

THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION
PROGRAM



TECHNOLOGY TYPE: MICROCYSTIN TEST KIT

APPLICATION: RECREATIONAL WATER MICROCYSTIN
DETECTION

TECHNOLOGY NAME: Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit

COMPANY: Abraxis

ADDRESS: 54 Steamwhistle Drive
Warminster, PA 18974

PHONE: 215-357-3911

WEB SITE: <http://www.abraxiskits.com/>

ETV Joint Verification Statement

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has established the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved environmental technologies through performance verification and dissemination of information. The goal of the ETV Program is to further environmental protection by accelerating the acceptance and use of improved and cost-effective technologies. ETV seeks to achieve this goal by providing high-quality, peer-reviewed data on technology performance to those involved in the design, distribution, financing, permitting, purchase, and use of environmental technologies. Information and ETV documents are available at www.epa.gov/etv.

ETV works in partnership with recognized standards and testing organizations, with stakeholder groups (consisting of buyers, vendor organizations, and permittees), and with individual technology developers. The program evaluates the performance of innovative technologies by developing test plans that are responsive to the needs of stakeholders, conducting field and laboratory tests (as appropriate), collecting and analyzing data, and preparing peer-reviewed reports. All evaluations are conducted in accordance with rigorous quality assurance (QA) protocols to ensure that data of known and adequate quality are generated and that the results are defensible.

The Advanced Monitoring Systems (AMS) Center, one of six verification centers under ETV, is operated by Battelle in cooperation with EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory. The AMS Center evaluated the performance of microcystin test kits for water monitoring. This verification statement provides a summary of the test results for the Abraxis Microcystin DM enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Test Kit.

VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION

This verification test of the Abraxis Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit was conducted from July 26 through August 12, 2010 at Battelle laboratories in Columbus, OH. Reference analyses by liquid chromatography tandem

mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) were performed the week of August 16, 2010 by the University of Nebraska Water Sciences Laboratory.

The objective of this verification test was to evaluate the microcystin test kit performance in analyzing known concentrations of microcystin in ASTM International Type II deionized (DI) water and in natural recreational water (RW) samples. The technology was used to analyze a variety of water samples for the variants microcystin-LR, microcystin-LA, and microcystin-RR. Because the technology cannot specify between the more than 80 microcystin variants, the samples prepared for this test were spiked with three individual variants. The Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit provided a quantitative determination of microcystins and was evaluated in terms of:

- Accuracy - comparison of test kit results (samples prepared in DI water) to results from a reference method;
- Precision - repeatability of test kit results from three sample replicates analyzed in DI water, matrix interference, and RW samples;
- Linearity - determination of whether or not the test kit response increases in direct proportion to the known concentration of microcystin;
- Method detection limit - the lowest quantity of toxin that can be distinguished from the absence of that toxin (a blank value) at a 95% confidence level;
- Inter-kit lot reproducibility - determination of whether or not the test kit response is significantly different between two different lots of calibration standards within the kits;
- Matrix Interference - evaluation of the effect of natural recreational water matrices and chlorophyll-*a* on the results of the test kits; and
- Operational and sustainability factors - general operation, data acquisition, setup, consumables, etc.

Each microcystin test kit was operated according to the vendor's instructions by a vendor-trained Battelle technician. Samples and calibration standards were analyzed in duplicate and positive and negative controls were analyzed at the vendor-specified frequency.

The ability of the Abraxis Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit to determine the concentration of microcystin was challenged using quality control (QC) samples, performance test (PT) samples and RW samples. QC, PT, and RW samples were prepared by Battelle technical staff the day before testing began. The test samples were prepared in glass volumetric flasks and stored in amber glass vials at $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 3\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until use. The reference samples that were prepared from the test solutions were stored in amber glass bottles at $< -10^{\circ}\text{C}$. Replicate samples for the test kits were taken from the same sample bottle. The QC, PT, and RW samples were prepared blindly for the operator by coding the sample labels to ensure the results were not influenced by the operator's knowledge of the sample concentration and variant.

Unlike many contaminants, certified microcystin standards are not commercially available. In planning this verification test, multiple sources of standards were investigated. With agreement from the stakeholders, all vendors and the EPA project officer, the standards used for this verification were purchased from the most reputable sources (LR and RR from Canadian National Research Council and LA from Abraxis), based on a Performance Evaluation Audit, and used for both the testing solutions and the reference method calibration.

QA oversight of verification testing was provided by Battelle and EPA. Battelle QA staff conducted technical systems audits of the both the laboratory and field testing, and Battelle QA staff conducted a data quality audit of at least 10% of the test data. This verification statement, the full report on which it is based, and the test/QA plan for this verification test are available at www.epa.gov/etv/centers/center1.html.

TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

Following is a description of the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit, based on information provided by the vendor. The information provided below was not verified in this test.

The Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit is an ELISA for the determination of microcystins and nodularins in water samples. The assay utilizes monoclonal antibodies that have been raised against the ADDA moiety of the molecule, allowing for the detection of numerous microcystin and nodularin variants in drinking, surface, and groundwater at levels below World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines.

The test is a direct competitive ELISA and is based on the recognition of microcystins, nodularins and their variants by a monoclonal antibody. Microcystins and nodularins, when present in a sample, and a microcystins-HRP analog compete for the binding sites of anti-microcystin antibodies in solution. The microcystin antibodies are then bound by a second antibody (goat anti-mouse) immobilized on the plate. After a washing step and addition of a substrate/chromogen solution, a color signal is generated. The intensity of the color is inversely proportional to the concentration of the microcystins/nodularins present in the sample. The color reaction is stopped after a specified time and analyzed using a plate photometer to obtain the optical density (OD) at a wavelength of 450 nanometers (nm).

The Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit is not able to distinguish the difference between two microcystin variants. Results from the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit are calibrated with respect to the microcystin-LR variant. However, other microcystin variants are known (based on information provided by Abraxis) to react to different extents with the antibodies used for detection; this is referred to as the cross reactivity (CR) of the variant. For this verification test, Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit results for LR were reported from the calibration curve, results for the LA variant were reported as 48% of the kit results (based on the CR value of 125%) and the RR variant was reported as 53% of the test kit results.

VERIFICATION RESULTS

The verification of the Abraxis Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit is summarized by the parameters described in Table 1.

Table1. Abraxis Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit Performance Summary

Verification Parameters	LR	LA	RR
Accuracy (range of %D)			
0.10 ppb	50% to 94%		
0.50 ppb	47% to 94%	For LA, 147% to 229%, and for RR, 81% to 260%. For both variants, the data suggested that the uncorrected results in LR equivalents would provide concentrations that were more similar to the reference method concentrations.	
1.0 ppb	61% to 71%		
2.0 ppb	28% to 45%		
4.0 ppb	24% to 29%		
Precision (range of %RSD)	2% to 11%	1% to 9%	1% to 12 %
Precision (RW samples)		2% to 9%	
Linearity (y=)	1.23x + 0.187 r ² = 0.993	2.57x + 0.135 r ² = 0.997	2.16x + 0.207 r ² = 0.985
Method Detection Limit (ppb)	0.105	0.078	0.099

Inter-kit lot reproducibility. Calibration standards from two different lots were measured and the relative percent difference (RPD) of the resulting ODs ranged from 1% to 10%, with seven of the 12 being less than 5%.

Matrix Interference. Matrix interference effects were assessed by using a t-test to compare results from samples made by spiking undiluted and diluted interference matrices with the PT sample results at 2.0 ppb spiked concentration. Both the RW matrix results for LA and the 10x RW sample were significantly different from the DI water results and the diluted and undiluted RW were also significantly different from one another. The chlorophyll-*a* results for LR, LA, and RR were all statistically different when compared to the DI results except the 1 mg/L chlorophyll-*a* solutions spiked with RR (p = 0.169). There was no difference determined when comparing the two levels of chlorophyll-*a* solution results for all three variants. All of the undiluted and diluted RW samples were significantly different from one another for all three variants. The spiked undiluted RW samples each exhibited a higher microcystin concentration than did the diluted RW sample even after the samples

were corrected for any background microcystin present. Given that the molecular basis on which the test kits operate is well-characterized and understood from the literature, these results were unexpected. Two variants (LR and LA) demonstrated an interference effect but the third variant (RR) did not. This could have been caused by a number of factors, such as chlorophyll-*a* source and stability. However, due to the limited number of replicates and low power of this study, additional testing would be required to provide a better understanding as to whether there is a matrix interference due to chlorophyll-*a*, or another variable not investigated in this verification testing.

Recreational Water (RW). Because the reference method did not measure all possible microcystin variants, no quantitative comparison was made between the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit and the reference method results. The reference data were converted into LR-equivalents according to the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit cross reactivity for the variants. In general, the samples that were determined to have higher total concentrations by the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit had higher total concentrations as determined by the reference method. All of the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit total microcystin results were greater than the reference method results, which was consistent with the likelihood that all of the microcystins were not being measured by the reference method.

Operational Factors. The test kit operator reported that the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit was easy to use. Solution or sample preparation is minimal, mostly involving diluting samples that were above the quantification range. The procedure included two incubation periods that totaled 2 hours. The solutions in the kit produced a color change in the wells, confirming that those wells contain the solution. This feature was extremely helpful as technicians can become confused about what wells have had the solution added and which ones have not when analyzing 96-well plates. Previous knowledge or training on the use of micro-pipettes and or multi-channel pipettes with 96-well plates is recommended for consistent readings. A spectrophotometer plate reader is necessary for obtaining the spectrophotometric readings that are then analyzed using any commercial ELISA evaluation program (four-parameter is recommended by the vendor). Once the analysis was complete, the remaining solutions were disposed in the trash in accordance with local regulations.

The listed price for the Microcystin DM ELISA Test Kit at the time of the verification test was \$400. The kit has a 12-month shelf life when received, and should be stored at 4 to 8 °C. Of the 96 wells on one plate, 16 wells were needed for calibrators and controls. The remaining 80 wells are for sample analyses that are performed in duplicate. Other consumables not included in the kit are pipettes, pipette tips, and distilled or DI water that can be supplied by the vendor.

Signed by Tracy Stenner

9/22/10

Signed by Sally Gutierrez

10/25/10

Tracy Stenner

Date

Sally Gutierrez

Date

Manager

Director

Environmental Product Line

National Risk Management Research Laboratory

National Security Global Business

Office of Research and Development

Battelle

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

NOTICE: ETV verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined criteria and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. EPA and Battelle make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Mention of commercial product names does not imply endorsement.