

ENGINEERING-SCIENCE DESIGN · RESEARCH · PLANNING

7903 WESTPARK DRIVE • McLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102 • 703/790-9300 OFFICES IN PRINC PAL CITIES



EPA 904/9-81-080

REVIEW AND UPDATE OF MODELING ANALYSIS OF CARBON MONOXIDE EMISSIONS IN MECKLENBURG COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

> Contract 68-02-3509 Work Assignment No. 5

> > Prepared for

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IV 345 Courtland Street, N. E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308

LIBRARY

US EPA Region 4 AFC/9th FL Tower 6T Forsyth St. S.W. Atlanta, GA 30303-3104

July 1981 9205.00/75

Submitted by

Engineering-Science 7903 Westpark Drive McLean, Virginia 22102

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
CHAPTER	2	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	2-1
CHAPTER	3	SUMMARY OF MONITORING DATA	3-1
		CO Monitoring Data	3-1
		Meteorological Data	3-1
CHAPTER	4	MODELING PROCEDURE	4-1
		Model Selection	4-1
		Traffic Data	4-2
		Meteorological Considerations	4-2
		Modeling for Peak 8-Hour Concentration	4-3
		Background Concentration	4-4
		Model Validation	4-4
CHAPTER	5	PROJECTED AIR QUALITY	5-1

- APPENDIX A DAYS WITH HIGHEST OBSERVED CO CONCENTRATIONS
- APPENDIX B DETAILS OF IMM-6 MODEL
- APPENDIX C TRAFFIC DATA FOR MONITORING SITES
- APPENDIX D SAMPLE IMM-6 PRINTOUTS
- APPENDIX E ADDITIONAL HOT SPOT ANALYSIS

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Summary of Measured CO Concentrations	3-2
3.2	Monthly Summary of CO Concentrations in 1980	3-3
3.3	Surface Weather Observations for Charlotte, NC - December 5, 1980	3-5
3.4	Surface Weather Observations for Charlotte, NC - December 6, 1980	3-6
3.5	Mixing Heights for Charlotte, NC - December 4-6, 1980	3-7
4.1 4.2	Comparison of Predicted vs. Measured Concentration Traffic Parameters for Three Monitoring Sites	4-6 4-7
5.1	Predicted Air Quality	5-2

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

In compliance with the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended in 1977, Mecklenburg County of the Charlotte Metropolitan area was designated nonattainment for carbon monoxide. For areas designated as nonattainment, the Act requires that the States revise their State Implementation Plan (SIP) to attain the air quality standards as expeditiously as possible. The achievement of the standards in general is required by December 31, 1982. However, for carbon monoxide and ozone a five-year extension to 1987 can be granted. This extension is contingent upon a demonstration that attainment is not possible by 1982 despite implementation of all reasonable control measures. The Act further requires that the SIPs for those areas not achieving the standards by 1982 include a program of automobile Inspection and Maintenance (I&M) in 1982.

Subsequently, the State of North Carolina submitted a revision of their SIP which predicted attainment of the CO standards in Mecklenburg County by 1987. The Plan included air quality benefits of a proposed automobile I&M program. The need and the air quality benefits of the I&M program were based on a technical analysis performed in mid-1978. Since the air quality analysis was prepared nearly three years ago under a compressed time schedule, it is now necessary to revise the analysis using up-to-date information and state-of-the-art modeling techniques.

Consequently, Engineering-Science (ES) has been contracted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IV to study the potential for attainment of the CO standards in Mecklenburg County.

The study was carried out in two phases. The first phase of the study focused on a detailed air quality analysis for the three existing monitoring sites in the area. Modeling analyses for CO concentrations at the monitoring sites were performed for the year 1980 and predicted concentrations were compared with measured concentrations to determine the validity of the modeling procedures used. The modeling procedures were then applied to determine the air quality levels for carbon monoxide in future years at these monitoring sites.

In the second phase of the study, the potential for attainment of the CC standards at other anticipated hot spots in the area was investigated. Hot spots are defined as locations where ambient CO concentrations exceed the ambient air quality standards (AAQS). The carbon monoxide standards are 35 ppm 1-hour maximum and 9 ppm 8-hour maximum, neither of which are to be exceeded more than once per year. Air quality monitoring data are considered to provide sufficient information to determine whether an area

is in compliance with the standards. However, recent studies have shown that CO hot spots can be more widespread than is indicated by the existing monitoring networks, since there are a limited number of sites measuring CO and these sites are not always the most desirable in identifying the maximum concentrations to which the public may be exposed. Consequently, various procedures are used to identify potential hot spots.

A preliminary assessment of CO hot spots was performed by the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) of the Mecklenburg County in close cooperation with the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC). The scope of this preliminary analysis was to identify the potential hot spots on the basis of street configuration and traffic congestion. From this analysis a selected number of intersections were identified for further study. Using an approved air quality dispersion model, carbon monoxide concentrations were computed for these sites for the year 1983. The computed concentrations were compared with the Standards to determine whether these sites were potential CO hot spots. The model was again used to quantify the expected CO concentrations in 1987 at the potential hot spots. The air quality benefits to be derived from implementation of automobile inspection and maintenance programs were also quantified. The results of this analysis provide a more realistic estimate of future CO levels in the area.

CHAPTER 2

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- ES conducted a study of projected carbon monoxide levels in Mecklenburg County. Of primary concern was the potential for attainment of the CO standards in 1987.
- 2. Ambient air quality monitoring data were reviewed to determine the extent of violations of CO standards during the period from 1978 to 1980. The review indicated that there were no violations of the 1-hour CO standard, but the 8-hour standard was violated on several occasions during the period.
- 3. A review of available traffic and dispersion models was made in order to select an appropriate model for the analysis. Based on this review the Intersection-Midblock-Model (IMM) was chosen. The model was updated to include the latest automobile emission factors (MOBILE-2) as published by EPA.
- 4. Detailed air quality analyses were performed for each of the three monitoring sites. The results indicate potential for violation of NAAQS for CO in 1987 at one monitoring site even with the implementation of the I&M program without traffic improvements.
- 5. In addition to the monitoring sites, 26 additional locations (hot spots) were analyzed for possible violation of NAAQS in 1983 and 1987. The results indicate potential for marginal violation of the CO standard in 1987 at three additional sites even with the benefits of I&M program.

CHAPTER 3

SUMMARY OF MONITORING DATA

CO MONITORING DATA

Carbon monoxide concentrations have been monitored at three locations in Mecklenburg County. The data from these monitoring sites are summarized in Table 3.1 for the period 1978 through 1980.

Data on carbon monoxide measurements for 1978 through 1980 show violation of the 8-hour CO standards at all three locations. The 8hour standard was violated each year at the three sites except at Federal Reserve in 1979. There were no violations of the 1-hour standard at any site during this period.

Monthly summaries of carbon monoxide concentrations measured at the three sites during the most recent year (1980) are given in Table 3.2. The data indicate that Park-Woodlawn and Central-Sharon Amity had more violations of the 8-hour CO standard. Magnitudes of the violations were higher at the Central-Sharon Amity site. The Federal Reserve site had only one violation of the 8-hour standard in 1980.

Time periods during which high CO concentrations were observed at these three sites in 1980 are given in Appendix A. Most of the high concentrations were observed during winter months; highest concentrations occurred in the month of December. Highest concentrations were observed on December 5, 1980 during the late evening hours. Hourly variations of CO concentrations measured during the first six days of December 1980 are also given in Appendix A. Hourly data are given only for the Central-Sharon Amity site where the measured concentrations were found to be the highest.

METEOROLOGICAL DATA

A review of the data on ambient air quality measurements indicates that the highest 1-hour and 8-hour CO concentrations were observed on December 5 and 6, 1980 at all three sites. Surface weather observations for these two days as taken at Charlotte, North Carolina, are given in Tables 3.3 and 3.4. Mixing heights for December 4 through 6, 1980, as determined by the EPA Region IV meteorologist are given in Table 3.5.

		Maximum 1-Hour	2nd Maximum 1-Hour	Maximum 8-Hour	2nd Maximum 8-Hour
Site	Year	(mg/m^3)	(mg/m^3)	(mg/m^3)	(mg/m^3)
Federal	1978	21.9	21.5	15.9	15.2
Reserve	1979	19.5	15.5	10.1	9.0
	1980	21.3	20.2	12.8	11.2
Park-	1978	28.1	19.6	14.4	14.1
Woodlawn	1979	26.7	22.9	14.6	12.5
	1980	24.1	22.7	12.7	12.0
Central-	1978	36.7	34.2	23.6	21.4
Sharon	1979	24.5	21.7	15.6	14.4
Amity	1980	36.9	30.4	24.6	19.2

SUMMARY OF MEASURED CO CONCENTRATIONS

The standards are 40 mg/m³ 1-hour and 10 mg/m³ 8-hour not to be exceeded more than once per year.

			Concentrati	on in mg/m	n ³
		1-Hou	r Period		Period
Date	Monitoring Site	Max.	2nd Max.	Max.	2nd Max.
Jan. 1980	Federal Reserve	10.9	8.6	4.8	4.6
	Park-Woodlawn	18.3	18.0	11.2	11.0
	Central-Sharon Amity	16.0	14.7	9.3	9.1
Feb. 1980	Federal Reserve				
	Park-Woodlawn	24.1	17.2	9.4	9.0
	Central-Sharon Amity	20.3	18.6	9.3	9.3
March 1980	Federal Reserve	10.0	6.6	4.6	3.7
	Park-Woodlawn	12.6	11.5	8.7	6.7
	Central-Sharon Amity	14.3	14.0	8.2	8.0
April 1980	Federal Reserve	8.0	6.9	4.7	4.3
	Park-Woodlawn	13.8	13.0	8.9	6.8
	Central-Sharon Amity	12.0	11.2	9.0	7.6
May 1980	Federal Reserve	10.0	9.5	6.6	6.0
	Park-Woodlawn	12.9	12.2	7.3	7.0
	Central-Sharon Amity	12.2	11.9	8.3	8.0
June 1980	Federal Reserve	6.9	6.3	3.8	3.6
	Park-Woodlawn	11.8	10.1	8.5	6.9
	Central-Sharon Amity	10.0	9.7	6.4	4.7
July 1980	Federal Reserve	7.5	7.2	5.7	5.6
	Park-Woodlawn	11.3	11.0	7.3	7.0
	Central-Sharon Amity	12.3	12.0	7.2	7.1
Aug. 1980	Federal Reserve	6.9	6.1	5.0	4.6
	Park-Woodlawn	14.2	11.3	7.9	7.6
	Central-Sharon Amity	10.4	9.2	7.8	7.6
Sept. 1980	Federal Reserve	6.6	6.3	4.3	4.0
	Park-Woodlawn	13.6	13.0	7.5	7.2
	Central-Sharon Amity	11.5	11.0	6.7	6.5
Oct. 1980	Federal Reserve	17.9	11.3	7.0	5.6
	Park-Woodlawn	16.3	15.4	8.4	8.3
	Central-Sharon Amity	19.1	18.6	10.2	10.1
Nov. 1980	Federal Reserve	18.2	16.2	12.8	11.2
	Park-Woodlawn	24.1	22.7	10.8	9.9
	Central-Sharon Amity	24.0	21.4	12.7	11.9

MONTHLY SUMMARY OF CO CONCENTRATIONS IN 1980

		Concentration in mg/m ³				
	Monitoring Site	1-Hou	r Period	8-Hou	8-Hour Period	
Date		Max.	2nd Max.	Max.	2nd Max.	
Dec. 1980	Federal Reserve	21.3	20.2	12.3	9.2	
	Park-Woodlawn	22.7	19.5	12.7	12.0	
	Central-Sharon Amity	36.9	30.4	24.6	19.2	

TABLE 3.2--Continued

SURFACE WEATHER OBSERVATIONS FOR CHARLOTTE, NC

Day = December 5, 1980

	Wind		Da ma		
	Speed		Temp.	Humidity	
Hour	(Knots)	Direction	(°F)	(%)	Stability
0100	0	0	36	58	6
0200	0	0	35	66	6
0300	0	0	33	73	6
0400	0	0	31	75	6
0500	0	0	31	78	6
0600	4	230	34	65	6
0700	3	300	32	73	5
0800	3	70	31	76	4
0900	3	90	37	60	3
1000	4	190	45	51	2
1100	3	250	50	39	1
1200	4	200	54	34	2
1300	3	260	57	30	2
1400	3	10	59	26	2
1500	4	130	62	24	3
1600	4	130	62	23	3
1700	4	160	60	23	4
1800	4	200	57	27	5
1900	0	0	53	34	6
2000	0	0	49	41	6
2100	0	0	47	47	6
2200	0	0	44	52	6
2300	0	0	42	58	6
2400	0	0	39	65	6



SURFACE WEATHER OBSERVATIONS FOR CHARLOTTE, NC

Day = December 6, 1980

	Wind Speed		Temp.	Humidity	
Hour	(Knots)	Direction	(°F)	(%)	Stability
0100	0	0	41	62	6
0200	3	340	38	70	6
0300	3	190	37	79	6
0400	0	0	37	79	6
0500	0	0	35	82	6
0600	4	50	35	82	6
0700	4	280	35	82	5
0800	4	90	34	84	4
0900	3	290	41	75	3
1000	4	50	50	58	2
1100	3	140	57	44	1
1200	0	0	61	33	1
1300	3	330	64	34	1
1400	3	150	66	26	2
1500	4	140	68	27	2
1600	5	230	68	23	3
1700	6	210	64	31	4
1800	4	220	61	36	5
1900	3	170	59	36	6
2000	4	180	52	51	6
2100	0	0	53	51	6
2200	0	0	47	61	6
2300	0	0	49	57	6
2400	0	0	48	58	6

MIXING HEIGHTS FOR CHARLOTTE, NC DECEMBER 4-6, 1980

1.	Based on Greensboro Rawinsonde Data				
	4th - 7 p.m. (00Z - 5th)	5th - 7 a.m. (122)	5th - 7 p.m. (00Z - 6th)	6th 122	6th (00Z - 7th)
	Based on Adiabatic Inter- section - 670 Meters	Minimum Tx + 5°C 60 Meters	Adiabatic Inter- section - 610 Meters	Minimum Tx + 5°C 60 Meters	Data not provided Estimate based on maximum Tx and 12Z rawinsonde
	Based on Inversion Base (Best) - 670 Meters		Inversion Base - 850 Meters		975 meters.
	Based on Temperature (Tx) at 7 p.m. – near Zero		7 p.m. Tx Near Zero		
11.	Based on Athens Rawinsonde Data				
	4th - 7 p.m. (00Z - 5th)	5th - 7 a.m. (12Z)	5th - 7 p.m. (00Z - 6th)	6th 12Z	6th (002 - 7th)
	Adiabatic Intersection - 50 Meters	Minimum Tx + 5° 60 Meters	Adiabatic Inter- section - 185 Meters	Minimum Tx + 5°C 60 Meters	Data not provided Estimated 1,070 meters.
	Inversion Base (Best) - 730 Meters		Inversion Base (Best) - 850 Meters		
	7 p.m. Tx Near Zero		7 p.m. Tx Near Zero		

Peak 8-hour CO concentrations were measured during the hours of 1700, December 5 through 0100, December 6, 1980. A look at the surface weather observations for these time periods indicate that the wind was calm or exhibited low velocities for most of the time.

CHAPTER 4

MODELING PROCEDURE

Air quality models are widely used to relate air pollutant emissions to ambient air quality concentrations. The implied relationship between CO concentration and traffic is actually the relationship between CO concentration and traffic related CO emissions. In the vicinity of roadways, emission intensity depends upon variables such as traffic volume, emission characteristics of the vehicle fleet, and operating characteristics of the roadways and intersections. CO concentrations are also dependent upon the characteristics of the atmosphere into which the pollutants are emitted. Factors such as atmospheric stability, surface roughness, and wind speed and direction are important variables which control the dispersion of CO emissions from mobile sources.

MODEL SELECTION

There are several air quality models available for predicting ambient CO concentrations. Among those readily available are Intersection-Midblock-Model (IMM), Modified ISMAP, CALINE-2, PAL, HIWAY, APRAC-2, etc. Though all of these models have different computational algorithms, they have the same generic basis, i.e. Gaussian, which is considered to be the state-ofthe-art technique for estimating impacts of nonreactive pollutants such as carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, suspended particulates, etc.

Among these models, only APRAC-2 (Reference 1) and IMM (Reference 2) have built-in traffic models to compute queue lengths and delay times for an intersection. APRAC-2 calculates emission factors according to Supplement 5 of AP-42, which is not the most current methodology available from EPA. IMM includes a more current methodology (MOBILE-1) (Reference 3) to calculate automobile emission factors.

After review of the dispersion models available, it was decided that IMM is the most appropriate for the detailed assessment of CO concentrations in Mecklenburg County. Salient features of the IMM model are described in Appendix B. Several revisions to the IMM model have been made and the latest one available from EPA is IMM-5.

Recently EPA updated automobile emission factors in a computer program called MOBILE-2 (Reference 4). ES revised the IMM-5 model to include MOBILE-2 emission factors. The revised version of the IMM-5 model will be referred to as IMM-6 in this report. Thus, IMM-6 represents the state-ofthe-art for analysis of CO concentrations in the vicinity of roadways. It is a combination of state-of-the-art for emission calculations using the MODAL model (Reference 5) and MOBILE-2, dispersion modeling using HIWAY (Reference 6) and the determination of signal cycle times, delays, and queue lengths through application of traffic engineering principles.

TRAFFIC DATA

Detailed traffic data specific to particular locations being analyzed were provided by the City of Charlotte's Department of Transportation. These included the following:

- o Traffic volume
- o Vehicle speed
- o Traffic signal operation
- o Vehicle mode operation
- Roadway configuration including road alignments, number of lanes, lane width, etc.

A review of the air quality measurements had indicated that there were no violations of the 1-hour CO standard but the 8-hour standard was violated on several occasions. Therefore, it was decided that the analysis be performed for the peak 8-hour period. Consequently, the traffic data used in the analysis refer to the average traffic during the peak 8-hour period.

METEOROLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The important climatological elements governing the dispersion and and dilution of air collutants are wind speed and atmospheric stability. Wind speed determine the rate at which the pollutants are diluted; a strong wind results n a high rate of ventilation and consequently rapid dilution. The stability of the atmosphere determines the rate at which the pollutants are dispersed; large vertical and horizontal wind fluctuations result in a rapid dispersion. The concentration of pollutants at any receptor depends also upon the steadiness and persistence of the wind direction which affects that receptor. These factors, properly combined, are used to estimate the pollutant concentration by use of appropriate dispersion models.

The wind parameters are routinely observed and recorded at National Weather Service First Order Stations and at many military airports. For this study the surface weather data as observed at the Charlotte Airport were obtained. Hourly meteorological data for December 5 and 6, 1980, are shown in Tables 3.3 and 3.4. December 5 and 6 are the days when high CO concentrations were observed at all three monitoring sites.

A look at the monitoring data (see Appendix A) indicates that the peak 8-hour CO levels were observed between 5 p.m. on December 5 and 1 a.m. on December 6 at Park-Woodlawn and Central-Sharon Amity sites. The peak 8-hour value at Federal Reserve was observed from 9 p.m. on December 5 to 5 a.m. on December 6. A review of the meteorological data (see Tables 3.3 and 3.4) for these hours indicate that the winds were mostly calm and exhibited low speeds (1.5 to 2.0 m/sec) for a few hours from a southerly direction.

Since the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for carbon monoxide are 9 ppm, 1-hour and 35 ppm, 8-hour maximums not to be exceeded more than once per year, it is desirable to model for the worst case. Because of the localized nature of the CO problem, the worst condition is defined as the combination of high emission rates and adverse meteorological conditions that results in the maximum ground level concentration. High emission rates are associated with high traffic volumes occurring under congested traffic conditions. Meteorological conditions pertaining to maximum concentrations from ground level sources such as automobiles will be light winds and stable atmospheric conditions. This is also demonstrated by the meteorological data given in Tables 3.3 and 3.4 for the days when high CO concentrations were measured at the monitoring sites in Mecklenburg County.

Though the winds during which peak 8-hour CO levels were observed are mostly calm, none of the available models are able to handle calm conditions. For modeling purposes, a wind speed of 2.0 m/sec and stability class of 6 (very stable) were assumed. Wind directions parallel to the highways usually result in the highest concentrations in case of highways of unlimited lengths. In case of receptors near intersections, maximum concentrations are expected for wind directions from queue lengths towards the receptor, since maximum CO emissions occur from idling and accelerating-decelerating vehicles. Hence, the wind angle was selected depending upon the type of the intersection and the source lengths so as to maximize the predicted concentrations.

There are several other reasons for making these assumptions for the worst meteorological conditions: (1) Wind data are usually avai.able from National Weather Service Stations at an airport which can be qui e distant from the source and the receptor. Microscale circulations near the site can result in a different wind regime than that observed at the airport. This is especially true in the case of CO hot spots which are caused by ground level sources (automobiles) and are located mostly in urban areas surrounded by buildings. (2) Wind speed measuring instruments at most of the airports are not sensitive to low wind speeds. The most commonly used wind speed measurement system is the cup anemometer revolving about a vertical shaft. Friction in these instruments is enough to cause the starting speed to lie in the range of 1 to 2 m/sec (2 to 4 knots). Thus, wind speeds lower than 2 knots could not be accurately measured. (3) Studies (Reference 7) undertaken to determine the heat island effects of cities indicate that at night the average city wind speeds tend to be greater than those of the countryside. Thus, for the nighttime hours when calm conditions were reported at the airport, there could be light winds over the city's build-up areas.

MODELING FOR PEAK 8-HOUR CONCENTRATION

An ideal situation to estimate the 8-hour average concentration would be to model for the 8 consecutive hours during which the maximum concentration was measured. However, in this case 6 hours out of 8-hour peak period were calm and the model is not capable of handling calm conditions. Hence, the model was run using average hourly traffic for the peak 8-hour period. The wind was assumed to be constant for the period. Since an estimate of the 8-hour maximum concentration is desired, the use of constant wind speed and direction over an 8-hour period is a realistic approach.

BACKGROUND CONCENTRATION

Carbon monoxide concentrations occurring in the immediate vicinity of a street or highway are generally considered to be comprised of two components: (1) a concentration directly attributable to the nearby roadways, and (2) a background component that is attributable to other emission sources including more distant roadways. The background concentration is added to the locally generated CO concentration to determine the total concentration at a given receptor. Background concentration is considered to be uniform throughout the area. Generally, the locally generated CO concentration is substantially greater than the background component.

Several methods have been suggested to determine background concentrations. The preferred approach involves long-term monitoring and/or modeling. Such detailed analysis for background concentration was beyond the scope of this study. EPA's <u>Guideline for Review of Indirect Sources</u> (Reference 8) suggested a value of 3.0 to 5.9 mg/m³ for background concentration for the year 1974. An estimate of the background concentration in a large metropolitan area presented in the <u>Hot Spot Guideline</u> is 1.7 to 2.9 mg/m³, normalized to 1982 conditions. Normalizing (Reference 9) this concentration to 1987 emission conditions results in a background concentration of 1.0 to 1.7 mg/m³. For the purposes of this study, the following background concentration for the peak 8-hour period were used:

	Background Conc.
Year	(8-hour Range)
1980	3.0 mg/m^3
1983	2.0 mg/m ³
1987	1.5 mg/m ³

MODEL VALIDATION

In order to provide greater confidence in model predictions, these predictions should be validated by comparing them with observed concentrations. Comparison of observed and predicted concentrations has been attempted using a number of statistical techniques. Normally, simple linear regression analysis is used to quantify such a comparison for annual average concentrations. Since air quality data from only three monitoring sites are available for comparison with model predictions, a regression analysis is not appropriate. Furthermore, such an analysis for short-term concentrations has rarely demonstrated good correspondence between model predictions and observed concentrations.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards for carbon monoxide are 35 ppm, 1-hour and 9 ppm, 8-hour maximums not to be exceeded more than once per year and a review of the ambient air quality monitoring data indicates that the 8-hour standard is more likely to be violated.

For the purpose of comparison, maximum 8-hour concentrations were modeled for 1980 traffic conditions and compared with the maximum observed 8hour concentrations in 1980. Traffic data for the monitoring sites are given in Appendix C and sample computer printouts are given in Appendix D. The meteorological data as discussed earlier in this chapter were used. The comparison is shown in Table 4.1. Model predictions were slightly higher than the observed concentrations at two of the sites whereas the model underpredicted maximum 8-hour concentration at the other (Federal Reserve).

Major traffic parameters affecting the predicted concentrations for the three monitoring sites are shown in Table 4.2. Receptor distance from the nearest lane appears to have the predominant effect on predicted concentrations. This receptor distance for Central-Sharon Amity is 15 feet compared to 27 feet for the other two sites. This accounts for the low measured and modeled concentrations at the Federal Reserve and Park-Woodlawn compared to the high measured and modeled prediction at the Central-Sharon Amity site. Average traffic volume on the street nearest to the receptor is lower for Federal Reserve than that for the other two sites. Volume/capacity ratio is highest for Park-Woodlawn and lowest for Federal Reserve.

TABLE 4.1

	Maximum 8-Hour CO Concentration in mg/m ³		
Monitoring Site	Measured ^a	Modeled ^b	
Federal Reserve	12.3	7.4 ^c	
Park-Woodlawn	12.7	16.3	
Central-Sharon Amity	24.6	27.7	

COMPARISON OF PREDICTED VS. MEASURED CONCENTRATION

^a Maximum 8-hour measured in 1980.

^b Maximum 8-hour predicted using 1980 traffic data and assumed worstcase meteorological conditions and include a background value of 3.0 mg/m³ for the 8-hour period.

^C Traffic data provided for Park-Woodlawn and Central-Sharon Amity were average hourly traffic volumes for the peak 8-hour traffic period. The traffic volumes for the peak 8-hour traffic period for Federal Reserve consisted of three different time periods, namely, 1000 to 1600 hours, 1600 and 1700 hours, and 1700 to 1800 hour. These were modeled individually and weighted average concentration was computed. Same worst meteorological conditions were used for all three time periods.

TABLE 4.2

TRAFFIC PARAMETERS FOR THREE MONITORING SITES

		Monitoring Site	
	Federal		Central-
Parameter	Reserve	Park-Woodlawn	Sharon Amity
Nearest street	South Tryon	West Woodlawn	North-Sharon Amity
Average traffic volume on the nearest street (Veh/hour)	560 (1000-1600) 630 (1600-1700) 470 (1700-1800)	900 (1030-1830)	670 (1100-1900)
Capacity for the nearest street	3000 (1000-1600) 3000 (1600-1700) 4000 (1700-1800)	2900 (1030-1830)	2800 (1100-1900)
Volume/Capacity ratio	0.186 (1000-1600) 0.210 (1600-1700) 0.118 (1700-1800)	0.310 (1030-1830)	0.239 (1100-1900
Receptor distance from nearest street	27 feet	27 feet	15 feet
Receptor distance from intersection	65 feet	114 feet	190 feet

CHAPTER 5

PROJECTED AIR QUALITY

The main purpose of this study was to determine the 1982 and 1987 CO attainment status in Mecklenburg County. For those areas not attaining the CO standards, an I&M program is to be implemented by 1982. To determine the air quality improvements due to the implementation of an I&M program, CO air quality was predicted for the years 1983 (one year after implementation) and 1987 (5 years after implementation). Prediction of CO air quality for the year 1987, without the implementation of the I&M program, was also made. The results are shown in Table 5.1.

As discussed in Chapter 3, the review of the monitoring data indicated no violation of the 1-hour CO standards. Hence, the analysis was restricted to the prediction of 8-hour CO concentrations. The results shown in Table 5.1 indicate that site number 3 (Central-Sharon Amity) will continue to have the potential for violating the 8-hour CO standard even with the implementation of the I&M program.

Model predicted a reduction of 43 to 50% from 1980 to 1987 in CO concentration at the Federal Reserve monitoring site. Though the model underpredicted CO concentration for this site, application of similar reduction to the 1980 measured concentration would indicate that this site would not be in violation of the CO standards in 1987. Model predictions for 1980 for the other two monitoring sites were in good agreement with 1980 measured concentrations. Thus, the 1987 predicted concentrations at these sites are reasonable estimates for the future air quality levels at these locations.

In addition to the analysis for three monitoring sites, air quality analyses were performed for other potential CO hot spots in the area. The results of these analyses are given in Appendix E. The analysis indicated that three additional sites will not attain the CO standards by 1987 even with the benefits of the I&M program. Due to unavailability of data, the effects of growth in traffic volumes and external-based vehicles (not subject to I&M) were not considered. However, the results indicate that approximately 20% growth from 1980 to 1987 in traffic could be accommodated before causing possible violation of CO standards at more than four sites as predicted in this analysis.

TABLE 5.1

	8-Hour CO	O Concentration ^a	(mg/m^3)
Site Number	1983	1987	1987
and Description	with I&M	without I&M	with I&M
1. Federal Reserve	5.4	4.4	3.9
2. Park-Woodlawn	11.7	9.4	8.1
3. Central-Sharon Amity	20.3	16.3	13.9

PREDICTED AIR QUALITY

^a Includes the background concentrations given in Chapter 4.

REFERENCES

- Ludwig, F. L. and R. L. Mancuso, "User's Manual for the APRAC-2 Emissions and Diffusion Model", prepared by Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California for U.S. EPA Region IX, 1977.
- Carbon Monoxide Hot Spot Guidelines, Volume V: User's Manual for Intersection-Midblock-Model, EPA-450/3-78-037, August 1978.
- User's Guide to MOBILE-1 (Mobile Source Emission Model), U.S. EPA, EPA-400/9-78-007, August 1978.
- 4. User's Guide to MOBILE-2 (Mobile Source Emission Model), U.S. EPA, EPA-400/9-78-005, 1981.
- Automobile Exhaust Emissions MODAL Analysis Model, U.S. EPA, EPA-460/ 3-74-005, January 1974.
- User's Guide for HIWAY, a Highway Air Pollution Model, U.S. EPA, EPA-650/4-74-008, February 1975.
- 7. Studies of T. J. Chandler, 1965: The Climate of London, as reported in EPA Publication, "The Climate of the Cities", Office of Air Program Publications, No. AP-49, 3rd Printing, February 1972.
- 8. Guideline for Air Quality Maintenance Planning and Analysis, Volume 9: Evaluating Indirect Sources, U.S. EPA, EPA-450/4-75-001.
- 9. An Assessment of the Potential for Violation of the CO Standards in Northern Virginia in 1982 and 1987, Engineering-Science report submitted to Virginia State Air Pollution Control Board, May 1980.

APPENDIX A

DAYS WITH HIGHEST OBSERVED CO CONCENTRATIONS

Federa	1	Reserve:

January 1980

l hr.	2nd hr.	Max: Max:	8.6 4.8	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	0700-0800 1700-1800 1100-1900 0600-1400	1-3-80 1-7-80 1-7-80 1-3-80
-------	------------	--------------	------------	--	--	--------------------------------------

February 1980

Monitor down entire month.

March 1980

hr. 8 hr.	2nd hr. 2nd	Max: Max: Max: Max:	6.6 4.6	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	1700-1800 1700-1800 1100-1800 1000-1800	3-20-80 3-12-80 3-20-80 3-28-80
1 hr. 8 hr.	hr. 2nd hr.	<u>1980</u> Max: Max: Max: Max:	8.0 6.9 4.7 4.3	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	0800-0900 0700-0800 0700-1500 1000-1800	4-3-80 4-24-80 4-3-80 4-11-80
1 hr. 8	hr. 2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	9.5 6.6	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	2200-2300 0100-0200 2000-0400 2000-0400	5-3-80 5-3-80 5-3-80 5-21-22-80
1 hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max: Max:	6.3 3.8	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	1600-1700 1500-1600 0900-1700 0900-1200	6-24-80 6-3-80 6-16-80 6-3-80
1 hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max: Max:	7.2 5.7	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	2300-2400-0100 0600-0700 0600-1400 2000-0400	7-11-12-80 7-2-80 7-15-30 7-11-12-80
1 1 hr. 8	hr. hr. 2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max: Max: Max:	6.9 6.1 5.0	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	1500-1600-1700 0700-0800 0600-0700 0900-1700 1000-1800	8-15-80 8-6-80 8-7-80 8-15-80 8-15-80

CO

Page 2

September 1	980		
1 hr. 2nd Max:	4.3 mgCO/m^3	1500-1600 0800-0900 0600-1300 0800-1500	9-12-80 9-19-80 9-19-80 9-12-80
October 198	0		
1 hr. 2nd Max:	7.0 mgCO/m ³	0600-0700 0700-0800 0400-1100 0200-1000	10-16-80 10-16-80 10-10-80 10-16-80
November 19	80		
1 hr. 2nd Max:	12.8 mgCO/ m_7^3	0700-0800 2300-2400 2100-0500 1800-0200	11-13-80 11-12-80 11-12-13-80 11-19-20-80
December 19	80		
1 hr. 2nd Max:	21.3 mgCO/m ³ 20.2 mgCO/m ³ 12.3 mgCO/m ³ 9.2 mgCO/m ³		12-5-80 12-5-80 12-5-6-80 12-6-80

January 1980

	11	hr.	Max:	18.3	mgCO/m ³	0800-0900	1-2-80
1	hr. 2			18.0	mgCO/m ³	0800-0900	1-3-80
	81	hr.	Max:	11.2	mgCO/m3	1700-0100	1-15-19-80
8	hr. 3	2nd	Max:	11.0	mgCO/m ³	0900-1700	1-18-80
					•		
	Fel	brua	ry 198	30			
		. –			3		
			Max:	24.1	mgCO/m ³	0800-0900	2-14-80
1	hr.		Max:	17.2	mgCO/m ³	0800-0900	2-15-80
					mgCO/m ³	0200-1000	2-14-80
8	hr.	2nd	Max:	9.0	mgCO/m ³	0600-1400	2-19-80
	Ma	rch	1980				
					-		
	1	hr.	Max:	12.6	mgCO/m ³	0700-0800	3-19-80
1	hr.	2nd	Max:	11.5	mgCO/m ³	1700-1800	3-25-80
	8	hr.	Max:		mgCO/m ³	1300-2100	3-28-80
8	hr.	2nd	Max:	6.7	mgCO/m ³	1300-2000	3-20-80
						1200-2000	3-25-80
	۸n		1980				
	<u> 7</u> P		1980				
	1	hr.	Max:	13.8	mgCO/m ³	0700-0800	4-3-80
1	hr.			13.0	mgCO/m ³	1700-1800	4-21-80
			Max:	8.9	mgCO/m ³	0600-1400	4-3-80
8	hr.	2nd	Max:		mgCO/m ³	1500-2300	4-21-80
					0		
	Ma	<u>y 1</u>	980				
			M		aa 1 3		
			Max:	12.9	mgCO/m ³	0700-0800	5-27-80
1	hr.			12.2	mgCO/m ³	0600-0700	5-5-80
			Max:	1.3	mgCO/m ³	1000-1800	5-9-80
3	hr.	Znd	мах:	7.0	mgCO/m ³	1200-2000	5-8-80
	Ju	ine	1980				
	1	hr.	Max:	11.8	mgCO/m ³	1600-1700	6-26-80
1	hr.	2nd	Max:	10.1	mgCO/m ³	1500-1000	6-26-80
	8	hr.	Max:	8.5	mgCO/m ³	1000-1800	6-26-80
8	hr.	2nd	Max:	6.9	mgCO/m ³	1000-1800	6-25-80
	,		1000				
	Ju	<u>17</u>	1980				
	1	hr.	Max:	11.3	mgCO/m ³	0700-0800	7-24-80
]	hr.				mgCO/m ³	0600-0700	7-29-80
•		hr.			mgCO/m ³	0600-1400	7-24-80
S	hr.				mgCO/m ³	0700-1500	7-24-80
-						0100 1000	, 24-00

Au	igust	: 1980				
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max:	11.3 7.9	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	1600-1700 1600-1700 1200-2000 1100-1900	8-19-80 8-26-80 8-26-80 8-26-80 8-26-80
Se	eptei	nber 1	980			
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	13.0 7.5	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	0800-0900 0700-0800 0600-1400 0700-1500 0900-1700	9-5-80 9-5-80 9-30-80 9-30-80 9-19-80
00	ctob	er 198	0			
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	15.4 8.4	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	0700-0800 0700-0800 0600-1400 0500-1300	10-9-80 10-14-80 10-22-80 10-22-80
No	ovem	ber 19	80			
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max:	22.7 10.8	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	0800-0900 0700-0800 0100-0900 1800-0200	11-14-80 11-20-80 11-20-80 11-14-15-80
D	ecem	ber 19	80			
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	19.5 12.7	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	0800-0900 0700-0800 1700-0100 0100-0900	12-15-80 12-15-80 12-5-6-80 12-6-80

Central:

January 1980

			-			
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max:	14.7 9.3	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	1900-2000 0700-0800 1800-0200 1800-0200	1-15-80 1-2-80 1-15-16-80 1-19-20-80
Fe	ebrua	ary 19	80			
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max:	18.6 9.5	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	0700-0800 0700-0800 1700-0100 1800-0200	2-11-80 2-21-80 2-14-15-80 2-14-15-80
Ma	arch	1980				
1 hr. 8	hr. 2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	14.0 8.2	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	2000-2100 1900-2000 1600-2400 1500-2300	3-15-80 3-15-80 3-15-80 3-15-80
A	pril	1980				
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	11.2 9.0	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	1800-1900-2000 1900-2000 1400-2200 1400-2200	4-18-80 4-10-80 4-18-80 4-3-80
M	ay l	980				
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	11.9 8.3	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	2000-2100 1900-2000 1400-2200 1300-2100	5-30-80 5-30-80 5-30-80 5-23-80
J	une	1980				
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	9.7 6.4	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m3 mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	2000-2100 0600-0700 1400-2200 0600-1400	6-19-80 6-23-80 6-19-80 6-23-80
J	uly	1980				
hr. 8	2nd hr.	Max: Max: Max: Max:	12.0 7.2	mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³ mgCO/m ³	2000-2100 0600-0700 1500-2300 1600-2400	7-18-80 7-31-80 7-18-80 7-18-80

August 19	80		
l hr. Max l hr. 2nd Max	: 9.2 mgCO/m ³	1900-2000 1600-1700 1600-1700-1800 1700-1800 0600-0700	8-29-80 8-29-80 8-30-80 8-20-80 8-27-80
8 hr. Max 8 hr. 2nd Max		1300-2100 1200-2000	8-29-80 8-29-80
September	· 1980		
l hr. Max l hr. 2nd Max 8 hr. Max 8 hr. 2nd Max	: 11.0 mgCO/m ³ : 6.7 mgCO/m ³	1800-1900 0600-0700 1200-2000 1300-2100	9-20-80 9-5-80 9-19-80 9-20-80
October 1	980		
l hr. Max l hr. 2nd Max 8 hr. Max 8 hr. 2nd Max	18.6 mgCO/m^3 (10.2 mgCO/m ³)	0600-0700 0600-0700 1400-2200 1300-2100	10-16-80 10-2-80 10-10-80 10-17-80
November	1980		
l hr. Max l hr. 2nd Max 8 hr. Max S _. hr. 2nd Max	$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & 21.4 \ \text{mgCO/m}^3 \\ & & & 12.7 \ \text{mgCO/m}^3 \end{array}$	0700-0800 0200-0800 1800-0200 1700-0100	11-10-80 11-13-80 11-19-20-80 11-14-15-80
December	1980		
1 hr. Ma; 1 hr. 2nd Ma; 8 hr. Ma; 8 hr. 2nd Ma;	x: 30.4 mgCO/m ³ x: 24.6 mgCO/m ³	1900-2000 2000-2100 1700-0100 1700-0100	12-6-80 12-5-80 12-5-6-80 12-6-7-80

412 :41 ii . ii a Eart

Division of Environmental Management Air Quality Section Air Monitoring Data Sheet (Hourly)

Special Study

/ 	entrel													
1	County No). A	rea Code	Site	No. Insp	ector No.	Signatu	\sim						
	6,0		7,0,	03	2	1		Xat	·····					
2	Time Inte I Hr I 🗡		4 Hr 6 Hr	8 Hr 5 🔲	12 Hr		Polluti 20	оп соде СОН СО	D	03 H	23	L]
3	Year 8,0		,	2	2			E READING	_			10	.,,	
E		Start Hr.	> 00	2	3 02	4	5 04	6 05	7	8 07	9 08	10 09	11	12
4	Month	A.M. Data	0,6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.9	3.4	5.1	4.6	1.7	1.4	1
9	Day O ₁ 1	P.M. Data	3.4	2.3	26	3.1	5.4	16.0	9.1	6.0	5.7	9,7	9.1	4,
	·	Start Hr.	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
-		Start Hr.	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11
	Month	A.M. Data	2_9	0.9	0.6	0,9	0.6	1.4	<u>کہ</u> ر	4,3	7.7	2.6	1.4	1
	Day	P.M. Data	1.4	0.9	2.0	2.0	2.6	3.1	2.3	1.4	1.4	0.6	0.6	0.0
┝		Start Hr	. 12	13	14	15]6	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Γ	Month	Start Hr A.M.	. 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	30	11
	° 112	Data P.M.	0.6	0.6	0,6	0,6	0,6	0.9	2.9	<u>ا ، ۲</u>	2.3	0.9	0.6	Cu
	9 0,3	Data Start Hr	0,6	13	<u>0,9</u>	0.6	16	524	<u>6.0</u> 18	<u>6-3</u>	9_1	21	22]].
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										20			23
	10 Month	Start Hr A.M.	. 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	
	12	Data P.M.	1.1	1.7	1.1	0.9	1_1	1.7	4-6	10.6	11.7	Lat		<u>(</u> a
	1 0.4	Data Start Hr	12 12	13	14	Cal	16	11.2	14,9	19	14.0	21	13.7	23
ŀ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													·
	Month	<u>Start Hr</u> A.M.		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11
	12	Data P M	8.3	6.0	3.4	3.4	3.7	0.9	2.9	7.7	5.4	5.7	2,9	4-
		Data Start Hr	Cal	13	14	10.6	14.0	20.9	18	27.2	30.4	24.1	24.6	23
ļ														
	Month	Start Hr A M	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11
ฟ	14 <u>1</u> 2	Data P.M.	24.3	10.6	8.9	8.9	6.9	7,2	9.7	10.6	9.7	4.9	5.2	6.
	15 0 ₁ 6	Data	6.9	6.3	8.9	8.6	5.4	9.2	26.9	36.9	28.6	19.2	9.7	15.
	Remarks	Start Hr	1?	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	2
	16 Final		Date Oria		Analys	t No Si	gnature		Revie	wed By			Uate	
	17 Analysi	s 10. 10-1-72	Jav L L	1 ^{Yr}								Mo.	Day L L	

NQ-42 Rev. 10-1-72

APPENDIX B

DETAILS OF IMM-6 MODEL

IMM-6

IMM-6 is a modified version of Intersection-Midblock-Model (IMM) designed to estimate the impact of automobile traffic upon carbon monoxide concentration at selected receptor locations. IMM as available from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) includes MOBILE-1 emission factors for highway vehicles and was labeled as IMM-5. IMM-5 includes several revisions since its initial inception. Recently an update of MOBILE-1 was released and is called MOBILE-2. Hence, IMM was modified to include MOBILE-2 emission factors. Thus, IMM-6 presents the stateof-the-art of automobile emission calculations, dispersion model, and determination of signal cycle times, delays, and queue lengths through application of traffic engineering principles.

The model is able to handle receptors located near intersections, at midblock locations and along street canyons. The first part of IMM is devoted to the calculation of average queue lengths and delay times based upon input signalization characteristics, lane volumes, and lane capacities. The queue length and delay times together with input cruise speeds, accelerations, and decelerations are used by the MODAL model for calculation of cruise and excess emissions. These emissions are then corrected for vehicle population characteristics and assigned to traffic links as line source emission rates, which along with receptor locations and meteorological data, are input to a dispersion model for calculation of hourly carbon monoxide concentrations.

The intersection serves as the primary focus for model input and intermediate calculations. As the model now stands, it has the capability of handling a network of up to two intersections. Each intersection is currently restricted to having two signal phases. For each of the two phases per intersection currently allowed in the program, there are two intersection approaches.

Each combination of intersection, phase, and approach uniquely defines a link approaching an intersection. A link is directional; consequently, a two-way street consists of two links. Input parameters for each of these links include traffic volume (vehicle /hour) for the link as a whole, west to east and south to north coordinates (km) of the endpoints of the link center lines, effective emission height (m) for the link, width of the link (m), number of lanes for the link (one, two, or four), cruise speed on the link (mi/hr), deceleration into the queue (mi/ hr/sec) (must be input as a negative acceleration), and acceleration out of the queue (mi/hr/sec). The link centerline endpoints need not be numbered in any particular order since the coordinates of the approximate center of the intersection (a set of variables input earlier) serve to fix the orientation of the link. An option is provided for consideration of the link as a cut section of a specified width (m). The fractional portion of the link emissions assigned to each lane is also input to the program, and used to input into the dispersion submodel; however, the volume of traffic per link used in the calculation of cycle time, green time, delay, and queue length is calculated within the program simply by dividing the total link volume by the number of lanes. For specifying the fractional emissions for each lane within a link, the order of the lanes is from left to right when looking along the link from the first specified endpoint to the second. Finally, an hourly adjustment of the volume on all links is also input to the program.

Parameters for those links not approaching an intersection are input after all the data pertaining to the links that do approach an intersection are read into the program. The same parameters are input for these links except for the accelerations into and out of the queue. Also, only a single vehicle speed is specified. For these links the queue lengths and delay times are set equal to zero by the program. In setting up the coordinates for these two different types of links, the links approaching an intersection should terminate at the intersection stop line while the link not approaching the intersection (leaving the intersection) <u>should</u> originate at the terminus of the first link to ensure that the emissions from the actual intersection are realistic.

EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS

After the link parameters have been input, the emissions for the three different modes (cruise, idle, and acceleration-deceleration) are calculated. The cruise and acceleration-deceleration emissions are calculated by use of the EPA MODAL Analysis Model,¹ parts of which have been incorporated as sub-routines in the IMM. Idle emissions are calculated by use of the MOBILE-2 program.²

Traffic Calculations

Once the cruise, idling, and acceleration-deceleration emissions rates have been determined, the traffic calculations are carried out. If the "free flow" program option has been specified by the user, no traffic calculations are performed and cruise emissions are assumed everywhere. If the signal for a particular intersection is fixed time, then the green time and the cycle time are specified by the user. For a demand actuated signal, these are computed internally by the IMM-6 program.

EMISSION CORRECTION

Since the emissions obtained from the MODAL Analysis Model correspond to 1977 emission rates for light-duty vehicles, corrections must be made to account for the actual calendar year emission rates and the effects of vehicle mix, temperature, altitude, percent cold-start operation, and percent hotstart operation.

The MOBILE-2 program is used to calculate correction factors to accomplish this correction. The MOBILE-2 program allows the correction of idle

cruise, deceleration, and acceleration emissions calculated according to the following equations:

S modified MOBILE-2 composite idle emission factor for specified
EI = Scenario S (i.e., specified year cold starts and hot starts,
 temperature, vehicle mix, etc.)

$$E_{C}^{S} = E_{C} \frac{E_{FTP}}{E_{base}}$$

$$E_{D}^{S} = E_{D} \frac{E_{FTP}}{E_{base}}$$

$$F_{TP}^{S}$$

$$E_{A}^{S} = E_{A} \frac{E_{FTP}}{E_{base}}$$

ੱਸਾਸ

- where E^{base} = the MOBILE-2 composite emission estimate at the average FTP speed for which E_C , E_A , or E_D were calculated and a stabilized 100 percent LDV vehicle mix for 1977 low altitude.
 - S = the MOBILE-2 composite emission estimate for the specified $^{\rm E}{\rm FTP}$ scenario.

These equations multiply the MODAL Model emission estimate by the ratio of two MOBILE-2 emission estimates, one for the scenario of interest and one at the same conditions as the MODAL Model.

Dispersion Calculations

The lane-by-lane emissions for each link are used by the EPA HIWAY $Model^3$ or the Street Canyon $Model^4$ for the calculation of hourly carbon monoxide concentrations at selected receptor locations.

Since the EPA HIWAY Model has been described in detail elsewhere, we will present here only a brief discussion of its operational characteristics. The contribution of each small element of roadway to the concentration at a receptor location is calculated as a function of wind direction, wind speed, and stability by use of the Gaussian plume formula. The contribution of the entire length of the roadway is obtained by line integration of the expression. Since the HIWAY Model was designed originally to accept emissions input for an entire street (both directions of travel), it will accept only the following numbers of lanes: 1, 2, 4, etc. It also requires the input of a median strip width. Since we desired to input data to HIWAY (now a subroutine of the IMM) on a link-by-link basis, the median width was set equal to zero, but the lane number restriction still remained so that three lanes per link could not be used. One way to circumvent this problem is to assume a fourth lane with zero volume and increase the link volume so that the link volume divided by the new number of lanes gives the same volume per <u>lane</u>. This will ensure that the queue lengths and delays will be calculated properly. Then the fraction of link emissions assigned to each lane must be multiplied by the ratio of the number of old lanes to the number of new lanes with the fraction assigned to the new lane equal to zero. This second step will ensure that the emissions per lane will not be affected. In any case, the user must remember to locate the link centerline at the physical centerline of the link. The HIWAY Model will assume that all lanes have the same width and that the width of each lane is equal to the link width divided by the number of lanes. Another complication that may arise is the fact that the IMM requires that each intersection have four approaches: two for each of the two phases. If an actual intersection has fewer than four approaches, then a dummy approach must be specified with a very small volume (i.e., some fraction of a vehicle per hour) or the program will end execution with an error.

The Street Canyon Model is used only for those link-receptor combinations for which the user has indicated a potential of a street canyon, and for which the following test in IMM holds on an hourly basis.

$$H > 7 \sqrt{\frac{KW}{u}}$$

Where: H = building height (m)

- W = street canyon width (m)
- u = wind speed (m/sec)
- $K = turbulent diffusivity (m^2/sec)$

Values for K are 25.5, 5.5, 1.75, 1.0, 0.5, and 0.5 m^2/sec for atmospheric stabilities 1 through 6 (A through F), respectively. Once both of the conditions for a street canyon configuration are met, the concentration assigned to a street canyon receptor will depend upon which side of the street the receptor is located and the direction of the wind with respect to the street orientation. APPENDIX C

TRAFFIC DATA FOR MONITORING SITES

Intersection: Central Ave./Sharon Amity Rd.; X= 0.078 Km;Y= 0.097 Km Phasing: 7-phase full actuated

(Link)			nk		
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(S.A)</u>	E(Cent.)	<u>s(s.a.)</u>	<u>W(Cent.)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.097	0.177	0.065	0.000	Km
Beg.Y	0.197	0.046	0.000	0.137	Km
End X	0.077	0.094	0.079	0.064	Km
End Y	0.116	0.103	0.081	0.099	Кш
Width	7.6	7.4	6.9	7.0	Meters
∜ of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Capacity	2800	2700	2400	2800	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	670	1030	1000	1230	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.088	0.089	0.070	0.069	Km
Beg.Y	0.113	0.084	0.085	0.109	Km
End X	0.107	0.172	0.051	0.004	Кш
End Y	0.195	0.036	0.003	0.146	Кш
Width	7.4	7.1	6.9	6.7	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	4
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	980	1000	950	1000	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X	0.080				Кш
Y	0.155				Km
Z	3				Meters

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODELTime Period:10:00 - 16:00Intersection: First St./Tryon St. (26);X= .083Km;Y= .068KmPhasing: 2-phase, fixed time (coordinated); cycle= 60 sec. (25-First/35 Tryon)

(Link)			nk		
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Tryon)</u>	<u>E(1st)</u>	<u>S(Tryon)</u>	<u>W(1st_)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.178	0.147	0.004	0.000	Кт
Beg.Y	0.148	0.000	0.000	0.156	Km
End X	0.089	0.094	0.076	0.071	Km
End Y	0.076	0.059	0.058	0.077	Km
Width	7.3	5.8	7.3	9.1	Meters
# of Lanes	2	0	2	2	#
Capacity	3000	0	3000	2500	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	0	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	440	0	490	210	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.092	0.094	0.073	0.071	Km
Beg.Y	0.071	0.059	0.063	0.077	Кш
End X	0.182	0.147	0.000	0.000	Кш
End Y	0.144	0.000	0.005	0.156	Km
Width	7.3	5.8	7.3	9.1	Meters
# of Lanes	2	1	2	0	4
Speed Limit	35	35	35	0	m.p.h.
Volume	560	130	450	0	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X	0.190				Km
ү	0.133				Km
Z	3				Meters

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODELTime Period:16:00 - 17:00Intersection: First St./Tryon St. (26);X= .083Km;Y= .068KmKm: 2-phase, fixed time (coordinated); cycle= 90 sec. (31-First/59 Tryon)

(Link) <u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Tryon)	Li <u>E(1st)</u>	nk <u>S(Tryon)</u>	<u>W(1st_)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.178	0.147	0.004	0.000	Km
Beg.Y	0.148	0.000	0.000	0.156	Km
End X	0.089	0.094	0.076	0.071	Km
End Y	0.076	0.059	0.058	0.077	Km
Width	7.3	5.8	7.3	9.1	Meters
₽ of Lanes	2	0	2	2	#
Capacity	3000	0	3000	2500	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	0	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	58ø	٥	590	290	veh/hr.
Exit Link:			v	·	
Beg.X	0.092	0.094	0.073	0.071	Кт
Beg.Y	0.071	0.059	0.063	0.077	Кт
End X	0.182	0.147	0.000	0.000	Кт
End Y	0.144	0.000	0.005	0.156	Кт
Width	7.3	5.8	7.3	9.1	Meters
# of Lanes	2	1	2	0	1
Speed Limit	35	35	35	0	m.p.h.
Volume	640	190	600	0	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:		2			
X	0.190				Km
Y	0.133				Km
Z	3				Meters

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODELTime Period:17:00 - 18:00Intersection: First St./Tryon St. (26);X= .083Km;Y= .068KmKmKm;Y= .068KmPhasing: 2-phase, fixed time (coordinated); cycle= 90 sec. (31-First/59 Tryon)

(Link)			nk			
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Tryon)</u>	<u>E(1st)</u>	<u>S(Tryon)</u>	<u>W(1st)</u>	<u>Units</u>	
Beg.X	0.178	0.147	0.004	0.000	Km	
Beg.Y	0.148	0.000	0.000	0.156	Km	
End X	0.089	0.094	0.076	0.071	Km	
End Y	0.076	0.059	0.058	0.077	Km	
Width	9.1	5.8	9.1	9.1	Meters	
# of Lanes	3	0	3	2	#	
Capacity	4000	0	4000	2500	veh/hr.(Level E)	
Speed Limit	35	0	35	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	600	0	470	410	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.092	0.094	0.073	0.071	Km	
Beg.Y	0.071	0.059	0.063	0.077	Кш	
End X	0.182	0.147	0.000	0.000	Km	
End Y	0.144	0.000	0.005	0.156	Km	
Width	9.1	5.8	9.1	9.1	Meters	
# of Lanes	3	1	3	0	4	
Speed Limit	35	35	35	0	m.p.h.	
Volume	560	280	660	0	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X	0.190				Km	
У	0.133				Km	
Z	3				Meters	

Time Period: 10:00 - 16:00

Intersection: Second St./Tryon St.(26); X= 0.186 Km;Y= 0.152 Km Phasing: 2-phase, fixed time (coordinated); cycle= 60 sec. (25-First/35-Tryon)

(Link)	• <u> </u>				
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Tryon)</u>	<u>E(2nd)</u>	<u>S(Tryon)</u>	<u>W(2nd)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Approach Dink.					
Beg.X	0.271	0.247	0.092	0.097	Km
Beg.Y	0.228	0.092	0.071	0.247	Km
End X	0.192	0.198	0.182	0.176	Km
End Y	0.160	0.142	0.144	0.160	Кт
Width	7.3	5.6	7.3	9.4	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	0	\$
Capacity	3000	2500	3000	0	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	0	m.p.h.
Volume	450	180	560	0	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.196	0.198	0.178	0.176	Km
Beg.Y	0.154	0.142	0.148	0.160	Кш
End X	0.271	0.247	0.089	0.097	Km
End Y	0.215	0.092	0.076	0.247	Km
Width	7.3	5.6	7.3	9.4	Meters
# of Lanes	2	0	2	2	#
Speed Limit	35	0	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	540	0	440	210	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.190		Km
Y			0.133		Km
Z			3		Meters

Time Period: 16:00 - 17:00

Intersection: Second St./Tryon St.(30); X= 0.186 Km;Y= 0.152 Km Phasing: 2-phase, fixed time (coordinated); cycle= 90 sec. (38-Second/52-Tryon)

(Link)					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Tryon)</u>	<u>E(2nd)</u>	<u>S(Tryon)</u>	<u>W(2nd)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.271	0.247	0.092	0.097	Km
Beg.Y	0.228	0.092	0.071	0.247	Km
End X	0.192	0.198	0.182	0.176	Km
End Y	0.160	0.142	0.144	0.160	Кш
Width	7.3	5.6	7.3	9.4	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	0	\$
Capacity	3000	2500	3000	0	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	0	m.p.h.
Volume	470 J	320	630	0	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.196	0.198	0.178	0.176	Km
Beg.Y	0.154	0.142	0.148	0.160	Km
End X	0.271	0.247	0.089	0.097	Km
End Y	0.215	0.092	0.076	0.247	Km
Width	7.3	5.6	7.3	9.4	Meters
# of Lanes	2	0	2	2	+
Speed Limit	35	0	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	630	0	580	190	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.190		Km
Y			0.133		Km
Z			3		Meters

Time Period: 17:00 - 18:00

Intersection: Second St./Tryon St.(30); X= 0.186 Km;Y= 0.152 Km Phasing: 2-phase, fixed time (coordinated); cycle= 90 sec. (38-Second/52-Tryon)

(Link)					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Tryon)</u>	<u>E(2nd_)</u>	<u>S(Tryon)</u>	<u>W(2nd_)</u>	<u>Units</u>
	0 371	0.047	0 000	0.007	¥
Beg.X	0.271	0.247	0.092	0.097	Km
Beg.Y	0.228	0.092	0.071	0.247	Кш
End X	0.192	0.198	0.182	0.176	Km
End Y	0.160	0.142	0.144	0.160	Кт
Width	9.1	5.6	9.1	9.4	Meters
# of Lanes	3	2	3	0	#
Capacity	4000	2500	4000	0	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	0	m.p.h.
Volume	470	250	560	0	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.196	0.198	0.178	0.176	Km
Beg.Y	0.154	0.142	0.148	0.160	Km
End X	0.271	0.247	0.089	0.097	Km
End Y	0.215	0.092	0.076	0.247	Km
Width	9.1	5.6	9.1	9.4	Meters
# of Lanes	3	0	3	2	#
Speed Limit	35	0	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	580	0	590	120	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.190		Кш
Y			0.133		Km
Z			3		Meters

Intersection: Park Rd./Woodlawn Rd.; X= 0.102 Km;Y= 0.107 Km

Phasing: 8-phase fully actuated

(Link)		Link								
Parameter	<u>N(Park</u>)	E(Wood.)	<u>S(Park</u>)	W(Wood.)	Units					
Approach Link:										
Beg.X	0.107	0.208	0.102	0.000	Km					
Beg.Y	0.216	0.103	0.000	0.130	Km					
End X	0.100	0.123	0.104	0.080	Km					
End Y	0.126	0.110	0.090	0.107	Km					
Width	7.0	6.8	7.3	8.1	Meters					
∉ of Lanes	2	2	2	2	4					
Capacity	2900	3000	3000	2900	veh/hr.(Level E)					
Speed Limit	35	35	35	45	m.p.h.					
Volume	750	610	880	900	veh/hr.					
Exit Link:										
Beg.X	0.111	0.126	0.095	0.083	Km					
Beg.Y	0.124	0.097	0.090	0.119	Кш					
End X	0.120	0.209	0.089	0.000	Кш					
End Y	0.215	0.091	0.000	0.138	Km					
Width	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.1	Meters					
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	<i>i</i> }					
Speed Limit	35	35	35	45	m.p.h.					
Volume	840	870	780	650	veh/hr.					
Receptor Location:										
X				0.070	Km					
Y				0.098	Km					
Z				3	Meters					

APPENDIX D

SAMPLE IMM-6 PRINTOUTS

INTERSECTION-MIDBLOCK MODEL, VERSION 3, LEVEL 15

GCA/TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

```
NUMBER OF HOURS FOR THE SIMULATION= 1
NUMBER OF RECEPTURS= 1
NUMBER OF INTERSECTIONS 1
IPRSW1=1
IPRSW3=0
IPRSW4=1
IFLAG5=1
IFLAG6=4
IDIFLG=0
IPRSW8=1
```

FOR INTERSECTION 1 NO FREE FLOW CONDITIONS ASSUMED

INTERSECTION 1 IS CONTROLLED BY A DEMAND ACTUATED SIGNAL

CENTER OF INTERSECTION IS 0.078 KM EAST AND 0.097 KM NORTH

INPUT DATA FOR LINK

X1 = 0.097 Y1 = 0.197 X2 = 0.077 Y2 = 0.116 KM

1

NUMBER OF LANES = 2

FRACTION OF LINK 1 VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50

FRACTION OF LINK 1 VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50

LINK UIDTH = 7.60 METERS EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS

LINK IS AT GRADE

LANE CAPACITY = 2800.0 VEHICLES/HOUR VOLUME = 670.0 VEHICLES/HOUR SPEED INTO INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR SPEED OUT OF INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR ACCELERATION INTO INTERSECTION = -2.50 MI/HR/SEC ACCELERATION OUT OF INTERSECTION = 2.50 MI/HR/SEC

INPUT DATA FOR LINK 2 X1 = 0.117 Y1 = 0.046 X2 = 0.094 Y2 = 0.103 KM NUMBER OF LANES = 2 FRACTION OF LINK 2 VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50

FRACTION OF LINK 2 VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50

LINK WIDTH = 7.40 METERS EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS

LINK IS AT GRADE

LANE CAPACITY = 2700.0 VEHICLES/HOUR VOLUME = 1030.0 VEHICLES/HOUR SPEED INTO INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR SPEED OUT OF INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR ACCELERATION INTO INTERSECTION = -2.50 MI/HR/SEC ACCELERATION OUT OF INTERSECTION = 2.50 MI/HR/SEC

INPUT DATA FOR LINK 3 X1 = 0.065Y1 = 0.0X2 = Y2 = 0.079 0.081 KM NUMBER OF LANES = 2FRACTION OF LINK 3 VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50 FRACTION OF LINK 3 VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50 EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS LINK WIDTH = 6.90 METERS LINK IS AT GRADE LANE CAPACITY = 2400.0 VEHICLES/HOUR VOLUME = 1000.0 VEHICLES/HOUR SPEED INTO INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR SPEED OUT OF INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR ACCELERATION INTO INTERSECTION = -2.50 MI/HR/SEC ACCELERATION OUT OF INTERSECTION = 2.50 MI/HR/SEC INPUT DATA FOR LINK 4 X1 = 0.0Y1 = 0.137X2 = 0.064 Y2 = 0.099 KM NUMBER OF LANES = 2FRACTION OF LINK 4 VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50 FRACTION OF LINK 4 VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50 LINK WIDTH = 7.00 METERS EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS LINK IS AT GRADE LANE CAPACITY = 2800.0 VEHICLES/HOUR VOLUME = 1230.0 VEHICLES/HOUR SPEED INTO INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR SPEED OUT OF INTERSECTION = 45.0 MI/HR ACCELERATION INTO INTERSECTION = -2.50 MI/HR/SEC ACCELERATION OUT OF INTERSECTION = 2.50 MI/HR/SEC

THE FOLLOWING DATA APPLIES TO THOSE LINKS WHICH DO NOT APPROACH ANY INTERSECTION

INPUT DATA FOR LINK 5 X1 = 0.088 Y1 = 0.113 .X2 = 0.107 Y2 = 0.195 KM LINK WIDTH = 7.40 METERS EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS LINK IS AT GRADE NUMBER OF LANES = 2FRACTION OF LINK 5 VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50 FRACTION OF LINK 5 VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50 VOLUME= 980.00 VEHICLES/HOUR SPEED= 45.00 MI/HR INPUT DATA FOR LINK 6 X1 = 0.089 Y1 = 0.084 X2 = 0.172 Y2 = 0.036 KM LINK WIDTH = 7.10 METERS EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS LINK IS AT GRADE NUMBER OF LANES = 2 FRACTION OF LINK 6 VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50 FRACTION OF LINK 6 VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50 VOLUME= 1000.00 VEHICLES/HOUR SPEED= 45.00 MI/HR INPUT DATA FOR LINK 7 X1 = 0.070 Y1 = 0.085 X2 = 0.051 Y2 = 0.003 KM LINK WIDTH = 6.90 METERS EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS LINK IS AT GRADE NUMBER OF LANES = 2FRACTION OF LINK 7 VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50 FRACTION OF LINK 7 VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50 VOLUME= 950.00 VEHICLES/HOUR SPEED= 45.00 MI/HR

INPUT DATA FOR LINK 8

 X1 = 0.069
 Y1 = 0.109
 X2 = 0.004
 Y2 = 0.146 KM

 LINK WIDTH = 6.70 METERS
 EMISSION HEIGHT = 0.0 METERS

 LINK IS AT GRADE

 NUMBER OF LANES = 2

 FRACTION OF LINK & VOLUME ON LANE 1 = 0.50

 FRACTION OF LINK & VOLUME ON LANE 2 = 0.50

 VOLUME= 1000.00 VENICLES/HOUR SPEED=

TOTAL NUMBER OF LINKS IS 8 OF WHICH 4 ARE LINKS WHICH DO NOT APPROACH ANY INTERSECTION DATA FOR RECEPTOR 1

XX= 0.080 YY= 0.155 Z= 3.00

HOURLY RATIOS OF VOLUME TO AVERAGE VOLUME

1.00

INM6 RUN FOR INT #2 - 87 IM (CENTRAL/ SHARON AMITY) TOTAL HC EMISSION FACTORS INCLUDE EVAP. HC EMISSION FACTORS VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 CAL. YEAR: 1987 **REGION: 49-STATE** 5.0/ 5.0/ 5.0 MPH (5.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 0.08 0.12 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/H PROGRAM BEHEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS DEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES 6.68 22.15 TOTAL HC: 6.67 10.60 7.87 15.60 7.39 EXHAUST CO: 103.77 144.91 84.94 403.78 35.01 100.11 110.01 EXHAUST NOX: 2.22 2.43 1.70 7.18 29.63 1.06 3.28 LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC VEH. TYPE: LDV CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 5.0/ 5.0/ 5.0 MPH (5.0) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG `HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 5.61 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.61 0.0 EXHAUST CO: 81.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 81.25 0.0 EXHAUST NOX: 1.56 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.56 CAUTION: IM, TRUCK, OR ALH CORRECTION CALLED FOR IDLE EMISSIONS CALCULATED BY ALTERNATE METHOD IDLING EMISSIONS= 0.1924E+00 GM/VEHICLE/SEC COR.RATIO IS ACCELERATION EMISSIONS DECELERATION EMISSIONS CRUISE EMISSIONS INS PH APP LICE+ CORRECTED RATIO+ CORRECTED RATIO+ CORRECTED RATIO+ VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 REGION: 49-STATE AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 **1/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED.** COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT2 ALL MODES LDT1 HDG HDD MC TOTAL HC: 2.01 3.15 1.91 7.38 3.72 6.10 2.32 EXHAUST CO: 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 20.95 31.45 11.63 EXHAUST NOX: 2.87 2.19 8.46 3.12 18.00 1.12 3.46

VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 0.90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 AC: 0.08 LDGV IVM PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM DENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT2 HDG MC. ALL MODES LDT1 HDD 0.0 0.0 TOTAL HC: 4.89 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 62.95 EXHAUST HOX: 3.70 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.70 0.0 0.0 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BEHEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV ALL MODES LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 1.91 6.10 2.32 TOTAL HC: 2.01 3.15 7.38 3.72 EXHAUST CO: 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 11.63 20.95 31.45 EXHAUST NOX: 2.19 1.12 3.46 2.87 3.12 8.46 18.00 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 REGION: 49-51ATE 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 0.08 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV IZM PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LLVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM JENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 AITENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT2 MC ALL MODES LDT1 HDG HDD TOTAL HC: 4.89 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 EXHAUST NOX: 3.70 0.0 3.70 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 **REGION: 49-STATE** 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUN: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987

ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV ALL MODES LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 1.31 TOTAL HC: 1.14 1.75 1.04 2.10 4.65 4.04 EXHAUST CO: 15.35 21.10 11.77 72.30 6.40 9.93 16.74 EXHAUST NOX: 3.69 2.78 10.09 17.97 4.22 4.00 1.45 HDD MC VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 REGION: 49-LEATE AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAN BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES 0.0 0.0 TOTAL HC: 3.51 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.51 EXHAUST CO: 33.43 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.43 EXHAUST NOX: 4.49 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.49 0.4995+ 2.85909557 0.4995+ 0.275278926 0.5009+ 1 1 + 11.73706251 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV ALL MODES LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC TOTAL HC: 2.01 3.15 1.91 6.10 7.38 3.72 2.32 EXHAUST CO: 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 11.63 20.95 31.45 2.19 EXHAUST NOX: 2.87 3.12 8.46 18.00 1.12 3.46 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) ALL MODES LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC TOTAL HC: 4.89 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 62.95 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

3.70

EXHAUST NOX:

3.70

0.0

VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 0.08 LDGV IVM PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/N PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 2.01 3.15 1.91 7.38 3.72 6.10 2.32 EXHAUST CO: 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 20.95 31.45 11.63 EXHAUST NOX: 2.87 3.12 1.12 3.46 2.19 8.46 18.00 --------------VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 REGION: 49-STATE 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 4.89 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 62.95 EXHAUST NOX: 3.70 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.70 _ _ _ _ _ _ VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) **REGION: 49-STATE** 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0,90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.08 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 IVM IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) 1 DV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 1.14 1.75 1.04 4.65 4.04 2.10 1.31 ,21.10 EXILAUST CO: 15.35 11.77 72.30 6.40 9.93 16.74 EXHAUST NOX: 3.69 4.00 2.78 10.09 17.97 1.45 4.22 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 CAL. YEAR: 1977 REGION: 49-STATE 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987

ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) L DV LDT2 HDG HDD MC . ALL MODES LDT1 **TOTAL HC:** 3.51 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.51 33.43 EXHAUST CO: 33.43 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.49 EXHAUST NOX: 4.49 0.0 0.0 1 2 + 11.7370625 0.4995+ 2.85909557 0.4995+ 0.275278926 0.5009 +1 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 CAL. YEAR: 1937 TEMP: 49.0(F) **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) MC LDV LDT2 HDG ALL MODES LDT1 HDD TOTAL HC: 2.01 3.15 1.91 7.38 3.72 6.10 2.32 EXHAUST CO: 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 11.63 20.95 31.45 2.19 1.12 EXHAUST NOX: 2.87 3.12 8.46 18.00 3.46 -----VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.08 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV MC LDTI LDT2 HDG HDD ALL MODES 0.0 TOTAL HC: 4.89 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 62.95 EXHAUST NOX: 3.70 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.70 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDÐ MC TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 CAL. YEAR: 1987 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 REGION: 49-STATE AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 80.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT2 IIDG HDD MC ALL MODES LDT1 TOTAL HC: 2.01 3.15 1.91 7.38 3.72 6.10 2.32 EXHAUST CO: 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 11.63 20.95 31.45

2.19

8.46

18.00

3.12

1.12

3.46

EXHAUST NOX:

2.87

LDT1 LDT2 HDG VEH. TYPE: LDV HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 REGION: 49-STATE 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 80.0 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BEHEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 4.89 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 62.95 EXHAUST NOX: 3.70 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.70 _ _ _ _ _ _ VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1937 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 0.08 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES 1/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 1.14 1.75 1.04 4.04 2.10 4.65 1.31 EXHAUST CO: 16.74 15.35 21.10 11.77 72.30 6.40 9.93 4.00 EXHAUST NOX: 3.69 2.78 10.09 17.97 4.22 1.45 -----VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC TEMP: 75.0(F) CAL. YEAR: 1977 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 80.0 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BEHEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV HDG ALL MODES LDT1 LDT2 HDD MC TOTAL HC: 3.51 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.51 33.43 EXHAUST CO: 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.0 33.43 EXHAUST NOX: 4.49 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.49 2 1 + 11.7370625 0.4995+ 2.85909557 0.4995+ 0.275278926 0.5009+ 1 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) REGION: 49-STATE 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES

I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 2.01 3.15 1.91 7.38 3.72 6.10 2.32 20.95 31.45 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 11.63 EXHAUST CO: 2.19 1.12 3.46 EXHAUST HOX: 2.87 3.12 8.46 18.00 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 4.89 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 62.95 EXHAUST HOX: 3.70 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.70 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 REGION: 49-STATE 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 · STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BEHEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDII LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES 2.01 3.15 1.91 7.38 6.10 2.32 **TOTAL HC:** 3.72 EXHAUST CO: 29.39 40.55 22.84 123.38 11.63 20.95 31.45 1.12 EXHAUST NOX: 2.87 3.12 2.19 8.46 18.00 3.46 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 22.5/22.5/22.5 MPH (22.5) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 80.0 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDT2 HDG MC ALL MODES L D V LDT1 HDD TOTAL HC: 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.89 4.89 0.0 EXHAUST CO: 62.95 0.0 62.95 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

0.0

0.0

3.70

EXHAUST NOX:

3.70

0.0

0.0

0.0

VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 REGION: 49-STATE 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROCRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES 1.75 TOTAL HC: 1.14 1.04 4.04 2.10 4.65 1.31 EXHAUST CO: 15.35 21.10 11.77 72.30 9.93 16.74 6.40 EXHAUST NOX: 3.69 4.00 2.78 10.09 17.97 1.45 4.22 ----_____ VEH. TYPE: LDV HDD MC LDT1 LDT2 HDG TEMP: 75.0(F) CAL. YEAR: 1977 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90' XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR; 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE ENISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDTI LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES **IOTAL HC:** 3.51 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.51 0.0 EXHAUST CO: 33.43 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.43 EXHAUST NOX: 0.0 4.49 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.49 1 2 2 + 11.7370625 0.4995+ 2.85909557 0.4995+ 0.275278926 0.5009+ VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 REGION: 49-STATE 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 0.08 0.14 TRAILR: LDGV IZM PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES IVM PROGRAM DEMEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDTI LDT2 MC ALL MODES HDG HDD TOTAL HC: 1.14 1.75 1.04 4.04 2.10 4.65 1.31 EXHAUST CO: 21.10 11.77 9.93 16.74 15.35 72.30 6.40 EXHAUST NOX: 4.00 2.78 10.09 17.97 4.22 3.69 1.45 VEIL. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP: 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 **REGION: 49-STATE** 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES

I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HĐG HDD MC ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 3.51 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.51 33.43 EXHAUST CO: 33.43 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.49 EXHAUST NOX: 4.49 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) REGION: 49-STATE 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 0.08 LDGV I/M PROCRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH. TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDTI LDT2 HDG HDD MC 'ALL MODES TOTAL HC: 1.14 1.75 1.04 4.04 2.10 4.65 1.31 4 EXHAUST CO: 15.35 21.10 11.77 72.30 6.40 9.93 16.74 EXHAUST NOX: 3.69 4.00 2.78 10.09 17.97 1.45 4.22 VEH. TYPE: LDV LD ² HDG HDD MC CAL. YEAR: 1977 TEMP; 75.0(F) 1.000/0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 REGION: 49-STATE 45.0/45.0/45.0 NPH (45.0) 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0 AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 0.08 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/M PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC ALL MODES 0.0 TOTAL HC: 3.51 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.51 EXHAUST CO: 33.43 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.43 EXHAUST NOX: 4.49 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.49 MC VEH. TYPE: LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD CAL. YEAR: 1987 TEMP: 49.0(F) 0.729/0.077/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 45.0/45.0/45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0/ 27.0/ 21.0 REGION: 49-STATE AC: 0.90 XLOAD: 80.0 0.12 0.14 TRAILR: 0.09 ABSHUM: 43.00 LDGV I/M PROGRAM STARTING IN 1982 STRINGENCY LEVEL 25% MECH.TRAINING: YES I/N PROGRAM BENEFITS APPLY ONLY TO MODEL YEARS 1975 THROUGH 1987 ATTENTION: THE DEFAULT VALUE OF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY 4 I/M IDENTIFICATION RATE HAS BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED. COMPOSITE EMISSION FACTORS (GM/MILE) ALL MODES LDV LDT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 4.65 1.75 TOTAL HC: 1.14 1.04 4.04 2.10 1.31 EXHAUST CO: 16.74 15.35 21.10 11.77 72.30 6.40 9.93

4.22

1.45

4.00

2.78

10.09

17.97

3.69

EXHAUST NOX:

CAL. YEAR: 1977 Region: 49-5ta	7 TEMP; 75.0 [E 45.0/45.0/	VEH. TYPE: LDV LD D(F) 1.000/0.0 /45.0 MPH (45.0) 0.0	DT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 0 0.0/ 0.0
LDGV I/M PROGRA STRINGENCY LEVI I/M PROGRAM BE: Attention: The	MA STARTING IN 1 EL 25% MECH.TRA HEFITS APPLY ONI DEFAULT VALUE (1982	(4
TOTAL HC: Exhaust co: Exhaust Nox:	COMPOSITE LDV LDT1 3.51 0.0 33.43 0.0 4.49 0.0	E EMISSION FACTORS (G LDT2 HDG 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	GM/MILE) HDD MC ALL MODES 0.0 0.0 3.51 0.0 0.0 33.43 0.0 0.0 4.49
CAL. YEAR: 1987 Region: 49-stat	7 TEMP: 49.0 E 45.0/45.0/	VEH. TYPE: LDV LD)(F) 0.729/0.0 /45.0 MPH (45.0) 21.0	DT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC 77/0.046/0.042/0.033/0.009 0/ 27.0/ 21.0
LDGV I/M PROGRA STRINGENCY LEVE I/M PROGRAM BEI ATTENTION: THE I/M IDENTIFIC	AM STARTING IN 1 FL 25% MECH.TRA (EFITS APPLY ONL DEFAULT VALUE (CATION RATE HAS	1982 INING: YES LY TO MODEL YEARS 197 DF 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED.	4
TOTAL HC: Exhaust co: Exhaust nox:	COMPOSITE LDV LDT1 1.14 1.75 15.35 21.10 3.69 4.00	E EMISSION FACTORS (G LDT2 HDG 1.04 4.04 11.77 72.30 2.78 10.09	M/MILE) HDD MC ALL MODES 2.10 4.65 1.31 6.40 9.93 16.74 17.97 1.45 4.22
CAL. YEAR: 1977 Region: 49-stat	TEMP: 75.0 E 45.0/45.0/	VEH. TYPE: LDV LD)(F) 1.000/0.0 /45.0 MPH (45.0) 0.0	DT1 LDT2 HDG HDD MC /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 /0.0 / 0.0/ 0.0
LDGV I/M PROGRA STRINGENCY LEVE I/M PROGRAM BEI Altention: The I/M Identific	AN STARTING IN 1 L 25% MECH.TRAI HEFITS APPLY ONL DEFAULT VALUE C ATION RATE HAS	982 HING: YES Y TO MODEL YEARS 197 F 50% FOR TECHNOLOGY BEEN LEFT UNCHANGED.	4
TOTAL IIC: Exhaust co: Exhaust nox:	COMPOSITE LDV LDT1 3.51 0.0 33.43 0.0 4.49 0.0	E EMISSION FACTORS (G LDT2 HDG 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	M/MILE) HDD MC ALL MODES 0.0 0.0 3.51 0.0 0.0 33.43 0.0 0.0 4.49

HOUR	W DIR	SPEED	HEIGHT	STAB	TEMP	нот	COLD	LINK	VOL												
	(DEG)	(M/S)	(M)	CLAS	(DEG)	START	START	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	180.	2.00	60.	6	49.	27.0	21.0	670.1	030.	1000. 1	230.	0.	Ο.	Ο.	0.	980.	1000.	950.	1000.	0.	θ.

TRAFFIC OPERATIONS SUMMARY:

INS	РН	APP	LNK	+	SIG	NQND	LANE VOL	CAP	GAP	CY	G +	DELAY	QUEUE(V)	QUEUE(DIS) +
1	1	1	1	+	1	0	335.0	2800.	Ο.	39.	15. +	9.8	3.0	23.8 +
1	1	2	2	+	1	0	515.0	2700.	0.	39.	15. +	12.4	5.2	41.5 +
1	2	1	3	÷	1	0	500.0	2400.	0.	39.	18. +	10.0	4.5	36.3 +
1	2	2	4	+	1	0	615.0	2800.	Ο.	39.	18. +	9.9	5.5	44.4 +

PSEUDOLINK EMISSION RATE CALCULATION SUMMARY:

+	LINK	EXCESS EMISSIONS	IDLE EMISSIONS +	PSEUDOLINK + LINK
INS PH APP +	DIST AC DC CRUISE	TOTAL DIS RATE	TOTAL DIS RATE +	DIST RATE NUM + AND EMISSION RATE
+	(11) (G/M-S) (G/M-S) (G/S) (M)(G/M~S)	(G/S) (M)(G/H-S) +	(M) (G/M-S) + LANE (G/M-S)
**********	* * * • * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****************	***********************	· * * · * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
+			+	+
1 1 1 +	83.4 0.002923 0.000992	0.7340 380.2 0.0019	0.3502 23.8 0.0147 +	40.0 0.02711 9 +
1 1 2 +	61.5 0.005106 0.001733	1.2824 380.2 0.0034	0.6802 41.5 0.0164 +	41.5 0.04728 10 +
1 2 1 +	82.2 0.004468 0.001517	1.1222 380.2 0.0030	0.5322 36.3 0.0147 +	40.0 0.04136 11 +
122+	74.4 0.005458 0.001853	1.3708 380.2 0.0036	0.6519 44.4 0.0147 +	44.4 0.04559 12 +
**********	÷÷è}+ ÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷	******	**********************	• * * · • * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

HOUR 1 C Receptor Number	1	2	3	4	5	6		8	co 9	NTRIE 10	BUTION 11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	****TOTAL*** Mg/N**3 PPM
1	0.43	0.00	0.82	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.09	0.00	4.60	0.06	6.33	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.40
	FINAL	CONTR	IBUTIC	INS AI	10 CON	ICENTR	ATION	 S															
RECEPTOR									CO		AOITUE			•									
NUMBER	1	2	3	4		6	 7		CO 9	NTRIE 10	3UTION 11	FR01 12	1 LINI 13	(15	 16	17	18	 19	20	21	22	****TOTAL*** Mg/N**3 PPM

APPENDIX E

ADDITIONAL HOT SPOT ANALYSIS

APPENDIX E

In addition to the air quality analyses for three monitoring sites, 26 other intersections were analyzed for possible violation of the CO standards. These intersections to be analyzed were selected by the City of Charlotte, Department of Transportation on the basis of traffic volumes.

These intersections were first analyzed for 1983 conditions with the benefits of I&M programs. Those intersections with 1983 projected air quality substantially higher than the standards were analyzed for 1987 conditions. The results are summarized in Table E.1, and the traffic parameters for these intersections used in the analysis are given in this Appendix.

The results indicate possible violation of the CO standard in 1987 at three sites as listed below.

- 1. Albemarle-Sharon Amity
- 2. Independence-Sharon Amity
- 3. Idlewild-Independence

TABLE E.1

PROJECTED AIR QUALITY^a

		8-Hour CO	(mg/m ³)
	Site Description	1983	1987
	(Intersection Number)	With I&M	With I&M
1.	Albemarle-Sharon Amity (444)	15.2	10.4
2.	Eastway-Monroe (474)	9.1	
3.	Central-Kilborne (458)	11.0	~~~~
4.	Rama-Sardis (494)	9.1	
5.	Providence-Queens (506)	4.9	
6.	Providence-Sharon Amity (509)	9.0	
7.	Fairview-Park (657)	7.3	
8.	Providence-Wendover (508)	9.9	
9.	Independence-Sharon Amity (446)	15.6	10.8
10.	Fairview-Providence (510)	10.5	
11.	Central-Eastway (457)	11.6	
12.	Sugar Creek-Tryon (212)	5.4	
13.	Tryon-Orr (283)	3.8	
14.	Idlewild-Lawyers (553)	2.4	
15.	Archdale-South Boulevard (628)	8.8	
16.	South Boulevard-Tyvola (625)	8.4	
17.	Graham-I-85 (262)	8.4	
18.	Lasalle-I-77 (901)	5.1	
19.	Independence-Seventh (414)	6.5	
20.	Brookshire-I-85 (875)	4.7	
21.	I-85-Sugar Creek (229)	10.4	
22.	Hawthorne-Independence (421)	8.1	
23.	Central-Hawthorne (422)	4.5	
24.	Freedom-Ashley (852)	2.3	
25.	Idlewild-Independence (448)	15.9	11.2
26.	Beatties Ford-Rozzelles Ferry (813)	12.1	

^a Includes background of 1.5 mg/m³ and 2.0 mg/m³ for 1987 and 1983, respectively.

Intersection: Albemarle/Sharon Amity (444); X= 0.141 Km;Y= 0.132 Km

Phasing: 5-phase full actuated

(Link)		Li	.nk		
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(S.A.)</u>	<u>E(Albe.)</u>	<u>s(s.a.)</u>	W(Albe.)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.142	0.228	0.141	0.050	Кш
Beg.Y	0.245	0.180	0.023	0.092	Km
End X	0.136	0.157	0.146	0.124	Km
End Y	0.150	0.147	0.114	0.117	Km
Width	7	7.5	6.5	7	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Capacity	3000	300 0	2800	3000	
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	840	830	1140	920	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.147	0.156	.0.135	0.121	Кш
Beg.Y	0.152	0.134	0.114	0.130	Km
End X	0.154	0.232	0.131	0.046	Km
End Y	0.250	0.170	0.018	0.093	Кш
Width	6	7.5	7	8	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	990	1100	970	660	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X		0.199			Km
Y		0.184			Km
Z		3			Meters

Intersection: Independence/Sharon Amity (446) X= 0.092 Km;Y= 0.105 Km Phasing: 8-phase full actuated

(Link) <u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(S. A.)</u>		nk <u>S(S. A.)</u>	W(Indep)	Units
Beg.X	0.157	0.191	0.038	0.000	Кш
Beg.Y	0.203	0.000	0.009	0.202	Km
End X	0.100	0.110	0.082	0.072	Km
End Y	0.132	0.100	0.079	0.111	Кш
Width	8	12	8	12	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	2	3	\$
Capacity	3100	4600	3100	4600	
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	740	1400	740	1430	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.110	0.110	0.071	0.072	Km
Beg.Y	0.124	0.084	0.086	0.128	Km
End X	0.175	0.160	0.022	0.021	Кт
End Y	0.202	0.002	0.010	0.212	Km
Width	7	12	7	12	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	2	3	#
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	960	1590	660	1100	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.084		Km
Y			0.052		Km
Ζ			3		Meters

Intersection: Idlewild/Independence (448)

X= 0.552 Km;Y= 0.130

30 Km

Phasing: 7-phase, full actuated

(Link)	<u></u>	Link					
Parameter	<u>N(Indep)</u>	<u>E(Idlwd)</u>	<u>S(Indep)</u>	<u>W(Idwld)</u>	<u>Units</u>		
Approach Link:							
Beg.X	0:446	0.655	0.663	0.102	Кш		
Beg.Y	0.203	0.142	0.055	0.081	Кш		
End X	0.529	0.579	0.579	0.532	Кш		
End Y	0.138	0.135	0.123	0.124	Кш		
Width	11	6	11	6.5	Meters		
# of Lanes	3	2	3	2	4		
Capacity	6000	2800	4500	2900	veh/hr.(Level E)		
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.		
Volume	1520	430	1160	600	veh/hr.		
Exit Link:							
Beg.X	0.552	0.587	0.552	0.522	Кт		
Beg.Y	0.145	0.129	0.119	0.132	Кш		
End X	0.456	0.655	0.652	0.092	Кш		
End Y	0.223	0.136	0.038	0.087	Кш		
Width	11	4	12	4	Meters		
# of Lanes	3	1	3	1	4		
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.		
Volume	1320	540	1430	430	veh/hr.		
Receptor Location:							
X				0.475	Кш		
Y				0.152	Km		
2				3	Meters		

Intersection: Eastway/Monroe/Wendover (474); X= 0.124 Km;Y= 0.101 Km

(Link)	<u></u>	Li	nk		
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(East.)</u>	<u>E(Mon.)</u>	<u>S(Wend.)</u>	<u>W(Mon.</u>)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.121	0.220	0.115	0.018	Km
Beg.Y	0.204	0.105	0.002	0.097	Km
End X	0.118	0.139	0.127	0.106	Km
End Y	0.115	0.106	0.086	0.096	Km
Width	9	8	7	7	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Capacity	3100	3100	3000	3000	
Speed Limit	45	45	35	45	m.p.h.
Volume	840	770	750	910	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.131	0.139	<u>0.117</u>	0.107	Кш
Beg.Y	0.115	0.095	0.088	0.107	Кш
End X	0.134	0.220	0.105	0.018	Km
End Y	0.204	0.094	0.002	0.106	Km
Width	9	7	6	8	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Speed Limit	45	45	35	45	m.p.h.
Volume	940	980	680	670	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X		0.178			Km
Y		0.118			Кш
Z		3			Meters

Intersection: Central/Kilborne/Norland (458); X= 0.132 Km;Y= 0.090 Km Phasing: 7-phase full actuated

(Link) <u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Kilb.)		nk <u>S(Norl.)</u>	W(Cent.)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.196	0.260	0.060	0.001	Кт
Beg.Y	0.195	0.049	0.001	0.141	Km
End X	0.140	0.147	0.128	0.113	Km
End Y	0.107	0.088	0.076	0.091	Кш
Width	3	6	3	6	Meters
# of Lanes	1	2	1	2	#
Capacity	1400	2800	1400	2800	
Speed Limit	35	40	35	40	m.p.h.
Volume	380	860	250	980	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.148	0.140	.0.121	0.119	Кш
Beg.Y	0.104	0.079	0.079	0.100	Кш
End X	0.200	0.264	0.058	0.014	Кш
End Y	0.200	0.039	0.007	0.146	Km
Width	4	6	4	6	Meters
# of Lanes	1	2	1	2	#
Speed Limit	35	40	35	40	m.p.h.
Volume	390	1160	180	730	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X				0.084	Кш
Y				0.090	Km
Z				3	Meters

X= 0.111 Km;Y= 0.090

Km

Intersection: Rama/Sardis Data (494);

(Link)		Li	.nk		
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Rama.)</u>	<u>E(Sard.)</u>	<u>s()</u>	<u>W(Sard.)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.163	0.190		0.013	Km
Beg.Y	0.198	0.043		0.142	Km
End X	0.112	0.122		0.094	Km
End Y	0.102	0.086		0.094	Km
Width	6	3		3	Meters
# of Lanes	2	1	0	1	#
Capacity	2800	1400	Ô	1400	
Speed Limit	35	45	0	45	m.p.h.
Volume	380	350	0	780	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.117	0.120	0:105	0.100	Km
Beg.Y	0.099	0.079	0.082	0.100	Km
End X	0.167	0.188	0.066	0.016	Km
End Y	0.194	0.039	0.021	0.148	Km
Width	3	4	7	3	Meters
f of Lanes	1	1	2	ì	\$
Speed Limit	35	45	25	45	m.p.h.
Volume	450	500	60	520	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X				0.046	Km
Y				0.102	Km
Z				3	Meters

RECEIVED

APR 1 3 1981

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODEL	ENLINGIANO COLUMPIne	Period:	10:00 - 18:00	
Intersection: Providence/Que	eens (506) X	= 0.120	Km;Y= 0.096	Кш

(Link)			.nk		
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Prov.)</u>	E(Prov.)	<u>S(Quen.)</u>	W(Quen.)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.149	0.192	0.093	0.045	Km
Beg.Y	0.200	0.000	0.000	0.140	Km
End X	0.117	0.136	0.115	0.099	Km
End Y	0.115	0.084	0.068	0.099	Кш
Width	4	6.5	6	6.5	Meters
# of Lanes	1	2	2	2	#
Capacity	1500	2800	2800	2800	
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	810	700	540	630	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.125	0.130	Q.100	0.106	Кш
Beg.Y	0.108	0.078	0.072	0.111	Km
End X	0.154	0.185	0.075	0.055	Km
End Y	0.200	0.000	0.000	0.150	Кт
Width	6.5	7	6.5	6	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	4
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	760	850	600	460	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X	0.111				Km
Y	0.149				Km
Z	3				Meters

Intersection: Providence/Sharon Amity (509) X= 0.115 Km;Y= 0.121 Km

(Link)	<u></u>	Link				
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(S.A.</u>)	E(Prov.)	<u>S(Shar.)</u>	W(Prov.)	<u>Units</u>	
•••						
Beg.X	0.250	0.185	0.000	0.044	Кш	
Beg.Y	0.177	0.000	0.055	0.221	Km	
End X	0.127	0.128	0.104	0.103	Кш	
End Y	0.132	0.111	0.111	0.131	Km	
Width	6	7	7	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#	
Capacity	2800	3000	3000	3000		
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.	
Volume	710	550	650	800	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.131	0.118	D.100	0.113	Km	
Beg.Y	0.123	0.106	0.120	0.136	Кш	
End X	0.250	0.173	0.000	0.048	Km	
End Y	0.170	0.000	0.062	0.235	Km	
Width	5	7	6	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#	
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.	
Volume	790	750	580	590	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X		0.155			Кш	
Y		0.092			Km	
Z		3			Meters	

X= 0.106 Km;Y= 0.114 Km

Intersection: Fairview/Park (657)

(Link)		Link				
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Drwy.)</u>	<u>E(Fair.)</u>	<u>S(Park</u>)	<u>W(Park.)</u>	<u>Units</u>	
Appi datin Link.						
Beg.X	0.112	0.222	0.099	0.000	Кт	
Beg.Y	0.159	0.114	0.000	0.105	Km	
End X	0.102	0.120	0.107	0.093	Кш	
End Y	0.130	0.120	0.101	0.111	Km	
Width	7	7	7	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#	
Capacity	3000	3000	3000	3000		
Speed Limit	25	35	45	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	90	1080	620	1320	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.109	0.120	0.100	0.094	Km	
Beg.Y	0.129	0.110	0.100	0.122	Km	
End X	0.118	0.223	0.095	0.000	Кш	
End Y	0.157	0.106	0.000	0.116	Кш	
Width	4	7	3	6	Meters	
# of Lanes	1	2	1	2	#	
Speed Limit	25	35	45	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	60	1340	580	1120	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X				0.056	Km	
Y				0.086	Кш	
Z				3	Meters	

Intersection: Providence/Wendover (508) X= 0.080 Km;Y= 0.087 Km

(Link)		Link				
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Wend.)</u>	E(Prov.)	<u>S(Wend.)</u>	W(Prov.)	<u>Units</u>	
Beg.X	0.183	0.188	0.000	0.007	Km	
Beg.Y	0.201	0.000	0.009	0.201	Km	
End X	0.087	0.094	0.071	0.066	Km	
End Y	0.102	0.081	0.074	0.094	Km	
Width	7	7	7.5	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#	
Capacity	3000	3000	3100	3000		
Speed Limit	35	40	35	40	m.p.h.	
Volume	530	1040	640	940	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.094	0.085	0.065	0.074	Km	
Beg.Y	0.094	0.073	0.083	0.102	Кш	
End X	0.193	0.171	0.000	0.019	Km	
End Y	0.202	0.000	0.025	0.201	Кт	
Width	7	7	7.5	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#	
Speed Limit	35	40	35	40	m.p.h.	
Volume	640	850	550	1120	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X		0.120			Km	
Y		0.077			Кш	
Z		3			Meters	

19400

Intersection: Fairview/Providence/Sardis (510)	X= 0.109	Km;Y= 0.095	Km

(Link)		. •			
Parameter	N(Prov.)	E(Sard.)	<u>S(Prov.)</u>	W(Fair.)	<u>Units</u>
Approach Link:	•				
Beg.X	0.006	0.158	0,199	0.070	Km
Beg.Y	0.201	0.201	0.000	0.000	Кш
End X	0.087	0.115	0.124	0.103	Km
End Y	0.109	0.116	0.086	0.068	Km
Width	7	7	7	7	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Capacity	3000	3000	3000	3000	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	740	680	510	1050	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.094	0.125	0.119	0.094	Km
Beg.Y	0.117	0.109	0.075	0.074	Km
End X	0.013	0.171	0.186	0.057	Km
End Y	0.201	0.201	0.000	0.000	Km
Width	7	7	7	6	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Speed Limit	45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume	540	820	840	780	veh/hr
Receptor Location:		-			
X				0.148	Km
Y				0.076	Кш
Z				3	Meters

Time Period: 11:00 - 19:00

Intersection: Central/Eastway (457)

X= 0.133 Km;Y= 0.117 Km

(Link)					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Eway.)</u>	E(Cent.)	<u>S(Eway.)</u>	W(Cent.)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.153	0.258	0.113	0.000	Km
Beg.Y	0.247	0.094	0.000	0.146	Кш
End X	0.129	0.149	0.136	0.114	Km
End Y	0.134	0.118	0.098	0.115	Km
Width	7	· 7	8	7	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	4
Capacity	3000	3000	3100	3000	• . •
Speed Limit	45	40	45	40	m.p.h.
Volume	950	780	1020	990	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.142	0.148	0.123	0.116	Km
Beg.Y	0.131	0.106	0.102	0.127	Km
End X	0.163	0.256	0.102	0.000	Km
End Y	0.245	0.079	0.000	0.156	Km
Width	7	7	8	7	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	4
Speed Limit	45	40	45	40	m.p.h.
Volume	980	980	1000	790	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X				0.074	Кт
Y				0.115	Km
Z				3	Meters

Time	Period:	11:00 -	19:00	

Km

X= 0.109 Km;Y= 0.138

Intersection: Sugar Creek/Tryon (212)

•		·		
N(S. C.)	E(Tryon)	<u>S(S. C.)</u>	W(Tryon)	<u>Units</u>
0.091	0.250	0.139	0.012	Km
0.266	0.158	0.000	0.086	Km
0.101	0.127	0.119	0.086	Km
0.159	0.150	0.120	0.124	Km
7	7	7	7	Meters
2	2	2	2	₽
3000	3000	3000	3000	
45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
1060	980	910	1100	veh/hr.
0.112	0.130	0.108	0.082	Km
0.160	0.137	0.118	0.136	Km
0.102	0.250	0.128	0.010	Кш
0.268	0.147	0.000	0.098	Km
7	11	7	7	Meters
2	3	2	2	Ø
45	45	45	45	m.p.h.
1010	1230	880	930	veh/hr.
0.102				Km
0.144				Km
3				Meters
	0.091 0.266 0.101 0.159 7 2 3000 45 1060 0.112 0.160 0.102 0.268 7 2 45 1010 0.102 0.268	N(S. C.) $E(Tryon)$ 0.0910.2500.2660.1580.1010.1270.1590.150772230003000454510609800.1120.1300.1600.1370.1020.2500.2680.147711234545101012300.1020.1020.1020.144	0.0910.2500.1390.2660.1580.0000.1010.1270.1190.1590.1500.12077722230003000300045454510609809100.1120.1300.1080.1600.1370.1180.1020.2500.1280.2680.1470.0007117232454545101012308800.1020.144	N(S. C.)E(Tryon)S(S. C.)W(Tryon)0.0910.2500.1390.0120.2660.1580.0000.0860.1010.1270.1190.0860.1590.1500.1200.12477772222300030003000300045454545106098091011000.1120.1300.1080.0820.1600.1370.1180.1360.1020.2500.1280.0100.2680.1470.0000.09871177232245454545101012308809300.1020.2020.1020.1020.1020.1020.1021230

Intersection: Orr/Tryon (283);

X= 0.124 Km;Y= 0.120 Km

Phasing: Not signalized

• ••••••				
<u>N(Tryon)</u>	<u>E(Orr)</u>	<u>S(Tryon)</u>	<u>W(-)</u>	Units
0.175	0.231	0.058		Km
0.250	0.057	0.005		Km
0.123	0.136	0.125		Km
0.129	0.117	0.114		Km
7	4	7		Meters
. 2	1	2		₽
3000	1500	3000		
45	35	45		m.p.h.
880	90	950		veh/hr.
0.131	0.134	0.117		Кт
0.125	0.113	0.118		Кт
0.180	0.226	0.048		Km
0.241	0.055	0.002		Km
7	4	7		Meters
2	1	2		₽
45	35	45		m.p.h.
990.	110	880		veh/hr.
	0.172			Km
	0.100			Кш
	3			Meters
	0.175 0.250 0.123 0.129 7 2 3000 45 880 0.131 0.125 0.180 0.241 7 2 45	$\begin{array}{c ccc} \underline{N(Tryon)} & \underline{E(Orr} & \underline{)} \\ \hline 0.175 & 0.231 \\ 0.250 & 0.057 \\ 0.123 & 0.136 \\ 0.129 & 0.117 \\ 7 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3000 & 1500 \\ 45 & 35 \\ 880 & 90 \\ \hline 1500 \\ 45 & 35 \\ 880 & 90 \\ \hline 0.131 & 0.134 \\ 0.125 & 0.113 \\ 0.125 & 0.113 \\ 0.180 & 0.226 \\ 0.241 & 0.055 \\ 7 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 45 & 35 \\ 990 & 110 \\ \hline 0.172 \\ 0.100 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.175 0.231 0.058 0.250 0.057 0.005 0.123 0.136 0.125 0.129 0.117 0.114 7 4 7 2 1 2 3000 1500 3000 45 35 45 880 90 950 0.131 0.134 0.117 0.125 0.113 0.118 0.131 0.134 0.117 0.125 0.113 0.118 0.180 0.226 0.048 0.241 0.055 0.002 7 4 7 2 1 2 45 35 45 990 110 880 0.172 0.100 0.100	N(Tryon)E(Orr)S(Tryon)W()0.1750.2310.0580.2500.0570.0050.1230.1360.1250.1290.1170.114747212300015003000453545880909500.1310.1340.1170.1250.1130.1180.1800.2260.0480.2410.0550.0027472124535459901108800.1720.100

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODELTime Period:11:00 - 19:00Intersection: Idlewild North/Lawyers (553);X= 0.137Km;Y= 0.102Km

Phasing: Not signalized

(Link)		Link					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(</u>	=	ר	<u>E(Lawy.)</u>	<u>S(Idle.)</u>	W(Lawy.)	<u>Units</u>
Appi dach Link.							
Beg.X				0.234	0.082	0.002	Km
Beg.Y				0.045	0.009	0.192	Km
End X				0.146	0.138	0.126	Кт
End				0.101	0.093	0.104	Km
Width				5	4	5	Meters
# of Lanes				1	1	1 .	4
Capacity				1600	1500 ·	1600	
Speed Limit				45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume				410	90	560	veh/hr.
Exit Link:							
Beg.X				0.142	0.133	0.130	Km
Beg.Y				0.095	0.095	0.109	Km
End X				0.233	0.076	0.009	Km
End Y				0.043	0.007	0.200	Km
Width				5	4	.5	Meters
# of Lanes				1	1	1	ŧ
Speed Limit				45	45	45	m.p.h.
Volume				590	100	380	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:							
X						0.137	Km
Y						0.065	Km
Ζ.						3	Meters

Intersection: Archdale/South Blvd.(628); X= 0.264 Km;Y= 0.103 Km

(Link)	(Link) Link					
Parameter	N(South)	E(Arch.)	<u>S(South)</u>	W(Arch.)	Units	
Approach Link:						
Beg.X	0.252	0.400	0.275	0.132	Km	
Beg.Y	0.276	0.116	0.000	0.114	Km	
End X	0.258	0.278	0.271	0.250	Km	
End Y	0.115	0.111	0.090	0.098	Km	
Width	7	4.	7.5	3.5	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	1	2	1 .	4	
Capacity	3000	1500	3000	1500	veh/hr.(Level E)	
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	780	350	780	430	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.269	0.280	0.259	0.250	Km	
Beg.Y	0.116	0.098	0.090	0.105	Km	
End X	0.263	0.400	0.266	0.134	Km	
End Y	0.236	0.103	0.000	0.121	Km	
Width	7	6	7	5	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	1	2	1	4	
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	780	420	760	380	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X				0.214	Km	
Y.				0.085	Km	
Ζ				3	Meters	

TIME Period: 11:00 - 19:00 S. Alvel. Intersection: Archdale/Old Pineville (629) X= 0.123 Km;Y= 0.118 Km

Phasing: 2-phase, full actuated

(Link)	Link					
Parameter Approach Link:	<u>N(O.P.)</u>	E(Arch.)	<u>S(0,P.)</u>	W(Arch.)	<u>Units</u>	
Beg.X	0.133	0.250	0.109	0.000	Km	
Beg.Y	0.250	0.105	0.000	0.126	Km	
End X	0.120	0.134	0.125	0.112	Km	
End Y	0.130	0.121	0.106	0.113	Km	
Width	3•5	3.5	4.5	3	Meters	
# of Lanes	1	1	· 1	1	4	
Capacity	1500	1500	1600	1400	veh/hr.(Level E)	
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	350.	370	260	370	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:					•	
Beg.X	0.128	0.132	0.116	0.112	Km	
Beg.Y	0.130	0.14	0.106	0.125	Km	
End X	0.144	0.250	0.103	0.000	Кт	
End Y	0.250	0.098	0.000	0.132	Кт	
Width	5	4	4	5	Meters	
# of Lanes	1	1	1	1	ŧ	
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	280	430	280	350	veh/hr.	

Receptor Location:

X	Km
Y	Km
Z	Meters

Time Period: 10:30 - 18:30

Intersection: South Blvd./Tyvola (625); X= 0.273 Km;Y= 0.091 Km

(Link)							
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(S.Blv)	<u>E(Tyv1.)</u>	<u>S(S.B1v)</u>	<u>W(Tyvl.)</u>	<u>Units</u>		
Beg.X	0.256	0.387	0.287	0.138	Km		
Beg.Y	0.226	0.065	0.000	0.115	Km		
End X	0.266	0.287	0.281	0.256	Km		
End Y	0.107	0.092	0.072	0.088	Km		
Width	7 ·	7	7	7	Meters		
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	₽		
Capacity	3000	3000	3000	3000	veh/hr.(Level E)		
Speed Limit	45	35	45	45	m.p.h:		
Volume	880	690	860	800	veh/hr.		
Exit Link:							
Beg.X	0.277	0.288	0.269	0.257	Кт		
Beg.Y	0.109	0.078	0.072	0.101	Km		
End X	0.263	0.380	0.277	0.136	Km		
End Y	0.226	0.055	0.000	0.129	Km		
Width	7	7	7	7	Meters		
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#		
Speed Limit	45,	35	45	45	m.p.h.		
Volume	800	670	980	810	veh/hr.		
Receptor Location:							
X .				0.221	Кш		
Y				0.078	Кт		
Z				3	Meters		

Time Period: 11:00 - 19:00

Intersection: Old Pineville/Tyvola (626); X= 0.123 Km;Y= 0.128 Km Phasing: 2-phase full actuated

(Link)	Link					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(O.P.)</u>	<u>E(Tyvl.)</u>	<u>S(0,P.)</u>	W(Tyvl.)	<u>Units</u>	
Beg.X	0.098	0.257	0.144	0.000	Кт	
Beg.Y	0.250	0.101	0.000	0.126	Km	
End X	0.117	0.136	0.134	0.114	Кш	
End Y	0.143	0.129	0.106	0.120	Km	
Width	4	7	4	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	1	2	- 1	2	#	
Capacity	1500	3000	1500	3000	veh/hr.(Level E)	
Speed Limit	40	45	40	45	m.p.h.	
Volume	340	770	330	860	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.125	0.138	0.125	0.109	Km	
Beg.Y	0.144	0.115	0.104	0.134	Km	
End X	0.103	0.256	0.140	0.000	Km	
End Y	0.250	0.088	0.000	0.138	Km	
Width	4	7	5	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	1	2	1	2	#	
Speed Limit	40	45	40	45	m.p.h.	
Volume	260	840	350	840	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X		0.221			Km	
Y		0.078			Km	
Z		3			Meters	

X= 0.092 Km;Y= 0.116

Km

Intersection: Graham/I-85 S.Ramp(262);

(Link)					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Grah.)	<u>E(I-85)</u>	<u>S(Grah.) W(</u>	2	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0,232	0.187	0.002		Km
Beg.Y	0.321	0.097	0.000		Km
End X	0.091	0.098	0.071		Km
End Y	0.129	0.114	0.096		Km
Width	6	6.5	3		Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	1		₫
Capacity	2800	2900	1400		véh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	35	45		m.p.h.
Volume	360	330	590		veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.096	0.096	0.068		Km
Beg.Y	0.126	0.107	0.099		Km
End X	0.236	0.187	0.000		Km
End Y	0.319	0.091	0.008		Km
Width	3	3.5	6.5		Meters
# of Lanes	1	1	2		Ø
Speed Limit	45	35	45		m.p.h.
Volume	580	200	420		veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.076		Km
¥.			0.075		Km
Z			3		Meters

Time Period: 10:00 - 18:00

Intersection: Graham/I-85 N.Ramp(263);

X= 0.243 Km;Y= 0.331 Km

Phasing: 3-phase-full actuated

(Link) <u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Grah.)	<u>Li</u> <u>E(I-85_)</u>	<u>nk</u> <u>S(Grah.)</u>	<u>W(</u>	<u>`</u>)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.304	0.322	0.096			Km
Beg.Y	0.422	0.298	0.126			Km
End X	0.247	0.257	0.236			Km
End Y	0.345	0.332	0.319			Km
Width	3	4	3			Meters
∲ of Lanes	1	1	1			#
Capacity	1400	1500	1400			veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	35	45			m.p.h.
Volume	240	110	460			veh/hr.
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.252	0.249	0.232			Km
Beg.Y	0.341	0.319	0.3 21			Km
End X	0.306	0.325	0.091			Km
End Y	0-411	0.294	0.129			Km
Width	6	4	6			Meters
# of Lanes	2	1	2			Ð
Speed Limit	45	35	45			m.p.h.
Volume	300	- 180	270			veh/hr.

Receptor Location:

X	Km
Y	Km
Z	Meters

Time Period: 11:00 - 19:00

Intersection: LaSalle/I-77/E.Ramp (900) X= 0.245 Km; Y= 0.142 Km

Phasing: Not signalized

		•			
(Link) <u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(E.Rmp)	<u>Li</u> <u>E(LaSal)</u>	nk <u>S(E.Rmp)</u>	W(LaSal)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.206	0.383	0.214	0.078	Km :
Beg.Y	0.238	0.139	0.033	0.138	Km
End X	0.250	0.244	0.251	0.227	Km
End Y	0.153	0.148	0.127	0.136	Km
Width	· 0	. 8	4	7.5	Meters
# of Lanes	0	2	2	. 2	4
Capacity	0	3100		3000	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit) o	35	. 35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	0	400	340	280	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.250	0.245	0.251	0.228	Km
Beg.Y	0.153	0.136	0.127	0.149	Кт
End X	0.206	0.383	0.214	0.074	Km
End Y	0.238	0.132	0.033	0.150	Km
Width	6	7.5	4	8	Meters
⋬ of Lanes	1	2	0	2	#
Speed Limit	35	35	0	35	m.p.h.
Volume	70	390	0	560	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.254		Km
Y			0.100		Кш
Z			3		Meters

Intersection: LaSalle/I-77/W.Ramp (901)

X= 0.064 Km;Y= 0.143 Km

Phasing: Not signalized

(Link)					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(W.Rmp)	<u>E(LaSal)</u>	<u>S(W.Rmp)</u>	W(LaSal)	<u>Units</u>
Approach Link:					
Beg.X	0.065	0.228	0.085	0.000	Km
Beg.Y	0.235	0.149	0.000	0.145	Кш
End X	0.067	0.074	0.069	0.053	Km
End Y	0.161	0.150	0.122	0.139	Km
Width	7	7	5	7	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	0	2	Ŷ
Capacity	3000	3000	0	3000	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	0	35	m.p.h.
Volume	60	500	0	320	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.067	0.078	0.069	0.049	Km
Beg.Y	0.161	0.138	0.122	0.150	Km
End X	0.065	0.227	0.085	0.000	Km
End Y	0.235	0.136	0.000	0.153	Km
Width	7	7	5	6	Meters
# of Lanes	0	2	. 1	2	#
Speed Limit	0	35	35	. 35	m.p.h.
Volume	0	240	250	390	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X				0.254	Km
Y				0.100	Km
Z				3	Meters

Intersection: Independence/Seventh (414); X= 0.103 Km;Y= 0.100 Km Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle = 90s; Indep.-65, Seventh-25)

(Link) Parameter	N(Ind.)		.ink <u>S(Ind.</u>)	W(7th) <u>Units</u>
Approach Link:	Minde 7			<u> "[] [014</u> _	
Beg.X	0.125	0.198	0.088	0.002	Km
Beg.Y	0.206	0.076	0.007	0.126	Km
End X	0.100	0.121	0.105	0.086	Km
End Y.	0.115	0.098	0.087	0.102	Km
Width	13	5	7 .	5	Meters
# of Lanes	3	2	2	2	₽
Capacity	4600	1800	3000	1800	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	740	3'40	980	260	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X.	0.115	0.120	0.094	0.089	Km
Beg.Y	0.111	0.093	0.090	0.107	Km
End X	0.198	0.197	0.076	0,004	Km
End Y	0.185	0.071	0.011	0.131	Km
Width	8	5	10	5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	3	2	ŧ
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	860	300	880	290	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
· X			0.116		Кт
Y			0.065		Кш
Z			3		Meters

Intersection: Independence/Seventh (414); X= 0.103 Km;Y= 0.100 Km Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle=100s; Indep.-50, Seventh-50)

(Link)		Link				
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Ind.)		<u>S(Ind.</u>)	<u>W(7th</u>)	Units	
Beg.X	0.125	0.198	0.088	0.002	Km	
Beg.Y	0.206	0.076	0.007	0.126	Km	
End X	0.100	0.121	0.105	0.086	Km	
End Y	0.115	0.098	0.087	0.102	Km	
Width	13 [.]	5	7	5	Meters	
# of Lanes	3	2	2	2	ŧ	
Capacity	4600 '	1800	3000	1800	Veh/hr.(Level E)	
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	590	370	1240	340	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:					•	
Beg.X	0.115	0.120	0.094	0.089	Кш	
Beg.Y	0.111	0.093	0.090	0.107	Km	
End X	0.198	0.197	0.076	0.004	Km	
End Y	0.185	0.071	0.011	0.131	Кш	
Width	. 8	5	10	5	Meters	
₽ of Lanes	2	2	3	2	4	
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	1040	430	720	360	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X	*		0.116		Km	
Y			0.065		Кт	
2			3		Meters	

Time Period: 16:30 - 17:00 DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODEL Intersection: Independence/Seventh (414); X= 0.103 Km;Y= 0.100 Кm Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle = 105s.; Indep.-53, Seventh-52)

	,				
(Link)	·		ink		
Parameter Approach Link:	<u>N(Ind.</u>)	<u>E(7th</u>)	<u>S(Ind.</u>)	<u>W(7th</u>)	Units
Beg.X	0.125	0.198	0.088	0.002	Кт
Beg.Y	0.206	0.076	0.007	0.126	Кш
End X	0.100	0.121	0.105	0.086	Кт
End Y	0.115	0.098	0.087	0.102	Km
Width	13	5	7	5	Meters
🕯 of Lanes	3	2	2	2	₽
Capaci ty	4600	1800	3000	1800	Veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	630	320	1790	480	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.115	0.120	0.094	0.089	Km
Beg.Y	0.111	0.093	0.090	0.107	Кш
End X	0.198	0.197	0.076	0.004	Km
End Y	0.185	0.071	0.011	0.131	Km
Width	8	5	10	5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	3	2	Ð
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	1540	620	720	330	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.116		Кш
Y Y			0.065		Km
Ζ			3		Meters

Time Period: 17:00 - 17:30 DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODEL Intersection: Independence/Seventh (414); X= 0.103 Km;Y= 0.100 Кm Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle=145, Indep.-73, Seventh-72)

(Link)			ink		
Parameter Approach Link:	<u>N(Ind.)</u>	<u>E(7th</u>)	<u>S(Ind.</u>)	<u>W(7th</u>)	<u>Units</u>
	0.125	0.198	0.088	0.002	Km
Beg.X					
Beg.Y	0.206	0.076	0.007	0.126	Km
End X	0.100	0.12 1	0.105	0.086	Кш
End Y	0.115	0.098	0.087	0.102	Km
Width	13	5	·7	5	Meters
# of Lanes	. 3	2	2	2	₽
Capacity	4600	1800	3000	1800	Veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	510	330	2220	970	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.115	0.120	0.094	0.089	Km
Beg.Y	0.111	0.093	0.090	0.107	Km
End X	0.198	0.197	0.076	0.004	Km
End Y	0.185	0.071	0.011	0.131	Km
Width	8	5	10	5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	3	2	ŧ
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	2050	1030	570	380	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.116		Кт
Y			0.065		Km
Ζ.			. 3		Meters

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODEL Time Period: 17:30 - 18:00 Intersection: Independence/Seventh (414); X= 0.103 Km;Y= 0.100 Km Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle -100; Indep.-50, Seventh-50)

(Link) Parameter	N(Ind.)) <u>Units</u>			
Approach Link:	MIIII I	<u>E(7th</u>) <u>S(Ind.)</u>	<u>W(7th</u>	
Beg.X	0.125	0.198	0.088	0.002	Km
Beg.Y	0.206	0.076	0.007	0.126	Кш
End X	0.100	0.121	0.105	0.086	Кш
End Y	0.115	0.098	0.087	0.102	Km
Width	13	5	7	5	Meters
# of Lanes	3	2	2	2	#
Capacity	4600	1800	3000	1800	Veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	600	310	1680	820	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.115	0.120	0.094	0.089	Km
Beg.Y	0.111	0.093	0.090	0.107	Km
End X	0.198	0.197	0.076	0.004	Кт
End Y	0.185	0.071	0.011	0.131	Km
Width	8	5	10	5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	3	2	₽
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	1530	900	710	260	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.116		Km
Y			0.065		Km
Z			3		Meters

Intersection: Brookshire/I-85 E.Ramp (875) X= 0.235 Km;Y= 0.086 Km Phasing: 4-phase full actuated

(Link)		Li	nk	<u> </u>	
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(E.Rmp)	<u>E(Brksh)</u>	<u>S(E.Rmp)</u>	W(Brksh)	<u>Units</u>
		0.070	0.400	A (77	W .
Beg.X	0.239	0.370	0.196	0.137	Кт
Beg.Y	0.213	0.058	0.020	0.110	Km
End X	0.241	0.246	0.231	0.216	Km
End Y	0.112	0.091	0.075	0.087	Km
Width	6	7	7.5	7	Meters
# of Lanes		2	2	2	#
Capacity		3000	.3000	3000	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit		45	× 35 -	45	m.p.h.
Volume		970	610	830	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.241	0.246	0.231	0.225	Km
Beg.Y	0.112	0.081	0.075	0.096	Km
End X	0.239	0.360	0.196	0.141	Km
End Y	0.213	0.051	0.020	0.119	Km
Width	6	10	7.5	7	Meters
# of Lanes	1	3		2	₽
Speed Limit	35	45		45	m.p.h.
Volume	200	1030	0	1100	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.070		Km
Y			0.107		Km
Ζ			3		Meters

Intersection: Brookshire/I-85 W.Ramp (899) X= 0.122 Km;Y= 0.120 Km Phasing: 4-phase full actuated

(Link)			.nk				
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(W.Rmp)	<u>E(Brksh)</u>	S(W.Rmp)	<u>W(Brksh)</u>	<u>Units</u>		
Beg.X	0.184	0.225	0.120	0.000	Кш		
Beg.Y	0.215	0.096	0.000	0.152	Кш		
End X	0.126	0.141	0.119	0.103	Km		
End Y	0.132	0.119	0.105	0.118	Km		
Width	7.5	7	5.5	7.5	Meters		
# of Lanes	2	2	o	2	#		
Capacity	3000	3000	0	3000	veh/hr.(Level E)		
Speed Limit	35	45	0	45	m.p.h.		
Volume	260	1030	0	820	veh/hr.		
Exit Link:							
Beg.X	0.126	0.137	0,119	0.109	Km		
Beg.Y	0.132	0.110	0.105	0.129	Km		
End X	0.184	0.216	0.120	0.000	Km		
End Y	0.215	0.087	0.000	0.164	Km		
Width	7.5	7	5.5	7	Meters		
# of Lanes		2	1	2	4		
Speed Limit		45	35	45	m.p.h.		
Volume		760	450	970	veh/hr.		
Receptor Location:							
X				0.070	Km		
¥				0.107	Km		
Z				3	Meters		

Intersection: Sugar Creek/I-85 N.Ramp (229) X= 0.131 Km;Y= 0.287 Km

Phasing: 3-phase coordinated

(Link)	<u></u>		nk	11/7 05 \	The state of
Parameter Approach Link:	<u>N(S. C.)</u>	<u>E(I-85)</u>	<u>S(S. C.)</u>	<u>W(1-85)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.126	0.250	0.132	0.034	Km
Beg.Y	0.418	0.287	0.150	0.236	Km
End X	0.121	0.143	0.135	0.109	Km
End Y	0.298	0.294	0.278	0.283	Km
Width	7	3.5	7	6.5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	1	2	0	#
Capacity	3000	1500	3000	0	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	35	4 <u>5</u>	0	m.p.h.
Volume	410	100	78	0	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.136	0.143	D.120	0.109	Km
Beg.Y	0.299	0.294	0.264	0.283	Km
End X	0.138	0.250	0.117	0.034	Km
End Y	0.419	0.287	0.143	0.236	Km
Width	7	3.5	7.5	6.5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	0	2	1	#
Speed Limit	45	0	45	35	m.p.h.
Volume	420	0	430	490	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X	0.100				Km
Y	0.350				Km
2	3				Meters

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODEL Time Period: 10:30 - 18:30 Intersection: Sugar Creek/I-85 S.Ramp (228) X= 0.125 Km;Y= 0.134 Km Phasing: 3-phase coordinated

(Link)					
Parameter	N(S. C.)	E(I-85)	<u>S(S. C.)</u>	<u>W(I-85)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Approach Link:					
Beg.X	0.120	0.206	0.117	0.000	Km
Beg.Y	0.264	0.190	0.000	0.142	Km
End X	0.117	0.142	0.131	0.110	Km
End Y	0.143	0.141	0.122	0.132	Km
Width	7.5	4.5	11	6.5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	0	3	2	\$
Capacity	3000	0	4500	2900	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	0	45	35	m.p.h.
Volume	560	0	910	670	veh/hr.
xit Link:					
Beg.X	0.132	0.142	0.117	0.110	Km
Beg.Y	0.150	0.141	0.122	0.132	Кш
End X	0.135	0.206	0.120	0.000	Km
End Y	0.278	0.190	0.000	0.142	Km
Width	7	4.5	7	6.5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	1	2	0	#
Speed Limit	45	35	45	0	m.p.h.
Volume	900	150	1110 -	0	veh/hr
eceptor Location:					
X	0.100				Km
Y	0.350				Km
Z	3				Meters

Intersection: Hawthorne/Independence (421); X= 0.099 Km;Y= 0.115 Km Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle= 90s.; Indep.-62, Hawthorne-28)

(Link)		Li	nk	<u> </u>	
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Haw.</u>)	<u>E(Indep)</u>	<u>S(Haw.</u>)	<u>W(Indep)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.134	0.193	0.032	0.006	Km
Beg.Y	0.212	0.074	0.038	0.154	Km
End X	0.100	0.112	0.097	0.085	Km
End Y	0.129	0.111	0.099	0.115	Km
Width	7	10	10	9	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	3	3	₽
Capacity	3000	4400	4400.	4200	veh./hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	350	1540	540	1740	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.106	0.107	0.089	0.106	Km
Beg.Y	0.126	0.103	0.102	0.124	Km
End X	0.139	0.187	0.024	0.007	Km
End Y	0.208	0.064	0.038	0.164	Km
Width	. 6	10	6	10	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	2	3 [.]	4
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	480	1670	450	1560	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X		0.146			Km
Y		0.116			Km.
Z		3			Meters

Intersection: Hawthorne/Independence (421); X= 0.099 Km;Y= 0.115 Km

Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (CYCLE = 100s.; Indep.-78, Hawthorne-22)

(Link) Parameter	N(Haw.)	Units			
Approach Link:	ALAGAR 1	<u>E(Indep)</u>	<u>S(Haw.</u>)	W(Indep)	<u>OALUS</u>
Beg.X	0.134	0.193	0.032	0.006	Km
Beg.Y	0.212	0.074	0.038	0.154	Km
End X	0.100	0.112	0.097	0.085	Km
End Y	0.129	0.111	0.099	0.115	Km
Width	7	10	10	9	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	3	3	₽
Capacity	3000	4400	4400	4200	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m. p.h.
Volume	400	1770	710	2700	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.106	0.107	0.089	0.106	Km
Beg.Y	0.126	0.103	0.102	0.124	Km
End X	0.139	0.187	0.024	0.007	Km
End Y	0.208	0.064	0.038	0.164	Km
Width	6	10	6	10	Meters
∦ of Lanes	2	3	2	3	₽
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	640	2660	450	1830	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
x		0.146			Km
Y		0,116			Km
Ζ.		3			Meters

Intersection: Hawthorne/Independence (421); X= 0.099 Km;Y= 0.115 Km Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle=105s.; Indep.-82, Hawthorne-23)

(Link)					
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	<u>N(Haw.)</u>	<u>E(Indep)</u>	<u>S(Haw.</u>)	<u>W(Indep)</u>	Units
Approach Link.					
Beg.X	0.134	0.193	0.032	0.006	Km
Beg.Y	0.212	0.074	0.038	0.154	Km
End X	0.100	0.112	0.097	0.085	Km
End Y	0.129	0.111	0.099	0.115	Km
Width	7	10	10	9	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	3	3	₽
Capacity	3000	4400	4400	4200	∀eh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	430	2140	780	3210	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.106	0.107	0.089	0.106	Km
Beg.Y	0.126	0.103	0.102	0.124	Km
End X	0.139	0.187	0.024	0.007	Кт
End Y	0.208	0.064	0.038	0.164	Km
Width	6	10	6	10	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	2	3	₽
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	.680	3220	500	2160	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X		0.146			Km
Y		0.116			Km
Z		3			Meters

Intersection: Hawthorne/Independence (421); X= 0.099 Km;Y= 0.115 Km

(Link) Link N(Haw.) E(Indep) S(Haw.) W(Indep) Parameter Units Approach Link: Beg.X 0.134 0.193 0.032 0.006 Km Beg.Y 0.212 0.074 0.038 0.154 Km End X 0.100 0.112 0.097 0.085 Km End Y 0.129 0.111 0.099 0.115 Km Width 7 10[.] 10 9 Meters # of Lanes 2 3 3 3 ŧ 4200 Veh./Dir.(Level E) Capacity 3000 4400 4400 Speed Limit 35 35 35 35 m.p.h. Volume 430 820 1510 3160 veh/hr. Exit Link: Beg.X 0.106 0.107 0.089 0.106 Km Beg.Y 0.126 0.103 0.102 0.124 Km End X 0.139 0.187 0.024 0.007 Km End Y 0.208 0.064 0.038 0.164 Km Width 6 10 6 10 Meters # of Lanes 2 2 3 3 ₽ Speed Limit 35 35 35 35 m.p.h. Volume 660 3260 440 1550 veh/hr. Receptor Location: X 0.146 Km Y 0.116 Km Z

3

Meters

Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle=145; Indep.-118, Hawthorne-27)

Intersection: Hawthorne/Independence (421); X= 0.099 Km;Y= 0.115 Km Phasing: 2-phase - fixed time (cycle=100s.; Indep.-78, Hawthorne-22)

(Link)					
<u>Parameter</u>	N(Haw.)	E(Indep)	<u>nk</u> <u>S(Haw.)</u>	W(Indep)	Units
Approach Link:					
Beg.X	0.134	0.193	0.032	0.006	Km
Beg.Y	0.212	0.074	0.038	0.154	Km
End X	0.100	0.112	0.097	0.085	Km
End Y	0.129	0.111	0.099	0.115	Km
Width	7	10	10	9	Meters
# of Lanes	2.	3	3	3	
Capacity	3000	4400	4400	4200	veh./hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	310	1430	670	2620	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.106	0.107	0.089	0.106	Km
Beg.Y	0.126	0.103	0.102	0.124	Km
End X	0.139	0.187	0.024	0.007	Km
End Y	0.208	0.064	0.038	0.164	Km
Width	6	10.	6	10	Meters
# of Lanes	2	3	2	3	#
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	570	2660	360	1420	ven/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X		0.146			Km
Y		0.116			Km
Z		3			Meters

Intersection: Central/Hawthorne (422); X= 0.114 Km;Y= 0.124 Km Phasing: 2-phase fixed time (cycle = 65s.; Cent.-40, Hawthorne -25)

(Link) Link N(Hawth) W(Cent.) Parameter E(Cent.) S(Hawth) Units Approach Link: Beg.X 0.135 0.238 0.098 0.000 Km 0.096 Beg.Y 0.250 0.000 0.149 Km End X 0.113 0.125 0.118 0.105 Km End Y 0.135 0.124 0.111 0.123 Km Width 5 6 6 6 Meters # 2 # of Lanes 2 2 2 Capacity 1800 2800 2800 2800 veh/hr.(Level E) Speed Limit 35 35 35 35 m.p.h. Volume 280 600 270 660 veh/hr. Exit Link: Beg.X 0.117 0.123 0.111 0.105 Km Beg.Y 0.134 0.118 0.112 0.128 Km End X 0.140 0.232 0.091 0.000 Кm End Y 0.250 0.092 0.000 0.155 Km Width 5 5.5 6 5.5 Meters # of Lanes 1 2 2 2 # Speed Limit 35 35 35 35 m.p.h. Volume 270 610 310 620 veh/hr. Receptor Location: Х 0.082 Km Y 0.117 Кm Ż 3 Meters

```
Time Period: 16:00 - 18:00
```

Intersection: Central/Hawthorne (422); X= 0.114 Km;Y= 0.124 Km

Phasing: 3-phase fixed time (cycle= 100s.; Cent.-65, Hawthorne-35

(Link)			nk		•
Parameter Approach Link:	<u>N(Hawth)</u>	E(Cent.)	<u>S(Hawth)</u>	<u>W(Cent.)</u>	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.135	0.238	0.098	0.000	Кш
Beg.Y	0.250	0.096	0.000	0.149	Km
End X	0.113	0.125	0.118	0.105	Km
End Y	0.135	0.124	0.111	0.123	Кш
Width	5	6	6	6	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	2	#
Capacity	1800	2800	2800	2800	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	280	490	440	1240	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.117	0.123	0.111	0.105	Km
Beg.Y	0.134	0.118	0.112	0.128	Km
End X	0.140	0.232	0.091	0.000	Кт
End Y	0.250	0.092	0.000	0.155	Km
Width	5	5.5	6	5.5	Meters
# of Lanes	1	2	2	2	#
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	480	1150	290	/ 530	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X				0.082	Km
Y				0.117	Km
Z				3	Meters

Intersection: Freedom/Ashley (852) X= 0.247 Km;Y= 0.102 Km Phasing: 4-phase full actuated

(Link) <u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Free.)		nk <u>S(Free.)</u>	W(Ash.)	<u>Units</u>
Beg.X	0.130	0.301	0.350	0.161	Кm
Beg.Y	0.203	0.200	0.016	0.000	Кш
End X	0.232	0.254	0.261	0.240	Кт
End Y	0.106	0.118	0.097	0.082	Кш
Width	10.5	4	11	7.5	Meters
# of Lanes	3	1	3	2	ŧ
Capacity	4700	1500	4500	3000	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.
Volume	820	250	980	500	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.240	0.261	0.252	0.231	Кш
Beg.Y	0.116	0.113	0.08 6	0.091	Km
End X	0.144	0.309	0.350	0.144	Km
End Y	0.209	0.200	0.000	0.000	Кт
Width	10.5	3.5	11	7.5	Meters
# of Lanes	3	. 1	3	2	₽
Speed Limit	45.	35	45	35	m.p.h.
Volume	870	240	760	690	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:			·		
X				0.234	Km
Y				0.022	Кш
Z.				3	Meters

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODEL Time Period: 11:00 - 19:00 Intersection: Freedom/Tuckaseegee (853) X= 0.118 Km;Y= 0.223 Km Phasing: 5-phase full actuated

(Link)		Link				
Parameter Approach Link:	<u>N(Free.)</u>	<u>E(Tuck.)</u>	<u>S(Free.)</u>	W(Tuck.)	<u>Units</u>	
Approach bink.						
Beg.X	0.018	0.212	0.240	0.007	Km	
Beg.Y	0.335	0.229	0.116	0,200	Кт	
End X	0.100	0.144	0.144	0.101	Km	
End Y	0.238	0.229	0.209	0.218	Кш	
Width	9	7	10.5	7.5	Meters	
# of Lanes	2	2	3	2	4	
Capacity	3100	3000	4700	.3000	veh/hr.(Level E)	
Speed Limit	45	35	45	45	m.p.h.	
Volume	820	240	920	350	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.109	0.150	0.130	0.097	Km	
Beg.Y	0.248	0.220	0.203	0.229	Кт	
End X	0.019	0.211	0.232	0.007	Кт	
End Y	0.349	0.222	0.106	0.209	Кш	
Width	12	5.5	10.5	7	Meters	
# of Lanes	3	1	3	2	#	
Speed Limit	45	35	45	45	m.p.h.	
Volume	1020	170	920	220	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X			0.234		Кш	
Y			0.022		Km	
Z			. 3		Meters	

DATA FOR MIDBLOCK MODEL Time Period: 11:00 - 19:00 Intersection: Idlewild Rama/Monroe Rd. (480); X= 0.078 Km;Y= 0.078 Km Phasing: 6-phase, full actuated

(Link)		Li	nk		
<u>Parameter</u>	N(Monroe) E(Idwld)	S(Monore)	<u>W(Rama</u>)	Units
Approach Link:					·
Beg.X	.0.000	0.522	0.084	0.025	Km
Beg.Y	0.109	0.132	0.032	0.000	Km
End X	0.047	0.092	0.099	0.062	Km
End Y	0.086	0.087	0.073	0.067	Km
Width	7	6	- 6	4	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	1	4
Capacity	3000	2800	2800	1500	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m. p.h.
Volume	790	480	460	410	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.052	0.102	0.095	0.056	Km
Beg.Y	0.097	0.081	0.065	0.070	Km
End X	0.000	0.532	0.082	0.021	Кш
End Y	0.118	0.124	0.028	0.000	Km
Width	6	6.5	6	3	Meters
∉ of Lanes	2	2	2	· 1 ·	4
Speed Limit	45	35	45	35	m.p.h.
Volume	630	560	500	430	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X		0.475			Km
Y		0.152			Кш
Z		3			Meters

Time Period: 10:30 - 18:30

Intersection: Beatties Ford/Rozzells Ferry/Trade;(813) X= 0.100 Km;Y= 0.101 Km Phasing: 4-phase full actuated

(Link)		Li	nk			
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Trde.)	E(Beat.)	<u>S(Trde.)</u>	W(Rozz.)	<u>Units</u>	
Beg.X	0.111	0.202	0.099	0.020	Кш	
Beg.Y	0.200	0.197	0.077	0.200	Km	
End X	0.100	0.113	0.099	0.081	Кш	
End Y	0.111	0.109	0.088	0.098	Кш	
Width	6	6	9	6	Meters	
# of Lanes	٥	2	2	2	4	
Capacity	o	2800	3100	2800	veh/hr.(Level E)	
Speed Limit	0	35	35	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	0	380	720	320	veh/hr.	
Exit Link:						
Beg.X	0.100	0.117	0.089	0.086	Кт	
Beg.Y	0.111	0.105	0.084	0.100	Кш	
End X	0.111	0.202	0.092	0.027	Km	
End Y	0.200	0.187	0.078	0.200	Кш	
Width	6	5.5	9	5.5	Meters	
∦ of Lanes	1	2	2	2	₽	
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.	
Volume	100	420	620	280	veh/hr.	
Receptor Location:						
X			0.092		Km	
Y			0.011		Km	
Z			3		Meters	

Time Period: 10:30 - 18:30

Intersection: Fifth/State/Trade (813); X= 0.093 Km;Y= 0.069 Km

(Link)		Li			
<u>Parameter</u> Approach Link:	N(Trde.)	E(Fifth)	<u>S(Trde.)</u>	<u>W(State)</u>	<u>Únits</u>
	0.000	0.429	0 0 90	0.000	V-
Beg.X	0.089	0.138	0.080	0.000	Km
Beg.Y	0.084	0.000	0.000	0.101	Km
End X	0.092	0.107	0.092	0.082	Km
End Y	0.078	0.066	0.049	0.071	Кт
Width	9	6	7.5	3	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	1	#
Capacity	3100	2800	3000	1400	veh/hr.(Level E)
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	620	240	460	110	veh/hr.
Exit Link:					
Beg.X	0.099	0.104	0.086	0.082	Km
Beg.Y	0.077	0.057	0.054	0.076	Km
End X	0.099	0.131	0.072	0.000	Km
End Y	0.088	0.000	0.000	0.104	Кш
Width	9	6	6	5.5	Meters
# of Lanes	2	2	2	1	4
Speed Limit	35	35	35	35	m.p.h.
Volume	720	210	420	70	veh/hr.
Receptor Location:					
X			0.092		Km
Y			0.011		Кш
2			3		Meters