NEIC

EPA-330/1-88-002

MULTI-MEDIA PRIORITY RANKING OF SELECTED FEDERAL FACILITIES

REGION I

February 1988

National Enforcement Investigations Center, Denver

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



Office of Enforcement

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING

EPA-330/1-88-002

MULTI-MEDIA PRIORITY RANKING OF SELECTED FEDERAL FACILITIES

REGION I

February 1988

Joel K. Mattern

NATIONAL ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATIONS CENTER Denver, Colorado

CONTENTS

INT		
ME	THODS	3
	HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT (RCRA)	
	SITE CONTAMINATION (CERCLA)	
	WASTEWATER DISCHARGES (CWA)	
	POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL (PCB) STORAGE	
	AND USE (TSCA)	8
	AIR EMISSIONS (CAA)	8
	SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT (SDWA)	9
RES	SULTS	10
TAE	BLES	
1	Facility Rating Criteria	5
2	Facility Ratings (by ranking)	
3	Facility Ratings (by State)	

INTRODUCTION

In order to more effectively allocate EPA investigation resources, a multimedia priority ranking model was developed by NEIC in 1984 (updated July 1987) to evaluate selected Federal facilities according to their relative potential for having environmental problems. The objective was to use readily available information in a rapid and objective manner to identify "high priority" facilities for further detailed evaluation by EPA. The ranking model was not designed to compare a facility rating with any fixed number to indicate whether a facility is environmentally "good" or "bad". Rather, the rating is a preliminary indication of a facility's potential, relative to other installations, for having major environmental problems. A high rating indicates that a facility has a relatively high potential for environmental problems, and that further evaluation, if not already completed, is warranted.

Rating criteria were developed and used to rank facilities according to the type and magnitude of facility activities which actually or could potentially result in environmental contamination. In general, these activities include past and present hazardous waste² generation and management, handling and storage of hazardous and toxic materials, wastewater discharges and air emissions. For purposes of this ranking system and in keeping with EPA Region I and Headquarters priorities, emphasis was put on potential environmental problems from hazardous waste management activity.

To ensure timely and consistent ratings for each installation, the information used to rank the facilities was that which was easily accessible and available for all or most of the installations. The major source of information used to rank the facilities came from various EPA computer databases. This information was supplemented, as necessary, with Region I file data. More specific information, such as detailed site inspection reports, was not used because such information is available for only a few facilities. Facility

Environmental problems, as generally used here, are directly related to the release of contaminants to the environment.

² As defined in 40 CFR 261

compliance data was not incorporated into the ranking system because of the dynamic nature of such information.1

Specific facility information and recent compliance data would be used as part of the detailed followup evaluation of a facility, if warranted.

METHODS

The list of facilities to be prioritized was chosen by Region I from an initial listing of Region I Federal facilities generated from EPA's computerized Facilities Index System (FINDS). The FINDS list contained all (more than 100) Federal installations with: (1) known or suspected hazardous waste disposal sites (active and inactive), (2) Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) permit application or permits on file with EPA, (3) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits and/or (4) air emission point sources. Of these, 36 were selected by Region I for prioritization by NEIC.

Selected facilities were ranked based on the type and relative level of activity in the following six categories:

- Hazardous waste management
- Site contamination (known and potential)
- Wastewater discharges
- Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) storage and use
- Air emissions
- Drinking water supplies

These six categories generally reflect pertinent activities regulated by one or more of six environmental statutes: Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), Clean Water Act (CWA), Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), the Clean Air Act (CAA) and the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), respectively. The six rating categories and an explanation of the information used to rank facilities in each category follow.

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT (RCRA)

This category ranks facilities according to the potential for environmental contamination through generation and handling of hazardous waste. For rating purposes, the category was divided into four activity subcategories: (1) Annual quantity of hazardous waste generated, (2) waste storage design capacity, (3) waste treatment design capacity and (4) waste disposal design capacity.

These general subcategories were used because of the type of information readily available and the wide range of possible activities and levels of activities related to hazardous waste management.

The major source of information used for this category was the EPA Hazardous Waste Data Management System (HWDMS), a computer database which includes information submitted to EPA by hazardous waste generation/handling facilities in RCRA Part A and/or Part B permit applications. The data includes type and quantity of hazardous wastes generated, types of hazardous waste handling activity and design capacity of waste processes. Information was obtained from EPA Region I RCRA files when the computer database was incomplete (all information was not available for all hazardous waste facilities).

As shown in Table 1, the minimum rating for any facility generating hazardous waste is 3. This accounts for activities involving actual generation and any short term or small quantity handling of waste. Generating facilities with RCRA storage, treatment or disposal activities were rated according to the relative level of activity in each subcategory as shown in Table 1. An additional rating point was assigned to all these facilities to account for potential problems involved in the actual waste generating process(es). Also, facilities which treat. store or dispose of hazardous waste in a surface impoundment were assigned an additional subcategory rating point due to enhanced contamination potential attributed to the use of impoundments. Subcategory ratings and any additional rating points were added together to obtain the overall rating for each facility for "Hazardous Waste Management" as presented in Tables 2 (overall facility ranking) and 3 (facility ranking within each state). For example, a facility generating 12 metric tons of hazardous waste annually, having 10,000 gallons of container storage capacity and 1,000 gallons per day tank treatment capacity would, following Table 1, receive 2 rating points for quantity of waste generated, 2 points for storage, 2 points for treatment and 1 point for being a waste generator (see footnote 1 on Table 1) for a total rating of 7 points for hazardous waste management. This ranking is an indication of the facility's relative potential to contaminate the environment and cause environmental problems through hazardous waste management.

Table 1 **FACILITY RATING CRITERIA**

Rating Generated Capacity			Hazardous Waste Management ¹						Site Contamination			
1	Ratın	Genera	atéd	Capacity	Ca	pacity	Landfill	Land Applicatio	n	Soil/Water Contami-	of Haz	ardous
1 <12 <10,000 <1,000	0	0		0		0	0	0	No ²	No2	No	2
100,000 50,000 or suspected ³ 3 50-500 >100,000 >50,000 <5 <10 Medium or unknown High NPL⁴ Known ⁵ Vastewater Discharges Substances Substan	i	<12	!	<10,000	<1,	000	•	•	•	-	140	, -
100,000 50,000	2	12-50)	10,000-	1,0	000-	•	-	Low	Potential	Kno	WO
4 500-50,000 5 >50,000	3	50-500) >	-			< 5	<10	Medium or		-	WII
Toxic Wastewater Discharges Substances Flow SIC 7 Rate Toxicity Toxic PCB Pollutants Discharged Nonattainment Air Pollutant PRating (mgd) Group Discharge Use at ≥100 Tons Per Year Areas Discharges Sich Pollutants Sich Po	4	500-50 000	1				_	4.0		1		
Toxic Wastewater Discharges Substances Flow SIC 7 Rate Toxicity Toxic PCB Pollutants Discharge to Suspected Hazardous Nonattainment Air Pollutant Programment Pollutant Programment Nonattainment N	-	-		-		•	≥5	≥10		-	-	
Wastewater Discharges Substances ArEmissons Silvances Substances Number of Criteria Discharge to Suspected Hazardous Number of Criteria Discharge to Suspected Nonattainment Air Pollutants Property Property Number of Criteria Discharge to Suspected Number of Criteria Discharge to Suspected Number of Criteria Discharge to Suspected Number of Criteria Number of Criteria Discharge to Suspected Number of Criteria Number of		>50,000	·	-		-	-	-	NPL⁴	Known ⁵	-	
Rate Toxicity Toxic PCB Pollutants ⁸ Discharged Nonattainment Air Pollutant ⁹ Pollutants (mgd) Group Discharge Use at ≥100 Tons Per Year Areas Discharges Signature of the pollutant (mgd) No ² No				:Discharge	_s 6				Air Emissions		***************************************	Wate Supplie
1 0^{10}	Pating	Rate	Toxicit		arge		Polluta	nts ⁸ Discharged	Nonattainment	Air Pollutan	t ⁹	No of Person Supplie
1 010 2 <5 - Suspected ³ Suspected ³ 1	0	No ²	<3	No²	?	No ²			No ²	No ²		No
2 <5 - Suspected ³ Suspected ³ 1	1	-	-	-		•		010	•	-		<100
3 .5-1.5 ≥3 Known - 2 1 ¹¹	2	< 5	-	Suspec	ted ³	Suspected ³		1	-	-		≥1000
4 1505	3	.5-1.5	≥3	Know	n	•		2	111	-		2100
	4	1.5-2.5	-			Known			212	Voo		•

^{1.} The minimum rating for any facility generating hazardous waste, regardless of any storage, treatment or disposal activities is 3 Facilities with RCRA storage, treatment and/or disposal activities are assigned subcategory ratings, as indicated in the table. An additional point is added to all these facilities if they are also generators (see text). Facilities which treat, store or dispose of hazardous waste in a surface impoundment are assigned an additional point (see text)

>2

- No = No known or suspected activity in this activity category or subcategory. 2.
- Suspected means that information suggests nonspecific activity in the subcategory
- NPL means the facility is listed or has been proposed for listing on the National Priorities List 4.

- Facilities with known or potential contamination of drinking water supplies are assigned two additional rating points (total of 7)
- includes known discharges to municipal wastewater treatment plants
- SIC Hazard Potential [a number from 1(lowest) to 5(highest)] is an indication of potentially harmful health effects related to a specific Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code (see text) As defined in 40 CFR 50 8.

>25

5

- Hazardous air pollutant (40 CFR 61) emission sources with or without other air sources 9.
- 10 Facility has point source emissions but does not have the potential to discharge at ≥100 tons per year per pollutant
- 11 Facility discharges one nonattainment pollutant in nonattainment area for that pollutant
- Facility discharges two nonattainment pollutants in nonattainment area for those pollutants 12

SITE CONTAMINATION (CERCLA)

This category ranks facilities according to the actual, suspected or potential for site contamination from either past operations or the present handling of bulk quantities of hazardous materials (fuel oil, gasoline, etc). The category is divided into three activity subcategories: (1) seriousness of site contamination problems, (2) contamination of soil and water, and (3) handling of bulk quantities of hazardous materials.

Information was obtained from the following EPA computer data bases: FINDS, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS), Compliance Data System (CDS) and the Federal Facility Compliance Docket. EPA Region I file information was used to supplement these data. FINDS lists all known or suspected facility sites which were contaminated as a result of past activities. CERCLIS tracks these sites and identifies those which are proposed or listed on the National Priority List (NPL). Regional files contain reports of the results of any EPA/State or Department of Defense site investigations (such as preliminary assessments) for the suspected CERCLA sites. Preliminary assessment reports and other documents rate the "seriousness" of site problems as being low, medium or high and indicate the type of site contamination (soil, water), if known. CDS lists installations with storage facilities for bulk hazardous materials (fuel oil, gasoline) through its inventory of volatile organic air emissions.

Rating points were assigned to each facility for activity in each of the three subcategories using Table 1. Facilities with known contamination of drinking water supplies were assigned two additional rating points. The sum of these ratings is the facility's overall rating for "Site Contamination" and is presented in Tables 2 and 3. An overall rating of 2 indicates that there is no known or suspected site contamination, but there is the potential for contamination due to onsite bulk storage of hazardous materials.

The files did not contain the results of preliminary assessments or other site evaluations for all facilities with known or suspected¹ site contamination.

¹ Known or suspected as a result of being included in the FINDS computer data base.

Subcategory ratings of 3 for "seriousness" and 2 for "soil/water contamination" were assigned to such facilities with limited or no specific site information to account for the unknown potential for problems in these subcategories [Table 1].

WASTEWATER DISCHARGES (CWA)

This category rates facilities according to the actual or potential impacts of wastewater discharges into receiving waters. The three subcategories used to rate facility activity in this category were: (1) average daily flow rate; (2) the Standard Inclustrial Classification (SIC)¹ code for the facility's waste generating activities and general wastewater type (industrial, sanitary or both) and (3) suspected discharge of toxic wastewater.

Information on the type and flow rate of wastewater discharges was obtained from the Permit Compliance System (PCS) and EPA Region I NPDES files. SIC codes and toxic discharge information for each facility were taken from the EPA computer systems and Region I files. SIC codes were used to assign each facility to a SIC toxicity group. Each SIC toxicity group from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) correlates to the potential for harmful effects from wastewater discharges from a specific industry (as identified by the SIC code). The SIC Code/Toxic Pollutant Discharge Potential component of the NPDES permit ranking system, used by EPA nationally to classify dischargers as 'major' or 'minor', was used to assign Toxicity Group Numbers to the wastewater discharge facilities ranked here.

In cases where SIC codes were not readily available for installations rated, EPA Region I file information regarding facility operations and waste generation was used to determine an appropriate Toxicity Group Number. Toxicity Group Numbers were used to help characterize wastewater discharge in lieu of specific information regarding wastewater characteristics. Also, facilities known or suspected of discharging toxic wastewater were assigned additional rating points. Flow rates, general wastewater type, Toxicity Group

The SIC code is a number which describes an industry by the type of activity in which it is engaged.

Number and suspected discharge of toxic wastewater were used with Table 1 to assign ratings to each facility in each subcategory. Subcategory ratings were totaled to obtain the wastewater discharge ratings presented for each facility in Tables 2 and 3.

POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL (PCB) STORAGE AND USE (TSCA)

This category rates facilities according to information regarding use of PCBs. Information to identify facilities storing/using these compounds was obtained through all possible sources including the Department of Defense A-106 tracking system (which tracks environmental actions at military installations), HWDMS, FINDS and Region I files. Facilities were rated according to Table 1. Department of Defense facilities with no specific information regarding PCBs were assigned a rating point of 2 for this category. This is because general information indicates that most military installations handle PCBs and therefore, PCB use is "suspected". Category ratings for each facility are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

AIR EMISSIONS (CAA)

This category rates facilities according to: (1) the number of criteria air pollutants (particulates, nitrous oxides, sulfur oxides, carbon monoxide and volatile organic carbon) emitted through point sources; (2) emissions of hazardous pollutants and (3) emission of pollutants into areas of nonattainment, as shown in Table 1. The 100-tons-per-year rate for criteria air pollutants was used in the rating because that is the emission rate normally used to differentiate between major and minor point air emission sources. Facilities which do not have the potential to emit any criteria pollutants at greater than or equal to 100 tons per year were given a ranking of 1 for that subcategory. Additional ranking points were assigned facilities which emit nonattainment pollutants into nonattainment air quality control regions and/or discharge hazardous air pollutants. No attempt was made to incorporate fugitive emissions into the rating system.

Information on emission rates was obtained from the Compliance Data System (CDS), an EPA computer database containing information on permitted

point air emission sources and EPA Region I files. Facilities were rated quantitatively according to Table 1. Results for each facility are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT (SDWA)

This category rates a facility's potential impact according to; (1) whether it handles its own drinking water supplies or is situated over a public drinking water supply and (2) the size of the population served by the facility. Information was obtained from the Federal Reporting Data System (FRDS), Public Water Source data. Table 1 identifies how the rating points were assigned.

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the selected Federal facilities and their assigned ratings ranked in decreasing order of overall potential for environmental problems. Table 3 presents these same facilities segregated by State and ranked in decreasing order of potential environmental problems. These tables are not only useful in identifying facilities with relatively high potential for environmental problems, but they also show which activity(ies) should be considered in developing a strategy for followup site inspections.

As previously stated, the facility rating was not designed to be compared to a fixed number to indicate whether an installation is environmentally "good" or "bad". Rather, the rating indicates the potential of a particular facility to have environmental problems based on the potential for environmental contamination relative to other installations. A relatively high total rating indicates that, based on the type and level of onsite activities, a facility has a high probability of having environmental problems. It also indicates that a more detailed evaluation of the facility is warranted.

This prioritization system is an initial evaluation of selected facilities. Further evaluations should be conducted prior to any onsite investigations. Such evaluations should begin with a detailed analysis of EPA Region I files and include a review of facility compliance status.

FACILITY RATING (by ranking)

EPA ID NO.	FACILITY ADDRESS CITY	HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT	SITE CONTAM- INATION	POINT WASTEWATER DISCHARGES	TOXIC SUBSTANCES	DRINKING WATER SUPPLY	POINT AIR EMISS	TOTAL RANKING
ME7170022019	USN PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD Seavey Island Kittery, ME	9	12	8	4	0	7	40
NE9570024522	USAF LORING AIR FORCE BASE 42 CSG/CC Limestone, ME.	9	12	8	4	s	3	38
NH7570024847	USAF PEASE AIR FORCE BASE 509 Squadron Portsmouth, NH	10	13	8	4	1	2	38
CT4170022020	US NAVY NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE Route 12 Crystal Lake Rd Groton, CT	6	11	6	4	0	9	36
#98570024424	USAF HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE Hanscom AFB Bedford, MA	3	13	6	4	2	8	36
MA0570024026	US AIR FORCE WESTOVER AFB 439 CSG/DE Chicoome, MA	5	11	6	4	2	5	33
MA2570024487	USAF OTIS AIR FORCE BASE 102 Civil Engineers Bldg 971 Otis AFB, #A	3	13	6	4	2	4	32
#90213820939	US ARMY MAM RESERVE CENTER Arsenal Street Natertown, MA	4	11	5	4	0	7	31
RI:170024243 RI:170024036	USN MAYAL EDUCATION & TWO CTR Public Works Department Newport, RI	5	11	8	4	0	3	31
497210025154	US ARMY FORT DEVENS Bldg 1650 - Ft. Devens Ayer, MA	7	10	5	4	2	ž	30
ME8170022018	USN BRUNSHICK NAS Building 8 Brunswick, NE	7	12	5	4	0	2	30
M92170024259	USN BOSTON NAVAL SHIPYARD Boston Naval Shipyard Charleston, MA	3	10	6	4	0	6	29

EPA ID NO.	FACILITY ADDRESS CITY	Hazardous Haste Management	SITE CONTAM- INATION	POINT WASTEWATER DISCHARGES	TOXIC SUBSTANCES	DRINKING MATER SUPPLY	POINT AIR EMISS	TOTAL RANKING
#A1210020631	US ARMY NATICK R&D CENTER Kansas Street Natick, MA	3	10	8	4	Û	5	27
MASS70024617	USAF PLANT 28/GENERAL ELECTRIC 62 Tremont Street Everett, MA	7	10	5	4	0	0	25
RI6170022036	US NAVY ALLEN HARBOR - CBC CNT off Samoford Road Davisville, RI	5	11	3	4	0	0	23
CT2170022188	US NAVAL UNDERHATER SYS CENTER New London Laboratory New London, CT	3	7	6	4	0	2	22
CT6890113792	DOE KNOLLS ATOMIC POWER LAB Prospect Hill Road Windsor, CT	6	5	6	4	0	0	21
CT0690307871	US COAST GUARD ACADEMY Monegan Ave New London, CT	3	2	3	2	0	9	19
4 91360010242	US VA HOSPITAL - ROSERS EN MEM 200 Sorings Road Bedford, MA	o	12	٤	0	1	2	17
MA2170022022	NAVAL AIR STATION - S WEYMOUTH NAS PWD Code 72.3 S weymouth, #A	3	7	3	2	٥	2	17
₱E3971524324	uS DEFENSE FLEL SUPPLY POINT Trungy Road Searsport, ME	3	10	v	4	٥	٦	17
√T62100 90001 VT3210022718	US ARMY BENERAL ELECTRIC CO Ethan Allen Firing Range Jerico, VT	4	8	0	4	o	0	16
ME4170024539	US NAVY NAVAL SECURITY GROUP NSGA Winter Harbor, ME	3	5	s	4	1	0	15
VT6572824294	BURLINGTON AIR NATIONAL GUARD Burlington IAP Burlington, VT	3	10	o	2	0	0	15

EPA ID NO.	FACILITY ADDRESS CITY	HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT	SITE CONTAM- INATION	POINT WASTEWATER DISCHARGES	TOXIC SUBSTANCES	DRINKING WATER SUPPLY	POINT AIR EMISS	TOTAL RANKING
CT2690319643	US COAST GUARD PORT NEW LONDON USCG Port New London, CT	3	7	0	2	2	0	14
H92690307879	USCG SUPPORT CENTER - BOSTON 427 Commercial Street Boston, MA	3	2	3	2	Û	0	10
MA5360010388	US VA HOSPITAL - NORTHAMPTON North Main Street Northampton, MA	3	2	0	0	0	5	10
NE5170024355	US VAVAL COMMUNICATIONS UNIT USN Cutler, ME	3	2	Ô	2	ı	2	10
*E3690307880	USCG BASE - SOUTH PORTLAND High Street South Portland, ME	3	2	3	2	0	0	10
nE9690307963	USCG STATION - ROCKLAND Matinicus Island Rockland, ME	3	2	2	2	0	0	9
Æ05903079 70	USCG BASE - SOUTHWEST HARBOR US Coast Guard Base Southwest Harbor, ME	3	2	2	2	0	0	9
NH0350010300	VA ADMIN MED CTR - MANCHESTER 718 Smyth Road Manchester, NH	3	0	0	4	0	2	9
DT0572 826873	uS ANG BRADLEY BASE Bradiey ANG Base East Grandby, CT	3	2	0	2	Ų	0	7
# 9 657002 590 2	US AIR FORCE - BARNES MAP Barnes Municipal Airport Westfield, MA	3	2	0	5	Û	0	7
RI3690307910	USCG BRISTOL ANT TEAM USCG Bristol, RI	3	0	0	5	0	0	5
RI4360007310	VA ADMIN MED CTR - PROVIDENCE Chalkstone Ave Providence, RI	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Table 3
FACILITY RATING (by State)

EPA ID NO.	FACILITY ADDRESS CITY	HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT	SITE CONTAM- INATION	POINT WASTEWATER DISCHARGES	TOXIC Substances	DRINKING WATER SUPPLY	POINT AIR EMISS	TOTAL RANKING
	CONNECTICUT							
CT4170022020	US NAVY NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE Route 12 Crystal Lake Rd Groton, CT	6	11	6	4	0	9	36
CT2170022188	US NAVAL UNDERWATER SYS CENTER New London Laboratory New London, CT	3	7	6	4	0	2	22
CT6890113792	DOE KNOLLS STOMIC POWER LAB Prospect Hill Road Windsor, CT	6	5	6	4	0	υ	21
CT0690307871	US COAST GUARD ACADEMY Mohegan Ave New London, CT	3	2	3	2	0	9	19
CT2690319643	US CORST GURRD PORT NEW LONDON USC6 Port New London, CT	3	7	٥	2	ŝ	0	14
CT0572826873	US ANG BRADLEY BASE Bradley ANG Base East Grandby, CT	3	5	0	2	0	0	7
	MASSACHUSETTS							
#98570024424	USAF HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE Hanscom AFB Bedford, MA	3	13	6	4	3	8	36
49 0570024026	US AIR FORCE WESTOVER AFB 439 CSG/DE Chicopee, MA	5	11	6	4	٤	5	33
M92570024487	USAF OTIS AIR FORCE BASE 102 Civil Engineers Bldg 971 Otis AFB, MA	3	13	6	4	2	4	32
MACS13820939	US ARMY MAM RESERVE CENTER Arsenal Street Watertown, MA	4	11	5	4	0	7	31

EPA ID NO.	FACILITY ADDRESS CITY	HAZARDOUS HASTE MANAGEMENT	SITE CONTAM- INATION	POINT WASTEWATER DISCHARGES	TOXIC SUBSTANCES	DRINKING HATER SUPPLY	POINT AIR EMISS	TOTAL RANKING
	MASSACHUSETTS (continued)							
#97210025154	US ARMY FORT DEVENS Bidg 1650 - Ft. Devens Ayer, MA	7	10	5	4	2	2	30
MA2170024259	USN BOSTON NAVAL SHIPYARD Boston Naval Shipyard Charleston, MA	3	10	6	4	0	6	29
MA1210020631	US ARMY NATICK R&D CENTER Kansas Streem Natick, MA	3	10	8	4	0	2	27
MA5570024617	USAF PLANT 28/GENERAL ELECTRIC 62 Tremont Street Everett, MA	7	10	5	4	0	0	26
MR1360010242	US VA HOSPITAL - ROBERS EN MEM 200 Springs fload Bedford, MA	0	12	5	0	i	2	17
MA2170022022	NAVAL AIR STATION - S WEYMOUTH NAS PAID Code 72.3 S Weymouth, MA	3	7	3	2	0	5	17
MA2690307879	USCG SUPPORT CENTER - BOSTON 427 Commercial Street Boston, MA	3	2	3	2	0	0	10
MA5360010388	US VA HOSPITAL - NORTHAMPTON North Main Street Northampton, MA	3	2	0	o	Ó	5	10
#96570025902	US AIR FORCE - BARNES MAP Barnes Municipal Aircort Westfield, MA	3	<u> </u>	Û	5	0	0	7

EPA ID ND.	FACILITY ADDRESS CITY	HAZARDOUS HASTE MANAGEMENT	SITE CONTAM- INATION	POINT WASTEWATER DISCHARGES	TOXIC SUBSTANCES	DRINKING WATER SUPPLY	POINT AIR EMISS	TOTAL RANKING
	MAINE							
ME7170022019	USN PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD Seavey Island Kittery, ME	9	12	- 8	4	0	7	40
ME9570024522	USAF LORING AIR FORCE BASE 42 CSG/CC Limestone, ME	9	12	8	4	2	3	3 8
Æ8170022018	USN BRUNSWICK NAS Building 8 Brunswick, ME	7	12	5	4	0	2	30
NE3971524324	US DEFENSE FLEL SUPPLY POINT Trundy Road Searsport, ME	3	10	0	4	0	0	17
#E4170024539	US NAVY NAVAL SECURITY GROUP NSGA Winter Harbor, ME	3	5	2	4	i	0	15
#E5170024355	US NAVAL COMMUNICATIONS UNIT USN Cutler. ME	3	5	0	2	1	2	10
ME9690307880	USCG BASE – SOUTH PORTLAND High Street South Portland, ME	3	2	3	5	0	0	10
€9690307963	uSCG STATION - ROCKLAND Matinicus Island Rockland, ME	3	2	5	5	0	0	9
Æ0690307970	USCG BASE - SOUTHWEST HARBOR US Coast Guard Base Southwest Harbor, ME	3	2	2	٤	0	0	9
	NEW HAMPSHIRE							
NH7570024847	USAF PEASE AIR FORCE BASE 509 Squadron Portsmouth, NH	10	13	ð	4	1	2	38
NH0350010300	VA ADMIN MED CTR - MANCHESTER 718 Smyth Road Manchester, NH	3	0	0	4	0	2	9

EPA ID NO.	FACILITY ADDRESS CITY	Hazardous Haste Management	SITE CONTAM- INATION	POINT WASTEWATER DISCHARGES	TOXIC Substances	DRINKING WATER SUPPLY	POINT AIR EMISS	TOTAL RANKING
	RHODE ISLAND							
RI1170024243 RI2170024036	USN NAVAL EDUCATION & TNG CTR Public Works Department Newport, RI	5	11	8	4	0	3	16
RI6170022036	US NAVY ALLEN HARBOR - CBC CNT off Sandford Road Davisville, RI	5	11	3	4	0	0	23
RI3690307910	USCS BRISTOL ANT TEAM USCS Bristol, RI	3	0	0	2	0	o	5
RI4360007310	VA ADMIN MED CTR - PROVIDENCE Chalkstone Ave Providence, RI	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	VERMOUNT							
VT6210090001 VT3210022718	US ARMY GENERAL ELECTRIC CO Ethan Allen Firing Range Jerico, VT	4	8	0	4	0	0	16
VT6572824294	BURLINGTON AIR NATIONAL GUARD Burlington IAP Burlington, VT	3	10	0	2	0	0	15