# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

**WORKING PAPER SERIES** 



REPORT
ON
TOWNLINE LAKE
ONEIDA COUNTY
WISCONSIN
EPA REGION V
WORKING PAPER No. 53

### PACIFIC NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

An Associate Laboratory of the

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
TOWNLINE LAKE
ONEIDA COUNTY
WISCONSIN
EPA REGION V
WORKING PAPER No. 53

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
AND THE
WISCONSIN NATIONAL GUARD
OCTOBER, 1974

# CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
For	reword	ii
Lis	t of Wisconsin Study Lakes	iv,
Lak	e and Drainage Area Map	vi
Sec	tions	
I.	Conclusions	1
II.	Introduction	3
III.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	4
IV.	Lake Water Quality Summary	5
٧.	Nutrient Loadings	10
VI.	Literature Reviewed	15
VII.	Appendices	16

### <u>FOREWORD</u>

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

### OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

### ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

### LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources for professional involvement and to the Wisconsin National Guard for conduct of the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Francis H. Schraufnagel, Acting Assistant Director, and Joseph R. Ball of the Bureau of Water Quality, and Donald R. Winter, Lake Rehabilitation Program, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey. Central Office and District Office personnel of the Department of Natural Resources reviewed the preliminary reports and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General James J. Lison, Jr., the Adjutant General of Wisconsin, and Project Officer CW-4 Donald D. Erickson, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Wisconsin National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

### NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

# STUDY LAKES

# STATE OF WISCONSIN

LAKE NAME	COUNTY
Altoona Beaver Dam Beaver Dam Big Eau Pleine Browns Butte des Morts Butternut Castle Rock Flowage Como Crystal Delavan Eau Claire Elk Geneva Grand Green	Eau Claire Barron Dodge Marathon Racine Winnebago Price, Ashland Juneau Walworth Vilas Walworth Eau Claire Price Walworth Green Lake Green Lake
Kegonsa Koshkonong Lac La Belle Long Middle Nagawicka Oconomowoc Okauchee Petenwell Flowage Pewaukee Pigeon Pine Poygan Rock	Dane Jefferson, Rock, Dane Waukesha Price Walworth Waukesha Waukesha Juneau Waukesha Waukesha Waukesha Winnebago, Waushara Jefferson
Rome Pond Round Shawano	Jefferson, Waukesha Waupaca Shawano

### LAKE NAME

Sinnissippi Swan Tainter Tichigan Townline Trout Wapogassett Wausau

Wisconsin Wissota Yellow

Winnebago


Willow

### **COUNTY**

Dodge Columbia Dunn Racine Oneida Vilas Polk Marathon Oneida

Winnebago, Fond Du Lac,

Calumet Columbia Chippewa Burnett



#### TOWNLINE LAKE

#### STORET NO. 5548

#### I. CONCLUSIONS

### A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Townline Lake is eutrophic. However, as far as is known, there have been few, if any, reports of nuisance conditions in the lake.

### B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

Lake data indicate that Townline Lake was nitrogen limited at all depths during all sampling periods, except for the 15-foot June sample. Contrarily, the results of the algal assay indicate phosphorus limitation. However, there was a considerable loss of nitrogen and phosphorus in the sample before the assay was begun, and the results are not considered to be indicative of the lake condition (see discussion, page 8).

### C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--It is calculated that during the sampling year, Townline Lake received a total phosphorus load at a rate about three times that proposed by Vollenweider (in press) as "dangerous"; i.e., a eutrophic rate (see page 14). Of that loading, it is estimated that the Three Lakes Sanitary District contributed nearly 54%.

In view of the high loading rates and the relatively high nutrient concentrations in the lake, it would be expected that growths of algae or aquatic weeds or both would be a common occurrence. However, apparently this is not the case. It is concluded, therefore, that some factor or combination of factors, other than nitrogen and phosphorus, is limiting the primary productivity of the lake and that removal of phosphorus at the Three Lakes Sanitary District facility at this time probably would not appreciably enhance the recreational uses of the lake.

2. Non-point sources (see page 14)--The estimated phosphorus export of Townline Creek during the sampling year was quite high (212 lbs/mi<sup>2</sup>/yr) as compared to the phosphorus exports of tributaries to nearby Willow Reservoir (ca. 65 lbs/mi<sup>2</sup>/yr). This may have been due to underestimation of the Three Lakes Sanitary District phosphorus contribution, but the extensive marsh along the course of Townline Creek could have been the source.

#### II. INTRODUCTION

Townline Lake is located in a sparsely populated area in the Upper Wisconsin River basin of north central Wisconsin. The area was glaciated, and the topography is largely level outwash plains and moraines. Much of the area is forested, although cranberry bogs are common.

Townline Lake is in a popular summer resort area, and recreational activity is said to be the base of the local economy. The primary recreational uses of the lake are boating and fishing. Game fish present include muskellunge, walleyes, largemouth bass, and panfish. Commercial boating facilities are available; and although most of the shoreline is privately owned, public access is provided.

Other uses of the lake include flood control and low-flow augmentation.

### III. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

- A. Lake Morphometry:
  - 1. Surface area: 150 acres.
  - 2. Mean depth: 12.4 feet.
  - 3. Maximum depth: 30 feet.
  - 4. Volume: 1,855 acre/feet.
  - 5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 253 days.
- B. Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix A for flow data)
  - 1. Tributaries -

Name	Drainage area	<u>* Mean flow*</u>
Townline Creek	$3.3  \mathrm{mi}^2$	2.7 cfs
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	1.2 mi <sup>2</sup>	1.0 cfs
Totals	4.5 mi <sup>2</sup>	3.7 cfs
Outlet -		
Unnamed Creek	4.7 mi <sup>2</sup> **	3.7 cfs

C. Precipitation<sup>†</sup>:

2.

2

- 1. Year of sampling: 37.9 inches.
- 2. Mean annual: 32.3 inches.

<sup>\*</sup> Drainage areas are accurate within  $\pm 0.5\%$ ; mean daily flows are accurate within  $\pm 40\%$ ; mean monthly flows are accurate within  $\pm 35\%$ ; and normalized monthly flows are accurate within  $\pm 35\%$ .

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes area of lake.

<sup>†</sup> See Working Paper No. 1, "Survey Methods".

### IV. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Townline Lake was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1972 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from one station on the lake and from a number of depths at the station (see map, page vi). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (near bottom to surface) sample was collected for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the last visit, a single five-gallon depth-integrated sample was collected for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from the station for chlorophyll analysis. The maximum depth sampled was 15 feet.

The results obtained are presented in full in Appendix B, and the data for the fall sampling period, when the lake was essentially well-mixed, are summarized below. Note, however, the Secchi disc summary is based on all values.

For differences in the various parameters at the other sampling times, refer to Appendix B.

# A. Physical and chemical characteristics:

# FALL VALUES

(11/04/72)

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	Mean	<u>Median</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Temperature (Cent.) Dissolved oxygen (mg/l) Conductivity (µmhos) pH (units) Alkalinity (mg/l) Total P (mg/l) Dissolved P (mg/l) NO <sub>2</sub> + NO <sub>3</sub> (mg/l) Ammonia (mg/l)	3.5 9.2 90 6.5 18 0.109 0.049 0.180 0.240	3.5 9.2 90 6.5 19 0.110 0.049 0.197 0.260	3.5 9.2 90 6.5 19 0.109 0.049 0.200 0.270	3.5 9.2 90 6.5 20 0.111 0.050 0.210 0.270
Sonohi dina (inakaa)	24	ALL VALUES	•	
Secchi disc (inches)	24	32	36	36

# B. Biological characteristics:

# 1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Number per ml
06/25/72	<ol> <li>Anabaena</li> <li>Ankistrodesmus</li> <li>Dinobryon</li> <li>Synedra</li> <li>Oocystis         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	326 167 149 113 54 104
	Total	913
08/23/72	<ol> <li>Synedra</li> <li>Mallomonas</li> <li>Cryptomonas</li> <li>Ankistrodesmus</li> <li>Dinobryon         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	687 223 193 181 157 277
	Total	1,718
11/04/72	<ol> <li>Phacus</li> <li>Melosira</li> <li>Synedra</li> <li>Dinobryon</li> <li>Oscillatoria</li> <li>Other genera</li> </ol>	778 588 515 208 199 434
	Total	2,722

2. Chlorophyll  $\underline{a}$  - (Because of instrumentation problems during the 1972 sampling, the following values may be in error by plus or minus 20 percent.)

Sampling Date	Station <u>Number</u>	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> $(\mu g/l)$
06/25/72	01	6.8
08/23/72	01	4.5
11/04/72	01	4.1

### C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

Spike (mg/l)	Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)	Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)	Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.014	0.231	3.8
0.006 P	0.020	0.231	5.7
0.012 P	0.026	0.231	8.0
0.024 P	0.038	0.231	8.2
0.060 P	0.074	0.231	7.8
0.060 P + 10.0 N	0.074	10.231	33.9
10.0 N	0.014	10.231	2.6

#### 2. Discussion -

Although the assay results indicate phosphorus limitation, a significant loss of nitrogen and phosphorus occurred between the time the sample was collected and the time the assay was begun. Therefore, the results are considered unreliable. Whether the loss occurred during shipment or storage of the sample is not known, but there was a 55% loss of dissolved phosphorus and a 49% loss of inorganic nitrogen.

The lake data indicate that Townline Lake was nitrogen limited. Except for the 15-foot June sample in which the nitrogen to phosphorus ratio was 19 to 1, all samples had N/P ratios of 10/l or less; i.e., nitrogen limitation would be expected.

### D. Trophic Condition:

As far as is known, no particular aquatic nuisance problems have been reported for Townline Lake; nonetheless, Survey data indicate that Townline Lake is eutrophic. Of the 44 Wisconsin lakes on which sampling was completed, 27 had less mean total phosphorus, 26 had less mean dissolved phosphorus, and 23 had less mean inorganic nitrogen. While only 9 of the lakes had less mean chlorophyll a, 29 had greater Secchi disc transparency (Survey limnologists noted high turbidity and strong humic coloration in the lake on all sampling visits, and phytoplankton may have been somewhat light inhibited).

Dissolved oxygen was depleted at the 15-foot level in June and in August.

# V. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix C for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Wisconsin National Guard collected a monthly near-surface grab sample from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page vi), except for the high runoff months of April and May when two samples were collected. Sampling was begun in September, 1972, and was completed in August, 1973.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Wisconsin District Office of the U. S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, tributary nutrient loads were calculated using mean concentrations and mean flows. Nutrient loadings for "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were calculated using the mean nutrient loading rate ( $lbs/mi^2/yr$ ) for Willow Reservoir, a reservoir in Oneida County having similar drainage characteristics.

The nutrient loads attributed to Townline Creek in the following loading tables are those measured at station A-1 minus the loads attributed to the Three Lake Sanitary District treatment facility.

The community of Three Lakes submitted a number of effluent samples, but too few flow data were provided to permit loading calculations. Nutrient loads were estimated on the basis of population served and annual per capita contributions of 2.5 lbs of phosphorus and 7.5 lbs of nitrogen.

Of the 10 dwellings along the lake shore, half were assumed to be permanent residences, and the eight resorts were each assumed to be equivalent to 10 dwellings. Loadings were then determined as given in Working Paper No. 1, "Survey Methods".

### A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal -

Name	Pop. <u>Served</u>	Treatment	Mean Flow (mgd)	Receiving <u>Water</u>
Three Lakes S.	400* D.	Primary	0.040**	Townline Creek

2. Industrial - Unknown

<sup>\*</sup> Schraufnagel, 1974. \*\* Estimated at 100 gal/capita/day.

### B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

2.

Source	lbs P/ yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
Townline Creek	700	37.4
<ul><li>b. Minor tributaries &amp; immediate drainage (non-point load) -</li></ul>		4.8
c. Known municipal STP's -		
Three Lakes	1,000	53.5
d. Septic tanks* -	60	3.2
e. Industrial - None known	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	20	1.1
Total	1,870	100.0
Outputs -		
Lake outlet - Unnamed Creek	890	

3. Net annual P accumulation - 980 pounds

<sup>\*</sup> Ten dwellings and 8 resorts on lakeshore; see Working Paper No. 1.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Estimated; see Working Paper No. 1.

### C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

### 1. Inputs -

	Sou	rce	lbs N/ yr	% of total
	a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
		Townline Creek	4,180	33.2
	b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	1,780	14.1
	c.	Known municipal STP's -		
		Three Lakes	3,000	23.8
	d.	Septic tanks* -	2,190	17.4
	e.	Industrial - None known	-	-
	f.	Direct precipitation** -	1,450	11.5
		Total	12,600	100.0
2.	Out	puts -		
	Lak	e outlet - Unnamed Creek	11,440	

3. Net annual N accumulation - 1,060 pounds

<sup>\*</sup> Ten dwellings and 8 resorts on lakeshore; see Working Paper No. 1. \*\* Estimated; see Worling Paper No. 1.

D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

<u>Tributary</u>	lbs P/mi <sup>2</sup> /yr	<u>lbs N/mi<sup>2</sup>/yr</u>	
Townline Creek	212	1,267	

### E. Yearly Loading Rates:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loading rates are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (in press). Essentially, his "dangerous" rate is the rate at which the receiving waters would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

	<u>Total Phosphorus</u>		Total Nitrogen	
<u>Units</u>	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
lbs/acre/yr grams/m²/yr	12.5 1.40	5.9 0.66	84.0 9.4	7.1 0.8

Vollegweider loading rates for phosphorus (g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Townline Lake:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic rate) 0.46
"Permissible" (oligotrophic rate) 0.23

#### VI. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Anonymous, 1972. Wisconsin lakes. Publ. #218-72, Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- Andrews, Lloyd M., and C. W. Threinen, 1966. Surface water resources of Oneida County. Conservation Dept., Madison.
- Ball, Joseph, 1974. Personal communication (resorts and dwellings around Townline Lake). Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- McKersie, Jerome R., Robert M. Krill, William Doyle, and Larry Maltbey, 1970. Upper Wisconsin River pollution investigation survey. Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- Pomes, James N., 1974. Personal communication (location of Three Lakes S. D. outfall). Three Lakes Sanitary District, Three Lakes.
- Schraufnagel, Francis H., 1974. Personal communication (population served by Three Rivers S. D.; drainage characteristics). Dept. of Natural Resources, Madison.
- Vollenweider, Richard A., (in press). Input-output models. Schweiz A. Hydrol.

# VII. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

LAKE CODE 5548

TOWN LINE LAKE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE

4.68

Su	B-DRAINAGE						NORM	ALIZED F	LOWS					
TRIBUTARY	AREA	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	MEAN
5548A1 5548B1 5548ZZ	3.26 4.68 1.42	1.60 1.53 0.42	1.60 2.41 0.68	2.40 3.83 1.10	4.60 7.44 2.10	3.70 5.80 1.60	4.90 6.67 1.90	2.30 3.06 0.84	1.60 2.51 0.69	2.20 3.28 0.93	2.60 3.17 0.88	2.90 3.28 0.93	1.90 1.97 0.55	2.69 3.74 1.05

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 4.68 TOTAL FLOW IN = 44.92 SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 4.68 TOTAL FLOW OUT = 44.95

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
5548A1	9	72	1.30	24	1.20				
	10	72	1.80						
	11	72	1.50						
	12	72	0.60	9	0.70				
	1	73	0.90						
	2	73	1.30	9	1.30				
	2 3 4 5 6	73	11.00	10	4.30				
	4	73	26.00	7	24.00	28	22.00		
	5	73	14.00	5	19.00	19	10.00		
		73	5.90	17	5.20				
	7	73	0.70	28	0.60				
	8	73	0.90	26	1.00				
554881	9	72	2.00	24	1.90				
	10	72	2.70						
	11	72	2.40						
	12	72	1.00	9	1.10				
	1	73	1.00						
	2	73	1.50	10	1.50				
	3	73	12.00	10	5.00				
	4	73	19.00	7	18.00	28	16.00		
	5 6	73	9.40	5	13.00	19	6.90		
	6	73	4.00	17	3.60				
	7	73	0.40	28	0.40				
	8	73	0.60	26	0.60				
554822	9	72	0.50	24	0.40				
	10	72	0.60						
	11	72	0.50						
	12	72	0.20	9	0.30				
	1	73	0.20						
	2	73	0.40	9	0.40	10	0.40		
	3	73	3.60	10	1.30				
	4	73	10.00	7	9.00	28	8.30		
	5	73	4.10	5	5.90	19	3.00		
	6	73	1.80	17	1.50				
	7	73	0.10	28	0.10				
	8	73	0.20	26	0.20				

### APPENDIX B

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

554801 45 48 48.0 089 09 48.0 TOWN LINE LAKE 55 WISCONSIN

							11EP 3	ALES		1202 FEET DEF	тн	
DATE From To	TIME OF DAY	OEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00666 PHOS-DIS MG/L P
30404405										1107 E	MOVE P	MOZEF
72/06/25	11 3	0 0000 0 0005 0 0010	17.0 17.0 16.0	8.6	36	100	7.50	29	0.040	0.050	0.042	0.030
72/08/23	11 3	0 0015	11.0	0.0		115	6.70	31	0.030	0.580	0.053	0.032
17/00/23		0 0000	20. 3		36	99	6.70	27	0.040	0.150	0.082	0.045
		0 0004	20.3	6.0		94	6.80	26	0.030	0.060	0.074	0.040
72/11/04			16.4	0.2		120	6.40	42	0.080	0.020	0.068	0.024
72/11/04		0 0000			24	90	6.50	18	0.210	0.270	0.109	0.049
		0 0004	3.5	9.2		90	6.50	19	0.180	0.240	0.111	0.050
	09 4	0 0010	3.5	9.2		90	6.50	20	0.200	0.270	0.109	0.049

DATE FROM TO	OF	E DEPTH FEET	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/06/25 72/08/23 72/11/04	11 (	0000	6.8J 4.5J 4.1J

J\* VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

### APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY and WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DATA

#### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 74/10/02

S548A1 LS5548A1
45 48 30.0 089 10 30.0
UNNAMED TRIBUTARY
55 15 THREE LAKES
I/TOWN LINE LAKE
CO HWY 26 & 32 THREE LAKES STP MARSHLAND
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

			00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME	DEPTH	KON920N	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
то	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/24	09 19	5	0.177	1.500	0.051	0.005K	0.390
72/11/05			0.046	1.150	0.034	0.105	0.140
72/12/09			0.310	1.310	0.370	0.120	0.260
73/01/13				0.940	0.064	0.050	0.130
73/02/09			0.357	2.000	1.040	0.320	0.460
73/03/10	11 00	)	0.154	1.600	0.830	0.350	0.450
73/04/07	11 00	)	0.091	0.710	0.039	0.100	0.130
73/04/28	08 30	)	0.054	0.600	0.016	0.066	0.090
73/05/05	14 45	5	0.027	0.630	0.015	0.052	0.070
73/05/19	17 00	)	0.023	0.630	0.013	0.054	0.082
73/06/17	11 30	)	0.154	1.920	0.064		0.620
73/07/28	17 30	)	0.260	1.150	0.190	0.130	0.290
73/08/26	08 30	)	0.105	8.700	3.400	0.530	1.050

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

#### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 74/10/02

554881 LS5548B1
45 49 00.0 089 09 30.0
UNNAMED TRIBUTARY
55 15 THREE LAKES
O/TOWN LINE LAKE
TRAIL NW SIDE LAKE TO OUTLET
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

			00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME	DEPTH	NOSENO3	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	OPTHO	
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/24	09 30	0	0.084	1.350	0.059	0.005K	0.054
72/11/05			0.168	0.960	0.100	0.069	0.113
72/12/09			0.220	2.100	0.083	0.066	0.260
73/01/13			0.410	1.760	0.765	0.281	0.550
73/02/10			0.350	1.000	0.144	0.080	0.115
73/03/10	13 00	)	0.350	0.650	0.140	0.042	0.055
73/04/07	13 30	)	0.198	2.200	0.083	0.058	0.100
73/04/28	16 00	)	0.105	2.200	0.056	0.032	0.070
73/05/05	08 45	5	0.110	1.800	0.052	0.036	0.080
73/05/19	17 19	5	0.010K	2.310	0.056	0.019	0.070
73/06/17	14 00	)	0.126	0.690	0.009	0.008	0.040
73/07/28	10 30	)	0.017	0.660	0.030	0.012	0.040
73/08/26	15 48	3	0.010K	0.580	0.035	0.019	0.045

K VALUE KNOWN TO 3F LESS THAN INDICATED

#### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 74/09/40

554850 PR554850 P000700 45 48 30.0 089 10 00.0 VLG OF THREE LAKES 55 15 THREE LAKES T/TOWN LINE LAKE UNNAMED TRIB 11EPALES 2141204

0000 FEET DEPTH 4

DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00630 1025N03	00625 TOT KJFL	00610 NH3-N	00671 PHOS-DIS	00665 PHOS-TOT	50051 Flow	50053 CONDUIT
FROM	OF	.,,	Y-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO		RATE	FLOW-MGD
TO	!) A Y	FEFT	467L	46/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P	INST MGD	MONTHLY
73/07/11	10 0	o	v.130	42.000	17.000	6.200	9.100	0.079	0.058
73/09/07	រា្ធ ក	0							
CP(T) -								0.092	0.080
73/04/07	16 0	O							
73/12/19	11 (	0							
CP(T)-			1.050	26.000	9.400	3.990	6.000		
73/12/19	15 J	O							
74/03/07	99 0	n	2.240	14.300	3.800	2.200	3•400	0.080	
74/03/19	J9 1	0	2.241	11.000	1.900	1.880	2.700		
74/04/15	11 3	Ų.	2.160	19.000	4.700	3.900	7.000		
74/06/14	120	0	0.920	34.000	13.090	6.400	11.300		
74/07/16	10 0	Ö	(.04)	25.50u	0.200	5.600	8.100		