

ENVIRONMENTAL

RADIATION

DATA

REPORT 143

July - September 2010

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Radiation and Indoor Air

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

	Page
List of Tables	v
Preface.....	vii
Acknowledgments.....	ix
Data Reporting Conventions.....	xi
1. Air Program	1
Airborne Particulates and Precipitation	1
Plutonium and Uranium in Airborne Particulates.....	15
2. Water Program	17
3. Milk Program.....	21
Pasteurized Milk	21

This page intentionally left blank

List of Tables

Table		Page
1	Reporting Units and Minimum Detectable Concentrations	xiii
2	Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates: July 2010	2
3	Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates: August 2010	5
4	Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates: September 2010	8
5	Specific Gamma in Precipitation: July 2010	11
6	Specific Gamma in Precipitation: August 2010	12
7	Specific Gamma in Precipitation: September 2010	13
8	Tritium in Precipitation: July - September 2010	14
9	Tritium in Drinking Water: July - September 2010	18
10	Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk: July - September 2010	22
11	Strontium-90 in Pasteurized Milk: July - September 2010	23

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

Environmental Radiation Data(ERD) is compiled and published quarterly by the Office of Radiation and Indoor Air's National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory (NAREL) in Montgomery, Alabama, and contains data from the RadNet monitoring system (formerly ERAMS). ERD is published in both hard-copy and electronic formats. Electronic reports are available online at www.epa.gov/narel.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency established RadNet in 1973 with an emphasis on identifying trends in the accumulation of long-lived radionuclides in the environment. RadNet is comprised of a nationwide network of sampling stations that provide air particulate, precipitation, drinking water, and milk samples.

Sampling locations are selected to provide population and geographic coverage for the United States. The radiation analyses performed on these samples include gross alpha and gross beta analysis, gamma analyses, and radionuclide-specific analyses for uranium, plutonium, strontium, iodine, radium, and tritium. This monitoring effort also provides ancillary information on natural background levels and on routine and accidental releases into the environment from stationary sources.

The radiochemical procedures used by NAREL to analyze the RadNet samples are contained in the *NAREL Radiochemistry Procedures Manual*. Station operation and sample collection are in accordance with procedures contained in the *ERAMS Manual*(EPA 520/5-84-007, 008, 009).

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgments

All sampling for the RadNet monitoring system (formerly ERAMS) is performed by volunteer collectors who are frequently members of health departments or related environmental agencies of their respective states. The National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory (NAREL), on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, would like to acknowledge the time and effort of these volunteer collectors, who are so essential to the successful operation of RadNet. The efforts of the sample collectors are especially appreciated during times of emergency operation when sampling frequencies are increased and schedules are sometimes demanding.

This page intentionally left blank

Data Reporting Conventions

Every laboratory measurement involves uncertainty. When there is little or no radioactivity in a sample, one consequence of measurement uncertainty is the possibility of obtaining a measured value that is less than zero. Such a negative result occurs when random effects in the measurement process cause the measured value for the sample to be less than that of the blank or background, which is subtracted from it. From April 1991 to December 1995, negative results were reported as “not detected” or “ND,” and gamma analysis results that were less than their estimated measurement uncertainties were also reported as “ND.” In January 1996, both of these practices were discontinued. Although negative activities are physically impossible, the inclusion of negative results in the report allows better statistical analysis of the data.

Results of gamma analyses are still reported as “ND” when gamma-emitting radionuclides are not detected.

Measurement Uncertainty

Each measured value y is reported with an expanded uncertainty $U = k u_c(y)$, which is determined from the combined standard uncertainty $u_c(y)$ and the coverage factor $k = 2$. The interval from $y - U$ to $y + U$ is estimated to have a level of confidence of approximately 95 %.

Significant Figures

Expanded uncertainties are reported to two significant figures. Measurement results are rounded to the corresponding number of decimal places.

Detection Capability

The minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for each radionuclide are shown in Table 1. The MDC is defined as the minimum concentration that gives a 95 % probability of detection when the detection criteria are chosen to give only a 5 % probability of false detection in a sample that is analyte-free.

This page intentionally left blank

Table 1
Reporting Units and Minimum Detectable Concentrations
for Radionuclide Analyses

Radionuclide	Media	Reporting Unit	Minimum Detectable Concentration
Gross Alpha	Water	pCi/L	2
Gross Beta	Air	pCi/m ³	0.0015
	Water	pCi/L	2
	Precipitation	pCi/L	2
Tritium	Water	pCi/L	150
	Milk	pCi/L	150
* Plutonium-238,239/240	Air	aCi/m ³	0.75
	Water	pCi/L	0.1
† Uranium-234,235,238	Air	aCi/m ³	0.75
	Water	pCi/L	0.1
Radium-226	Water	pCi/L	0.02
Strontium-90	Milk	pCi/L	2
	Water	pCi/L	1
‡ Iodine-131	Milk (gamma)	pCi/L	4
	Water (gamma)	pCi/L	4
	Water	pCi/L	0.3
Cesium-137	Milk	pCi/L	5
	Water	pCi/L	5
‡ Barium-140	Milk	pCi/L	15
	Water	pCi/L	15
Potassium	Milk	g/L	0.06
	Water	g/L	0.06
Potassium-40	Water	pCi/L	50

* The MDC for air is based on an assumed total sample volume of 120,000 m³. Measurement by alpha spectrometry includes combined activities of ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu, since the relative contributions of these two isotopes cannot be determined.

† The MDC for air is based on an assumed total sample volume of 120,000 m³.

‡ Activity as of the day of counting.

This page intentionally left blank

1. Air Program

Airborne Particulates and Precipitation

Gross beta radioactivity measurements and certain specific analyses are performed on air particulates and precipitation samples as indicator measurements in assessing the general (national) impact of all contributing sources on environmental levels of radiation. Airborne particulates are collected continuously at field stations representing wide geographic coverage throughout the United States.

Filters (10-cm diameter synthetic fiber) from air samplers are changed twice weekly and field measurements are made with a dual-phosphor scintillation counter 5 hours after collection to allow natural radon isotopes and their progeny to decay. Field estimates are reported to appropriate EPA officials by telephone or mail depending on the activity levels found.

The filters are sent to NAREL for more sensitive analysis in a low background proportional counter. Gamma scans are performed on all filters showing gross beta activity greater than 1 pCi/m³. The laboratory obtained values are usually lower than the field estimates because of the decay of naturally occurring radionuclides during the time between the two measurements.

All stations routinely submit precipitation samples as rainfall, snow, or sleet occurs. The precipitation samples are composited at NAREL into single monthly samples for each station. Each month that precipitation occurs, an aliquant of the composited sample is analyzed for tritium and gamma-emitting radionuclides. NAREL discontinued gross beta analysis of precipitation beginning in January, 2010.

A compilation of individual measurements is available from the National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory, 540 South Morris Avenue, Montgomery, AL 36115-2601.

Table 2
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
July 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
AK: Anchorage	9	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.001	0.000	0.001
AK: Fairbanks	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.006	0.002	0.004
AK: Juneau	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.003	0.003	0.003
AL: Birmingham	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.013	0.013
AL: Montgomery/408	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.015	0.004	0.011
AR: Little Rock	6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.014	0.009	0.011
AZ: Phoenix	8	2.1	0.0	0.9	0.015	0.009	0.012
AZ: Phoenix/956	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.020	0.020	0.020
AZ: Tucson	9	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.014	0.005	0.008
CA: Anaheim	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.008	0.003	0.005
CA: Bakersfield	9	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.013	0.005	0.008
CA: Eureka	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.002	0.001	0.002
CA: Fresno	2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.010	0.010	0.010
CA: Los Angeles	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.013	0.005	0.009
CA: Richmond	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.004	0.002	0.003
CA: Riverside	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.007	0.009
CA: Sacramento	10	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.006	0.003	0.005
CA: San Bernardino Cty.	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.012	0.008	0.010
CA: San Diego	5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.007	0.004	0.006
CA: San Jose	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.006	0.003	0.004
CO: Colorado Springs	3				0.011	0.009	0.010
CO: Denver	8	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.011	0.008	0.009
CO: Grand Junction	8	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.018	0.006	0.009
CT: Hartford	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.004	0.006
DC: Washington	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.009	0.002	0.005
DE: Dover	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.017	0.006	0.009
FL: Tallahassee	4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.009	0.004	0.006
FL: Tampa	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.019	0.007	0.011
GA: Augusta	4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.013	0.007	0.010
HI: Hilo	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.005	0.002	0.004
HI: Honolulu	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.003	0.001	0.002
IA: Des Moines	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.005	0.008
ID: Idaho Falls	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.010	0.010
IL: Aurora	9	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.017	0.005	0.013
IN: Indianapolis	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.015	0.005	0.009
KS: Kansas City	7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.010	0.005	0.008
KS: Topeka	6	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.013	0.006	0.009
KS: Wichita	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.008	0.008	0.008

Table 2 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
July 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
KY: Lexington	8	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.013	0.006	0.009
KY: Louisville	8	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.031	0.005	0.011
LA: Baton Rouge	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.014	0.003	0.008
LA: Shreveport	4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.006	0.009
MA: Worcester	6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.004	0.008
MD: Baltimore	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.024	0.006	0.013
ME: Orono	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.008	0.003	0.006
ME: Portland	9	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.008	0.004	0.006
MI: Bay City 48708	5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.005	0.008
MI: Detroit	8	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.012	0.003	0.009
MI: Grand Rapids	5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.028	0.005	0.012
MN: Duluth	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.008	0.002	0.006
MN: St. Paul	4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.008	0.005	0.006
MN: Welch/510	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.010	0.003	0.007
MO: Jefferson City	8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.009	0.004	0.007
MO: Springfield	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.004	0.008
MS: Jackson/Deq	7	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.016	0.004	0.011
MT: Billings	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.011	0.008	0.009
NC: Charlotte	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.016	0.006	0.010
NC: Wilmington	5				0.011	0.005	0.008
ND: Bismarck	6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.009	0.004	0.006
NE: Kearney	9	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.015	0.006	0.010
NE: Lincoln	7	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.011	0.006	0.008
NE: Omaha	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.008	0.007	0.007
NJ: Edison	8	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.008	0.003	0.006
NJ: Trenton	9	0.9	0.2	0.4	0.023	0.006	0.011
NM: Albuquerque	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.004	0.006
NM: Carlsbad	3				0.012	0.004	0.008
NM: Navajo Lake St Park	3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.007	0.006	0.006
NM: Santa Fe	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.007	0.007	0.007
NV: Las Vegas/913	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.006	0.009
NV: Reno	8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.008	0.004	0.006
NY: Albany	9	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.024	0.005	0.011
NY: Hauppauge	6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.015	0.006	0.011
NY: Rochester	8	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.019	0.002	0.008
NY: Yaphank	3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.014	0.006	0.009
OH: Cincinnati	8	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.021	0.005	0.012
OH: Cleveland	8	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.015	0.004	0.008

Table 2 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
July 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
OH: Painesville	7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.017	0.005	0.010
OH: Toledo	9	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.017	0.004	0.012
OR: Portland	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.009	0.003	0.006
PA: Pittsburgh	6	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.020	0.008	0.011
RI: Providence	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.010	0.010
SC: Barnwell	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.008	0.007	0.008
SC: Columbia	3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.009	0.011
SD: Pierre	7	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.010	0.005	0.007
TN: Knoxville	2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.007	0.007	0.007
TN: Memphis	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.018	0.009	0.012
TN: Nashville	5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.007	0.009
TN: Oak Ridge/Bethel	8	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.020	0.008	0.012
TN: Oak Ridge/K25	8	0.9	0.2	0.5	0.020	0.008	0.013
TN: Oak Ridge/Melton	8	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.021	0.008	0.013
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 E	8	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.020	0.007	0.012
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 W	8	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.022	0.007	0.013
TX: Amarillo	8	1.0	0.2	0.6	0.011	0.003	0.008
TX: Austin	5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.014	0.005	0.009
TX: Dallas	7	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.013	0.006	0.009
TX: El Paso	9	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.014	0.003	0.009
TX: Ft. Worth	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.014	0.005	0.010
TX: Houston	2				0.007	0.002	0.005
TX: Laredo	5	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.011	0.005	0.008
TX: Lubbock	1				0.023	0.023	0.023
TX: San Angelo	9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.012	0.004	0.007
TX: San Antonio	8	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.014	0.002	0.008
UT: Salt Lake City	9	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.017	0.007	0.011
VA: Harrisonburg	7	1.4	0.2	0.6	0.026	0.007	0.015
VA: Lynchburg	4	1.1	0.2	0.6	0.015	0.009	0.012
VA: Richmond	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.016	0.005	0.009
WA: Olympia	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.004	0.001	0.002
WA: Seattle	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.003	0.001	0.002
WA: Spokane	8	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.009	0.005	0.007
WI: Madison	7	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.012	0.006	0.008
WI: Milwaukee	8	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.009	0.002	0.007
WV: Charleston	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.025	0.010	0.014

Table 3
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
August 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
AK: Anchorage	9	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.003	0.000	0.002
AK: Fairbanks	5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.005	0.002	0.003
AK: Juneau	8	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.005	0.001	0.003
AL: Birmingham	4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.015	0.008	0.011
AL: Montgomery/408	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.019	0.004	0.012
AR: Little Rock	7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.019	0.008	0.014
AZ: Phoenix	6	1.5	0.2	0.8	0.013	0.007	0.009
AZ: Tucson	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.006	0.009
CA: Anaheim	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.006	0.004	0.005
CA: Bakersfield	9	0.9	0.1	0.6	0.012	0.001	0.008
CA: Eureka	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.003	0.001	0.002
CA: Fresno	6	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.010	0.006	0.008
CA: Los Angeles	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.004	0.008
CA: Richmond	5	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.003	0.001	0.002
CA: Riverside	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.005	0.008
CA: Sacramento	9	0.4	-0.0	0.2	0.009	0.002	0.004
CA: San Bernardino Cty.	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.015	0.008	0.011
CA: San Diego	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.005	0.004	0.005
CA: San Jose	4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.009	0.002	0.004
CO: Colorado Springs	4				0.011	0.005	0.009
CO: Denver	9	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.009	0.005	0.007
CO: Grand Junction	6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.010	0.005	0.007
CT: Hartford	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.010	0.003	0.005
DC: Washington	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.007	0.003	0.005
DE: Dover	4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.005	0.007
FL: Tampa	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.011	0.005	0.007
GA: Augusta	5	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.012	0.005	0.009
HI: Hilo	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.004	0.001	0.003
HI: Honolulu	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.004	0.001	0.002
IA: Des Moines	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.016	0.006	0.009
IA: Mason City	2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.010	0.010
IL: Aurora	9	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.019	0.010	0.014
IN: Indianapolis	9	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.017	0.007	0.011
KS: Kansas City	9	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.019	0.008	0.011
KS: Topeka	9	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.019	0.009	0.011
KY: Lexington	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.016	0.004	0.010
KY: Louisville	9	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.018	0.007	0.012
LA: Baton Rouge	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.010	0.003	0.006

Table 3 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
August 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
LA: Shreveport	6	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.006	0.010
MA: Worcester	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.003	0.007
MD: Baltimore	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.021	0.006	0.012
ME: Orono	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.009	0.004	0.006
ME: Portland	7	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.010	0.003	0.006
MI: Bay City 48708	7	0.1	-0.0	0.1	0.014	0.007	0.010
MI: Detroit	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.014	0.005	0.010
MI: Grand Rapids	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.014	0.008	0.011
MN: Duluth	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.010	0.005	0.007
MN: St. Paul	5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.016	0.008	0.011
MN: Welch/510	5	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.014	0.005	0.007
MO: Jefferson City	9	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.011	0.006	0.009
MO: Springfield	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.007	0.009
MS: Jackson	3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.010	0.010
MS: Jackson/Deq	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.017	0.006	0.010
MT: Billings	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.013	0.013
NC: Charlotte	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.015	0.005	0.011
NC: Wilmington	5				0.008	0.005	0.007
ND: Bismarck	6	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.014	0.005	0.009
NE: Kearney	7	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.016	0.008	0.012
NE: Lincoln	8	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.016	0.008	0.010
NE: Omaha	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.011	0.007	0.009
NJ: Edison	5	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.008	0.002	0.005
NJ: Trenton	9	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.019	0.005	0.010
NM: Albuquerque	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.006	0.004	0.005
NM: Navajo Lake St Park	5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.006	0.002	0.004
NM: Santa Fe	4	1.7	0.0	0.6	0.007	0.004	0.005
NV: Las Vegas/913	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.011	0.005	0.007
NV: Reno	8	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.010	0.004	0.006
NY: Albany	8	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.017	0.006	0.010
NY: Hauppauge	6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.003	0.009
NY: Rochester	7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.014	0.003	0.007
NY: Yaphank	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.004	0.004	0.004
OH: Cincinnati	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.021	0.012	0.014
OH: Cleveland	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.015	0.003	0.009
OH: Painesville	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.015	0.005	0.011
OH: Toledo	9	1.9	0.1	0.5	0.027	0.009	0.016
OR: Portland	6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.003	0.006

Table 3 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
August 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
PA: Harrisburg	1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.034	0.034	0.034
PA: Pittsburgh	6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.006	0.008
RI: Providence	4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.006	0.003	0.004
SC: Barnwell	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.007	0.007	0.007
SC: Columbia	4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.016	0.008	0.011
SD: Pierre	9	1.0	0.3	0.7	0.013	0.005	0.008
TN: Knoxville	5	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.021	0.007	0.012
TN: Memphis	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.022	0.011	0.016
TN: Nashville	5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.007	0.010
TN: Oak Ridge/Bethel	8	1.2	0.4	0.7	0.022	0.009	0.017
TN: Oak Ridge/K25	9	1.6	0.5	1.0	0.025	0.010	0.017
TN: Oak Ridge/Melton	9	1.5	0.6	0.9	0.024	0.011	0.016
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 E	9	1.2	0.3	0.7	0.024	0.011	0.017
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 W	9	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.023	0.010	0.016
TX: Amarillo	8	1.1	0.2	0.8	0.013	0.005	0.008
TX: Austin	3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.012	0.007	0.009
TX: Dallas	9	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.015	0.004	0.009
TX: El Paso	9	1.1	0.4	0.7	0.017	0.004	0.011
TX: Ft. Worth	4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.011	0.006	0.009
TX: Laredo	9	0.9	0.0	0.3	0.015	0.003	0.007
TX: Lubbock	2				0.023	0.017	0.020
TX: San Angelo	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.016	0.004	0.009
TX: San Antonio	9	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.013	0.004	0.008
UT: Salt Lake City	9	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.013	0.007	0.009
VA: Harrisonburg	9	2.1	0.4	1.0	0.024	0.010	0.016
VA: Lynchburg	9	1.0	0.2	0.7	0.022	0.008	0.013
VA: Richmond	7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.017	0.006	0.010
VA: Virginia Beach	4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.012	0.005	0.008
WA: Olympia	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.004	0.002	0.003
WA: Seattle	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.003	0.002	0.003
WA: Spokane	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.014	0.005	0.008
WI: Madison	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.016	0.005	0.011
WI: Milwaukee	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.007	0.010
WV: Charleston	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.015	0.008	0.011

Table 4
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
September 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
AK: Anchorage	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.009	0.001	0.004
AK: Fairbanks	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.005	0.007
AK: Juneau	9	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.011	0.002	0.005
AL: Birmingham	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.038	0.011	0.019
AL: Montgomery/408	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.022	0.013	0.018
AR: Fort Smith	2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.021	0.007	0.014
AR: Little Rock	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.021	0.008	0.013
AZ: Phoenix	5	1.6	0.3	0.9	0.013	0.007	0.011
AZ: Tucson	8	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.011	0.006	0.009
CA: Anaheim	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.012	0.005	0.008
CA: Bakersfield	5	1.3	0.3	0.7	0.021	0.007	0.012
CA: Eureka	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.005	0.002	0.003
CA: Fresno	4	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.012	0.007	0.010
CA: Los Angeles	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.024	0.006	0.012
CA: Richmond	4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.008	0.002	0.005
CA: Riverside	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.012	0.007	0.009
CA: Sacramento	9	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.014	0.003	0.007
CA: San Bernardino Cty.	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.017	0.009	0.011
CA: San Diego	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.005	0.007
CA: San Jose	5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.015	0.004	0.007
CO: Colorado Springs	4				0.016	0.006	0.010
CO: Denver	9	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.011	0.005	0.007
CO: Grand Junction	6	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.012	0.006	0.009
CT: Hartford	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.022	0.002	0.007
DC: Washington	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.002	0.005
DE: Dover	7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.016	0.004	0.008
FL: Tallahassee	2				0.014	0.011	0.013
GA: Augusta	4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.015	0.009	0.011
HI: Hilo	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.004	0.002	0.003
HI: Honolulu	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.002	0.002	0.002
IA: Des Moines	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.006	0.008
IA: Mason City	4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.009	0.005	0.006
IL: Aurora	7	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.012	0.005	0.009
IL: Chicago	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.014	0.014	0.014
IN: Indianapolis	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.015	0.005	0.010
KS: Kansas City	7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.021	0.006	0.010
KS: Topeka	9	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.017	0.004	0.010
KY: Lexington	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.020	0.006	0.010

Table 4 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
September 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
KY: Louisville	7	1.3	0.1	0.7	0.018	0.007	0.013
LA: Baton Rouge	8	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.010	0.004	0.007
LA: Shreveport	6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.020	0.003	0.009
MA: Worcester	7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.013	0.003	0.008
MD: Baltimore	7	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.023	0.004	0.013
ME: Orono	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.016	0.004	0.009
ME: Portland	9	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.021	0.002	0.007
MI: Bay City 48708	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.011	0.004	0.006
MI: Detroit	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.018	0.003	0.009
MI: Grand Rapids	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.012	0.003	0.007
MN: Duluth	4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.005	0.000	0.003
MN: St. Paul	4	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.007	0.005	0.006
MN: Welch/510	6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.009	0.005	0.007
MO: Jefferson City	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.004	0.006
MO: Springfield	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.011	0.004	0.006
MS: Jackson/Deq	8	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.019	0.005	0.012
MT: Billings	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.007	0.009
NC: Charlotte	4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.015	0.007	0.012
NC: Wilmington	4				0.011	0.007	0.009
ND: Bismarck	6	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.006	0.004	0.005
NE: Kearney	6	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.009	0.004	0.007
NE: Lincoln	7	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.010	0.005	0.006
NE: Omaha	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.005	0.007
NJ: Edison	9	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.018	0.003	0.007
NJ: Trenton	8	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.024	0.004	0.013
NM: Albuquerque	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.020	0.002	0.008
NM: Carlsbad	1				0.011	0.011	0.011
NM: Navajo Lake St Park	4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.006	0.003	0.005
NM: Santa Fe	3	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.006	0.005	0.006
NV: Las Vegas/913	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.016	0.005	0.009
NV: Reno	1	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.007	0.007	0.007
NY: Albany	9	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.035	0.004	0.011
NY: Hauppauge	6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.022	0.004	0.010
NY: Lockport	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.014	0.005	0.008
NY: Rochester	6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.017	0.003	0.007
NY: Yaphank	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.003	0.003	0.003
OH: Cincinnati	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.019	0.006	0.010
OH: Cleveland	8	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.013	0.003	0.007

Table 4 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
September 2010

Location	Number of Samples	5-hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg	Max	Min (pCi/m ³)	Avg
OH: Painesville	4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.011	0.003	0.007
OH: Toledo	9	1.4	0.4	0.8	0.025	0.007	0.013
OR: Portland	6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.017	0.006	0.010
PA: Pittsburgh	6	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.010	0.005	0.007
RI: Providence	5	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.010	0.002	0.005
SC: Barnwell	3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.017	0.013	0.015
SC: Columbia	4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.019	0.013	0.016
SD: Pierre	7	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.006	0.003	0.005
TN: Knoxville	4	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.022	0.015	0.018
TN: Memphis	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.025	0.008	0.015
TN: Nashville	6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.011	0.005	0.009
TN: Oak Ridge/Bethel	8	1.2	0.4	0.7	0.025	0.010	0.018
TN: Oak Ridge/K25	8	1.8	0.6	1.1	0.031	0.011	0.020
TN: Oak Ridge/Melton	8	2.1	0.4	1.0	0.025	0.013	0.017
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 E	8	1.1	0.2	0.7	0.026	0.010	0.017
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 W	8	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.023	0.012	0.017
TX: Amarillo	6	0.9	0.4	0.7	0.009	0.004	0.007
TX: Austin	5	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.007	0.010
TX: Corpus Christi	1				0.039	0.039	0.039
TX: Dallas	7	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.014	0.003	0.009
TX: El Paso	8	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.014	0.006	0.009
TX: Ft. Worth	4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.014	0.006	0.010
TX: Houston	1				0.007	0.007	0.007
TX: Laredo	8	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.010	0.003	0.005
TX: Lubbock	7				0.013	0.007	0.010
TX: San Angelo	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.009	0.003	0.006
TX: San Antonio	8	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.011	0.003	0.006
UT: Salt Lake City	7	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.016	0.006	0.010
VA: Harrisonburg	8	1.7	0.3	0.9	0.026	0.009	0.015
VA: Lynchburg	6	1.4	0.2	0.7	0.021	0.005	0.014
VA: Richmond	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.026	0.005	0.012
VA: Virginia Beach	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.020	0.002	0.010
WA: Olympia	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.013	0.002	0.004
WA: Seattle	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.010	0.002	0.004
WA: Spokane	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.012	0.004	0.007
WI: Madison	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.006	0.006	0.006
WI: Milwaukee	7	0.0	-0.1	-0.0	0.009	0.004	0.006
WV: Charleston	6	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.016	0.006	0.011

Table 5
Specific Gamma in Precipitation
July 2010

Location	Nuclide	pCi/L ± 2 <u>u</u>	
AL: Montgomery/408	Be7	19	18
AR: Little Rock	Be7	58	24
CO: Denver	Tl208	4.2	3.9
CT: Hartford	Be7	49	16
FL: Jacksonville	Be7	28	21
ID: Idaho Falls	Pb212	3.8	6.5
KS: Kansas City		ND	
MI: Lansing	Pb212	6.4	6.6
MN: St. Paul	Be7	32	20
	Tl208	1.0	1.3
MN: Welch/510	Pb212	2.2	2.7
	Tl208	1.4	1.5
NC: Charlotte	Be7	25	20
	Tl208	1.0	1.4
NC: Wilmington	Be7	33	33
	K40	37	35
	Tl208	1.9	3.4
NY: Albany	Be7	23	13
NY: Yaphank		ND	
OH: Painesville	Be7	54	41
OR: Portland		ND	
PA: Harrisburg	Be7	37	40
	K40	27	44
TN: Knoxville	Pb212	8.7	6.0
TN: Nashville	Be7	51	32
	Pb212	3.4	4.3
TN: Oak Ridge/K25	Be7	60	14
TN: Oak Ridge/Melton	Be7	60	18
	Pb212	2.4	2.5
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 E	Be7	29	18
TX: El Paso	Pb212	3.9	5.8
VA: Lynchburg		ND	

Table 6
Specific Gamma in Precipitation
August 2010

Location	Nuclide	pCi/L ± 2 <u>u</u>	
AL: Montgomery/408		ND	
AR: Little Rock		ND	
AZ: Phoenix		ND	
CO: Denver		ND	
CT: Hartford	K40	9	12
FL: Jacksonville	Pb212	4.0	5.8
GA: Atlanta		ND	
ID: Idaho Falls	Pb212	5.2	6.0
KS: Kansas City		ND	
MI: Lansing		ND	
MN: St. Paul		ND	
MN: Welch/510		ND	
NC: Charlotte		ND	
NC: Wilmington		ND	
NM: Santa Fe		ND	
NY: Albany		ND	
NY: Yaphank		ND	
OH: Painesville		ND	
OR: Portland	Tl208	2.5	4.0
PA: Harrisburg	Tl208	3.1	3.9
TN: Knoxville	Tl208	3.3	3.8
TN: Nashville	K40	11	12
TN: Oak Ridge/K25	Be7	63	35
TN: Oak Ridge/Melton		ND	
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 E		ND	
TX: El Paso		ND	
UT: Salt Lake City		ND	
VA: Lynchburg		ND	

Table 7
Specific Gamma in Precipitation
September 2010

Location	Nuclide	pCi/L ± 2 <u>u</u>	
AL: Montgomery/408		ND	
AR: Little Rock		ND	
CT: Hartford	Pb212	6.0	5.8
FL: Jacksonville		ND	
ID: Idaho Falls	Pb212	5.4	5.7
	Tl208	2.9	3.7
KS: Kansas City		ND	
MI: Lansing	Tl208	1.5	1.4
MN: St. Paul		ND	
MN: Welch/510	Tl208	1.2	1.5
NC: Charlotte		ND	
NC: Wilmington		ND	
NY: Albany	Tl208	2.5	3.7
NY: Yaphank		ND	
OH: Painesville		ND	
OR: Portland		ND	
PA: Harrisburg		ND	
TN: Knoxville		ND	
TN: Nashville	Pb212	2.6	3.9
TN: Oak Ridge/K25		ND	
TN: Oak Ridge/Melton		ND	
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 E	Tl208	1.8	1.4
TX: Austin		ND	
TX: El Paso		ND	
VA: Lynchburg		ND	
WA: Olympia	Tl208	1.6	1.7

Table 8
Tritium in Precipitation
July - September 2010

Location	July 2010 pCi/L ± 2u		August 2010 pCi/L ± 2u		September 2010 pCi/L ± 2u	
AL: Montgomery/408	-46	83	0	82	-8	88
AR: Little Rock	-27	77	-38	88	-72	86
AZ: Phoenix	NS		-28	90	NS	
CO: Denver	15	79	68	93	NS	
CT: Hartford	-31	83	90	86	-44	86
FL: Jacksonville	2	85	98	86	44	90
GA: Atlanta	NS		11	82	NS	
ID: Idaho Falls	-7	78	-2	90	-2	89
KS: Kansas City	0	78	14	91	-8	90
MI: Lansing	61	88	36	83	20	89
MN: St. Paul	16	79	83	85	-42	86
MN: Welch/510	44	80	132	89	-32	87
NC: Charlotte	-4	85	95	87	10	89
NC: Wilmington	17	86	60	84	-12	87
NM: Santa Fe	NS		79	85	NS	
NY: Albany	46	87	82	86	70	92
NY: Yaphank	-25	84	41	83	-4	88
OH: Painesville	-51	76	47	84	12	90
OR: Portland	2	79	16	92	48	92
PA: Harrisburg	46	87	71	86	-4	88
TN: Knoxville	38	86	68	85	-18	88
TN: Nashville	-59	82	79	86	16	89
TN: Oak Ridge/K25	780	120	83	85	74	92
TN: Oak Ridge/Melton	281	97	146	89	55	92
TN: Oak Ridge/Y12 E	440	100	117	87	36	90
TX: Austin	NS		NS		-20	88
TX: El Paso	-36	77	16	91	-58	86
UT: Salt Lake City	NS		73	94	NS	
VA: Lynchburg	-21	84	68	85	0	88
WA: Olympia	NS		NS		-28	89

Note: NS = No Sample

Plutonium and Uranium in Airborne Particulates

Environmental radiation levels of plutonium and uranium are determined by the analysis of annually composited samples (air filters) collected from the continuously operating airborne particulate samplers.

Concentrations of plutonium-238, combined plutonium-239 and 240, and uranium-234, 235, and 238 are determined by alpha-particle spectrometry following chemical separation. The volume of air represented by the annual composite typically ranges from 120,000 to 500,000 cubic meters.

Plutonium and uranium results are published when they become available.

This page intentionally left blank

2. Drinking Water Program

The RadNet drinking water program provides data on radionuclide concentrations in the nation's drinking water supplies. Samples are taken at 78 sites which are either major population centers or selected nuclear facility environs.

Drinking water data are used to assess trends and anomalies in concentrations, and to compare with standards set forth in the EPA "National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations." These regulations provide for approval of supplies when the combined radium-226 and radium-228 levels do not exceed 5 pCi/L, when the gross alpha (excluding radon and uranium) levels do not exceed 15 pCi/L, when tritium levels do not exceed 20,000 pCi/L, when the strontium-90 levels do not exceed 8 pCi/L, and when the gross beta levels do not exceed 50 pCi/L.

The analyses include (a) tritium on a quarterly basis; (b) gross alpha, gross beta, and gamma on annual composites; (c) radium-226 if the gross alpha exceeds 2 pCi/L and radium-228 if the radium-226 falls between 3 and 5 pCi/L on annual composites; (d) iodine-131 on one quarterly sample per year for each station; and (e) plutonium-238, combined plutonium-239 and 240, and uranium-234, 235, and 238 for stations that demonstrate gross alpha levels greater than 2 pCi/L on annual composites; and (f) strontium-90 on one-fourth of the annual composites on a four year rotating schedule.

Table 9
Tritium in Drinking Water
July - September 2010

Location	Date Collected	³ H	
		pCi/L	± 2u
AK: Fairbanks	07/13/10	-9	77
AL: Dothan	07/02/10	7	90
AL: Montgomery	07/12/10	-30	88
AL: Muscle Shoals	07/08/10	20	91
AL: Scottsboro	07/07/10	126	96
AR: Little Rock	07/20/10	-20	86
CA: Los Angeles	07/12/10	28	92
CA: Richmond	07/12/10	4	90
CO: Denver	07/14/10	57	80
CT: Hartford	07/15/10	43	89
DE: Dover	07/14/10	14	78
FL: Miami	09/29/10	17	83
FL: Tampa	07/13/10	144	85
GA: Baxley	07/27/10	20	76
GA: Savannah	09/08/10	47	80
HI: Honolulu	09/08/10	-77	74
IA: Cedar Rapids	08/02/10	-5	75
ID: Boise	08/23/10	-14	77
ID: Idaho Falls	07/26/10	59	78
IL: W. Chicago	08/02/10	32	77
LA: New Orleans	09/28/10	-19	84
MD: Baltimore	07/08/10	-32	88
MD: Conowingo	07/27/10	47	77
MI: Detroit	07/12/10	28	92
MI: Grand Rapids	07/28/10	87	80
MN: St. Paul	07/13/10	65	81
MN: Welch	07/14/10	22	89
MO: Jefferson City	07/19/10	71	91
MS: Jackson	07/20/10	78	91
MS: Port Gibson	07/20/10	26	89
MT: Helena	07/19/10	67	91
NC: Raleigh	07/21/10	-33	86
ND: Bismarck	07/09/10	-30	89
NE: Lincoln	07/16/10	52	80
NJ: Trenton	07/13/10	97	82
NJ: Waretown	07/27/10	63	81
NM: Santa Fe	09/30/10	-27	77
NY: Albany	07/13/10	30	89
NY: New York City	07/13/10	43	80
NY: Niagara Falls	07/22/10	69	90

Table 9 (continued)
Tritium in Drinking Water
July - September 2010

Location	Date Collected	³ H	
		pCi/L	± 2u
NY: Syracuse	08/31/10	-27	76
OH: Cincinnati	07/09/10	50	90
OH: E. Liverpool	07/14/10	82	81
OH: Painesville	08/13/10	97	80
OH: Toledo	07/12/10	40	78
OK: Oklahoma City	07/13/10	2	78
OR: Portland	09/30/10	-27	77
PA: Columbia	07/28/10	54	78
PA: Harrisburg	07/28/10	104	80
PA: Philadelphia/Baxter	08/04/10	16	76
PA: Philadelphia/Belmont	08/04/10	96	80
PA: Philadelphia/Queen	08/04/10	38	77
PA: Pittsburgh	07/14/10	7	88
RI: Providence	07/19/10	15	88
SC: Barnwell	07/19/10	47	78
SC: Columbia	07/30/10	25	76
SC: Jenkinsville	07/14/10	75	73
SC: Seneca	07/12/10	34	77
TN: Chattanooga	07/13/10	1010	140
TN: Knoxville	09/21/10	-31	76
TN: Oak Ridge/#360	07/13/10	7	78
TN: Oak Ridge/#371	07/13/10	50	80
TN: Oak Ridge/#4442	07/13/10	66	81
TN: Oak Ridge/#768	07/13/10	73	81
TN: Oak Ridge/#772	07/13/10	-27	76
TX: Austin	07/12/10	-20	77
VA: Ashland	07/13/10	2440	180
VA: Lynchburg	07/13/10	32	79
WA: Richland	07/15/10	38	80
WA: Seattle	07/09/10	30	91

This page intentionally left blank

3. Milk Program

Pasteurized Milk

Milk is a reliable indicator of the general population's intake of certain radionuclides since it is consumed fresh by a large segment of the population and can contain several of the biologically significant radionuclides that result from environmental releases from nuclear activities. A primary function of this program is to obtain reliable monitoring data relative to current radio-nuclide concentrations and determine any long-term trends.

Quarterly samples are collected at approximately 55 sampling sites. The samples are composited, according to production, from the major milk suppliers representing more than 80 percent of the milk consumed in a given population center.

The samples are analyzed for gamma-emitting nuclides, including iodine-131, barium-140, cesium-137, and potassium-40. Total potassium concentrations in g/L are determined from potassium-40 activities assuming natural isotopic abundances. During the third quarter collection, one-fourth of the samples are also analyzed for strontium-90 on a four year rotating schedule.

Table 10
Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk
July - September 2010

Location	Date Collected	K g/L ± 2u	¹³⁷ Cs pCi/L ± 2u	¹⁴⁰ Ba pCi/L ± 2u	¹³¹ I pCi/L ± 2u
AR: Fayetteville	09/28/10	1.56 0.20	ND	ND	ND
AZ: Phoenix	09/08/10	1.62 0.21	ND	ND	ND
CA: Los Angeles	07/21/10	1.63 0.21	ND	ND	ND
CA: Oakland	07/20/10	1.54 0.19	ND	ND	ND
CT: Hartford	07/15/10	1.54 0.19	ND	ND	ND
DE: Dover	08/31/10	1.66 0.20	ND	ND	ND
FL: Plant City	07/21/10	1.56 0.20	ND	ND	ND
HI: Hilo	07/19/10	1.57 0.20	ND	ND	ND
IA: Des Moines	09/08/10	1.62 0.20	ND	ND	ND
KS: Wichita	08/18/10	1.61 0.20	ND	ND	ND
KY: Louisville	07/14/10	1.64 0.21	ND	ND	ND
MD: Baltimore	07/14/10	1.58 0.20	ND	ND	ND
MO: Jefferson City	07/27/10	1.58 0.20	ND	ND	ND
NJ: Trenton	07/12/10	1.50 0.19	ND	ND	ND
NV: Las Vegas	08/19/10	1.55 0.20	ND	ND	ND
NY: Buffalo	08/16/10	1.60 0.21	ND	ND	ND
NY: Syracuse	08/04/10	1.58 0.20	ND	ND	ND
OH: Cincinnati	08/31/10	1.54 0.19	ND	ND	ND
OH: Cleveland	07/14/10	1.49 0.19	ND	ND	ND
OR: Portland	08/09/10	1.63 0.20	ND	ND	ND
PA: Pittsburgh	07/28/10	1.63 0.21	ND	ND	ND
TN: Chattanooga	07/15/10	1.58 0.20	ND	ND	ND
TN: Knoxville	08/10/10	1.66 0.20	ND	ND	ND
TN: Memphis	07/14/10	1.56 0.20	ND	ND	ND
TX: Dallas	07/19/10	1.64 0.20	ND	ND	ND
TX: Dallas	09/22/10	1.66 0.21	ND	ND	ND
TX: San Antonio	07/26/10	1.42 0.18	ND	ND	ND
VA: Norfolk	09/28/10	1.53 0.19	ND	ND	ND
WA: Seattle	09/23/10	1.68 0.21	ND	ND	ND
WA: Spokane	07/20/10	1.62 0.21	ND	ND	ND
WV: Charleston	07/19/10	1.57 0.20	ND	ND	ND

Note: ND = Not Detected

Table 11
Strontium-90 in Pasteurized Milk
July - September 2010

Location	Date Collected	^{90}Sr pCi/L $\pm 2u$	
CA: Oakland	07/20/10	0.17	0.41
CT: Hartford	07/15/10	-0.26	0.66
HI: Hilo	07/19/10	0.30	0.51
MO: Jefferson City	07/27/10	0.8	1.2
NJ: Trenton	07/12/10	0.19	0.82
OH: Cleveland	07/14/10	0.15	0.51
TN: Memphis	07/14/10	0.19	0.76
TX: San Antonio	07/26/10	-0.4	1.2
WA: Spokane	07/20/10	0.28	0.43
WV: Charleston	07/19/10	0.53	0.71

This page intentionally left blank

For More Information

Environmental Radiation Data(ERD) is published quarterly by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Radiation and Indoor Air.

Requests for information concerning the operation of RadNet, the data that are generated or publication and distribution of ERD should be directed to:

Charles M. Petko
Office of the Director
National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory
540 South Morris Avenue
Montgomery, Alabama 36115-2601
e-mail: petko.charles@epa.gov

Requests for information concerning policies of the Office of Radiation and Indoor Air should be directed to:

Jonathan Edwards
USEPA - ORIA
Radiation Protection Division (MC6608J)
1200 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, DC 20460
e-mail: edwards.jonathan@epa.gov

This page intentionally left blank