# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

**WORKING PAPER SERIES** 



REPORT
ON
NEST LAKE
KANDIYOHI COUNTY
MINNESOTA
EPA REGION V
WORKING PAPER No. 117

### PACIFIC NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

An Associate Laboratory of the

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
NEST LAKE
KANDIYOHI COUNTY
MINNESOTA
EPA REGION V
WORKING PAPER No. 117

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY
AND THE
MINNESOTA NATIONAL GUARD
NOVEMBER, 1974

# CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
For	reword	ii
Lis	st of Minnesota Study Lakes	iv,
Lak	ke and Drainage Area Map	vi
Sec	<u>ctions</u>	
I.	Conclusions	1
II.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3
III.	Lake Water Quality Summary	4
IV.	Nutrient Loadings	9
٧.	Literature Reviewed	14
VI.	Appendices	15

### FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

### **OBJECTIVES**

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

### ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

### LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and water-shed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency for professional involvement and to the Minnesota National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Grant J. Merritt, Director of the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, John F. McGuire, Chief, and Joel G. Schilling, Biologist, of the Section of Surface and Groundwater, Division of Water Quality, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the course of the Survey; and the staff of the Section of Municipal Works, Division of Water Quality, were most helpful in identifying point sources and soliciting municipal participation in the Survey.

Major General Chester J. Moeglein, the Adjutant General of Minnesota, and Project Officer Major Adrian Beltrand, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Minnesota National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

### NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

# STUDY LAKES

# STATE OF MINNESOTA

LAKE NAME	COUNTY
Albert Lea Andrusia Badger Bartlett Bear Bemidji Big Big Stone	Freeborn Beltrami Polk Koochiching Freeborn Beltrami Stearns Big Stone, MN; Roberts,
Birch Blackduck Blackhoof Budd Buffalo Calhoun Carlos Carrigan Cass Clearwater Cokato Cranberry Darling Elbow Embarass Fall Forest Green Gull Heron Leech Le Homme Dieu Lily	Grant, SD Cass Beltrami Crow Wing Martin Wright Hennepin Douglas Wright Beltrami, Cass Wright, Stearns Wright Crow Wing Douglas St. Louis St. Louis Lake Washington Kandiyohi Cass Jackson Cass Douglas Blue Earth Grant
Little Lost	St. Louis

### LAKE NAME

Madison
Malmedal
Mashkenode
McQuade
Minnetonka
Minnewaska
Mud
Nest
Pelican
Pepin


Rabbit Sakatah Shagawa Silver Six Mile Spring St. Croix

St. Louis Bay Superior Bay Swan Trace Trout Wagonga Wallmark White Bear Winona Wolf Woodcock Zumbro

### COUNTY

Blue Earth Pope St. Louis St. Louis Hennepin Pope Itasca Kandiyohi St. Louis Goodhue, Wabasha, MN; Pierce, Pepin, WI Crow Wing Le Sueur St. Louis McLeod St. Louis Washington, Dakota Washington, MN; St. Croix, Pierce, WI St. Louis, MN; Douglas, WI St. Louis, MN; Douglas, WI Itasca Todd Itasca Kandiyohi Chisago Washington Douglas Beltrami, Hubbard Kandiyohi

Olmstead, Wabasha



#### NEST LAKE

#### STORET NO. 27B3

#### I. CONCLUSIONS

### A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data show that Nest Lake is eutrophic. Of the 60 Minnesota lakes sampled in the fall when essentially all were well-mixed, 25 had less mean total phosphorus, and 16 had less mean inorganic nitrogen. For all 80 lakes sampled, 46% had greater transparency, and 59% had less mean chlorophyll a. Depression and near depletion of dissolved oxygen with depth occurred in early August and late August of 1972.

Survey limnologists observed an algal bloom in progress in August; and, reportedly, Nest Lake has been chemically treated for control of both algae and rooted aquatic vegetation (Bonnema and Johnson, 1972).

### B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

Algal assay results show that Nest Lake was nitrogen limited at the time the assay sample was collected. Lake data show nitrogen limitation at the other sampling times as well (N/P ratios were less than 10/1).

#### C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--During the sampling year, Nest Lake received a total phosphorus load at a rate in excess of that

proposed by Vollenweider (in press) as "dangerous"; i.e., a eutrophic rate (see page 13). Of this load, it is estimated that the communities of Belgrade and New London, collectively, contributed about 57% (it is assumed that all of the P load from Belgrade reached Nest Lake, although a sizable nutrient trap--Mud Lake near New London--lies between the STP and Nest Lake).

It is calculated that 80% phosphorus removal at the two point sources would reduce the loading rate to  $0.43~g/m^2/yr$  or less than a "dangerous" rate, and it is concluded that phosphorus control at Belgrade and New London would result in improvement of the trophic condition of Nest Lake as well as the condition of downstream Green Lake.

2. Non-point sources (see page 13)--The phosphorus export of the Middle Fork of the Crow River during the sampling year (23  $1bs/mi^2/yr$ ) was very similar to the mean phosphorus export of four unimpacted streams tributary to nearby Big Stone Lake (19  $1bs/mi^2/yr$ ).

Ir all, non-point sources are estimated to have contributed about 42% of the total phosphorus load to Nest Lake during the sampling year.

### II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

- A. Lake Morphometry<sup>†</sup>:
  - 1. Surface area: 945 acres.
  - 2. Mean depth: 15 feet.
  - 3. Maximum depth: 40 feet.
  - 4. Volume: 14,175 acre/feet.
  - 5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 190 days.
- B. Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix A for flow data)
  - 1. Tributaries -

	Name	<u>Drainage Area</u> *	Mean flow*
	Middle Fork, Crow River	115.0 mi <sup>2</sup>	35.1 cfs
	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	6.7 mi <sup>2</sup>	2.5 cfs
	Totals	121.7 mi <sup>2</sup>	37.6 cfs
2.	Outlet -		
	Green Lake inlet	123.0 mi <sup>2</sup> **	37.6 cfs

### C. Precipitation\*\*\*:

- 1. Year of sampling: 28.1 inches.
- 2. Mean annual: 24.5 inches.

<sup>+</sup> DNR survey map (1970); mean depth by planimetry.

<sup>\*</sup> Drainage areas are accurate within  $\pm 5\%$ ; mean daily flows are accurate within  $\pm 10\%$ ; and ungaged flows are accurate within  $\pm 10$  to 25% for drainage areas greater than 10 mi<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes area of lake.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 1, "Survey Methods"

#### III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Nest Lake was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1972 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two stations on the lake and from two or more depths at each station (see map, page vi). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (15 feet to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the last visit, a single five-gallon depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 24 feet at station 1 and 20 feet at station 2.

The results obtained are presented in full in Appendix B, and the data for the fall sampling period, when the lake was essentially well-mixed, are summarized below. Note, however, the Secchi disc summary is based on all values.

For differences in the various parameters at the other sampling times, refer to Appendix B.

# A. Physical and chemical characteristics:

# FALL VALUES

(10/25/72)

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	Mean	<u>Median</u>	Maximum
Temperature (Cent.)	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.8
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	9.4	10.2	10.0	11.8
Conductivity (µmhos)	345	354	<b>3</b> 55	360
pH (units)	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.5
Alkalinity (mg/l)	188	191	191	192
Total P (mg/l)	0.035	0.044	0.042	0.057
Dissolved P (mg/l)	0.021	0.025	0.025	0.029
$NO_2 + NO_2 (mg/1)$	0.020	0.070	0.030	0.360
Ammonia (mg/1)	0.060	0.126	0.085	0.450
		ALL VALU	<u>ES</u>	
Secchi disc (inches)	36	54	48	78

# B. Biological characteristics:

# 1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Number per ml
07/02/72	<ol> <li>Microcystis</li> <li>Dinobryon</li> <li>Anabaena</li> <li>Flagellates</li> <li>Melosira         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	1,609 560 506 307 235 609
	Total	3,725
08/31/72	<ol> <li>Microcystis</li> <li>Ceratium</li> <li>Lyngbya</li> <li>Anabaena</li> <li>Dinobryon         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	3,454 778 488 470 416 1,410
	Total	7,016
10/25/72	<ol> <li>Flagellates</li> <li>Dinobryon</li> <li>Melosira</li> <li>Oscillatoria</li> <li>Chroococcus         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	2,940 729 427 201 151 1,130
	Total	5,578

2. Chlorophyll <u>a</u> - (Because of instrumentation problems during the 1972 sampling, the following values may be in error by plus or minus 20 percent.)

Sampling Date	Station <u>Number</u>	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (µg/l)
07/02/72	01 02	5.0 3.9
08/31/72	01 02	31.1 39.5
10/25/72	01 02	26.2 22.9

### C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

Spike (mg/l)	Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)	Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)	Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.021	0.090	3.8
0.005 P	0.026	0.090	3.7
0.010 P	0.031	0.090	3.8
0.020 P	0.041	0.090	3.6
0.050 P	0.071	0.090	3.7
0.050 P + 10.0 N	0.071	10.090	28.9
10.0 N	0.021	10.090	7.2

### 2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, <u>Selenastrum capri-cornutum</u>, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Nest Lake was moderately high at the time the sample was collected. Also, the lack of significant change in yields with increased levels of orthophosphorus, until nitrogen was also added, indicates that the lake was nitrogen limited

when sampled. Note that the addition of only nitrogen resulted in a yield significantly larger than the control yield.

Nitrogen limitation is also indicated by the lake data each sampling time; i.e., all nitrogen to phosphorus ratios were less than 10 to 1.

# IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix C for all data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Minnesota National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page vi), except for the high runoff month of May when two samples were collected, and the colder months when one or more samples were omitted at three stations because of low flows. Sampling was begun in October, 1972, and was completed in September, 1973.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Minnesota District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings\*. Nutrient loadings for unsampled "minor tributaries & immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated by using the means of the nutrient loads, in lbs/mi²/year, in streams tributary to nearby Big Stone Lake at stations 2709D-1, E-1, F-1, and G-1 and multiplying the means by the Nest Lake ZZ area in mi².

The operator of the Belgrade wastewater treatment plant provided monthly effluent samples and corresponding flow data; however, the Village of New London declined participation in the Survey, and loads were estimated at 2.5 lbs P and 7.5 lbs N/capita/year.

Nutrient loads attributed to tributaries are those measured minus point-source loads, if any.

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 1.

### A. Waste Sources:

# 1. Known municipal -

Name	Pop. <u>Served</u> *	Treatment	Mean Flow (mgd)	Receiving Water
Belgrade	713	act. sludge	0.131	Middle Fork, Crow River
New London	736	prim. clarifier	0.129**	Middle Fork, Crow River

# 2. Known industrial\*\* -

Name	<u>Treatment</u>	Mean <u>Flow (mgd</u> )	Receiving <u>Water</u>
New London Creamery Assoc.	New London STP	?	(Crow River)
Gordhammer's Food Mkt.	Belgrade STP	?	(Crow River)
Farmer's Coop. Assoc.	Belgrade STP	?	(Crow River)
Engwall Bros. Locker, Spicer	septic tank & soil absorb.	?	no discharge

<sup>\* 1970</sup> Census. \*\* Beaton & McGuire, 1969.

# B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

3. Net annual P accumulation - 3,790 pounds

1. Inputs -

Sou	<u>irce</u>	lbs P/ yr	% of <u>total</u>
a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
	Middle Fork, Crow River	2,590	38.5
b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		1.9
с.	Known municipal -		
	Belgrade New London	2,020 1,840	30.1 27.4
d.	Septic tanks - Unknown	-	-
e.	Known industrial - (to munici	pal STP's)	_
f.	Direct precipitation* -	140	2.1
	Total	6,720	100.0
0ut	puts -		
Lak	e outlet - to Green Lake	2,930	

2.

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 1.

# C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

# 1. Inputs -

	Sou	rce	lbs N/	% of total
	a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
		Middle Fork, Crow River	116,410	84.1
	b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		1.8
	С.	Known municipal -		
		Belgrade New London	4,910 5,520	3.5 4.0
	d.	Septic tanks - Unknown		-
	e.	Known industrial - (to munici	pal STP's)	-
	f.	Direct precipitation* -	9,100	6.6
		Total	138,410	100.0
2.	0ut	puts -		
	Lak	e outlet - to Green Lake	116,470	
3.	Net	annual N accumulation - 21,94	0 pounds	

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 1.

D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

<u>Tributary</u>	lbs P/mi <sup>2</sup> /yr	<u>lbs N/mi<sup>2</sup>/yr</u>
Middle Fork, Crow River	23	1,012

### E. Yearly Loading Rates:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loading rates are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (in press). Essentially, his "dangerous" rate is the rate at which the receiving waters would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

	Tota	1 Phosphorus	Tota	l Nitrogen
Units	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
lbs/acre/yr grams/m²/yr	7.1 0.80	4.0 0.45	146.5 16.4	23.2 2.6

Vollenweider loading rates for phosphorus  $(g/m^2/yr)$  based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Nest Lake:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic rate) 0.58
"Permissible" (oligotrophic rate) 0.29

#### V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Anonymous, 1973. Wastewater disposal facilities inventory. MPCA, Minneapolis.
- Beaton, Perry T., and John F. McGuire, 1969. Report memorandum on water quality of Green Lake, Kandiyohi County. MPCA, Minneapolis.
- Bonnema, Kenneth, and William G. Johnson, 1972. Control of aquatic vegetation, algae, leeches, and swimmer's itch. MN Dept. Nat. Resources, Minneapolis.
- Schilling, Joel, 1974. Personal communication (lake map). MPCA, Minneapolis.
- Vollenweider, Richard A., (in press). Input-output models. Schweiz. A. Hydrol.

# VII. APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

LAKE CODE 2783 NEST LAKE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE 123.00

TOTAL	_ DRAINAGE	APEA	OF LA	KE 133	.00											
9	SUH-DPAINA	AGE						NOR	4AL 1 ZE	ED F	LOWS					
TRIBUTARY	AREA	_	JAII	FER	MAR	<b>4P</b> R	MAY	JUN	JUL		AUG	SEP	OCT	NOA	DEC	MEAN
279341	123.30		13.70	14.40	25.00	64.40	86.70	80.50	56.6	50	33.90	26.00	18.30	15.10	15.80	37.63
279381	115.00		13.00	13.60	23.50	59.90	80.80	75.00	52.8	30	31.60	24.20	16.90	14.00	14.90	35.10
278327	A.19		0.67	0.64	1.27	3.94	6.11	6.70	4.1	12	1.79	1.89	1.33	0.96	0.83	2.53
								SUMM	IARY							
			TOTAL !	DRAINAGE	AREA OF	LAKE =	123.00			1	TOTAL FL	DW IN =	450.	45		
		•	SUM OF	SUB-DPA	INAGE AR	EAS =	123.19			1	TOTAL FL	= TUO WO	450.	40		
MEAN	MONTHLY F	L045	מע מאם	ILY FLOW	s											
TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YFAP	ME	AN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FL	.ow 0	YAC		FLOW				
22221		70			15											
2733Al	10 11	72 72		49.10 64.90	15 3	46.00 61.00										
	12	72		45.60	9	51.00										
	'n	73		49.50	6	50.00										
	,	73		39.50	4	42.00										
	3	73		98.40	10	59.00										
	4	73		114.00	14	115.00										
	5	73		95.00	6	93.00		87.	.00							
	6	7 3		52.10	10	66.00										
	7	73		16.40	14	19.00										
	મ	73		26.00	11	23.10										
	9	73		13.50	6	22.A0										
279381	10	72		45.80	15	43.00										
	11	72		60.30	3	57.00										
	12	72		43.00	9	48.00										
	1 2	73 73		46.90 37.30	4	47.00 40.00										
	<b>3</b>	73		92.80	10	56.00										
	4	73		106.00	14	107.00										
	5	73		88.90	6	87.00	19	92.	00							
	6	73		48.80	10	62.00		_	-							
	7	73		15.30	14	17.70										
	B	73		24.30	11	21.60										
	9	73		12.50	6	21.30										
279372	10	72		3.61	15	3.40										
	11	72		4.11	3	3.90										
	12	72		2.34	9	2.70										
	1	73		2.42	6	2.40										
	2	73 73		1.75	4	1.90										
	3 4	73		5.92 6.97	10 14	3.00 7.00										
	5	73		6.72	6	6.60		6	20							
	6	73		4.36	10	5.50										
	7	73		1.19	14	1.38										
	Á	73		1.38	ii	1.23										
	9	73		0.93	6	1.65										

### APPENDIX B

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

278301 45 15 36.0 094 57 35.0 NEST LAKE 27 MINNESOTA

						11EP 3	ALES		1202 FEET DEP	тн	
DATE FROM TO	TIME DEPTH OF DAY FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	90300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N Total MG/L	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00666 PHOS-DIS MG/L P
72/07/02	17 40 0000	23.0	10.6	48	330	8.30	200	0.030	0.050	0.034	0.012
	17 40 0020	18.1	2.0		395	7.70	216	0.030	0.100	0.029	0.011
72/08/31	14 55 0000			39	328	8.30	168	0.090	0.260	0.033	0.023
	14 55 0004	20.8	7.6		325	8.32	169	0.110	0.130	0.034	0.024
	14 55 0015	20.7	8.4		380	8.30	172	0.060	0.300	0.039	0.032
	14 55 0024	18.2	0.8		440	7.30	192	0.120	0.880	0.253	0.130
72/10/25	15 00 0000			78	360	8.50	190	0.360	0.090	0.040	0.029
	15 00 0004	6.5	10.0		345	8.50	190	0.030	0.060	0.049	0.027
	15 0 <b>0</b> 0015	6.7	10.0		345	8.40	192	0.020	0.040	0.042	0.022
	15 00 0019	6.7	10.4		350	8.40	192	0.030	0.050	0.040	0.021

				32217
DATE	TI	٩F	DEPTH	CHLRPHYL
FROM	OF	=		Д
10	D4	4	FEET	UG/L
72/07/02	17	40	0000	5.05
72/09/31	14	55	0000	31.15
72/10/25	15	00	0000	26.25

J VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

27R302 45 15 20.0 094 58 40.0 NEST LAKE 27 MINNESOTA

						11EP 3	ALES		1202 FEET DEF	тн	
DATE FROM TO	TIME DEPTH OF DAY FEFT	00010 WATEP TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIFLD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NOZ&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00666 PHOS-DIS MG/L P
72/07/02	18 30 0000	22.5	9.2	4.0	320	8.20	230	0.040	0.060	0.022	0.011
	18 30 0020	18.0	1.4		380	7.70	170	0.040	0.020	0.033	0.013
72/08/31	13 15 0000	_		36	328	8.10	171	0.100	0.120	0.040	0.024
	13 15 0004	20.2	6.2		338	8.10	172	0.040	0.060	0.061	0.017
	13 15 0015	20.2	6.8		335	8.10	174	0.040	0.060	0.043	0.017
3041040	13 15 0020	19.8	4.8		335	7.88	174	0.080	0.160	0.029	0.019
72/10/25				72	350	8.30	188	0.030	0.050	0.042	0.021
	15 15 0004	6.5	9.4		360	8.40	191	0.040	0.060	0.035	0.023
	15 15 0015	6.5	9.6		360	8.40	190	0.020	0.040	0.044	0.027
	15 15 0020	6.4	11.8		360	8.40	192	0.030	0.060	0.057	0.029

DATE FROM	T [ N TO	-	DEPTH	32217 CHLRPHYL A
ΤΟ	DAY	′	FEET	UG/L
72/07/02 72/08/31 72/10/25	13	15	0000	3.9u 39.7u 22.9u

J VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

### APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY and WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DATA

27H3A1
45 16 00.0 094 56 00.0
NEST LK/GREEN LK CONNECTION
27 7.5 NEW LONDON
U/UEST LAKE
CU HWY 30 XING NNF OF SPICER
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

			00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME	DEPTH	105%N03	TOT KJFL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	<u> ዛ</u> ቤ/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/10/15	11 30	n	0.093	1.680	0.120		0.039
72/11/03	13 5	5	0.020	0.840	0.054	0.007	0.032
72/12/09	10 20	)	0.078	0.950	0.072	0.010	0.021
73/01/06	11 49	5	9.066	1.150	0.110	0.019	0.025
73/02/04	11 19	5	0.126		0.220	0.017	0.045
73/03/10	13 00	0	0.230	0.900	0.023	0.013	0.037
73/04/14	09 30	0	0.025	2.100	0.005K	0.006	0.030
73/05/06	10 30	0	0.046	1.900	0.026	0.007	0.035
73/05/19	JB 29	5	0.015	0.760	0.019	0.014	0.045
73/06/10	10 30	0	0.020	1.000	0.012	0.007	0.035
73/07/14	11 00	0		3.500	0.180	0.020	0.070
73/08/11	11 19	5	0.019K	1.200	0.033	0.017	0.045
73/09/06	20 09	5	0.027	4.000	0.370	0.017	J.055

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

274381 45 17 00.0 094 57 30.0 (10) LE FORK CHOW PIVER 27 7.5 JEW LUMDON IV HST LAKE 5-20.0 .75 MI UPSTREAM FROM LAKE 11644LES 2111204 U000 FFET DEPTH

DATE EROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH	1024403 4-1014F MG/F	いたろうに TOT KJEL N 4G/L	1010 1010 1010 1010	00671 PHOS-015 ORTHO MG/L P	1(1-20HH
72/10/15			0.126	1.400	).115	0.010	v.052
72/11/03	13 49	5	0.119	101	9.098	0.021	0.052
73/02/94	11 0	Ú	1.154	2.405	1.290	0.016	0.540
73/03/10	13 30	J	7.153	1.200	0.220	0.1)27	0.070
73/04/14	U9 40	Ö	J.∪ゔ≺	2.100	0.100	0.013	0.070
73/05/04	10 49	5	1.020	0.420	0.066	0.105K	0.025
73/05/19	0A 10	0	0.013	0.400	0.005K	0.029	0.074
73/06/10	10 29	5	り・05つ	1.320	0.044	0.040	4.075
73/07/14	10 30	ũ	7.170	3.000	).10A	0.087	1.140
73/08/11	10 50	n	0.300	1.450	11.044	0.092	0.170
73/09/06	21 15	2	Ú.33n	2.600	u • 230	U.]89	9.299

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

274342 LS278382 45 17 30.0 094 58 00.0 MIDDLE FORK CROW RIVER 27 7.5 NEW LONDON I/NFST LAKE CO HWY 40 XING 1 MI W OF NEW LONDON 11EPALES 2111204 4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE TIME FROM OF TO DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NOZENO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJFL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS UPTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
72/10/15 10 59 72/11/03 13 30 72/12/09 09 59 73/02/04 10 50 73/03/10 14 00 73/04/14 09 50 73/05/06 10 00 73/05/19 07 40 73/06/10 10 00 73/07/14 10 19 73/09/11 10 39	0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.098 0.091 0.096 0.115 0.105 0.036 0.031 0.042 0.040 0.067	1.300 1.100 1.400 1.200 1.300 1.540 2.100 0.980 1.500 2.500 1.600	0.087 0.126 0.105 0.240 0.260 0.033 0.046 0.019 0.072 0.330	0.007 0.019 0.007 0.011 0.042 0.009 0.007 0.025 0.025 0.050	0.046 0.046 0.025 0.035 0.085 0.025 0.040 0.070 0.105 0.250

2/H3H3 L527H3H3 45 1H 00.0 094 56 30.0 MIDDLE FORK CROW RIVER 77 7.5 NEW LONDON I/NEST LAKE ST HWY 9 HRDG IN NEW LONDONABOV STP 11EPALES 2111204 4 0000 FEET DEPTH

		00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME DEPTH	M05KN03	TOT KJFL	NH3-N	PH0S-015	10T-20H9
FROM	OF	N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	OPTHA	
CT	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L >
72/10/15	10 35	0.080	1.300	0.093	0.0054	0.033
72/11/03	13 20	0.072	1.050	0.147	0.006	0.022
72/12/09	04 45	0.030	1.000	0.096	).005K	0.014
73/02/04	10 40	0.082	3.486	0.336	0.005K	0.020
73/03/10	13 45	0.083	1.150	0.251	0.007	0.045
73/04/14	10 00	0.012	0.670	0.005K	J.006	0.020
73/05/06	10 25	0.018	1.150	0.018	0.005K	0.025
73/05/19	<b>07</b> 50	0.010K	0.960	0.021	0.010	0.040
73/06/10	10 15	0.018	1.250	0.015	0.007	0.030
73/07/14	09 55	0.010K	1.930	0.062	0.019	0.035
73/08/11	10 15	U.010K	1.320	0.034	0.020	0.050
73/09/06	21 00	0.023	2.400	0.273	0.031	0.060

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

27H3C1 LS27H3C1
45 25 30.0 094 59 30.0
STRM FLOWING SE TO M1D FORK CHOW
27 7.5 GEORGEVILLE
T/NEST LAKE
HRDG SSE OF HELGRADE BELO STP
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIMF OF	DEPTH	00530 N028N03 N-TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N TOTAL	00671 PHOS-DIS URTHO	00665 PHOS-TOT
Τ0	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/10/15	10 19	5	1.040	1.000	0.189	0.017	0.180
72/11/03	13 00	)	0.998	1.100	0.160	0.022	0.200
72/12/09	09 20	)	1.200	1.260	0.336	0.032	0.140
73/01/06	10 50	)	1.620	1.150	0.252	0.032	0.145
73/02/04	10 19	5	1.240	2.400	0.320	0.043	0.250
73/03/10	13 00	)	1.220	1.290	0.270	0.115	0.345
73/04/14	10 20	)	0.570	3.300	0.215	0.037	0.190
73/05/06	09 50	)	0.570	2.730	0.190	0.022	0.170
73/05/19	07 20	)	0.730	0.890	0.115	0.038	0.240
73/06/10	09 40	)	0.990	1.050	0.270	0.028	0.220
73/07/14	09 49	5	1.720	2.500	<b>0.380</b>	0.036	0.180
73/08/11	09 30	)	1.520	0.900	0.240	0.030	0.140
73/09/06	21 5	l	1.300	1.000	0.250	0.029	0.240

274351 AS278351 P000713 45 25 30.0 094 59 30.0 BELGPADE 27 7.5 GEORGEVILLE TINEST UNNAMED STREAM 11EPALES 2141204 4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FFET	00630 NOZANO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00510 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	50051 FLOW RATE INST MGD	50053 CONDUIT FLOW-MGD MONTHLY
73/01/18	11 0	0	E 100	E 200	0.130	5.400	5.400	0.104	0.110
CP(T)- 73/01/13	12 0	n	5.100	5.200	0.130	3.400	7.400	0.104	0.110
73/02/27			1.050	11.500	0.010	5.900	7.600	0.173	0.173
73/03/30		_	3.100	18.000	0.005K		7.700	0.175	0.170
73/04/30			0.850	13.400	0000311	4.700	7.400	0.130	0.130
73/05/30		_	1.832	2.900	0.160	4.100	4.700	0.110	0.120
73/06/20	11 2	0	0.056	3.150	0.042	0.370	2.200	0.100	0.140
73/07/25	09 0	0	0.190	1.300	0.290	2.400	3.750	0.120	0.120
73/08/29	13 1	0		8.700	0.730	3.920	4.600	0.137	0.120
73/09/26	09 0	0	0.210	8.680	0.240	3.600	6.250	0.112	0.117
73/10/24			0.070	11.000	1.200	1.890	2.400	0.126	0.130
73/11/29	090	0	0.160	21.000	8.900	3.400	5.700	U • 101	0.110
73/12/31	10 0	0	3.840	9.100	0.320	0.120	0.960	0.120	0.120
74/01/30	090	0	0.0H0	14-00C	3.400	1.800	2.300	0.120	0.120

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED