environmentalists Oppose Developer and Orange County, 4:4:8

California's Coastal Conservancy, 3:4.10.

California Wetlands Banking, 3.4:9.

California Tidelines: Public or Private?, 3:4:8.

California Wetlands Guidelines, 3:4:6.

California Wetlands, 3:4:5.

Madrona Marsh, 3:3:13.

California Public Trust Defined, 3:2:17.

California Issues Wetland Guidelines, 1:6:9.

Bolsa Chica Marsh Endangered, 1:1:12.

California Tidelands, 1:1:12.

California Coastal Workshops, 1:1:4.

Canada

See International

Chesapeake Bay

The Race to Protect the Chesapeake Bay, by J. Kevin Sullivan and Lee R. Epstem, 12:6:10.

Chesapeake Bay Wetlands Update, 10:6:11.

Virginia's Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, by Jeter M. Watson, 10:4:5.

The Maryland Critical Area Program: A Comprehensive Land Management Approach, by Stephen M. Bunker, 9:1:10.

Restoring the Chesapeake Bay: A Role Model for Citizen Action, by Cindy Shogan, 8:3:8.

The Development of a Local Interim Review Procedure for the Protection of the Chesapeake Bay, by Robert W. Marriott Jr., Andrea Van Arsdale and Eugene A. Bober, 7:5:16.

Weilands of the Chesapeake Conference, 7:3:15.

Restoring the Chesapeake Bay: First Year Review, 7:1:7.

The Upper Chester River Watershed Project, by Jane Benesch, 6:3:12. Chesapeake Wetlands and The Nature Conservancy, by Wayne Klockner, 6:3:10.

Chesapeake Bay Clean-Up Campaign Includes Initiatives to Protect Freshwater Wetlands in Maryland, by David G. Burke, 6:3:9.

Wetlands Protection Oriented to Chesapeake Bay — The "Virginia Picture," by Norman E. Larsen, 6:3-6.

Chesapeake Bay: Wetlands Status, by Gene M. Silberhorn, 6:3:3. Wetlands of the Chesapeake Bay: An Introduction, 6:3:3.

The Chesapeake Bay Conference: A Study in Intergovernmental Cooperation, 6:1:8.

CBP Publishes Technical Studies Report, Reports on Chesapeake Bay Ecology and Management Strategies to Follow, 4:5:11.

Citizen Group Recommends Chesapeake Bay Management Plan, 4:2:11.

Coastal Zone Management

A Second Chance for the Coastal Zone Management Act, by Sarah Chasis, 12:4:5

Pressing the Sound's Barriers, by Stuart R. Deans, 12:3:5. Louisiana Coalition Promotes Coastal Restoration, by Paul Kemp,

11:3 12. Ohio's Coastal Management Program, by Wayne Warren, 11:3:10. Coastal Seas' Governance: An International Project for Management

Policy on Threatened Coastal Seas, by Wayne H. Bell, 8:6:9

Constitutional Challenge to Delaware Coastal Zone Act, 7:2:15. Book Review: Marshes of the Ocean Shore: Development of an Ecological Ethic, by John N. Cole, 6:6:17.

Sea Level Rise and Wetlands Loss in the United States, by James G. Titus,

Timothy R. Henderson and John M. Teal, 6:5:3. Grays Harbor Watermeadows Await Final Verdict, by David E. Ortman,

Improvements in State Coastal Permit Systems, 4:1:6.

OCZM, FWS Coastal Inventories, 3:1:12.

Book Review: Ecological Processes in Coastal and Marine Sciences, by John Clark, 2.4:15.

CZM Act Update, 2:3:9

Coastal Act Reauthorization, 2.1:10. Status of State Coastal Zone Management Programs, 1:6:14.

CZMP Approvals Upheld, 1:2:13.

Colorado

Rocky Mountain Wetlands: Ecosystems in Transition, by David J. Cooper and Lyndon C. Lee, 9:3:2.

State Protection of Wetland and Instream Uses of Water, by Berton L. Lamb, 6:4:11.

Connecticut

Pressing the Sound's Barriers, by Stuart R. Deans, 12:3.5. Wetlands Protection in Connecticut, by Douglas Cooper, 8:3.6. Connecticut Slide Show Available, 3.5:5. Connecticut Tidal Wetlands, 2:2:12. Connecticut Wetland Commissions, 1:5:6.

Connecticut Coastal Management, 1:5:4.

Council on Environmental Quality

CEQ Supports the Corps on NEPA, by Malcolm Forbes Baldwin, 9:4.2. EPA Refers Proposed Corps NEPA Procedures to CEQ, by Malcolm Forbes Baldwin, 7:3:3.

1981 CEO Annual Report Gives Administration Viewpoint, 4.2.3. CEQ Wetlands Report, 1:4:11.

Delaware

The Delaware Governor's Freshwater Wetlands Roundtable Report,

State Wetlands Report for Delaware Published, 8:2:3.

Delaware Supreme Court Blocks Coal Transfer Operation in Delaware Bary, 7:3:18.

Constitutional Challenge to Delaware Coastal Zone Act, 7:2:15.

Department of the Interior

FWS Unveils Action Plan, by Tom Mur, 12:6:18.

Wetlands Loss Since the Revolution, by Thomas E. Dahl, 12:6:16.

Playa Lakes Joint Venture, by John Whitmire and Harvey Miller, 12:4:11

A Clarification of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetland Definition, by Ralph W. Tiner Jr., 11:3:6.

The Impact of Federal Programs on Wetlands: DOI's Report to Congress, by John H. Goldstein, 11:2:2.

FWS Issues Report on Contaminants on National Wildlife Refuges, 8:2.2. EPA and FWS Sign New §404(q) MOAs With Army, 8:1:2.

FWS Publishes First State Wetlands Report, 7:6:3.

Wetlands Restoration on Private Lands — A Pilot Effort, by Carl Madsen, 7:5:10.

EPA/FWS Wetland Projects in the Western United States, by Patrick J. Cottez, 7:4:6.

FWS Northern New Jersey Wetlands Report Critical of Corps and EPA,

FWCA Amendments, Weilands Acquisition Legislation Pass House, Die in Senate, 6:6:5.

Instream Flow Legal and Institutional Research Tools, 6·4:8.

Weslands and Wildlife Issues, by William R. Mangun, 5:6:6
FWS Databases on Wetland Values and Plant Species, by Patricia Stuber,

FWS Convenes National Wetlands Values Assessment Workshop, by Patricia Stuber, 5:4:4.

Forest Service Takes First Steps to Protect Riparian Habitat From Overgrazing, BLM Lags, 4:6:7.

WELUT Adds Marsh Creation Projects to Habitat Evaluation, Data Gathering, and Assessment, 4:3:4

Watt Launches Wetlands Habitat Protection Task Force — Seeks Private Instintives, 4:3:2.

Fish and Wildlife Restoration Amendments Proposed, 3:3:9.

Watt Supports Wetland Acquisition, 3:2:10.

OCZM, FWS Coastal Inventories, 3:1:12.

FWS Proposes Mitigation Policy, 2:5:9.

FWS Assists State Section 404 and Section 208 Federal Programs, by Frances J. Paterson, 2:5:2.

Federal Wildlife Funds Cut, 2:4:6.

New Wesland Classification Issued, 2:3:7.

Interior Examines Acquisition Policies, 2:1:11.

BLM Releases Management Guidelines, 2:1:9.

USFWS Lands Survey, 2:1:4.

States Seek Aid From FWS, 1:1:7.

Domestic Policy Council

How Wetlands Played in Peoria, 12:6:15.

Dredging

Circuit Court Rules That Propeller Dredging Violates Rivers and Harbors Act and Clean Water Act, 7:6:17.

Propeller Dredging Held to Violate Environmental Statues, 5:2:10. Corps Uses Dredged Materials to Restore Pelican Islands, 5:1:10. Compromise Reached on Dumping of Small Amounts of Dredged Materials in Raccoon Straits Area of San Francisco Bay, 4:6:8. Court Rules Atachafalya EIS Adequate; Permits Dredging, 3:1:13. Dredged Materials Plan, 2:6:3.

Economics

Economics of Wetland Conversion: Farm Programs and Income Tax, by Ralph E. Heimlich, 8:4:7.

Economic Incentives for Conversion of Bottomland Hardwood Forests, by Randall A. Kramer, 7:2:5.

Plan Attempts to Resolve Environmental/Economic Conflict in Baltimore Harbor, by Mary G. Dolan, 5:2:7.

Proposed Principles and Guidelines Would Eliminate Inclusion of Environmental Quality Account in Cost-Benefit Analysis, 4:1:2. Economic Analysis and Wetland Policy, by L. Shabman and S. Batic, 3:2:2.

Evaluation: Quantifying Nature, 3:2:2.

Emergency Wetlands Resource Act

The Emergency Wetlands Resources Act: Status Report on Implementation, by Dale Pierce, 9:5:10.

Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Wesland Plants and Animals of the Continental United States, by William A. Niering, 9:3:16.

House and Senate Committees Approve Wetlands Conservation Legislation, 5:6:9.

House and Senate Subcommittees Hold Hearings on Wetlands Protection Legislation, 5:4:2

Reauthorization Bills Will Not Weaken - May Strengthen - Endangered Species Act, 4:2:6

Redefinition of ESA Regulation, 3:3:7. Manatees: Hope for Recovery, 2:6:4. Endangered Species Committee Acts, 1:2:6. Endangered Species Act, 1:1:3.

Environmental Protection Agency

EPA Releases Weslands Action Plan in Response to Forum Recommendations, by Dianne Fish and Shern Fields, 11.1:4. Wellands Protection at Superfund Sites, by Melanie LaForce, 10:1:5. EPA State Wetland Program Report, by Deming Cowles and William Britt, 9-3:11.

The Clean Water Act §404 Program in Alaska — An EPA Perspective, by Richard Summer, 8:6:2

Protecting Wetlands Under Clean Water Act §404: EPA's Conservative Policy on Minganon, by Rosanna B. Crupek, 8:5:12.

EPA Adopts Wetlands Research Plan, by Mary E. Kentula, 8:4:14. EPA Issues Final §404(c) Determination Prohibiting Filling of Sweedens Swamp, 8:4:10.

EPA and Army Sign MOA on Clean Water Act Regulation of Solid Waste Discharges, 8.2.2.

EPA and FWS Sign New §404(q) MOAs With Army, 8:1:2.

EPA/FWS Weiland Projects in the Western United States, by Patrick J. Coner, 7.4.6

Wetlands or Wastelands?, by Josephine S. Cooper, 7:4:4

EPA Issues Final §404(c) Determination Prohibiting Impoundment of South Carolina Weilands, 7:3:5.

EPA Refers Proposed Corps NEPA Procedures to CEQ, by Malcolm F. Baldwin, 7:3:3.

FWS Northern New Jersey Wetlands Report Critical of Corps and EPA,

EPA Final §404(c) Determination on Mobile Bay Disposal Site Issued, 6.4:6

EPA Lab Studies Wetlands, 1:2.13.

Estuarine Ecosystems

NOAA Estuarine Programs Office, by Mary Barber, 6:5:9. Focus: Marine and Estuarine Sanctuaries, 2:5:5.

Estuarine Productivity Studied, 2:4:4.

Executive Orders

HUD Upholds Executive Order, 10:4:3. Executive Order Update, 3:1:10. Illinois Executive Order, 1:6:9. Executive Orders, 1:2:5. Progress Report: Executive Orders, 1:1:8.

Federal Emergency Management Agency

A Status Report on the Nation's Floodplain Management Activity, by Jeanne Christie Melanson, and James M. Wright, 11.5:8. FEMA Issues Final Rule Denying Flood Insurance on Undeveloped Coastal Barriers, by John Scheibel, 5:5:5.

FEMA Meets With Early Success in Suit to Recover Flood Insurance Payments, by John Scheibel, 5:4:13.

FEMA State Assistance Program, 2:3:10.

Interim Regulations, 1:6:7.

FEMA Reorganization, 1:2:14.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FERC Attempts to Protect Platte River, by John G. Sidle, Michael LeValley and John G. VanDerwalker, 12:4:8.

Federal Highway Administration

FHWA Method for Wetland Functional Assessment - Some Observations, by J. Henry Sather, 8:6.4.

FHWA Method for Wetland Functional Assessment: Status of the Revision, 8:5:18.

The Federal Highway Administration's Wetlands Research Program, by Douglas L. Smith, 7.3:7.

Federal Water Pollution Control Act

Section 401

Westlands Protection Through the §401 Certification Program in West Virginia, by Paul L. Hill and Dennis H. Treacy, 11:1:6.

State Water Quality Certification and Wetlands Protection, by Katherine Ransel, 10:4:6.

Wisconsin Uses Denial of Section 401 Certification of Nationwide Permits to Require Individual Permits—Other States Plan Similar Action-Corps Has Its Own Plans, 4.4:6.

Section 404

Court Ruling Limits §404 Silviculture Exemption, by William L. Want, 12:3:10.

Book Review: The First Weilands Regulation Deskbook, by Vicki O Masterman, 12:2:16.

States Need Commitment, Leadership, and Backbone, Not Section 404, by Thomas J. Dawson, 12:1:4.

Section 404 Delegation: A Rebuttal to Governor Kean, by Lance D. Wood, 12:1:2

In Defense of Nationwide Permit 26, by Bernard N. Goode, 11:6:4 Nationwide Permit 26: The Wetlands Giveaway, by Jan Goldman-Carter, 11:6:4.

A Reply to Mr. Wood, by Governor Thomas H. Kean, 11:6:2. Michigan: An Experiment in Section 404 Assumption, by Stephen Brown,

11:4:5. Michigan Section 404 Program Update, by Peg Bostwick, 11:4:5 The Forum's Proposal to Delegate §404 to the States: A Bad Deal for

Weilands, by Lance D. Wood, 11:4:2. EPA and the Corps Enter Three MOAs on Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Under the Section 404 Program, by Katherine Ransel, 11:1:2.

Section 404: Should Congress Reenter the Fray?, by Hon. James L. Oberstar, 10:6:5.

GAO Releases Report on the §404 Program, 10:5:12.

Jury Finds Defendant in Violation of Clean Water Act §404, by Barbara Miller, 10:1:8.

Army Issues Final Clean Water Act §404 Regulations, 9:1:8 The Clean Water Act \$404 Program in Alaska - An EPA Perspective, by

Richard Summer, 8:6:2. Supreme Court Endorses Broad FWPCA §404 Wetlands Jurisdiction, by

Kenneth L. Rosenbaum, 8:1.14.
Out With the Old, In With the New. The Corps' Controversual Interpretation of the §404(b)(1) Guidelines, by Thomas Eggert, 7 5 2. Senate Subcommittee Holds Clean Water Act §404 Oversight Hearings,

Wetlands or Wastelands?, by Josephine S. Cooper, 7:4:4.
Implementation of a §404 Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands Regulatory Program: Rethinking Lower Mississippi Resource Objectives and Priorities, by James T.B. Tripp and David Hoskins, 7:2:8.

Michigan 404 Program Assumption, by Hal F. Harrington, 7:1:10. EPA Proposes Revisions to 404 State Program Regulations, by Lori Williams, 6:6:4.

EPA Reorganizes §404 Program, 6:6:3.

Michigan Receives Dredge and Fill Permit Authority, 6:5:9. EPA Final §404(c) Determination on Mobile Bay Disposal Site Issued, 64:6

Corps Proposes §404 Regulations Implementing NWF v. Marsh Settlement, 6:3:2.

Corps Holds Public Hearing on Proposed Permit Regulations, 5:6:3. House Clean Water Act Hearings — Testimony on Proposed §404 Amendments, 5:6:2.

Wisconsin DNR Proposes §404 Workshop, 5:4:12.

Coastal Conference Highlights Administration's Position on §404 and Points to New Role for Wetland Scientists, by Erik D. Metz, 5:4:4 OTA Testifies That 404 Program Not Controlling Wetland Conversions, 5:3:5.

Corps Proposes Additional Revisions to §10/§404 Permit Regulations, 5.3:3.

Effective Public Participation in the 404 Program - The Wisconsin Experience, by Thomas J. Dawson, 5:2:6.

Environmental Organizations Sue to Undo Reagan Administration Changes in §404 Program, 4:6:4.

Section 404 Consent Decree Requires Restoration of 8000 Acres -Includes Pollution Control Project, 4:4:4.

Legislative Proposals to Change Section 404 Are Still on the Agenda, 4 4:3.

First Round 404 Regulatory Reforms Reduce Federal Protection of Inland, Nontributary Wetlands — Other Changes to Be Proposed Thus Fall 4:4:2

Section 404 Will Not Be Gutted But Procedures May Be Streamlined, 4.1-4.

Section 404 Faces Test, 3:6:2.

Corps Reviews §404, 3:5:6.

The Public Interest Review Process, by Bernard N. Goode, 3:1:6. EPA's Role in State Assumption of 404 Permit Authority, 2:5:3.

FWS Assists State Section 404 and Section 208 Federal Programs, by Frances J. Paterson, 2:5:2.

Congressional Action on §404, by Thomas G. Tomasello, 2:4:4.

State §404 Requirements, 1:3:4.

State §404 Requirements, 1:2:13.

Clean Water Act §404, 1:1:7.

Section 404(c) Determinations

EPA Issues Final §404(c) Determination Prohibiting Filling of Sweedens Swamp, 8:4:10.

Court Dismisses Challenge to EPA §404(c) Proposal, 7:6:15. EPA Issues Final §404(c) Determination Restricting Discharges in

Louisiana Wetlands Site, 7:6:2. EPA Issues Final §404(c) Determination Prohibiting Impoundment of

South Carolina Wetlands, 7:3:5. Pending EPA Proposals Under Section 404(c) of the Clean Water Act.

Federal Wetlands Policy

Creation of a U.S. National Ramsar Committee, by Steven J. Parcells, 1150

A Status Report on the Nation's Floodplain Management Activity, by

Jeanne Christie Melanson and James M. Wright, 11:5:8. The Certification of Wetland Scientists, by Charles J. Newling, 11:4:10. APA Publishes Comprehensive Report on Protecting Nontidal Wetlands,

by Jim Hecumovich, 11.2.11.
The Impact of Federal Programs on Weilands: DOI's Report to Congress, by John H. Goldstein, 11.2:2

Wetlands Conservation Since 1970: One Observer's Reflections, by David G. Davis, 10-6:2.

Response to an Assessment of the Impact of Federal Programs on Prairie Pothole Drainage, by Jon Goldstein and Bill Wilen, 9:6:11.
CEQ Supports the Corps on NEPA, by Malcolm F Baldwin, 9:4:2. State/Federal Cooperation Strengthened at Wetlands Protection Workshop, by Suzanne E. Schwartz, 6:6:7

Tailoring Weiland Protection Policies, by Jay A. Leitch, 6:1:6. Regulatory Reform Equals Good Government, by William R. Gianelli, Book Review: Non-Tidal Wetlands Protection. A Handbook for Local Governments, by Thomas Ervin, 5:3:14

CRS Report Provides Background Information on Major Wetlands Issues, 4:4:5.

Environmental Organizations' Attack on Reagan Program Includes Wetland Policies, 4.2:2.

Weiland Protection in the Political Arena, by Frank J. Ruswick Jr., 3:66 Federal Wetlands Conservation Bill Introduced, 3:4:2

The Public Interest Review Process, by Bernard N. Goode, 3:1:6. Global 2000 Report, 2:6:2.

Wilderness Lost?, by John Clark and Jay Benforado, 2:5:10.

Do We Need a National Wetlands Law?, 2:4:7.

The Wetland Edge: Ecology and the Need for Protection, by Bruce W Porter, 2:4:2.

Point of View: The Real Issue in Wetlands Management, by Norman E. Larsen, 2:2:10.

Book Review: Freshwater Wetland: Ecological Processes and Management Potential, by John Clark, 1.2.16.

Federal Wetlands Management, 1:2:5

Point of View: Carter's New Water Policy — A Bonus for Wetlands?, by George Ledec, 1:1:9.

Fish and Wildlife Service

See Department of the Interior

Floodplains and Flood Insurance

Improving the National Flood Insurance Program, by Beth Millemann and Elise Jones, 12:3:2.

A Status Report on the Nation's Floodplain Management Activity, by Jeanne Christie Melanson and James M. Wright, 11:5:8.

Westands: A Forgotten Element in the National Flood Insurance Program, by R. Kerry Kehoe, 11:1:10.

Are Floodplains Compatible With Stormwater Management?, by Joseph K. Shisler, 10:5:9.

Floodplain and Wetland Coordination, by Edward A. Thomas, 9:2:16. Floodplain Forests and The Nature Conservancy, by Stephanie Sklat,

FEMA Issues Final Rule Denying Flood Insurance on Undeveloped Coastal Barriers, by John Scheibel, 5:5:5.

FEMA Meets With Early Success in Suit to Recover Flood Insurance Payments, by John Scheibel, 5:4:13.

Who's in Charge of Floodplain Management? Effects of Termination of Water Resources Council Are Still Unclear, 4:5:3.

Loudoun County Floodplain Ordinance Protects Natural Floodplain Values, 4:1:8.

Flood Insurance Rates Rise, 3:5:10.

Flood Peak Report, 3:5:5.

Flood Insurance Rate Increases, 3:3:8.

SCS Floodplain Management Studies, by Beatrice H. Holmes, 3:2:8.

Floodplain Management Studies, 2:6:2.

NSF Studies Hazard Mitigation, 2:3:4.

Floodplain Industrial Siting Examined, 2:3:3.

Floodplain Management Report, 2:2:2.

WRC Floodplain Management Report, 2:1:3.

Focus: Issues in Wetland and Floodplain Management, 1:6:5.

WRC Floodplain Report, 1:6:2.

Flood Potential Maps, 1:5:2.

Baltimore County Floodplains, 1:4:10.

Community Acquisition of Developed Floodplains, by Larry Johnston and Stuart Bramen, 1:4:5.

Special Issue: Acquisition of Wetlands and Floodplains, 1:4:1 State Wetland and Floodplain Regulatory Programs, 1:3:5.

Flood Insurance Program, 1:2:13

Floodplain Acquisition Study, 1:2:5. Flood Insurance Program, 1:1:8

Flood Insurance Lingation, 1:1:4.

Florida

Regional Land Use Planning: East Everglades, Florida, 11:6:14 Nutrient Enrichment in the Everglades, by Daniel J. Scheidt, 10:3:5. Protecting What's Left: The Story of Florida's Big Bend Purchase, by

Rainie and Chris Ames, 10:1:10.

The Implications of Hydrology and Landscape Ecology on the Maintenance of Freshwater Ecosystems in Florida, by Kevin L. Erwin, 9:6:5.

Regulation of Wastewater Discharge to Florida Wetlands, by Larry N. Schwartz and Mary F. Smallwood, 8:6:5.

Restoration of the Kissimmee River, by Mollie Glover Palmer, 8:1:8. A Talk With Florida Rep. John Mills, 6:4:13.

Florida Legislature Passes Comprehensive Wetlands Protection Legislation, by Fred McCormack, 6:4:12.

Saving the Coast: New Legislative Initiatives in Florida, by James W. May, 6:2:10.

Florida's Save Our Everglades Program, 5:5:10.

Everglades National Park Wildlife Resources Damaged by High Water. Park Officials Ask Water Management District to Cut Releases, by Beatnee Hort Holmes, 5:2:9.

Florida Begins Management Planning for Aquatic Preserves, 4:6:11. Florida Begins Purchases of Conservation and Recreation Lands, 4:6:10. Everglades Deer Kill Is Viewed as One More Effect of Canalizing Wetlands, 4:4:11.

Florida Water Management District Proposes Plan to Save the St. Johns River Basın, 4:3:7.

1985 Ban On Commercial Fishing in Everglades National Park May Be Rescunded, 4:3:6.

Florida Commission Designates 16 Water Bodies in National Forests for Nondegredation, 4:1:7.

Impact of Offshore Dredging Studied in Florida, 3:6:11.

Florida's Suwannee River Study, 3:6:11.

Florida Sanctuary, 3:5:12.

The Taking Issue and Wesland Development: Marco Island, 3:4:12.

NPS Reviews Everglades Fishing Ban, 3:2:11.

Marco Island Decisions, 2:6:6.

Corps Suit Requires Revegetation, 2:2.15.

Florida Laws Construed, 1:6:10.

Appalachicola Bay, 1 4:10. Florida Law Held Invalid, 1:3:3.

Big Cypress Watershed Area, 1:1:13.

Apalachicola Management, 1:1:6.

Apalachicola Estuarine Sanctuary, 1:1:6.

Forested Wetlands

Court Ruling Limits §404 Silviculture Exemption, by William L. Want,

Forest Products Industry Conducting Forested Wetlands Research, by Alan A. Lucier, 12:2:14.

Jeneral and Nationwide Permits

In Defense of Nationwide Permit 26, by Bernard N. Goode, 11:6:4. Nanonwide Permit 26: The Westands Giveaway, by Jan Goldman-Carter, 11:6 4.

State and Federal Agencies Oppose Corps' Proposed Statewide General Permit for Massachusetts, 5:3:9.

Corps Proposes Controversial State Program General Permit for Activities in Louisiana Coastal Zone and Other State Program Permits, 4.5:5.

Wisconsin Uses Denial of Section 401 Certification of Nationwide - Other States Plan Similar Permits to Require Individual Permits -Action - Corps Has Its Own Plans, 4:4:6.

General Accounting Office

Section 404: Should Congress Reenter the Fray?, by Hon. James L. Oberstar, 10:6:5.

GAO Releases Report on the §404 Program, 10:5:12.

Currituck Outer Banks, 3:4:2.

GAO Marine Sanctuary Report, 3:1:12.

GAO Releases Reports on Acquisition, Program Evaluation, 2:3:2.

GAO, CF, Study Land Acquisition, 2:1.10.

GAO Report, 1:3:3

GAO Weilands Report, 1:1:8.

Georgia

Georgia Coastal Disaster Plan, 2:6:2.

Great Lakes

Water Level Fluctuation and the Great Lakes Wetlands, by Janet R. Keough, 12:5:19.

The Wildlife Connection: Wetlands and Toxicology, by Theo Colborn, 12:5:17.

A Glimpse at EPA's Great Lakes Wetlands Programs, by Barbard D'Angelo, Doug Ehorn, Romy Myzska, Mary Lou Soscia, and Karen Sullivan, 12:5:15

The Corps' Great LEAP, by William Schmitz, 12:5:14.
Bridging the Basin. U.S. Canadian Great Lakes Agreements, by Philip Weller, Dan Ray and Sandy Connell, 12:5:12.

Great Lakes Wetlands Policy Consortium: A Policy Agenda, by Gail Gruenwald, 12:5:8.

A Comparison of State and Provincial Wetlands Regulation, by David Siebert and Brett Hulsey, 12:5:4.

The Lakeside View, by Jon Kusler and Sara Nicholas, 12:5:2.

Wetlands Workshop Focuses on Great Lakes States, by Gerald Paulson, 5:5:11.

Great Lakes Wetlands Plan, 3:5:7.

Great Lakes Information Center, 2:2:3. Great Lakes Programs, 1:3:9.

Greenways

The Role of the Developer in Greenway Acquisition, by Kevin J. Coyle, 10:5:10.

EPA's Contribution to the Greenways Effort, by John Meagher, 10:5:7. Steps in State and Local Greenway Planning, by J. Glenn Eugster, 10:5.5 The Basics of Greenway Acquisition, by John D. Seyffert, 10:5:3. Greenways: An Introduction, by Jon Kusler and Anne Southworth, 10:5.2. Planning for Multi-Purpose Use of Greenway Corridors, by Ronald D. Fianagan, 10:2:7.

Urban Wetlands and Urban Riparian Habitat, by Jon Kusler, 10.2.2. Wetlands in an Urban Environment, by Pam Bissonnette, 9:4:7. The Greenway Concept, 1:4:3.

Hydrology

The Implications of Hydrology and Landscape Ecology on the Maintenance of Freshwater Ecosystems in Florida, by Kevin L. Erwin, 9:6:5.

Hydrology, Wetlands Systems, and the Law, by Alexandra D. Dawson, 9:2:14.

The Des Plaines River Weslands Demonstration Project: Creating Wetlands Hydrology, by Donald L. Hey, 9:2:12.

Wetland Water Movement and Pollution Abatement, by Robert H. Kadlec, 9:2:11

Wetlands Hydrology and Vegetation Dynamics, by William A. Niering, 9:2:9.

Hydrogeologic Classification of Wetlands in Glaciated Regions, by Garrett G. Hollands, 9:2:6.

Some Observations on Our Understanding of Hydrologic Functions, by Richard P. Novitzki, 9:2:3.

Weslands Hydrology: An Introduction, by Jon Kusler and Hazel Groman,

A Succession Modelling Approach to Wetland Impact Assessment, by Leonard G. Pearlstine and Wiley M. Kitchens, 9:1:13.

idaho

Riparian Management in Idaho, by Tom Aucutt, 10:2:4.
Salmon River Wilderness, 1:4:7.

Illinois

The Des Plaines River Wetlands Demonstration Project: Creating Weslands Hydrology, by Donald L. Hey, 9:2:12. Illinois Initiates Wetlands Program, by Marvin E. Hubbell, 7:3:13 Illinois Executive Order, 1:6:9. Illinois Legislation, 1:3:9.

Indiana

Indiana Law Amended, 2:2:13. Indiana Programs, 1:3:9.

International

Bridging the Basin: U.S.-Canadian Great Lakes Agreements, by Philip Weller, Dan Ray and Sandy Connell, 12:5:12.

Creation of a U.S. National Ramsar Commuttee, by Steven J. Parcells, 11:5:9.

Ontario Wetlands: Inertia or Momentum?, by Michal Bardecki and Nancy Patterson, 11:2:9.

Treasury Issues Standards Protecting Tropical Weilands, by Steven J. Parcells, 10:6:12.

The Alberta Landowner Habitat Project, by Pet A. Andersen, Steve Brechtel and Ken R. Ambrock, 10:4:10.

Tropical Westands and the Development Assistance Agencies, by Sieve Parcells, 10:1:6.

International Conference on the Legal Aspects of Wetlands Protection,

International Debt for Weslands Legislation, by Steven J. Parcells, 9:5:12. Towards a Wellands Protection Strategy for Ontario, by Nancy Patterson, 9:4:16.

Mexico's Great Delta Wetlands, by John Clark, 9:4:14.

Weslands Protection in China: A Rising Star?, by Paul Adamus and Joseph S. Larson, 9:1:18.

Coastal Seas' Governance: An International Project for Management

Policy on Threatened Coastal Seas, by Wayne H. Bell, 8:6:9. Conserving the World's Wetlands, by Malcolm F. Baldwin, 8:2:12. Puerto Rican Wetlands, 3:5:12.

World Wetland Study, 1:5:3.

Ontario Wetlands, 1:5:2.

lowa

Wetlands for Iowa Program, by Linda Gucciardo, 8:2:8. Iowa Law Allows Counties to Designate Wetlands for Property Tax Exemption, 4:4:11.

Jurisdiction and Delineation

The Certification of Wetland Scientists, by Charles J. Newling, 11:4:10

A Clarification of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetland Definition, by Ralph W. Tiner Jr., 11.3:6.

EPA and the Corps Enter Three MOAs on Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilines Under the Section 404 Program, by Katherine Ransel,

The Constitutional Test for Wetlands Jurisdiction: Agencies in a Muddle, by Jerry Jackson, 9:5:7.

Ninth Circuit Ruling on Corps Regulatory Jurisdiction, 8:6:14 Weilands or Uplands - Northwest Courts Make the Call, by David Ortman, 8:3.13.

District Court Ruling on Corps Jurisdiction Over Created Wetlands, 8:2:14.

Environmentalists Sue Corps and EPA Over Isolated Wetlands Jurisdiction, 8:2.13.

Supreme Court Endorses Broad FWPCA §404 Wetlands Jurisdiction, by Kenneth L. Rosenbaum, 8:1:14.

Tenth Circuit Upholds Corps §404 Jurisdiction Over Utah Lake, 6:6:16.
Sixth Circuit Ruling Restricts Corps and §404 Jurisdiction, 6:3:13. Lake Ophelia: What Defines a Wetland?, 3:2:15.

Courts Back Broad Corps Regulatory Jurisdiction Over Wetlands, 2:5:11. Corps' Jurisdiction Defined, 1:5:6.

Kansas

Wetland Protection Policies in Kansas, by Thomas C. Stiles, Kerry L. Wedel and Stephen A. Hurst, 8:3:5.

Kansas' Cheyenne Bottoms. Efforts to Save a Threatened Wetland, by Jan Garton, 8:1:11.

Kentucky

Kentucky's Adopt-a-Wetland Program, by Dave Morgan, 8:6:8.

Litigation

See also Takings

Leslie Salt: The Case of the Accidental Wetland, by Mimi Rebaglisti and Katharina Timmermann, 12:6:8.

Takings: Is the Claims Court All Wet?, by Jon Kusler and Erik Meyers, 12:6:6

Nonnavigable Tidelands Subject to Public Trust Doctine, by Laura H Kosloff, 10:3:9.

Jury Finds Defendant in Violation of Clean Water Act §404, by Barbara Miller, 10:1:8.

Court-ordered Restoration Upheld, 9:5:17.

Wetland Scientists as Expert Witnesses, by Melanie LaForce, 9:5:6.

District Court Dismisses Pond 12 Lawsuit, 9:4:12.

Right to Jury Trial in Clean Water Act Civil Penalty Actions, 9:4:11. The Taking Issue and Land Regulation in the U.S. Supreme Court, by Jon Kusler and Erik Meyers, 9:49.

Ninth Circuit Ruling on Corps Regulatory Jurisdiction, 8:6:14.
Ninth Circuit Upholds Issuance of After-the-Fact Permit, by David E. Ortman, 8:6:11.

Supreme Court to Review Clean Water Act Jury Trial Claim, 8:4:19. District Court Sets Aside Corps Wetlands Determination, 8:3:14.

Ninth Circuit Upholds Narrow Construction of Clean Water Act §404(f), 8:3:12

District Court Ruling on Corps Jurisdiction Over Created Wetlands, 8.2:14.

Environmentalists Sue Corps and EPA Over Isolated Wetlands Jurisdiction, 8:2:13.

Supreme Court Endorses Broad FWPCA §404 Wetlands Jurisdiction, by Kenneth L. Rosenbaum, 8:1:14.

Circuit Court Ruling on NEPA Cumulative Impacts Analysis, 8:1:13. Circuit Court Rules That Propeller Dredging Violates Rivers and Harbors Act and Clean Water Act, 7:6:17

Court Dismisses Challenge to EPA §404(c) Proposal, 7:6:15 Fifth Circuit Upholds Corps Permits to Clear Bottomland Hardwoods,

Briefs Filed, Oral Argument Scheduled in Supreme Court Review of Riverside Bayview Ruling, 7:5:17

Court of Claims Rules Denial of §404 Permit a Taking, 7.4:12. Delaware Supreme Court Blocks Coal Transfer Operation in Delaware Bay, 7:3:18.

10th Circuit Upholds Corps Denial of Permit Based on Water Quality Impacts, 7:3:17.

Using the Courts to Preserve Fragile Coastal and Mountain Ecosystems in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area, by Cariyle W. Hall Jr., 7:1.15. Court Rules Corps Did Not Breach NWF v. Marsh Settlement Agreement,

Federal Government Seeks Supreme Court Review of Riverside Bayview Ruling, 6:6:16.

Tenth Circuit Upholds Corps §404 Jurisdiction Over Utah Lake, 6:6:16.

10th Circuit to Rule on Riverside Irrigation District v. Andrews, 6:5:13.

Sixth Circuit Denues Rehearing Request in Riverside Bayview, 6:4:7. 1902 Atlantic Ltd. v. Hudson: A Case for Concern, by William L. Want, 6:3:14.

Suth Circuit Ruling Restricts Corps and §404 Jurisdiction, 6:3:13. Corps Proposes §404 Regulations Implementing NWF v. Marsh Settlement, 6:3:2.

Court Rejects First Challenge to Coastal Barrier Resources Act, by John Scheibel, 6:2:17.

Court Approves Settlement Agreement in NWF v. Marsh, 6.2.4. Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands — Fifth Circuit Offers Protection at

Last, by James T.B. Tripp, 5:6:14.

NWF Sues Over Oil and Gas Leasing in Wildlife Refuges, 5:5:12.

Recent Developments in National Wildlife Federation v. Marsh, 5:2:11 Supreme Court Upholds Acquisition of Waterfowl Production Areas, 5:2:10

Fifth Circuit Halts Galveston Bay Deepwater Port Project, 5:2:4. Conservancy and Sportsmen, 4:5:9.

Virginia Supreme Court Resolves Controversy Between The Nature Conservancy and Sportsmen, 4:5:9.

Court Invalidates Corps Permit for Westway Project, 4:2:5. Consent Judgment Requires Compensation, 4:1:3.

Toxic Torts Conference, 3:4:3.

Supreme Court Limits Environmental Litigation, 3:3:13.

Lake Ophelia: What Defines a Wetland?, 3:2:15.

Marco Island Decisions, 2:6:6.

Courts Back Broad Corps Regulatory Jurisdiction Over Wetlands, 2:5.11.

Corps Suit Requires Revegetation, 2:2:15.

Sierra Club Loses Suit Against Corps, 2:2:14. New Hampshire Litigation, by Cynthia M. Ivey, 1:5:6.

Davis Power Project, 1:2:16.

Big Cypress Watershed Area, 1:1:13. Court Enjoins Fill Removal, 1:1:13.

Davis Power Project, 1:1:12

Flood Insurance Lingation, 1:1:4.

Local Activities See State and Local Activities

Louisiana

Louisiana Coalition Promotes Coastal Restoration, by Paul Kemp,

Marsh Management Planning in the Louisiana Coastal Zone, by James H. Cowan Jr., R.E. Turner and D.R. Cahoon., 9:6:7.

Restoring Coastal Louisiana: A Citizens' Initiative, by James T.B Tripp, Robert Gorman and Ross Vincent, 9:3:13.

EPA Issues Final §404(c) Determination Restricting Discharges in Louisiana Wetlands Site, 7:6:2.

Mitigation by "Banking" Credits — A Louistana Pilot Project, by Michael D. Zagata, 7:3:9.

Archafalaya Plan Requires Commitment, by Charles Frying, Jr., 4:6:9

Corps Proposes Controversial State Program General Permit for Activities in Louisiana Coasial Zone and Other State Program Permits, 4:5:5.

Louisiana Begins Struggle Against Coastal Marsh Erosion, 4:2:7. Lake Ophelia: What Defines a Wetland?, 3:2:15.

A Louisiana Swamp Story, 2:1:11. Lake Ophelia, 1:4:11.

Maine

The Gulf of Maine Initiative, by Melissa Waterman, 12:4:2. Weslands Advance Identification Program in Southern Maine, by Pam Shields, 10:1:2.

Freshwater Wetlands: New Legislation for Maine, by Donald T. Witherill, 8:1.10.

Marine Sanctuaries

Marine Sanctuary Program Update, by Michael Weber, 6:1:2. Marine Sanctuaries Update, 5.2:3. National Marine Sanctuary Program Developments - Regional Teams Recommend Sites for a 5 to 10 year Program, 4:6:6. Sanctuaries Program Update, 3:3:4.

Maryland

The Race to Protect the Chesapeake Bay, by J. Kevin Sullivan and Lee R. Epstein, 12:6:10.

Maryland's New Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act, by David G. Burke, 11:5:2.

Wetlands and Wastewater Treatment: The Mayo Peninsula Water Reclamation Facilities Plan, by Pio Lombardo and Thomas Neel, 9:2:18.

The Maryland Critical Area Program: A Comprehensive Land
Management Approach, by Stephen M. Bunker, 9:1:10.
The Upper Chester River Watershed Project, by Jane Benesch, 6:3:12. Chesapeake Bay Clean-Up Campaign Includes Initiatives to Protect Freshwater Wetlands In Maryland, by David G. Burke, 6:3:9. Plan Attempts to Resolve Environmental/Economic Conflict in Baltimore Harbor, by Mary G. Dolan, 5:2:7.

Maryland Cypress Forest, 2:4:9. Baltimore County Floodplains, 1:4:10.

Massachusetts

Protecting the Charles River Corridor, by Rita Barron, 11:3:8. The Sweedens Swamp Controversy - Focusing on EPA's Role in the Clean Water Act §404 Program, by Lawrence R. Liebesman, 9:6:15. EPA Issues Funal §404(c) Determination Prohibiting Filling of Sweedens Swamp, 8.4:10.

Massachusetts Succeeds in Halting Georges Bank Lease Sale, by Sandra R. Sandiford, 5:5:13.

State and Federal Agencies Oppose Corps' Proposed Statewide General Permit for Massachusetts, 5:3:9.

Massachusetts Promulgates Outstanding Rules for Inland Wetlands Protection, 5:1:12.

Nantucket Sound Sanctuary Proposed, 3:1:11. Mass. Wins Weiland Improvements, 2:2:13.

Massachusetts Wetland Protection, 2:1:14. Massachusetts Weiland Protection, 1:6:11.

Massachusetts Regulations, 1:1:3.

Memoranda of Agreement

Surunu Pulled Rank, by Linda Winter, 12:2:3. Weslands Before Hospitals?, by Representative Don Young, 12:2.3. Few Surprises for Seaports, by R. Erik Stromberg, 12:2.2 A Victory of Many Fronts, by Laluana S. Wilcher and Robert W. Page,

EPA and the Corps Enter Three MOAs on Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Under the Section 404 Program, by Katherine Ransel, 11.1:2

EPA and Army Sign MOA on Clean Water Act Regulation of Solid Waste Discharges, 8.2:2. EPA and FWS Sign New §404(q) MOAs With Army, 8:1:2.

Mexico

See International

Michigan

Michigan Section 404 Program Update, by Peg Bostwick, 11:4.5 Michigan: An Experiment in Section 404 Assumption, by Stephen Brown,

Pointe Mouillee Marsh Restoration, by Dale W Granger and Daniel H. Morgan, 8:2:9.

Michigan 404 Program Assumption, by Hal F. Harrington, 7:1.10. Michigan Receives Dredge and Fill Permit Authority, 6:5:9 Weiland Protection: The Michigan Experience, by Charles L. Wolverton, 6:2:12.

Local Conservationists Save St. John's Marsh, 4:2:10 A Case History of Local Wetlands Protection: Oakland Township,

Michigan, by Peggy B. Johnson, 3:3:10. Michigan Bill Clarification, 2:2:12. New Michigan Wetlands Bill, 1:6:9 Michigan Inventory, 1:3:9. Wetlands Management in Michigan, 1:3:9.

Minnesota

The RIM Program: Minnesota's New Approach to Wetlands Restoration, by Denice DeFrates, 10:3:4. Minnesota Waters, 1:6:10. Libdale, Minnesota, 1:4:9. Munnesota Public Waters, 1:3:10.

Mississippi

Scientific Excursions Into Delineating Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands in the Lower Mississippi Valley, by Stephen Faulkner, 8:4:2.

The Aschafalaya, by Oliver A. Houck, 7:2:11.

Implementation of a §404 Bottomland Hardwood Wetlands Regulatory Program: Rethinking Lower Mississippi Resource Objectives and Priorities, by James T.B. Tripp and David Hoskins, 7:2:8.

The Threat to the Mississippi River Wetlands, by Spencer Black, 5:6:5 Yazoo Pump Project Is Resurrected, 4:3:6.

Atchafalaya Preservation Plan, 3:6:10.

Court Rules Atchafalaya EIS Adequate; Permits Dredging, 3:1:13. Atchafalaya River Basin, 1:4:8.

Atachafalya Basın Management, 1:2:2.

Mitigation

Book Review: A Fair Assessment, by Joseph S. Larson, 12:3.21.

Summu Pulled Rank, by Linda Winter, 12.2:3.

Wetlands Before Hospitals?, by Representative Don Young, 12 2.3

Few Surprises for Seaports, by R. Erik Stromberg, 12.2.2.

A Victory on Many Fronts, by LaJuana S. Wilcher and Robert W Page, 12:2:

Council Helps Enhance Wildlife Habitat on Corporate Lands, by Joyce M. Kelly and Debra S. Pressman, 11:1:9.

Constraints on Mitigation Banking: Oregon's Mitigation Banking Act of 1987, by Douglas M. DuPriest and Jon Christenson, 10-6:9

The RIM Program: Minnesota's New Approach to Wetlands Restoration, by Denice DeFrates, 10:3:4.

An Assessment of Wesland Mitigation Practices in Washington State, by Kathleen Kunz, Michael Rylko and Elame Somers, 10:3:2.

NWF Creation and Restoration Conference, 9:6:13.

Wetlands Mittgation: Harmon Meadow Case Sends Mixed Signals, by Patrick L. Phillips, 9:5:15.

Munganon: The Applicant's Perspective, by Mike Wilmar, 8.5:16

Reinventing the Swamp, by Vivian D. Newman, 8:5:15.

Wetlands Mitigation in New Jersey's Coastal Management Program, by Richard A. Kantor and David J. Charette, 8:5:14 Protecting Wetlands Under Clean Water Act \$406: EPA's Conservative

Policy on Mitigation, by Rosanna B. Ciupek, 8:5:12 Muligation in the Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, by

David B. Barrows, 8:5:11. Mingation: Determining the Need, by Nevin D. Holmberg and Robert

Misso, 8:5:10. Wetland Habitat Mittgation: An Historical Overview, by Edward T.

LaRoe, 8:5:8.

Measuring the Success of Weilands Mitigation, by Millicent L. Quammen, 8:5:6.

Critical Issues in Weiland Mitigation: A Scientific Perspective, by Francis

Mingation. An Introduction, by Jon Kusler and Hazel Groman, 8 5 2 Freshwater Wetland Reclamation by the Florida Phosphate Industry, by Davis J. Robertson, 8:3:9.

Pointe Mouillee Marsh Restoration, by Dale W. Granger and Daniel H. Morgan, 8.2:9.

Oregon's Estuarine Mitigation Policy, by Philip J. Quarterman, 7:6-8. Wetlands Restoration on Private Lands — A Pilot Effort, by Carl Madsen, 7:5:10.

North Carolina Phosphate Corporation's Wesland Creation Efforts, by Rusty Walker, 7:4.17.

Mitigation Banking: A Mechanism for Compensating Unavoidable Fish and Wildlife Habitat Losses, by David M. Soileau, David W. Fruge and James D. Brown, 7:3:11.

Mutigation by "Banking" Credits — A Louisiana Pilot Project, by Michael D. Zagata, 7:3:9.

Nonstructural Mitigation Report, 3:5:5. FWS Proposes Mingation Policy, 2:5:9. NSF Studies Hazard Mingation, 2.3:4.

Montana

Creation of Common Property Spells Uncertain Future for Montana's Wetlands, by Tom Blood, 7:5:11.

National Flood Insurance Program See Floodplains and Flood Insurance

National Oceanic and Atmospheric **Association**

Restoration of Fisheries Habitat: A Legislative Proposal, by Paul J Leach, 8:4:13.

NOAA Estuarine Programs Office, by Mary Barber, 6:5:9. CHARM Project Assesses Changes in Northeast Coastal Habitat, by John T. Finn, 6:4:4.

NOAA Research Grants, 4:1:11. NOAA Halts Oil Spill Project, 2:3:5.

National Wetlands Inventory

Wetlands Losses Since the Revolution, by Thomas E. Dahl, 12:6:16. NWI Maps Available by Telephone, 9:3:11.

Recent Wetland Trends in the Mid-Atlantic Area, by Ralph W. Tiner Jr., 9:3:6.

Current Events Emphasize Role of National Wetlands Inventory, 5:1:4. New Wetland Classification Issued, 2:3.7.

National Wetlands Inventory, 1:2:14. National Wetlands Inventory, 1:1:3.

National Wetlands Policy Forum

A Reply to Mr. Wood, by Governor Thomas H. Kean, 11:6:2 Michigan Section 404 Program Update, by Peg Bostwick, 11:4:5. Michigan: An Experiment in Section 404 Assumption, by Stephen Brown, 11:4:5.

The Forum's Proposal to Delegate §404 to the States: A Bad Deal for Wetlands, by Lance D. Wood, 11:4:2.

EPA Releases Wetlands Action Plan in Response to Forum Recommendations, by Dianne Fish and Sherri Fields, 11:1:4. An Agenda to Protect America's Wellands, by Edwin H. Clark, 10:6:6. First Meeting of the National Wetlands Policy Forum, 9:5:9. National Weilands Policy Forum, 9:3:13.

Nebraska

A New Approach to Wetlands Protection for Nebraska's Rainwater Basin, by Felice Furst, 8:4.5.

Nebraska Wetlands: An Emerging Issue, by Clark G. Haberman, 6:6:11.

New Hampshire

New Hampshire Supreme Court Reaffirms State's Right to Protect Wetlands From Development, by Edward L. Cross, Jr., 7:6:16. New Hampshire Litigation, by Cynthia M. Ivey, 1:5:6. New Hampshire Laws, 1.5:4.

New Jersey

A Reply to Mr Wood, by Governor Thomas H. Kean, 11:6:2. New Jersey's Management of Freshwater Wetlands and Adjacent Transition Areas, by Robert Piel Jr., 11:5:4.

Special Area Management Planning in the Hackensack Meadowlands, by Virginia S. Albrecht, 11:2:5.

Weilands Minganon: Harmon Meadow Case Sends Mixed Signals, by Patrick L. Phillips, 9:5:15. New Jersey Freshwater Wetlands Law, 9:4:7.

Weslands Misigation in New Jersey's Coastal Management Program, by Richard A. Kantor and David J. Charette, 8:5:14.

Evaluation of Artificial Salt Marshes in New Jersey, by Joseph K. Shisler and David Charette, 8:3:4.

Buffer Requirements for New Jersey Pinelands Wetlands, by Charles T. Roman and Ralph E. Good, 8:3:2.

FWS Northern New Jersey Wetlands Report Critical of Corps and EPA,

Plan for New Jersey Pinelands Under Review, by Bob Bembridge, 6:5.11. New Jersey's Proposed Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act, by Mark O. Smith, 5:6:10.

The New Jersey Pinelands — Recent Acquisitions, 5.3:11. Jersey Pine Barrens Resources Protected by Regional Plan, 4:3:10. New Jersey Shore Protection Master Plan, 4:3:9 New Jersey Meeting, 1:1:7.

New York

Cuizen Action Influences Wetlands Policy, by Daniel J. Palm, 12:1.6. Limited Development: A Weilands Preservation Solution, by Rose Harvey and Mary Kearns-Kaplan, 12:1:6.

New York State Freshwater Wetlands Law Amended for Staten Island, by Patricia Riexinger, 10:2:3.

Protecting Rivers and Their Environs: The New York State River Program, by Charles C. Morrison, 9:6:2.

New York State Department of Transportation Wetland Construction, by Gary J. Pierce, 5:6:12.

Wetlands Regulation in the Adirondack Park, by John S. Banta, 5:4:9 Origins of the Jamaica Bay Wildlife Refuge - Career of Herbert Johnson, 4:6:3.

Court Invalidates Corps Permit for Westway Project, 4:2:5.

N.Y. Completes Wetlands Inventory, 2:3:12.

New York Law Challenged, 2:2:15. New York Freshwater Wetlands, 1:2:16.

North American Waterfowl Management Plan

Recent Strides in North American Wesland and Waterfowl Protection, by Charles H. Collins, 10:4:9.

U.S. and Canada Sign North American Waterfowl Management Plan, 8:4:15.

North Carolina

North Carolina Pocosins: Their Development History, by Lee Otte, 9:5.2. North Carolina Phosphate Corporation's Wetland Creation Efforts, by Rusty Walker, 7:4:17.

North Carolina's Mitigation Policy: A New Challenge, by Walter Clark. 6:6:13.

Corps Uses Dredged Materials to Restore Pelican Islands, 5:1.10. Proposed Wilmington Harbor Navigation Project Would Protect 2,800 Acre Ecological Zone, 5:1:8.

Currituck Outer Banks, 3-4:2. North Carolina CAMA Upheld, 1:3.3.

North Dakota

North Dakota Wetlands Protection Law, by Jay A. Leitch, David M Saxowsky and Michael G. McKenna, 9:5:13. Garrison Diversion Reformulation Signed into Law, 8:4-12. Garrison Commission Issues Final Report, 7:1:5 Tailoring Wetland Protection Policies, by Jay A. Leitch, 6:1:6. North Dakota Wetlands - Update, 2:6:10. North Dakota Acquisition Block Overruled, 2:4:9. North Dakota Litigation, 1:1:13. North Dakota Wetlands, 1:1:6.

Office of Technology Assessment

OTA Publishes Study on Use and Regulation of Weslands, by William Bernard, 6.2:6.

OTA Testifies That 404 Program Not Controlling Wetland Conversions, 5:3:5.

Ohio's Coastal Management Program, by Wayne Warren, 11:3:10. Ohio RBC Completes Allegheny Plan, 2:2:13. Ohio Program, 1:3:10.

Uil and Outer Continental Shelf

NWF Sues Over Oil and Gas Leasing in Wildlife Refuges, 5:5:12. Providing Block Grants to States from Offshore Oil and Gas Revenues: New Hope For Ocean and Coastal Resources, by Thomas R. Kitsos, 5:3-6.

Oil Spill Liability Legislation Introduced, 5:3.4

Environmental Groups Urge Administration to Back Oil Superfund Law,

Impact of Offshore Dredging Studied in Florida, 3.6:11 State Concern Over OCS Impacts, 3.3.2.

Resource Valuation and Legal Standing: The Zoe Oil Spill, 3:2:11.

OCS Consistency With State Plans, 1:5:5.

Portsmouth Oil Refinery, 1:2:14.

Uregon

Constraints on Mitigation Banking: Oregon's Mitigation Banking Act of 1987, by Douglas M. DuPriest and Jon Christenson, 10:6:9. Oregon's Estuarine Mitigation Policy, by Philip J. Quarterman, 7:6:8. Columbia River Estuary Task Force Guides Waterfront Development to Protect Aquatic Resources, 5:1:11.

Oregon Conservation Groups Contest Permit to Spray Pesticide on Tideflat Oyster Beds, 4:6:13.

Nature Conservancy Acquires Sycan Marsh, 4:1:9. Oregon Riparian Law, 3.5:10.

Oregon Statute Amended, 1:5:4.

Peat

EPA Region III Develops Policy for Regulating Peat Mining, by Jane Offringa and Karen Wolper, 7:6-4.

Assessing the Use of Peat Deposits as Energy Source, by Marjory J. Winkler, 3.1:2.

Pennsylvania

Pennsylvania DER Follows State Action Plan to Protect Wetlands, 11:1:8

Prairie Potholes See Agriculture

Private-Sector Initiatives

F.I.S.H.: Fishermen Involved in Saving Habitat, by Stan Moberly, 11:5:10. Protecting Wetlands With Land Trusts, by Jean Hocker, 10:3:8.

Protect Our Wetlands and Duck Resources Act

Watt Sends POWDR Act Legislation to Hill, 5.2:2. POWDR Study of Nonregulatory Wetlands Protection Methods Includes Legislation to Halt Subsidies for Development, 5:1:3.

Public Trust Doctrine See Litigation

Ramsar

See also International

1987 Meeting of the Ramsar Convention: The U.S. Delegation Responds, by Larry Mason and Tom Dahl, 9:6:12.

1987 Meeting of the Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, by Joseph S. Larson, 9:4:12.

Conference on Wetlands of International Importance Especially as Waterfowl Habitat - May 1984 Conference of Parties, by Lawrence N Mason, 6:5:9.

Creation of a U.S. National Ramsar Committee, by Steven J. Parcells, 1.5-9.

Riparian Habitats

Protecting the Charles River Corridor, by Rim Barron, 11:3.8. Riparian Management in Idaho, by Tom Aucutt, 10:2:4. Urban Wetlands and Urban Riparian Habitat, by Jon Kusler, 10:2:2 Protecting Rivers and Their Environs: The New York State River Program, by Charles C. Morrison, 9:6:2.

Restoration of the Kissimmee River, by Mollie Glover Palmer, 8:1:8. The Need for Riparian Habitat Protection, by Constance Hunt, 7:5:5 State Protection of Wetland and Instream Uses of Water, by Berton L Lamb, 6:4:11.

The Wild and Scenic Rivers System Study in the Northeast, by J. Glenn Eugster, 2:4:11.

Kivers and Harbors Act Section 10

Circuit Court Rules That Propeller Dredging Violates Rivers and Harbors Act and Clean Water Act, 7:6:1'

Corps Proposes Additional Revisions to §10/§404 Permit Regulations,

South Carolina

South Carolina's Freshwater Wetlands Forum, 12:2:10. South Carolina Supreme Court Ruling on Wetland Impoundments, 8:6 13. EPA Issues Final §404(c) Determination Prohibiting Impoundment of South Carolina Wetlands, 7:3:5.

South Carolina Coastal Council Grants Wetlands Impoundment Permit Over Heavy Opposition, 4:6:12.

Shellfish Beds Would Be Affected by Proposed Marinas on South Carolina Coastal Islands, 4:4:10.

Special Area Management Plans

Underground Injection: Key Largo Project Highlights Potential Threat to Wetlands, by B. Suzi Ruhl, 11:2:7.

Special Area Management Planning in the Hackensack Meadowlands, by Virginia S. Albrecht, 11:2:5.

Special Area Management Plans in the Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, by John F. Studt, 9:3:8.

Special Wetland Habitats

California's Vernal Pools, by Paul H. Zedler, 11:3.2.

North Carolina Pocosins: Their Development History, by Lee Otic, 9.5.2. Playa Wetlands of the Southern Great Plains, by R. Wayne Nelson and William J. Logan, 7:1:2.

Tidal Freshwater Wetlands: An Ignored Resource, by William E. Odum,

State and Local Activities

A Comparison of State and Provincial Wellands Regulation, by David Siebert and Brett Hulsey, 12:5:4.

Protecting Virginia's Nontidal Wetlands: Advice From the Roundtable, by

Richard C. Collins, 12:2:9.

EPA Announces New State Wetlands Grant Ininative, by Lori Williams, 12:1:11.

No Net Loss: The State's Views, by Jon Kusler, 12:1:8. Citizen Action Influences Wetlands Policy, by Daniel J. Palm, 12:1:6 Limited Development: A Weslands Preservation Solution, by Rose Harvey and Mary Kearns-Kaplan, 12:1:6

The Delaware Governor's Freshwater Wetlands Roundtable Report,

New Jersey's Management of Freshwater Wetlands and Adjacent Transition Areas, by Robert Piel Jr., 11.5:4.

Maryland's New Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act, by David G. Burke, 11:5:2

Ohio's Coastal Management Program, by Wayne Warren, 11:3:10.
Protecting the Charles River Corridor, by Rita Barron, 11:38. Pennsylvania DER Follows State Action Plan to Protect Wetlands, 11:18. Wetlands Protection Through the §401 Certification Program in West

Virginia, by Paul L. Hill and Dennis H. Treacy, 11:1:6.

EPA Revises State Wetlands Regulations, by Lon Williams, 10:5:13. State Water Quality Certification and Wetlands Protection, by Katherine Ransel, 10:4:6.

EPA State Weiland Program Report, by Deming Cowles and William Britt, 9:3:11.

FWS Publishes First State Wetlands Report, 7:6:3.

EPA Proposes Revisions to 404 State Program Regulations, by Lori Williams, 6:6:4.

Michigan Receives Dredge and Fill Permit Authority, 6:5:9.

State Protection of Wetland and Instream Uses of Water, by Berton L.

State Wetland Regulations Workshop, 6:4:10.

State Nongame Income Tax Checkoffs - New Source of Revenue for State Programs, 4:2:8.

Improvements in State Coastal Permit Systems, 4:1:6.

State Concern Over OCS Impacts, 3:3:2

EPA's Role in State Assumption of 404 Permit Authority, 2:5:3.

FWS Assists State Section 404 and Section 208 Federal Programs, by Frances J. Paterson, 2:5:2.

Status of State Coastal Zone Management Programs, 1:6:14.

OCS Consistency With State Plans, 1:5:5.

State Wetland and Floodplain Regulatory Programs, 1:3:5.

State §404 Requirements, 1:3:4.

State Wetland Management, 1:3:3.

Special Issue: State Programs, 1:3:2.

State §404 Requirements, 1:2:13.

State Coastal Zone Programs, 1:2:4.

States Seek Aid From FWS, 1:1:7. State Wetland Mapping, 1:1:7.

Swampbuster

See also Agriculture

Cropped Wetlands Deserve Protection, Too, by Jan Goldman-Carter, 12:6:3.

Farmed Weilands: A Balancing Act, by Representative Lindsay Thomas, 12.6:3.

The Case for Deregulating Prior Converted Croplands, by Michael L. Davis, 12:6:2.

ASCS Reverses Blanket Swampbuster Exemption, 11:1:5.

ASCS Gets Serious About Swampbuster, by Anthony N. Turrini, 10:6:8.

akings

See also Litigation

The Taking Issue and Land Regulation in the U.S. Supreme Court, by Jon Kusler and Erik Meyers, 9:4:9.

Murky Waters: Florida Rock Revisited, by Erik Moyers, 8:4:17. Briefs Filed, Oral Argument Scheduled in Supreme Court Review of

Riversude Bayview Ruling, 7:5:17.

Court of Claums Rules Denial of \$404 Permit a Taking, 7:4:12.

Supreme Court to Review Riverside Bayview Ruling, 7:2:17. Federal Government Seeks Supreme Court Review of Riverside Bayview Ruling, 6:6:16.

Suth Circuit Denies Rehearing Request in Riverside Bayview, 6:4:7. Marco Island Decisions, 2.6:6.

The Taking Issue and Wetland Development: Marco Island, 3:4:12.

lax Programs

See also Economics

Federal Tax Initiatives Proposed to Complement Recent Wetlands Legislation, by Michael Dennis, 5:5:3.

Iowa Law Allows Counties to Designate Wetlands for Property Tax Exemption, 4:4:11.

Conservation Land Sale Tax Incentives Bill, 4:3:2.

State Nongame Income Tax Checkoffs - New Source of Revenue for State Programs, 4:2:8.

Federal Wetlands Conservation Bill Introduced, 3:4:2.

Tax Change Aids Conservation, 3.1:13.

Proposed Tax Deductions, 1:4:4.

Protection Through Taxation, 1:4:4.

I ennessee

Tennessee Valley Wetlands and TVA, by Donald A. Hammer, 7:5:8. Columbia Dam Locally Opposed, 2:3:12.

Tellico Dam, 1:5:5.

Tellico Dam Developments, 1:4:11.

l exas

Playa Wetlands of the Southern Great Plains, by R. Wayne Nelson and William J. Logan, 7:1:2.

House Ranfies Matagorda Island Management Transfer, 5:3:6. Fifth Circuit Halts Galveston Bay Deepwater Port Project, 5:2:4. Matagorda Island, Texas, 3:6:12. Cyprus Creek, Texas, 1:4:9. Texas Weilands Litigation, 1:1:12.

Jtah

Tenth Circuit Upholds Corps §404 Jurisdiction Over Utah Lake, 6:6:16. Rising Great Salt Lake Inundates Marshes, by John A. Kadlec, 6:4:2.

Vermont

Vermont Adopts Wetland Rules, by Catherine L. O'Brien, 12:3:8. Vermont's New Wetlands Protection Statute, 8:6:8. Vermont Weslands Primer, 2:3:4.

Virginia

The Race to Protect the Chesapeake Bay, by J. Kevin Sullivan and Lee R. Epstein, 12:6:10.

Protecting Virginia's Nontidal Wellands: Advice From the Roundtable, by Richard C. Collins, 12:2:9.

Virginia's Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, by Jeter M. Watson, 10:4:5.
Hunsley Meadows Wetland of Fairfax County, Virginia, by Eleanora I.
Robbins and Lucinda K. Taft, 7:5:14.

Wetlands Protection Oriented to Chesapeake Bay — The Virginia Picture, by Norman E. Larsen, 6:3:6.

Conservancy and Sportsmen, 4:5:9.

Virginia Supreme Court Resolves Controversy Between Nature

Conservancy and Sportsmen, 4:5:9.
Loudoun County Floodplain Ordinance Protects Natural Floodplain Values, 4:1:8.

Kepone in the James River: Dredging Is Safe But Commercial Fishing Still Restricted, 4:1:8.

Virginia Coastal Bills Pass, 2:3:13.

Virginia Dumping Plan Criticized, 2:3:12.

Virginia Wetland Bill Vetoed, 2:2:12.

Virginia Barrier Islands Film, 1:6:2.

Portsmouth Oil Refinery, 1:2:14.

Virginia Coastal Studies, 1:2:4.

Washington

An Assessment of Wetland Mitigation Practices in Washington State, by Kathleen Kunz, Michael Rylko and Elame Somers, 10:3:2.

Wetlands in an Urban Environment, by Pam Bissonnette, 9:4:7.

Protecting Wetlands Under the Puget Sound Water Quality Management Plan, by Mike Sato, 9:1:11.

Washington State Wetlands Conference, by Mary E. Burg, 8:4:16. Washington State's Wetlands Protection Program, by Andrew McMillan, 7:6:6.

Gravs Harbor Watermeadows Await Final Verdict, by David E. Ortman. 6:1:4.

Columbia River Estuary Task Force Guides Waterfront Development to Protect Aquatic Resources, 5:1:11.

Grays Harbor Estuary Management Plan Nears Adoption, 4:5:7. Snohomish River Basin, 1:4:7.

Wastewater and Stormwater Management

Are Floodplains Compatible with Stormwater Management?, by Joseph K. Shisler, 10:5:9.

Wetlands and Wastewater Treatment: The Mayo Peninsula Water Reclamation Facilities Plan, by Pio Lombardo and Thomas Neel, 9:2:18.

TVA's Use of Man-Made Marshes to Control Acid Mine Drainage, by Donald A. Hammer, 9:1:5.

Regulation of Wastewater Discharge to Florida Wetlands, by Larry N

Schwartz and Mary F. Smallwood, 8:6:5.

Evaluation of Artificial Salt Marshes in New Jersey, by Joseph K. Shisler and David Charette, 8:3:4.

Sphagnum-Dominated Wetland Treatment of Mine Drainage Water, by R. Kelman Wieder, Gerald E. Lang and Alfred E. Whitehouse, 7 4:2.

The Use of Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: An Introduction, by Paul R. Wetzel, 6:5:6.

Experimental Practices in Surface Coal Mining — Creating Wetland Habitat, by Carol S. Thompson, 6:2:15.

EPA Prepares EIS and Guidelines for Wastewater Management in Southeastern Freshwater Wetlands, 5:1:7.

Wastewater Waterfowl Habitat Project, 3:6:12.

Highway Runoff Study: RFP, 2:1:5.

West Virginia

Wetlands Protection Through the §401 Certification Program in West Unginia, by Paul L. Hill and Dennis H. Treacy, 11:1:6
Canaan Valley, 3:6:8.

West Virginia Inventory, 1:6:9.

Canaan Valley Refuge, 1:4:7.

Davis Power Project, 1:2:16. Davis Power Project, 1:1:12.

Wetlands Value Assessment

Wetland Evaluation Techniques Released, by Paul R. Adamus and Ellis J. Clairain Jr., 10:4:2.

FHWA Method for Wetland Functional Assessment - Some Observations, by J. Henry Salher, 8:6:4.
FHWA Method for Wetland Functional Assessment: Status of the

Revision, 8:5:18.

Wetlands Value Assessment: An Example of Interagency Cooperation, by J. Henry Sather and Ellis J. Clairain Jr., 7:3:2.

Wetlands: A Strategic National Resource, by Donald L. Hey, 7:1:8. A National Program for Regional Wetland Assessment, by Joseph S. Larson and Hazel Groman, 6:5:2.

CHARM Project Assesses Changes in Northeast Coastal Habitat, by John T. Finn, 6:4:4.

Research Gaps in Assessing Weslands Functions, by Patricia Ruta Stuber and J. Henry Sather, 6:2:2.

Commentary: Wetlands and Their Values, by Eugene P. Odum, 5:3:2 Wetland Value Assessment — State of the Art, by Joseph S. Larson, 3.2 4 The Wesland Edge: Ecology and the Need for Protection, by Bruce W Porter, 2:4:2.

Wisconsin

Wisconsin Wetlands Association: Independent, Private-Sector Wetlands Advocacy, by Thomas J. Murn, 6:4:15. Wisconsin DNR Proposes \$404 Workshop, 5:4:12. Preservation of Wisconsin's Mink River as a National Estuarine

Sanctuary, 5:3:12.

Effective Public Participation in the 404 Program — The Wisconsin Experience, by Thomas J. Dawson, 5:2:6.

Wisconsin Uses Denial of Section 401 Certification of Nationwide Permuts to Require Individual Permits - Other States Plan Similar Action - Corps Has Its Own Plans, 4 4:6.

Wisconsin Urban Wetlands Bill Passes - Implementation of Shoreland Zoning Act Continues, 4.2:10.

Wisconsin Wetland Protection Expanded, 2:4:8.

Soldiers Grove, Wisconsin, 1:4:8. Wisconsin Wetlands, 1:3:10.

Zoology

Return of the River Otter, 4:3:12. Whooping Crane May Repopulate, 2:6:6. Seaside Sparrow Breeding Planned, 2:2:3. Maratee Refuges, 1:5:3.

Suggested List of Publications for distribution by Wetlands Hotline

- 1. Catalog of State Wetlands Protection Grants, Fiscal Year 1990. EPA.
- 2. Steps in State and Local Greenway Planning, Glenn Eugster. 1988.
- 3. EPA's Wetlands Protection Program
- 4. EPA: Wetlands Protection
 Wetlands Resource
 Wetlands Functions & Values
 Wetlands Impacts and Losses
 Programs for Protecting Wetlands
 The Section 404 Program

EPA fact sheets.

- 5. Highlights of Section 404, EPA. 1989.
- 6. Recognizing Wetlands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1987.
- 7. Bibliographic Series Wetlands Protection. EPA.
- 8. Beyond the Estuary: The Importance of Upstream Wetlands in Estuarine Processes. EPA.
- 9. Mid-Atlantic Wetlands A Disappearing Natural Treasure, Ralph Tiner, Jr.
- 10. National Guidance: Wetlands and Nonpoint Source Control Programs, EPA Memo with Attachment, Martha G. Pruthro. EPA.
- 11. Wetland Creation and Restoration: The Status of the Science Vol I, Executive Summary, order blank. 1986
- 12. Wetlands and 401 Certification: Opportunities and Guidelines For States and Eligible Indian Tribes. EPA. 1989.
- 13. America's Wetlands: Our Vital Link Between Land and Water. EPA. 1988.
- 14. Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands
- 15. EPA Regional Office Wetlands Contacts Directory.
- 16. American Weilands Month: May 1991. EPA Fact Sheet.2
- 17. Environmental Protection Agency: Clean Water Act Section 404 (b)(1) Guidelines.

- 18. MOA between EPA and the Corps Determination of Mitigation under the Clean Water Act 404(b)(1) Guidelines.
- 19. Adopt A Wetlands (OWP) April 1990.
- 20. Clean Water Act §404: 33 U.S.C. 1344.

Other Documents (for consideration later)

- 1. Water Quality Standards for Wetlands National Guidance Available from OWRS (WH 585) Washington, D.C.
- 2. Report to Congress: Wetland Losses in the United States 1780's to 1980's Available from Department of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Subject Matter Index

A	
Acid Mine Drainage	. 109
ADID (see Advance Identification)	
Advance Identification	33
Adverse Impacts	30, 3 1
Aesthetic Values	. 101
Agriculture Stabilization Conservation Service (ASCS)	76
American Crocodile	46
American Wetlands Month	8.
American Woodstork	40
Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990	. 118
Aquifer Recharge	. 112
Aquifers	. 112
Army Corps of Engineers	68
Wetlands Research	
Artificial Wetlands	9, 11
В	
Beaufort Laboratory	70
Bills (Pending Legislation)	119
Bird Watching	. 10
Bog	9:
Bottomland Hardwood	9:
Bureau of Land Management	80
C	
Categorical Exclusions	4
CEQ (see Council on Environmental Quality)	
Certification	26, 44
Citizen Suits	38
Clean Water Act (Overview)	20
Clean Water Act	
Section 96	26, 44
Section 301	42
Section 303	44
Section 309	26, 50
Section 401	4
Section 402	4
Section 404	27
404(a)	30

404(b)(1)
404(c)
404(e)
404(f)
404(f)(2)
Section 404(q)
Section 404(r)
Advance Identification
Citizen's Role
CZMA Consistency Requirement
Coms Role
Exemptions
EPA Role
Individual Permit
Nationwide Permit
Nationwide Permit #26
Permit Application Process
Public Interest Review
Regulated Activities
Unregulated Activities
Coal Mines
Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990
Coastal Barrier Resources System
Coastal Wetlands Protection
Coastal Zone Management Program(s)
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)
1990 Reauthorization
Compensation for Unavoidable Impacts
Conservation
Conservation Easements
Conservation Reserve Program
Constructed Wetlands
Conversion
Corvallis (Oregon) Laboratory
Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)48
Cumulative Impact
CZMA (see Coastal Zone Management Act)
_
Data Gathering
Data Gathering
Definition of a wetland (Soil Conservation Service)
Definition of a wetland (EPA and Army Corp of Engineers)
Definition of a wetland (federal)
Definition of a wetland (Fish and Wildlife Service)

Definition of a wetland (state)
Delineation
Delineation Manual
Department of Agriculture
Designating Wild and Scenic Rivers
Discharge Site, Advance Identification of
Discharge(s)
Diversity
Domestic Policy Council
Drainage
Drainage Ditches
Dredged and/or Fill Material
Duck Stamp Act
Ducks Unlimited
E
EA (see Environmental Assessments)
Ecology
Ecosystems
Effluent Limitations
EIS (see Environmental Impact Statement)
Emergent Wetland
Endangered Species Act
Energy and Water Fiscal Year 1991 Appropriations Act
Enforcement
Environmental Assessments (EA)
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
EPA 309 Review
EPA Wetlands Research
EPA Veto
Erosion
Erosion Control
Estuarine Protection
Estuary
Everglade Kite
Executive Order 11990: Protection of Wetlands
Executive Order 11988: Floodplain Management
F
Farm Bill
Farming Activities
Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands (see Delineation Manual)
Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA) (see Clean Water Act)

Federal Activities in Wetlands
Fen
Fill Material (see Dredged and/or Fill Material)
Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)47
Fish
Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
Fish and Wildlife Service
20-Class Scheme
Fishing
Floodplain Management
Flood Prevention
Flood Control
FONSI (see Finding of No Significant Impact)
Food Security Act of 1985; 1990 Reauthorization
Food Security Act Manual
Forest Service
Forestry
G
General Permit (see Nationwide Permit)
Good Faith
Grants
Great Lakes Fish and Wildlife Restoration Act
Great Lakes
Great Lakes Environmental Action Program (LEAP)
Groundwater
Guidance on Previously-Issued Corps Permits
H
Habitat Preservation
Habitat
Harvesting
Hunting
hydric soils
hydrology
hydrophytic vegetation
- myarophysic vegetation
Individual Permits: §404(a)
International Efforts to Protect Wetlands
J
Jimmy Carter
Jurisdiction

K

L
Land Acquisition
Land Clearing
Loans
Local Government
Wetlands Protection23
Assistance for local governments24
Loss of Wetlands, 1780s to 1980s
Loss of Wetlands, 1950s to 1980s
Losses
M
Major Drainage
Mapping
Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act
Section 103
Marsh
Memorandum for the Field on Agricultural Activities
Memorandum of Agreements, EPA/Army Corps
on Determination of Geographic Jurisdiction of §404 Program and Application of Exemptions under
§404(f)
on Enforcement
on Mitigation
on Section 404(q) of the Clean Water Act
on Solid Waste
Migratory Bird(s)
Minor Drainage
Mitigation
N
National Coastal Wetlands Data Base
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
Section 102
National Estuarine Inventory
National Marine Fisheries Service
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Park Service
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)
National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils
National Wetlands Inventory
National Wetlands Policy Forum
National Wild and Scenic Rivers System

National Wildlife Refuge System	72
Nationwide Permit	
Nationwide Permit #26	39
Natural Resource Products	
Navigable Waters	. 45, 68
NEPA (see National Environmental Policy Act)	
No Net Loss	82
Nonpoint Source Pollution	110
Nonregulated Activities	28
Normal Farming	35, 59
North American Waterfowl Management Plan	83
North American Wetlands Conservation Act of 1989	. 114
NPDES (see National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System)	
0	
Obligate wetland species	
Ocean Dumping Act	
Section 103	
Ocean	
Office of Surface Mining	
Office of Federal Activities	65
Office of Wetland Protection	66
OSM (see Office of Surface Mining)	
P	
Permit Review Process	29, 30
Permit Programs	30, 68
Pilings	60, 61
Planning	64
Playa Lakes	95
Point Source	26, 42
Practicable Alternatives	31, 32
Prairie Potholes	95
Prior Converted Wetlands	62, 63
Public Notice	30, 38
Public Hearing	30, 38
Public Interest Review	30
Q	
R	
Ranching	35
"Recapture" Provisions	

DRAFT REPORT

Subject Matter Index

National Wetlands Hotline

Recreational and Aesthetic Values
Recreational
Regulated Activities
Regulatory Guidance Letters, Army Corps
RGL 89-01
RGL 89-02
RGL 89-03 60
RGL 89-04
RGL 90-01
RGL 90-02
RGL 90-03
RGL 90-04
RGL 90-05
RGL 90-06
RGL 90-07
RGL 90-08
RGL 90-09
Research 67 60
Resource Conservation and Development
Restoration
Rice-Production
Ripanan-Wetland Initiative
Rivers and riarbors Act of 1899 §10
Rural Clean Water Program
Rural Development Program
S
Scenic
Secretary of the Interior v. California
Sedimentation Control
Shellfish
Significant Impacts
Smart Watersned Management Program
5011
Son Conservation Service
Solid Waste
Special Area Management Plan
State Wetlands Protection
State Wetland Conservation Plan19
Funding for States20
State Assumption21
Approval of State Program
State and Local Multiobjective River Corridor Act of 1989
Sugar Cane

Superfund
Swamp
Swampbuster
T
Task Force on Wetlands
Technical Assistance
Territorial Seas
Timber
Toxic Pollutants
U
Unacceptable Adverse Impact(s)
Unregulated Activities
USDA Wetlands Programs
V
Valuation and Assessment of Wetlands
Values and Functions
W
Wastewater
Wastewater Treatment
Water Quality
Water Quality Standards
Water Quality Certification
Water Quality Improvement
Water Resources Development Act of 1990
Water Supply
Waterfowl
Waters of the United States
Watershed Protection
Waterways Experiment Station
Wetland Reserve Program
Wetland-Dependent Species
Wetlands
—Action Plan
—Classification
—Definitions
—Delineation
—Enforcement Initiative
—Hydrology
—Identification
—Losses
—Research Programs

—Types																																	. 95
Valuation of Wetlan	ds		••••	••••	••••		••••	••••	••••	•••	••••	••••	••••	•••	••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	•••	 ••••	•••	•••	•••	•••	••••		••••	••••	***	••••		113
-Values and Funct	ions																																101
Whooping Crane											•												•									•	103
Wild																																	. 56
Wild and Scenic Rivers	Act/	Sys	tem	ì		•						•			٠.						 •		. ,				•			•			. 56
Wildlife Refuges						•																											. 72
Wildlife																											•	•					103
X																																	
Υ																																	
Z																																	