U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES



REPORT
ON
BIG EAU PLEINE RESERVOIR
MARATHON COUNTY
WISCONSIN
EPA REGION V
WORKING PAPER No. 33

PACIFIC NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

An Associate Laboratory of the

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
BIG EAU PLEINE RESERVOIR
MARATHON COUNTY
WISCONSIN
EPA REGION V
WORKING PAPER No. 33

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
AND THE
WISCONSIN NATIONAL GUARD
NOVEMBER, 1974

CONTENTS

		Page
For	eword	ii
Lis	t of Wisconsin Study Lakes	iv,
Lak	e and Drainage Area Map	vi
Sec	tions	
I.	Conclusions	1
II.	Introduction	3
III.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	4
IV.	Lake Water Quality Summary	5
٧.	Nutrient Loadings	11
VI.	Literature Reviewed	16
VII.	Appendices	17

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [\$303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [\$303(c)], clean lakes [\$314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [\$106 and \$305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources for professional involvement and to the Wisconsin National Guard for conduct of the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Francis H. Schraufnagel, Acting Assistant Director, and Joseph R. Ball of the Bureau of Water Quality, and Donald R. Winter, Lake Rehabilitation Program, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey. Central Office and District Office personnel of the Department of Natural Resources reviewed the preliminary reports and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General James J. Lison, Jr., the Adjutant General of Wisconsin, and Project Officer CW-4 Donald D. Erickson, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Wisconsin National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY LAKES

STATE OF WISCONSIN

LAKE NAME	COUNTY
Altoona	Eau Claire
Beaver Dam	Barron
Beaver Dam	Dodge
Big Eau Pleine	Marathon
Browns	Racine
Butte des Morts	Winnebago
Butternut	Price, Åshland
Castle Rock Flowage	Juneau
Como	Walworth
Crystal	Vilas
Delavan	Walworth
Eau Claire	Eau Claire
Geneva	Walworth
Grand	Green Lake
Green	Green Lake
Kegonsa	Dane
Koshkonong	Jefferson, Rock, Dane
Lac La Belle	Waukesha
Middle	Walworth
Nagawicka	Waukesha
0conomowoc	Waukesha
Okauchee	Waukesha
Petenwell Flowage	Juneau
Pewaukee	Waukesha
Pigeon	Waupaca
Pine	Waukesha
Poygan	Winnebago, Waushara Jefferson
Rock	Jefferson, Waukesha
Rome Pond	_
Round	Waupaca Shawano
Shawano	Silawaliu

LAKE NAME


Sinnissippi Swan Tainter Tichigan Townline Trout Wapogassett Wausau Willow Winnebago

Wisconsin Wissota Yellow

COUNTY

Chippewa Burnett

Dodge
Columbia
Dunn
Racine
Oneida
Vilas
Polk
Marathon
Oneida
Winnebago, Fond Du Lac,
Calumet
Columbia



BIG EAU PLEINE RESERVOIR STORET NO. 5565

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data and the records of others indicate the Big Eau Pleine Reservoir is eutrophic.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The results of the algal assay indicate borderline nitrogen limitation. However, the Reservoir data indicate phosphorus limitation at station 1 on all sampling dates, while station 2 was phosphorus limited only in June and nitrogen limited in August and November.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

- 1. Point sources--During the sampling year, the Big Eau Pleine Reservoir received a phosphorus load at a rate over twice that proposed by Vollenweider (in press) as "dangerous"; i.e., a eutrophic rate (see page 15). Of this load, it is estimated that the Village of Stratford contributed less than 3%, and it is concluded that phosphorus control at this source alone would not result in a significant improvement in the trophic condition of the reservoir.
- 2. Non-point sources--The phosphorus export of the Big Eau
 Pleine River during the sampling year was more than twice that
 of Fenwood Creek and nearly six times that of Freeman Creek (see

page 15). If it is reasonable to assume that the phosphorus export of Fenwood Creek, not known to be impacted by point sources, represents typical areal or non-point source contributions of the drainage, then it appears that municipal and possibly industrial point sources tributary to the Big Eau Pleine River, but not included in the Survey, were contributing significant amounts of phosphorus during the sampling year.

II. INTRODUCTION

The Big Eau Pleine Reservoir was created by impoundment of the Big Eau Pleine River by the Wisconsin Valley Improvement Company in 1937 (Martin and Hanson, 1966). The primary use of the reservoir is flow augmentation for the hydroelectric plant downstream on Lake DuBay.

Recreational uses of the reservoir include swimming, boating, and fishing. Game fish said to be present are muskellunge, northern pike, walleyes, largemouth bass, and panfish (Anonymous, 1972).

Though much of land around the reservoir is owned and controlled by the Wisconsin Valley Improvement Company, there are privately owned cottages and permanent homes on the shoreline, and public access is provided (Gall, 1972).

III. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

A. Lake Morphometry*:

1. Surface area: 6,831 acres.

2. Mean depth: 15.6 feet.

3. Maximum depth: 46 feet.

4. Volume: 106,602 acre/feet.

5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 158 days.

B. Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix A for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

Name	Drainage area	Mean flow [†]
Big Eau Pleine River	250.0 mi ²	263.4 cfs
Fenwood Creek	37.0 mi ²	24.7 cfs
Freeman Creek	26.5 mi ²	17.4 cfs
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	40.8 mi ²	35.5 cfs
Totals	354.3 mi ²	341.0 cfs

2. Outlet -

Big Eau Pleine River 365.0 mi^{2††} 341.0 cfs

C. Precipitation †††:

- 1. Year of sampling: 48.1 inches.
- 2. Mean annual: 31.3 inches.

^{*} Dept. of Natural Resources lake survey map (1969).

⁺ Drainage areas are accurate within $\pm 0.5\%$; mgan daily flows are accurate within $\pm 40\%$; mean monthly flows are accurate within $\pm 35\%$; and normalized monthly flows are accurate within $\pm 35\%$.

tt Includes area of lake.

^{†††}See Working Paper No. 1, "Survey Methods".

IV. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

The Big Eau Pleine Reservoir was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1972 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two stations on the lake and from a number of depths at each station (see map, page vi). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (15 feet to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the last visit, a single fivegallon depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 36 feet at station 1 and 20 feet at station 2.

The results obtained are presented in full in Appendix B, and the data for the fall sampling period, when the lake was essentially well-mixed, are summarized below. Note, however, the Secchi disc summary is based on all values.

For differences in the various parameters at the other sampling times, refer to Appendix B.

A. Physical and chemical characteristics:

FALL VALUES

(11/08/72)

Parameter	Minimum	<u>Mean</u>	Median	<u>Maximum</u>
Temperature (Cent.) Dissolved oxygen (mg/l) Conductivity (µmhos) pH (units) Alkalinity (mg/l) Total P (mg/l) Dissolved P (mg/l) NO ₂ + NO ₃ (mg/l) Ammonia (mg/l)	4.8 10.9 95 7.2 16 0.068 0.030 0.440 0.120	5.0 11.3 107 7.3 19 0.084 0.048 0.507 0.149	5.2 11.2 98 7.3 18 0.079 0.036 0.450 0.145	5.2 11.6 130 7.3 25 0.107 0.071 0.620 0.180
Secchi disc (inches)	22	ALL VALI	<u>UES</u> 35	41
seconi disc (inches)	~ ~	32	33	71

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant <u>Genera</u>	Number per ml
06/23/72	 Anabaena Melosira Dinobryon Cryptomonas Stephanodiscus Other genera 	3,996 1,248 145 127 108 488
	Total	6,112
08/24/72	 Anabaena Oscillatoria Cryptomonas Stephanodiscus Gloeocapsa Other genera 	1,838 108 87 72 36 80
	Total	2,221
11/08/72	 Melosira Flagellates Synedra Cyclotella Anabaena Other genera 	1,582 1,017 546 245 151 1,242
	Total	4,783

2. Chlorophyll a -(Because of instrumentation problems during the 1972 sampling, the following values may be in error by plus or minus 20 percent.)

Sampling <u>Date</u>	Station <u>Number</u>	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (μg/l)
06/23/72	01 02	70.3 39.9
08/24/72	01 02	26.6 8.8
11/08/72	01 02	48.9 18.3

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

Spike (mg/1)	Ortho P Conc. (mg/1)	Inorganic N Conc. (mg/1)	Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.050	0.650	15.4
0.006 P	0.056	0.650	15.8
0.012 P	0.062	0.650	16.7
0.024 P	0.074	0.650	15.7
0.060 P	0.110	0.650	16.0
0.060 P + 10.0 N	0.110	10.650	53.5
10.0 N	0.050	10.650	22.1

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, <u>Selenastrum capri-cornutum</u>, indicates that the potential primary productivity of the Big Eau Pleine Reservoir was quite high at the time the sample was collected.

The yield responses to the orthophosphorus spikes were somewhat erratic, but the increased yields resulting from the addition of nitrogen alone and in combination with orthophosphorus indicates some nitrogen limitation in the assay sample. The sample N/P ratio was a borderline 13/1.

The mean reservoir data indicate a borderline nitrogen limitation at the time the sample was collected; however, at the same time, the mean N/P ratio at station 1 was 17/1 and at station 2 was 11/1 (i.e., phosphorus limitation would be expected at station 1, and nitrogen limitation would be expected at station 2). The reservoir data also indicate phosphorus limitation at station 1 at the other two sampling times as well, but station 2 is indicated to have been phosphorus limited in June and nitrogen limited again in August.

Survey data do not provide an explanation for these unusual circumstances, but the hydraulics of the reservoir and the proximity of station 2 to Freeman Creek may be involved.

D. Trophic Condition:

Survey data and the reports of others (Schmidt, et al., 1972) show that the Big Eau Pleine Reservoir is eutrophic. Reportedly, rooted aquatic vegetation is essentially non-existent because of

fluctuating water levels, but algal blooms are common. Survey limnologists noted a heavy algal bloom in June, 1972.

Of the 46 Wisconsin lakes studied, 22 had less mean total phosphorus, 37 had less mean inorganic nitrogen, 30 had greater Secchi disc transparency, 35 had less mean chlorophyll <u>a</u>, and 36 had less algal assay control yield.

Marked depression of dissolved oxygen was noted at both sampling stations during the August, 1972 sampling.

V. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix C for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Wisconsin National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page vi), except for the high runoff months of April and May when two samples were collected. Sampling was begun in September, 1972, and was completed in August, 1973.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Wisconsin District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings. Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S) were determined by using the means of the loads, in lbs/mi²/year, calculated for stations B-1 and C-1 and multiplying the means by the ZZ area in square miles.

The Village of Stratford declined participation in the Survey and nutrient loads from there were estimated at 2.5 lbs P and 7.5 lbs N/ capita/year. In the following loading and export tables, the loads attributed to the Big Eau Pleine River do not include the Stratford loads.

The communities of Abbotsford, Colby, and Stetsonville were outside of the 25-mile limit of the Survey, and industries in the drainage basin were excluded because of the constraints of the Survey. However, it

appears these sources may have had an impact on the Big Eau Pleine Reservoir during the sampling year (see discussion, page 2).

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal -

Name	Pop. <u>Served</u> *	Treatment	Mean Flow (mgd)**	Receiving <u>Water</u>
Stratfo	ord 1,279	Act. sludge	0.128	Big Eau Pleine River
Colby	1,178	trickling filter	0.118	Dill Creek
Abbots	ford 1,375	trickling	0.138	Porky Creek
Stetsor ville	n- 305	Seepage lagoon	0.030	(no discharge)

2. Industrial -

Reportedly (McKersie, et al., 1970), in the Big Eau Pleine drainage basin there are nine cheese plants, a whey-drying plant, a milk-processing plant, a rendering plant, and a mink farm, all having individual waste treatment facilities. When last surveyed by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources in 1969-70, the waste treatment of three of the cheese plants was considered unsatisfactory. However, the treatment facilities and/or practices were improved and are adequate at this time (Schraufnagel, 1974).

^{* 1970} Census.

^{**} Estimated at 100 gal/capita/day.

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

2.

Sou	ırce	lbs P/ yr	% of total
a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
	Big Eau Pleine River Fenwood Creek Freeman Creek	77,760 5,020 1,450	84.9 5.4 1.6
b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		4.2
с.	Knwon municipal -		
	Stratford Colby Abbotsford Stetsonville	3,200 ? ? (no discharge)	2.7
d.	Septic tanks* -	60	<0.1
e.	Industrial -	?	-
f.	Direct precipitation** -	1,070	1.2
	Total	92,460	100.0
Out	puts -		
Lake outlet 69,080			

^{3.} Net annual P accumulation - 23,380 pounds.

^{*} Estimated 100 dwellings on lakeshore; see Working Paper No. 1.
** See Working Paper No. 1.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

2.

Sou	rce	lbs N/ yr	% of total
a.	Tributaries (non-point load) -	
	Big Eau Pleine River Fenwood Creek Freeman Creek	968,350 91,280 83,130	72.7 6.8 6.2
b.	Minor tributaries & immedia drainage (non-point load)		8.6
с.	Known municipal -	•	
	Stratford Colby Abbotsford Stetsonville	9,590 ? ? (No discharge)	0.6 - - -
d.	Septic tanks* -	2,350	0.2
e.	Industrial -	?	-
f.	Direct precipitation** -	65,810	4.9
	Total	1,334,830	100.0
0ut	:puts -		
Lak	ke outlet	1,455,230	

^{3.} Net annual N loss - 120,400 pounds.

^{*} Estimated 100 dwellings on lakeshore; see Working Paper No. 1. ** See Working Paper No. 1.

D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Sub-drainage area:

Tributary	<u>lbs P/mi²/yr</u>	lbs N/mi ² /yr
Big Eau Pleine River	314	3,882
Fenwood Creek	136	2,467
Freeman Creek	55	3,137

E. Yearly Loading Rates:

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
Units	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
lbs/acre/yr grams/m²/yr	13.5 1.52	3.4 0.38	195.4 21 . 9	loss* -

Vollepweider loading rates for phosphorus (g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Big Eau Pleine Reservoir:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic rate) 0.64
"Permissible" (oligotrophic rate) 0.32

^{*} There was an apparent loss of nitrogen during the sampling year. This may have been due to nitrogen fixation in the Reservoir, solubilization of previously sedimented nitrogen, recharge with nitrogen-rich ground water, or (probably) the seasonal drawdown of the Reservoir for power production at downstream Lake DuBoy.

VI. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Anonymous, 1972. Wisconsin lakes. Publ. 218-72, Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- Ball, Joseph, 1974. Personal communication (volume and area of Big Eau Pleine Reservoir). Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- Gall, Robert W., 1972. Personal communication (Big Eau Pleine Reservoir characteristics). Wisconsin Valley Improvement Co., Wausau.
- Martin, R. O. R., and Ronald L. Hanson, 1966. Reservoirs in the United States. U.S.G.S. Water-Supply Paper 1838, Washington, D.C.
- McKersie, Jerome R., Robert M. Krill, William Doyle, and Larry Maltbey; 1970. Upper Wisconsin River pollution investigation survey. Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- Schmidt, Steven (Project Director), et al., 1972. Water quality and recreational value analysis on Lake DuBay and the Eau Pleine Reservoir, Wisconsin. Student Originated Studies Program, U. of Wisc.-Stevens Point.
- Schraufnagel, Francis H., 1974. Personal communication (status of cheese plant treatment facilities). Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- Vollenweider, Richard A., (in press). Input-output models. Schweiz. A. Hydrol.

VII. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

LAKE CODE 5565 BIG EAU PLEINE RESERVOIR

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE 365.00

St	JB-DRAINAGE						NOR	MALIZED I	FLOWS					
TRIBUTARY	AREA	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
5565A1	365.00	35.50	35.50	947.70	1137.20	414.60	414.60	153.90	99.50	390.90	165.80	189.50	103.10	341.05
556 5 A2	250.00	21.00	18.00	520.00	640.00	240.00	240.00	930.00	66.00	210.00	92.00	110.00	55.00	263.42
5565B1	37.00	3.40	1.60	53.00	82.00	38.00	38.00	15.00	10.00	23.00	12.00	15.00	5.10	24.70
5565C1	26.50	2.40	1.10	36.00	57.00	28.00	28.00	11.00	7.60	16.00	8.30	10.00	3.40	17.42
556522	51.50	4.60	2.50	79.00	120.00	53.00	53.00	21.00	14.00	34.00	17.00	20.00	7.80	35.53

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE	=	365.00	TOTAL FLOW IN = 4072.80
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS	=	365.00	TOTAL FLOW OUT = 4087.80

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
556541	9	72	820.00	23	210.00	24	230.00		
	10	72	340.00	14	250.00	15	250.00		
	11	72	460.00	12	330.00	15	0.0		
	12	72	360.00	10	200.00				
	1	73	390.00	7	410.00	21	84.00		
	2	73	1000.00	11	1000.00		- 1000		
	3	73	1100.00	4	850.00	11	0.0		
	4	73	1200.00	7	1000.00	8	740.00	18	2900.00
	5	73	1500.00	6	990.00	23	0.0	26	6800.00
	6	73	250.00	7	490.00	21	230.00		000000
	7	73	480.00	15	700.00	28	400.00		
	8	73	350.00	19	160.00	24	320.00		
5565A2	9	72	640.00	23	46.00	24	34.00		
•	10	72	340.00	14	110.00	15	82.00		
	11	72	340.00	12	180.00	15	80.00		
	12	72	34.00	10	30.00	•-			
	1	73	160.00	7	140.00	21	280.00		
	Ş	73	48.00	11	50.00		20000		
	3	73	1300.00	4	240.00	11	4400.00		
	4	73	880.00	7	720.00	8	490.00	18	820.00
	5	73	1200.00	6	290.00	23	120.00	26	2000.00
	6	73	68.00	7	94.00	21	36.00	20	2000.00
	7	73	11.00	15	5.20	28	15.00		
	ė	73	17.00	19	10.00	24	6.70		

LAKE CODE 5565 BIG EAU PLEINE RESERVOIR

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
556581	9	72	68.00	23	4.90	24	3.70		
_	10	72	43.00	14	14.00	15	11.00		
	11	72	43.00	12	24.00	15	11.00		
	12	72	3.10	10	2.70				
	1	73	26.00	7	22.00	21	46.00		
	2	73	4.40	11	4.60				
	3	73	130.00	4	24.00	11	440.00		
	4	73	110.00	7	90.00	8	61.00	18	110.00
	5 6	73	180.00	6	46.00	23	20.00	26	320.00
	6	73	10.00	7	15.00	21	5.80		
	7	73	1.70	15	0.90	28	2.50		
	8	73	2.70	19	1.60	24	1.10		
5565C1	9	72	46.00	23	3.40	24	2.60		
	10	72	30.00	14	9.80	15	7.40		
	11	72	30.00	12	17.00	15	8.20		
	12	72	2.00	10	1.80				
	1	73	18.00	7	16.00	21	33.00		
	2 3	73	3.90	11	3.10				
	3	73	88.00	4	16.00	11	290.00		
	4	73	76.00	7	62.00	8	42.00	18	76.00
	5 6	73	130.00	6	33.00	23	14.00	26	230.00
	6	73	7.70	7	11.00	21	4.20		
	7	73	1.20	15	0.70	28	1.80		
	8	73	1.90	19	1.20	24	0.80		
55 65 ZZ	9	72	100.00	23	7.30	24	5.60		
	10	72	61.00	14	20.00	15	15.00		
	11	72	62.00	12	35.00	15	16.00		
	12	72	4.80	10	4.10				
	1	73	35.00	7	31.00	21	64.00		
	2 3	73	6.80	11	6.90				
	3	73	200.00	4	36.00	11	660.00		
	4	73	160.00	7	130.00	8	87.00	18	160.00
	5	73	250.00	6	64.00	23	27.00	26	440.00
	6	73	15.00	7	21.00	21	7.80		
	7	73	2.40	15	1.20	28	3.40		
	8	73	3.70	19	2.20	24	1.50		

APPENDIX B

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 74/09/30

556501 44 44 00.0 089 46 12.0 BIG EAU PLEINE RESERVOIR 55 WISCONSIN

							11EP	ALES		1202 FEET DEP	тн	
DATE FROM	OF	DEPTH	00010 Water Temp	00300 DO	00077 Transp Secchi	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD	00400 PH	00410 T ALK CACO3	00630 8004200 N-TOTAL	00610 NH3-N Total	00665 PHOS-TOT	00666 PHOS-DIS
TO	DAY	FEET	CENT	MG/L	INCHES	MICROMHO	SU	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/06/23	14 2	0 0000	19.0	10.1	36	80	8.70	20	0.580	0.050	0.045	0.021
		0020	19.0	9.4		75	8.50	19	0.570	0.060	0.078	0.030
72/08/24	13 0				33	90	7.40	33	0.060	0.160	0.049	0.017
	13 0	0 0004	19.9	6.6		89	7.50	34	0.070	0.170	0.055	0.015
		0 0010	19.9	6.6		89	7.50	35	0.060	0.160	0.056	0.018
		0 0015	19.8	6.4		89	7.60	35	0.060	0.180	0.058	0.019
_		0 0020	19.8	0.8		98	6.70	35	0.070	0.550	0.057	0.028
72/11/08		0 0000			24	100	7.30	16	0.440	0.140	0.076	0.038
		0 0004	5.2	11.6		95	7.30	16	0.440	0.130	0.082	0.035
	10 4	0 0015	5•2	11.5		95	7.30	17	0.460	0.150	0.071	0.034
		0 0022	5.2	11.3		95	7.30	16	0.440	0.140	0.068	0.034
		0 0029	5.2	11.6		95	7.30	17	0.440	0.130	0.077	0.032
	10 4	0 0036	5.2	11.2		9 5	7.30	19	0.440	0.120	0.071	0.030

DATE FROM	TIME OF	DEPTH	32217 CHLRPHYL
10		FEET	UG/L
72/06/23	14 20	0000	70.3J
72/08/24			26.6J
72/11/08			48.9J

J VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 74/09/30

556502 44 44 24.0 089 51 24.0 BIG EAU PLEINE RESERVOIR 55 WISCONSIN

	00010					11EP	ALES		1 20 2 FEET DEF	тн		
DATE FROM TO	TIM OF DAY	E DEPTH	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	0030Õ DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NO26NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	90665 PHOS-TOT MB/L P	90666 PHOS-DIS MB/L P
, ,			02.77									
72/06/23	14	45 0000	20.0	10.1	36	90	8.60	22	0.350	0.030		1.126
		45 0010	19.8	10.0		90	8.60	22	0.350	0.040	0.139	0.026
72/08/24			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		41	100	7.10	25	0.080	0.250	0.068	1.127
777 007 24		25 0004	20.4		•	99	7.30	25	0.080	0.270	0.062	6-656
		25 0015	20.3	5.0		100	7.00	26	0.060	0.300	1.145	0.033
		25 QQ13	19.8	2.4		108	6.90	29	0.060	0.490	0.009	0.049
÷2451400		15 0000	17.0	2.4	22	130	7.20	23	0.620	0.160	0.107	0.067
72/11/08		· -			22		7.20	23	0.600	0.180	0.102	0.071
		15 0004	4.8	11.0		120					0.083	8.968
		15 0014	4.8	11.0		120	7.20	25	0.600	0.160		
	11	15 0019	4.8	10.9		120	7.20	22	0.590	0.160	0.106	9.968

DATE FROM TO	0F	DEPTH FEET	J2217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/06/23 72/08/24 72/11/08	14 4 13 2	5 0000 5 0000	39.9J 8.8J 18.3J

J VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

APPENDIX C
TRIBUTARY DATA

5565A1 LS5565A1

44 04 00.0 084 45 30.0

516 EAU PLEINE RIVER

55 15 MILLADORF

0/~16 FAU PLFINE RES

AT HES FLUOD GATE .5 NW OF DANCY

11FPALES 2111204

4 0000 FEET DEPTH

2.15	T 0507	00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME DEPTH		TOT KUFL	14-4 3-NI	5402-012	PH05-101
E 5 O W	OF	N-TOTAL	M	TOTAL	いらまり	
10	DAY FFFT	MG/L	MGZI	MG/L	WUNF 5	MG/L >
72/03/24	09 12	0.100	2.600	0.252	0.011	0.088
72/10/14	15 45	J.270	2.650	0.220	0.027	0.115
72/11/12	13 35	0.320	1.107	0.060	0.024	0.046
72/12/10	13 10	0.420	1.150	^ .j39	0.022	0.040
73/01/21	10 30	0.520	1.000	û.220	0.042	0.04)
73/02/11	10 55	0.630	1.050	7.169	0.044	0.099
73/03/04	13 50	0.830	1.100	0.251	0.046	0.097
73/04/07	11 10	C.4→0	1.470	0.590	0.110	0.170
73/04/19	17 10	1.394	2.400	0.223	0.032	0.095
73/05/05	13 35	0.390	1.900	1.099	0.023	0.025
73/05/30	10 00	0.340	3.500	0.210	0.021	0.045
73/05/07	10 50	1.320	1.600	0.200	0.027	0.070
73/07/24	15 15	0.049	1.540	ე.160	0.924	3.117
73/09/24	15 45	0.050	1.990	0.24(0.150

STORET RETPIEVAL DATE 74/10/02

		00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME DEPTH	1 NOSKNO3	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF	N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
TO	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/23	00 45	0.195	1.500	0.154	0.066	0.147
72/10/15	15 20	0.540	0.750	0.060	0.018	0.044
72/11/15	11 30	1.850	0.460	0.017	0.021	0.035
73/03/11	13 26	0.400	2.150	0.660	0.240	0.410
73/04/08	14 18	0.440	0.800	0.040	0.014	0.060
73/04/21	10 15	0.990	0.630	0.030	0.018	0.050
73/05/06	14 05	1.190	0.500	0.024	0.019	0.030
73/05/23	11 00	0.310	3.100	0.200	0.028	0.050
73/06/21	12 40	0.260	1.980	0.189	0.017	0.030
73/07/15	10 55	0.010K	1.800	0.046	0.046	0.145
73/08/19	09 45	0.058	0.615	0.038	0.042	0.123

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETPIEVAL DATE 74/10/02

5565C1 LS5565C1
44 47 00.0 089 51 30.0
FREEMAN CREEK
55 15 MARATHON
T/BIG EAU PLEINE RES
SUGAP BUSH RD 1 MI SSE OF HALDER
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

PATE FROM	TIME OF	DEP T 4	00630 NOS&NO3 N-TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N TOTAL	00671 PHOS-DIS OPTHO	00665 PH05-TOT
70	DAY	FEFT	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/24	08 45	5	0.580	0.950	0.096	0.038	0.071
72/10/14	15 29	5	0.980	1.000	0.090	0.014	0.032
72/11/12	13 0	5	1.640	0.800	0.020	0.020	0.040
72/12/10	12 20)	2.040	0.520	0.021	9.015	0.020
73/01/21	10 30	כ	1.540	0.860	1.231	0.036	0.090
73/02/11	10 39	5	2.000	0.140	0.050	0.016	0.020
73/03/04	13 29	5	1.560	3.750	1.600	0.240	0.340
73/04/07	10 49	5	0.690	1.050	0.056	0.015	0.045
73/04/18	17 39	5	1.520	1.400	0.066	0.014	0.045
73/05/05	14 00)	1.300	1.700	0.085	0.015	0.020
73/05/26	09 49	5	0.310	2.800	0.105	0.023	0.065
73/05/07	10 30)	0.740		û.070	0.013	0.040
73/97/28	14 45	5	0.720	0.700	0.038	0.028	0.060
77/09/24	14 30)	0.740	0.500	0.037	0.017	0.040

STORET RETPIEVAL DATE 74/10/02

DATE	TIME DEPTH	00630 N028003	00625 TOT KJEL	00610 NH3-N	00671 PHOS-DIS	00665 PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF .	N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	03 101
T O	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/23	15 30	0.250	2.100	0.140	0.200	0.320
72/10/15	14 50	0.410	1.100	0.073	0.110	0.180
72/11/15	14 50	9.720	0.760	0.056	0.078	0.115
72/12/10	14 23	0.480	2.000	0.176	0.060	0.140
73/01/07	14 40	0.940	2.520	0.290	0.099	0.169
73/02/11	15 20	1.220	1.700	0.126	0.138	9.170
73/03/11	13 52	0.410	2.200	0.670	0.231	0.400
73/04/09	14 50	0.360	1.200	0.029	0.054	0.085
73/04/21	09 50	0.460	0.550	0.048	0.052	0.075
73/05/06	14 00	10.440	0.840	0.050	0.056	0.090
73/05/23	10 45	0.056	2.360	0.150	0.045	9.100
73/06/21	12 25	0.198	1.300	0.014		0.240
73/07/15	11 10	0.010K	2.100	0.138	0.054	0.155
73/09/19	09 15	0.010K	0.690	0.052	0.086	0.165

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

5565A3 LS5565A3
44 49 30.0 090 05 00.0
BIG EAU PLEINE PIVER
55 15 STRATFORD
I/BIG FAU PLEINE RES
SI HWY 97 BROG ABV STRATFORD STP
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00633 NO2NNO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
72/09/23	15 00)	0.221	1.950	0.160	0.189	0.300
72/11/15	10 59	5	0.590	0.750	0.020	0.078	0.110
72/12/10	14 46	5	0.460	2.000	9.160	0.066	0.133
73/01/07	09 05	5	0.930	2.400	0.290	0.100	0.170
73/02/11	14 30)	1.240	1.760	0.140	0.140	6.170
73/03/11	14 28	3	0.410	2.300	0.685	0.240	0.405
73/04/08	15 13	2	0.360	1.050	0.028	0.955	0.095
73/04/21	09 30)	0.350	0.650	0.023	0.050	0.095
73/05/06	13 00)	0.315	0.720	0.031	0.052	0.085
73/05/23	10 30)	0.025	3.000	0.154	0.060	0.115
	12 10	•	0.105	3.400	0.230	6.011	0.220
73/07/15	11 25	5	0.010K	1.700	0.050	0.04P	0.150
73/09/19	09 20)	0.021	0.560	0.034	0.032	0.140

K VALUE "NOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED