


**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY
WORKING PAPER SERIES**



REPORT
ON
ENEMY SWIM LAKE
DAY COUNTY
SOUTH DAKOTA
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 608

**CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA**

REPORT
ON
ENEMY SWIM LAKE
DAY COUNTY
SOUTH DAKOTA
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 608

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AND THE
SOUTH DAKOTA NATIONAL GUARD
JANUARY, 1977

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Foreward	ii
List of South Dakota Study Lakes	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v
 <u>Sections</u>	
I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	1
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	2
IV. Nutrient Loadings	3
V. Literature Reviewed	7
VI. Appendices	8

F O R E W O R D

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's freshwater lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the South Dakota Departments of Environmental Protection and Game, Fish and Parks for professional involvement, to the South Dakota National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples.

Allyn Lockner, Secretary, and Blaine Barker and Duane Murphy, Department of Environmental Quality; Douglas Hansen, Department of Game, Fish and Parks; and James Hayden, Director, State Lakes Preservation Committee provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Duane L. Corning, the Adjutant General of South Dakota, and Project Officer Colonel Robert D. Chalberg, who directed the volunteer efforts of the South Dakota National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY LAKES

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

<u>LAKE NAME</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>
Albert	Kingsbury
Alvin	Lincoln
Angostura	Fall River
Brant	Lake
Byron	Beadle
Clear	Marshall
Clear	Minnehaha
Cochrane	Deuel
Cottonwood	Spink
Deerfield	Pennington
Enemy Swim	Day
Herman	Lake
John	Hamlin
Kampeska	Codington
Madison	Lake
Mitchell	Davidson
Norden	Hamlin
East Oakwood	Brookings
West Oakwood	Brookings
Pactola	Pennington
Pickere1	Day
Poinsett	Brookings, Lake
Red Iron South	Marshall
Richmond	Brown
Roy	Marshall
Sand	Brown
Sheridan	Pennington
Stockdale	Custer
East Vermillion	McCook
Wall	Minnehaha
Waubay	Day



Map Location

S. Dak.

ENEMY

X₀₁

SWIM

X₀₂

LAKE

ENEMY SWIM LAKE

X Lake Sampling Site



45°27'

45°26'

45°25'

97°18'

97°16'

97°14'

ENEMY SWIM LAKE

STORET NO. 4611

I. INTRODUCTION

Enemy Swim Lake was included in the National Eutrophication Survey as a water body of interest to the South Dakota Departments of Environmental Protection and Game, Fish, and Parks. Tributaries and nutrient sources were not sampled, and this report relates only to the lake sampling data.

II. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Enemy Swim Lake is eutrophic. It ranked fourth in overall trophic quality when the 31 South Dakota lakes sampled in 1974 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. Four lakes had less and one had the same median total phosphorus, eight had less median dissolved orthophosphorus, five had less and one had the same median inorganic nitrogen, seven had less mean chlorophyll a, and 12 had greater mean Secchi disc transparency.

Survey limnologists noted a light algal bloom in July and macrophytes in some of the shallow areas in September.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results are not considered representative of conditions in the lake at the time the sample was collected (04/25/74). However, the lake data indicate nitrogen limitation at all sampling stations and times.

* See Appendix A.

III. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS[†]

A. Lake Morphometry^{††}:

1. Surface area: 8.68 kilometers².
2. Mean depth: 3.0 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 7.9 meters.
4. Volume: $26.040 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$.

B. Precipitation*:

1. Year of sampling: 31.3 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 51.0 centimeters.

[†] Table of metric equivalents--Appendix B.

^{††} Murphey, 1974.

* See Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

IV. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Enemy Swim Lake was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1974 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. The first time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from several depths at one station on the lake. On succeeding visits, samples were collected from two stations on the lake and from two or more depths at each of the stations (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m or near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the station(s) sampled for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was collected for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each station sampled for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 6.1 meters at station 1 and 4.3 meters at station 2.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix C and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR ENEMY SWIM LAKE
STORET CODE 4611

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (4/25/74)				2ND SAMPLING (7/11/74)				3RD SAMPLING (9/19/74)			
	1 SITES				2 SITES				2 SITES			
	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN		RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN		RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	
TEMP (C)	7.0 - 8.2	7.5	7.3		23.9 - 24.2	24.1	24.0		15.0 - 15.4	15.2	15.2	
DISS OXY (MG/L)	10.6 - 11.2	11.0	11.2		6.8 - 7.2	7.0	7.0		8.6 - 9.0	9.4	9.6	
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	300. - 310.	304.	302.		544. - 546.	545.	545.		367. - 375.	371.	370.	
PH (STAND UNITS)	***** -*****				8.8 - 8.9	8.9	8.9		8.7 - 8.8	8.7	8.7	
TOT ALK (MG/L)	224. - 240.	231.	230.		248. - 270.	259.	259.		385. - 430.	405.	403.	
TOT P (MG/L)	0.022 - 0.032	0.026	0.025		0.037 - 0.040	0.039	0.039		0.029 - 0.380	0.119	0.034	
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.007 - 0.009	0.008	0.008		0.013 - 0.028	0.017	0.016		0.008 - 0.014	0.011	0.012	
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	0.040 - 0.100	0.060	0.050		0.040 - 0.090	0.065	0.065		0.020 - 0.020	0.020	0.020	
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.030 - 0.050	0.037	0.035		0.030 - 0.060	0.047	0.050		0.020 - 0.040	0.030	0.030	
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.800 - 1.000	0.900	0.900		0.900 - 1.000	0.933	0.900		1.100 - 3.900	1.875	1.250	
INORG N (MG/L)	0.070 - 0.150	0.097	0.085		0.080 - 0.150	0.112	0.115		0.040 - 0.060	0.050	0.050	
TOTAL N (MG/L)	0.850 - 1.100	0.960	0.945		0.960 - 1.090	0.998	0.970		1.120 - 3.920	1.895	1.270	
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	23.9 - 23.9	23.9	23.9		12.2 - 13.4	12.8	12.8		10.7 - 10.8	10.7	10.7	
SECCHI (METERS)	2.2 - 2.2	2.2	2.2		1.2 - 1.5	1.4	1.4		1.1 - 1.2	1.2	1.2	

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Dominant Genera</u>	<u>Algal Units per ml</u>
04/25/74	1. <u>Chroomonas sp.</u>	3,573
	2. <u>Asterionella sp.</u>	2,349
	3. <u>Flagellates</u>	1,026
	4. <u>Fragilaria sp.</u>	992
	5. <u>Dinobryon sp.</u>	529
	Other genera	<u>596</u>
	Total	9,065
07/11/74	1. <u>Aphanothece sp.</u>	1,576
	2. <u>Chroomonas sp.</u>	649
	3. <u>Oocystis sp.</u>	417
	4. <u>Melosira sp.</u>	278
	5. <u>Fragilaria sp.</u>	232
	Other genera	<u>371</u>
	Total	3,523
09/19/74	1. <u>Fragilaria sp.</u>	1,863
	2. <u>Coelosphaerium sp.</u>	1,139
	3. <u>Aphanothece sp.</u>	362
	4. <u>Oocystis sp.</u>	311
	5. <u>Aphanizomenon sp.</u>	207
	Other genera	<u>569</u>
	Total	4,451

2. Chlorophyll a -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Station Number</u>	<u>Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (μg/l)</u>
04/25/74	1	23.9
	2	-
07/11/74	1	12.2
	2	13.4
09/19/74	1	10.7
	2	10.8

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

A significant loss of nutrients occurred in the assay sample between the time of collection and the beginning of the assay, and the results are not indicative of conditions in the lake at the time the sample was taken (04/25/74).

The lake data indicate nitrogen limitation at all sampling stations and times; i.e., all of the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios were 12/1 or less, and nitrogen limitation would be expected.

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Murphey, Duane G., 1974. Personal communication (lake morphometry).
SD Dept. of Env. Prot., Pierre.

Petri, Lester R., and L. Rodney Larson, 1966(?). Quality of water
in selected lakes of eastern South Dakota. Rept. of Inv. #1,
SD Water Res. Comm., Pierre.

Schmidt, Artwin E., 1967. Limnology of selected South Dakota lakes.
MS thesis, SD St. U., Brookings.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
4601	LAKE ALBERT	0.321	0.170	489.111	106.289	9.200	0.019
4602	ALVIN LAKE	0.067	0.970	442.833	4.700	9.400	0.017
4603	ANGOSTURA RESERVOIR	0.019	0.160	423.333	3.717	13.000	0.005
4604	BRANT LAKE	0.194	0.130	432.833	34.150	11.800	0.113
4605	LAKE BYRON	0.443	0.370	488.333	149.350	9.000	0.146
4606	CLEAR LAKE	0.027	0.075	430.167	11.983	8.800	0.009
4607	CLEAR LAKE	1.400	0.270	495.333	691.000	7.000	0.468
4608	COCHRANE LAKE	0.037	0.150	446.000	15.683	15.000	0.008
4609	COTTONWOOD LAKE	0.685	0.265	490.333	112.017	8.600	0.417
4610	DEERFIELD RESERVOIR	0.033	0.080	303.333	3.650	15.000	0.022
4611	ENEMY SWIM LAKE	0.037	0.085	442.600	14.200	8.200	0.013
4612	LAKE HERMAN	0.340	0.155	485.000	58.733	8.600	0.174
4613	ST JOHN LAKE	0.349	0.080	489.400	120.880	9.800	0.025
4614	LAKE KAMPESKA	0.220	0.105	468.889	20.567	8.200	0.128
4615	MADISON LAKE	0.250	0.090	445.555	22.578	14.000	0.107
4616	LAKE MITCHELL	0.099	0.085	465.833	14.883	13.800	0.015
4617	LAKE NORDEN	0.256	0.165	488.667	46.800	10.000	0.050
4618	OAKWOOD LAKE EAST	0.146	0.175	487.000	113.600	10.000	0.009
4619	OAKWOOD LAKE WEST	0.181	0.135	485.833	159.667	9.600	0.021
4620	PACTOLA RESERVOIR	0.011	0.070	248.444	1.478	11.000	0.006
4621	PICKEREL LAKE	0.049	0.095	439.833	15.833	9.600	0.009
4622	LAKE POINSETT	0.115	0.315	468.444	40.211	10.000	0.023
4623	LAKE RED IRON SOUTH	0.042	0.110	430.333	6.883	7.600	0.010
4624	RICHMOND LAKE	0.187	0.150	410.000	18.467	10.000	0.144
4625	ROY LAKE	0.034	0.070	431.000	13.333	11.000	0.010
4626	SAND LAKE	0.489	0.110	471.800	65.790	12.800	0.288
4627	SHERIDAN LAKE	0.053	0.105	394.000	15.433	15.000	0.016
4628	STUCKADE LAKE	0.233	0.150	432.000	25.400	15.000	0.109

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
4629	LAKE VERMILLION	0.211	0.100	472.833	100.800	9.200	0.092
4630	WALL LAKE	0.194	0.160	441.667	55.267	7.400	0.076
4631	WAUBAY LAKE NORTH	0.093	0.145	469.555	127.033	11.400	0.023

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
4601	LAKE ALBERT	20 (6)	20 (6)	10 (3)	23 (7)	68 (20)	60 (18)	201
4602	ALVIN LAKE	67 (20)	0 (0)	57 (17)	90 (27)	63 (19)	63 (14)	340
4603	ANGOSTURA RESERVOIR	97 (29)	30 (9)	87 (26)	93 (28)	20 (6)	100 (30)	427
4604	BRANT LAKE	40 (12)	53 (16)	70 (21)	47 (14)	27 (8)	23 (7)	260
4605	LAKE BYRON	10 (3)	3 (1)	17 (5)	7 (2)	73 (22)	13 (4)	123
4606	CLEAR LAKE	93 (28)	93 (28)	83 (25)	83 (25)	77 (23)	90 (27)	514
4607	CLEAR LAKE	0 (0)	10 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	100 (30)	0 (0)	110
4608	COCHRANE LAKE	83 (25)	40 (11)	50 (15)	67 (20)	5 (0)	93 (28)	338
4609	COTTONWOOD LAKE	3 (1)	13 (4)	3 (1)	20 (6)	82 (24)	3 (1)	124
4610	DEERFIELD RESERVOIR	90 (27)	88 (26)	97 (29)	97 (29)	5 (0)	53 (16)	430
4611	ENEMY SWIM LAKE	80 (24)	82 (24)	60 (18)	77 (23)	88 (26)	73 (22)	460
4612	LAKE HERMAN	17 (5)	33 (10)	27 (8)	33 (10)	82 (24)	10 (3)	202
4613	ST JOHN LAKE	13 (4)	88 (26)	7 (2)	13 (4)	53 (16)	43 (13)	217
4614	LAKE KAMPESKA	33 (10)	65 (19)	40 (12)	57 (17)	88 (26)	20 (6)	303
4615	MADISON LAKE	27 (8)	77 (23)	53 (16)	53 (16)	13 (4)	30 (9)	253
4616	LAKE MITCHELL	60 (18)	82 (24)	47 (14)	73 (22)	17 (5)	70 (21)	349
4617	LAKE NORDEN	23 (7)	23 (7)	13 (4)	40 (12)	45 (12)	40 (12)	184
4618	OAKWOOD LAKE EAST	53 (16)	17 (5)	20 (6)	17 (5)	45 (12)	85 (25)	237
4619	OAKWOOD LAKE WEST	50 (15)	50 (15)	23 (7)	3 (1)	58 (17)	57 (17)	241
4620	PACTOLA PESERVOIR	100 (30)	98 (29)	100 (30)	100 (30)	35 (10)	97 (29)	530
4621	PICKEREL LAKE	73 (22)	73 (22)	67 (20)	63 (19)	58 (17)	85 (25)	419
4622	LAKE POINSETT	57 (17)	7 (2)	43 (13)	43 (13)	45 (12)	47 (14)	242
4623	LAKE RED IRON SOUTH	77 (23)	58 (17)	80 (24)	87 (26)	93 (28)	78 (23)	473
4624	RICHMOND LAKE	47 (14)	40 (11)	90 (27)	60 (18)	45 (12)	17 (5)	299
4625	ROY LAKE	87 (26)	98 (29)	77 (23)	80 (24)	35 (10)	78 (23)	455
4626	SAND LAKE	7 (2)	58 (17)	33 (10)	30 (9)	23 (7)	7 (2)	158
4627	SHERIDAN LAKE	70 (21)	65 (19)	93 (28)	70 (21)	5 (0)	67 (20)	370
4628	STOCKADE LAKE	30 (9)	40 (11)	73 (22)	50 (15)	5 (0)	27 (8)	225

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
4629	LAKE VERMILLION	37 (11)	70 (21)	30 (9)	27 (8)	68 (20)	33 (10)	265
4630	WALL LAKE	43 (13)	27 (8)	63 (19)	37 (11)	97 (29)	37 (11)	304
4631	WAUBAY LAKE NORTH	63 (19)	47 (14)	37 (11)	10 (3)	30 (9)	50 (15)	237

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	4520	PACTOLA RESERVOIR	530
2	4606	CLEAR LAKE	519
3	4623	LAKE RED IRON SOUTH	473
4	4611	ENEMY SWIM LAKE	460
5	4625	ROY LAKE	455
6	4610	DEERFIELD RESERVOIR	430
7	4603	ANGOSTURA RESERVOIR	427
8	4621	PICKEREL LAKE	419
9	4627	SHERIDAN LAKE	370
10	4616	LAKE MITCHELL	349
11	4602	ALVIN LAKE	340
12	4608	COCHRANE LAKE	338
13	4630	WALL LAKE	304
14	4614	LAKE KAMPESKA	303
15	4624	RICHMOND LAKE	299
16	4629	LAKE VERMILLION	265
17	4604	BRANT LAKE	260
18	4615	MADISON LAKE	253
19	4622	LAKE POINSETT	242
20	4619	OAKWOOD LAKE WEST	241
21	4631	WAUBAY LAKE NORTH	237
22	4618	OAKWOOD LAKE EAST	237
23	4628	STOCKADE LAKE	225
24	4613	ST JOHN LAKE	217
25	4612	LAKE HERMAN	202
26	4601	LAKE ALBERT	201
27	4617	LAKE NORDEN	184
28	4626	SAND LAKE	158

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
29	4609	COTTONWOOD LAKE	124
30	4605	LAKE BYRON	123
31	4607	CLEAR LAKE	110

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STONET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/11/25

461101
45 26 30.0 096 16 15.0
ENEHY SWIM LAKE
46037 SOUTH DAKOTA

11EP4LES
4

2111202
0024 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	0030J DO MG/L	01077 TRANSF SECCHI INCHES	00094 CONDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHU	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CAC03 MG/L	00510 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT NJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHOP MG/L P
74/04/25	15 05	0000	8.2		88	310		224	0.050	1.000	0.100	0.008
	15 05	0005	7.6	11.2		303		230	0.030	0.900	0.040	0.007
	15 05	0015	7.1	11.2		301		230	0.030	0.800	0.050	0.006
	15 05	0020	7.0	10.6		300		240	0.040	0.900	0.050	0.009
74/07/11	15 00	0000	24.0	7.0	80	545	8.90	268	0.060	1.000	0.090	0.015
	15 00	0004	24.0	7.0		544	8.90	248	0.050	0.900	0.070	0.013
	15 00	0020	23.9	6.8		544	8.90	248	0.050	0.900	0.070	0.016
74/09/19	13 55	0000	15.1	9.6	48	369	8.75	430	0.030	1.400	0.020K	0.010
	13 55	0020	15.1	9.8		367	8.77	385	0.030	1.100	0.020K	0.014

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	01665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLOROPHYL A UG/L	01031 INCOIT LT REMNING PERCENT
74/04/25	15 05	0000	0.022	23.9	
	15 05	0005	0.024		
	15 05	0015	0.027		
	15 05	0020	0.032		
74/07/11	15 00	0000	0.040	12.2	
	15 00	0003	0.040		
	15 00	0020	0.040		
74/09/19	13 55	0000	0.027	10.7	50.0
	13 55	0005			5.0
	13 55	0013			1.0
	13 55	0020	0.034		

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STATION RETRIEVAL DATE 75/11/25

461102
45 44 15.0 697 15 00.0
ENEMY SWIM LAKE
46 SOUTH DAKOTA

11EPALES
J

2111202
0016 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CONDUCTIV FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACJJ MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TUT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
74/07/11	14 35	0000	24.2	7.2	48	546	8.90	270	0.040	1.000	0.040	0.014
	14 35	0005	24.2	6.8		546	8.80	262	0.050	0.900	0.060	0.016
	14 35	0014	24.0	7.0		545	8.80	256	0.030	0.900	0.060	0.028
74/09/19	14 12	0000	15.4	9.6	43	375	8.73	395	0.020	1.100	0.020K	0.009
	14 12	0014	15.2	8.6		371	8.73	410	0.040	3.900	0.020K	0.014

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L	00031 INCU LT REMNING PERCENT
74/07/11	14 35	0000	0.037	13.4	
	14 35	0005	0.037		
	14 35	0014	0.038		
74/09/19	14 12	0000	0.030	10.4	
	14 12	0006			50.0
	14 12	0012			5.0
	14 12	0014	0.380		
	14 12	0016			2.0

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED