

January 1973

Environmental Protection Agency Surveillance and Analysis Division Athens, Georgia The planning and operation of this project were carried out under the supervision of Mr. L. B. Tebo, Jr., Chief, Biological Services Branch.

Dr. R. L. Raschke was project biologist and principal author of the report.

All Environmental Protection Agency personnel are assigned to the Surveillance and Analysis Division located at Athens, Georgia. The Division is under the direction of Mr. J. A. Little.

> 11.5 Environmental Protection Agency Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center Region 4 Library 61 Forsyth Street S.W. Auduka, Georgia 30303

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	2
SUMMARY	1
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
INTRODUCTION	4
DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA	5
STATION LOCATIONS AND DESCRIPTIONS	3
Broad River Main Stem and Headwater Stations	3
Impoundment Stations	, ,
Coppl Stations 1/	т Г.
	+
	5
Terrestrial Station	5
Water Treatment Plant Station)
Special Stations)
MATERIALS AND METHODS)
Chemistry 20	r
Biology 21	í
Minulaise	נ ה
$Microbiology \ldots \ldots$	<u>′</u>
ANALYTICAL SAMPLING RESULTS	3
Total Organic Carbon	3
Chemical Oxygen Demand	4
Phosphorus 21	5
	, 7
Nilrogen	/ ~
Dissolved Oxygen	3
Musty Odor)
Biology	3
Microbiology	3
DISCUSSION	5
TABLES AND FIGURES. 39)
REFERENCES	3
PROJECT PERSONNEL	;
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	5

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	I.	Average Rainfall Throughout the Broad River Basin, South Carolina, April-June 1972	7
TABLE	II.	Mean Discharge on the Broad River and Its Tributaries	9
TABLE	III.	Average Flow on the Broad River and Its Tributaries, April-June 1972	10
TABLE	IV.	Sanitary Waste Sources	11
TABLE	۷.	Total Organic Carbon in Water, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	39
TABLE	VI.	Total Organic Cargon in Bottom Substrates, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	40
TABLE	VII.	Chemical Oxygen Demand in Bottom Substrates, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	41
TABLE	VIII.	Total Phosphate as Phosphorus in Water, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	42
TABLE	IX.	Total Phosphate as Phosphorus in Bottom Substrates, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	43
TABLE	х.	Nitrate-Nitrogen and Nitrite-Nitrogen in Water, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	44
TABLE	XI.	Ammonia-Nitrogen in Water, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	45
TABLE	XII.	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	46
TABLE	XIII.	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Bottom Substrates, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	47
TABLE	XIV.	Diel Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature, Broad River Canal, April-June 1972	48
TABLE	XV.	Water Threshold Odor Numbers in the Field, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	49
TABLE	XVI.	Water Threshold Odor Numbers in the Laboratory, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	50
TABLE	XVII.	Leaf Litter Threshold Odor Number, Broad River Basin, April-June, 1972	51

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE XVIII.	Leaf Litter Wet Weights, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	52
TABLE XIX.	Total Phytoplankton Counts, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	53
TABLE XX.	Zooplankton Counts, Broad River Basin, April- June 1972	54
TABLE XXI.	Periphyton Counts, Broad River Basin, April- June 1972	5 5
TABLE XXII.	Actinomycete Counts in Water, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	56
TABLE XXIII.	Actinomycete Counts from Bottom Substrates, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	57
TABLE XXIV.	Actinomycete Counts from Leaf Litter, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	58
TABLE XXV.	Actinomycete Counts from Periphyton, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	59

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	1.	Station Locations on the Broad River and Its Major Tributaries
FIGURE	2.	Station 13, First Broad River South of Shelby, North Carolina
FIGURE	3.	Station 14, Broad River Below Boiling Springs, North Carolina
FIGURE	4.	Station 5, Located at the Duke Power Hydroelectric Plant
FIGURE	5.	Station 11, Located at the Duke Power Hydroelectric Plant
FIGURE	6.	Station 1, Located at the Columbia Water Treatment Plant, Broad River Diversion Canal
FIGURE	7.	Leaf Litter at Station 3, Harbison State Forest 17
FIGURE	8.	Diel Dissolved Oxygen, Columbia Canal of the Broad River, April-June 1972 60
FIGURE	9.	Musty Odors, Flow, Water Temperature, Maximum and Minimum Air Temperatures for the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, Vicinity from January-June 1969 61
FIGURE	10.	Musty Odors, Flow, Water Temperature, Maximum and Minimum Air Temperature for the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, Vicinity from January-June 1970 62
FIGURE	11.	Musty Odors, Flow, Water Temperature, Maximum and Minimum Air Temperatures for the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, Vicinity from January-June 1971 63
FIGURE	12.	Musty Odors, Flow, Water Temperature, Maximum and Minimum Air Temperatures for the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, Vicinity from January-June 1972 64
FIGURE	13.	Rainfall from the Columbia, South Carolina, Airport, January-June 1972
FIGURE	14.	Rainfall from Parr, South Carolina, January-June 1972

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

			<u>P</u>	age
FIGURE	15.	Average Actinomycete Counts from Stations 1 and 3, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	•	67
FIGURE	16.	Average Actinomycete Counts from Station 5, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	٠	68
FIGURE	17.	Average Actinomycete Counts from Stations 9 and 10, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	•	69
FIGURE	18.	Average Actinomycete Counts from Station 11, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	• .	70
FIGURE	19.	Average Actinomycete Counts from Station 13, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	•	71
FIGURE	20.	Average Actinomycete Counts from Station 14, Broad River Basin, April-June 1972	•	72

SUMMARY

- During the spring of 1972, personnel from Region IV, Surveillance and Analysis Division of the Environmental Protection Agency conducted an investigation for the South Carolina Pollution Control Authority to determine the source and cause of musty odors in the Columbia, South Carolina, water supply.
- At approximately 3-week intervals between April 4 and June 12, 1972, biological and chemical samples were collected from 19 stations along the Broad River and its tributaries in North and South Carolina.
- 3. Samples collected during the high rainfall and increasing flows on May 9-10 contained the highest average water concentrations of TOC, total phosphate as phosphorus, ammonia-nitrogen, and TKN found during any one sampling period in the basin.
- 4. Water samples from tributary streams draining the Greenville-Spartanburg area and from the Broad River below Carlisle textile mill contained higher concentrations of nutrients than samples from other locations in the basin.
- 5. Concentrations of organic carbon (TOC) in water from tributary streams and in the main river below Carlisle textile mill were similar to TOC concentrations found in grossly-polluted Butler Creek, a tributary to the middle reach of the Savannah River.
- Highest concentrations of organic materials (TKN and TOC) in sediments were found in samples collected from Parr Reservoir and the canal at Lockhardt, South Carolina. On three occasions,

concentrations of organic carbon at these locations exceeded 3 percent by weight.

- 7. Past data received from Columbia water plant personnel and data from the Broad River study indicate that odor production is dependent on air and water temperature, rainfall, and flow.
- Musty odor in water samples reached its highest average values on May 9-10, 1972, in the basin, tributaries, rivers, and impoundments, coinciding with odor reports by Columbia water treatment plant personnel.
- 9. Leaf litter odors were considerably higher than water odors; and throughout the study period, leaf litter odor increased while leaf litter wet weight decreased.
- 10. All actinomycetes isolated from Broad River basin samples and maintained on agar subsequently produced characteristic musty odors.
- 11. Average stream substrate and leaf litter actinomycete counts generally increased throughout the study period.
- 12. Leaf litter actinomycete counts were generally higher than actinomycete counts from stream substrate and periphyton samples.

2

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The musty odors found in the Columbia, South Carolina, municipal water supply are not unique to that area, but are a widespread phenomenon in the Broad River Basin. Actinomycetes, common throughout the basin, are the organisms producing the musty odors; however, actinomycete growth and musty odor production appear to be dependent on the influx and storage of organic matter and other nutrients, air and water temperature, rainfall, and stream flow during the spring season. The major tributaries in South Carolina appear to be a primary source of organic matter and other nutrients, while the canals and reservoirs act as a "sink" for these nutrients, thus providing a substrate conducive to actinomycete growth and odor production.

Columbia water treatment plant personnel should create an odor panel and regularly sample upstream in the vicinity of Parr Dam for odors during the spring of the year. Personnel should be prepared to treat the water with activated carbon when air temperatures and water temperatures of 17°C or greater occur during extended spring-time low-flow (less than 6,500 cfs) periods (2 to 5 weeks) and upstream threshold odors are 4 or greater. When the above conditions occur, severe odor problems can be expected; therefore, treatment should begin as soon as possible.

Inputs of wastes from municipalities and industries in the Broad River Basin should be reduced to levels commensurate with available waste treatment technology. Particular attention should be given to wastes from Lockhardt and Carlisle textile mills and discharges into tributary streams draining the Greenville-Spartanburg area. 3

INTRODUCTION

The Columbia, South Carolina, water treatment plant has experienced taste and odor problems since the spring of 1969. Water from the Broad River reportedly had a "musty" or "earthy" smell accompanied by a foul taste.

On February 22, 1972, the South Carolina Pollution Control Authority (PCA) requested the Surveillance and Analysis Division (S&A) of Region IV, Environmental Protection Agency, to initiate a comprehensive study in the spring of 1972.

The study encompassed three objectives: (1) to determine the source or sources of the odor, (2) to identify the odoriferous compound, and (3) to recommend remedial measures.

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

The Broad River originates on the eastern slope of the Blue Ridge Mountains of southwestern North Carolina at an elevation of approximately 4,000 feet. It flows southeasterly, entering South Carolina near Gaffney. It then flows southerly, impeded only by a few run-of-the-river type reservoirs, to the vicinity of Columbia where it is joined by the Saluda River to become the Congaree River (Figure 1).

The principal tributaries to the Broad River are the First Broad, Second Broad, Pacolet, Tyger, and Enoree rivers. The First and Second Broad rivers lie wholly in North Carolina and join the Broad River prior to its entering South Carolina (Figure 1).

Geologically, two counties (Polk and Rutherford) lie in the Blue Ridge; eight counties (Cherokee, Chester, Fairfield, Greenville, Newberry, Spartanburg, Union, and York) lie in the Piedmont; two counties (Lexingtor and Richland) lie in the Sandhills.

The basin has a mild climate with average annual temperatures of about $58^{\circ}F$, ranging from a low monthly mean of $40^{\circ}F$ in January to $75^{\circ}F$ in July and August. Although freezing temperatures occur about 80 days each winter, summers are warm and winters are relatively mild. Rainfall over the basin averages about 54 inches per year.

The present study was conducted from April 4 to June 13, 1972. During this period, a total of five 2-day sampling trips were made in South Carolina. Rain occurred 60 percent of the time while personnel were sampling the area and 80 percent of the time on the two days preceding each sampling trip (Table I).

FIGURE I.

STATION LOCATIONS ON THE BROAD RIVER AND ITS MAJOR TRIBUTARIES



TABLE I.--Average Rainfall Throughout the Broad River Basin, South Carolina, April-June 1972

Days	Average Rainfa										infall ¹ (inches)									
	4/2	4/3	4/4	4/5	4/22	4/23	4/24	4/25	5/7	5/8	5/9	5/10	5/28	5/29	5/30	5/31	6/10	6/11	6/12	6/13
Daily	0.011	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.28	0.20	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.61	0.00	0.08	0.17	0.05	0.09	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00
4-day Total ² 2-day Total ² during sample collection		0.	11 09			0.4	49 01			1.: 0.(26			0.	38 14			0.1	14 D0	

¹ Average based on rainfall from 22 gauging stations in South Carolina.

² Summation of daily averages.

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) has four streamflow gauging stations on the Broad River between Casar, North Carolina, and Richtex, South Carolina, and one on a major tributary, the Enoree River at Enoree, South Carolina (Table II).

The high amount of rainfall throughout the May 7-10, 1972, period (Table I) was reflected in the highest flows on May 9-10, 1972, at the USGS gauging stations for any 2-day sampling period (Table III).

The Richtex, South Carolina, gauging station is a short distance above the Columbia water treatment plant in the downstream portion of the study area. Over a 42-year period of record, the 7-day minimum flow at Richtex was 593 cfs. The 7-day maximum flow recorded during the record period was 80,500 cfs (Table II).

During the period of this study, stream flow at Richtex ranged from a low of 3,740 cfs on June 12, 1972, to a high of 31,100 cfs on May 16, 1972 (Figure 12).

The basin contains a total population of about one million people, and approximately 66 percent are located in the metropolitan areas of Greenville-Spartanburg and Columbia, South Carolina. Industry is found throughout the basin; however, the two major areas of concentration are in the vicinity of Greenville-Spartanburg and Columbia. Major industries in the area include the manufacture of textiles, paper, plastics, and foods.

Numerous waste sources exist on the Broad River and its tributaries. Examination of STORET waste source data reveals that 55 waste sources in North and South Carolina discharge municipal wastes into the Broad River or its tributaries (Table IV).

|--|

Mean Discharge¹ on the Broad River and its Tributaries

			7 Consec	utive	Days	1	4 Consec	utive	Days	3	0 Consec	utive	Days
River & Gauging Station	Period	L	WO		High	L	OW		High	L	OW		High
	of Record	Year	cfs	Year	cfs	Year	cfs	Year	cfs	Year	cfs	Year	cfs
Brcad - Casar, N. C.	1960-1969	1964	19.60	1960	723.00	1964	22.20	1 9 65	548.00	1964	24.10	1960	341.00
Broad - Boiling Springs, N. C.	1926-1969	1957	185.00	1928	22,400.00	1955	214.00	1928	12,500.00	1 955	228.00	1928	8,000.00
Broad - Carlisle, S. C.	1939-1969	1955	475.00	1945	33,200.00	1955	482.00	1965	24,900.00	1955	507.00	1952	15,300.00
Enoree - Enoree, S. C.	1930-1968	1955	20.70	1936	6,060.00	1955	23.00	1 9 36	3,710.00	1955	26.10	1936	2,240.00
Broad - Richtex, S. C.	1926-1969	1955	593.00	1930	80,500.00	1955	608.00	1936	50,800.00	1955	646.00	1936	32,700.00

¹ Data obtained from STORET.

9

Station				Da	aily Ave	rage Flow	w (cfs)					- <u> </u>
	4/4	4/5	4/6	4/24	4/25	4/26	5/9	5/10	5/30	5/31	6/12	6/13
Broad River near Richtex, S.C.	7,080	6,640		6,020	5,930		5,850	10,200	5,600	5,360	3,740	3, 860
Enoree River near Enoree, S.C.	551	545		574	488		1,180	723	434	422	342	316
Broad River near Carlisle, S.C.	4,260	4,450		3,420	4,530		6,230	6,500	3,860	4,240	2,710	
Broad River near Boiling Springs, N.C.	1,640	1,600	1,520	1,120	1,460	1,350	2,410	1,950	2,030	2,090	1,040 [.]	1,280
First Broad River near Casar, N.C.	97	91	89	85	86	78	143	106	94	86	60	60

TABLE III.--Average Flow¹ on the Broad River and Its Tributaries, April-June 1972

¹ Data obtained from STORET.

State	•	Fotals	Sources w No Tr	ith Primary or reatment	Sources with Secondary Treatment				
	Sources	Pop. Served	Sources	Pop. Served	Sources	Pop. Served			
North Carolina	10	37,360	2	1,560	8	35,800			
South Carolina	45	143,564	26	56,385	19	87,179			
TOTALS	55	180,924	28	57,945	27	122,979			

TABLE IV_F-Municipal Waste Sources¹

¹Data obtained from STORET.

In addition to these municipal waste sources, 56 industrial waste sources are located in the basin. Consequently, organic wastes and suspended solids are major pollution problems on the Broad River and its tributaries (1).

The Broad, Tyger, Enoree, and Pacolet rivers are Class B waters, suitable for domestic supply after complete treatment, propagation of fish, industrial and agricultural uses, and other uses requiring water of <u>lesser quality</u>.

STATION LOCATIONS AND DESCRIPTIONS

The 19 stations sampled can be divided into seven categories:

- 1. Broad River main stem and headwater stations 4, 8, 12, 13, 14.
- 2. Impoundment stations 5, 11.
- 3. Canal stations 1, 9.
- 4. Tributary stream stations 6, 7, 10.
- 5. Terrestrial stations 3.
- 6. Water treatment plant station 2.
- 7. Special stations CS, FC, LL, PR, BC.

road River Main Stem and Headwater Stations

<u>Station 4</u> - This station (Figure 1) was located on the Broad River ust below Parr Dam and Power Plant next to South Carolina Highway 213 ridge. It was designated for periphyton sampler placement. However, he river was high; and suitable objects could not be used to secure the samplers on April 4, 1972. This station was abandoned and the samplers /ere placed at Station 5.

<u>Station 8</u> - This station (Figure 1) is located on the Broad River It South Carolina Highway 72 bridge near Carlisle, South Carolina. It has sloping, high clay banks that level off for about 20 yards before reaching the edge of the river channel. The banks are covered with hardwoods and a dense undergrowth of perennials.

<u>Station 12</u> - This station (Figure 1) is located on the Broad River at South Carolina Highway 18 bridge just north of Interstate 85. <u>Station 13</u> - This station (Figures 1 and 2) is located on the First Broad River just upstream from North Carolina Highway 150 bridge, 5 miles east of Boiling Springs and 4 miles south-southwest of Shelby, North Carolina. The bottom substrate consists of sand and silt.

<u>Station 14</u> - This station (Figures 1 and 3) is located on the Broad River at the North Carolina Highway 150 bridge, 2 miles south of Boiling Springs, North Carolina. The river is wide and shallow with an extensive riffle area and a sand-clay bottom.

Impoundment Stations

<u>Station 5</u> - This station (Figures 1 and 4) is located on the Broad River just above Parr Dam at the Duke Power Company hydroelectric plant. This dam is considered a "run-of-the-river" type dam; however, it forms a "reservoir" approximately 1 mile long. The water depth is about 10 feet, and the bottom consists of silt and clay sediments which have accumulated to considerable depths behind the dam. The river banks sustain dense hardwood forests.

<u>Station 11</u> - This station (Figures 1 and 5) is located on the Broad River at the Duke Power Company hydroelectric plant and dam at the end of county Highway 43, approximately 4miles south of Blacksburg, South Carolina. The site includes another "run-of-the-river" dam in which substantial amounts of silt and sand have accumulated to form an island behind the dam; therefore, the water depth is only 10 to 11 feet.

Canal Stations

<u>Station 1</u> - This station (Figures 1 and 6) is located on the Broad River diversion canal near the Columbia, South Carolina, water treatment



FIGURE 2.--Station 13, First Broad River south of Shelby, North Carolina.



FIGURE 3.--Station 14, Broad River below Boiling Springs, North Carolina.



FIGURE 4.--Station 5, located at the Duke Power hydroelectric plant.



FIGURE 5.--Station 11, located at the Duke Power hydroelectric plant. Note the island in background formed from sediment deposition.



FIGURE 6.--Station 1, located at the Columbia water treatment plant Broad River diversion canal.



FIGURE 7.--Leaf litter at Station 3, Harbison State Forest.

plant intake pipes. The canal is approximately 15 yards wide with steep clay banks that are lined with some pines and hardwoods. The canal and its diversion dam originate approximately 1 mile above the water treatment plant.

<u>Station 9</u> - This station (Figure 1) is located on the Broad River diversion canal at South Carolina Highways 9 and 49 in Lockhardt, South Carolina, just below the diversion dam, Lockhardt Textile Mill, and the water treatment plant. The bottom substrates consist of clay and silt in combination with a black, hard, tar-like substance.

Tributary Stream Stations

<u>Station 6</u> - This station (Figure 1) is located on the Enoree River at county Highway 45 bridge in Sumter National Forest. The shoreline is characterized by gradually sloping clay banks with hardwood forests.

<u>Station 7</u> - This station (Figure 1) is located on the Tyger River at county Highway 54 bridge in Sumter National Forest. The shoreline is characterized by gradually sloping clay banks with hardwood forests.

<u>Station 10</u> - This station (Figure 1) is located on the Pacolet River at South Carolina Highway 105 bridge. The shoreline is characterized by sloping clay banks with hardwood forests.

Terrestrial Station

<u>Station 3</u> - This station (Figures 1 and 7) is located in the hardwood forest section of Harbison State Forest near Nicholas Creek. Harbison State Forest is located approximately 4 miles north-northwest of the South Carolina Correctional Institution for Girls and borders the west bank of the Broad River. Leaf litter samples from the forest terrain were collected for odor and microbiological analyses at this station and proximal to Stations 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 14.

Water Treatment Plant Station

<u>Station 2</u> - Water samples for biological and chemical analyses were collected in the Columbia water treatment plant after chlorination.

Special Stations

During the reported musty odor problem on May 5-10, the following additional stations were sampled by EPA personnel:

<u>Cliffside (CS)</u> - This station (Figure 1) is located on the Second Broad River just south of Cliffside, North Carolina, on U. S. Alternate Highway 221. The bottom substrate consists of clay and sand.

Forest City (FC) - This station (Figure 1) is located at the Forest City, North Carolina, water treatment plant. Raw water samples piped into the treatment plant from the Second Broad River were collected at this station.

Lake Lure (LL) - This station (Figure 1) is located about 2 miles below Lake Lure Dam, North Carolina, along U. S. Highway 74.

<u>Pacolet River (PR)</u> - This station is located on the Pacolet River above Spartanburg, South Carolina, at S. C. Highway 9 bridge. The river bottom was sandy and the banks were lined with hardwoods.

<u>Browns Creek (BC)</u> - This station is located at County Highway 86 crossing about 5 miles below Lockhardt, South Carolina.

All stations were located in the South and North Carolina Piedmont Except Stations 1, 2, and 3, which were in the Sandhill counties of Lexington and Richland, South Carolina.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples were collected at Stations 1, 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 13, and 14 over a 2- to 3-day period at approximately 3-week intervals on five occasions between April 4 and June 13, 1972. All 14 sampling sites were visited only on the initial sampling trip (April 4-6, 1972) and on the May 9-10 sampling trip.

Between May 5 and 10, 1972, Columbia water treatment plant personnel received 15 complaints regarding musty water odors. On the subsequent sampling trip (May 9-10) other special stations (see station list) also were sampled for odor and chemical analyses.

Chemistry

One water sample was collected for odor analysis at each station, refrigerated, and shipped to the Region IV Surveillance and Analysis Division laboratory the same day. The odor test was conducted according to <u>Standard Methods</u>, 13th Edition (2). Odor tests in the field were conducted according to <u>Standard Methods</u> (2) except two to three people were on the panel and the test was at ambient temperature. Also, on May 9, 1972, dilution water with a chlorine residual was used with the regular dilution water in the field.

Forest leaf litter odor tests were conducted according to the following scheme:

Two replicate leaf litter samples were collected at random with a 6-inch diameter plexiglass pipe, weighed, placed in a bag, chilled, and sent to SERL. Laboratory analysis consisted of:

- 1. Chopping up the leaves.
- 2. Placing the contents in a 500-ml graduated cylinder.
- 3. Adding 200 ml of chlorine-free distilled water.
- 4. Mixing for 5 minutes.
- 5. Waiting for 5 minutes.
- 6. Filtering the sample through Whatman filter paper.
- Conducting the test on the supernatant according to Standard Methods (2).

On each sampling trip, three replicate (reduced on May 30 to two replicate) water samples and one replicate (increased on May 9 to two replicate) substrate sample were collected at certain stations and analyzed for nitrogen, phosphorus, chemical oxygen demand (COD), and total organic carbon (TOC). Substrate COD was determined according to <u>Standard Methods</u> (2), and substrate total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) was determined according to the <u>Chemistry Laboratory Manual for Bottom Sediments</u> (3). <u>EPA Methods</u> for the <u>Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes</u> (4) was used to determine TOC, total phosphate, nitrate-nitrite-nitrogen, ammonia-nitrogen, and TKN in water and total phosphate in the substrates.

In an attempt to identify the odoriferous compound, one replicate water sample and one replicate substrate sample were collected at Stations 1, 5, 9, and 14.

Diel dissolved oxygen (DO) and temperature were collected and determined by Columbia water treatment personnel at Station 1.

Biology

Two replicate plankton samples were collected at all aquatic stations each sampling period, and Sedgwick-Rafter analyses were conducted according

to Standard Methods (2).

Periphyton diatometers were placed in the river at Stations 1, 5, and 11. Two slides were extracted from each station at 2-, 3-, 4-, and 9-week intervals, and Sedgwick-Rafter analyses were conducted according to <u>Standard Methods</u> (2). Due to flooding, samplers were lost at Station 11 the first week.

Microbiology

Water, substrate, leaf litter, and periphyton samples were analyzed for the presence and relative distribution of actinomycetes, using a pour plate technique (2). Known amounts of the various samples were diluted using buffered dilution water, pH 7.2. Either 1.0 ml or 0.1 ml of inoculum from the appropriate decimal dilution was plated.

Actinomycete Isolation Agar (5) was employed for isolation. After plating, the plates were incubated for 7 to 10 days at room temperature (25° to 28°C). Following incubation, characteristic actinomycete colonies were counted. Selected colonies exhibiting characteristic morphologies were picked and examined microscopically for filament and spore arrangement.

Actinomycete counts made using the above-mentioned techniques should be regarded as relative. Factors such as bacterial overgrowth, fungal overgrowth, atypical colony characteristics, and failure to recognize characteristic colonies may affect the accuracy of such a counting procedure.

22

ANALYTICAL SAMPLING RESULTS

Total Organic Carbon

Water

During the study period, TOC in water samples ranged from 0.80 mg/1 to 17.16 mg/1 (Table V).

Averaged over the entire study period, the canal stations (1 and 9) and one impoundment station (11) had somewhat higher TOC concentrations. Station 14, in the headwaters of the Broad River, had the lowest average TOC concentration.

Samples on May 9 and 10, 1972, were collected during and just after a period of high rainfall (Table I) with concomitant increasing streamflow (Table III and Figure 12), and the river waters were visibly roiled and turbid. Streamflow had been decreasing immediately prior to this time. Concentrations of TOC on May 9-10, 1972, were considerably higher than concentrations in samples collected on the other four sampling trips. TOC at stations on tributary streams (6 and 7) draining the urbanized and industrialized Greenville-Spartanburg area were unusually high, as was Station 8 just below Carlisle Textile Mill (Table V). The impoundment stations (5 and 11) were 1.2 to 1.9 times higher than the river and tributary stations, respectively, during the low-flow periods of April and June (Table III).

In a comparison with available STORET TOC data from the Savannah and Chattahoochee Rivers, the maximum TOC concentrations in the Broad River were considerably higher than maximum concentrations reported from the Savannah River and were near the maximum concentration reported from the Chattahoochee River below Atlanta, Georgia. TOC concentrations from tributary stations (6 and 7) and Station 8 on May 9 and 10, 1972, were comparable to concentrations reported from Butler Creek, a grosslypolluted tributary to the Savannah River (6).

Bottom Substrates

Concentrations of TOC in substrates ranged from 971 mg/kg dry weight to 36,704 mg/kg dry weight (Table VI).

On three occasions TOC concentrations exceeded 3.0 percent -- twice at impoundment Station 5 during low-flow periods and once at canal Station 9 on May 9, 1972. These two stations have been associated with past odor problems. Highest TOC concentrations were generally found in the canals and impoundments (especially Parr reservoir) and the lowest concentrations at the headwater stations.

Finger and Wastler (7) found that Charleston Harbor muds contiguous to industrial and domestic waste sources contained 2.34 to 5.87 percent TOC by weight. Average TOC concentrations from Parr Reservoir (Station 5) and canal Station 9 for the entire study were 2.22 and 2.06 percent, respectively -- slightly less than the minimum TOC limit of 2.34 percent found by Finger and Wastler (7).

Chemical Oxygen Demand

Chemical oxygen demand (COD) was used to derive the TOC values in the bottom substrates; however, the COD results are of interest in themselves because Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guideline limits for open water dredging disposal have been set at a minimum of 5 percent (50,000 mg/kg dry weight) in bottom substrates. Seven times during the study, COD values exceeded the 5-percent guideline limit (Table VII). The seven high values were from samples collected at canal stations (1 and 9), Parr Reservoir (Station 5), and Station 13, where odor problems have occurred in the past.

Phosphorus

Water

Total phosphate concentrations ranged from 0.005 mg/1 to 0.316 mg/1 (Table VIII).

Lowest total phosphate concentrations for the entire study period were found in samples from headwater stations (13 and 14).

During the high-flow and precipitation period of May 9-10, 1972, (Tables I and III), the tributaries had the highest total phosphate concentrations. Stations 6 and 7, especially, contributed considerable amounts of total phosphate to the system during the May 9-10, 1972, period.

Historical STORET total phosphorus data from stations on the Broad River near Carlisle and Gaffney, South Carolina, from April 1, 1968, to December 15, 1971, averaged 0.034 and 0.028 mg/l, respectively -considerably lower than concentrations found during the present study of the Broad River.

Phosphorus in flowing waters originates from a number of possible sources (8):

 Groundwater - Water percolating through soils dissolves phosphorus compounds from minerals. This phosphorus enters surface waters via seepage or springs and the pumping of wells.

- Rainfall Most of the phosphorus in rainfall is the result of "washout" of atmospheric particulate material whose composition and quantity govern the concentration in rainfall.
- 3. Land runoff Surface drainage is often the major contributor of phosphorus to a waterway. The quantity entering by drainage is dependent upon:
 - a. Quantity of phosphorus present in soils.
 - b. Topography.
 - c. Vegetative cover.
 - d. Quantity and duration of runoff.
 - e. Land use.
 - f. Pollution.

The high phosphate values in the tributaries during the high precipitation period on May 9-10 indicated that much of the phosphorus coming into the Broad River was probably from land runoff.

Substrates

Total phosphate concentrations in substrates ranged from 44 mg/kg dry weight to 985 mg/kg dry weight (Table IX).

The maximum total phosphate concentration was found at tributary Station 10 during the high flow period of May 10, 1972. On all other dates, maximum phosphate concentrations were found at the canal stations and in Parr Reservoir (Station 5)

Phosphate concentrations in Parr Reservoir (Station 5) were consistently high throughout the study, while concentrations in headwater stations (13 and 14) in North Carolina were consistently low. Total phosphorus in the Broad River Basin substrates was comparable to concentrations in substrates found in recent studies of the Mobile River where the range was 44 to 1,300 mg/kg dry weight. In the Mobile River studies, sandy sediments generally contained the lowest concentrations of total phosphorus and clay sediments were higher. The Broad River phosphate concentrations followed the same general pattern. Reservoir Station 11 (sand-clay sediments) yielded the lowest average total phosphate concentration throughout the study period while canal stations (1 and 9) and reservoir Station 5 (clay sediments) were considerably higher.

Nitrogen

Water

Average nitrate-nitrite concentrations ranged from 0.03 to 0.82 mg/1 (Table X).

Throughout the study period, samples from headwater Station 14 had the lowest nitrate-nitrite concentration; whereas samples from Station 13 below Shelby, North Carolina, had the highest concentration.

Tributary stations had higher nitrate-nitrite concentrations on April 4-6 and May 9-10, 1972, than impoundment or river stations.

During the period of this study, ammonia nitrogen concentrations ranged from 0.005 to 0.340 mg/1 (Table XI).

Semimonthly averages indicate that highest concentrations of ammonia were found on May 9-10 and June 12-13. The highs were consistent at all categories of stations sampled -- tributaries, impoundments, and main river. Both the May 9-10 and June 12-13 sampling periods were preceded by periods of decreasing streamflow and low rainfall.

On May 9-10 during high rainfall, the tributary stations sampled had appreciably higher concentrations of ammonia nitrogen than did the Broad River and its impoundments.

Of the special stations studied on May 9-10, unusually high concentrations of ammonia nitrogen were detected at Second Broad River stations near Forest City and Cliffside, North Carolina (Table XI).

Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) is a measure of total unsatisfied nitrogen as both nitrogen and ammonia. Average concentrations ranged from 0.07 to 0.73 mg/l (Table XII).

Stations did not appreciably differ for the entire study period except that Station 14 was slightly lower than other stations.

During the high rainfall and flow period of May 9-10, 1972, TKN averages in the tributaries were almost twice as high as the impounded stations.

The nitrate-nitrite nitrogen values and TKN concentrations at various times throughout the study period were not limiting to algal growth (9).

Substrates

TKN concentrations in substrates ranged from a low of 250 mg/kg dry weight to a high of 4,500 mg/kg (Table XIII).

For the period of study, reservoir Station 5 and canal Station 9 had considerably higher TKN concentrations; whereas, headwater Stations13 and 14 had the lowest average TKN concentrations. Semimonthly average concentrations were considerably higher at all categories of stations during the high rainfall and flow period of May 9-10. River stations had considerably higher concentrations than the impoundments and tributaries during the high-flow period.

EPA places restrictions on dredging activities in areas where substrate TKN concentrations exceed 0.10 percent. During the Broad River Study, TKN values exceeded the 0.10-percent limit 54 percent of the time.

Dissolved Oxygen

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations in the Columbia canal ranged from 4.8 mg/1 to 9.3 mg/1 (Table XIV and Figure 8). The minimum DO of 4.8 mg/1 was above the minimum standard of 4.0 mg/1 set for Class B waters. The greatest difference during any one diel cycle was 3.2 mg/1 on June 22-23. Most diel dissolved oxygen differences during the study ranged from 0.5 to 1.7 mg/1.

Whenever marked supersaturation is encountered, it is presumably attributable to photosynthesis. None of the DO concentrations in samples from the canal exceeded 100 percent saturation.

There are a number of factors or combination of factors which may directly affect DO in an aquatic system, such as stabilization of soluble or suspended oxygen-demanding materials, stabilization of bottom deposits containing high organic content, photosynthesis and respiration, and reaeration. The unusual lows at midday and highs in the evening probably are due to a combination of the above factors. According to water treatment plant personnel, a number of samples were collected on
overcast days. Also, oxidation pond effluents and runoff from the suburbs were known to enter the diversion reservoir. Parr Dam, 25 miles and 6 to 8 hours flow time upstream from Columbia, may also influence the DO concentrations in the canal.

The oxygen content of samples collected on May 4-5, when odor complaints were received by the Columbia water treatment plant personnel, was not unusually high and exhibited only a 0.5 mg/l difference throughout the diel period (Table XIV).

fusty Odor

On May 5, 1972, Columbia water treatment plant personnel received musty odor complaints from consumers at the end of the water lines. The odor complaints continued through May 10, 1972, and totaled approximately 15. The Biological Services Branch of Surveillance & Analysis Division was informed of the problem on May 8 and initiated sample collection on May 9. Mr. Keeler, superintendent of the Columbia water treatment plant, immediately started treating the water with activated carbon after the complaints were received and continued carbon treatment for the duration of the study period. No further complaints were received throughout the study period.

Average threshold odors in the field ranged from 0.0 to a distinct 4.0 when chlorine residual water was used on May 9-10, 1972 (Table XV).

Average laboratory threshold odor numbers ranged from 1.0 to a distinct 4.0 at Station 14 on May 9 (Table XVI). In general, laboratory odor numbers (Table XVI) were higher than field odor numbers (Table XV). Throughout the study period, reservoir Station 5 and canal Station 1 had a slightly higher average odor of 2.2 and 2.1, respectively. The high basin, tributary, and river odors were recorded on May 9-10, and the high impoundment odors were recorded on May 9-10 and during the low flow period of June 12-13.

Unfortunately, the compounds causing odors could not be chemically or physically isolated from the water.

Leaf litter odors (Table XVII) were considerably higher than water odors (Table XVI), ranging from 15.3 to 200.0.

Station 5 (Parr Reservoir) had the highest average leaf litter odor number of 85.0 for the entire study period, with Stations 11, 13, and 14 near the North and South Carolina border having the lowest average odor numbers. Throughout the study period, average basin leaf litter odor numbers (Table XVII) increased, while average basin leaf litter wet weights decreased (XVIII). The increased odor and decreased leaf litter weight would be expected if actinomycetes were actively degrading the leaf biomass and releasing various biochemical products.

From an historical standpoint, Columbia water treatment plant records show that during the May 15, 1969; March 15, 1971; May 24, 1971; and May 5, 1972, periods musty odors were present but were mild for approximately 3 to 5 days (Figures 9, 11, and 12). During the May 18, 1970, and June 7, 1971, periods musty odors were severe for approximately 2 weeks (Figures 10 and 11)

The mild odors during the May 15, 1969, period occurred after a period of high flows (greater than 6,500 cfs) from April 14 through April 29, 1969, followed by a 2-week period of low flows (less than 6,500 cfs). The odor disappeared on May 20, 1969, when flows exceeded 6,500 cfs. The musty odor occurred when average maximum air temperatures exceeded 20° C.

Three times during the spring of 1971 musty odors occurred -- two were mild occurrences, and one was severe. The two mild odors occurred during the March 15 and May 24, 1971, periods, immediately following high flow periods (Figure 11). In March, the average maximum air temperature was 17°C, while the water temperature was 12°C. The air and water temperatures continued to increase throughout April; and in May, when the second mild odor occurred, the average maximum air temperature was 28°C, and the water temperatures were 19° to 21°C.

During the 1972 sample collection period, rainfall was prevalent throughout the basin (Table I) and the Columbia area (Figures 13 and 14). The prevalent rainfall resulted in relatively high flows (6,500 cfs or greater) except during the June 12-13, 1972, period (Figure 12). Although subtle musty odors were noticed throughout the sample collection period, mild odors were not noticed by the Columbia, South Carolina, public until May 5, 1972. The mild musty odors occurred approximately 3 weeks after a relatively high-flow period (March 23 to April 16, 1972) and when the average maximum air temperature ranged from 25°C to 32°C and the water temperatures ranged from 18° to 20°C. The odor problem ended on May 10 following high rainfalls and increased flows (Figures 12, 13, and 14).

Severe musty odors were noticed twice during the past 4 years -once on May 18, 1970, and once on June 7, 1971 (Figures 10 and 11). On both occasions, the average minimum air temperature was 20° C or greater, and the water temperature ranged from 22° to 27° C. The June 7, 1971, musty odor period occurred approximately 2 weeks after high flows

32

(May 13 to 22, 1971, period) and disappeared on about June 18, 1971, when flows exceeded 6,500 cfs (Figure 11). The May 18, 1970, period occurred approximately 5 weeks after high flows (March 20 to April 10, 1970) and disappeared on about June 3, 1970.

Biology

Plankton and Periphyton

Phytoplankton counts were low, ranging from 0/ml to 174/ml (Table XIX). Highest average counts for the basin were recorded on June 12-13 during the low-flow period (Table III). Based on observations at the station sites, the phytoplankton did not contribute to any water discoloration during the study period, and the counts were considerably lower than 500/ml as defined by Lackey (8) for algal blooms.

Zooplankton organisms were sparse, ranging from an average of 0/1 to 130/1 throughout the study period (Table XX).

Average periphyton counts were low, ranging from $4/\text{mm}^2$ at Station 1 to $50/\text{mm}^2$ at Station 5 (Table XXI).

<u>Microbiology</u>

Actinomycetes

All of the actinomycetes isolated from Broad River Basin samples and maintained on agar subsequently produced the characteristic musty odor.

Actinomycetes in the water ranged from 100/100 ml to 22,500/100 ml (Table XX). On May 9-10 reservoir stations had a low average actinomycete count of 2,612/100 ml, while the river stations had the highest actinomycete counts of 11,385/100 ml. Average actinomycete counts in the substrates ranged from 500/g to 765,000/g (Table XXIII). Station 5 had the greatest average actinomycete counts for the entire study period, and Station 13 had the lowest average counts. There was a general increase in the average actinomycete counts during the study, culminating in a high of 172,375/g for the basin and 390,000 for the reservoirs. River stations had higher average actinomycete counts than impoundments except on June 12-13 when the average actinomycete impoundment count was higher.

Average leaf litter actinomycete counts ranged from 7,000/g to 12,500,000/g (Table XXIV). Actinomycete counts in the basin generally increased throughout the study period. Leaf litter odor (Table XVII) also increased throughout the study period. Average actinomycete counts in periphyton ranged from 0/g to 7,500/g (Table XXV).

Average leaf litter actinomycete counts were generally higher than average substrate and periphyton actinomycete counts at Stations 1, 5, 9, 11, 13, and 14 (Figures 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20).

34

DISCUSSION

The city of Columbia has experienced the "musty" odor problem since 1969; however, this problem is not unique to the Columbia area. It is apparently widespread throughout the Broad River Basin and also occurs in the Savannah River Basin. The communities of Lockhart, Union, and Carlisle, South Carolina, have reported musty odor problems in the past (11). Shelby, North Carolina, has had some problems as far back as 1952 (12). Forest City, North Carolina, has had an occasional musty odor smell in the fall (13); and Norris, South Carolina, in the Savannah River Basin, has had musty odor problems in the past few years in their reservoirs (14). Musty odors, on occasion, permeate the shallow wells in the northern counties of South Carolina, especially when the area has a dry fall and a wet spring (15). Musty odor is accentuated when chlorine residual water is used in an odor test (16), as the results in Table XV revealed.

Musty or earthy odor has been associated with a number of different elgal and fungal organisms in the past. Thirty-nine species have been selected by Palmer (17) as representative of the more important taste and odor algae. Musty odoriferous substances have only been identified from the blue-green algae <u>Symploca muscorum</u> (18) and <u>Oscillatoria tenuis</u> (19) and a few actinomycetes such as <u>Streptomyces</u> species (20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26). <u>Symploca muscorum</u> and <u>Oscillatoria tenuis</u> were not found in our plankton and periphyton samples. Due to the absence of <u>S. muscorum</u> and <u>O. tenuis</u> and the low zooplankton, algal plankton, and algal periphyton counts in the Broad River Basin samples, the algae and zooplankton are not considered major contributing factors to musty odors in the Broad River.

According to Silvey, et al. (16), actinomycetes of the genus Streptomyces are especially responsive to changes in temperature. The minimum temperature at which the spores will germinate and produce a vegetative growth is 15° C. At this temperature, few byproducts of the organisms are apparent. At 17° C the byproducts may be extracted either from water or from a culture medium, although the total concentration of chemicals will be minimal. As the temperature increases, the activity of the organisms is enhanced and the concentration of the actinomycetes is in direct proportion to available organic matter. This was exemplified with the leaf litter biomass decreasing and the actinomycete counts and the threshold odor numbers increasing throughout the study (Tables XVIII, XXIV, and XVII).

Past data (Figures 9 through 14) from the Columbia area indicate that air and water temperatures, rainfall, and flows are important to musty odor production in the Columbia, South Carolina, vicinity of the Broad River.

Rains and increased flows bring a considerable amount of organic matter and other nutrients via land runoff into the river. The importance of rainfall and increased flows are shown by data collected May 9-10 when the highest average TOC (Table V), total phosphate (Table VIII), ammonia nitrogen concentrations (Table XI), and TKN (Table XII) were recorded in the basin. The Tyger, Enoree, and Pacolet rivers appear to contribute a considerable amount of nutrients and organic material (Tables V, VIII, XI, and XII) to the Broad River via land runoff. If the air temperatures are 17°C or greater, musty odors produced from actinomycete activity in the woodlands and fields can be flushed into the rivers with nutrients via rainfall and increased flows and cause mild odor problems like those occurring on March 15, 1971; May 24, 1971 (Figure 11); and possibly May 5, 1972 (Figures 12, 13, and 14).

Much of the organic material and other nutrients entering the water via land runoff accumulates in the substrates (Tables VI, IX, and XIII); and the reservoir (Parr) and canals act as a "sink" (Tables VI, IX, and XIII). During the "culture-like" conditions (a 2- to 5-week period with little or no rain, average flows less than 6,500 cfs, and water temperatures 17°C or greater) that can exist in the reservoirs, the actinomycetes, with a plentiful nutrient supply, multiply and produce musty odors which can affect the water supplies. Apparently the only natural phenomena that will stop this population "explosion" are depleted food sources or increased flows. Depleted food sources probably eliminated the severe musty odor problem during the May 18, 1970, period (Figure 10). Increased flows probably eliminated the mild odor problem on June 7, 1971 (Figure 11). Middleton, <u>et al</u>. (27) have shown that odor thresholds are inversely related to river flow.

The slight odor problems noted by complaining water users during the May 5-10, 1972, period were predictable on the basis of high accumulation of organic constituents and other nutrients in Broad River sediments, together with increasing counts of actinomycetes in leaf litter and river sediments. A severe odor problem was not realized

37

because of the flushing action of high rainfall and flows occurring on May 9-10 (Figures 12, 13, and 14).

Conditions similar to the above were apparent at the time of the June 12-13 sampling; however, a severe odor problem was circumvented by flushing rains and flows occurring during late June (Figures 12, 13, and 14).

	4/4-6	4/24-25	$\frac{\text{TOC}^{1}(\text{mg}/1)}{5/9}$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Averages ⁷
		4724-20		5/30-31	6/12-13 (All Sampling Date
1	4.00	3.27	2.76	2 95	2.65	
2	3.00			~ •)]	2.05	3.13
5 ²	2.60	3.63	3.17	2 75	0 75	• • •
5 ³	3.00			~./J	2.75	2.98
6	3.00		9.77			
7	2.30		7.43			
8	2.00		17.16			
9	2.30	2.30	6.17	2.65	2 20	
10	2.00		3.87		2.20	3.12
11 ²	3.00	2.03	4.53	3 15	0 OF	• • •
11 ³	2.00			5.15	2.05	3.11
12	2.00					
13	2.00	0.80	5.77	3.25	2 25	
14	2.00	1.30	3.63	3.00	2.33	2.83
FC ⁴			2.50	5.00	4.23	2.44
CS ⁵			4.60			
LL ⁶			2.20			
Basin ⁷ Tributaries ⁷ (6, 7, 10) ⁸	2.51	2.22	5.66	2.96	2,51	
Impoundments ⁷ (5, 11)	2.65	2.83	3.85	2.95	2.80	
(1, 8, 9,	2.38	1.92	7.10	2.96	2.36	

TABLE V.--Total Organic Carbon in Water Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Station		TOC ¹	Station Averages ²			
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13	(All Sampling Dates)
1	19,546	19,204	15,434	6,730	7,696	13,722
5	8,380	33,153	20,601	12,418	36,704	22,251
6			8,810			
7			2,657			
8	10 000	10 553	13,350	1 - 000		
9	18,228	19,551	31,662	17,039	16,418	20,580
10			0,232 071	6 226	1 600	
	3 /0/	15 013	17 020	10 191	2,000	0.040
16	9 382	10 631	7 214	17 134	5,102	9,942 10 204
CS ³	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10,001	4,406		0,000	10,204
Semimonthly Averages						
Basin ²	11,806	19,690	11,696	11,621	12,044	
Tributaries² (6, 7, 10) ⁴			6,001			
Impoundments ² (5, 11)	8,380	33,153	10,786	9,322	19,196	
Rivers² (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)	12,663	16,325	16,936	12,771	8,469	

TABLE VI.--Total Organic Carbon in Bottom Substrates Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

3 CS = Second Broad River at Cliffside, N.C.

4 Stations.

Station		COD ¹ (mg/kg	g dry weight)		
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13
1	52,188	51,275	41,208	17,972	20,548
5	22,374	88,519	55,006	33,158	98, 000
6			23,526		
7			7,095		
8			35,644		
9	48,668	52,202	84,540	45,490	48,838
10			17,540		
11			2,594	16,625	4,507
13	9,328	42,489	45,446	27,185	8,284
14	25,050	28,386	19,264	46,228	17,778
CS ²			11,765		

TABLE VII.---Chemical Oxygen Demand in Bottom Substrates Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

CS = Second Broad River at Cliffside, N.C.

Station		Total	Phosphate	(mg/1)		Station 7	
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13	AVerages	Dates
1	0.100	0.077	0.107	0.078	0 132	0.000	
2	0.010			010/0	0.152	0.099	
5 ²	0.105	0.064	0.102	0.078	0.075	0 085	
53	0.292				01075	0.005	
6	0.093		0.316				
7	0.140		0.292				
. 8	0.091		0.130				
9	0.104	0.076	0.171	0.079	0.044	0.095	
10	0.132		0.129				
11-	0.30/	0.088	0.158	0.129	0.040	0.144	
11.	0.134						
12	0.136						
13	0.070	0.065	0.133	0.046	0.030	0.069	
14	0.064	0.044	0.089	0.106	0.044	0.069	
FU -			0.025				
176			0.120				
			0,005				
Semimonthly Averages			92 (12 ⁴ 2777), (<u>1</u> 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7				
Basin ⁷	0.127	0.069	0.137	0.086	0.061		
Tributari es ⁷ (6, 7, 10) ⁸	0.122		0.246				
Impoundments ⁷ (5, 11)	0.210	0.076	0.130	0.104	0.058		
R ivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)	0.094	0.066	0.126	0.077	0.062		

TABLE VIII.--Total Phosphate as Phosphorus in Water Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Subsurface.

Above bottom.

* FC = Second Broad River at Forest City, N.C.

5 CS = Second Broad River at Cliffside, N.C.

LL = Broad River below Lake Lure, N.C.

7 Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.
8 Stations.

42

	То	tal Phosph	ate ¹ (mg/k	a draw word a		Station 2		
Station	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13	Averages ² (All Sampling Dates)		
1	650	710	540	270	205	475 ²		
5 6	4/5	835	715 4 35	650	6 9 0	673		
7 8			380 535					
9 10	500	575	495	650	540	552		
11 13	160	270	64	117	50			
14 CS ³	290	360	325 172 225	255 240	44 160	211 244		
Semimonthly Averages								
Basin ²	415	550	443	364	282			
Tributaries² (6, 7, 10) ⁴			600					
Impoundments ² (5, 11)	475	835	390	384	370			
Rivers ² (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)	400	479	413	354	237			
					-			

TABLE IX.--Total Phosphate as Phosphorus in Bottom Substrates Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date. Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date. CS = Second Broad River at Cliffside, N.C. Stations.

Mitrate- and Nitrite-Nitrogen ¹ (mg/1) Station Averages ⁷ 1 0.37 0.38 0.34 0.30 0.35 0.35 2 0.38 0.34 0.30 0.35 0.35 52 0.82 0.37 0.34 0.28 0.36 0.43 6 0.70 0.45 7 0.57 0.47 8 0.40 0.30 9 0.63 0.39 0.26 0.30 0.39 10 0.57 0.43 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11 ² 0.45 0.34 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11 ³ 0.36 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11 ³ 0.36 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11 ³ 0.42 0.44 0.34 0.45 0.47 14 0.40 0.14 0.21 0.16 0.15 0.21 55 0.31 0.35 0.32 0.32 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>······································</th> <th></th> <th></th>					······································		
Station $4/4-6$ $4/24-26$ $5/9-10$ $5/30-31$ $6/12-13$ (All Sampling Dates) 1 0.37 0.38 0.34 0.30 0.35 0.35 2 0.39 0.37 0.34 0.28 0.36 0.43 52 0.82 0.37 0.44 0.28 0.36 0.43 6 0.70 0.45 0.30 9 0.63 0.35 0.39 10 0.57 0.43 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11 ² 0.45 0.34 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11 ² 0.42 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 12 0.42 0.34 0.45 0.47 14 0.40 0.14 0.21 0.16 0.15 0.21 CS ⁵ 0.31 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 <		N1	trate- and	Nitrite	Nitrogen ¹	ma /1)	Station
$\frac{1}{2} \qquad 0.37 \qquad 0.38 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.30 \qquad 0.35 \qquad 0.35 \\ \frac{1}{2} \qquad 0.38 \qquad 0.37 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.28 \qquad 0.36 \qquad 0.43 \\ \frac{5^2}{3} \qquad 0.47 \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.47 \\ \frac{6}{7} \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.47 \\ \frac{8}{9} \qquad 0.63 \qquad 0.35 \qquad 0.39 \\ 10 \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.43 \\ 11^2 \qquad 0.45 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.37 \qquad 0.22 \qquad 0.30 \qquad 0.39 \\ 11^3 \qquad 0.38 \\ 12 \qquad 0.42 \\ 13 \qquad 0.70 \qquad 0.42 \qquad 0.44 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.45 \qquad 0.47 \\ \frac{14}{14} \qquad 0.40 \qquad 0.14 \qquad 0.21 \qquad 0.16 \qquad 0.15 \qquad 0.21 \\ \frac{15}{7} \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.31 \\ 11^6 \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.42 \\ 13 \qquad 0.70 \qquad 0.42 \qquad 0.44 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.45 \qquad 0.47 \\ \frac{16}{7} \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.42 \\ \frac{13}{11^3} \qquad 0.38 \\ 12 \qquad 0.42 \\ 13 \qquad 0.70 \qquad 0.42 \qquad 0.44 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.45 \qquad 0.47 \\ \frac{16}{7} \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{16}{14} \qquad 0.40 \qquad 0.14 \qquad 0.21 \qquad 0.16 \qquad 0.15 \qquad 0.21 \\ \frac{15}{6} \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^6} \qquad 0.57 \qquad 0.45 \\ \frac{10}{11^3} \qquad 0.38 \\ \frac{12}{11^3} \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.33 \qquad 0.32 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.32 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.36 \qquad 0.36 \qquad 0.25 \qquad 0.34 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.36 \qquad 0.36 \qquad 0.25 \qquad 0.34 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.32 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.32 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.32 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.32 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.32 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.34 \qquad 0.26 \qquad 0.31 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.52 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.53 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.55 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54 \\ \frac{11}{11^3} \qquad 0.54 \qquad 0.54$	Station	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	$\frac{\mu g/1}{6/12, 12}$	Averages'
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						0/12-13	(All Sampling Dates)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	0.37	0.38	0.34	0.30	0.25	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	0.38		0.34	0.30	0.35	0.35
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 ²	0.82	0.37	0 34	0 20	0.00	
	5 ^{3.}	0.47		0134	0.20	0.30	0.43
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	0.70		0.45			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	0.57		0 47			
9 0.63 0.35 0.39 0.26 0.30 0.39 11^2 0.45 0.34 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11^2 0.45 0.34 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11^2 0.45 0.34 0.37 0.22 0.33 0.34 11^2 0.42 0.42 0.44 0.34 0.45 0.47 13 0.70 0.42 0.44 0.34 0.45 0.47 14 0.40 0.14 0.21 0.16 0.15 0.21 $Scientimonthly$ 0.30 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Semimonthly Averages Basin ⁷ 0.52 0.33 0.36 0.25 0.34 Impoundments ⁷ $(5, 11)$ 0.53 0.36 0.25 0.31 Rivers ⁷ $(1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)$ 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26	8	0.40		0 30			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	0.63	0.35	0.30	0.26	0.00	• • •
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	0.57		0 /3	0.20	0.30	0.39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 ²	0.45	0.34	0.37	0 22	0.00	• • •
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 ³	0.38		0.57	0.22	0.33	0.34
13 0.70 0.42 0.44 0.34 0.45 0.47 14 0.40 0.14 0.21 0.16 0.15 0.21 FC ⁴ 0.31 0.16 0.15 0.21 Ssmimonthly 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Semimonthly 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ 0.61 0.45 0.45 0.32 Impoundments ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ $(1, 8, 9, 14)$ 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	12	0.42					
14 0.40 0.11 0.21 0.13 0.43 0.47 FC ⁴ 0.13 0.13 0.16 0.15 0.21 CS ⁵ 0.31 0.03 0.03 0.16 0.15 0.21 Semimonthly 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.16 0.15 0.21 Semimonthly 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.16 0.15 0.21 Semimonthly 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.26 0.32 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ 0.61 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 0.31 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	13	0.70	0.42	0.44	0 3/	0 / 5	• • •
FC ⁴ 0.11 0.11 0.13 0.21 CS ⁵ 0.31 0.03 0.03 0.15 0.21 Semimonthly 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.15 0.21 Semimonthly 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.15 0.21 Semimonthly 0.03 0.03 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ 0.61 0.45 0.45 0.34 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 0.26 0.31 Rivers ⁷ 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31 0.31	14	0.40	0.14	0 21	0.14	0.45	0.47
CS^5 0.31 LL^6 0.03 Semimonthly Averages Basin ⁷ 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ 0.61 0.45 0.45 0.31 Impoundments ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.32 0.34 Rivers ⁷ 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.36 0.31	FC ⁴		0121	0 13	0.10	0.15	0.21
LL ⁶ 0.03 Semimonthly Averages Basin ⁷ 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ $(6, 7, 10)^8$ 0.61 0.45 Impoundments ⁷ (5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ $(1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)$ 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	cs ⁵			0 31			
Semimonthly Averages Basin ⁷ 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ (6, 7, 10) ⁸ 0.61 0.45 Impoundments ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	LL ⁶			0.03			
Semimonthly AveragesBasin 7 0.520.330.320.32Tributaries(6, 7, 10) 8 0.610.45Impoundments(5, 11)0.530.360.360.360.360.360.31				0.05			
Semimonthly AveragesBasin 7 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries 7 (6, 7, 10) 8 0.61 0.45 Impoundments 7 (5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers 7 (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31							
AveragesBasin 7 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries 7 (6, 7, 10) 8 0.61 0.45 Impoundments 7 (5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers 7 (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	Semimonthly						
Basin ⁷ 0.52 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries ⁷ (6, 7, 10) ⁸ 0.61 0.45 $$ Impoundments ⁷ (5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	Averages						
Dask 0.32 0.33 0.32 0.26 0.32 Tributaries (6, 7, 10) 0.61 0.45 Impoundments (5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	Bacin ⁷	0 52	0.33	0.00	0.04	• • • •	
Tributaries (6, 7, 10) 0.61 0.45 Impoundments (5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	DGDIH	0.52	0.33	0.32	0.26	0.32	
$(6, 7, 10)^8$ 0.61 0.45 Impoundments ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ $(1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)$ 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	Tributaries ⁷						
Impoundments ⁷ 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	$(6, 7, 10)^8$	0.61		0 45			
Impoundments ⁷ (5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31				0.45			
(5, 11) 0.53 0.36 0.36 0.25 0.34 Rivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	Impoundments ⁷						
Rivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	(5, 11)	0.53	0.36	0 36	0.25	0 3/	
Rivers ⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31		_		0.50	0.23	0.34	
(1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	Rivers ⁷						
12, 13, 14) 0.49 0.32 0.34 0.26 0.31	(1, 8, 9,						
	12, 13, 14)	0.49	0.32	0.34	0.26	0 31	· · · · ·
				~ • • • •	/	A. 2T	

TABLE X.--Nitrate-Nitrogen and Nitrite-Nitrogen in Water Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Subsurface.

³ Above bottom.

4 FC = Second Broad River, Forest City, N.C.

⁵ CS = Second Broad River, Cliffside, N.C.

⁶ LL = Broad River below Lake Lure, N.C.

⁷ Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

⁸ Stations.

Station		Ama	nonia_Ni+-	1, 1	1 \	Station
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	$\frac{\log \ln (mg)}{5/20}$	1)	Averages ⁷
		4/24 20	577-10	<u> </u>	6/12-13	(All Sampling Dates
1	0.005	0.030	0.130	0.060	0 000	
2	0.005			0.000	0.000	0.061
5 ²	0.037	0.040	0.060	0.050	0 080	0.050
5 ³	0.005				0.080	0.053
6	0.006		0.130			
7	0.005		0.090			
8	0.005		0.020			
9	0.005	0.020	0.110	0.020	0 040	0.000
10	0.040		0.120		0.040	0.039
11 ²	0.005	0.010	0.040	0.040	0 120	0.0/0
113	0.030				0.120	0.043
12	0.027					
13	0.005	0.020	0.067	0.100	0.130	0.064
14	0.022	0.010	0.030	0.040	0.160	0.064
FC ⁴			0.010		0.100	0.052
CS ⁵			0.340			
LL ⁶			0.250			
Semimonthly Averages						
Basin ⁷	0.014	0.022	0.107	0.052	0.102	
Tribut arie (6, 7, 10)	s ⁷ ⁸ 0.017		0.113			
Impoundmen (5, 11)	ts ⁷ 0.019	0.025	0.050	0.045	0.100	
Rivers⁷ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)) 0.012	0.020	0.071	0.055	0. 102	

TABLE XI.--Ammonia-Nitrogen in Water Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Subsurface.

³ Above bottom.

4 FC = Second Broad River, Forest City, N.C.

⁵ CS = Second Broad River, Cliffside, N.C.

6 LL = Broad River below Lake Lure, N.C.

⁷ Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

⁸ Stations.

		······································				·
Station		Total Kield	lahl Nitra			Station
	4/4-6	4/24-26	$5/0_{-10}$	$\frac{5}{20}$)	Averages'
*		4/24-20			6/12-13	(All Sampling Dates)
1	0.18	0.22	0.37	0.31	0.50	0.32
2	0.17					0.52
5 ²	0.25	0.57	0.29	0.12	0.22	0.00
5 ³	0.15				0122	0.29
6	0.18		0.63			
7	0.15		0.67			
8	0.07		0.49			
9	0.14	0.09	0.73	0.11	0 12	0.04
10	0,30		0.49		0.12	0.24
11 ²	0.31	0.16	0.38	0.24	0 38	0.00
11 ³	0.37		· · · · ·		0.50	0.29
12	0.27					
13	0.19	0.09	0.35	0.36	0 32	0.00
14	0.30	0.07	0,20	0.08	0.37	0.26
FC ⁴			0.19		0.37	0.20
CS ⁵			0.40			
LL ⁶			0.30			
4						
Semimonthly						
Averages'						
Decedar 7	0.22	0.00	0.40	• • •		
Basin	0.22	0.20	0.42	0.20	0.32	
Terthytoriog	7					
$\frac{1}{6}$ 7 10) ⁸	0 21		0 60			
(0, 7, 10)	0.21		0.00			
Tennoundment	27					
	0 27	0.26	0.34	0.10	• • •	
(), 11/	0.27	0.30	0.34	0.18	0.30	
Privers ⁷						
ALVEIS						
(1, 0, 7, 10 12 14)	0 10	0 10	0 ()	0.00	• • • •	
14, 13, 14)	0.13	0.12	0.43	0.22	0.33	· .

TABLE XII.--Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Subsurface.

³ Above bottom.

4 FC = Second Broad River, Forest City, N.C.

5 CS = Second Broad River, Cliffside, N.C.

6 LL = Broad River below Lake Lure, N.C. 7 Arithmetic average computed by conduct

⁷ Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.
⁸ Stations.

Station	Total	Kipldahl N	litrogen la	/2		Station
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	mg/kg dry	weight)	Averages ²
1 5 6 7	1,300 750	1,250 1,870	3,050 3,500 2,200	<u> </u>	6/12-13 550 2,335	(All Sampling Dates 1,327 1,861
8 9 10	1,300	900	850 2,500 4,500 1,100	1,450	1,175	1,865
11 13 14 CS ³	500 1,200	1,200 770	900 3,400 1,900 1,100	400 700 675	375 250 550	1,210 1,019
Semimonthly Averages						
Basin ²	1,010	1,198	2,273	760	872	
Trib utarie (6, 7, 10)	28 ² 4		1,383			
Impoundmer (5, 11)	nts ² 750	1,870	2,200	625	1,355	
Rivers ² (1, 8, 9 13, 14)	1,075	1,030	3,070	828	631	· · ·

TABLE	XIII Total Violdate	1		
	Presid Die	L Nitrogen ir	1 Bottom	Substrates
	broad River Basin	ı, April-June	1972	

¹ Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.
² Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date. ³ CS = Second Broad River at Cliffside, N.C.

4 Stations.

		Dissolved	Water			Dissolved	Water
Date	Time	Oxygen	Temperature	Date	Time	Oxygen	Temperature
1972		(mg/1)	(°F.)	1972		(mg/1)	(°F.)
4/12	1,000	8.9	59	5/24	0900	8.6	68
"	1300	8.9	59	- 11	1200	8.2	68
11	1600	9.0	59	11	1 500	8 3	68
11	1000	03	59	**	1800	77	68
11	1900	3.J	50	11	2100	7 6	69
	2200	9.2	50	11	2400	7.0	60
4/13	0100	9.3	50	5/25	2400	7.4	00
	0400	9.3	50	5/25	0300	0.9	68
	0700	8.9	33		0000	1.9	55
17	09 00	8.7	עכ				
4/19	0900	7.5	68	6/1	0900	8.0	71
	1200	7.4	68		1200	7.8	71
33	1500	7.2	68	**	1500	7.5	71
11	1800	7.5	68	**	1800	8.2	71
**	2100	7.7	68	**	2100	7.9	71
11	2400	8.1	68	49	2400	7.7	71
4/20	0300	8.0	68	6/2	0300	7.4	71
"	0600	7.5	68	n	0600	7.1	71
4 107	0000	0.0	65	6/8	0000	77	76
4/2/	0900	8.9	65	11	1200	7.6	70
	1200	8.7	05	**	1200	7.0	70
	1500	8.7	65		1000	7.3	/6
п	1800	9.1	65		1800	7.3	76
	2100	9.1	65		2100	6.0	76
11	2400	9.2	65		2400	7.3	76
4/28	0300	9.2	65	6/9	0300	6.6	76
88	0600	8.8	65	и.,	0600	6.7	76
5/4	0900	8.3	68	6/14	0900	8.0	75
	1200	8.3	68	41	1200	7.8	75
11	1500	8 /	68	44	1500	7.2	75
н	1900	0.7	68	11	1800	7.8	75
81	2100	0.2	68	91	2100	8.0	75
	2100	0.4	69	**	2400	8.0	75
- /-	2400	8.0	60	6/15	0200	0.0	75
5/ 5	0300	8.1	00	0/15	0300	0.3	75
	0600	8.2	53		0000	1.8	75
5/11	0900	7.8	67	6/22	0900	7.5	76
11	1200	8.5	67		1200	7.3	76
. 11	1500	8.5	67	11	1500	7.4	76
11	1800	8.2	67	et	1800	6.4	76
11	2100	8.0	67	11	2100	6.3	76
11	2400	7.9	67	**	2400	5.3	76
5/12	0300	7.8	67	6/23	0300	4.8	76
"	0600	7.8	67	ii	0600	8.0	76
5/10	0000	8 n	4 0	6/20	0000	7 0	79
110	1000	0.4 7 F	UJ 40	11 11	1200	1.3	10
	1200	/.5	07	11	1600	/.0	/0
	1500	7.7	69	**	1200	/.4	78
	1800	7.8	69		1800	7.1	78
11	2100	7.5	69	**	2100	7.5	78
11	2400	7.6	69	- 11	2400	5.7	78
5/19	0300	7.7	69	6/30	0300	7.4	78
H .	0600	7.1	69		0600	7.1	78

TABLE XIV.--Diel Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Broad River Canal, April-June 1972

Station	·····		Threst	old Odo	r Number		
	4/4-6	4/24-26	<u>5/9²</u>	5/9	5/10	5/30-31	6/12-13
1 2	0.3 0.3	0.0		0.7		1.1	1.1
5 6	0.3	0.3	1.0 1.0	0.5	1.0	1.1	1.1
7 8	0.3	2.0	2.5 4.0	0.5 3.2	2.0		
10 11	0.3	2.0		2.0 1.0	0.0	2.1	1.3
12	0.3	2.0	2 0	1.5		1.1	1.1
14 FC ³	0.3	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.5 1.0	1.5 1.1
LL ⁵ PR ⁶ BC ⁷					0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		
Semimonthly Averages				· · ·			
Basin ⁸	0.5	0.8	I	0.7 ⁹		1.3	1.2
Trib utaries ⁸ (6, 7, 10) ¹⁰	0.3		(0.5 ⁹			
Impoundments ⁸ (5, 11)	0.8	1.2	:	L.0 ⁹		1.1	1.1
Rivers⁸ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)	0.5	0.6				1.4	1.2

TABLE XV.--Water Threshold Odor Numbers in the Field Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Chlorine residual in dilution water.

 3 FC = Second Broad River at Forest City, N. C.

4 CS = Second Broad River at Cliffside, N.C.

⁵ LL = Broad River below Lake Lure, N.C.

6 pR = Paceolot River above Spartanburg, S.C.

7 BC = Browns Creek, S.C.

⁸ Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

⁹ Determinations with chlorine residual water were not included in this average.

10 Stations.

Station		Thres	Station			
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13	(All Sampling Dates
1	1.0	3.0	3.0	2,0	1.4	2.1
2	1.0		3.0			
52	1.0	2.0	3.0	2,0	3.0	2.2
53	1.0					
6	1.0		1.4			
7	1.0		1.0			
8	1.0		2.0			
9	1.0	2.0	1.4	1.4	2.0	1.6
10	1.0		1.4			210
11^{2}	1.0	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
11^{3}	1.0					2.17
12	1.0					
13	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.4	2.0	1 4
14	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	1.0	1.8
Semimonthly Averages						********
Basin ⁴	1.0	1.8	2.1	1.7	1.8	
Tributaries ⁴ (6, 7, 10) ⁵	1.0		1.3			
Impoundments ⁴ (5, 11)	1.0	2.0	2.2	1.7	2.2	
R ivers ⁴ (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)	1.0	1.8	2.4	1.7	1.6	

TABLE XVI.--Water Threshold Odor Numbers in the Laboratory Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

2 Subsurface.

3 Above bottom.

⁴ Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

⁵ Stations.

Station		Thres	Station			
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13	(All Sampling Date
3	58.3	87.5	35.0	85.0	100.0	73.2
5	56.7	35.0	33.5	100.0	200.0	85.0
6	53.0		105.0			
7			80.0			
8	17.3					
10	31.3	42.5	52.5	120.0	140.0	77.3
11	20.0	42.5	60.0		80.0	50.6
13	15.3	35.0	60.0	105.0	50.0	53.1
14	24.0	29.5	75.0	85.0	50.0	52.7
Sem imonthly Bas in Averages ²	34.5	45.3	62.6	99.0	103.3	

TABLE XVII.--Leaf Litter Threshold Odor Number Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

2 Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

Station	I	eaf Litter We)	Station Averages ²		
	4/24-26	5/9-10		0/12-15	(AII Sampling Dates)	
3	22.0	11.8	9.6	9.0	13.1	
5	20.2	22.4	9.6	10.4	15.6	
6		23.6				
7		14.8				
10	16.3	27.2	29.6	9.4	18.1	
11	18.0	25.5	40.4	26.4		
12	21 6	15.4	6.1	7.6	12.7	
14	19.2	31.8	8.9	12.0	18.0	
Semimonthly Basin Averages	² 19.6	21.6	15.7	12.5		

TABLE XVIII.--Leaf Litter Wet Weights Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

Station	То	tal Phytop	Station Averages ²			
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13	(All Sampling Dates
1	19	34	28	9	174	53
2	0					
5 ³	24	56	37	18	124	52
5 ⁴	3					
6	12		40			
7	22		46			
8	25		52			<i>,</i>
9	43	55	24	37	122	56
10	31		22			
113	28	50	38	58	99	55
${11^4}$	6					
12	19					•
13	22	28	40	9	22	24
14	25	42	86	87	98	68
Semimonthly Basin Averages ²	19.9	44.2	41.3	36.3	106.5	

TABLE XIX.--Total Phytoplankton Counts Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

2 Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station

or date. ³ Subsurface.

4 Above bottom.

	Zooplankton Count ¹ (No./1)								
Station	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13				
1	0	0	0	. 0	0				
5 ²	130	0	0	0	0				
5 ³	0								
9	0		0	0	65				
11	65	0	0	0	0				

TABLE XX.--Zooplankton Counts Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Subsurface.

³ Above bottom.

	Days of	Periphyton Co	unt ¹ (No./ mm^2
Dates	Exposure	Station 1	Station 5
4/4-17	13	4	38
4/4-24	20	44	33
4/4-5/8	34	36	34
4/4-6/12	69	33	50

TABLE XXI.--Periphyton Counts Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

¹ Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

Station		Actinomycete Count ¹ (No./100 m1)							
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13				
1	300		625	650	8 000				
5	450	150	725	4 500	10,000				
6	500		2,925	4,000	10,000				
7	400		5,000						
8	450		12,750						
9	300	650	17,500	3,000	15,000				
10	200		6,000	-,	10,000				
11	625	600	4,500	250					
12	300		•						
13	1,000	100	3,550	1,000					
14	300	550	22,500	450					
emimonthly verages									
Basin ²	439	410	7,608	1,642	11,000				
Tributaries ² (6, 7, 10) ³	367		4,642						
Impoundments ² (5, 11)	538	375	2,612	2,375	10,000				
Rivers ² (1, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14)	442	433	11,385	1,275	11,500				

Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

Stations.

						<u></u>
Station		Actinomy	cete Coun	t ¹ (No./g w	et weight)	Averages ²
0	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13	(All Sampling Dates
1	24,000	55,000	12,750	140,000	75,750	61,500
5	10,500	35,000	33,000	105,750	765,000	189,850
6		-	117,500	-	-	•
7			6,750		· · ·	
8			71,750			
9	12,000	80,000	155,000	40,250	155,000	88,450
10		·	16,250	-		-
11			500	61,750	15,000	
13	1.700	30,000	24,500	192,500	6,750	51,090
14	10,000	•	10,250	1,750	16,750	• •
Semimonthly Averages	y					
Basin ²	11,640	50,000	44,825	90,333	172,375	
Tributar (6, 7, 1)	ies ² 0) ³		46,833			
Impoundm (5, 11)	ents ² 10,500	35,000	16,750	83,750	390,000	
Rivers ² (1, 8, 9 12, 13,	, 14) 11,925	55,000	54,850	93,625	63,562	

TABLE	XXIIIAd	tinomy	vcete	Counts	from	Bottom	Substrates
	Broad	River	Basin	, April	L–June	≥ 1972	

1 Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

² Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

³ Stations.

Station	Actinomycete Count ¹ (No./g wet weight)							
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13			
3	27,500	95,000	300,000	85,000	4,250,000			
5	•	195,000	75,000	1,400,000	6,000,000			
6	7,000	·	1,550,000	•				
7			600,000					
8	46,000							
10	45,500	40,000	1,600,000	125,000	12,500,000			
11	28,500	1,700,000	100,000	300,000	5,000,000			
13	16,000	50,000	300,000	45,000	8,500,000			
14	83,500	39,000	250,000	1,500,000	6,500,000			
mimonthly sin Averages ²	36,286	353,167	596,875	575,833	7,125,000			

TABLE XXIV.--Actinomycete Counts from Leaf Litter Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.

? Arithmetic average computed by assigning equal importance to each station or date.

Station	Actinomycete Count ¹ (No./g wet weight)								
	4/4-6	4/24-26	5/9-10	5/30-31	6/12-13				
1	1	500	750	100					
5	40	0	18	100	450				

TABLE XXV.--Actinomycete Counts from Periphyton Broad River Basin, April-June 1972

Arithmetic average of all sample values for each station and date.



FIGURE 8.--Diel dissolved oxygen profiles, Broad River Canal, South Carolina.

.



FIGURE 9.--Musty odors, flow, water temperature, maximum and minimum air temperatures for the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, vicinity from January-June 1969.





FIGURE 10.--Musty odors, flow, water temperature, maximum and minimum air temperature for the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, vicinity from January-June 1970.



FIGURE 11.--Musty odors, flow, water temperature, maximum and minimum air temperature from the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, vicinity for January-June 1971.



FIGURE 12.--Musty odors, flow, water temperature, maximum and minimum air temperature from the Columbia-Richtex, South Carolina, vicinity for January-June 1972.




FIGURE 14.--Rainfall at Parr, South Carolina, from January-June 1972.

66



FIGURE 15.--Average actinomycete counts from Station 1 (water, #/100 ml; substrate, #/gm; and periphyton #/gm) and Station 3 (leaf litter #/gm), Broad River Basin, April-June 1972.



FIGURE 16.--Average actinomycete counts from Station 5 (water, #/100 ml; substrate, periphyton, and leaf litter, #/gm), Broad River Besin,



FIGURE 17.--Average actinomycete counts from Station 9 (water, #/100 ml; substrate, #/gm) and Station 10 (leaf litter, #/gm), Broad River Basin, April-June 1972.

69



FIGURE 18.--Average actinomycete counts from Station 11 (water, #/100 ml; substrate and leaf litter, #/gm), Broad River Basin, April-June 1972.



FIGURE 19.--Average actinomycete counts from Station 13 (water, #/100 ml; substrate and leaf litter, #/gm), Broad River Basin, April-June 1972.



FIGURE 20.--Average actinomycete counts from Station 14 (water, #/100 ml; substrate and leaf litter, #/gm), Broad River Basin, April-June 1972.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tate, P. L. and J. M. Hudgens (ed.). 1969. An Action Program for Clean Water. South Carolina Pollution Control Authority.
- 2. Standard Methods, 13th Edition. 1971. American Public Health Association, 1740 Broadway, New York.
- Fuller, F. D. (ed.). 1969. Chemistry Laboratory Manual for Bottom Sediments Compiled by Great Lakes Region Committee on Analytical Methods. EPA, Federal Water Quality Administration.
- 4. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. 1971. EPA Water Quality Office, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 5. Difco Manual. 1953. Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.
- Anonymous. 1971. A Report on Pollution in the Middle Reach of the Savannah River, Georgia-South Carolina. Technical Study Report Number TS 03-71-208-003. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Finger, J. H. and T. A. Wastler. 1969. Organic Carbon-Organic Nitrogen Ratios of Sediments in a Polluted Estuary. Jour. Water Poll. Cont. Fed. 41, No. 2, Part 2, R101-R109.
- Keup, L. E. 1968. Phosphorus in Flowing Waters. Water Res. 2: 373-386.
- McKee, J. E. and H. W. Wolf (eds.). 1963. Water Quality Criteria. Second Edition. State Water Quality Control Board, Sacramento, California. Publ. No. 3-A.
- Lackey, J. B. 1949. Plankton as Related to Nuisance Conditions in Surface Water. Limnological Aspects of Water Supply and Waste Disposal. Science 1949:56-63.
- 11. Personal Communication from South Carolina Water Pollution Control Authority and the South Carolina State Board of Health.
- Personal Communication with Mr. D. Whittaker, Plant Operator; Mr. V. Wallace, Maintenance Supervisor; Mr. Caleson, Manager, City Utilities, Shelby, North Carolina.
- 13. Personal Communication with Mr. J. R. Jenkins, Water Plant, Forest City, North Carolina.
- 14. Personal Communication with Mr. H. Gains, Filter Plant Operator, Norris. South Carolina.

- 15. Personal Communication with Dr. Webb, South Carolina Water Pollution Control Authority, and Mr. T. C. Kurimcak, South Carolina State Board of Health.
- Silvey, J. K. G., J. C. Russell, D. R. Redden, and W. C. McCormick. 1950. Actinomycetes and Common Taste and Odors. Amer. Water Works Assn. 42:1018.
- 17. Palmer, C. M. 1959. Algae in Water Supplies. USPHS Publ. No. 657.
- Safferman, R. S., A. A. Rosen, C. I. Mashni, and Mary E. Morris. 1967. Earthy-smelling Substance from a Blue-green Alga. Env. Sci. & Tech. 1:429.
- 19. Medsker, L. L., D. Jenkins, and J. Thomas. 1968. Odorous Compounds in Natural Waters: An Earthy-smelling Compound Associated with Blue-green Algae and Actinomycetes. Env. Sci. & Tech. 2:461.
- Gerber, N. N. and H. A. LeChevalier. 1965. Geosmin, an Earthysmelling Substance Isolated from Actinomycetes. Applied Microbiol. 13:935.
- Gerber, N. N. 1968. Geosmin, from Microorganisms is Trans-1>10-Dimethyl-Trans-9-Decalol. Tetrahedron Letters 25:2971.
- 22. Collins, R. P., L. E. Knaak, and J. W. Soboslai. 1970. Production of Geosmin and 2-Exo-Hydroxy-2-Methylbornane by <u>Streptomyces</u> odorifer. Lloydia 33(1):199.
- Medsker, L. L., D. Jenkins, and J. F. Thomas. 1969. 2-exo-hydroxy-2-methyl-bornane, the Major Odorous Compound Produced by Several Actinomycetes. Env. Sci. & Tech. 3:476.
- 24. Henley, D. E., W. H. Glaze, and J. K. G. Silvey. 1969. Isolation and Identification of an Odor Compound Produced by a Selected Aquatic Actinomycete. Env. Sci. & Tech. 3:268.
- 25. Gerber, Nancy N. 1972. Sesquiterpenoids from Actinomycetes. Phytochem. 11:385.
- 26. Collins, R. P. 1971. Characterization of Taste and Odors in Water Supplies. EPA Water Pollution Control Research Series 16040 DGH 8/71.
- 27. Middleton, F. M., W. Grant, A. A. Rosen. 1956. Drinking Water Taste and Odor. Indus. & Engr. Chem. 48(2):268.

PROJECT PERSONNEL

Biological Services Branch

R. L. Raschke, Aquatic Biologist
Louis Carrick, Aquatic Biologist
David Smith, Biologist
Hoke Howard, Biologist
Todd Harris, Biological Laboratory Technician
Art Lavallee, Biological Laboratory Technician
Peggy Clifton, Secretary

Microbiological Services Branch

Bobby Carroll, Microbiologist Herb Barden, Microbiologist

Chemical Services Branch

Ray Hemphill, Chemist

Bill Loy, Chemist

Pollution Control Systems & Analysis Activity Charles Ferst, Sanitary Engineer

Engineering Services Branch

Doug Lair, Sanitary Engineer

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank the many people in South Carolina who have provided assistance during the course of this investigation. We especially thank Mr. Bo Crum of the South Carolina Pollution Control Authority and Dr. Priester and Mr. Kurimcak of the South Carolina State Board of Health for their assistance in the field and gathering of background information; Mr. Keeler, Columbia, South Carolina, water treatment plant superintendent, and his staff for their cooperation and assistance in the laboratory and field; and Mr. Counts and Mr. Childress of Duke Power Company for their permission to sample at the dams.