CHATTANOOGA WASTE DISCHARGES

.....

PART II

DOWNSTREAM FROM THE CITY WATER COMPANY

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IV Surveillance and Analysis Division Athens, Georgia

July 1973

This report was prepared under the direction and supervision of Dr. David W. Hill, Chief, Industrial and Special Studies Branch. Mr. Charles A. Sweatt served as Project Engineer for the planning and conduct of the field survey. Principal authors are Charles A. Sweatt and William R. Davis. The sections on Microbiology and Biology were written by Ralph Gentry and Paul Frey, respectively. All participating EPA personnel are of Region IV:

- the Surveillance and Analysis Division in Athens, Georgia; under the direction of Mr. John A. Little, and
- the Enforcement Branch in Atlanta, Georgia, under Mr. George L. Harlow.

ż

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
FINDINGS	2
RECOMMENDATIONS	11
STUDY AREA	14
PRESENT WATER USES	15
PREVIOUS STUDIES	16
STUDY METHODS	17
GENERAL	17
CHATTANOOGA CREEK SEDIMENT	17
WASTE SAMPLING	17
STREAM QUALITY	18
MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS	91
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY	21
WASTE SOURCE RESULTS	23
GENERAL	રંડ
INDUSTRIAL WASTES	ي د
Combustion Engineering	23
Chattem Drug & Chemical Company	23
Crane Company	27
Dixie Sand and Gravel	29
Gilman Paint Company	30
Happy Valley Farm	3/
Louisville and Nashville Railroad	31
Modern Maid, Inc.	38

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation	37
Roper Corporation	d_{f}
Rossville Textile Mills	
Signal Mountain Portland Cement	51
Swift Edible Oil Division	51
United States Pipe and Foundry	2.6
Velsicol Chemical Corporation	24
Wheland Foundry Division	61
Woodward Corporation	6 <1
MUNICIPAL WASTES	70
Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant	71
STREAM DATA AND OBSERVATIONS	8(
GENERAL	.18
Flow	ôt
CHEMISTRY	82
Dissolved Oxygen	82-
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	82
Nitrogen	Ŧ 1
Total Organic Carbon	
Phosphorus	95
Specific Conductance	75
Turbidity	· 7
Oil and Grease	101
Phenol	101
Metals and Cyanide	102
Sediment	104

MICROBIOLOGY	104
Coliform Results	104
Salmonella Results	103
BIOLOGY	110
REFERENCES	119
APPENDIX A ANALYTICAL METHODS	120
APPENDIX B STATION LOCATIONS	124
APPENDIX C TENNESSEE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS & CRITERIA	130
APPENDIX D WASTE AND STREAM QUALITY DATA	131
APPENDIX E ORGANIC COMPOUNDSIDENTIFIED	132
APPENDIX F PROJECT PERSONNEL	133
APPENDIX G MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF CHATTANOOGA CREEK	13,-

LIST OF TABLES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1	Identification Scheme for <u>Salmonella</u> Suspects	20
2	Average Waste Loadings, Pounds/Day for Station WO-2, Woodward Company	ן ר
3	Waste Summary for Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant Effluent, Station MB-1	76
4	Spark Source Mass Spectrometer Scan of Moccasin Bend STP Effluent (Station MB-1)	77
5	Total and Fecal Coliform Data Summary, Chattanooga Creek and Tributary Stations	, 06
б	Salmonella enteriditis Serotypes Isolated from the Chattanooga Creek Stations	109
7	A Qualitative List of Organisms Collected from Five Stations on Chattanooga Creek, Chattanooga, TN, May 1973	112
8	A List of Organisms Collected with an Ekman Grab Sampler from Five Stations on Chattanooga Creek, Chattanooga, Tennessee, May 1973	. 115

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1	Aerial Photo of Chattanooga Creek from Approximately Mile 1 to Mile 4. Fold-out - Bac	22 k of Report
2	Combustion Engineering's Cooling Water Discharge.	24
3	Storm Drain Carrying Waste from Chattem Drug Co.	26
4.	Discharge from Crane Co., Station CR-1.	23
5.	Discharge from Crane Co., Station CR-1, into Old Chattanooga Creek Bed.	2.3
6.	L&N Chlorine Contact Chamber, Station L&N-1.	32
7.	Oil Skimmer, Station L&N-2.	32
8.	L&N Holding Pond.	33
9.	Floating Oil on L&N Holding Pond.	20
10.	Overflow from Holding Pond, Station L&N-3.	34
11.	L&N Railroad, Oily Water Draining from Spillage and Saturated Ground Near Fuel Pump.	<u>3</u> 4
12.	Weir at Station L&N-4.	26
13.	Groundwater Discharge at Station L&N-5.	27
14.	Station DBT-2 Sampling Point Discharge to Dobbs Branch.	4 D
15.	Turbidity Caused by Blue-White Discharge from Modern Maid, Inc.	4.9
16.	Reilly Tar & Chemical Corp Bio-oxidation Pond.	d_{2}
17.	Storage area at Kenyon Southern for Empty Dye and Pigment Barrels.	47
18.	Multicolor Pigments at Kenyon Southern Storm Drain.	47
19.	Pink Dye Spill from Standard Coosa Thatcher Co. Entering McFarland's Branch.	$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{s})$

in

20.	Yellow Pigment Flowing into Dye Branch from Kenyon Southern.	<u>, </u>
21.	Storm Drain Containing Waste from Swift & Co.	2.2
22 & 23	Velsicol Industrial Chemical Corp. Waste Disposal Areas.	يني مي ب
24.	Landfill from Wheland Foundry.	430
25.	Woodward's Oil and Grease Removal System.	la la
26.	Oil Skimmer: Note Indication of Extensive Past Overflows.	46
27.	Bracket with Oil Pump Removed.	1 7
28.	Woodward's Quenching Tower.	: ج
29.	Process Spillage from Woodward's Ammonia Saturator, Note Acid Spilling Around Pipe Joint.	4 <i>5</i>
30.	Area Around Base of the Ammonia Saturator.	63
31.	Activated Sludge Unit, Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant.	72
32.	General Site Plan, Moccasin Bend Plant.	7
33.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Moccasin Bend STP Effluent, Total and Fecal Coliform Densities.	79
34.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Moccasin Bend STI Effluent, Total and Fecal Coliform Densities.	22
35.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Chattanooga Creek D.O. Profile, March 1973.	13
36.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Average Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations, 1967 & 1969 -T.V.A. Data.	34
37.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Chattanooga Creek BOD5 Profile, March 1973.	34
37A.	General Plan of Interceptor Sewers - City of Chattanooga.	26

38.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Average Max. & Min. BOD ₅ Concentrations, 1967 & 1969 T.V.A. Data.	65
39.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Chattanooga Creek Nitrogen Profile, March 1973.	92
40.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Chattanooga Creek TOC Profile, March 1973.	74
41.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Chattanooga Creek Conductivity Profile, March 1973.	96
42.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Chattanooga Creek Turbidity Profile, March 1973.	98
43.	Station DBT-1 Showing Heavy Turbidity & Sediment.	-? - <u>?</u>
44.	Fibrous Deposit at Station DBT-1 (Red Color Instream Result of Dye Tracer).	(50
45.	Closeup of Fibrous Deposit at Station DBT-1.	100
46.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Chattanooga Creek Phenol Profile, March 1973.	193
47.	Chattanooga Waste Discharges, Part II, Mean Total and Fecal Coliform Densities, March 1973.	107
48.	Number of Taxa and Individuals per Square Foot from Five Stations on Chattanooga Creek.	ı i i
49.	Number of Taxa Collected Qualitatively from Five Stations on Chattanooga Creek.	!

INTRODUCTION

Chattanooga, Tennessee, was designated early in FY 1972 by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as one of several "priority areas" throughout the nation where the damaging effects of pollution were widespread and especially severe. Field surveys, coupled with appropriate planning, state coordination, and enforcement actions are being concentrated in these areas in an effort to meet Federal/State water quality standards.

The specific objectives of this study were:

- Identify and characterize waste sources discharging to Chattanooga Creek.
- Determine the water quality of Chattanooga Creek.
- Determine the character of waste entering the Tennessee River from the Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant.
- To provide information for appropriate abatement actions for the entire Chattanooga area downstream from the City Water Company intakes.

Climatic conditions during the study were seasonal. Heavy rains occurred on March 2 and 9 (0.90 and 0.86 inches, respectively) which gave good runoff representations for both stream water quality and industrial discharges affected by rainfall. Chemical and microbiological data contained in this report were collected from February 27 - March 9, 1973. Sampling locations are shown in Figure 2 (foldout map at the back of this report).

The cooperation and assistance of the Tennessee Water Quality Control Board and especially the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) for allowing us to use their laboratory space was greatly appreciated. Special thanks is also extended to the many industrial personnel who assisted us at the plants investigated.

FINDINGS

- 1. Wastes from the Rossville Development Corporation Complex -- which houses Borg Fabrics, Rossville Yarn Processing Corporation, Rossville Spinning Corporation, Rossville Carpet Dyeing and Rossville Mills, as well as the adjoining Kenyon Southern facilities and the nearby Standard Coosa Thatcher plant across Maple Street -- contribute to the pollution of McFarland's Branch even though most of the wastes are discharged to the sewer. Numerous spills and dumps occurred from the Southern Coosa Thatcher and Southern Kenyon plants. The Rossville Development Corporation has a continuous discharge reported to be cooling water and boiler soot blowdown. This discharge contained a COD concentration of 3,000 mg/l for one sample collected during the survey.
- 2. Chattem Drug and Chemical Company discharges part of its waste to Chattanooga Creek and part to the municipal sewer system. The portion flowing untreated to the stream is primarily cooling water, floor washings and spills. This flow of approximately 500 gpm is heavily contaminated and represents a waste load of 1,100 lbs/day BOD₅ and 770 lbs/day of nitrogen to Chattanooga Creek. The strong odor of isopropyl alcohol was evident in the waste stream on several occasions.
- 3. Crane Company had unusually high lead concentrations in its waste discharge. Concentration values ranged from 28.13 to 57.5 mg/1 with an average discharge rate of 1,600 gpm.

- 4. Dixie Sand and Gravel Company is presently discharging large amounts of silt and fine sand from the land based sand washer. Total residue on two occasions was 13,209 and 6,182 mg/l with approximately 90 percent of the material being nonfilterable (suspended solids). The average daily (16 hours) waste loading was 108,736 lbs/day total residue and 98,385 lbs/day nonfilterable residue.
- 5. The L&N Railroad Wauhatchie Yards has five discharges to Black Creek, a tributary of Lookout Creek. Total oil and grease discharged from the facility averaged 47.2 lbs/day. Only gravity separation is provided on the oil separator at Station L&N-2 and the oil pond used to collect site drainage.
- 6. Modern Maid, Inc. discharges ceramic ground coat and pickling waste to a storm sewer which flows into Dobbs Branch. The ceramic base coat waste causes excessive solids concentrations and turbidity in Dobbs Branch and Chattanooga Creek.
- 7. Reilly Tar and Chemical Corporation stores all concentrated phenolic waste in a bio-oxidation pond. Personnel from the company reported that when phenolic concentration in the pond falls below 1 ppm, the contents of the pond are batch discharged to Chattanooga Creek. A flow averaging 10.3 gpm of additional process waste is continuously discharged through a straw filter oil trap to the creek. The BOD5 and phenolic concentration of this stream averaged 118 and 20.5 mg/1, respectively.

- 8. Roper Corporation has four untreated waste streams flowing to an unnamed tributary of the Tennessee River. Two settling basins are completely filled with sediment and serve no useful purpose. The average combined waste flow was 144 gpm. BOD₅ values ranged from 2.0 to 198 mg/l and total solids from 403 to 2,006 mg/l. Based on a 12-hour workday, the BOD₅ loading is 77 lbs/day and the total solids loading is 1,140 lbs/day.
- 9. Swift Edible Oil Company discharges most of its process waste to the municipal sewer system; however, one concentrated waste stream was discharged directly to a tributary of Chattanooga Creek. The BOD5 concentration of this stream ranged from 380 to 2,900 mg/1, and oil and grease ranged from 210 to 4,100 mg/1 with an average of 2,170 mg/1. Based on an average flow of 25 gpm, the discharge contained 498 lbs/day of BOD5 and 651 lbs/day of oil and grease.
- 10. Velsicol Chemical Corporation has four waste streams. Most of the process waste (Station VE-4) flows to the municipal waste system; while the other three streams flow into Chattanooga Creek and contain various amounts of process waste and surface runoff. The largest of these surface discharges (Station VE-1) contains plant site runoff, leaching, and Process waste discharges from the plant operation. Included in the average 105 gpm flow at this station is the relatively small (approximately 10 gpm) flow from Reilly Tar and Chemical. The BOD5 concentration at VE-1 averaged 915 mg/1, an average daily loading of 1,159 lbs/day. The flow, BOD5, and solids loadings for all four streams were:

	BOD5 (1bs/day)	Total Solids <u>(lbs/day)</u>	Average Flow (gpm)
VE-1	1,160	16,800	105
VE-2	188	2,200	44
VE-3	78	148	34
VE-4	26,900	62,200	922

* Discharged to the municipal sewer system

11. Chattanooga Coke and Chemicals (Woodward Corporation) discharges part of its waste to the municipal sewer system and the remaining portion to a tributary of Chattanooga Creek. The stronger wastes from the plant are used for coke quenching with the excess discharged to the creek. This involves waste from the ammonia still and the benzene, toluene, xylene (BTX) plant.

There are three waste streams flowing from the plant to surface streams. The major source (Station WO-2) flowing at an average rate of 52 gpm contained ammonia still and BTX plant waste as well as leachate through an old acid dump area. Values of the observed parameters were:

Average

Parameter	Range (mg/1)	(mg/1)
BOD 5	86 - >600	279
COD	330 - 2,800	1,070
TOC	120 - 860	320
Pheno1	25 - 465	167
TKN-N	1,240 - 7,750	4,400
NH3-N	1,070 - 7,320	4,060
CN	<.01 - 9.70	4.15
Residue (total)	15,000 - 37,300	27,000

The total residue waste loading averaged 17,000 lbs/day of which 16,100 lbs/day was volatile residue. This highly polluted waste combines with the strong waste stream from Velsicol (Station VE-2) and flows through a tributary stream (Station CT-2) to Chattanooga Creek.

The other two waste streams flowing from the north side of the plant property contain some contamination which indicates leakage or drift from the quench operation.

12. The Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) receives a municipal waste composed of roughly 70 percent industrial waste. The waste is strong, highly colored and difficult to treat because of varying flow rates and concentrations. Operational difficulties and odor problems have forced municipal authorities to close the secondary sludge handling facilities (Zimpro Process). All wasted secondary sludge is discharged directly to the effluent. Waste loadings determined during the study <u>excluding</u> the secondary sludge discharge were:

	MaxMin. Concentration (mg/1)	Average Concentration (mg/l)	Average Waste Load (1bs/day)
BOD 5	190 - 160	178	50, 500
COD	485 - 340	409	116,000
TOC	110 - 100	102	29,000
TKN-N	21.2 - 12.4	18.2	5,180
NH3-N	13.8 - 5.7	10.4	2,960
NO2 + NO3-N	0.03 - <0.01	<0.014	<4
T. PhosP	6.3 - 4.7	5.6	1,600
T. Residue	1,260 - 830	993	282,000
T. Residue Vol.	167 - 115	141	40,100
Dissolved Residue	1,190 - 640	860	245,000
T. Non-Filterable Residue	240 - 75	133	37,800
T. Non-Filterable Residue Vol.	105 - 52	70	19, 900
Oil & Grease	30 - 25	28	8,000
Phenol	1.55 - 0.59	0.966	275
T. Chromium	0.335 - 0.235	0.283	81
Copper	0.060 - 0.050	0,053	15
Zinc	0.43 - 0.322	0,383	109
Iron	1.98 - 1.67	1.854	527
Manganes e	0.355 - 0.29	0.311	88
Nickel	0.318 - 0.15	0.249	71
Lead	<0.1	<0.1	<28
Mercury	0.0014 - 0.0008	0.00097	0.28
Flow	27,800 - 20,700 gpm	23,700 gpm	

NOTE: These values do not reflect the secondary sludge presently being discharged from the plant.

The Moccasin Bend STP is discharging a considerable amount of oxygen demanding and toxic materials to the Tennessee River. The treated plant effluent is as strong or stronger than typical raw municipal waste, even discounting the unmeasured waste sludge discharge.

- 13. The combined sewerage system has a number of regulation chambers which permit overflow to the surface streams. During periods of excessive surface runoff, the regulator chambers discharge raw sewage into the Tennessee River and tributary streams. Evidence of this waste discharge was very apparent in Dobbs Branch and Chattanooga Creek during the rain of March 2, 1973.
- 14. The water quality in Chattanooga Creek deteriorates significantly downstream from the state line. The most significant waste sources (from Velsicol and Woodward) enter Chattanooga Creek via an unnamed stream at RM 5.3. The other major waste source entering the creek is from Dobbs Branch. This branch contains waste from Modern Maid, Inc., overflow from the municipal sewer system, and numerous unidentified discharges.
- 15. Unauthorized discharges to the Montague Park area storm sewer are a major source of untreated wastewater to Dobbs Branch. Although the Modern Maid, Inc. discharge was identified and traced, the source of a very fibrous constituent discharge at the mouth of the sewer was not determined.

16. Wheland Foundry has discharges containing high solids concentrations. One 2.5 gpm discharge sampled had a total solids concentration of 4,920 mg/l and a COD of 1,910 mg/l. The old dumping area for used sand and dust from air pollution control equipment has been pushed onto the flood plain of Chattanooga Creek, from where it easily washes into the creek. A new dump planned for property across the creek will result in more solids entering Chattanooga Creek if a retaining wall isn't constructed to contain the sand.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Improvements to the Chattanooga sewerage system should be given a very high priority by all agencies concerned.
 - A thorough treatability study of industrial waste discharges to the sewerage system should be conducted and used as background information for developing effective and enforceable pretreatment ordnances.
 - Provision for treatment of secondary waste sludge at the
 Moccasin Bend plant should be made as soon as possible,
 probably by resolving the dispute between Zimpro Corporation
 and the City of Chattanooga as quickly as possible.
 - A large aerated mixing and storage reservoir should be considered to store excess water in high flows during storms and to equalize the highly variable waste strength received at the Moccasin Bend plant.
- 2. The Chattanooga Coke and Chemicals Company should immediately install interim treatment to reduce BOD, cyanide, phenols, ammonia, oil and grease, and to neutralize the acid in its present waste discharge. A permit for this company should include the requirement for immediate interim treatment to function until such time as best practical available technology or better is installed.
- 3. Velsicol Chemical Corporation should immediately collect and treat all process and contaminated surface runoff discharged from its property. Interim treatment measures should be required while more adequate treatment facilities are being built.

- 4. Swift Edible Oil Company should install complete and adequate treatment for the wastewater currently being discharged through the storm drains to Chattanooga Creek.
- 5. The City of Chattanooga should make an investigation to eliminate raw waste discharges to its storm sewer system, particularly in the Montigue Park area. All discharges to the storm sewer should apply for both state and federal permits.
- Modern Maid, Inc. should install complete and adequate treatment for the wastewater currently being discharged through the storm drains to Dobbs' Branch.
- 7. The L&N Railroad Wahatchie Yards should upgrade its oil removal system. Treatment should be provided for all discharges. Emulsion breakers should be added and air flotation equipment should be installed for all emulsified oil wastes.
- 8. Chattem Drug and Chemical Company should make a concerted effort to reduce its total waste flow by reduced water usage and recycling. The waste stream now flowing to Chattanooga Creek should be provided complete treatment before discharge.
- 9. The Crane Company should isolate and separately treat its individual waste streams. The waste stream containing the high lead concentration should be adequately treated or lead should be eliminated from the operation.
- 10. Dixie Sand and Gravel should install adequate waste treatment facilities. This would probably involve the use of cyclone separators followed by mechanical clarifiers, since adequate area is not available for settling ponds.

- Wastes from the Roper Corporation should be given adequate treatment before discharge.
- 12. The Southern Coosa Thatcher Company in Rossville and the Southern Kenyon Company should immediately construct facilities to contain or prevent their frequent dye or pigment spills.
- 13. The Rossville Development Corporation should provide adequate treatment for the boiler soot blowdown before discharge.
- 14. Reilly Tar and Chemical Company should insure that its discharge meets best practical waste treatment limits.
- 15. Waste discharge from Wheland Foundry should be treated to remove coal fines and other pollutants before discharge into Chattanooga Creek. Retaining walls or other methods of stabilizing the dump tailings should be installed to prevent foundry sand and dust from the air control equipment from entering the creek.

STUDY AREA

This study was concentrated primarily on point source waste discharges to Chattanooga Creek and its tributaries (See fold-out map at back of report). In addition, other main industrial discharges not included in the <u>Chattanooga</u>. Part I report (1) were included. The effluent from the Moccasin Bend STP was also included since most of the industries along Chattanooga Creek discharge at least a portion of their waste to the municipal system.

The headwaters of Chattanooga Creek are located approximately 12 miles south of the Tennessee-Georgia state line. The creek flows north through a long, narrow valley bounded on the east by Missionary Ridge and on the west of Lookout Mountain. Major tributaries are Dobbs Branch at mile 2.1, McFarland Branch at mile 6.1, and Dry Branch at mile 6.6. The downstream portion of the Chattanooga Creek watershed is heavily populated by the cities of Rossville, GA, and Chattanooga, TN, with a considerable amount of industrial activity ranging from carpet dyeing to drug manufacturing. One very large municipal landfill is located at 38th Street. This landfill has just recently been filled and "covered". Since the fill is located on the edge of Chattanooga Creek and is subject to partial inundation each time it rains, this will be a significant pollution source for many years. Numerous (10 to 20) industrial, public and private landfills line the banks of Chattanooga Creek throughout the lower 10 miles of the stream. Most of the dumps are uncovered and poorly maintained.

PRESENT WATER USES

Water Supply

Neither Chattanooga Creek nor its tributaries are being used for domestic or industrial water supplies.

Fish and Aquatic Life

Chattanooga Creek within Tennessee and Dobbs' and McFarland's Branches are not suitable for the propogation of a diverse aquatic life because of domestic and industrial waste discharges.

Recreation

Chattanooga Creek and its tributaries are useless for any type of recreation. In addition to pollution, the streams are so choked with litter that they are aesthetically unacceptable.

Irrigation

Irrigation is not practiced in the immediate study area.

Livestock Watering and Wildlife

The upper reaches of both Chattanooga and Dry Creek are used for livestock watering. A few cattle were seen grazing near Station CT-2.

Navigation

The Tennessee River in the vicinity of the study area is heavily used by barge traffic. Chattanooga Creek does not support barge traffic, but materials (such as rolls of fiberglass from dumps) washed from Chattanooga Creek could interfere with navigation in the River.

PREVIOUS STUDIES

A comprehensive listing of pollution sources in the Chattanooga area is included in the transcript of a public hearing held on December 2, 1971 (2). This hearing was conducted, transcribed, and published by the Tennessee Water Quality Control Board and includes a brief discussion of each pollution source.

A report by the Tennessee Stream Pollution Control Board, "Stream Pollution Survey of the Chattanooga Area - 1964" (3), identified several point sources and included a discussion of each pollution source.

A subsequent Tennessee Stream Pollution Control Board study in 1965 of interstate streams in the area (4) concluded ". . . that significant organic and bacterial pollution could be entering Tennessee through tributary streams" from Georgia.

In 1967 and 1969, the Tennessee Valley Authority collected chemical data on Chattanooga Creek and other Tennessee River tributary streams. Samples were collected at monthly intervals through calendar year 1969. No report was published.

In 1969, a biological study of the Tennessee Basin streams of northwest Georgia was conducted by the Georgia Water Quality Control Board (5). This study included Chattanooga Creek and its tributaries. A report entitled "Biological Investigation of Tennessee Basin Streams of Northwest Georgia" was later published.

STUDY METHODS

GENERAL

This survey was conducted by the Surveillance and Analysis Division personnel, EPA, Athens, Georgia, with the assistance of personnel from the Enforcement Division, Region IV, Atlanta, Georgia. Laboratory space was provided by the Tennessee Valley Authority in their old water laboratory at 10th and Lindsay Streets in Chattanooga. Field crews collected water samples and measured flow, pH, and temperature. Bacteriological, BOD₅, acidity, alkalinity, and pH determinations were made in the field laboratory. Samples for other analyses were shipped back to the Athens laboratory. Analytical methods used are listed in Appendix A.

Biological methods are included in the "BIOLOGY" discussion under "STREAM DATA AND OBSERVATIONS".

CHATTANOOGA CREEK SEDIMENT

Sediment samples were collected with a Petersen dredge at Stations C-0.6 and 8.1.

WASTE SAMPLING

EPA automatic samplers were used to collect 24-hour composite samples from some industries and the Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant while intermittent discharges or industries operated on less than a 24-hour basis were evaluated by either grab samples or manually composited samples. Grab samples were collected for any analyses requiring special preservation or collection techniques. Flows were determined from in-plant flow measuring devices, temporary weirs, bucket and stop watch, or estimates.

STREAM QUALITY

Stream samples were collected from Chattanooga Creek, Dobbs Branch, Dry Creek, and McFarland's Branch. The sampling stations are shown in Figure 1 and listed in Appendix B. Samples for chemical analyses and dissolved oxygen were taken at the one-foot depth. Bacteriological samples for coliform were collected at one-foot depth by a grab sampling technique.

MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS

Sampling

All stream samples analyzed for coliform bacteria were collected near the surface using a grab technique. The samples were collected in sterile glass containers and placed on ice until time of analysis. Most samples were analyzed within four hours after collection and all within eight hours after collection.

All Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant samples were collected at the automatic samplers which pump water from the chlorine contact tank. Samples were collected at three-hour intervals for two 24-hour periods. Other effluent samples were collected once each morning for five days. All samples were dechlorinated using 0.2 ml of a sterile 10 percent sodium thiosulfate solution. All samples were placed on ice and were analyzed within eight hours after collection.

Stream samples collected for <u>Salmonella</u> isolation were obtained using a modification of the swab technique of Moore (6). Sanitary napkins (swabs) were folded, gauze ends tied together, and a length of heavy string attached. Prepared swabs were wrapped in kraft paper and sterilized. Sterile swabs were suspended beneath the water surface at all Chattanooga Creek stations for five days, then retrieved and returned to the laboratory for analysis.

Examination

<u>Total Coliform Enumeration</u>: The standard coliform procedure outlined in <u>Standard Methods</u> (7) for the five-tube MPN multiple-tube dilution was used. The procedure employs lauryl tryptose broth incubated at $35 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for 24 and 48 ± 3 hours followed by confirmation using brilliant green lactose bile broth incubated at $35 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for 24 and 48 ± 3 hours.

<u>Fecal Coliform Enumeration</u>: The fecal coliform procedure outlined in <u>Standard Methods</u> (7) for the five-tube MPN multiple-tube dilution was used. The procedure employs the standard presumptive test using lauryl tryptose broth followed by fecal coliform confirmation using EC medium at an elevated temperature $(44.5^{\circ} + 0.2^{\circ}C)$ waterbath) for 24 + 2 hours.

<u>Salmonella Isolation and Identification</u>: Swabs used for isolation purposes were placed into wide-mouth jars containing approximately 200 ml of 1-1/2 strength tetrathionate broth with brilliant green added. The inoculated enrichment was incubated from 24 to 48 hours at 41.5° C according to the procedure of Spino (8). After either primary or sub-culture enrichment, an inoculum for each enrichment was streaked onto Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate Agar (XLD) and Hektoen Enteric Agar (HE) plates and incubated at $35 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for 18-24 hours. Suspected <u>Salmonella</u> colonies were picked from the respective plates and subjected to the identification scheme outlined in Table

The methods and media outlined in Table _____ are described by Ewing (9), with the exception of the cytochrome oxidase method. Oxidase



activity was determined using Patho-Tec- $CO^{1/2}$ reagent impregnated strips.

Definitive serological identification of <u>Salmonella</u> isolates was made at the Southeast Environmental Research Laboratory, Athens, Georgia. The methodology used was the standard serological procedures described by Edwards and Ewing (10).

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

Aerial photography of the Chattanooga area was flown in January 1973 by the Environmental Surveillance Branch of the National Environmental Research Center - Las Vegas (NERC-LV). The photographs clearly confirmed the presence and extent of pollution problems (note the white color of Jobbs Branch -- left center of Figure $\frac{12}{12}$. The stereoscopic coverage was particularly useful in outlining drainage basins in which to search for sources of pollution because divides between watersheds are well defined by the vertical exaggeration of the stereo-pairs.

^{1/} Does not imply endorsement of the product.

;ure 1A attanooga Creek From Approximately Mile 1 to Mile 4

WASTE SOURCE RESULTS

GENERAL

The industrial effluent data obtained during the survey is contained in Appendix D.

INDUSTRIAL WASTES

Combustion Engineering, Inc.

Combustion Engineering employs over 5,000 people in the manufacture of boilers and pressure vessels.

The company discharges wastewater which is primarily cooling water to the Tennessee River at RM 462.1 (Figure 2).

Discussion of Analytical Data

The flow during the EPA study was estimated to be 50 gpm. Grab composite samples were collected on March 6 and 7 from the effluent pipe.

BOD₅ concentrations averaged less than 4 mg/1, while total nitrogen averaged 1.1 mg/1.

TOC concentrations ranged from 2.0 to 11.0 mg/1.

Temperature and pH of the wastewater were in the range of 17° C and 7.6-8.0 pH units, respectively.

Chattem Drug and Chemical Company

Chattem Drug plant located at 1715 West 38th Street employs a total of approximately 120 persons working three shifts per day, seven days per week. The company is divided into three production units which are housed in separate buildings. Most of the chemical process water is discharged after pretreatment for solids and oil removal to the city

Figure 2 Combustion Engineering's Cooling Water Discharge system; however, a portion of the company's process water and cooling water is being discharged to a storm drain which enters Chattanooga Creek (Figure 3).

Chattem Drug manufactures a large number of medicinal chemicals and pharmaceutical products, many of which are derived from vegetable extracts. The major chemicals produced are aluminum isopropylate, dihydroxy aluminum carbonate, and glycine. Aluminum isopropylate is made in two different units, one for sale as a finished product, and the other as an intermediate in the production of dihydroxy aluminum sodium carbonate.

The basic raw products used at Chattem are: sodium bicarbonate, isopropyl alcohol, aluminum, ammonia and acetic acid.

Discussion of Analytical Data

The total flow (500 gpm) being discharged to the storm water sewer contained significant loads of BOD₅ (1,100 lbs/day), COD (2,340 lbs/day), and total nitrogen (770 lbs/day). The dissolved, total, and total volatile residue loadings were 4,870, 4,930, and 2,450 lbs/day. respectively.

Several times during the reconnaissance and survey, a strong odor of isopropyl alcohol was detected in the waste stream. The TOC concentrations averaged 158 mg/l and correlated well with the suspected presence of organic compounds in the wastewater. Approximately one-half of the average total residue concentration (822 mg/l) was composed of volatile matter (409 mg/l) which was 98 percent dissolved residue. The residue data clearly show that a high concentration of dissolved organic matter was in the discharge.

~5

Figure 3 Storm Drain Carrying Waste From Chattem Drug Company TKN concentrations ranged from 82.5 to 185 mg/l and averaged 127 mg/l with an average ammonia concentration of 101 mg/l.

Chattem Drug is contributing a substantial amount of oxygen demanding pollutants to the downstream portion of Chattanooga Creek already heavily polluted by upstream waste sources.

Crane Company

The Crane Company, Chattanooga Enamelware Plant, is located at 33rd Street and Alton Park Boulevard and employs approximately 400 people on a three-shift, five-day per week basis.

The enamelware plant has a foundry operation for the melting of scrap steel and subsequent casting of bathtubs, sinks, and lavatories. After casting and cooling, the fixture is sprayed with a ground coat cover, heated to around 1600°F or higher, removed from the furnace and dusted. This step may be repeated several times to obtain an even coat. The porcelain enameling process involves the re-fusing of powdered glass on the metal surface.

The wastewater in the plant is generated in cooling the cupola in the foundry, from casting sand, air pollution scrubbing equipment, and washing operations in the mixing of cover coat materials. The wastewater discharges (Figures 4 and 5) into an old oxbow of Chattanooga Creek which was cut off when the creek was rechanneled. The water flowing into the creek had a black-grey color and an average temperature of 31°C. Water discharges into Chattanooga Creek from the old stream via two pipes which enter below the water level of the creek. During the heavy rains of March 2, a distinct plume of oil could be seen boiling into the main channel of Chattanooga Creek from this point.


Figure 4 Discharge from Crane Company (Station CR-1)

Figure 5 Discharge From Crane Company (Station CR-1) Into Old Chattanooga Creek Bed

Discussion of Analytical Data

The average flow was 1,600 gpm with a slightly acid pH. The pH ranged between 4.7-6.0 pH units.

The carbon content of the wastewater was relatively low as observed in the average concentrations of BOD5, COD, and TOC which were <20, <50, and 9 mg/l, respectively. Oil and grease concentrations averaged <5 mg/l.

The average iron concentration was 23.9 mg/l (460 pounds per day). Extremely high concentrations of lead were found -- ranging from 28.13 to 57.5 mg/l with an average of 38.7 mg/l (744 pounds/day).

Total residue concentrations averaged 3,610 mg/l (69,400 pounds per day) of which 83 percent (3,000 mg/l) was total volatile residue. Four percent of the total or an average concentration of 152 mg/l (2,920 pounds/day) was nonfilterable residue.

Dixie Sand and Gravel Company

Dixie Sand and Gravel plant, located at 515 River Street, operates two 8-hour shifts per day for five days per week. The company dredges from the Tennessee River and transports the unprocessed material to its land based plant. The process includes washing and screening, followed by crushing of the larger aggregate. The discharged washwater is heavily laden with silt and fine sand and is discharged untreated to the Tennessee River.

Discussion of Analytical Data

The flow was reported by company officials to be 1,400 gpm. The high velocity of the wastewater made representative sampling for residue (solids) difficult. Results of two grab samples were:

	Concentrations in	
	March 7	March 8
Dissolved Residue	974	872
Nonfilterable (suspended) residue	12,200	5,310
Total Residue	13,200	6,180

In both cases, the nonfilterable portion made up approximately 90 percent of the total residue concentration. The waste loadings for total and nonfilterable residues were 108,700 and 98,400 pounds per 16 hours, respectively. This would represent an unusually heavy workday, since the washer is usually periodically shutdown during the day.

Gilman Paint and Varnish Company

Most of the operations involved in mixing paints are physical. The resins, oils, and pigments are first mixed, passed through grinding mills and then mixed with tinting and thinners. The liquid paint is strained into a transfer tank or into the hopper of the filling machine.

Varnishes are produced by mixing natural and synthetic resins in oils and solvents. Various types of varnish require different manufacturing techniques.

The company is discharging all process water to the city sewer but has one discharge cooling water which contains traces of paint. Company officials indicated that a sink where paint brushes containing latex paint were occasionally washed had become clogged and the maintenance department had connected the drain to the cooling water discharge.

During the EPA study, water samples collected from the cooling water effluent did not contain any evidence of water quality degrading materials. On April 3, 1973, a letter was received from the company stating that a cooling tower is being erected and should be installed by June 30, 1973, thus eliminating the cooling water discharge to the Tennessee River.

Happy Valley Farm

This dairy farm is located off of the Rossville-Ridgeland Road in Georgia. During a visit to the farm, company officials reported that construction was almost completed on a waste pretreatment facility. The wastes were being discharged to the city sewer during the EPA study.

Prior to the installation of pretreatment equipment and discharge to the Chattanooga sewerage system, this waste constituted a major pollutional load into Dry Branch. The removal of this waste from surface streams is credited as a major cause of water quality improvement since the earlier studies cited in the Bibliography (3, 4, 5).

The Louisville & Nashville (L&N) Railroad Company

L&N operates a locomotive and car repair shop as well as fueling and car washing facilities at the Wauhatchie Yard. The wastewater consists of large quantities of oil and grease, cleaning solvents, and detergents. Five waste streams originate on the company property, four of which discharge into Black Creek and one to Lookout Creek.

The treatment facilities include a small package extended aeration plant with chlorination for domestic wastes (Station L&N-1 -- Figure 6); an oil skimmer which treats wastes from the repair shops (Station L&N-2 --Figure 7); and a holding pond which collects yard drainage and contains a significant amount of oil (Station L&N-3 -- Figures 8, 9, and 10). The soil under the yards is saturated with oil. Station L&N-4 is located at



Figure 7 Oil Skimmer (Station L&N-2)

Figure 8 L&N Holding Pond



Figure 9 Floating Oil on L&N Holding Pond



Figure 10 Overflow From Holding Pond (Station L&N-3)

a ditch carrying site drainage (Figures 11 and 12) and Station L&N-5 is located on a ditch which receives groundwater drainage (Figure 13).

Discussion of Analytical Data

Five stations were sampled during the EPA study. All five stations at the Wauhatchie Yard contributed a total average of 70 pounds per day of five-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅). The average BOD₅ concentrations were:

	mg/1
L&N-1	23.6
L&N-2	94.0
L&N-3	13.9
L&N-4	108.0
L&N-5	21.1

Total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations were highest in the effluent from the oil separator (L&N-2) ranging from 13 to 100 mg/1 (average: 45.3 mg/l) and at the ditch crrying yard runoff (L&N-4) ranged from 18 to 133 mg/l (average: 82.7 mg/l). Total TOC waste loadings were 54 pounds per day with over half of the amount coming from L&N-2 and L&N-4.

Oil and grease concentrations were highest at L&N-2, ranging from 18 to 61 mg/1, with an average waste loading of 7 pounds per day. L&N-3 had an average loading of 6.8 pounds per day. The total waste load from all five discharges for oil and grease was 47.2 pounds per day.

Total nitrogen waste loadings averaged 26 pounds per day. Kjeldahl nitrogen and ammonia concentrations were minimal, but nitrate-nitrite (NO3-NO2-N) concentrations were high, with concentrations at L&N-1 averagin





Figure 12 Weir at Station L&N-4 Water Drained From the Ditch in Upper Picture



Figure 13 Groundwater Discharge at Station L&N-5



. .

33.8 mg/l and 17.8 mg/l at L&N-5. Total phosphorus concentrations on both L&N-1 and L&N-2 were 7.20 and 6.73 mg/l, respectively.

The residue concentrations were relatively low except for one day at L&N-2. On March 7, the total residue concentration at L&N-2 was 823 mg/l and the nonfilterable residue concentration was 229 mg/l. On the same date, the total volatile residue concentration was 227 mg/l including 64 mg/l as volatile nonfilterable residue.

Modern Maid, Inc.

The Modern Maid plant located at East 14th Street at Holtzclaw Avenue, manufactures kitchen ranges from stamped sheet steel. The steel parts are cleaned by a sequence of alkaline cleaning, hot and cold water rinses, pickling and rinse, nickel flushing and rinse, and neutralizing. After drying, the ground coat is sprayed on the metal, dried and fired. The cover coat may be applied either to the cleaned metal, or over the ground coat.

Wastewater is generated in the metal cleaning facility and contains both alkali and acid materials and dissolved iron and nickel. Another source of wastewater is in the ball-milling of the porcelain enamel frit (quenched glass). The frit is milled with clay, electrolytes, and water to form a stable suspension. The water from these mills are high in suspended material, color, and turbidity.

Discussion of Analytical Data

Three stations were sampled during the study: MM-1 (pickling waste), MM-2 (ceramic base coating waste), and MM-3 (paint shop effluent). Wastes from MM-3 are discharged to the city sewer system. Wastes at stations MM-1 and MM-2 were found to be discharging to a storm sewer (Figures 14 and 15) which drains to Dobbs Branch, verified by a dye release through the plant effluents. Travel time through the sewer system was $5\frac{1}{2}$ hours and total travel time to Chattanooga Creek was about 10 hours.

The wastewater from the pickling operation had a low pH (2.3) and contained iron, lead, and nickel concentrations averaging 231, 0.64, and 7.4 mg/l, respectively.

BOD₅ and COD concentrations at all stations were low, averaging less than 20 and less than 58 mg/l, respectively, at stations MM-l and MM-2. TKN concentration at MM-2 averaged 23.3 mg/l with a waste load of 3.27 lbs/day.

Total residue from MM-1 and MM-2 averaged 254 and 369 pounds per day, respectively. The nonfilterable residue at MM-2 averaged 238 pounds per day. The average total residue loading from the three stations was 632 pounds per day. The average nonfilterable residue total was 240 pounds per day.

Reilly Tar and Chemical Corporation

Reilly Tar and Chemical plant on Central Avenue employs seven people in the distillation of coal tar. Major products are roofing and conduit pitch. The company also collects creosote oil during the distillation and uses part of this oil to blend with the "base tar" to produce a satisfactory pitch. The remainder of the oil is sold as creosote for woodpreserving. The coal tar and the steam used for distillation are both purchased from Woodward, Inc. located adjacent to the plant.

The wastewater treatment facility has a settling pond which receives both surface and industrial water. The water then discharges through a





Figure 15 Turbidity Caused by Blue-White Discharge From Modern Maid, Inc. (Station DBT-2)

straw oil trap and via a 24-inch pipe to an unnamed tributary of Chattanooga Creek. Concentrated phenolic wastes are pumped to a bio-oxidation pond for treatment. According to company officials, the waste is held until the phenolic concentration drops below one mg/l and is then batch discharged to the creek (Figure 16).

Discussion of Analytical Data

The flow from the treatment facility during the EPA study averaged only 10.3 gpm. The concentrations for BOD₅, COD, and ammonia averaged 118, 224, and 5.0 mg/l, respectively, while the phenolic concentration averaged 20.5 mg/l. Although these concentrations were high in most cases, the waste loadings were low as a result of low flows. The bio-oxidation pond was not discharging water during the survey.

G. D. Roper Corporation

Roper Corporation is located in north Chattanooga and discharges wastewater to an unnamed branch which enters the Tennessee River at RM 464.0. The plant manufactures kitchen ranges from stamped sheet steel. After stamping operations, the parts are cleaned through a normal pickling operation of alkaline cleaning, hot and cold water rinses, pickling and rinse, nickel flushing and rinse, and neutralizing. After drying, the ground coat is sprayed on the metal, dried and fried. The cover coat may be applied either to the cleaned metal or over the ground coat.

Wastewater from the plant is discharged at four different points. Two discharges enter the branch directly while the other two streams flow through settling ponds which are completely filled with sediment.





Company spokesman stated that the waste at Station RO-1 was primarily welder cooling water; RO-2 consisted of cooling water and floor drains; RO-3 consisted of paint shop, pickling line and enamel mill room wastewater; RO-4 contains porcelain enamel waste. The content and color of the waste at Stations RO-2, RO-3, and RO-4 varied from hour to hour during the sampling period, while the waste at RO-1 remained clear.

Discussion of Analytical Data

The total average flow from the four discharge points was 144 gpm. The waste at Stations RO-1 and RO-3 both had excessive concentrations of BOD₅. The BOD₅ concentrations at Stations RO-1 ranged from 5.1 to 143 mg/1 with an average of 83.4 mg/1, indicating that RO-1 had more than just welding cooling water. The BOD₅ concentrations at Station RO-3 ranged from 64 to 198 with an average greater than 134.8 mg/1. The BOD₅ waste loads at Stations RO-1 and RO-3 were 37.6 and 104.1 pounds per day, respectively, and 142 pounds per day total. The waste loads measured at Stations RO-2 and RO-4 were low with only 12 pounds of BOD₅ per day.

TOC concentrations varied greatly at Stations RO-1 and RO-3 ranging from 2.0 to 43.0 $\log/1$ and 34 to 220 mg/1, respectively. TOC concentrations were low at Stations RO-2 and RO-4.

COD followed the same trend as BOD5 and TOC. Wastes at Station RO-1 had an average concentration of 124 mg/1. At Station RO-3 the average concentration was 405 mg/1. The waste load discharges were 55.6 and 312.8, respectively, with a total of 368.4 pounds per day.

Solids which present a major problem at Roper were previously settled in two sedimentation basins. Since these basins are presently filled, the material is now being discharged to the receiving stream. Due to the fact that the samples were composited grab samples of a batch discharge industry, variance was observed in some of the solids data, particularly at Station RO-2 where the range was from 388 to 1,020 mg/1 with an average of 753 mg/1. Some variation was noted at Station RO-4 with a range of 1,301 to 2,279 mg/1 (average 1,787). The following table lists the solids contribution based on a 24-hour workday:

S	DT.	TI	DS.
<u> </u>			<i>-u</i>

	T	otal	Total Vol.		Susp	Suspended		Suspended	
	mg/1	lbs/day	mg/l	lbs/day	mg/1	lbs/day	mg/1	lbs/day	
R0-1	403	182	72	32	113	51	98	44	
R0-2	753	256	57	19	562	191	18	6	
R0-3	2,006	1,549	178	137	899	694	76	59	
R0-4	1,787	293	138	23	1,385	228	85	14	
TOTALS		2,280		211		1,164	······	123	

Phosphorus concentrations at stations RO-1 and RO-4 averaged 2.16 and 1.36 mg/1, respectively, while station RO-3 concentrations ranged from 7.50 to 23.0 mg/1 with an average of 13.57 mg/1. Nitrogen concentrations were generally low, but one concentration of 16.1 mg/1 of TKN was observed on March 7 at station RO-3 with a correspondingly high pH of 10.4.

Metals (zinc, manganese, and iron) were in excessive concentrations at stations RO-2, RO-3, and RO-4. The average concentrations and waste loadings are listed below:

		Zn		Mn	Fe	
Station	mg/1	lbs/day	mg/1	lbs/day	mg/1	lbs/day
R0-2	4.8	1.6	8.9	3.0	25.0	8.5
RO-3	5.7	4.4	11.4	8.8	51.6	40.0
RO-4	23.6	3.8	5.2	0.8	11.7	1.9
TOTAL LBS/DA	AY	9.8		12.6	<u> </u>	50.4

Rossville Textile Mills

The Rossville Development Corporation complex (RDC) houses: Borg Fabrics, Rossville Yarn Processing Corporation, Rossville Spinning Corporation, Rossville Carpet Dyeing Corporation, Rossville Mills, and provides heating and air conditioning to the tenants. Kenyon Southern, Inc. owns and occupies the southwest corner of the complex.

Borg Fabrics dyes synthetic fibers and knits them into backing materials.

Rossville Yarn Processing Corporation processes synthetic filament yarn for carpet use. It dyes, heat sets, and performs other physical operations to produce a yarn of proper color, ply, and texture.

Rossville Spinning Corporation dyes and blends fibers for proper type and color before spinning into yarn for carpet manufacturing.

Rossville Mills purchases yarns and adds various finish coatings. The yarn is then woven into upholstery material. The company does no dyeing.

The complex is sewered by the Chattanooga system; however, several storm and cooling water drains still carry waste to McFarland's Branch. Kenyon Southern had an outside drain leading from a paved area where empty barrels used for storing pigment are stored. These barrels often fill with rainwater and are emptied into the storm drain (Figures 17 and 18). The water contains pigment which causes Dye Branch, a small tributary to McFarland's Branch, to become highly colored. Boiler blowdown from Borg Fabrics is discharged directly to the stream.

The Standard Coosa Thatcher, National Plant, is located immediately southwest of RDC and discharges surface runoff and uncontained spills to Dye Branch. Process wastes from the yarn and pressure dyeing vats are passed through a heat exchanger before discharge to the sewer system.

These plants are subject to spills such as one witnessed at Standard Coosa Thatcher on March 1, 1972, where a pink dye was allowed to spill from the building to an outside storm drain (Figure 19). On a sampling run on March 6, 1973, a detergent-like discharge was observed and collected at Dye Branch coming from Standard Coosa Thatcher.

Several times prior to and during the EPA study, highly colored wastes were seen in McFarland's Branch, which clearly shows that all textile process wastes are not discharged to the sewers.

Discussion of Analytical Data

Samples were collected from three different point sources at the Rossville Complex. The storm drain from Kenyon Southern (Station SK-1) which discharges into Dye Branch, had the following concentrations (in addition to the strong yellow pigment) (Figure 20):

- pH 10.9 Total phosphorus 1.02 mg/1
 - Total residue 1,558 mg/l
- BOD₅ 28 mg/1
 TOC 37 mg/1
- TKN 1.30 mg/1
- COD 132 mg/1
- Total volatile residue 191 mg/1
- Total NFLT volatile residue 160 mg/1



Figure 17 Storage Area at Kenyon Southern for Empty Dye and Pigment Barrels



Figure 18 Multicolor Pigments at Kenyon Southern Storm Drain



Figure 19 Pink Dye Spill From Standard Coosa Thatcher Company Entering McFarland's Branch



Figure 20 Yellow Pigment Flowing into Dye Branch from Kenyon Southern

All of the above concentrations are considerably higher than those of natural drainage.

The sample at station RD-1 was collected from a ditch downstream from Standard Coosa Thatcher. The water quality was very similar to that at Station KS-1:

o pH - 10.5 o)	Total	phos	phorus	-	1.12	mg/	'1
---------------	---	-------	------	--------	---	------	-----	----

- BOD5 21 mg/1 Total residue 3,605 mg/1
- TOC 35 mg/1 Total volatile residue 323 mg/1
- TKN 0.50 mg/1 Total NFLT volatile residue 82 mg/1

Station RDC-1 was sampled over a 3-day period, March 5-7. TOC concentrations were observed from 86 to 195 mg/1 with an average of 124 mg/1. BOD5 concentrations were low averaging less than 6.4 mg/1, but COD concentrations ranged from 324 to 3,000 mg/1 with an average of 1,230 mg/1. One unusually high iron concentration of 85.7 mg/1 was found on March 6. The next day company officials stated that they were discharging boiler blow-down water and soot steam blasted from the combustion chamber.

Although color was not measured as a parameter, observations of various colors being discharged into Dye Branch and McFarland's Branch were noted by EPA personnel.

Total residue concentrations ranged from 825 to 4,720 mg/l with an average of 2,247 mg/l. Total volatile residues were high with two of three values exceeding 1,000 mg/l. The average concentration was 769 mg/l. On March 6 and 7, the total nonfilterable volatile residue was 70 and 90 percent, respectively, of the total volatile residue, which is about what would be expected if this residue was, in fact, soot as claimed by company officials.

Signal Mountain Portland Cement Company

Signal Mountain Portland Cement operates quarries and a cement plant near the base of Signal Mountain. The cement is obtained by pulverizing limestone rock, calcining and grinding to a fine powder. Clay, gypsum and other additives are blended to obtain desired cements.

The waste stream consists primarily of cooling water and sediment. The wastewater treatment system is a series of lagoons with a retention time according to company reports, in excess of 10 days.

As would be expected from the type of operation, BOD5, TOC, and nutrients were all low. The pH of the discharge was 10, and total iron concentration was 2.5 mg/l. The total iron waste loading was 31.3 pounds per day. The effluent was clear with a total residue concentration of 295 mg/l of which 64 mg/l was total nonfilterable residue.

Swift Edible Oil Company (A Division of Swift and Company)

The Swift plant, located on Central Avenue, employs approximately 200 persons on a 7-day, 24-hour basis in the manufacturing of edible oil products such as salad oil, margarine, and shortening. The major raw products are soybean oil, cotton seed oil, and tallows. The crude vegetable oils containing fatty acids are neutralized with caustic, forming a soapy material called foots. The foots are removed by centrifuges and recovered. The oil is bleached with adsorbent clay. For salad oil, the bleached oil is deodorized and cooled, causing the stearin to crystallize. The oil is filtered and the stearin is removed. For shortening, the bleached oil is hydrogenated, rebleached, and deodorized by blowing superheated steam through the oil. 57

The wastewater from the plant is discharged after grease removal to the city sewer. The sewer and stormwater drains enter a common sump but are separated by a concrete partition. During the initial reconnaissance in January 1973, white colored water with considerable flow was bypassing the city sewer and going through the storm drain to an unnamed branch of Chattanooga Creek (Figure 21). To ascertain that the storm drainage did drain to Chattanooga Creek by the route described above, a slug of dye was traced from the stormwater discharge point to the unnamed tributary of Chattanooga Creek.

Discussion of Analytical Data

Composite samples were collected from the stormwater discharge from March 6 through March 8. The estimated average flow was 25 gpm with one high flow of 45 gpm reported during the initial sampling.

The BOD₅ concentrations ranged from 380 to 2,900 mg/1 with an average of 1,660 mg/1. The calculated waste loading was 498 pounds per day. Although the flow was not high, a substantial load was being discharged to the stream.

TOC concentrations ranged from 110 to 2,000 mg/l with an average of 783 mg/l. The exceptionally high concentration of 2,000 mg/l was found on March 6 and was indicative of a spill containing a high carbon source.

Nutrient concentrations were about normal for seed oil plants. Total phosphorus, however, was high and ranged from 18.3 to 7.4 mg/l with an average of 13.5 mg/l.

Oil and grease content ranged from 210 to 4,100 mg/l with an average of 2,170 mg/l. The high oil and grease content agrees with the high BOD₅

5 -



Figure 21 Storm Drain Containing Waste From Swift and Company

and TOC values found on March 6 and 8. These concentrations are the direct result of spillage and washdown from within the plant. Waste loadings for oil and grease represent a loss of 651 pounds per day. This loss is evidenced by large deposits of grease and scum-like material in the storm sewer and along the banks of the unnamed tributary flowing behind Miller Brothers warehouse.

One unusually high zinc concentration, 12.6 mg/1, was noted on March 6. This concentration was nearly 175 times greater than the lowest value of 0.075 mg/1. Chromium and copper concentrations were negligible, but an average of 6.7 mg/1 of iron was found.

pH values ranged randomly from 3.1 to 12.2. The high value, 12.2, corresponded to the high concentrations of other parameters noted on March 6.

Total dissolved residue ranged from 691 to 2,855 mg/l with an average of 1,773 mg/l (532 lbs/day). The average concentrations for total residue and total volatile residue were 3,646 and 4,246 mg/l, respectively. Total nonfilterable residue concentrations ranged from 6 to 4,940 mg/l and volatile nonfilterable residue concentrations ranged from 6 to 4,800 mg/l. Volatile nonfilterable residue concentrations made up 97 percent of the total volatile concentration which indicates that the residue is almost solely organic.

Daily grab samples were also collected from the leakage around the cooling water basin. Nothing of significance was found in this discharge.

U. S. Pipe and Foundry Company Soil Pipe Division

U. S. Pipe operations employ approximately 800 people in melting iron and scrap and casting soil pipe and fittings.

Wastewater is generated by cooling water from hydraulic presses, cupola and roof drains. Much of the turbid and oily wastewater generated by pipe drawing operations is discharged to the city sewer system.

Wastewater samples were collected at three sources, station USP-1 from a 24" pipe discharging cooling water and detergent to the Tennessee River station; USP-2 from a north storm sewer outfall; and station USP-3 from a south storm sewer outfall. All samples were manually composited.

Discussion of Analytical Data

The estimated flows during the study were:

- USP-1 150 gpm
- USP-2 40 gpm
- USP-3 40 gpm

BOD5 concentrations varied considerably from one day to the next and from station to station. On March 7, the BOD5 concentrations were 80, 22, and 25 mg/l, respectively, at stations USP-1, 2, and 3. On March 8, the same stations had concentrations of 7.4, 2.8, and 8.2 mg/l, respectively. Station USP-1 had the only appreciable BOD5 waste load: averaging 144 pounds per day.

TOC trends followed the same pattern as the BOD₅. The concentrations were 60, 36, and 97 mg/1, respectively, for stations USP-1, 2, and 3 on March 7.

Station USP-3 had a TKN concentration of 4.25 mg/1, including 1.92 mg/1 of ammonia; and a total phosphorus concentration of 2.02 mg/1.

The only metal found in excessive concentrations was iron. The discharge at station USP-1 on March 7 contained 18.3 mg/1, a total waste load of 32.9 pounds per day. On the same day, concentrations of 15.1 and 42.2 mg/1 were observed at stations USP-2 and 3. On March 8, iron concentrations at the same stations were from 6 to 20 times as high as the previous day.

The discharges had a combined waste load of 1,896 pounds per day of total residue (solids). Station USP-1 had the highest average concentration of both total residue (763 mg/1) and total nonfilterable residue (527 mg/1). Total volatile and volatile nonfilterable residue average concentrations were 102 and 27 mg/1, respectively. Station USP-3 residue was also high with an average total residue concentration of 543 mg/1. The total nonfilterable residue average concentration was 123 mg/1. The volatile residue average concentrations were 129 mg/1 total and 97 mg/1 volatile nonfilterable.

The total nonfilterable waste loading for all three discharges was 1,111 pounds per day. The volatile nonfilterable waste loading was 111 pounds per day. The data clearly show that process wastes are being discharged to the Tennessee River along with cooling water. The company stated that this will be changed by the end of "Vacation Shut-Down" on August 6, 1973.

Velsicol Chemical Corporation

Velsicol is located at Central Avenue and employs approximately 280 employees on a 7-day, 24-hour-per-day basis. Surface drainage and process leakage discharges into Chattanooga Creek while approximately 1.4 mgd of process waters are discharged to the city sewers.

Velsicol's major building chemical is benzoic acid which is produced on site by reacting toluene with air. Other chemicals manufactured on site are benzyl chloride, benzoyl chloride, benzyl alcohol, dibenzoate esters of glycols, benzoguanamine, sodium benzoate, and benzotrichloride. Approximately 200,000 pounds per month of dicamba, a chlorinated aryl acid herbicide is produced at the Chattanooga plant.

Benzoic acid and its derivatives are large volume products and very important to the chemical industry. They are used extensively as food additivies, medicinals, corrosion inhibitors, textile dye carriers, plasticizers, polymers, perfumes, and unlimited uses as a chemical intermediate. Due to the extensive use of these chemicals, Velsicol manufactures a wide variety of compounds and employs many raw chemicals in the process reactions. The company wastewater being discharged to the city sewer contains a large variety of these raw chemicals as well as unwanted byproducts. Such compounds as benzoic acid, sodium benzoate, and the chemicals used in the production of dicamba have a high oxygen demand and/or cause taste and odor problems. As in many chemical plants, caustic and sodium chloride are used for chemícal separation and then discharged. Velsicol uses large volumes of chlorine and manufactures marketable muriatic acid as a by-product.

The present wastewater collection and pretreatment system consists of a settling pond which receives a large portion of the plant surface drainage as well as process waters. A hill above the plant site is being used for acid neutralization pits and ponds for still dredges. Some of these chemicals may leach out as drainage water passes over the material (Figures 22 and 23). Another part of the wastewater disposal system is a liquid thermal oxidizer used to burn toxic or untreatable wastes. A $b_1\delta^4$ heavy backlog of chemicals to be burned are stacked in barrels on the 57





Figures 22 and 23 Velsicol Chemical Corporation Industrial Chemical Waste Disposal Areas

plant property, and these present a potential pollution hazard to Chattanooga Creek.

Discussion of Analytical Data

Three waste streams flowing from the plant property were sampled during the study. Station VE-1 is located on the stream which carries process waste and plant surface runoff. Station VE-2 is located on a stream carrying storm drainage from the southeast side of the plant property. (Prior to the EPA study, most of this drainage was diverted to the city sewer.) The waste at Station VE-3 originates in the "intermediate" building and discharges through a ditch to a tributary of Chattanooga Creek.

Station VE-1 had an average flow of 105.5 gpm which ranged in color from straw yellow to reddish yellow. The samples were grab-composited during dry weather and during one heavy rainfall.

BOD5 concentrations averaged 915 mg/1, a waste load of 1,160 pounds per day. Both COD and TOC concentrations correspond with that of the BOD5 with average concentrations of 1,680 mg/1 (2,130 lbs/day) and 1,160 mg/1 (1,470 lbs/day), respectively. The data from these three oxygendemand parameters clearly show that the stream is receiving a tremendous loading of organic materials.

The stream at station VE-1 carried an extremely high load of total residue. A summary of solids loading is listed below:

	Waste Loadings lbs/day							
Station	<u>Dissolved</u>	Total	Total Volatile	Total <u>Nonfilterable</u>	Volatile Nonfilterable			
VE-1	16,800	17,000	523	138	34			
VE-2	1,890	2,200	769	49	17			
VE-3	142	148	93	6	5			
VE-4*	60,800	62,000	12,000	1,430	376			

RESIDUE DATA - VELSICOL CHEMICAL CORPORATION Chattanooga Creek Study February 27 - March 9, 1973

* Discharge to city system.

The nutrient data did not show any significant contribution to Chattanooga Creek. The Reilly Tar and Chemical Corporation waste discharge is included in the VE-1 sample, but due to the small flow, is relatively insignificant when considering the total waste load in the stream. (Reilly Tar and Chemical is discussed in greater detail in another section in this report.)

The flow at Station VE-2 varied greatly, from 1.5 to 86.0 gpm (average 43.8 gpm). The BOD5 loading was 188 pounds per day, while the COD and TOC loadings were 348 and 126 lbs/day, respectively.

Station VE-2 is in a swampy area and the mud is saturated with chemicals from past discharges from Velsicol. The water and mud had a distinctive chemical odor and an oily appearance. As at Station VE-1, the residue concentrations and loadings at VE-2 were high as summarized in the previous table.

Station VE-3 had a fairly constant average flow of 33.5 gpm. The water temperature was hot, ranging from $24-58^{\circ}$ C with an average of 44.4° C.

Two BOD5 samples measured <20 mg/l and 195 mg/l. The waste loading based on the higher concentration is 78 pounds per day. Average COD and TOC loadings were 109 and 52 pounds per day, respectively. Nitratenitrite concentrations were high, ranging from 0.46 to 3.06 mg/l with an average of 1.76 mg/l, but because of the small volume did not contribute a high waste load. The residue concentrations were not of significance. The residue waste loadings are listed in the above table.

Station VE-4 was a special sample collected from the discharge to the city sewer system because this stream of concentrated surface runoff and process waste contributes approximately three percent of the total flow to the Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant (more than any other single industry on the sewerage system). (The sewage treatment plant was studied and is discussed in detail elsewhere in this report.) The samples at Station VE-4 were automatically composited by EPA samplers over three 24-hour periods and flow was automatically recorded by company equipment. The flow (922 gpm) contained significant loads of BOD₅ (26,900 lbs/day), COD (37,100 lbs/day), TOC (11,500 lbs/day), and ammonia (3,580 lbs/day). The waste loadings for residue are listed in the previous table.

Wheland Foundry Division

The Wheland Foundry is located at 2800 South Broad Street and is in production 24 hours a day, five to six days per week. According to plant personnel, Wheland melts approximately 1,400 tons per day of pig iron and scrap steel in coke fired furnaces. The metal is poured into green sand molds to produce castings of which 95 percent are for automative parts, mainly brake drums. Wastes from this foundry consist of cooling water, bentonite sand and sea coal used in casting.

During the January 1973 reconnaissance by EPA, the company had four discharges flowing into Chattanooga Creek. The pipe at Station WF-1 carried water from the melting plant and is primarily blowdown and overflow from a cooling tower. Pipes at stations WF-2 and WF-3 are primarily storm drains and pump drainage. If any malfunction should occur in the dust collection system, the overflow would enter the creek through the pipe at station WF-3. The pipe at station WF-4 discharges cooling water and surface runoff. Oil traps are situated to overflow to drains at stations WF-1 and WF-4.

Solid waste control is a major problem at the foundry. The old dumping area for used sand and dust collected from the air pollution control equipment is finding its way into Chattanooga Creek since the material has been dumped over the edges of the bank and covers the flood plain (Figure 24). Plans are being laid to start a new dump on property across the creek which will result in more solids entering Chattanooga Creek if a retaining wall isn't constructed to contain the sand. Corrective measures should be undertaken to alleviate the problem of sand being washed into the creek at the old site.

Discussion of Results

Since the plant was closed by a strike during the survey, a return trip was necessary to sample the plant discharges. One five-hour composite sample of each of three discharges was collected on May 24, 1973. The pipe at station WF-1 had no flow.



Figure 24 Landfill from Wheland Foundry
When samples were collected, only the ones at Station WF-2 contained large concentrations of waste, and this appeared to be primarily coal fines. The flow was 2.5 gpm with a total solids concentration of 4,920 mg/l and COD of 1,910 mg/l. The relatively clear flow from station WF-3 was 60 gpm, total solids was 154 mg/l, temperature was 21 to 23° F, and COD was less than 50 mg/l. The 3,000 gpm of waste from WF-4 appeared to be all cooling water. Temperatures of the three grab samples collected to make the composite sample were 37° C, 22° F, and 29° F; total solids were less than 50 mg/l, and COD was less than 124 mg/l.

Woodward Company Chattanooga Coke and Chemicals Division

The Woodward plant located at 4800 Central Avenue has a coking capacity of 382 tons per day. Both foundry and blast furnace cokes are produced and the gases are collected and separated into benzene, toluene, and xylene in a standard BTX plant.

A part of the process wastewater from the ash rinse system is discharged to the city system after pretreatment for solids. A portion of the wastewater from the BTX unit, including the discharge from the ammonia still, is used to quench coke. The remaining portion is discharged untreated to Chattanooga Creek.

Drainage from the parking lot and roof drains is pumped into an unnamed tributary to Chattanooga Creek, while an oil removal system treats water flowing through an old plant dump area. This area was used for many years to store acid sludges and the pH of the wastewater is very low.

During the initial EPA visit to the Woodward plant, the oil skimmer was inoperative and appeared to have been so for some time. The motors had been removed from the system, the basin had been filled with dark, oily sludge, and a 55-gallon drum was in the middle of the basin (Figures 25, 26, and 27).

Three sampling locations were included during the EPA study. Two sources of discharge (stations WO-1 and WO-3) originate on the north side of the plant property. The stream at station WO-1 consists of storm drainage that collects in the area of the coal yard, but may contain some drift and leakage from the quenching area (Figure $\frac{2}{78}$). The stream at station WO-3 originates from a spring-like source of bubbling water of unknown source, but may also be coming from the quench tower.

The flow at station WO-1 averaged 4.33 gpm; BOD₅ averaged <8.0 mg/1; COD measured <50, <50, and 65 mg/1; TKN averaged 38.0 mg/1; ammonia averaged 37.2 mg/1; and phenolic concentrations ranged from 0.123 to 0.162 mg/1 with an average of 0.138 mg/1. More than stormwater drainage is obviously being discharged at this point. It may be contaminated groundwater. (See Table 2 for summary of loadings.)

The stream at station WO-2 is the main waste discharge and receives a large volume of leakage from within the plant (Figures 29 and 30). The flow averaged 52.3 gpm and contained high concentrations of the following parameters:

BOD₅ concentrations ranged from 86 to >600 mg/1 with an average of 279 mg/1.

Both COD and TOC concentrations were extremely high. The COD concentrations ranged from 300 to 2,800 with an average of 1,073 mg/1, while TOC concentrations ranged from 120 to 860 mg/1 with an average of 365 mg/1. The carbon levels indicate a highly concentrated





Figure 28 Woodward's Quenching Tower



Figure 29 Process Spillage From Woodward's Ammonia Saturator. Note Acid Spilling From Around Pipe Joint



Figure 30 Area Around Base of the Ammonia Saturator

load of organic material.

Total nitrogen concentrations in the discharge are playing a significant role in the degradation of Chattanooga Creek. The average TKN concentration was 4,400 mg/l which was almost totally ammonia nitrogen. Ammonia concentrations ranged from 1,070 to 7,320 mg/l with an average of 4,060 mg/l. Nitrate-nitrite concentrations averaged 1.9 mg/l.

Cyanide concentrations ranged from <0.01 to 9.70 mg/1 with an average of 4.15 mg/1. Cyanides are toxic to aquatic life and begin to show increased toxicity as the cyanide ion forms hydrogen cyanide under acidic conditions. Since the pH at Station WO-2 was observed to vary between 1.7 and 2.1 pH units, cyanide present in station WO-2 was probably highly toxic. Temperature also caused an increase in cyanide toxicity; a 10° C temperature rise causes a two to threefold increase in the lethal action (Reference #6). Water temperatures at station WO-2 averaged 22.8°C with two readings of 40 and 37°C recorded on March 7, 1973. This combination of low pH and high temperature can be expected to increase the toxicity of cyanide in the receiving stream.

Phenolic concentrations were higher than expected since much of the phenolic wastes are reportedly discharged to the city sewer system. The average concentrations for phenol ranged from 25.5 to 465 mg/l and averaged 167.4 mg/l. Theoretically it takes about 2.38 times the amount of phenol present to completely oxidize phenol to CO₂. Based on the concentration and waste loading (105 lbs/day), a substantial oxygen demand is being exerted on Chattanooga Creek. The total residue waste loading in WO-2 averaged 17,000 lbs/day of which 16,100 pounds per day were total volatile residue. The high concentrations of total volatile residue is indicative of dissolved organic compounds. The high TKN and phenolic concentrations would account for a large part of the volatile residue content.

Total waste loadings for Station WO-2 are shown in Table 2.

MUNICIPAL WASTES

Moccasin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant

The Moccasin Bend sewage treatment plant is a secondary treatment facility designed to treat 42 million gallons of municipal waste per day (Figure 31). The plant serves the metropolitan Chattanooga area between Missionary Ridge and Lookout Mountain from Rossville, Georgia, to the area just north of the Tennessee River. This generally includes the Chattanooga Creek Basin and the downtown area of Chattanooga. The major portion of the sewer system in the older downtown area consists of combined sewers carrying both domestic waste and stormwater. During periods of heavy rainfall, much of the waste is bypassed to surface streams throughout the collection system. Flows reaching the Moccasin Bend plant in excess of 40 mgd are normally bypassed to the river to prevent plant overloading. The wide variety of industrial process wastes discharged to the sewer system comprise approximately 70 percent of the total flow to the plant. Diurnal fluctuations in the waste characteristics present difficulties in plant operations.

A schematic diagram of the plant is shown in Figure 32. The Zimpro sludge oxidation system listed as "New" on the schematic is in place and 70

TABLE_2

AVERAGE WASTE LOADINGS Pounds/Day for Station WO-2 Woodward Company

BOD ₅	• • •	•	•	•	•	•	•	175
COD		•	•	•	•	•	•	675
TOC		•	•	•	•	•	•	230
TKN		•	•	•	•	•	•	2,765
NH ₃ -N		•	•	•	•	•	•	2,550
NO ₃ -NO ₂ -N	• • •	•	•	•	•	•	•	1.2
T. Phos	• • •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	0.2
Oil and Grease		••	•	•	•	•	•	10.9
Phenol	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	105
CN	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	2.6
Dissolved Residue		•	•	•	•	•	•	16,980
Total Residue		•	•	•	•	•	•	17,030
Total Volatile Residue .		•	•	•	•		•	16,140
Nonfilterable Residue		•	•	•	•	•	•	41
Volatile Nonfilterable R	Residue		•		•		•	30

.



Figure 31 Activated Sludge Unit, Moccasin Bend STP



was previously operated, but not satisfactorily. At the present time, this section of the plant is closed, and excess secondary sludge is discharged to the plant effluent which flows directly into the Tennessee River. The plant has no secondary sludge handling capacity since the shutdown of the Zimpro system.

Samples were collected from an automatic plant sampler which pumps water from the chlorine contact chamber. This did not include the secondary sludge which was being discharged to the river. The sludge flows through an underground line from the secondary clarifiers to the plant effluent line. Since there are no access points along the line and the discharge is submerged, samples containing the waste sludge were not taken.

Daily (24-hour) composite samples were collected for chemical analysis for each of five days (See results in Table 3). Grab samples were collected for bacteriological analysis. Influent or inplant samples were not collected.

The results of chemical analysis definitely reflect the high industrial waste portion (about 70 percent) of the municipal waste. Average effluent concentrations (loadings) of BOD5, COD, and TOC were 178 mg/l (50,500 lbs/day), 409 mg/l (116,400 lbs/day), and 102 mg/l (29,000 lbs/day), respectively. These levels are more typical of a municipal waste <u>influent</u> than an effluent and reflect the very strong nature of this waste. Nitrogen concentrations in the effluent were also similar to typical raw domestic waste. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen averaged 18.2 mg/l (5,180 lbs/day) with ammonia accounting for 57 percent at a concentration of 10.4 mg/l or 2,960 lbs/day. The sum of nitrate and nitrite nitrogen was very low, less than 0.014 mg/l. This plant is providing essentially no nitrification of

the ammonia either because of a short retention time, toxicity of the waste to nitrifying bacteria, or both. Phosphorus concentrations averaged 5.6 mg/l with a daily average load of 1,590 pounds per day. Oil and grease concentrations averaged 28 mg/l (8,000 lbs/day). Oil and grease concentration is unusually high and indicates a very high influent load typical of industrial waste as well as insufficient treatment within the treatment plant. Phenol concentrations were high averaging 0.966 mg/l or 275 lbs/day, again indicating the heavy industrial load.

Residue in the effluent was excessive. Total residue averaged 993 mg/l (282,500 lbs/day), filterable (dissolved) residue was 860 mg/l (244,700 lbs/day), and nonfilterable (suspended) residue was 133 mg/l (37,800 lbs/day). Total volatile residue was 141 mg/l (40,100 lbs/day) and nonfilterable volatile residue was 70 mg/l (19,900 lbs/day). These figures do not reflect the secondary sludge wasted to the effluent; final discharge amounts are greater than these reported values.

The total chromium concentration in the effluent was 0.283 mg/l (81 lbs/day). Chromium salts create particular problems in waters used for public water supplies and waters which support fish and aquatic life. The USPHS drinking water standards set a mandatory limit of 0.05 mg/l on hexa-valent chromium.(11) The effect of both hexavalent and trivalent chromium salts are particularly critical to the lower forms of aquatic life.(12) The chromium discharge from this plant is excessive but not great enough to violate stream standards. Mercury in the effluent was 0.96 μ g/l or 0.27 lbs/day, exceeding the EPA limit of 0.1 lb/day.

An elemental scan of a composite of effluent samples indicated the following concentrations (Table 4):

TABLE 3

	MaxMín. Concentration (mg/1)	Average Concentration (mg/1)	Average Waste Load (1bs/day)
BOD ₅	190 - 160	178	50,500
COD	485 - 340	409	116,000
TOC	110 - 100	102	29,000
TKN-N	21.2 - 12.4	18.2	5,180
NH3-N	13.8 - 5.7	10.4	2,960
$NO_2 + NO_3 - N$	0.03 - <0.01	<0.014	<4
T. PhosP	6.3 - 4.7	5.6	1,600
T. Residue	1,260 - 830	993	282,000
T. Residue Vol.	167 - 115	141	40,100
Dissolved Residue	1,190 - 640	860	245,000
T. Non-Filterable Residue	240 - 75	133	37,800
T. Non-Filterable Residue Vol.	105 - 52	70	19,900
Oil & Grease	30 - 25	28	8,000
Phenol	1.55 - 0.59	0.966	275
T. Chromium	0.335 - 0.235	0.283	81
Copper	0.060 - 0.050	0.053	15
Zinc	0.43 - 0.322	0.383	109
Iron	1.98 - 1.67	1.854	527
Manganese	0.355 - 0.29	0.311	88
Nickel	0.318 - 0.15	0.249	71
Lead	<0.1	<0.1	<28
Mercury	0.0014 - 0.0008	0.00097	0.28
Flow	27,800 - 20,700 gpm	23,700 gpm	

WASTE SUMMARY FOR MOCCASIN BEND SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENT* STATION MB-1

NOTE: These values do not reflect the secondary sludge presently being discharged from the plant.

TABLE 4

Spark Source Mass Spectrometer Scan of Moccasin Bend STP Effluent (Station MB-1)

Element	<u>µg/1</u>	Element	<u>µg/1</u>
Bismuth	0.35	Zinc	386
Lead	42	Copper	28
Thallium	3	Nickel	219
Cerium	5	Cobalt	24
Barium	183	Iron	>175
Cesium	0.7	Manganese	>135
Iodine	20	Chromium	225
Antimony	7	Vanadium	3
Tin	21	Titanium	685
Cadmium	4	Scandium	6
Silver	7	Calcium	>5,000
Molybdenum	4	Potassium	>500
Zirconium	5	Chlorine	Acid Added
Strontium	122	Sulfur	>1,000
Rubidium	30	Phosphorus	>100
Bromine	127	Silicon	>700
Selenium	9	Aluminum	>67
Arsenic	14	Fluorine	100
Gallium	30	Boron	92

Moccasin Bend STP Bacterial Sampling

To determine the bacterial quality of the Moccasin Bend STP effluent, grab samples were collected at three-hour intervals over a 24-hour period for two days in addition to routine daily sampling. The coliform data are contained and summarized in Appendix D.

The mean effluent total and fecal coliform densities for the entire study were 8,300 and 510/100 ml, respectively. As shown in Figures 33 and 34, the bacterial quality of the effluent varied greatly during both 24-hour sampling periods. Total coliform densities during the February 28 -March 1 sampling period ranged from 50 to 350,000/100 ml, while the fecal coliform densities ranged from <20 to 33,000/100 ml. During the March 6-7 sampling period, the total coliform densities ranged from 2,200 to 1,300,000/100 ml, and the fecal coliform densities ranged from 130 to 70,000/100 ml. A comparison of Figures 33 and 34 shows the coliform densities measured during the March 6-7 sampling period were generally much higher than the February 28 - March 1 sampling period. These data indicate that effluent disinfection was inadequate much of the time, especially during late evening and early morning hours.





GENERAL

Seven days of chemical and bacteriological samples were collected on Chattanooga Creek and its tributaries (see data in Appendix D). Time of travel through the study reach was determined using a fluorescent dye. Later during the week of May 7, 1973, a brief biological survey was conducted on the main stem of Chattanooga Creek.

Flow

Average discharge for Chattanooga Creek at the Flintstone, Georgia, USGS gage (station C-9.5) is 82.2 cfs for the past 21 years as reported by the U. S. Geological Survey. Flow during the survey averaged 110 cfs. Instantaneous discharges at the time of sample collection were:

Date	Flow (cfs)
2/27/73	59
2/28/73	54
3/1/73	49
3/2/73	47
3/5/73	159
3/6/73	143
3/7/73	259

Flows for all downstream stations were projected based upon the drainage area at each station. In the lower portion of the study area the flow is affected by fluctuation in the Nickajack Lake level. Considerable variations in lake level are caused by releases from the power generating

c/

units at Chickamauga Dam. These fluctuations vary from one to three feet each day in the Chattanooga area and essentially control the instantaneous flow in the lower portions of Chattanooga Creek.

CHEMISTRY

Dissolved Oxygen

From upstream to downstream through the study reach, the average dissolved oxygen (D.O.) concentrations ranged from a high of 9.9 mg/l at Station C-9.5 to a low of 5.9 mg/l at Station C-O.6 (Figure 35). A significant reduction was noted downstream from the confluence of the tributary carrying waste from Velsicol and Woodward. Oxygen levels encountered during the recent survey fall within the range of earlier TVA data and are very similar to previous data collected in the late winter months. (See 1967 and 1969 TVA data in Figure 36 and Appendix D). Low oxygen concentrations generally occur during the warm summer months when stream flow is at a minimum. As evidenced by the oxygen concentration profiles in Figure 36, the lower six miles of Chattanooga Creek is an open sever and not suitable for any other beneficial use.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand

There is a continual increase in BOD₅ concentration from upstream to downstream through the study reach (Figure 37). Sharp increases occur immediately downstream from the confluence of tributaries carrying the combined waste from Woodward and Velsicol (Station CT-2) and Dobbs Branch (Station DB-0.1) which carries both industrial and domestic wastes. Figure 37A is a schematic layout of the Chattanooga sewerage system showing



CHATTANOOGA CREEK - RIVER MILES (AFTER CHANNELIZATION)





CHATTANOOGA CREEK - RIVER MILES

ና <u>የ</u> በ

Ċ



numerous regulation chambers located in the trunk lines which permit excessive flow from combined sewers during rainstorms to be bypassed to the surface streams. Four such chambers designated as Central Avenue, Adams Street, Williams Street, and Sidney Street exist along the lower portion of Chattanooga Creek. Overflow from these structures contribute heavily to the polluted condition of Chattanooga Creek and are responsible for the unusually high BOD₅ concentrations such as the ones observed on March 2.

The average BOD₅ concentration at Station CT-2 was 497 mg/1 (lbs/day) with a maximum of 1,160 mg/1. The average BOD5 concentration near the mouth of Dobbs Branch (Station DB-0.1) was 99.1 mg/l with a maximum concentration of 370.0 mg/l occurring on March 2, 1973. These data correspond with the exceptionally high concentrations observed in Chattanooga Creek below the confluence of Dobbs Branch. The solid line in Figure 37 reflects the effect of the waste from Dobbs Branch upon the average BOD5 values of downstream stations. The dotted line represents average values excluding the unusually high values on March 2. The TVA data collected in 1967 and 1969 present a good basis for comparison of the more recent data. Figure 37 contains a plot of average BOD5 values collected from January through May of 1969 representing a similar season of the year and similar flow conditions. These curves match very well and indicate that there has been no significant change in BOD5 concentrations since 1969. Figure 38 is a plot of average, maximum and minimum values for all data collected by TVA during 1967 and 1969. Many exceptionally high values occurred (50-120 mg/1) normally during periods of low stream flow. The very high values at mile 6.26 were caused by waste entering Chattanooga



CHATTANDOGA CREEK - RIVER MILES

Creek through Dry Creek and McFarland's Branch. Previously (when the TVA data were collected), Happy Valley Farms had a large discharge to Dry Creek. This waste is now discharged to the Moccasin Bend Sewerage System. McFarland's Branch drains the Rossville, GA, area and receives waste from the Rossville Industrial Complex. Both the city of Rossville and the Industrial Complex discharge to the Moccasin Bend Sewerage System; however, numerous spills and discharges from the Industrial Complex still enter McFarland's Branch.

Dobbs Branch is one of the most polluted tributaries entering Chattanooga Creek. Both Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) and BOD₅ concentrations were very high. COD values ranged from 108 mg/l to 3,080 mg/l, which correspond well with respective BOD₅ values of 25 mg/l and 370 mg/l. Not all of the waste load in Dobbs Branch is caused by the overflow of the Central Avenue sewer regulation chamber; samples collected at Station DBT-1 (upstream from the regulator) had an average BOD₅ concentration of 194.6 mg/l with a maximum of 350.0 mg/l. COD concentrations of 440 and 856 mg/l at this same station correspond to BOD₅ values of 118 and 350 mg/l. This station was located at the discharge of an underground storm drain which drains the area around Montague and Highland Park. Dye tracers verified that low BOD₅ process wastes from Modern Maid, Inc. discharge to this drain (see following discussion under "Turbidity").

McFarland's Branch had an average BOD₅ concentration during the EPA study of 27.6 mg/l with a maximum of 43.0 mg/l, in contrast to a 1967 and 1969 TVA average of 36 mg/l with a maximum of 320 mg/l. COD concentrations on two days of the EPA study were 184 and 304 mg/l with a corresponding BOD₅ concentration of 43.0 and an unreported value due to a toxic condition.

87

The unreported value at a one percent dilution produced a BOD₅ value of 190 mg/l; at a three percent dilution, a value of 89 mg/l; and at a 10 percent dilution, a value of 26 mg/l. These results are strong indications of toxic conditions and clearly reveal that on March 6, 1973, a strong waste concentration existed on McFarland's Branch. The following are notes taken by the field crew at Station CT-3 describing the water appearance:

2/27/73	No notes made
2/28/73	Small black suspended particles
3/1/73	Pink color
3/2/73	Red color
3/5/73	No notes made
3/6/73	Black!
3/7/73	No dye color observed

The Rossville Development Corporation discharges a waste stream containing fine carbon (black) particles above this station; Kenyon Southern has a dye drum storage area where dye pigment is washed into the stream; and Standard Coosa Thatcher also had a pink dye spill during the survey. (See the individual section on each specific industry for additional details.) There was an unconfirmed report by a resident in the area that a local septic tank cleaner dumps sludge into the creek.

Samples collected in Dry Branch at Station CT-4 had an average BOD₅ value of 4.2 mg/l with a maximum of 5.1 mg/l. This contrasts markedly with the 1967 and 1969 TVA data collected at mile 1.02 in Dry Creek when BOD₅ averaged 122.3 mg/l with a maximum of 700 mg/l. These high values were primarily caused by waste discharges from the Happy Valley Farms dairy which is now connected to the Moccasin Bend Sewerage System. These results represent a substantial improvement in water quality over a period of three to five years.

Nitrogen

Nitrogen concentrations primarily in the form of ammonia are excessive in the lower portions of Chattanooga Creek (see Figure 39). The major contributor is Woodward, Inc., which discharges wastes including the ammonia still liquor. Upstream from Woodward's discharge, ammonia concentrations in Chattanooga Creek at Station C-5.³8 averaged 0.04 mg/l, while downstream from the Woodward-Velsicol ditch at Station C-4.3 they increased to an average of 4.47 mg/l. Ammonia concentrations in Woodward's oil separator discharge averaged 4,057 mg/l with a maximum concentration of 7,320 mg/l, and the water at station CT-2 had an average ammonia concentration of 1,430 mg/l with a maximum of 2,260 mg/l.

Ammonia levels in other tributary streams in the study area were relatively insignificant in comparison to those at Station CT-2. At Station DB-0.1 near the mouth of Dobbs Branch, the average ammonia concentration was 3.13 Lg/1 with a maximum of 5.0 mg/1. Upstream at Station DBT-1, the average concentration was 12.76 mg/1 with a maximum value of 21.8 mg/1. Farther upstream at Station DBT-2, the average concentration was only 0.77 mg/1. Ammonia concentrations in McFarland's Branch and Dry Creck averaged 0.27 mg/1 and 0.16 mg/1, respectively.

The relatively constant NO_2 plus NO_3 concentrations throughout the study reach indicate that ammonia was not being oxidized in Chattanooga Creek. The retention time within the creek is short at higher flows and does not allow time for nitrification. The average stream velocity was



CHATTANOOGA CREEK - RIVER MILES

Ò

about 0.25 mph, with a travel time of approximately 20 hours from Woodward's discharge to the mouth of Chattanooga Creek. Also, stream temperatures during the survey were in the 12 to 14°F range, which is too cool for active nitrification. With warmer temperatures and lower flows, nitrification could very rapidly deplete the dissolved oxygen in Chattanooga Creek.

Total Organic Carbon

A stream profile of Total Organic Carbon (TOC) concentrations is shown in Figure 40. Normally the TOC values of a relatively clean stream are roughly five times higher than the BOD5, but in this case TOC is almost identical to BOD5. The TOC concentration increases steadily through the study reach with a noticeable increase downstream from the Woodward and Velsicol discharges and a very sharp increase downstream from Dobbs Branch.

The average TOC concentration at Station DB-0.1 was 76.6 mg/1 with a maximum concentration of 370 mg/1. As in the BOD5 results, concentrations were high at Station DBT-1 (the storm sewer outfall), averaging 148.3 mg/1, and relatively low at Station DBT-2 (only stormwater and Modern Maid wastes), averaging 18.9 mg/1.

The average TOC concentration at Station CT-2 (the Woodward-Velsicol ditch) was 337.5 mg/l with a maximum of 660.0 mg/l. Individual wastes from Velsicol and Woodward both have TOC concentrations in the 300 mg/l range.

TOC levels in McFarland's Branch correspond closely to the BOD₅ concentrations. The average TOC concentration for Station CT-3 was 19.9 mg/1. The TOC value of 16.0 mg/1 on March 6, 1973 (the day toxicity effected the BOD₅), did not appear to be unusually high.



CHATTANOOGA CREEK-RIVER MILES

Dry Creek TOC concentrations averaged 3.7 mg/l with a maximum of 6.0 mg/l.

Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations averaged between 0.13 mg/1 and 0.21 mg/1 for all Chattanooga Creek stations. Concentrations in Dobbs Branch, McFarland's Branch, and Dye Creek averaged 1.05, 1.16, and 0.12 mg/1, respectively. The concentrations in Chattanooga Creek were nearly double those normally encountered in cleaner streams. Previous data collected by TVA at Stations C-0.6 and C-7.0 showed average values of 1.77 mg/1 and 2.33 mg/1, respectively, indicating a very large reduction in phosphorus concentrations.

Specific Conductance

Average specific conductance values are plotted in Figure 41. The same general shape profile as for BOD5, N, TOC, and P exists with increasing values toward the mouth of Chattanooga Creek. Values averaged 132 µmhos/cm with a sharp increase to 293 µmhos/cm at Station C-4.0, which is downstream from the Woodward and Velsicol wastes. The average conductivity value at Station CT-2 were 18,285 µmhos/cm with a maximum of 32,500 µmhos/cm. Another increase was observed downstream from the confluence of Dobbs Branch where the conductivity increased from 269 at station C-2.5 to 291 µmhos/cm at Station C-2.1. The average conductivity at Station DB-0.1 near the mouth of Dobbs Branch was 611 µmhos/cm. The average reading at Station DBT-2 was 654 µmhos/cm with a maximum of 1,080 µmhos/cm, which are elevated by the industrial waste discharge from Modern Maid, Inc.



CHATTANOCGA CREEK - RIVER MILES

ò

Turbidity

The average turbidity profile in Figure 42 shows a general increase toward the mouth of Chattanooga Creek. The major increase occurred at the Dobbs Branch confluence where average turbidity levels in Chattanooga Creek increased sharply from 33 units upstream to 51 units downstream from Dobbs Branch. Turbidity in Dobbs Branch at Station DB-0.1 averaged 998.3 units with a maximum value of 6,340 units. Average readings at station DBT-2 (primarily Modern Maid's waste) averaged 757 units with a maximum of 4,000 units, reflecting the periodic discharge from Modern Maid, Inc.'s ceramic base coating operation (See Figures 14 and 15 included with Modern Maid discussion). Turbidity was not consistently high at DBT-2 because the base coat discharges were not continuous. A visit to the plant and observations of waste discharges plus subsequent dye tracer tests confirmed that the base coat material was being discharged to Dobbs Branch. The typical blue-white color of the waste observed at Station DBT-2, DBT-1, and DB-0.1, indicates that a significant portion of the turbidity in Dobbs Creek and lower Chattanooga Creek is caused by the Modern Maid, Inc., industrial waste discharge.

Somewhere between Station DBT-2 (northeast of Montague Park) and the discharge from the area's storm sewer at Station DET-1 (Figure 43), the ceramic base coat discharge from Modern Maid is joined by a sporadic fibrous discharge from an unknown source. During previous floods, enough of this fibrous material was deposited on the banks of the ditch from DET-1 to Dobbs Branch to leave a thick fibrous coating on the banks (Figures 44 and 45). 77



CHATTANOCGA CREEK - RIVER MILES

)3 :



Figure 43 Station DBT-1 Showing Heavy Turbidity and Sediment


Figure 45 Close-up of Fibrous Deposit at Station DBT-1

On two occasions during the survey (during dry weather and during wet weather), dye was dumped in the wastewater discharge from Container Corporation of America's paper recycling plant located at the west corner of Montague Park. No trace of the dye was detected in the streams even though the fibrous material coating the banks of the storm sewer discharge ditch in Figure 43 has the appearance of paper fibers.

Oil and Grease

The highest stream concentrations of oil and grease were measured at Station CT-2 on the Woodward-Velsicol ditch. Oil (primarily from Woodward) was visible with a layer on the surface and a distinct coating on the banks of the tributary. The average concentration was 12.8 mg/1 with a maximum of 18.0 mg/1. Concentrations near the mouth of Chattanooga Creek at Stations C-1.2 and C-0.6 were mostly less than 5 mg/1. Oil films were frequently visible on the water at Stations C-1.6, C-1.2, C-0.6, and at the mouth of Chattanooga Creek. The only recorded value on Dobbs Branch at Station DB-0.1 was less than 5 mg/1.

Pheno1

Phenolic concentrations in Chattanooga Creek, particularly in the lower portion, are higher than those normally found in natural streams. The concentration at the USGS Flintstone gage (Station C-9.5) averaged 18 μ g/1 with a maximum value of 25 μ g/1. "Analysis of water from streams draining non-industrial watersheds has shown 'phenol' concentrations in the range of 3 to 20 ppb."(13) Since phenolic compounds are released in the decay of natural organic materials and in domestic waste, the values at Station C-9.5 are not unusually high. The phenolic concentration in Dobbs Branch at Station DB-0.1 averaged 45 μ g/l with a maximum value of 100 μ g/l, which are typical of the concentrations in domestic wastes.

The phenolic concentration in Chattanooga Creek increased significantly downstream to an average value of 140 μ g/l at Station C-3.6, downstream from the waste discharged from Woodward (Figure 46). The average concentration at Station CT-2 (the Woodward-Velsicol ditch) averaged 83,300 μ g/l with a maximum of 360,000 μ g/l. Average and maximum concentrations at Stations C-2.5 and C-0.6 were 98 and 260 μ g/l and 113 and 257 μ g/l, respectively. For maintenance of a well-balanced fish population, the total phenolic concentration should not exceed 0.05 mg/l (50 μ g/l).(14)

Metals and Cyanide

Listed below are the averages of the metal analyses expressed in $\mu g/l$:

<u>Sta.</u>	Cr	Cu	Fe	Pb	Mn	Ni	Zn	Cn
C-0.6	<24	<12	2,177	<118	294	<80	111	<.012
CT-2	150	188	61,750	175	10,000	80	2,000	.24
C-5.8	<20	<11	1,678	<100	115	<80	20	<.01
C-9.5	<20	<11	1,104	<100	71	<80	17	

All values with the exception of nickel were elevated at Station CT-2. At the flow rates existing in Chattanooga Creek during this study, the high metal concentrations from this tributary were significantly diluted before reaching Station C-0.6. When comparing data at Stations C-5.8 and C-0.6, iron, manganese, and zinc show a sizeable increase.



CHATTANOOGA GREEK - RIVER MILES

Cyanide concentrations at Station CT-2 were 0.48 mg/l and <0.01 mg/l in the two days the station was sampled. There was no appreciable increase in concentration between Stations C-5.8 and C-0.6.

Sediment

Sediment samples were collected at Stations C-0.6 and C-8.1. The stream bottom at Station C-0.6 consists of a very black fine particle material as contrasted with the primarily sand and gravel bottom at Station C-8.1. The results of the chemical analyses in mg/kg are:

Station	<u>Ni</u>	As	Hg	<u>Cr</u>	_ <u>Cu</u>	<u>Zn</u>	Mn
C-0.6	29	7.4	<.0	82	56	363	2,445
C-8.1	14	4.2	.1	18	5.	2 50	268
Station	Fe	Pb	COD	Phos	<u>Org.</u> N	<u>% Moisture</u>	<u>% Volatile</u>
C-0.6	18,408	230	378,000	640	1,940	43	14
C-8.1	9,935	40	199,000	410	2,450	34	14

These data show higher concentrations of metals near the mouth (Station C-0.6) of Chattanooga Creek than upstream from the major waste sources. Waste from Velsicol and Woodward measured at Station CT-2 contributed rather large quantities of metals. Another probable significant source is the overflow of domestic waste from the regulation chambers on the municipal sewer system into the lower portion of Chattanooga Creek. This waste is a combination of domestic, industrial, and stormwater runoff.

MICROBIOLOGY

Coliform Results

Bacterial samples were collected on seven different days at eleven Chattanooga Creek and six tributary stations. The coliform data are contained in Appendix D and summarized in Table 5 and Figure 47.

The background station (C-9.5) on Chattanooga Creek had mean^{1/} total and fecal coliform densities of 4,700 and 1,100/100 ml, respectively (Table 5). These coliform densities indicate that Chattanooga Creek was receiving some fecal waste upstream from the study area.

Downstream from the background station the mean total coliform densities generally increased as illustrated in Figure 47. The mean fecal coliform density of 17,000/100 ml at Station C-3.6 was approximately four times greater than the background station density. These increased coliform densities resulted from wastes contributed by several tributaries (McFarland's Branch, Dry Creek, an unnamed tributary) which flow into Chattanooga Creek.

The mean fecal coliform density after an initial increase to 2,900/100 ml (station C-7.0) decreased at Station C-5.8 to background levels (Table 5 and Figure 47). This station was downstream from the confluence of several tributaries to Chattanooga Creek; however, the reason for the decreased fecal coliform density was not apparent. Downstream from Station C-5.8, the mean fecal coliform density gradually increased to 2,500/100 ml as measured at Station C-3.6 (Table 5).

Immediately upstream from Station C-2.1, Dobbs Branch flows into Chattanooga Creek. Dobbs Branch contributed mean total and fecal coliform densities of 860,000 and 37,000/100 ml, respectively, as measured at Station DB-1 (Table 5). The impact of Dobbs Branch on the bacterial quality of Chattanooga Creek was partially seen at Station C-2.1 which

10-

^{1/} All means reported are geometric means.

Copy of aig.

TOTAL AND FECAL COLIFORM DATA SUMMARY CHATTANOOGA CREEK AND TRIBUTARY STATIONS

TABLE J

		Total Coli	form/100 ml		No				
Station	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Log Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Log Mean	Samples
C-0.6	1,600,000	13,000	270,000	57,000	350,000	1,300	57,000	6,900	7
C-1.2	920,000	23,000	210,000	100,000	920,000	1,700	140,000	12,000	7
C-1.6	1,600,000	13,000	270,000	61,000	540,000	2,200	81,000	7,400	7
C-2.1	130,000	11,000	43,000	29,000	7,900	200	3,000	2,000	7
C-2.5	33,000	4.90	11,000	6,300	17,000	140	3,600	1,400	7
C-3.6	49,000	3,100	24,000	17,000	13,000	790	4,000	2,500	7
C-4.3	70.000	7,000	20,000	15,000	4,900	700	2,300	1,700	7
C-5.3	81.000	2,800	15,000	6,400	10,000	490	2,500	1,400	7
C-5.8	24,000	3,300	14,000	11,000	2,300	490	1,300	1,100	7
C-7.0	33,000	3,300	13,000	9,400	17,000	460	5,100	2,900	7
C-9.5	54,000	1,300	11,000	4,700	7,000	170	2,300	1,200	7
DB-0.1	5,400,000	280,000	1,400,000	860,000	1,300,000	4,900	210,000	37,000	7
DBT-1	9,200,000	790,000	4,200,000	2,900,000	790,000	23,000	210,000	100,000	7
DBT-2	35,000	20	12,000	2,500	35,000	20	8,400	920	7
CT-2	7,900	<20	2,000	510	7,900	<20	1,800	320	7
CT-3	49,000	1,900	19,000	11,000	24,000	270	6,400	2,800	7
CT-4	130,000	330	29,000	9,700	33,000	20	8,800	3,200	7



.

wad mean total and fecal coliform densities of 29,000 and 2,000/100 ml, espectively. After complete mixing, the full impact of Dobbs Branch was seen at Station C-1.2 which had mean total and fecal coliform densities of 100,000 and 12,000/100 ml (Table 5 and Figure 47).

Station C-0.6, the most downstream station within the study area, had nean total and fecal coliform densities of 57,000 and 6,900/100 ml, respectively. A comparison of these coliform densities with the background lensities (Table 5) indicates considerable degradation of the bacterial quality of Chattanooga Creek within the study area.

Salmonella Results

In addition to total and fecal coliform determinations, attempts were wade to isolate members of the genus <u>Salmonella</u> at the Chattanooga Creek stations. Isolation and identification procedures used are described in the Study Methods section of this report.

Sampling swabs were placed at each Chattanooga Creek station and vere suspended for a five-day sampling period. Swabs were torn away at four stations during the sampling period. Swabs were retrieved from seven stations and Salmonella isolation was attempted.

One or more <u>Salmonella enteriditis</u> serotypes were isolated from each of the seven Chattanooga Creek stations (Table 6). A total of five lifferent serotypes were isolated with one serotype (<u>S. give</u>) being iso-.ated at each station. Isolation of <u>Salmonella</u> from these stations iurnishes further evidence of fecal pollution and of the disease-producing botential of portions of Chattanooga Creek.

16-

TABLE

SALMONELLA ENTERIDITIS SEROTYPES ISOLATED FROM THE CHATTANOOGA CREEK STATIONS

Station	Salmonella enteriditis Serotype Isolated
C-0.6	<u>S. give, S. panama</u>
C-1.2	Swab lost
C-1.6	Swab lost
C-2.1	Swab lost
C-2.5	<u>S. give, S. derby</u>
C-3.4	<u>S. give</u>
C-4.0	<u>S. give, S. typhimurium</u>
C-4.8	<u>S. give</u>
C-5-3	S. give
C-6.5	Swab lost
C-8.8	<u>S. give, S. typhimurium, S. eimsbuettel</u>

BIOLOGY

The biological study consisted of a series of three quantitative samples collected with an Ekman grab sampler and qualitative samples consisting of half-hour concentrated efforts with dip nets and hand-picking from five stations on Chattanooga Creek. The five sampling stations are located at miles 0.6, 2.1, 4.3, 5.3, and 9.5. The Ekman grab samples were collected from representative areas of the stream bottom, and the qualitative samples were collected by sweeping submerged vegetation, logs, and other debris with a dip net; hand-picking of logs and other substrates; and extensive dredging of the side and stream bottom with heavy-framed dip nets. The material collected from these sampling efforts was sifted through a No. 30 mesh sieve, and the material remaining in the sieve was placed into white enamel pans. The organisms were then sorted from this debris, identified, and enumerated. Those organisms not readily identifiable were placed in 70-percent alcohol and returned to the laboratory for identification. The results of this sampling are recorded in Tables 7 and 8 and Figures 48 and 49.

This biological data indicate a steady decline in the quality of the benthic community from the upstream Station 8.8 through Station 0.6 near the mouth of the Creek. Figure 48 is very similar to the classical curves described by Bartsch and Ingram (1959) for benthic communities exposed to enrichment and toxicity (1). Station 9.5, located upstream from the major sources of pollution, has a relatively diverse community of organisms, consisting of 26 taxonomic groups ranging in tolerance to pollution from highly intolerant to tolerant (2). This reflects the relatively good water quality reported in the chemical section of this study for this station.





NUMBER OF TAXA AND INDIVIDUALS PER SQUARE FOOT COLLECTED WITH AN EKMAN GRAB SAMPLER : FROM FIVE STATIONS ON CHATTANOOGA CREEK, CHATTANOOGA, TENN. MAY 1973



NUMBER OF TAXA COLLECTED QUALITATIVELY FROM FIVE STATIONS ON CHATTANOOGA CREEK, CHATTANOOGA, TENN. MAY 1973

TABLE 7

A QUALITATIVE LIST OF ORGANISMS COLLECTED FROM FIVE STATIONS ON CHATTANOOGA CREEK, CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE May 1973

		:	Stations		
Organisms	9.5	5.3	4.3	2.1	0.6
Annelida					
01igochaeta					
Pleisiopora					
Naididae					
Nais, sp.		х			
Tubificidae					
Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri	Х	X	X		
<u>L. cervix</u>	77	X	37	37	
Unid. sp.	X	X	X	X	X
Lumbriculidae					
Lumbriculus variegatus			X	Х	Х
Arthropoda					
Arthropoda					
Isopoda					
Asellidae					
<u>Asellus</u> sp.	X				
Lirceus sp.	х	Х			
Amphipoda					
Talitridae					
<u>Hyalella azteca</u>				X	
Arachnoidea					
Hydracarina					
Unid. sp.					
Pleeoptera					
Nemouridae					
Remoura venosa					
Porlocta en					
Perlodidae					
Teoperla en	-				
Enhemeroprera					
Baetidae					
Ephemorella temporalis	х	x	x	X	· x
Paraleptophlebia sp.	x	••	**		**
Baetis sp.	x				
Heptageniidae					
Stenonema exiguum	x				
Heptagenia sp.	Х				

TABLE 7 (CONT'D)

A QUALITATIVE LIST OF ORGANISMS COLLECTED FROM FIVE STATIONS ON CHATTANOOGA CREEK, CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE May 1973

		5	Stations		
Organisms	9.5	5.3	4.3	2.1	0.6
Odonata					
Calopterygidae					
Calopteryx sp.					
Coenagrionidae					
Argia sp.					
Aeschnidae					
Boyeria sp.					
Tricoptera					
Hydropsychidae					
Hydropsyche sp.					
Cheumatopsyche sp.	х				
Psychomiidae					
Polycentropus placidus	х				
Coleoptera					
Elmidae					
Dubiraphia vittata	X				
Haliplidae					
Peltodytes sp.		Х			
Diptera					
Ceratopogonidae					
Palpomyia sp.	Х				
<u>Stilobezzia</u> pos. <u>antenalis</u>	Х				
Chironomidae					
<u>Ablabesmyia janta</u>					
A. ornata	X				
<u>Conchapelopia</u> sp.	Х				
<u>Corynoneura</u> taris	Х				
Thienemanniella sp. 2 Roback	Х				
Cricotopus prob. slossonae			Х	Х	Х
C. ceris aratus			Х		
<u>C.</u> prob. <u>ceris</u>			Х		
<u>C. bicinctus</u>			Х	Х	
<u>C.</u> nr. <u>trifasciatus</u>			Х		
<u>Trichocladius</u> robacki	Х				
Potthastia longimanus					
Chironomus crassicaudatus				Х	X
Cryptochironomus nr. fulvus	х				
Paracladopelma undine	Х				
<u>Tribelos</u> poss. jucunda	Х				
Phaenopsectra flavipes			Х		

TABLE 7 (CONT'D)

A QUALITATIVE LIST OF ORGANISMS COLLECTED FROM FIVE STATIONS ON CHATTANOOGA CREEK, CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE May 1973

			Station	s	
<u>Organisms</u>	9.5	5.3	4.3	2.1	0.6
Polypedilum halterale P. illinoense	Х				
Tanytarsus guerlus	х				
Rheotanytarsus exiguus	Х				
Atanytarsus sp.	Х				
Mollusca					
Gastropoda					
Fulmonata					
Physidae					
Physa sp.	x	Х	Х	Х	Х
Planorbidae					
<u>Helisoma</u> sp.		x	х	Х	
Total Number of Taxa	26	8	11	8	5
	-•	· ·		•	-

TAFLE . 8

A LIST OF ORGANISMS COLLECTED WITH AN ERMAN GRAB SAMPLER FROM FIVE STATIONS ON CHATTANOOGA CRFFK, CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE May 1973

Бγ	*	3	'	•	

	_								St	atio	פר									
		Sac	2.5				<u>5.3</u>				4.3 Sazale			5	2.1 100010			<u>0</u> 5a	<u>.6</u>	
Organisms	1	2	3	Total	1	_2	3	Total	1	2	3	Totnl	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total
*Tubificidae																				
Lirnodrilus hoffmeisteri			2	2	7			7	40	4	2,000	2,044								
L. cervix					6	25	25	56												
Unid. sp.	1	1	5	7	12			12	20	4	3,000	3,024	10,000	600	5,000	15,600	125	175		300
Naididae																				
Nals sp.						25		25												
																				•
Analliting																		1		1
Asellus en.			,	,																
Taltridae			•	•																
Hyalella azteca															6	6				
Hydracavina																•				
Unid. sp.			1	1																
Baetidae																				
Baetis sp.		1		1																
Ephemerella temporalis															2	2				
Phycomi Li ae																				
Pplycentropus placidus		1		1																
Elmtdae		-																		
Dublraphia vittata		1		1																
Ceratopogonidae																				
Falpervia sp.	1		,	1																
Chirmontulae				+																
Ablahu mula amata			1	۰.																
Conchance Longia en		r	•	1																
Cotynoneura taris		3		3																
Thieneminnicila sp. 2 Roback		7		7																

TABLE 8 (CONT'D)

A LIST OF ORGAN, SMS COLLECTED WITH AN EDMAN GRAB SAMPLER FROM FIVE STATIONS ON CHATTANODGA CREEK, CHATTANOOGA, TEINESSEE May 1973

									St	atio	ns									
		5.0	<u>9.3</u>			5	5.3				4.3 Sample			 S.	2.1			0	<u>.6</u>	
Organismo	1	_2	3	Total	1	2	<u> </u>	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total
Cricotopus prob. <u>slossonae</u> <u>C. corta-aratus</u> <u>C. prob. corts</u> <u>C. bicinctus</u> <u>Cricotopus nr. trifaciatus</u> <u>lticoladius robacki</u>		1		1				•	7 2 2 1 1	1	1	7 2 4 1 1								
Chironomus crassicaudatus Cryptochironomus nr. fulvus Patacladopelma undine Tribelos poss. jucundus	2	3	1 1	1 1 5											2	2	2			2
Phiempsettn tlavipes Polypodijum halterale Tanytarsus guarlus Rhectanytarsus exiguus Atanytarsus sp.	1	1	2 6 1	2 7 1					1			1								
Physidae Physa sp.									1		1	2	3	4	7	14				
Number of taxa	5	10	11	21	3	2	1	4	9	3	4		2	2	5	5	2	2	-	2
Number of Individuals	5	22	21	49	25	50	25	95	75	9	5,002	5,086	10,003	604	5,017	15,624	127	176		301

*Numbers of tubifids above 100 were estimated

The benthic fauna at Station 5.3 reflects a reduction in water quality. The diversity has been reduced to eight taxonomic groups; however, there has not been a significant increase in the numbers of individuals, as one might expect if the reduction in diversity was caused by excessive enrichment. This reduction in diversity was probably caused by the introduction of toxic substances. McFarland's Branch empties into Chattanooga Creek upstream from Station 4.8. During the March 1973 sampling period, water collected from this branch for BOD analysis gave strong indications of toxic conditions (Page of this report). This or perhaps leaching from an old garbage pit adjacent to Station 5.3 was probably responsible for the reduction in community diversity.

Station 4.3 is located downstream from the outfall from the major industrial complex. Vegetation, debris, and other substrates were covered with copius amounts of <u>Sphaerotilus</u>. Several species of chironomids (four species of <u>Cricotopus</u> and one species of <u>Phaenopsectra</u>) were found in intimate relationship with the masses of <u>Sphaerotilus</u> and were not found anywhere else in the creek. The great increase in numbers of individuals at this station is a good indication of excessive enrichment. Sludge usually blankets the bottom of such reaches of a stream, limiting the use of the area to only a few species that can survive in such a substrate. These surviving species are isolated from competitors and predators with an abundance of food and as a result, form huge populations (Table 7).

A further reduction in water quality at Station 2.1 is illustrated in Figures 47 and 48. This station is located immediately downstream from the confluence of Dobbs Branch, which carries an extremely heavy BOD load (Figure 37). Numbers of organisms per square foot have risen to an estimated 21,000, and taxonomic groups have been reduced to five. The five groups represented were: Tubificid worms, the amphipod <u>Hgalella</u> <u>azteca</u>, the mayfly <u>Ephemeroptera</u> <u>temporalis</u>, the midge <u>Chrionomus</u> crassicaudatus and the pulmonate snail Physa (Table 8).

Station 0.6, the most downstream station, illustrates a still further reduction in water quality. Only two species were collected in the quantitative sampling, and only five species were collected in total (Table 7). That this station is grossly polluted is apparent from outward appearance alone. Anaerobic activity is apparent, floating debris is coated with oily substances, and everything has a greasy appearance. In fact, after completion of sampling at this station, the sampling gear had the appearance of pots and pans washed in greasy dishwater. The fact that there was a great reduction in total individuals collected is interesting. Water quality data do not denote the presence of toxic materials, yet the lack of large numbers of sludge worms would indicate such a presence. It is possible that the extreme flooding prior to the survey scoured the bottom of the creek at this station, thus removing the sludge deposits which harbir the great masses of sludge worms usually found in such areas. While the only organisms collected were worms (Tubificidae and Lumbriculidae), only relatively small numbers of individuals were collected. Qualitatively, again, the ubiquitous mayfly Ephemerella temporalis was collected, plus the midges Cricotopus slossonae and Chironomus crassicaudatus and a physid snail.

In conclusion, the biological data collected from the five stations on Chattanooga Creek illustrated a steady decline in the quality of the benthic community in Chattanooga Creek, from the relatively unpolluted upstream station 9.5 to the highly polluted area at station 0.6.

REFERENCES

- Environmental Protection Agency, <u>Chattanooga Waste Discharges</u>, <u>Part I, Upstream from the City Water Company Intakes</u>, Athens, Georgia (September 1972).
- Tennessee Water Quality Control Board, Public Hearing on Water Uses, <u>Stream Standards, and Implementation Plans for all Streams Within</u> the Tennessee River Basin from the Tennessee-Alabama State Line to the Mouth of the Hiwassee River, Nashville, (December 2, 1971).
- 3. Tennessee Stream Pollution Control Board, Stream Pollution Survey of the Chattanooga Area 1964, Nashville, Tennessee.
- 4. Tennessee Stream Pollution Control Board, Interstate Stream Pollution Survey, Chattanooga Area, 1965, Nashville, Tennessee.
- 5. Georgia Water Quality Control Board, "Biological Investigation of Tennessee Basin Streams of Northwest Georgia", Atlanta, 1969.
- 6. Moore, B., "The Detection of Paratyphoid Carriers in Towns by Means of Sewage Examination." Bull. Hyg., 24, 187, 1949.
- 7. American Public Health Association, <u>Standard Methods for the</u> Examination of Water and Wastewater, 13th Edition, 1971.
- 8. Spino, D. F., "Elevated-temperature Technique for the Isolation of Salmonella from Streams." Appl. Microbiol., <u>14</u>, No. 4, 1966.
- Ewing, W. H., "Enterobacteriaceae, Biochemical Methods for Group Differentiation." Public Health Service Publication No. 734, Revised 1962.
- 10. Edwards, P. R., and Ewing, W. H., <u>Identification of Enterobacteriaceae</u>, Burgess Publication Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1962.
- Public Health Service, <u>Drinking Water Standards 1962</u>, PHS Publication No. 956, Washington, D. C. 20402.
- J. E. McKee and H. W. Wolf, <u>Water Quality Criteria</u>, Second Edition (Revised 1963), California State Water Resources Contro. Board, Publication No. 3-A, Sacramento (1971).
- 13. Hoak, Richard, "The Cause of Tastes and Odors in Drinking Water." Water & Sewage, P. 224, June 1957.
- Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria, Third Progress Report, Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, P. 72, Journal WPCF, January 1960.

APPENDIX A

ANALYTICAL METHODS

ANALYTICAL METHODS

Parameter	Method	Reference
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	Winkler DO, 5-Day	1, 2
Total Organic Carbon	Carbon Analyzer	2
Nonfilterable Solids	Gravimetric	1
Volatile Solids	Gravimetric, 550°C	1
Total Solids	Gravimetric, 105°C	1
Filterable Solids	Difference Between Total and Suspended Solids	-
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	Automated Phenolate Method	2
Ammonia	Automated Phenolate Method	2
Nitrate-Nitrite	Copper-Cadmium Reduction, Automated	2
Phosphorus	Ascorbic Acid Method	2
рН	Electrometric	1
Acidity and Alkalinity	Volumetric	1
Dissolved Oxygen	Winkler	1
Oil and Grease	Solvent Extraction	1
Phenols	4-Aminoantipyrine	1
Metals (Less Hg & As)	Atomic Absorption	2
Mercury	Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption	4
Arsenic	Silverdiethyldithiocarbonate	-1
Cyanide	Pyrazolene	3
Turbidity	Hach 2100	2
Conductivity	Wheatstone Bridge	1
Total Coliform	MPN	1
Salmonella	Method of Spino	-
Fecal Coliform	MPN	1

Ald procedures Fair organics or miniple

SCAM

ANALYTICAL METHODS (Cont'd)

Parameter	Method	Reference
Organic Scan	SEWL	1

- 1. Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 13th Edition, 1971
- 2. EPA Manual of Methods for Chemical Analyses of Water and Wastes, 1971
- 3. ASTM Book of Standards, Part 23, 1971
- 4. EPA, April 1972

APPENDIX B

STATION LOCATIONS

INDUSTRIAL WASTE STATION LOCATIONS

- CH-1 Chattem Drug & Chemical Company industrial waste discharge to the storm drain. Sample collected from manhole near the southwest corner of building #2.
- COMB-1 Combustion Engineering concrete pipe carrying water to Tennessee River.
- CR-1 Crane Company industrial waste discharge to the ox-bow cutoff of Chattanooga Creek. Sample taken from 42" diameter concrete pipe at edge of creek.
- DI-1 Dixie Sand & Gravel washer effluent flume before it flows into the Tennessee River.
- GIL-1 Gilman Paint Company. Sample collected at sump prior to discharge to the Tennessee River.
- L&N-1 Louisville & Nashville Railroad sample collected at effluent from sewage treatment plant.
- L&N-2 Louisville & Nashville Railroad sample collected form oil skimmer effluent.
- L&N-3 Louisville & Nashville Railroad sample collected from holding pond overflow.
- L&N-4 Louisville & Nashville Railroad sample collected at entrance to yard. Ditch carrying runoff.
- L&N-5 Louisville & Nashville Railroad sample collected from underdrain effluent at rear of yard.
- MB-1 Mocassin Bend Sewage Treatment Plant. Automatic sampler set up at plant effluent in digester room.
- MM-1 Modern Maid, Inc. industrial waste discharge from the pickling operation. The sample was collected in a sump adjacent to the pickling vats.
- MM-2 Modern Maid, Inc. industrial waste discharge from the ceramic base coat operation. The sample was collected at sump at the southeast end of the building.
- MM-3 Modern Maid, Inc. industrial waste discharge from base coat operation. Sample taken from paint shop effluent.

- RD-1 Standard Coosa Thatcher waste discharge to McFarland Branch. Stream runs under part of plant, and parallel to Williams Road.
- RDC-1 Southern Kenyon taken from concrete pipe waste drain approximately 40 yards upstream from confluence of two branches of McFarland Branch.
- RE-1 Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation combined industrial waste discharge from the oil separator.
- RO-1 Roper Corporation discharge from uppermost of four discharges. Located near retaining wall.
- RO-2 Roper Corporation discharge located approximately 150' downstream from RO-1.
- RO-3 Roper Corporation discharge from settling pond downstream from RO-2.
- RO-4 Roper Corporation discharge from settling pond downstream from RO-3.
- SI-1 Signal Mountain Portland Cement. Sample collected from the settling pond effluent on the north bank of the Tennessee River.
- SK-1 Southern Kenyon discharges dye waste to a tributary (McFarland Branch) of Chattanooga Creek. The plant is located in an industrial complex southeast of Rossville High School.
- SW-1 Swift Edible Oil Division. Storm water discharge to a tributary of Chattanooga Creek. Sample collected from a manhole near cooling tower.
- SW-2 Swift Edible Oil Division. Leakage from the engine room cooling water basin. Sample collected near the southeast corner of the basin.
- USP-1 United States Pipe & Foundry Soil Pipe Division. Sample collected from 24" corrugated pipe discharging to the Tennessee River.
- USP-2 United States Pipe & Foundry Soil Pipe Division. North storm sewer.
- USP-3 United States Pipe & Foundry Soil Pipe Division. Outfall from south storm sewer.
- VE-1 Velsicol Chemical Corporation. Station set up approximately 75 yards from Wilson Road beside railroad track, effluent flows through a swamp.
- VE-2 Velsicol Chemical Corporation. Site drainage flowing across Wilson Road to tributary of Chattanooga.

- VE-3 Velsicol Chemical Corporation. Sample collected at fence from building across road from main plant.
- VE-4 Velsicol Chemical Corporation effluent to city sewer system.
- WO-1 Woodward Company storm water ditch on north side of property.
- WO-2 Woodward Company waste discharge from oil separator unit on east side of the main plant.
- WO-3 Woodward Company drainage on north side of plant property, bubbling up from ground, east of WO-1.

TABLE 1

Stream Station Sample Locations

- :-0.6 Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at L&N Railroad bridge approximately 0.6 miles from the mouth.
- :-1.2 Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at Broad Street bridge.
- :-1.6 Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at Market Street bridge.
- 2-2.1 Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at Southern Railroad Shipps Yard Bridge immediately downstream from the confluence of Dobbs Branch.
- JB-0.1 Dobbs Branch, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at the Central of Georgia Railroad Bridge approximately 0.1 miles from mouth.
- DBT-1 Unnamed tributary to Dobbs Branch (primarily a storm-water and waste drain) entering Dobbs Branch near I-24 behind the Art Cinema Theater. The stream enters Dobbs Branch near mile 0.3.
- DBT-2 Unnamed tributary to Dobbs Branch (upstream from DBT-1) above Jorges Carpet at a culvert under Holtzclaw Avenue near 16th Street.
- C-2.5 Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at the end of railroad spur adjacent to the northern edge of Southern Piednont Wood Preserving.
- Chattanooba Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, immediately upstream from Southern Piedmont Wood Preserving and adjacent to Clifton Hills subdivision.
- 2-470- Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at the 38th Street bridge.

3,6

5.8

- CT-2 Unnamed tributary to Chattanooga Creek draining the area around Velsicol, Reilly Tar and Chemicals and Woodward. Sample from culvert under Hammill Road near the intersection of Wilson Road.
- 2-4:8 Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at Hammill Road bridge.
- 1-543 Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at Hooker Road bridge.

138

TABLE 1 (Continued)

- CT-3 McFarland Branch tributary to Chattanooga Creek near mile 5.6. Stream flows past Rossville High School. Sample to be taken from bridge on Tennessee-Georgia state-line.
- CT-4 Dry Creek, tributary to Chattanooga Creek, near mile 6.1. Sample to be collected from highway bridge 0.5 miles from mouth of creek in Walker County, Georgia.



Chattanooga Creek, Hamilton County, Tennessee, at Wilson Road Bridge near the Tennessee-Georgia state-line.

9.5 C-838

S Chattanooga Creek, Walker County, Georgia, at the USGS gaging station off of Burnt Mill Road.

APPENDIX C

TENNESSEE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

WASTE AND STREAM QUALITY DATA

.

APPENDIX D

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH. 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

nocher	11100000									
STAFION	DATE TIME DEPTH	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00070 TURB JKSN JTU	00095 CNDUCTVY AT 25C MICROHHO	00300 D0 MG/L	00310 BOD 5 DAY MG/L	00400 PH SU	00403 LAB PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00435 T ACDITY CACO3 MG/L
C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.5 11.5 10.0 10.0 14.0 14.0 14.5	24 19 17 130 30 16 78	238 320 420 205 210 240	5.8 5.7 4.8 5.7 7.1 6.5 6.0	4.3 5.3 6.4 32.0 2.8 4.7 7.0	7.0 7.0 6.9 7.2 7.2 7.1	7.1 7.3 7.2 7.6 7.3 7.6	75 83 82 97 70 59 62	3 3 4 7 2 2 2 2
C-1.2 C-1.2 C-1.2 C-1.2 C-1.2 C-1.2 C-1.2 C-1.2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.0 11.5 10.0 10.0 14.0 14.0 14.0	23 14 17 145 31 20 68	265 330 320 330 200 220 190	6.7 6.1 5.6 6.9 7.5 6.7 6.4	4.9 5.4 35.0 3.2 7.5 5.5	7.1 7.1 6.9 7.1 7.3 7.2 7.2	7.2 7.5 7.2 7.4 7.6 7.5 7.6	71 75 78 87 55 66 61	3 3 5 3 2 2 2
C-1.6 C-1.6 C-1.6 C-1.6 C-1.6 C-1.6 C-1.6 C-1.0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.0 12.0 10.0 10.0 14.5 14.5 14.0 14.0	30 11 14 145 34 16 105	324 330 305 295 205 235 175	8.0 6.6 7.3 7.7 7.0 6.6	6.5 3.7 6.9 40.0 4.0 8.0 6.2	7.0 7.1 7.0 7.1 7.3 7.1 7.2	7.3 7.5 7.5 7.4 7.3 7.3 7.3	73 77 81 71 54 75 61	4 3 4 3 2 2 1K
C-2.1 C-2.1 C-2.1 C-2.1 C-2.1 C-2.1 C-2.1 C-2.1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.5 12.0 10.5 10.0 16.0 15.0 14.5	29 12 10 18 33 18 110	328 365 300 430 220 220 175	7.0 6.6 6.3 6.5 7.8 7.1 6.7	6.1 4.7 3.6 11.0 4.8 7.9 6.4	6.9 7.1 7.0 7.3 7.3 7.1	7.2 7.5 7.4 7.0 7.5 7.4	72 78 75 157 59 65 59	5 3 4 13 3 3 2

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV Southeast environmental research laboratory

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

		00010	00070	00095	00300	00310	00400 PH	00403	00410 T AL K	00435 T ACDILY
		TENP	JKSN	AT 25C	00	5 DAY		PH	CACO 3	CACO3
STATION	DATE TIME DEPTH	CENT	JTU	MICROMHO	MGZL	MOZE	50	50	MG7:_	MOL
C-2.5	730227 1320 0001	11.0	13	275	6.9	7.5	6.2	7.1	54	5
C-2.5	730228 1420 0001	10.5	11	320	6.3	3.6	7.1	7.6	83	4
C-2.5	730301 1040 0000	10.0	11	330	7.5	3.1	7.0	7.5	68	3
C-2.5	730302 1055 0001	9.5	19	385	7.1	3.7	7.0	7.4	84	5
C-2.5	730305 1540 0001	14.0	32	195	8.1	, 2.4	7.3	7.6	56	2
C-2.5	730306 1200 0001	13.5	23	195	7.5	4.0	7.0	7.4	48	3
C-2.5	730307 1045 0001	14.0	115	185	7.1	6.0	7.1	7.4	55	2
(-3.p	730227 1530 0001	11.5	16	287	7.6	5.7	6.9	7.1	75	3
C-3.6	730228 1150 0001	10.0	10	306	8.0	4.6	7.1	7.5	82	3
C=3.5	730301 1105 0001	9.5	11	310	8.0	4.2	6.9	7.5	71	3
C-3 6	736302 1030 0001	9.5	13	395	7.3	4.3	7.1	7.4	71	4
C-3 6	730305 1605 0001	13.5	32	185	8.2	2.1	7.3	7.6	55	2
C-3 b	730305 1025 0001	13.5	24	160	7.9	4.8	7.0	7.4	55	2
C-3.n	730307 1110 0001	14.0	105	175	7.6	6.2	7.1	7.4	53	3
c ()	700007 LUEE 4401		١٩	207	83	7.2	6.7	7.3	67	2
6 4.3	730227 1355 0001	11.0	21	642 642	85	8.2	7.2	7.5	74	3
C 4.3	720220 1010 0001	25	14	310	8.7	6.5		7.4	71	3
(-4.3)		10.0	12	405	8.2	4.5	7.0	7-4	67	4
(-4.3 c / 3	730302 1010 0001	10.0	34	202	8.7	2.1	7.3	7.5	60	4
C=4.3	730305 1055 0001	12.5	28	195	8.3	5.3	7.1	7.4	57	2
C-4.3	730307 1135 0001	13.5	20 04	175	8.1	5.7	7.2	7.5	55	1
				214	0 /	5 0	7 4	7.6	77	2
C-5.3	730227 1440 0001	13.0	15	210	9.4	5.0	7 2	7.7	73	2
0-5.3	730228 1020 0001	9.5	19	105	¥•4		7.4	7.5	72	7
-C>.3	730301 1350 0001	11-0	10	200	10+1	2.4	7 1	7.6	74	3
0-5.3	730302 0940 0001	10.0	11	190	0.1	1 0	7 4	7.7	54	2
C-5.3	730305 1730 0001	14.0	20	145	9.4 g 7	2 7	7.1	7.5	57	1
0-5.3	730306 1040 0001	12.5	25	100	0.1	2	7 2	7.6	55	iĸ
C-5.3	730307 1155 0001	13.5	45	100	0.3	2.5	1 • C			• • •

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV Southeast environmental research laboratory

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

STATION	DATE TIME DEPTH	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00070 TURB JKSN JTU	00095 CNDUCTVY AT 25C MICROMHO	00300 DO MG/L	00310 BOD 5 DAY MG/L	00400 Ph SU	00403 LAB PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00435 T ACDITY CACO3 MG/L
C-5 H	770227 1515 0001	12.5	16	185	9.7	4.2	7.4	7.7	71	1
C-5.0	1000 0001 120201	45	20	189	9.4	3.5	7.3	7.8	74	1
C-5 H	730301 1620 0001	110	10	220	10.4	3.8	7.6	7.7	69	3
	730302 0920 0001	10.0	10	210	8.6	3.2	7.3	7.4	75	3
C-5.8	730302 0920 0001	13 5	25	135	9.5	1.9	7_4	7.6	56	2
(-5.0	720105 1745 0001	13.3	22	174	9.0	2.7	7.2	7.6	53	16
C-5.8	730307 1340 0001	14.0	90	135	8.6	3.6	7.3	7.7	53	١ĸ
C-7 0	730227 1635 0001	11.5	12	159	10.5	3.4	7.5	7.6	62	2
C-7 0	730228 0905 0001	9.5	12	162	9.6	2.7	7.2	7.6	64	1
C = 7 0	730301 1640 0001	10.0	 6	155	11.6	1.6	7.4	7.4	66	4
C = 7 0	730302 0845 0001	10.0	6	190	8.8	3.3	7.2	7.2	76	4
C - 7 0	730305 1545	14.0	19	115	9.6	1.4	7.4	7.7	41	2
C-7 0	730306 0945 0001	12.5	17	115	9.1	2.0	7.2	7.6	52	1K
C-7.0	730307 1430 0001	14.0	125	105	8.7	2.8	7.2	7.5	47	1
6-9 5	730227 1700 0001	11.5	à	132	10.5	2.3	7.4	7.4	56	1
C-9 5	730228 0830 0001	8.5	8	162	10.0	3.3	7.2	7.6	63	1
C-9 5	730303 1610 0003	10.0	6	150	11.4	1.1	7.2	7.5	56	4
C-9.5	730302 0825 0001	9.0	7	155	9.0	2.6	7.1	7.5	66	3
C-9.5	730305 1515	14.0	18	115	9.8	1.4	7.3	7.6	47	2
C-9.5	730306 0925 0001	12.0	13	105	9.5	1.9	7.2	7.6	42	1K
C-9.5	730307 1450 0001	13.5	84	105	9.0	2.6	7.2	7.6	49	2
08-0.1	730227 1125 0001	14-0	260	555	4.3	25.0	6.8	7.3	204	24
DB-6 1	730228 1520 0001	15.0	175	620	4.4	67.0	7.3	7.6	208	10
03-0.1	730301 0955 0001	12-5	100	610	2.0	49.0	6.8	7.1	162	22
DB-0.1	730302 1150 0001	12.0	6340	625		370.0	6.8	7.0	556	40
DH-0.1	730305 1515 0001	17.5	28	940	4.4	103.0	7.9	7.9	202	4
08-0-1	730306 1345 0001	16-0	40	620	4.5	52.0	7.1	7.4	173	6
DH=0.1	730307 1015 0001	15.0	45	310	5.9	28.0	7.2	7.7	104	3

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV Southeast environmental research Laboratory

CHATTANDOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

		(0010 WATER TEMP	00070 TURB JKSN	00055 CNDUCTVY AT 250	00300 DO	00310 BOD 5 DAY	00400 PH	00403 LAB PH	00410 T ALK CACO3	00435 T ACDITY CACO3
STATION	DATE TIME DEPTH	CENT	UTU	MICROMHO	MG/L	MG/L	SU	SU	MG/L	MG/L
DHT-1	730227 1415	19.0	2500	740	5.8	118.0	7.0	7.1	285	23
DhT-1	730228 1525	23.0	2100	680		200.0	6.6	7.1	213	49
DHT-1	730301 1635	22.0	160	S20		193.0	6.6	6.7	104	55
DBT-1	730302 1020	19.0	1470	935		350.0	5.9	6.5	61	70
0:11-1	730305 1750	22.0	15	685		156.0	6.7	7.1	210	29
0HT-1	730306 0830 0000	12.0	130	770		229.0	6.3	7.2	176	9
DET-1	730307 0825 0001	16.5	110	395	6.5	55.0	6.9	7.3	133	11
08T-2	730227 1440	16.0	230	675	6.8	20.0K	6.0	5.5	3	155
081-2	730228 1540	18.0	330	1080		20.0K	4.4	4.0	0	268
04T-2	730301 1040	10.0	235	920		20.0K	6.5	6.3	11	87
031-2	730302 1135	12.0	145	055		39.0	6.4	7.5	36	4
D-1-2	730305 1735	21.0	4000	575		50.0K	7.5	7.9	112	5
091-2	730306 0845 0000	15.0	225	440		10.0	6.8	9.5	139	0
DBT-2	730307 0835 0000	15.5	135	670		45.0	9.1	9.6	225	0
CT-2	1420 000	21 5	17	15100	5.9	100-01	8.5	8.8	1000	0
07-2	730225 1035 0000	13.5	175	23300	7.6	360.0	4.0	3.8	0	816
01-2	730301 1150 0000	15.0	10	.32500		610.0	2.1	2.1	0	1550
CI-2	730302 0955 0000	12.0	110	25000		630.0	5.8	5.8	267	570
CT-2	730302 1200		210	11700		290.0		2.3	0	1070
C1-2	730305 1710 0000	21.0	65	19400		495.0	5.5	5.4	82	870
CT-2	730306 1055 0000	25.0	180	14600		1160.0	5.0	4.9	17	620
C1-2	730307 1145 0000	17.0	100	4680		310.0	6.3	6.5	123	123
CT-3	730227 1546 0000	19.5	21	1220	7.8	43.0	9.7	9.8	186	0
Cī-3	736228 0935 0000	14.0	10	740		26.0	9.5	9.8	181	0
CT-3	730301 1445 0000	19.0	34	840		28.0	9.8		_	
CT-3	730302 6905 0000	16.0	17	2440		38.0	9.8	9.8	213	0
cī-3	730305 1615	22.0	12	600	6.7	21.0	9.0	9.3	149	0
CT-3	730306 1010 0000	18.0	7	6050			8.7	9.2	158	0
CT-3	730307 1350 0000	17.5	32	595		9.8	10.1	11.1	232	0

PAGE 4
CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

STATION	DATE TIME DEPTH	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00070 TURB JKSN JTU	00095 CNDUCTVY AT 25C MICROMHO	00300 00 MG/L	00310 BOD 5 DAY MG/L	00400 PH SU	00403 LAB PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00435 T ACDITY CACO3 MG/L
		· · · · ·	10	217	12.2	2.1	<u>م</u>	0 2	100	٥
C1-4 CT-4	730227 1620 0001	12.2	12	215	13.2	2.2	7.6	9•2 8•0	116	1
CT-4	730301 1520 0001	12.0	32	210	12.6	8.0K	8.0			-
CT-4	730302 0855 0001	9,5	14	275	8.9	2.0	7.4	8.0	133	3
CT-4	730305 1600	16.0	36	270	9.1	5.1	7.2	7.8	103	3
CT-4	730306 0955 0001	12.0	20	260	10.9	4.9	7.3	7.9	104	1K
CT-4	730307 1420 0001	14.0	38	190	9.0	4.2	7.3	/.6	/8 	
		00610	00625	00630	00605	00680	31505	31615	00060	00500
		NH3-N	TOT KJEL	E043S0N	PHOS-T	T ORG C	TOT COLI	FEC COLI	STREAM	RESIDUE
		TOTAL	N	N-TOTAL	P-WET	C	MPN CONF	MPNECHED	FLOW	TOTAL
STATION	DATE TIME DEPTH	MG/L	MGZL	MG/L	MG/L	NG/L	/100ML	/100ML	CFS	MGZL
C-0.6	730227 0900 0001	0.46	0.90	0.37	0.08	4.0	13000	1300	85.0	177
C-0.5	730228 1650 0001	2.35	2.80	υ.32	0.18	5.0	54000	2300	78.0	205
C-0.6	730301 0810 0001	3.59	4.02	0.32	0.14	5.0	24000	1700	71.0	257
C-0.6	730302 1520	1.90	2.47	0.54	0.41	20.0	1600000	350000	68.0	373
C-0.6	730305 1410 0001	1.39	1.12	0.34	0.10	4.0	160000	35000	229.0	231
C-0.6	730306 1440 0001	2.82	2.90	0.28	0.13	4.0	13000	1/00	206.0	1/5
C-0.6	730307 0900 0001	3.20	5.20	4د.0	0.28	8.0	33000	7000	374.0	232
C 1 2	730337 0040 0001	0 (6	0 70	0.20	0.07	4 0	130000	7900	84-0	
$C = 1 \cdot 2$	730228 1620 0001	V.≈0 3.50	3.72	0.51	0.10	4.0	23000	1700	77.0	
C-1-2	730301 0850 0001	1.38	1.70	0.37	0,19	4.0	170000	33000	70.0	
C-1-2	730302 1450	2.23	3.35	0.65	0.48	30.0	920000	920000	67.0	
C-1.2	730305 1425 0001	1.46	1.12	0.32	0.09	4.0	49000	2200	227.0	
C-1.2	730306 1510 0001	2.62	2.97	0.26	0.15	5.0	170000	2300		
C-1.2	730307 0920 0001	1.19	1.30	0.31	0.23	7.0	33000	13000	370.0	
	77007 1010 0001	0 - 1 - 1	0.05	A - 1	0.04	4 0	17000	3300		
C-1.6	739227 1010 0001	0.54	0.95	0.31	0.00	4.U 7.0	13000	2200	77 0	
C-1.0	730228 1555 0001	21.2	21.C عمر	0.31	0.17	5.0 5.0	13000	3100	70.0	
	1000 2160 10001	2 2 A 7 • 7 7	2 25	0.34	0.52	25.0	1600000	540000	67-0	
C=1.0	730305 1440 0001	2.20	1.20	0.34	0.10	4.0	79000	3300	226.0	
C-1.6	730306 1415 0001	2.60	2,80	0.26	0.17	5.0	51000	2400	203.0	
C-1.6	730307 0940 0001	1.29	1.30	0.34	0.23	8.0	46000	13000	369.0	

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

STATION	DATE TIME D	U0610 NH3-N TOTAL DEPTH MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NU3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-1 P-#ET MG/L	00680 T 086 C C MG/L	31505 TOT CULI MPN CONF /100ML	31615 FEC COLI MPNECMED /100ML	00060 STREAM FLOW CFS	00500 RESIDUE TOTAL MG/L
(-2.)	730227 1055 0	5.30	6.10	0.33	0.08	5.0	23000	4900		
0-2.1	730228 1500 0	001 3.17	3.50	0.31	0.12	4.0	11000	1700		
C-2-1	730301 0935 0	2.20	2.90	0.28	0.13	5.0	23000	200K		
C-2.1	730302 1120 0	2.51	3.45	0.32	0.13	10.0	23000	2300		
C-2.1	730305 1500 0	1.57	1.32	0.32	0.13	5.0	130000	1700		
C-2.1	730306 1330 0	2.66	2.97	0.25	0.16	4.0	13000	2300		
C-2.1	730307 1000 0	1.77	1.70	0.32	0.22	9.0	79000	7900		
6-2.5	730227 1320 0	3.70	5.00	0.33	0.60	5.0	4900	3300		
C-2.5	730228 1420 0	3.56	4.00	0.30	0.14	3.0	490	140		
C-2.5	730301 10+0 0	2.38	2.90	0.29	0.17	3.0	3300	790		
C-2.5	730302 1055 0	4.70	6.20	0.28	0.13	4.0	17000	790		
0-2.5	730305 1540 0	0001 0.85	0.95	0.30	0.12	4.0	7900	1700		
C-2.5	730306 1200 0	2.78	2.90	0.26	0.13	3.0	11000	1300		
C-2.5	730307 1045 0	1.83	2.30	0.34	0.20	7.0	33000	17000		
C-3.6	730227 1530 0	2.58	2.96	0.31	0.40	4.0	7900	4900		
C-3.6	730228 1150 0	3.32	5.35	0.30	0.14	4.0	.3100	790		
C-3.6	730301 1105 0	4.50	4.65	0.28	0.08	5.0	24000	2300		
C-3.6	730302 1030 0	5.50	6.30	0.28	0.08	11.0	49000	1100		
C-3.6	730305 1605 0	1.00	1.17	0.23	0.13	4.0	35000	4900		
C-3.6	730306 1120 0	2.35	3.00	0.25	0.14	3.0	13000	1100		
C-3.6	730307 1110 0	2.34	2.50	0.30	0.23	7.0	33000	13000		
C-4-3	730227 1355 0	001 2-62	3.15	0.31	0.40	5.0	7900	4900	76.0	
C-4.3	0 0101 655067	001 7.30	8.00	0.30	0.17	5.0	17000	1300	70.0	
2-4.3	730301 1130 0	001 4.41	4.51	0.27	0.19	4.0	17000	940	63.0	
2-4.3	730302 1010 0	001 6.50	6.60	0.26	0.10	4.0	13000	700	61.0	
2-4.3	730305 1655 0	0001 4.43	4.25	0.29	0.07	3.0	11000	2300	205.0	
2-4.3	730306 1140 0	3.84	4.05	0.26	0.14	4.0	7000	790	184.0	
2-4.3	730307 1135 0	51.5 1000	2.55	0.30	0.29	7.0	70000	4900	334.0	

CHATTANOGGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

--- ..

00610 00625 00630 00665 00660 31505 31615 00060 00500 NH3-N TOT KJEL N02KN03 PHOS-T TORG C TOT COLI FEC COLI STREAM RESIDUE STATION DATE TIME DEPTH MG/L N N-TOTAL P-WET C MPN CONF MPNECMED FLOW TOTAL C-5.3 730227 1440 0001 1.16 1.35 0.29 0.21 4.0 4900 2300 75.0 455 C-5.3 730226 1020 0.01 0.12 0.40 0.26 0.18 3.0 2800 1600 66.0 165 C-5.3 730305 1.35 0.02 0.25 0.19 3.0 3300 4900 59.0 164 C-5.3 730305 1.35 0.02 0.25 0.19 3.0 3300 4900 59.0 164 C-5.3 730305 1.30 0.001 0.26 0.25 </th <th>NOLNUT -</th> <th>11155000</th> <th></th>	NOLNUT -	11155000										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	STATION	DATE TIME	Е DEPTH	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-T P-WET MG/L	00680 t org c c MG/L	31505 TOT COLI MPN CONF /100ML	31615 FEC COLI MPNECMED /100ML	00060 STREAM FLOW CFS	00500 RESIDUE TOTAL MG/L
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(-5.3	730227 1440	10001	1.15	1.35	0.29.	0.21	4.0	4900	2300	75.0	. 457
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C-5.3	730228 1020	0 0 0 0 1	0.13	0.72	0.26	6.22	3.0	4900	2300	68.0	165
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C-5.3	730301 1350	0001	0.12	0.40	0.26	0.18	3.0	2800	1000	62.0	170
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C-5.3	736362 6940	0001	0.19	0.30	0.25	0.19	3.0	3300	490	59.0	164
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C-5.3	730305 1730	0001	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.03	3.0	4900	790	201.0	133
C-5.3 730307 1155 0001 0.08 0.20 0.26 0.18 3.0 81000 10000 327.0 16 C-5.8 730227 1515 0001 0.04 0.12 0.28 0.22 4.0 3300 1700 C-5.8 730228 1000 0001 0.02 0.45 0.28 0.21 4.0 7000 1300	C-5.3	730306 1040	0001	0.23	1.27	0.25	0.17	4.0	4900	490	181.0	196
C-5.8 730227 1515 0001 0.04 0.12 0.28 0.22 4.0 3300 1700 C-5.8 730228 1000 0001 0.02 0.45 0.28 0.21 4.0 7000 1300	C-5.3	730307 1155	5 0001	0.08	0.20	0.26	0.18	3.0	81000	10000	327.0	161
C-5.8 730228 1000 0001 0.02 0.45 0.28 0.21 4.0 7000 1300	6-5.8	730227 1515	5 000)	0.04	0.12	85.0	0.22	4.0	3300	1700		
	C=5.8	736228 1000	0 0 0 0 1	50.0	0.45	0.28	0.21	4.0	7000	1300		
C=5,8 730301 1420 0001 0.09 0.15 0.26 0.30 3.0 4900 490	C-5.8	730301 1420	0 0 0 0 1	0.09	0.15	0.26	0.30	3.0	4900	490		
(-5, 8, 730302, 0920, 0001) 0.04 0.30 0.25 0.17 3.0 13000 790	0-5.8	730302 0920	0001	0.04	0.30	0.25	0.17	3.0	13000	790		
C-5.8 730305 1795 0001 0.01 0.15 0.26 0.06 3.0 22000 1700	C-5.8	730305 1745	5 0001	0.01	0.15	0.26	0.06	3.0	22000	1700		
C=5, 8, 730305, 1025, 0001, 0.03, 0.21, 0.24, 0.14, 4.0, 24000, 490	C-5.8	730306 1025	5 0001	0.03	0.21	0.24	0.14	4.0	24000	490		
C-5.8 736307 1340 0001 0.08 0.57 0.29 0.17 5.0 23000 2300	C-5.8	730307 1340	0 0 0 0 1	0.08	0.57	0.29	0.17	5.0	23000	2300		
	6-7.0	730227 1635	5 0001	0.07	0.20	0.27	0.20	3.0	10000	7600	64.0	144
(-7, 0, 730, 23, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	$C = 7 \cdot 0$	730229 0905	5 0001	0.04	0.22	0.25	0.20	3.0	3300	3300	59.0	137
(-7, 0, 730301, 1660, 0001) $(0.04, 0.05, 0.23, 0.07, 2.0, 3300, 460, 53.0, 160)$	C = 7 0	730301 1640		0.04	0.05	0.23	0.07	2.0	3300	460	53.0	166
(-7, 0, 7, 30, 300, 10, 90, 50, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 0	C = 7 = 0	730302 0345	5 0001	0.04	0.10	0.20	0.27	5.0	17000	3300	51.0	145
(-7, 0, 736305, 1345) $(-7, 0, 736305, 1345)$ $(-7, 0, 736305, 1345)$ $(-7, 0, 736305, 1345)$ $(-7, 0, 736305, 1345)$ $(-7, 0, 736305, 1345)$ $(-7, 0, 736305, 1345)$	$C = 7 \cdot 0$	736305 1545		0.00	0.12	0.26	0.04	2.0	7500	3300	173.0	148
C=7.0 730305 0945 0001 0.02 0.10 0.20 0.11 2.0 13000 790 156.0 144	C = 7.6	730306 0945	5 0001	50.0	0.10	0.20	0.11	2.0	13000	790	156.0	148
C-7.0 730307 1430 0001 0.01 0.32 0.22 0.17 6.0 33000 17000 283.0 205	C-7.0	730307 1430	0001	0.01	0.32	0.22	0.17	6.0	33000	17000	283.0	205
	C-9 5	736227 1700	0.001	0.04	0.17	0.27	0.05	2.0	2300	490	59.0	
(-9.5 - 730229 0430 0001 0.04 0.17 0.25 0.22 3.0 13000 3300 54.0	C=9.5	730222 0430) 0001 1 0001	0.04	0.17	0.25	0.22	3.0	13000	3300	54.0	
(-9.5 - 730301) (510 - 0001) 0.04 0.15 0.23 0.06 1.0 1300 170 49.0	C-9.5	730220 0000	1 0001	0.04	0.15	0.23	0.00	1.0	1300	170	49.0	
	C-9 5	730302 0325	5 0001	0.02	0.05	0.23	0.16	3.0	4900	3300	47.0	
	C=4.5	730305 1515	5	0,01	0.17	5.0	0.14	2.0	2300	790	159.0	
	C-9 5	730306 0925	5 0003	0.01	0.12	0.19	0.10	2.0	2300	790	143.0	
C-9-5 730307 1450 0001 0.01 0.20 0.20 0.14 5.0 54000 7000 259.0	C-9.5	730307 1450	0001	0.01	0.20	0.20	0.14	5.0	54000	7000	259.0	

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

STATION	DATE TIME DEPTH	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2KNO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-1 P-WET MG/L	00680 T ORG C C MG/L	31505 TOT COLI MPN CONF /100ML	31615 FEC COLI MPNECMED /100ML	00060 STREAM FLOW CFS	00500 RESIDUE TOTAL MG/L
DH-0.1 DH-0.1 DH-0.1 DH-0.1 DH-0.1 DH-0.1 DH-0.1 DH-0.1	730227 1125 0001 730228 1520 0001 730301 0955 0001 730302 1150 0001 730305 1515 0001 730306 1345 0001 730307 1015 0001	3.10 2.00 2.40 0.85 1.90 2.00 0.78	4.15 3.50 3.07 2.20 5.00 2.90 1.10	0.65 0.77 0.52 0.54 0.90 0.75 0.62	0.23 0.31 0.26 4.40 1.47 0.43 0.23	19.0 26.0 34.0 370.0 47.0 24.0 16.0	1100000 336000 280000 5400000 790000 1700000 490000	45000 27000 4900 1300000 11000 33000 33000		185 532 508 3213 662 480 266
087-1 087-1 087-1 087-1 087-1 087-1 087-1	730227 1415 730228 1525 730301 1635 730302 1020 730305 1750 730306 6330 0000 730307 0825 0001	11.70 4.78 7.90 9.90 10.60 8.90 4.22	21.30 6.55 12.60 14.50 15.50 13.50 4.85	0.96 1.64 2.23 2.00 0.25 1.22 0.66	0.68 0.46 0.60 0.32 0.31 0.18 0.38	140.0 260.0 120.0 200.0 130.0 140.0 48.0	3500000 9200000 790000 2400000L 3500000 9200000	33000079000050000170000450002300049000		1137 1442 1174 1740 623 738 349
DHT-2 DHT-2 DHT-2 DHT-2 DHT-2 DHT-2 DHT-2 DHT-2 DHT-2	730227 1440 730228 1540 730301 1640 730302 1135 730305 1735 730306 0845 0000 730307 0835 0000	0.50 1.23 0.73 1.00 1.20 0.57 0.17	6.00 2.17 3.38 2.75 1.57 7.35 6.25	0.75 0.79 0.70 1.58 0.18 0.66 1.14	0.43 1.40 0.73 0.92 2.15 1.72 9.70	7.0 8.0 14.0 36.0 12.0 7.0 48.0	230 1700 20K 9400 35000 33000 7900	20 230 4600 35000 17000 2200		
CT-2 CT-2 CT-2 CT-2 CT-2 CT-2 CT-2 CT-2	730227 1420 0000 730228 1035 0000 730301 1150 0000 730302 0955 0000 730302 1200 730305 1710 0000 730306 1055 0000 730307 1145 0000	845.00 2260.00 1835.00 1110.00 855.00 1790.00 2380.00 374.00	850.00 2375.00 1800.00 1235.00 975.00 1800.00 2650.00 390.00	1.74 1.48 1.78 2.00 1.10 2.60 1.80 1.53	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.41 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.34 \\ 1.00 \\ 0.19 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.12 \end{array}$	240.0 270.0 390.0 470.0 210.0 290.0 660.0 170.0	790 20 20K 3300 1300 790 7900	490 20 20к 3300 790 80 7 900	0.4 0.6 0.3 0.4 1.9 0.5 0.4 0.7	10130 18870 27210 20590 7642 12500 11070 2604

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

~~~~~~~									• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
				00610	00625	00630	00665	00680	31505	31615	00060	C
				NH3-N	TOT KJEL	K05YN93	PHOS-T	T ORG C	TOT COLI	FEC COLI	STREAM	RE
				TOTAL	N	N-TOTAL	PHNET	С	MPN CONF	MPNECMED	FLOW	1 T-
STATION	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	/100ML	/100ML	CFS	
CT-3	730227	1540	0000	0.20	1.05	0.83	1.66	35.0	4900	490		
cr-3	730228	0935	0000	0.24	1.17	0.90	1.57	22.0	7900	5500		
CT-3	730301	1445	0000	0.24	0.70	0.70	2.47	23.0	4900	3300		
ст-з	730302	0905	0000	0.07	1.10	0.72	0.59	18.0	1900	270		
CT-3	730305	1615		0.10	0.52	0.00	0.78	15.0	35000	24000		
ст-з	730306	1016	0000	0.18	0.90	0.67	0.50	16.0	28060	7000		
5-TJ	730307	1350	0000	0.18	0.42	0.09	0.52	10.0	49000	7900		
CT-4	730227	1620	0001	0.04	0 30	0 65	0 08	3.0	4900	3300		
),	730228	0.925	0601	0.05	0.30	0.82	0.00	2.0	6400	5100		
CT-4	730301	1520	0001	25 0	0.00	0.02	0.08	4 0	330	20		
	730301	0355	0001	0.12	0.45	0.00	0.00	3.0	11000	4500		
2T=4	710302	1600	0001	0.47	0.20	0.04	0.14	5.0	24000	2900		
CT-4	730305	1005	0001	0.12	0.00	0.05	0.27	3.0	130000	33666		
CT-4	7 10 3 3 7	1220	0001	0.12	0.20	0.00	0.07	5.0	23000	7900		
												-
				00505	00515	00530	00535	01002	01034	01042	32730	
				RESIDUE	RESIDUE	RESIDUE	RESIDUE	ARSENIC	CHROMIUM	COPPER	PHENOLS	
				TOT VOL	DISS-105	TOT HELT	VOL NELT	AS,TOT	CR.TOT	CU.TOT		
STATION	DATE	ТІМЕ	DEPTH	MG/L	C MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	
C-0.6	730227	0900	0001	24	163	14	5	29K	20K	10K		
0.6	730228	1650	0001	24	139	16	0	29%	ŻÛŃ	10K	28	
2-0.6	730301	0810	0001	47	210	21	13	29K	20K	10K		
C-0.6	730302	1520		90	293	80	40	29K	48	27	35	
0.6	730305	1410	0001	57	185	46	13	29K	20K	10K	16	
C-0.6	730306	1440	0001	46	147	28	8	29K	20K	10K	225	
C-0.6	730307	0900	0001	56	157	95	16	29K	201	10K	257	

C-2.1 730228 1500 0001 C-2.1 730305 1500 0001 PAGE 9

13 20

		E: SOI	NVIRONHENT/ UTHEAST ENV	AL PROTECTI VIRONMENTAL	ION AGENCY RESEARCH	REGION IV	,		
		(DOWNSTREAM	CHA FROM CITY	ATTANCOGA F WATER COMP	TIELD STUDY PANY INTAKE	S) FEBM4	RCH: 1973		
AGENCY -	11135000								
		00505 · RESIDUE TOT VO	00515 RESIDUE DISS-105	00530 RESIDUE	00535 PESIDUE VOL NELT	01002 ARSENIC AS+IOT	01034 CHROMIUM CRITOT	01042 COPPER CU-TOT	32730 PHENOLS
STATION	DATE TIME DEPTH	MG/L	C MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L
C-2.5 C-2.5 C-2.5 C-2.5 C-2.5 C-2.5 C-2.5 C-2.5 C-2.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								62 31 22 55 22 260 237
C-3.6 C-3.6 C-3.6 C-3.6 C-3.6 C-3.6 C-3.6	730227       1530       0001         730228       1150       0001         730301       1105       0001         730302       1930       0001         730305       1605       0001         730306       1120       0001         730307       1110       0001	:							69 26 38 73 35 375 <b>367</b>
C-5.3 C-5.3 C-5.3 C-5.3 C-5.3 C-5.3 C-5.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	62 36 52 53 19 60 31	422 133 150 139 79 151 89	35 32 14 25 54 45 <b>7</b> 2	7 1 12 6 12 3 11				
C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					29K 29K 29K 29K 29K 29K 81	20K 20K 20K 20K 20K 20K 20K	20 10 10 10 10 10	< < < < <

CHATIANGOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY -	1113500	0									
STATION	DATE	ТІМЕ	DEPTH	00505 RESIDUE Tot vol MG/L	00515 RESIDUE DISS-105 C MG/L	00530 RESIDUE TOT NFLT MG/L	00535 RESIDUE VOL'NELT MG/L	01002 ARSENIC AS+TOT UG/L	01034 CHROMIUM CR+TOT UG/L	01042 COPPER CU,TOI UG/L	32730 PHENOLS UG/L
C=7 0	730227	1635	0001	22	114	30	В				
$C = 7 \cdot 0$	750227	1000	0001	21	117	30	2				
C = 7 0	730200	1646	0001	12	150	ม ม	- 8				
C = 7 0	730302	0245	0001	*	124	17	7				
C-7.0	730305	1545	0001	33	120	28	6				
C = 7 = 0	730306	0945	0001	29	124	24	Ĩ,				
C = 7.0	730307	1430	0001	43	81	124	14				
C 7.0	150501	1,00	0001		01		•				
C-9.5	730227	1700	0001					60	20K	20K	12
C-9.5	730228	0830	0001					59K	20K	10K	21
C-9.5	730301	1610	0001					29K	20K	10	25
0-9.5	730302	0825	0001					59K	20K	108	20
0-9.5	730305	1515						59K	20K	10K	15
C-9.5	730306	0925	0001					29K	20K	10K	10
0-9.5	730307	1450	0001					29K	20K	10K	25
08-0.1	730227	1125	0001	38	142	43	15				20
08-2.1	730228	1520	0.001	53	435	47	12				34
08-9-1	730301	0955	0001	69	336	122	40				62
08-0.1	730302	1150	0001	1624	1523	1890	1000				100
08-0.1	730305	1515	0001	67	618	44	26				
00-0.1	730306	1345	0001	93	430	50	30				35
DB-0.1	730307	1015	0001	51	206	60	18				17
DR1-1	730227	1415		526	611	525	250				
0hT-1	730225	1525		645	ວ <b>ະ.</b> ປະຕິ	584	264				
DBT-1	730301	1635		466	912	262	174				
DHT-1	730302	1020		529	1205	535	215				
081 - 1	730305	1750		215	418	205	135				
08T-1	7.30 306	0530	0000	164	664	70	- é 3				
DBT-1	730307	0825	0001	89	219	130	62				

#### CHATTANOUGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPARY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AUCACI -	1110000	-									
				00505 RESIDUE TOT VOL	00515 RESIDUE DISS-105	00530 RESIDUE TOT RELT	00535 RESIDUE VOL NFLT	01002 ARSENIC AS.FOT	01034 CHROMIUM CR.TOT	01042 COPPER CU.TOT	32730 PHENOLS
STATION	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	MG/L	C MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	UG/L	UG∕L	UG/L	UG/L
CT-2	730227	1420	0000	2739	10090	40	14				29200
C1-2	730228	1035	0000	11260	18760	110	37				59000
C1-2	730301	1150	0000	8369	27160	45	23				15500
CT-2	730302	0955	0000	1817	20450	138	55				3950(
Cï-2	730302	1200		3272	7307	375	143		150	188	2350(
C1-2	730305	1710	0000	1679	12310	190	72				64500
CT-2	730306	1055	0000	8963	10760	310	<u> </u>				360000
2-13	730307	1145	0000	993	2514	90	30				75000
CT~3	730227	1540	0000								2:
CT-3	730301	1445	0000								5(
CT-3	730302	0905	0000								41
CT-3	730305	1615	0000								20
CT-3	730306	1010	0000								ī
C1-3	730307	1350	0000								10
				01045	01051	01055	01067	01092	00339	00495	00626
				IRGN	LEAD	MANGNESE	NICKEL	ZINC	COD MUD	% MOIST.	ORGAN. N
CT 1 T 1 ON	<b></b>		00.01.1	TOTAL	P8,101	MN	NI, IDIAL	ZRITOT	DRY WGI	SEDIMENT	MUD D WI
STATION	DATE	THE	DEPTH	0676	0671	0671	067L	0.376	MOZNO	SAMPLE	PIGZ A GHN
0.6	730227	0900	0.001	990	100K	260	80K	130			1
C-0.6	730228	1650	0001	990	100	300	80K	132			
C-0.6	730301	0610	0001	1110	200	390	60 K	157			
C-0.0	730302	1250		4320	137	497	80K	213			
0-0.6	730505		0000					<b>D</b> •			
	120202	1410	0001	2090	100K	158	BOK	28			
C-0.0	730305	$1410 \\ 1440$	0001	2095 1040	100K 100K	158 158	BOK BOK	28 26			
C-0.6 C-0.6	730305 730306 730307	1410 1440 0900	0001 0001 0001	2093 1040 4700	100K 100k 90	158 158 298	80K 80K 80K	28 26 94			
C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6	730305 730306 730307 730308	1410 1440 0900 0900	0001 0001 0001 BOT	2095 1040 4700	100K 100K 90	158 158 298	80K 80K 80K	28 26 94	378000	43.00	1940.00
C-0.6 C-0.6 C-5.8	730305 730306 730307 730308	1410 1440 0900 0900	0001 0001 0001 807	2090 10+0 4700	100K 100K 90	158 158 298	30K 80K 80K	28 26 94	378000	43.00	1940.00
0-0.6 0-0.6 0-0.6 0-5.8 0-5.8	730305 730306 730307 730308 730227 730228	1410 1440 0900 0900 0900	0001 0001 807	2090 1040 4700 1070	100K 100K 90	158 158 298 122	80K 80K 80K 80K 80K	28 26 94 15 20	378000	43.00	1940.00
0-0.6 0-0.6 0-5.8 0-5.8 0-5.8	730305 730306 730307 730308 730227 730229 730301	1410 1440 0900 0900 1515 1000 1420	0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001	2090 1040 4700 1070 1135 710	100K 100K 90 100K 100K	158 158 298 122 150	80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 50K	28 26 94 15 20 20	378000	43.00	1940.00
C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.3 C-5.8	730305 730306 730307 736308 730227 730229 730301 730302	1410 1440 0900 0900 1515 1000 1420 0520	0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 000	2090 1040 4700 1070 1135 710 790	100K 100K 90 100K 100K 100K	158 158 298 122 150 100 122	80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 50K 50K	28 26 94 15 20 20 20	378000	43.00	1940.00
C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8	730305 730306 730307 736308 730227 730229 730301 730302 730302 730302	1410 1440 0900 0900 1515 1000 1420 0520 1745	0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 000	2090 1040 4700 1070 1135 710 790 1700	100K 100K 90 100K 100K 100K 100K	158 158 298 122 150 100 122 88	80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K	28 26 94 20 20 20 20 26	378000	43.00	1940.00
C-0.6 C-0.6 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8	730305 730306 730307 736308 730227 730228 730301 730305 730305 730305	1410 1440 0900 0900 1515 1000 1420 0520 1745 1025	0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 000	2093 1040 4700 1070 1135 710 790 1700 1400	100K 100K 90 100K 100K 100K 100K 100K	158 158 298 122 150 100 122 88 80	80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K	28 26 94 20 20 20 26 11	378000	43.00	1940.00

				SOU	UTHEAST ENV	IROUMENTAL	RESEARCH	LAHOPATORY			
AGENCY -	1113500	0		(DOWNSTREAM	CHA FROM CITY	TTANOOGA F WATER COMP	IELD STUDY ANY INTAKE	S) FEBMA	 RCH, 1973		
STATION	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	01045 IRON TOTAL UG/L	01051 LE40 PB.IOT UG/L	01055 MANGNESE MN UG/L	01067 NICKEL NI•TGTAL UG/L	01092 ZINC ZN+10T UG/L	UU339 COD MUD DRY WGT MG/KG	C0495 % MOIST. SEDIMENT SAMPLE	00626 Organ, n Mud d wt Mg/kg-n
C-8.1	730308		80 <b>T</b>						236500	30.00	2800.00
C-9.5 C-9.5 C-9.5 C-9.5 C-9.5 C-9.5 C-9.5	730227 730228 730301 730302 730302 730302 730305 730307	1700 0330 1610 0825 1515 0925 1450	0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001	350 400 270 350 1150 710 4500	100K 100K 100K 100K 100K 100K	62 35 62 75 58 45	80K 80K 80K 80K 80K 80K	15 20 10 15 17 15 28			
CT-2	730302	1200		61750	175	10000	80	2000			
STATION	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	U066H Phos Mud Dry Mg1 Hg/kg	01003 AS MUD DRY WGT MG/KG-AS	01029 CR MUD DRY WGT MG/KG-CR	01043 CU MUD DRY WGT MG/KG-CU	01052 PB MUD DRY WGT MG/NG-PB	01053 MN MUD DRY WGT MG/KG-MN	01068 NI MUD DRY WGT MG/KG-NI	01093 ZN MUD DRY WGT MGZKG-ZN
C-0.6	730308	0400	нот	640.0	7.40	82.00	56.00	230.00	2445.00	29.00	363.00
C-8.1	730308		BOT	570.0	7.60	35.00	8.00	74.00	363.00	26.00	81.00
STATION	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	01170 FE MUD DRY WGT MG/KG-FE	70322 RESIDUE TOT VOL PERCENT	71920 MERCURY SED-PULP MG/KG WT	00340 COD HILEVEL MGZL	00550 01L-6RSE TOT-SXLT MG/L	00720 CYANIDE CN MG/L	00058 FLC# RATE GPM	00059 FLOW RATE INST-GPM
C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6 C-0.6	730227 730228 730301 730302 730306 730306 730307 730308	0400 1650 0310 1520 1440 0400 0900	0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 BOT	18410	19.3	0.0ĸ	50K \$2	5.0K 9.0 5.0K	0.010K 0.020 0.010K 0.010K		

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV

#### CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

STATION	DATE 1IME DEPTH	01170 FE MUD DWY WGT MG/KG-FE	70322 PESIDUE TOT VOL PERCENT	71920 MERCURY SED-PULP MG/KG WT	00340 COD HI LEVEL MG/L	00550 OIL-GRSE TOT-SXLT MG/L	00720 CYANIDE CN MG/L	00058 FLOW RATE GPM	00059 FLOW RATE INST-GPM
C-1.2 C-1.2 C-1.2	730228 1620 0001 730305 1510 0001 730307 0920 0001					5.0K 5.0 5.0k			
C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8 C-5.8	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						0.010K 0.010K 0.010 0.010K		
C-8.1	730308 BOT	11700	18.0	1.4					
C-9.5 C-9.5	730227 1700 0001 730302 0825 0001				50K 50K				
DB-0.1 DB-0.1 DB-0.1	730227 1125 0001 730302 1150 0001 730307 1015 0001				108 3080	5 <b>.</b> 0K			
D8T-1 D8T-1	730227 1415 730302 1020				440 856				
DBI-2 DBI-2	730227 1440 730302 1135				50K 132				
CT-2 CT-2 CT-2	730227 1420 0000 730228 1035 0000 730301 1150 0000 730302 0555 0000				764	16.0 8.0			
CT-2 CT-2 CT-2 CT-2	730302 1200 730305 1710 0000 730306 1055 0600 730307 1145 0000				1100	12.0	0.480 0.016K		

#### CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

STATION	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	01170 FE MUD DRY WGF MGZKG-FE	70322 RESIDUE TOT VOL PERCENT	71920 MERCURY SED-PULP MG/KG WT	00340 COD HI LEVEL MG/L	00550 OIL-GPSE TOT-SXLT MG/L	00720 CYANIDE CN MG/L	00058 Flow Rate GPM	00059 FLOW RATE INST-GPM
CT-3	730227	1540	0000				۱84 04				

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH. 1973

				- ~ ~ ~ - ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~								
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME DEPTH	00500 Residue Total Ng/L	00505 RESIDUE TOT VOL MG/L	00515 RESIDUE DISS-105 C MG/L	00530 RESIDUE TOT NELT MG/L	00535 RESIDUE VOL NELT HG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L
51-1	<b>7</b> 30308	0930			295	R0	231	64	8	0.03	0.50	0.34
USP-1 USP-1	730307 730308	0910(C)7 0910(C)7	730307 730308	1655 1255	к <b>33</b> 693	151 53	117 355	716 338	40 14	0.61 0.23	0.85 0.32	0.71 0.52
USP-2 USP-2	730307 730308	1015(C)7 1020(C)7	' 30 30 7 ' 30 30 н	1715 1315	902 190	141 44	776 152	126 33	48 13	0.32	1.30 0.15	0.53 1.07
USP-3 USP-3	730307 730308	1030(C)7 1030(C)7	30307 30308	1720 1325	862 224	191 66	159 131	703 93	163 31	1.92 0.71	4.25 0.70	0.57 0.53
Сомн-1 Сомн-1	730307 730308	0925(C)7 0850(C)7	30308	1705 1240	194 150	21 37	148 147	46 3	17 3	0.21 0.03	0.95 0.10	0.64 0.51
GIL-1	730307	1615(C)7	30308	1630	101	39	101	١ĸ	١ĸ	0.05	0.20	0.45
D I - 1 D I - 1	730307 730308	1030 1000			13210 6182	521 318	974 872	12240 5310	520 210	0.35 0.40	1.30 1.20	0.48 0.53
30-1 20-1 20-1	730306 730307 730308	0940(C)7 0920(C)7 0915(C)7	30306 30307 30308	1350 1550 1550	392 414	102 124	305 306	87 108	57 86	0.05 0.01K 0.01K	0.15 0.20 0.25	0.82 0.90 0.84
-()-2 -()-2 -()-2	730306 730307 730308	0945(C)7 0925(C)7 0920(C)7	30306 30307 30308	1355 1555 1555	1020 338 851	68 60 42	2 210 361	1018 178 490	41 10 2	0.14 0.05 0.03	2.10 0.47 0.55	0.86 0.70 0.65

CHAITANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

~~~~~~													
STATION	DATE	τιμε	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00500 RESIDUE TOTAL MG/L	00505 RESIDUE TOT VOL MG/L	00515 RESIDUE DISS-105 C MG/L	00530 PESIDUE TUT NFLT MG/L	00535 RESIDUE VOL NFLT MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L
R0-3	730306	1000(C	730306	1405		1165	39	749	436	56	0.03	0.90	0.92
K0-3	730307	0940(C	730307	1600		2193	99	1217	976	68	0.14	0.62	0.98
R0-3	730307	1615				2407	372	1339	1063	56	0.26	16.10	0.92
P0-3	730305	0915(C	602027(1555		2237	200	1120	1117	105	0.03	0.42	1.02
R0-4	730306	1010(C)	730306	1410		1301	117	361	920	60	0.16	1.10	1.52
R0-4	730307	0945(0)	730307	1605		1780	135	544	1236	56	0.51	2.85	2.20
R0-4	7 30308	0920(C)	730308	1600		2279	164	279	2000	140	0.10	0.95	3-64
SK-1	730306	1737				1558	191	1398	160	65	0.47	1.30	0.79
RD-1	7 30306	1740				3605	323	352 3	82	38	0.14	0.50	0.79
+DC-1	730305	1725(0)	730306	1800		4720	1130	1358	3362	792	0.31	0.45	0.26
PUC-1	730300	1800(C)	730307	1440		1195	1034	599	596	152	0.13	0.50	0.82
RDC-1	73030/	1440(C)	730308	1530		955	142	265	560	130	0.04	0.40	0-98
MH-1	730227	0930(0)	130228	0530							13.80	21.20	0.03
MH-1	730228	0130(C)	730301	0930							10.50		0.01K
M(3-1	730301	1000(C)	730302	1045		1201	142	1126	75	52	5.70	12.40	0.01K
MH-1	7 3030h	1110				833	115	749	84	52			
мд-1	730305	1315(C)	730306	1110					_		10.40	19.50	0.01K
мн-1	730306	1110(C)	730307	1140		884	167	044	240	105	11.50	19.50	0.01K
WF-2	730524	0935(C)	730524	1450		4916	7 85	216	4700	782	2.62		0.57
WF-3	730524	0825(C)	730524	1400		154	46	130	24	8	0.09		0.52

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH+ 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

						00500	00505	00515	00530	00535	00610	00625	00630
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	ТІМЕ	рертн	RESIDUE TOTAL MG/L	RESIDUE TOT VOL MG/L	RESIDUE DISS-105 C MG/L	RESIDUE TOT NFLT MG/L	RESIDUE VOL NELT MG/L	NHB-N TOTAL MG/L	TOT KJEL N 14G/L	NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L
WF-4	730524	0810(C)	730524	1350		124	35	118	6	2	0.01K		0.46
CR-1 CR-1 CR-1	736227 730228 730301	1845 0825(C) 0910(C)	730228 730301	1600 1500		3413 3800	2728 3268	3213 3701	205 99	26 16	0.19 0.38 0.17	0.85 1.10 0.60	0.77 0.66 0.59
MM-1 MM-1 MM-1	730227 730228 730301	1500(C) 1615(C) 1635(C)	730228 730301 730302	1615 1635 0910		1077 1034	453 135	1067 1032	10 2	1 2	0.01 0.01K 0.12	0.80 0.40 0.40	0.61 0.52 0.55
MM-2 MM-2 MM-2	730227 730228 730301	1430(C) 1605(C) 1625(C)	730228 730301 730302	1605 1625 0845		2255 3019	69 175	972 899	1283 2120	42 80	0.39 0.86 0.34	27.00 17.00 26.00	0.91 0.79 0.79
ми-3 мм-3	730227 730225	1520(C) 1620(C)	730226 730301	1620 1645		145	54	140	5	2	0.09 0.11	1.42 0.25	0.63 0.57
SW-1 SW-1 SW-1	730227 730228 730301	1540 0900(C) 1015(C)	730228 730301	1500 1530		2861 5631	2294 4998	2855 691	6 4940	6 4800	1.87 0.01 0.03	7.34 3.32 1.12	0.61 0.28 0.28
5K-2 5V-2 SV-2	730227 730228 730301	1120 0915 1025				174 172	60 93	172 164	2 8	1 5	0.05 0.07 0.05	0.35 0.12 0.26	0.38 0.55 0.41
VE-1 Vř-1	730301 730302	1130(C) 0900(C)	730301 730302	1615 1430		26440 353	591 235	26310 263	128 90	22 31	0.05	3.00 2.67	0.01

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

						00500	00505	00515	00530	00535	00610	00625	00630
						RESTOUE	RESTOUR	PESTOUE	RESIDUE	RESTOUE	NH3-N	TOT KUEL	EC46504
						TOTAL	TOT VOL	0155-105	TOT NELT	VOL NELT	TOTAL	N	N-TOTAL
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TINE	NEDIH	MGZI	NG ZE		NGZI	MGZI	MGZI	MGZI	MGZI
STATION	DAIL	1100	UNIC	LTUC	DCFIN	MOVE	6.07 L	C AO/C	PROVE	NOVE	1.076	1076	1107 C
VF-2	730301	1115(0)	730301	1500		5671	2184	5635	45	17	0.79	1.12	0.02
VE-2	730302	0845(0)	730302	1410		2657	744	1545	142	46	0.65	1.45	0.34
	150502	0010101				2001		19.9			•••		
VE-3	736361	0745(0)	736301	1535		170	94	163	2	١ĸ	0.01	0.22	0.46
VE-3	730302	0915(0)	730302	1540		567	370	541	26	24	0.57	1.85	3.06
	100002	0,13(6)	100000			501	5.0	5.1	20		0.51		0.00
VE-4	730305	1445(0)	730306	1530		5970	*974	5909	61	21	325.00	300.00	0.71
VF-4	730306	1530(C)	730307	1430		5607	954	5467	200	40	314.00	301.00	0.57
VF-4	730307	1430(C)	730308	1345		5217	1320	5092	125	41	332.00	315.00	0.57
12 1		1,00,00	100540	10.0		56.11	1020	5072					
₩O-1	730228	0955 (C)	730228	1600							37.60	3/.50	0.26
WO-1	730301	1010(C)	730301	1530		3619	2241	3579	40	10	36.60	34.20	15.0
VO-1	730302	0930(0)	130302	1400		1871	743	1756	77	19	37.40	38.20	0.28
	130302	0,20,00		1 + 5 0		1000		1130		• *			•••
V0-2	730228	1425(0)	730228	1645							1063.00	1240.00	2.88
WO-5	730301	1100				37310	35520	37250	54	52	7320.00	7750.00	1.19
W0-2	730302	1000(C)	730302	1415		23460	26980	28770	92	59	5460.00	5850.00	1.88
W0-2	730307	0900(C)	730307	1500		15060	14410	15010	50	34	2380.00	2750.00	-1.80
WO-3	730228	1000(C)	730223	1625							105.00	147.00	10.40
20-3	730301	1015(0)	730301	1530		3620	2552	3597	23	9	88.00	117.00	4.50
WO-3	730302	0430(0)	730302	1405		937	516	084	253	177	81.00	87.50	3.50
	100002	0,00,00,		1.00		,	510	• • • •	450	• • •			
L&N-1	730306	1030(C)	730306	1600		563	207	548	15	15		.2.45	39.00
L&N-1	730307	0900(0)	730307	1300		504	150	487	17	6	0.01K	1.57	31.00
1.8/2-1	730308	0900(C)	730303	1300		414	132	399	15	15	0.30	1.20	31.40
<u> </u>		0,00,00				· • ·	• 52	0.77	• •				
LAN-2	730306	1030(C)	730305	1600		251	53	186	65	13	0.12	0.17	0.41
L&H-2	750307	0900(C)	730307	1300		823	227	594	229	64	0.55	1.45	1.24
1 &N-2	730308	0900(C)	730308	1300		245	48	188	51	15	0.23	0.45	0.46

.

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

STATION	DATE	ТІМЕ	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00500 RESIDUE TOTAL - MG/L	00505 RESIDUE Tot Vol MG/L	00515 RESIDUE DISS-105 C MG/L	00530 RESIDUE TOT NELT MG/L	00535 RESIDUE VOL NFLT MG/L	00610 NH3-N Total MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L
L&N-3 L&N-3 L&N-3	730306 730307 730308	1030(C) 0900(C) 0900(C)) 730306) 730307) 730308	1600 1300 1315		240 230 288	61 64 86	206 182 219	34 48 69	10 9 12	0.01K 0.01 0.01K	0.45 0.52 0.70	0.09 0.04 0.26
L&N=4 [&N=4 L&N=4	730306 730307 730308	1100(C) 0830(C) 0930(C))730306)730307)730308	1630 1300 1330		336 509 401	- 38 267 143	322 357 374	14 142 27	4 45 9	0.01 0.01 0.03	0.40 0.32 0.10	0.16 0.15 0.13
L&N-5 L&N-5 L&N-5	730306 730307 730308	1100(C) 0500(C) 0930(C)) 730306) 730307) 730308	1630 1315 1330		515 496 519	182 182 198	514 490 513	1 6 6	1K 2 4	0.56 1.25 1.02	1.35 2.15 1.77	17.50 17.00 19.00
Сн-1 Сн-1 Сн-1	730227 730228 730301	1000(C) 0930(C) 0930(C)	730228 730301 730302	093) 0930 0945		747 896	313 505	745 879	2 17	2 15	93.00 68.00 143.00	114.00 82.50 185.00	1.46 1.30 1.27
KE-1 RE-1 RE-1	730228 730301 730302	1055(C) 1050(C) 0940(C)	730228 730301 730302	1700 1505 1430		2952 1116	487 235	297 7 1035	5 81	۲. ۲. ۲. ۲.	4.35 4.68 6.10	4.50 6.20 7.50	0.03 0.05 0.62
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00665 PHOS-T P-WE1 MG/L	003→0 COD HI LEVEL MG/L	00403 LAB РН SU	00310 300 5 DAY MG/L	00668 Phos Mud Dry Wgt Mg/kg	GOGSS FLOW RATE GPM	01054 CHROMIUM CR+TCT UG/L	01042 COPPER CU+TOT UG/L
SI-1	730308	0930				0.05	50K	10.0	1.8		1042.00	70	10K
USP-1 USP-1	730307 730308	0910(C) 0910(C)	730307 730308	1655 1255		0.03 0.02	240 50K	7.9 8.0	80.0 7.4		150.00 150.00	32 20K	50 15

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANDOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWHSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY -	1113500	0										
STATION	DATE	Тіме	DATE	TIME DEPTH	00665 PH05-T P-WET MG/L	00340 COD HI LEVEL MG7L	00403 LAB PH SU	00310 BOD 5 DAY MG/L	00668 PHOS MUD DRY WGT MGZKG	00058 FLOW RATE GPM	01034 CHROMIUM CR,TOT UG/L	01042 COPPER CU.TOT UG/L
USP-2 USP-2	730307 730308	1015(C) 1020(C)	730307 730308	1715 1315	$0.80 \\ 0.11$	140 Sok	8.4 8.0	22.0 2.8		30.00 50.00	40 20K	67 15
USP-3 USP-3	730307 730308	1030(C) 1030(C)	730307 730308	1720 1325	2.02 0.06	380 61	7.7 7.8	25.0 8.2		50.00 30.00	53 26	78 10K
Сомн-1 Сомн-1	730307 730308	0925(C) 0850(C)	730307 730308	1705 1240	0.08 0.45	50K 50K	7.6 8.0	4.0 4.0K			20K 20K	38 10K
GIL-1	730307	1615(C)	730308	1630	0.01K	50K	8.0	28 . 0			20K	10K
DI-1 DI-1	730307 730308	1030 1000			7.70 2.47	50K 95	8.1 8.2	2.8 5.0		1400.00 1400.00		
80-1 80-1 80-1	730306 730307 730308	0540(C) 0920(C) 0915(C)	730306 730307 730308	1350 1550 1550	0.10 3.90 2.43	50K 165 158	8.1 9.1 9.3	5.1 162.0 143.0		29.00 39.00 44.00	20K 20k 20k	10K 15 10
×0-2 ×0-2	730305 730307 730308	0945(C) 0925(C) 0920(C)	730305 730307 730308	1355 1555 1555	1.00 0.37 0.65	100 50K 50K	9.5 8.1 8.1	8.0K 8.0K 8.0K		26.00 34.00 25.00	120 20K 95	158 14 129
80-3 20-3 20-3 20-3	730306 730307 730307 730307 730308	1000(C) 0940(C) 1615 0915(C)	730306 736307 730308	1405 1000 1555	7.50 13.20 23.00 10.60	168 232 800 420	7.4 8.9 10.4 7.5	110.0 64.0 167.0L 198.0		67.00	33 40 170 45	112 122 345 445
PQ-4 R0-4 R0-4	730306 730307 730308	1010(C) 0945(C) 0920(C)	730306 730307 730308	1410 1605 1600	1.83 1.24 0.96	50× 50× 50×	9.3 9.3 9.4	8.0K 8.0k 8.0k		8.00 17.00 16.00	100 140 329	106 64 136

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANODGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

		•											
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00065 Phos-t P-wet MG/L	00340 COD HI LEVEL MG/L	00403 LAR PH SU	00310 BOD 5 DAY MG/L	00668 Phos Mud Dry Wgt Mg/kg	00058 FLOW RATE GPM	01034 CHROMIUM CR+TOT UG/L	01042 COPPER CU.TOT UG/L
5K-1	730306	1737				1.02	132	10.9	28.0			20K	103
RD-1	730306	1740				1.12		10.5	21.0			20K	139
RDC-1 RDC-1 RDC-1	730305 730306 730307	1725(C) 1800(C) 1440(C)	730305 730307 730308	1800 440 1530		2.55 1.65 2.77	3000 324 366	8.2 10.5 11.0	5.0K 3.2 6.4		10.00	40 47	100 117
M8-1 M8-1 M8-1 M8-1 M8-1	730227 730228 730301 730305 730305	0930(C) 0930(C) 1000(C) 1315(C) 1110(C)	730223 730301 730302 730306 730306 730507	0930 0930 1045 1110 1140		6.30 5.50 5.10 6.20 4.70	450 380 485 340 388	7.3 7.3 7.0 7.2 7.4	161.0 159.0 192.0 185.0 191.0		.207E+05 .208E+05 .215E+05 .278E+05 .277E+05	281 292 335 270 235	50 57 60 50 50
wF-2	730524	0935(C)	730524	1450		0.49						100	120
wF-3	730524	0825(C)	730524	1400		0.07						100K	20K
WF-4	730524	0al0(C)	730524	1350		0.06						100K	20K
CR-1 CR-1 CR-1	730227 730228 730301	1345 0825(C) 0910(C)	730228 730301	1600 1500		0.21 0.50 0.34	50K 50K 50K	5.3 6.4 4.8	20.0K 20.0K 20.0K		1600.00 1600.00 1600.00	174 140 215	177 368 185
MM-1 MM-1 MM-1	730227 730228 730301	1500(C) 1615(C) 1635(C)	730228 730301 730302	1615 1635 0910		0.09 0.70 0.19	50K 50K 50K	2.3 2.3	8.0K 16.0 8.0K		20.00 20.00 20.00	105 65	88 64

PAGE 8

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV Southeast environmental research laboratory

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH+ 1973

STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	ТІМЕ ОЕРТН	00665 PHOS-T P-WET MG/L	00340 COD HI LEVEL MG/L	00403 LAB PH SU	60310 500 5 02y MG/L	00668 PHOS MUD DRY WGT MGZNG	00058 FLOV RATE GPM	01034 CHROMIUM CR.TOT UG/L	01042 COPPER CU;TOT UG/L
MM-2 MM-2 MM-2	730227 730228 730301	1430(C) 1605(C) 1625(C)	730228 730301 730302	1605 1625 0845	0.63 0.90 0.57	53 50k 50k	9.5 9.3	3.0K 20.0K 20.0K		10.00 15.00 10.00		
MM-3 MM-3	730227 730228	1520(C) 1620(C)	730223 730301	1620 1645	3.50 5.10	50K 50K	7.6 7.5	8.0K		5.00 5.00		
SW-1 SW-1 SW-1	730227 730228 730301	1540 0900(C) 1015(C)	730228 730301	1500 1530	18.30 14.70 7.40	5610 1010 2400	12.8 3.2 7.8	1700.0 380.0 2900.0		45.00 15.00 15.00	20 20K 20K	94 50 55
5W-2 5W-2 5H-2	730227 73022# 730301	1120 0915 1025			0.04 0.04 0.01K	50k 50k 50K	7.6 7.3 7.4	5.2 2.6 2.6				
VE-1 VE-1	730301 730302	1130(C) 0900(C)	730301 730302	1615 1430	0.17	2240 1125	9.8 8.1	1270.0 560.0		53.00 158.00		
VE-2 VE-2	730301 730302	1115(C) 0845(C)	730301 730302	1600 1410	0.04 0.18	930 395	7.3 7.6	520.0 196.0		1.50 86.00		
VE-3 VE-3	730301 730302	0745(C) 0915(C)	730301 730302	1545 1540	0.01 0.07	60 480	8.3 7.2	20.0K 195.0		35.00 32.00		
VE-4 VE-4 VE-4	730305 730306 730307	1445(C) 1530(C) 1430(C)	730306 730307 730308	1530 1430 1345	0.32 0.19 0.19	3260 3260 3520	10.0 10.3 9.9	2106.0 2903.0 2303.0		922.00 922.00 922.00		

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

.

										~			
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	рертн	00665 PHOS-T P-%ET MG/L	00340 COD HI LEVEL MG/L	00403 LAB PH SU	00310 800 5 day MG/L	00668 Phus mud Dry wgt mg/kg	00058 FLOW RATE GPM	01034 ChROMIUM CR.TOT UG/L	01042 COPPER CU.TOT UG/L
WO I	720223	AO55 (1)	700000	1670		0 05	65	4 C	9 AK		4 00		
WO-1	730228	0900(0)	730220	1030		0.05	05	0,5			4.00		
	730301	1010(C)	730301	1530		0.10		0.5	0.01		4.00		
w0-1	730302	0930(C)	120302	1400		0.05	204	0,5	0.04		5.00		
w()-2	730228	1425(0)	730228	1645		0.15	345	8.9	190.0		41-00		
W0-2	730301	1100	, 20220	1045		0.15 N 36	230	1 6	86 0				
WO=2	730302	1000	7:0302	1615		0.50	776	1.6	240.0		71.00		
w0-2	730302	6960(0)	730302	1500		0.23	2820	1.0	600 01		45.00		
1. () - L	130307	0,00000	1.00.007	1000		0.23	2000	1+0	000.02		45.00		
W0-3	730228	1060(C)	730228	1625		0.02	50K	6.6	5.0		2.70		
WU-3	730301	1015(C)	730301	1530		0.06	135	6.5	99.0		0.70		
₩0-3	730302	0930(0)	736302	1405		0.11	380	6.3	2+.Û		20.00		
						••••		- • -	- • •				
L&N-1	730306	1030(C)	730306	1600			52	6.9	25.0		1.80		
しどいー J	730307	0900(C)	730307	1300		7.70	50K	7.0	40.0		5.40		
したけー1	730303	0900(C)	730308	1300		6 . 70	50K	0.8	5.8		2.70		
1 5 1 - 2	770206	1030/01	790305	1600		0;7	Sor	6 9	24 0		13 00		
644-2	730303	0000(0)	730300	1000		10.50	20N	10.9	24.0		19.00		
1.1.1.2	730301	000000	730303	1300		19.50	492	7 5	210.0		13 60		
2001-2	130300	0,00107	100000	1500		0.33	1				13:00		
LAN-3	730306	1030(C)	730306	1600		0.10	68	7.6	14.0				
L&N-3	730307	0900(C)	730307	1300		0.12	76	7.6	21.0		26.00		
L-N-3	730308	0900(C)	730303	1315		0.16	63	7.8	6.6		57.00		
LEN-4	730306	1100(C)	730306	1030		0.05	50K	7.8	32.0		13.00		
LEN-4	730307	0330(C)	730307	1300		0.11	360	7.6	184.0		7.00		
L&N-4	730308	0930(C)	736303	1330		0.06	1870	7.9			28.00		

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00065 PH05-T P-VET MG7L 7	00340 COD H1 LEVEL MG/L	00403 LAB Pm SU	00310 BUD 5 DAY MG/L	00568 Phos mud Jpy wgt Mg/kg	00058 FLOW RATE GPM	01034 CHROMIUM CR,TOT UG/L	01042 COPPER CU,TOT UG/L
L&N-5 L&N-5 L&N-5	730306 730307 730308	1100(C) 0900(C) 0930(C)	730306 730307 730308	1630 1315 1330		0.12 0.15 0.12	50K 50K 50K	8.4 8.5 8.4	38.0 4.1		60.00 95.00 135.00		
Сн-1 Сн-1 Сн-1	730227 730228 730301	1000(C) 0930(C) 0930(C)	730228 730301 730302	0430 0930 0945		0.01 0.03 0.13	278 336 555	7.1 6.9 6.6	150.0 200.0 198.0		500.00 500.00 500.00		
PE-1 PE-1 RE-1	730228 730301 730302	1055(C) 1050(C) 0940(C)	730228 730301 730302	1700 1505 1430		0.31 0.18 0.20	225 268 180	7.0 6.9 6.5	120.0 150.0 33.0		1.50 2.40 27.00		
STATION	UATE	TIME	DATE	ТІНЕ	DEPTH	01045 IRON TOTAL UG/L	01051 LEAD PS+TOT UG/L	01055 MANGNESE MN UG/L	01067 NICKEL NI;TOTAL UG/L	01092 ZINC ZN,TOT UG/L	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00400 PH SU	
SI-1	3020E	0430				2500	100K	132	80K	18			
USP-1 USP-1 USP-1	730307 730308 730308	0910(C) 0910 1255	730307	1655		18300	450	1100	80K	1140	25.0		
USP-1	730308	0910(C)	730308	1255		3240	90	754	80K	124			
USP-2 USP-2 USP-2	730307 730307 730307	1225 1715 1015(C)	730307	1715		15100	220	235	80K	810	16.0 16.0		
USP-2 USP-2 USP-2	730308 730308 730308	1020 1315 1020(C)	730308	1315		1830	100K	25	80K	92	15.0 16.0		

CHATIAMOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

STATION	DATE	TIME DATE	TIME DEPTH	01045 Iron Total Ug/L	01051 LEAD P8.TOT UG/L	01055 MANGNESE MN UG/L	01067 NICHEL NI•TOTAL UG/L	01092 ZINC ZN+TOT UG/L	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00400 PH SU
	700007	1010							12.0	
026-3	7.30.301	1230							13.0	
052-3	730307	1/20	1700	43200	105	(13	PAK	671	13.0	
036-3	10000	1030 (07730307	1720	42200	190	412	OUN	071	13.0	
1150-3	730308	1000							13.0	
USP=3	730365	1030(0)736308	1325	2050	100×	25	80K	42	10.0	
our u	130300	1030107130300	1525	2000	1000	23	001	72		
СОМН-1	730307	1705							17.0	
C0/48-1	730307	0925(0)730307	1705	4370	375	248	80K	910		
C0:48-1	730308	0350(0)730008	12.+0	175	100K	25	80K	195		
GIL-1	730307	1615(C)730308	1630	195	100K	30	80K	359		
80-1	720306	0.240							20 0	77
80-1	730300	1020							21 0	7 5
80-1	730300	1130							20.0	7.1
80-1	730306	1300							20.0	7.5
R0-1	730306	1350							19.0	7.5
F0-1	730305	0940(C)730306	1350	65	100K	29	80K	760		
R0-1	730307	0920				-			21.0	8.3
P0-1	735307	1040						3	21.0	8.3
H0-1	730307	1145							21.0	8.3
H()-1	730307	1325							22.0	7.6
H0-1	730307	1445.							23.0	5.2
1-0-1	730307	1550							22.0	7.1
₩0~1	730307	0920(C)730307	1550	250	100K	146	80K	150		
P0-1	730308	0915			-				23.0	8.6
PO-1	730306	1105							23.0	8.1
FO-1	73030-	1235							23.0	7.9
80-1	730308	1430							21.0	7.6
F0-1	730305	1550							21.0	7.9
P0-1	730308	0915(C)730308	1550	200	100K	100	80K	42		

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH. 1973

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	STATION	DATE	LIME DATE	TIME DEPTH	01045 IRON IOTAL UG/L	01051 LEAD PB+TOT UG/L	01055 MANGNESE MN UG/L	01067 NICKEL NI+TOTAL UG/L	01092 /INC ZN,107 UG/L	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00400 Рн SU
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20-2	730306	0945							20.0	8.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-5-0S	730306	1040							22.0	7.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730336	1155							20.0	7.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R0-2	730506	1305							22.0	7.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R(-2	730305		1766	22202				7763	22.0	1.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730305	0445(0)730300	5 13,22	33100	4540	10700	650	7700	20.0	7 0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R0-2 00-3	730307	10725							20.0	7.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730307	1045							21.0	7 • 7 7 7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20-2	730207	1320							20.0	7-4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730307	1450							22.0	7.4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730307	155							22.0	7.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730307	C925(C)73030	7 1555	5920	1350	2100	200	1960		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730305	0920							22.0	7.9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2-04	730308	1110							21.0	7.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730308	1230							23.0	7.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-5	730308	1+35							22.0	7.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-2	730368	1555							22.0	7.8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R0-2	730308	0920(C)730307	3 1555	38400	2075	14000	580	4825		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(2) - 3	730306	1000							22.0	ó.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-3	736306	105							24-0	5.9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R0-3	730300	1145							24.0	8.3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-3	730305	1320							26.0	7.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-3	730300	1405							26.0	6.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-3	730306	1000(C)730300	1405	23800	2180	4090	2180	3820		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P()-3	730307	0940							55.0	6.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PO-3	730507	1105							25.0	7.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R0-3	730307	1305							23.0	9.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-3	730307	1355							24.0	8.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80-3	730307	1500							23.0	8.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	RU-3	730307	1600							22.0	7.2
R0-3 730307 1615 90900 680 27800 2200 2800 22.0 9.1 R0-3 730305 0915 23.0 6.8 25.0 6.6 R0-3 730305 1110 25.0 6.6 26.0 6.6 R0-3 730305 1230 26.0 6.6 26.0 6.6 R0-3 730308 1425 26.0 7.3 26.0 7.3 R0-3 730308 1425 24500 1080 9700 4140 9400	R0-3	730307	0940(C)730307	1000	33300	2500	5350	2710	6750		_ · ·
k_{0-3} 730305 0915 23.0 6.8 k_{0-3} 730305 1110 25.0 6.6 k_{0-3} 730306 1230 26.0 6.3 k_{0-3} 730308 1425 27.0 4.6 k_{0-3} 730308 1555 54500 1080 9700 4140 9400	R0-3	730307	1615		90900	630	27800	2200	2800	22.0	9.1
$\kappa_0 - 3$ 730305 1110 25.0 6.6 $\kappa_0 - 3$ 730306 1230 26.0 6.3 $\kappa_0 - 3$ 730308 1425 27.0 4.6 $\kappa_0 - 3$ 730308 1555 54500 1050 9700 4140 9400	R0-3	730305	0915							23.0	6.8
20-3 730306 1230 26.0 6.3 $80-3$ 730306 1425 27.0 4.6 $80-3$ 730306 1555 26.0 7.3 $80-3$ 730306 1555 54500 1050 9700 4140 9400	к0-3	730305	1110							25.0	6.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20-3	730306	1230							20.0	6.d
KU-3 /30308 1555 54500 1090 4700 4140 9400	K()-3	806067	1425							21.0	4.0
	HU-3	730308	1555	1555	54500	1000	9700	4140	94.00	20.0	1.3

CHATTANOGGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

STATION	DATE	TIME DATE	TIME DEPTH	01045 IRON TOTAL UG/L	01051 LEAD P5,TOT UG/L	01055 MANGNESE MN UG/L	01067 NICHEL NI+TOTAL UG/L	01092 ZINC ZN•TOT UG/L	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00400 РН SU
	720244	1010								
R0-4	730306	1010							16.0	8.3
H()+	730305	1110							14.0	8.4
R0-4	736306	1120							15.0	5.9
40-4	730300	1365							18.0	8.1
R()=4	730300	1410	1010	2000	6 7 1 0	C 2 2 A	1.000	16110	17.0	8.0
10-4 10-1	70000	- 1910(C/730306 - Cure	1410	00060	0300	5200	1900	10440	17.0	2 4
<()~4 ₩()~4	730307	1110							17.0	0.0
1211	740307	1310							15 0	0.J 8.6
80-4	730307	1400							15 0	22
80-4	730307	1565							14 0	81
20-4	730307	1605							16.0	8.3
80-4	730307	0945(0)730307	1605	13900	27100	3800	1210	13500		0.0
R0-4	730308	0920		10,00	2.100	0000		10000	16.0	8.6
H()-4	730308	1115							17.0	6.4
K0-4	730308	1235							19.0	8.5
R)-4	730308	1430							18.0	8.4
x ∂− 4	730308	1600							21.0	8.8
20-4	730303	0920(C)730308	1600	15500	2000	6500	2320	41000		
58-1	730305	1737		1220	120	100	9.0 K	121		
34-1	100000	11.57		1220	120	103	80K	101		
								\$		
HD-1	730306	1740		710	90	70	80K	103		
RDC-1	730306	1800(C)730307	1440	85700	1006	350	80K	910		
RDC-1	730307	1440 (C) 730308	1530	20400	100K	132	80K	80		
51-2-1	734224	0.020							20.0	(7
205-1 MH-)	730620	0930 0930/01730228	0000	1000	00	202	312	(10	20.0	0.1
Mise 1	730228	0930(0)730301	0030	1900		270	200	410		
MR-1	730301	1000(0)730301	1045	1200	1000	200	250	400		
M8-1	736365	1915	1040	1090	TOOK	290	0.2	304	19 0	
MB-1	730306	1110							20.0	
MM-1	730305	1315(0)730306	1110	1830	1006	355	150	370		
MB-1	730307	1140		1000	* 0 0 1	555		5.0	20.0	
мн-1	730306	1110(C)730307	1140	1670	1005	310	226	322		

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(COWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY	-	11135000
AUCNET		11122000

			01045 IRON TOTAL	01051 LEAD Pr+TOT	01055 Mangnese MN	01067 NICKEL NI+TOTAL	01092 21NC ZN•TOT	00010 WATER TEMP	00++00 PH
STATION	DATE TIME DATE	TIME DEPTH	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	CENT	SU
WF-2	730524 0935(C)730524	4 1450	110000	263	604	90	440		
WF-3	730524 0825(C)730524	÷ 1400	2400	100K	44	20K	301		
WF-4	730524 0810(C)730524	+ 1350	290	100×	12	20K	39		
CR-1 CR-1 CP-1 CR-1	730227 1605 730227 1845 730228 0825 730228 1333		20000	28130	6250	80×	7250	33.0 33.0 25.0 24.0	
CR-1 CR-1 CR-1 CR-1	730228 1600 730228 0625(C)730228 730301 0910 730301 1155	3 1600	28380	57500	9200	90K	9875	32.0 34.0	6.0 5.5
CR-1 CR-1	730301 1500 730301 0910(C)73030	1500	23500	30500	6375	80K	7400	24.0	↔ •/
мм-1 мм-1	730227 1500(C)730228 730228 1615(C)730303	3 1615 1 1635	259000 203500	40 0 885	1145 945	9800 5040	138 100		
S = 1 S = 1 S = 1 S = 1 S = 1	730227 1115 730227 1330 730227 1540 730228 0900 730228 1145 730228 1500		8650	2ć0	300	150	12630	31.0 23.0 30.0 27.0 28.0 29.0	12.2 9.9 6.6 3.6 3.1 6.5
SW = 1 SW = 1 SW = 1 SW = 1	730225 0400(C)730228 730301 1020 730301 1315 730301 1315	3 1500	8400	106K	460	80	158	30.0 30.0 32.0	6.0 8.8 7.3
5W-1	730301 1015(C)730301	1530	3090	100K	290	80	75		

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH. 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

5.T.A.T. I.O.N.			TIME DERTH	01045 IRON TOTAL	01051 LEAD PB.TOT	01055 MANGNESE MN	01067 NICKEL NI.TOTAL	01092 ZINC ZN.TOT	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00400 Frd
SW-2 SW-2 SW-2	730227 11. 730228 09 730301 10	20 15 25	GINE DEFIN	0072	0071	ΰ07Ľ	00/2	0072	25.0 21.0 22.0	7.5 6.8 6.6
VE-1 VE-1 VE-1 VE-1 VE-1 VE-1	730301 11 730301 14 730301 16 730302 09 730302 11 730302 14	30 30 15 00 50 30							17.0 21.0 20.0 14.0 12.0 12.0	8.0 10.0 9.4 8.0 7.9 7.6
VE-2 VE-2 VE-2 VE-2 VE-2 VE-2 VE-2	730301 11 730301 14 730301 16 730302 08 730302 11 730302 14	15 20 00 →5 05 10							10.0 17.0 16.0 7.0 10.0 12.0	7.2 6.9 7.1 6.7 7.2 8.6
VE-3 VE-3 VE-3 VE-3 VE-3 VE-3 VE-3	730301 674 730301 133 730301 154 730302 093 730302 120 730302 126	45 30 45 15 60 70							110.0L 58.0 53.0 44.0 43.0 24.0	7.2 7.1 7.0 6.9 6.9 7.3
VE-4 VE-4	730307 141 730308 134	30 45							29.0 33.5	
WO-1 WC-1 WO-1 WO-1 WO-1 WO-1 WO-1 WO-1 WO-1	730228 095 730228 130 730228 16 730301 101 730301 132 730301 15 730302 092 730302 111 730302 140	55 50 50 10 25 30 30 15 00							13.0 14.0 13.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 13.0 13.0 13.0	6.2 5.9

CHATTANODUA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH: 1973

				01045 Iron Tolai	01051 LEAD 25.TOI	01055 MANGNESE MN	01067 NICKEL NI+TOTAL	01092 ZINC ZN.101	00010 WATER TEMP	00400 PH
STATION	DATE TIME	DATE	TIME DEPTH	UG/L	UGZL	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	CENT	SU
w0-2	730228 1425								18.0	
₩0-2	730228 1645								19.0	
W()-C	730301 1100								17.0	1.7
w0=2 w0=2	730302 1000								18.0	
W0-2	730302 1415								15.0	
WC-2	730307 0900								40.0	2.1
W0-2	730307 1500								37.0	
W0-3	730228 1000								13.0	
W0-3	730223 1400								16.0	
3-1-3	730223 1625								15.0	
W()-3	730301 1015								15.0	6.5
W()~3	730301 1330								16.0	D. 9
wo÷3 ⊪O÷3	730302 6630								10.0	2.9
W0-3	730302 0930								12.0	
$\frac{1}{100} - 3$	730302 1405								10.0	
	100002 100									
L&N-1	730306 1030								12.0	
L84-1	730306 1330								12.0	
$L \in \mathbb{N} - 1$	730306 1600								12.0	
L&N-1	730307 0900								11.0	
L & N = 1	730307 1100								12.0	
	736307 1300								14.0	
1.814-1	730308 0900								14 0	
L & N - 1	730305 1300								13.0	
L&N-2	730306 1030								10.0	
11.13-2	730305 1330								10.0	
LN11-2	730305 1500								11.0	
LEN-2	730307 0900								11.0	
したロービ	730307 1100								12.0	
	730307 1300								16.0	
L & N=2	750308 1100								14 0	
LEN-2	730308 1300								12.0	

CHATTALOOGA FILLO STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INFAMES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

				01045 IRON TOTAL	01051 LEAD P5+TOT	01055 MANGNESE MN	01067 NICKEL NI+TOTAL	01092 ZINC ZN.TOT	00010 WATER TEMP	00400 PH
STATION	DATE TIME	DATE	TIME DEPTH	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	CENT	SU
£ 8:1-3	730305 1030								11.0	
L & M - 3	730306 1400								11.0	
しゃいーろ	730306 1600								12.0	
Lを料+3	730307 0900								16.0	
しんパーコ	730307 1100								16.0	
LAN-3	730307 1300								16.0	
<u> しんとー 3</u>	730305 0900								12.0	
LEN-3	730308 1100								16.0	
L&N-3	730308 1315								16.0	
L&N-4	730306 1100								14.0	
L&N-4	730306 1330								14.0	
15/1-4	730306 1630								16.0	
- 	730507 0330								14.0	
1.511-4	730307 1030								14.0	
1.8.14-4	730307 1300								13.0	
1.84-4	730303 0930								15.0	
L&N=4	730308 1130								18.0	
LE.11-4	730306 1330								21.0	
1.0.4-5	733306 1100								10.0	
1.8.14-5	730305 1400								10.0	
LEN-5	730306 1630								11.0	
1.8.1-5	736307 6900								10.0	
LEN-5	730307 1100								12.0	
	720207 1316								12.0	
1.6 14 - 5	710304 0930								13.0	
1.621-5	750306 1130								13.0	
L&N-5	730308 1330								12.0	
CH-1	730223 0945								21.0	7.2
Сн-1	730301 0930								21.0	6.7
Сн-1	730302 0945									6.7

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL PESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGE4CY - 11135000

STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME DEPTH	01045 IRON TOTAL UG/L	01051 LEAD PU,TOT UG/L	01055 MANGNESE MN UG/L	01067 NICKEL NI,TOTAL UG/L	01092 ZINC ZN.TOT UG/L	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00400 РН SU
KE-1 KE-1 KE-1 KE-1 KE-1 KE-1 KE-1 KE-1	730228 730228 730228 730301 730301 730301 730302 730302 730302 730302	1055 1510 1700 1050 1310 1505 0940 1150 1430								16.0 10.0 17.0 14.0 15.0 15.0 14.0 14.0 14.0	6.7 6.5 6.6
	******			~~~~~~	31505 TOT COLI MPN CONF	31615 FEC COLI MPNECMED	00550 01L-GRSZ TÓT-SXLT	32730 PHENOLS	00520 RESIDUE VOL FLT	00059 FLOW RATE	00680 T ORG C C
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME DEPTH	/100ML	/100ML	MG/L	UG/L	MG/L	INST-GPM	MG/L
S I - 1	73030B	0430									3.0
USP-1 USP-1	730307 730308	0910(C) 0910(C)	730307 730308	1655 1255							60.0 4.0
USP-2 USP-2	730307 730308	1015(C) 1020(C)	730307 730308	1715 1315							36.0 4.0
USP-3 USP-3	730307 730308	1030(C) 1030(C)	730307 730308	1720 1325							97.0 13.0
COME-1 COME-1	730307 730308	0925(C) 0850(C)	730308 730308	1705 1240						50.00 50.00	11.0
GIL-1	730307	1615(C)	730308	1630						10.00	10.0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANOUGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

STATION	DATE	ТІМЕ	DATE	TIME DEPTH	31505 TOT COLI MPN CONF Z100ML	31615 FEC CULI MPNECHED /100ML	00550 01L-GRSE TOT-SXLT MG/L	32730 PHENOLS UG7L	00520 RESIDUE VOL FLT MG/L	00059 Flow Rate Inst-gpm	00680 T ORG C C MG/L
0 I - 1 D I - 1	730307 730308	1030 1030									3.0 28.0
80-1 80-1 80-1	730305 730307 730308	0940(C) 0920(C) 0915(C)	730306 736307 730308	1350 1550 1550							2.0 43.0 40.0
P0-2 P0-2 R0-2	730306 730307 730308	0945(C) 0925(C) 0920(C)	730306 730307 730308	1395 1555 1555							18.0 7.0 8.0
H0-3 H0-3 R0-3 R0-3	730300 730307 730307 730308	1000(C) 0940(C) 1615 0915(C)	730306 730307 730308	1405 1600 1555						60.00	34.0 58.0 220.0 100.0
20-4 20-4 20-4	730306 730307 730308	1010(C) 0945(C) 0920(C)	730306 730307 730308	1410 1605 1600							5.0 10.0 4.0
SK-1	730306	1737								5.00	37.0
RD-1	730306	1740									35.0
FDC-1 FDC-1 FDC-1	730305 730306 730307	1725(C) 1800(C) 1440(C)	730306 730307 730308	1800 1440 1530							.95.0 92.0 86.0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANOGUA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

STATION	DATE	тіме	DATE	TIME D	EPTH	31505 TOT COLI MPN CONF Z100AL	31615 FEC COLI MPNECMED /100ML	00550 01L-6RSE TOT-SXLT MG/L	32730 PHENOLS UG/L	00520 RESIDUE VOL FLT MG/L	DOOS9 FLOW RATE INST-GPM	00680 T ORG C C MG/L
No-1	730227	0930				81000	20008					
1-1-1	730227	1030				5000	2000					
MB-1	730227	1500				2000K	2000K					
Mart	730228	0840				20	208					
563 - 1	730228	0930				110	20K					
NH-1	730227	0933(C)	730228	0930				25.0	820			100.0
Met - 1	730228	1200				790	20					
K:R=1	730225	1500				50	20K					
163-1	730228	1800				130	20					
$3^{3-3} - 1$	730228	5100				350000	33000					
t*:s=1	730226	2400				74000	1300					
93() - 1	730301	0300				7900	110					
tio t l	730301	0600				9000	740					
мн-1	730301	0.92.0				1300	50					
MH-1	730558	09 (C)	730301	0930				30.0	1500			100.0
MH-1	730302	1045				230	20K		1550			
14-1-1	730301	1000(C)	130305	1045								110.0
Mo-1	700305	1315				2200	20K					
83-1	750306	1110				160060	3300					
201-1 -	730305	1315(C)	730300	1110					670			100.0
MH-1	736306	1500				1300000	70000					
MH-1	730306	2100				1300000	33000					
2.4-2	756306	2400				490000	8000			0		
MB-1	730307	0300				543030	33000					
M(3-1	730307	0500				79000	7.50					
MH-1	730307	1140				2200	1.30					
MB+1	730306	1110(C)	730307	1140					590			100-0
WF-2	730524	0935(C)	73052-	1450				13.0	48	3		
WF-3	730524	0325(C)	730524	1400				5.0K	10K	38		4.0
WF-4	730524	0810(C)	730524	1350				5.0K	16	33		3.0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ______

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

						31505 TOT COLI	31615 FEC COLI	00550 01L-685E	32730 PHENOLS	00520 RESIDUE	00059 FLOW RATE	00680 T ORG C
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	ТІМЕ	DEPTH	/100ML	/100ML	MG/L	UG/L	MG/L	INST-GPM	MGZL.
CP-1 CP-1 CR-1	730227 730228 730301	1345 0825(C) 0910(C)	730228 730301	1600 1500				5.0× 5.0×				7.0 11.0 9.0
844+1 844+1 844+1	730227 730228 730301	1500(C) 1615(C) 1635(C)	730228 730301 730302	1615 1635 0910								3.0 3.0 2.0
MM-2 MM-2 MM-2	730227 730228 730301	1430(C) 1605(C) 1625(C)	730228 730301 730302	1605 1625 0845								20.0 12.0 20.0
14-4 - 3 814 - 3	730227 730228	1520(C) 1520(C)	130228 730301	1520 1645								3.0 4.0
S # − 1 S # − 1	730227 730227	1115 1540						2200.0				2000.0
Su-1 Sw-1	730228	0900 0900(C)	730228	1500				210.0				110.0
>₩-1 S₩-1	730301 730301	1020 1015(C)	730301	1530				4100.0				240.0
SW-2 SW-2 SW-2	730227 730228 730301	1120 0915 1025									7.00 15.00 10.00	4.0 15.0 2.0
VE-1 VE-1	730301 730302	1130(C) 0900(C)	730301 730302	1015 1430								2000.0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV SOUTHEAST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEH.-MANCH: 1973

AGEUCY - 11135000

					~~~~~~~						
					31505 TOT COLI MPN CONF	31615 FEC COLI MPNECMED	00550 Oll-GRSE TOT-SXLT	32730 Phenols	00520 RESIDUE Vol FLT	00059 FLOW RATE	00680 T ORG C C
STATION	DATE TIME	DATE	TIME DE	РТН	/100ML	/100ML	MGZL	UGZL	HG/L	INST-GPM	MGZE
VE-2	730301 1115	(C)730301	1600								330.0
VE-2	730302 0845	(C)730302	1410								150.0
VE-3	730301 07-5	(C)730301	1545								20.0
VE-3 VE-3	730302 0915	(0)736362	15/0				150.0				2/0 0
VL-J	120205 09120	(07750502	1040								2~0.0
VEnd	730305 14450	101720264	16.20								900 A
VE+4	730306 1530	(C)730307	1430								1120.0
VE	730307 1+30	(C)730308	1345								1060.0
WO-1	730228 0955	(C)730228	1:50					123			3.0
WO-1	730301 10100	(C)730301	1530					162			4.0
WO-1	730302 09300	(C)73030 <i>2</i>	1400					130			3.0
NO 3	730000 1/06/	(C) 70000	1615				6.0				100.0
W0-2	730228 14251	107730226	1949				5-0K	25500		°0,00	120.0
w0-2	730302 1000(	(C)730362	1.,15					115000			320.0
WO-2	730307 0900(	(C)730307	1500				41.0	465000			860.0
₩0-3	730228 1000(	C) 730258	1075								4.0
WO-3 WO-3	-730301 1015(	C)730301 C)730301	1530					42000			58.0
<i>v</i> 0-5	150502 09501	07730302	÷*9⊒					400			0.0
1.821-1	730306 10307	() 730366	1500								15.0
Lan-1	730307 09000	C)730307	1300								13.0
しんかー1	730308 0900(	C)730308	1300								9.0
		_									
L&N+2	730306 1030(	C) 730306	1000				18.0				2'3.0
LON-2	730308 09001	C) 730308	1300				6].0				13.0

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY

(DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY - 11135000

						31505 TOT COLI MEN CONF	31615 FEC COLI MENECMED	00550 01L-685E 101-581 1	32730 Phenoes	00520 RESIDUE Voi fet	00059 FLOW RAIE	00680 T GRG C C
STATION	DATE	TIME	DATE	ТІМЕ	DEPIH	Z100ML	/100ML	MGZE	UG/L	⊮G/L	INST-GPM	MG/L
L&N-3	730305	1030(C)	730306	1500				7.0				38.0
L&N-3	730307	0500(C)	730507	1300				30.0				25.0
LAN-3	730308	0900(C)	730308	1315				5.0				16.0
1.512-4	730306	1100(C)	730305	1630				110.0				18.0
1. 6. 14 - 4	730307	(C) (E60	136367	1300								97.0
114-4	730308	0930(C)	730308	1330				32.0				133.0
LAN-5	730306	1100(C)	730306	1630				9.0				6.0
しんパー5	730307	C-900(C)	730307	1315				25.0				ರ.0
LNN-5	730308	(93) (C)	736308	:330								8.0
CH-1	730227	1000(0)	130228	0530								100.0
CH-1	730228	C930(C)	733501	0930								1.35.0
CH-1	730301	0930(0)	730362	0.745								240.0
RE-1	730228	1055(0)	730228	1760				5.04	27000			00.0
PE-1	730301	1050(C)	730301	1505				5.04	24000			80.0
RE-1	730302	0940(C)	736302	1430					10500			.30.0

CHATTANOCGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FER.-MARCH, 1973

PAGE	PARADETER	DESCRIPTION
1	00500	RESIDUE, TOTAL (MG/L)
1	00505	RESIDUE, TOTAL VOLATILE (MG/L)
ł	00515	RESIDUE. TOTAL FILTRAPLE (DRIED AT 1050).MG/L
1	00550	RESIDUE, TOTAL NONFILTRAPLE (HO/L)
1	00535	RESIDUE, VOLATILE NUMPILIRABLE (NG/L)
1	00610	NITROGEN: AMMONIA, IDTAL (MGZL AS N)
1	00525	NITROCEN, KUELDAHL, TOTAL, (MG/L AS N)
1	00630	NITRITE PLUS NITRATE, TOTAL 1 DET. (MG/L AS N)
5	00665	PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL, WET METHOD (HO/L AS P)
5	00340	CHEFICAL OXYGEN DEMAND25N K2CR207 (MG/L)
5	20-03	PH (STADD:40 UNITS) LAG
5	00310	BIOCHEMICAL OFFGED DEMAND (MOVE, 5 DAY - 20DEG C)
5	00663	PROSERVORUE + TOTAL + BOTTOM DEPUSIT (MGZKG DRY WGT)
5	00055	FLOG PATE (GALLONS PER MINUTE)
č	01034	CHROMIUM IOTAL (UGZL AS CR)
ر د	01042	COPPER. TOTAL (UGZL AS CU)
10	01045	
10	01051	LEADE TOTAL (DOZL AS PO)
10	01055	CAMPACT ST FORME (UCZE AS MN)
10	01007	NICAELA COLLAS ND
10	01092	
10	00010	TERTERATURES WATER (DECREES CENTIORADE)
10	21000	- PRE (STERNARD UNITS) - Contention (1994) UNITS) - Content (NEO JENT DEC (JUNE DIENA)
1.5	D1 - 0 C	- CUETRARM TOTAL PROFILMED TESTODU (TURE SISUO)
10	310.5	TECHL CULIFORMANENALU TEMACHEN TOTAL OCO MEN Dan i culiformanen temachen total (1082 John Dec Men
10		DELAN OREACE ISOAREED EXTRACTIONAL TOTAL TREE MOZE
10	00400	ビロテービビュー マレウイビアー・ 「ロモベリカロ」 マノウト とずていた コオム エントロンピー パンパンパーン
10	00.20	REDIVUEN AVENTIER FILTENDEE (ROVE) Filon onte: Instantantons (calione ded atante)
10	000009	CAUDON TATAL ODCANCE LIEVES CALLUND FER MINULEY
10	00000	CAROURT FUTAL URGANIC (MOZE AS C)

#### 

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

#### PAGE PARAMETER DESCRIPTION

1	00010	TEMPERATURE, WATER (DEGREES CENTIGRADE)
1	00070	TURBIDITY, (JACKSON CANDLE UNITS)
1	00095	SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE (UMHOS/CM 🛛 25C)
ì	00300	OXYGEN. DISSOLVED (MG/L)
1	00310	BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (MG/L, 5 DAY - 20DEG C)
1	00400	PH (STANDARD UNITS)
ī	00403	PH (STANDARD UNITS) LAB
1	00410	ALKALINITY, TOTAL (MGZL AS CACOB)
ī	00435	$\Delta CIDITY$ , TOTAL (MG/L 45 CACD3)
ŝ	00610	NITEOGEN, AMMONIA, TOTAL IMG/L AS N)
Š	00525	NITEOGEN. & PIECHEL TOTAL (MG/L AS N)
ŝ	00630	NITRITE PLUS NITRATE, TOTAL I GET, (MG/L AS N)
55	00655	PHOSOHODIS, TAIN, WET RETHAD (MARINE D)
2	00665	CARRANT TOTAL, ALL METHOD (MOVE AS F) CARRANT TOTAL ARGANTO (MOVE AS C)
2	21505	CARRON TOTAL UNDANIC (MOVE AS C) Conteron tot hon concernmen test sec ithog sienen
	27-16	CUEIFGATHINIONATERMED (2015) DU (1002 DIDUD)
2	31015	FECAL CULTEDEMANALC MED,44.50 (TOBE 31014)
2	00000	FLUW, STREAM, MEAN DAILT (COBIC FEET PER SEC.)
5	00500	RESIBUE, TUTAL (MOZL)
9	00505	RESIDUE, TOTAL VOLATILE (MG7L)
9	00515	RESIDUE, TOTAL FILIRABLE (DRIED AT 105C),MG/L
9	00530	RESIDUE, FOTAL NONFILTRABLE (MGZL)
9	00535	RESIDUE, VOLATILE NONFILTRABLE (MG/L)
9	01002	ARSENIC, TOTAL (UG/L AS AS)
У	01034	CHROMIUM, TOTAL (UG/L AS CR)
9	010+2	COPPER, TUTAL (UG/L AS CU)
9	32739	PHENOLS (UG/L)
12	01045	IRON, TOTAL (UG/L AS FE)
12	01051	LEAD, TOTAL (UU/L AS PU)
12	01055	MANGANESE, TOTAL (UG/L AS MN)
12	01067	NICKEL, TOTAL (UG/L AS NI)
12	01092	ZINC, TOTAL (UG/L AS ZN)
12	00339	CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND, BOT. DEP. (MG/KG DRY WGT)
12	00495	MOISTURE CONTENT (PERCENT OF TOTAL DRY WEIGHT)
12	00526	NITHOGEN, ORG. KUEL., HOT, DEPUS. (MG/KG-N DRY WGT)
13	00668	PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL, BUITOM DEPOSIT (MG/KG DRY #GT)
13	01003	ARSENIC IN HOLIOH DEPOSITS (MGZKG AS AS DRY WGT)
13	01029	CHROMIUN. TOTAL IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (MGZKG. CRY WGT)
13	01043	COPPER IN BOTIOM DEPOSITS (MG/KG 45 CU DRY WGT)
1.3	01052	LEAD IN BOTIOM DEPOSITS (DGZKG AS PH ORY WGT)
13	01053	MANGANESE IN HOLTOM DEPOSITS (MGZKG AS MN DRY WGT
13	01068	NICKEL, IDTAL IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (MG/KG, DRY WGT)
13	01093	ZINC IN HOTTOM DEPOSITS (MGZNG AS ZN (BRY WGT)
13	01170	TRON IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (MO/KG AS EF DRY MOT)
13	70.322	SOLIDS. VOLATILE. PERCENT OF IGIAL SCLIDS
17	71920	MERCURY.IOT IN BOI DEPUS OR PULP(MG/KG.WET MGI)
13	00340	CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND. 25N K2CR207 (MG/L)
13	00550	OIL & GREASE ISOKHLET EXTRACTION) TOTAL REC MG/I
13	00720	CYANTER (MG/L AS CN)
12	00058	FLOW RATE (GALLONS PER MINUTE)
12	00000	FLOW DATE, INSTANTANEDIS (CALLONS DED WINNITE)
10	00000	ILUW RAILY INDIANIAREOUS (GALLONS FER BINOTE)
### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IV Southeast Environmental Research Laboratory

CHATTANOOGA FIELD STUDY (DOWNSTREAM FROM CITY WATER COMPANY INTAKES) FEB.-MARCH, 1973

AGENCY	PRIMARY STATION	SECONDARY	STATION LOCATION	STATE	MINOR BASIN	
11135000	130228	CT-4	DRY CK AT 0.5 MI FROM MOUTH	GEORGIA	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
-	130234	C-9.5	CHATT CK OFF BURNT MILL RD-H 8.8	GEORGIA	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANCOG
	470200	C-0.5	CHATT CK AT LAN RR BRIDGE-MI6	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470202	C-1.2	CHATT CK AT BROAD STREET BRIDGE	TENNESSEE	TERNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470204	C-1.6	CHATT CK AT MARKET STREET BRIDGE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470206	C-2.1	CHATT CK AT SOU RR SHIPPS YARD	TERNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470208	()H-0.1	DOBBS BR AT CENTRAL OF GA RR BRD	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470210	08T-1	UNNAMED TRIB TO DOBES BRANCH 0.1	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470212	081-2	UNNAMED TRIB TO DOBBS BRANCH 0.9	TENNESSEE	TERNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANCOG
	470214	C-2.5	CHAIT CR-END OF AN SPUR AT M 2.5	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHAITANOGG
	470216	C-3.6	CHAIT OK AT CLIFION HILLS SUBDIV	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATIANOOG
	470218	C-4.3	CHATT OK AT BUTH STREET BRIDGE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE HIVER	CHAITANOOG
	470220	CT-2	UNNAMED TRID TO CHATT CHEEK 0.2	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470222	C-5.3	CHAIT OK AT HAMMILL ROAD BRIDGE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSFE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470224	C-5.8	CHAIT CK AT HOOKER ROAD BRIDGE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470226	CI-3	NCFARLAND BR AT THE TENN-GA LINE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANDOG
	470230	C-7.0	CHATT CK AT WILSON ROAD BRIDGE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG
	470232	C-8.1	CHATT CK AT BURNT MILL ROAD	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE RIVER	CHATTANOOG

APPENDIX E

ORGANIC COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Study

SAD			Conc. Found	Chemical	Chemical	Water	Sources or
Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	<u> </u>	'Formula	Class	Solubility	Uses
0899	Velsicol (VE-1)	xylene	Est. at 0.03	ČII0	aromatic	<u>insoluble</u>	solvent and synthesis of organic chem
0899	VE-1	benzaldehyde	Est. at 0.18		aromatic aldehyde	slight ly soluble	product of Velsicol
0899	VE-1	an isomer of chlorotoluene	0.14		chlorinated aromatic	slightly soluble	product of Velsicol
0899	VE-1	napthalene	0.078		aromatic		chemical intermediate
0899	VE-1	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 23.		aromatic alcohol	somewhat soluble	product of <u>Velsicol</u>
0899	VE-1	benzoic acid	28	Ссоон	aromatic acid	slightly soluble	product of Velsicol
0899	VE-1	acetone		CH,CCH,	ketone		solvent
0899	VE-1	dibenzyl ether	1.6		aromatic ether	insoluble	product of Velsicol
0899	VE-1	biphenyl	Est. at 0.17	$\frown$	aromatic	insoluble	Organic synthesis
0900	Velsicol (VE-2)	acetophenone	Est. at 2.5	COCH	aromatic ketone	slightly soluble	Perfumery & Pharmaceuticals
				•			

SAD <u>Number</u>	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Veight	Fish Toxicity <u>mg/L</u>	Taste & Odor
0899	xylene	Est. at 0.03	very toxic: probable lethal dose $\frac{2}{}$ for man 50-500 mg/kg	10-90 mg/L toxic depending on <u>3</u> / fish species	0.3-1.0 mg/L causes detec $\frac{3}{2}$ able taste or odor
0899	benzaldehyde	Est. at 0.18	moderately toxic: probable lethal 27 dose for man 500 mg-50 gm/kg	unknown	Threshold odor in 4/ water 0.004
0899	An isomer of chlorotoluene	0.14	toxic by inhalation $\frac{1}{2}$	unknown	unknown
0899	napthalene	0.078	moderately toxic $\frac{1}{2}$	96 hr TLM for mosquito fish <u>3/</u> 150 mg/L	Threshold odor in water 4/ 6.8 mg/L
0899	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 23.	moderately toxic: probable lethal dose for man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	Median threshold effect on Daphnia ^{3/} for 48 hr exposure occurred at 360mg/	Threshold taste in water $\frac{4}{}$ L 5.5 mg/L
0899	benzoic acid	28.	moderately toxic: probable lethal dose for man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	48 hr TLM for mosquito fish is 225 mg/L	Threshold taste in water 4/ 85 mg/L
0899	acetone		2/ moderately toxic: probable lethal dose for man 500 - 50 gm/kg	48 hr TLM for mosquito fish is 3/ 13.000 mg/L	Threshold odor in water 4/ 100 mg/L
0899	dibenzyl ether	1.6	unknown	unknown	unknown
0899	biphenyl	Est. at 0.17	highly toxic	unknown	unknown
0900	acetophenone	Est. at 2.5	low toxicity	unknown	Threshold odor in water 4/- 65 mg/L

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Study

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or Uses
0900	VE-2	1,2,4 trichlorobenzene	Est. at 0.5		chlorinated aromatic	_insoluble	organic i <u>ntermediate</u>
0900	X	an isomer of dichloroanisol appears to be present at an	e est. conc. o	of 0.4			
0900	VE-2	3,5 dichlorophenol	Est. at 0.5		chlorinated phenol	slightly soluble	Organic synthesis
0900	VE-2	2,4 dichlorophenol	1.		chlorinated phenol	slightly soluble	Organic synthesis
0900	VE-2	dibenzyl ether	0.68		aromatic ether	_insoluble	Perfumery & flavors
0900	VE-2	An isomer of chlorotoluene	0.02	Same as for VE-1			
0900	VE-2	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 0.15	Same as for VE-1			
0900	VE-2	benzoic acid	<u>11.</u>	Same as for VE-1			
0900	VE-2	acetone		Same as for VE-1			
0900	VE-2	2,4 dichlorobenzoic acid	0.37		chlorinated aromatic acid	insoluble	Probably by- products

SAD <u>Number</u>	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0900	1,2,4 trichlorobenzene	Est. at 0.5	moderately toxic	unknwon	unknown
0900	An isomer of dichloroanisole	Est. at 0.4	unknown	unknown	unknown
0900	3,5 dichlorophenol	Est. at 0.5	<u>1</u> / moderately toxic	unknown	See 2,4 dichlorophenol on page 2
0900	2,4 dichlorophenol	1	moderately toxic	unknown	Threshold odor in water 0.21 mg/L
0900	dibenzyl ether	0.68		Same as for 0899	
0900	An isomer of chlorotoluene	0.02		Same as for 0899	
0900	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 0.15		Same as for 0899	
0900	benzoic acid	11.		Same as for 0899	
0900	acetone			Same as for 0899	
0900	2,4 dichlorobenzoic acid	0.37	unknown	unknown	unknown

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Study

SAD			Conc. Found	Chemical	Chemical	Water	Sources or
Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	mg/L	Formula	Class	Solubility	Uses
0900	VE-2	Another isomer of dichlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 		chlorinated aromatic a <u>cid</u> chlorinated	insoluble	Probably by- products of their process-
0900	VE-2	Two isomers of trichlorobenzoic acid	Both Est. at 0.4		aromatic a <u>cid</u>	<u>insoluble</u>	es 
0900	VE-2	Two isomers of tetrachlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 0.6-0.7	соон	aromatic a <u>cid</u> chlorinated	<u>insoluble</u>	
0900	VE-2	dicamba	<0.05	СІ СІ – СІІ –	aromatic a <u>cid</u>	slightly soluble	Produced by V <u>elsicol</u> Production of
0901	Velsicol (VE-4)	toluene		Q	aromatic	insoluble	medicines and perfumes
0901	VE-4	xylene	0.20	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Same as for VE-1	n	
0901	VE-4	benzaldehyde	Est. at 19.		Same as for VE-1		
0901	VE-4	An isomer of chlorotoluene	2.2		Same as for VE-1		
0901	VE-4	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 11		Same as for VE-1		
0901	VE-4	acetophenone	Est. at 1.2		Same as for VE-2		

SAD Number	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Dala mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0900	Another isomer of dichlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 0.2	unknown	unknown	unknown
0900	Two isomers of trichlorobenzoic acid	Both Est. at 0.4	Moderately toxic: probable lethal dose to man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	unknown	unknown
0900	Two isomers of tetrachlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 0.6-0.7	unknown	unknown	unknown
0900	dicamba	<0.05	moderately toxic: probable lethal dose to man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	35 mg/L is the 48 hr TLM for - rainbow trout	unknown
0901	toluene	<u></u>	<pre>very toxic: probable lethal dose to man 50 - 500 mg/kg</pre>	48 hr TLM for mosquito fish is 1260 mg/L	unknown
0901	xylene	0.20		Same as for 0899	
0901	benzaldehyde	Est. at 19		Same as for 0899	<u> </u>
0901	An isomer of chlorotoluene	2.2		Same as for 0899	
0901	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 11.		Same as for 0899	
0901	acetophenone	Est. at 1.2		Same as for 0899	

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Study

۰.

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or
0901	VE-4	1,2,4 trichlorobenzene	Est. at 40.		Same as for VE-2		
0901	VE-4	Appears to be two isomers of dichloroanisole	Est. at 20 mg/1				
0901	VE-4	An isomer of methyl biphenyl	Est. at 0.2		aromatic	insoluble	unknown
0901	VE-4	methyl ester of dicamba	4.3		chlorinated aromatic ester	insoluble	Produced by Velsicol
0901	VE-4	dibenzyl ether	3.6	Same as for VE-2			
0901	VE-4	benzonitrile	Est. at 5.3	Ô	aromatic cyanide	slightly soluble	Produced by Velsicol
0901	VE-4	2,4 dichlorophenol	<u>11.</u>	Same as for VE-2			
0901	VE-4	benzoic acid	140.	Same as for VE-1			
0901	VE-4	2,3,4,6 tetrachlorophenol	3.		chlorinated phenol	insoluble	Probably a by-product
0901		o-chlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 1.	ci ci	chlorinated aromatic acid	insoluble	Produced by Velsicol

SAD Number	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Deta mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0901	1,2,4 trichlorobenzene	Est. at 40		Same as for 0900	
0901	Appears to be two isomers of dichloroanisole	Est. at 20 mg/L		Same as for 0900	
0901	An isomer of methyl biphenyl	Est. at 0.2	unknown	unknown	unknown
0901	methyl ester of dicamba	4.3		Same as for 0900	unknown
0901	dibenzyl ether	3.6		Same as for 0899	unknown
0901	benzonitrile	Est. at 5.3		48 hr TLM for bluegills is $\frac{3}{78 \text{ mg/L}}$	unknown
0901	2,4 dichlorophenol	11.		Same as for 0900	
0901	benzoic acid	140.		Same as for 0899	
0901	2,3,4,6 tetrachlorophenol	3.	unknown	unknown	unknown
0901	o-chlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 1	unknown	unknown	unknown

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Survey

0902	MB-1	Appears to be two isomers of dichloroanisole	Est. at 0.4				Found also in VE-2
0902	MB-1	Another isomer of dichlorophenol	Est. at 0.4				
0902	MB-1	2,4 dichlorophenol	1.6	Same as VE-2			
0902	Moccasin Bend STP (MB-1)	An isomer of chlorotoluene	0.062	Same as VE-1			
0901	VE-4	methylene chloride		CHI:Cl:	chlorinatedalkone	slightly soluble	pharmaceutical extractant
0901	VE-4	Two isomers of dimethyl dioxane			dioxone	soluble	s <u>olvent</u>
0901	VE-4	n-butanol		си,си,си,он	alcohol	<u>soluble</u>	s <u>olvent</u>
0901	VE-4	acetone	••• ••• •••	Same as for VE-1			, 
0901	Velsicol (VE-4)	dicamba	73.	Same as for VE-2			
0901	VE-4	2,4 dichlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 2		chlorinated aromatic acid	_insoluble	Probably a b <u>y-product</u>
SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found 	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or Uses

SAD <u>Number</u>	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0901	2,4 dichlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 2.0	unknown	_unknown	unknown
0901	dicamba	73		Same as for 0900	
0901	acetone		27	Same as for 0899	
0901	n-butanol		moderately toxic: probable lethal dose to man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	20 mg/L killed goldfish in 15 to 96 hours	4/ Threshold odor in water 2.5 mg/L
0901	Two isomers of dimethyl dioxane		moderately toxic	unknown	4/ Threshold odor in water 2.5 mg/L
0901	methylene chloride		moderately toxic: probable lethal dose to man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	unknown	unknown
0902	An isomer of chlorotoluene	0.062		Same as for 0899	
0902	2,4 dichlorophenol	1.6		Same as for 0900	
0902	Another isomer of dichlorophenol	Est. at 0.4		Same as for 0900	
0902	Appears to be two isomers of dichloroanisol	Est. at 2 0.4		Same as for 0900	

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT___Chattanooga Creek Survey

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or Uses
0902	MB-1	3,5-dichlorophenol	0.28	Same as VE-2		·	
0902	MB-1	biphenyl	Est. at 0.08	Same as VE-1			
0902	 MB-1	Two isomers of methyl biphenyl	Est. at 0.02-0.08	Same as VE-4			
0902	MB-1	diethyl phthalate	Est. at 0.05	- COO CH,CH,	aromatic ester	insoluble	Solvent and plasticizer
0902	MB-1	dibenzyl ether	0.26	Same as for VE-2			
0902	MB-1	methyl ester of dicamba	0.14	Same as for VE-4			
0902	MB-1	Two isomers of trichlorophenol	Est. at 0.02-0.16		chlorinated phenol	unknown	Fungicide and bactericide
0902	MB-1	2,3,4,6 tetrachlorophenol	0.34	Same as for VE-4			·······
0902	MB-1	o-chlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 0.04	Same as for,VE-4			
0 <u>902</u>	MB-1	2,4-dichlorobenzoic acid	0.09	Same as for VE-2			

SAD <u>Number</u>	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Dody Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0902	3,5-dichlorophenol	0.28		Same as for 0900	<u></u>
0902	_biphenyl	Est. at 0.08	- <u></u> .	Same as for 0899	<u></u>
0902	Two isomers of methyl byphenyl	Est. at 0.02-0.08	2/	Same as for 0901	
0902	diethyl phthalate	Est. at 0.05	moderately toxic: probable lethal dose for man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	unknown	unknown
0902	dibenzyl ether	0.26		Same as for 0899	
0902	methyl ester of dicamba	0.14		Same as for 0900	
0902	Two isomers of trichlorophenol	0.02-0.16	<u>l</u> / highly toxic	unkr.own	unknown
0902	2,3,4,6 tetrachlorophenol	0.34		Same as for 0901	
0902	o-chlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 0.04		Same as for 0901	<u> </u>
0902	2,4-dichlorobenzic acid	0.09		Same as for 0900	

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Survey

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or Uses
0902	MB-1	dicamba	2.4	Same as for VE-2			
0902	MB-1	acetone		Same as for VE-1			
0902	MB-1	ethyl hexanol	<b>_</b>	CH3CH3CH3CH3CHCHOH I C3H,	alcohol	insoluble	plasticizer
0903	Moccasin Bend STP (MB-2)	An isomer of chlorotoluene	0.05	Same as for VE-1			
0903	MB-2	1,2,4 trichlorobenzene		Same as for VE-2			
0903	MB-2	2,4 dichlorophenol	0.42	Same as for VE-2	<u> </u>		
0903	MB-2	Appears to be two isomers of dichloroanisole	Est. at 0.05	Same as for VE-2	<u> </u>		
0903	MB-2	3,5 dichlorophenol	0.18	Same as for VE-2			
0903	<u>MB-2</u>	biphenyl	Est. at 0.04	Same as for VE-1			
0903	MB-2	Two isomers of methyl biphenyl	Est. at 0.02-0.07	Same as for VE-2			

SAD <u>Number</u>	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0902	dicamba	2.4		Same as for 0900	
0902	acetone			Same as for 0899	
0902	ethyl hexanol		<u>1</u> / low toxicity	unknown	unknown
0903	An isomer of chlorotoluene	0.05		Same as for 0899	
0903	trichlorobenzene			Same as for 0900	
0903	2,4 dichlorophenol	0.42		Same as for 0900	
0903	Appears to be two isomers of dichloroanis	Est. at sole 0.05		Same as for 0900	
0903	3,5 dichlorophenol	0.18		Same as for 0900	
0903	biphenyl	Est. at 0.04		Same as for 0899	
0903	Two isomers of methyl biphenyl	Est. at 0.07-0.07		Same as for 0901	

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Survey

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical		Uses
0903	MB-2	methyl ester of dicamba	0.26	Same as for VE-4			
0903	MB-2	diethyl phthlate	Est. at 0.05	Same as for MB-1			
0903	MB-2	dibenzyl ether	0.12	Same as for VE-2			
0903	<u>MB-2</u>	Two isomers of	<0.02	OH	phenol	soluble in hot water	disinfectant
0903	MB-2	2,4 dichlorophenol	0.40	Same as for VE-2			
0903	MB-2	Another isomer of dichlorophenol	Est. at 0.3	Same as for VE-2			
0903	MB-2	Two isomers of trichlorophenol	Est. at 0.12	Same as for MB-1		·	
0903	MB-2	2,3,4,6 tetrachlorophenol	0.25	Same as for VE-4			
0903	MB-2	o-chlorobenzoic acid	0.04	Same as for VE-4		·····	
0903	MB-2	2,4 dichlorobenzoic acid	0.18	Same as for VE-2			t

SAD <u>Number</u>	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0903	methyl ester of dicamba	0.26		Same as for 0900	
0903	diethyl phthalte	Est. at 0.05		Same as for 0902	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
0903	dibenzyl ether	0.12		Same as for 0899	
0903	Two isomers of cresol	<0.02	very toxic: probable lethal dose to man 50 - 500 mg/kg	48 hr TLM for fathead minnows is	<u>4</u> / Threshold odor in water 0.68 mg/L
0903	2,4 dichlorophenol	0.40		Same as for 0900	
0903	Another isomer of dichlorophenol	Est. at 0.3		Same as for 0900	·
0903	Two isomers of trichlorophenol	Est. at 0.12		Sametas for 0902	
0903	2,3,4,6 tetrachlorophenol	0.25		Same as for 0901	
0903	o-chlorobenzoic acid	Est. at 0.04	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Same as for 0901	
0903	2,4 dichlorobenzoic acid	0.18		Same as for 0900	

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Survey

SAD <u>Number</u>	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	_Solubility	<u>Uses</u>
0903	<u>MB-2</u>	dicamba	1.7	Same as for VE-2			
0903	MB-2	acetone		Same as for VE-1			
0904	Chattanooga Tributary (CT-2)	An isomer of xylene	Est. at 0.5	Same as for VE-1			
0904	CT-2	Two isomers of dimethyl pyridine	Est. at 0.3-0.8		pyridine	soluble	Coal tar derivative
0904	CT-2	phenol	Est. at 6.		phenol	soluble	Found in Woodward WO-2
0904	CT-2	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 4.	Same as for VE-1			
0904	CT-2	An isomer of cresol	0.76	Same as for MB-2			
0904	CT-2	Three isomers of xylenol	A11 Est. 0.5	at OII	phenol	slightly soluble	Coal tar derivative
0904	CT-2	napthalene	2.0	CH, Same as for VE-1		<u> </u>	
0904	CT-2	quinoline	Est. at 0.33		aromatic nitrogen	soluble	Coal tar derivative

AD mber	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Veight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
03	dícamba	1.7		Same as for 0900	
03	acetone			Same as for 0899	
<u>04</u>	An isomer of xylene	Est. at 0 <u>.5</u>		Same as for 0899	
04	Two isomers of dimethyl pyridine	Est. at 0 <u>.3-0.8</u>		unknown	unknown
04	phenol	Est. at 6	very toxic: probable lethal dose for man is 50-500 mg/kg	96 hr TLM for bluegills is $\frac{3}{13.6 \text{ mg/L}}$	Threshold odor in water 4/ 5.9 mg/L
04	benzyl alcohol	Est. at 4.		Same as for 0899	
04	An isomer of cresol	0.76		Same-as for 0903	
04	Three isomers of xylenol	All est. at 0.5	1/ very toxic	24 hr TLM for carp is 30 mg/L	unknown
04	napthalene	2.0		Same as for 0899	
04	quinoline	Est. at 0.33	highly toxic	<u>3</u> / 5 mg/L is lethal in 4 hrs to bluegill	Threshold odor in water 71 mg/L

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Survey _____ SAMPLING DATE March, 1973

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or Uses
0904	CT-2	Two isomers of methyl quinoline	<0.1		aromatic nitrogen	slightly soluble	Coal tar derivative
0904	CT-2	biphenyl	Est. at 0.07	Same as for VE-1			Organic
0904	СТ-2	diphenyl ether	Est. at 2.	0	aromatic ether	insoluble	synthesis & perfumery
0904	СТ-2	dibenzyl ether	0.81	Same as for VE-2			
0904	CT-2	carbazole	<0.5			insoluble	Coal tar derivative
0904	CT-2	benzoic acid	13.	Same as for VE-2			Found in
0904	CT-2	Three isomers of xylenol	Est. at 1.5-3.0		phenol	slightly soluble	Woodward effluent
0904	CT-2	acetone		Same as for VE-1			Solvent and
0904	CT-2	pyridine			pyridine	soluble	synthesis of drugs
0905	Chattem Drug (CH-1)	acetone	<u> </u>	Same as for VE-1			

SAD lumber	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0904	Two isomers of methyl quinoline	<0.1	<u>l</u> / highly toxic	unknown	unknown
0904	biphenyl	Est. at 0.07		Same as for 0899	27.
0904	diphenyl ether	Est. at 2.	1/ low toxicity	unknown	Detectable in water by odor at 0.013 mg/L
0904	dibenzyl ether	0.81		Same as for 0899	
0904	carbazole	<0.5	low toxicity	unknown	unknown
0904	benzoic acid	13.		Same as for 0900	
0904	Three isomers of xylenol	Est. at 1.5-3.0		Same is for 0904	
0904	acetone		······	Same as for 0899	
0904	pyridine		2/ moderately toxic: probable lethal dose for man 500 mg - 50 gm/kg	<u>3/</u> 96 hr TLM for mosquito fish is 1300 mg/L	4/ Threshold odor in water 82 mg/L
0904	acetone			Same as for 0899	

### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creck Survey SAMPLING DATE March, 1973

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or Uses
0906	Woodward Co. (WO-2)	Two isomers of xylene	.100200	Same as for VE-1			Coal tar derivative
0906	WO-2	phenol	Est. at 25	Same as for CT-2			Coal tar derivative
0906	WO-2	Two isomers of cresol	13-22	Same as for MB-2			Coal tar derivative
0906	WO-2	Three isomers of xylenol		Same as for CT-2			
0906	WO-2	_ napthalene		Same as for VE-1			Coal tar derivative
0906	WO-2	Two isomers of - methyl napthalene	Est. at 0.6-1.3		aromatic	insoluble	Coal tar derivative
0906	W0-2	biphenyl	0.35	Same as for VE-1			Coal tar derivative
0906	WO-2	An isomer of dimethyl napthalene	Est. at 0.2	- CII, CII,	aromatic	insoluble	Coal tar derivative
0906	WO-2	An isomer of methyl biphenyl	Est. at 0.2		aromatic	insoluble	Coal tar derivative
0906	W0-2	dibenzofuran	Est. at 		tricyclic hydrocarbon oxide	insoluble	Coal tar derivative

SAD Number	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/L	Taste & Odor
0906	Two isomers of xylene	.100200		Same as for 0899	·····
0906	phenol	Est. at 25		Same as for 0904	<u> </u>
0906	Two isomers of cresol	13-22		Same as for 0903	
0906	Three isomers of xylenol	10-22		Same as for 0904	
0906	napthalene	18		Same as for 0899	
0906	Two isomers of methyl napthalene	Est. at 0.6-1.3	<u>l</u> / Probably toxic	unknown	unknown
0906	biphenyl	0.35		Same is for 0899	
0906	An isomer of dimethyl napthalene	Est. at 0.2	<u>1</u> / Probably toxic	unknown	unknown
0906	An isomer of methyl biphenyl	Est. at 0.2		Same as for 0901	
0906	dibenzofuran	Est. at 0.4	<u>l</u> / Probably toxic	unknown	unknown

#### ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED

PROJECT Chattanooga Creek Survey

SAD Number	Industry or Source	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Chemical Formula	Chemical Class	Water Solubility	Sources or Uses
0906	W0-2	fluorene	Est. at 0.55		tricyclic 	insoluble	Coal tar derivative
0906	W0-2	- methylene chloride		Same as for VE-4			<u> </u>
	The concentrations of	ualified by Est. at should b	e considered a	a rough estimation of conce	ntrat <u>ion.</u>		<u></u>
	The other concentrat	ions were determined by quar	titating dupli	cate extracts and reportin	g the average.		
	The soluble and/or v	volatile solvents were not qu	antitated beca	use the efficiency of the	technique is unknown	•	
						<u></u>	
					·		

SAD <u>Number</u>	Organic Compound	Conc. Found mg/L	Toxicity Data mg/kg of Body Weight	Fish Toxicity mg/l	Taste & Odor
0906	fluorene	Est. at 0 <u>.55</u>	unknown	unknown	unknown
0906	methylene-chloride			Same as for 0901	
	1/ The Condensed Chemical 2/ Gleason, Gosselin, Hodg Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 3/ California Water Qualit 4/ Compilation of Odor and Philadelphia, PA, 1973.	Dictionary, V e & Smith, Cl Maryland., 3 y Criteria, C. Taste Thresh	an <u>Nostrand Reinhold Co., New Yo</u> inical Toxicology of Commercial rd Ed., 1969. alifornia Water Resources Contro old Values Data, American Societ	prk, New York 8th Ed., 1971. Products, The Williams & 1 Board, Publ. 3-A, 2nd Ed. 1963. y For Testing and Materials,	

APPENDIX F

-

PROJECT PERSONNEL

#### PROJECT PERSONNEL

Study Director - David W. Hill Project Engineer - Charles A. Sweatt Project Chemist - Wade R. Knight Project Microbiologist - Ralph E. Gentry Chemist - William R. (Rod) Davis Chemist Aide - Thomas J. Sack Microbiologist - Herbert C. Barden Engineering Aide - Edward E. Shollenberger Physical Science Tech. - Robert M. (Mike) McCreery Co-Op Student - Eddie P. Minchew Worker Trainee - Michael D. Cronic Data Logging - Jerry W. Burger Environmental Specialist - Alfred L. Cherry, Jr. Mechanical Engineer - Thomas P. Lyttle Sanitary Engineer - Richard E. Newsome Sanitary Engineer - David M. Parks

APPENDIX G

MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF CHATTANOOGA CREEK

#### APPENDIX G

#### INTRODUCTION

Chattanooga Creek was modeled using the dosag computer code develop ed by the Texas Water Development Board (1). This model has received wide use and has been specified in the recent series of river basin modeling contracts let by EPA. Essentially, the model is a conventional first-order dissolved oxygen model. The model allows biochemical oxygen demand to be stated in terms of both the carbonaceous and nitrogeneous components, with each component having a seperate rate coefficient. Depth and velocity versus flow relationships are stated by an equation having the form:

 $Y = A(X)^B$ 

The reaeration relationship may either be input directly into the program, computed from the method of Thackston and Krenkle(1), or from a relationship of the form:

$$K_2 = \frac{A(Y)^B}{D^C}$$

Where V is the stream velocity, D is the depth, and A, B, and C are empirical coefficients whose values have been reported by various authors (1).

#### MODEL SEGMENTATION

The model segmentation is schematized in figure I (attached). The section of Chattanooga Creek modeled begins at the USGS gage at Franklin Georgia and ends at it's confluence with the Tennessee River. For the purpose of this model, it was assumed that the river is free-flowing for it's entire length. Major tributaries included in the model are Dry Creek, McFarland Branch, Dobbs Branch, and the un-named tributary draining Woodward-Velsicol. Each tributary was modeled as two reaches, one of which is a dummy reach (see model reference 1 for explanation) and the other has a length of 0.2 miles.

#### DEPTH AND VELOCITY VERSUS FLOW RELATIONSHIPS

Depth and velocity versus flow relationships for the program were developed from data obtained at the USGS stream gage near Franklin, Ga. on Chattanooga Creek. Selected field observations were plotted on logrimithic graph paper and the coefficients of the previously mentioned equations were determined. The velocity versus flow relationship was furthur refined with data from a dye study conducted during the field study. It should be noted that the data from the USGS gage is only representative of a single point on the stream while the dye study is representative of the entire reach.

#### DEOXYGENATION AND REAERATION COEFFICIENTS

The deoxygenation coefficient, K1, was détermined from a laboratory BOD time series analysis. A value of 0.12/day (base E, 20 degrees centigrade) was determined from an analysis of this data. This value was used to convert 5-day BOD values for use in model calibration. Note that this value of K1 is only representative of conditions in a BOD bottle and not the natural environment. Several instream values for K1 were tried in the model and a value of 0.60/day (base E, 20 degrees centigrade) was found to represent the degration of waste satisfactorily. Relatively high values of K1 such as this are typical of polluted rivers like Chattanooga Creek and can be attributed to an abundant, acclimitized biological community. The reaeration coefficient, K2, was estimated by the method proposed by Churchill, et al (3). Comparative estimates were made with the method of Langbien and Duram (4) and virtually identical values resulted. FLOWS, LOADINGS, AND MODEL CALIBRATION

Tributary flows for use in the program were approximated from tributary drianage areas as tributry flows could not be measured during the study. Consequently, inflow BOD5 may not correspond to values obtained during the study. Inflow BOD5 was determined by estimating the value needed to raise the BOD5 of Chattanooga Creek to the value observed during the study.

With these values for BOD5 and the 0.60 value for Kl, the observed dissolved oxygen profile was adequately represented by the model. The data used for model calibration consisted of the samples taken during the period from 27 Feb. to 1 March. In this period the river was at approximately steady state with respect to flow. A plot of predicted and observed dissolved oxygen and BOD5 profiles is shown in figure 2. Also attached is a copy of the calibration run.

#### SUMMARY

A computer model for Chattanooga Creek has been developed which adequately reproduce an observed dissolved oxygen profile. For additional information concerning access to and use of this model, contact

> Monitoring and Data Support Branch Surviellance and Analysis Division Region IV, EPA Athens, Ga. 30601 Attn: Thomas O. Barnwell, Jr.

REFERENCES

1. Dosag-I, Program Documentation and User's Manual, Texas Water Development Board, Sept. 1970.

2. Churchill, et. al., The Prediction of Stream Aeration Rates, Journal Sanitary Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol 7, 1962.

3. Langbien and Durum, The Aeration Capacity of Streams, USGS Circular No. 542, U. S Dept. of Interior, Wash., D. C., 1967.



### CHATTANOOGA CREEK MODEL SEGMENTATION

CHATTANOOGA CREEK MODEL



K J J J J
The second secon
Lookout Mountain
TENNESSEE
GEORGIA
Figure 1 Study Area
(To be improved and included as a fold-out in the back of the final report.)

•