

ENVIRONMENTAL

RADIATION

DATA

REPORT 82

April–June 1995

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Radiation and Indoor Air

Preface

Environmental Radiation Data (ERD) is compiled and distributed quarterly by the Office of Radiation and Indoor Air's National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory (NAREL) in Montgomery, Alabama, and contains data from the Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS). Data from similar networks operated by contributing States, Canada, Mexico, and the Pan American Health Organization are reported in the ERD when available.

ERAMS was established in 1973 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. It is comprised of a nationwide network of sampling stations that provide air, surface and drinking water, and milk samples from which environmental radiation levels are derived. The major emphasis for ERAMS is upon identifying trends in the accumulation of long-lived radionuclides in the environment.

Sampling locations are selected to provide optimal population coverage while functioning to monitor fallout from nuclear devices and other forms of radioactive contamination of the environment. The radiation analyses performed on these samples include gross alpha and gross beta levels, gamma analyses for fission products, and specific analyses for uranium, plutonium, strontium, iodine, radium, and tritium. This monitoring effort also provides ancillary information on natural background levels and on routine and accidental releases into the environment from stationary sources.

The radiochemical procedures used by NAREL to analyze the ERAMS samples are contained in the *Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility Radiochemistry Procedures Manual* (EPA 520/5-84-006). Station operation and sample collection are in accordance with procedures contained in the *ERAMS Manual* (EPA 520/5-84-007, 008, 009).

Data Reporting Rationale

Frequently, there is little or no radioactivity in environmental media. Thus, the results of laboratory analyses should show a distribution of negative and positive numbers about zero. A negative value occurs when a previously determined background value is subtracted from a sample value that is less than that of the background. From July 1975 to March 1991, ERAMS data were reported as calculated, whether the results were negative, zero, or positive. Since April 1991, negative results have been denoted as “not detectable,” or “ND.” For gamma analyses only, results less than the 2σ counting error are also denoted as “not detectable.”

All data are stored in the NAREL sample database as generated, and these values are available for statistical evaluation. However, caution should be exercised in the use of the data in this report for statistical analysis, since the removal of negative numbers produces a positive bias in the distribution of results.

Reported Error Terms

Each reported value for specific analyses will be accompanied by a counting error term at the 2σ (95%) confidence level. Error terms are therefore reported as counting errors. At the very low levels characteristic of most ERAMS measurements, counting error is the greatest contributor to overall error.

Significant Figures

No more than three significant figures will be reported. A datum that contains more than three figures will be rounded off to three figures.

Reporting Levels

The reporting units, smallest increments for reporting, and routine minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for each isotope are shown in Table 1. The MDC is defined as the minimum concentration that gives a 95% probability of detection when the detection criteria are chosen to give only a 5% probability of false detection in a blank sample. Reporting increments are sometimes considerably smaller than MDCs to avoid truncation errors in averaging.

Averages

Averages will be calculated along with appropriate error terms in an annual summary and analysis of ERAMS data. In calculating these averages, all values of individual data, including negative numbers, will be utilized. Averages will not be included in ERD quarterly reports.

Table 1
ERAMS Reporting Increments and Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radionuclide Analyses

Radionuclide	Media	Reporting Units	Reporting Increments	Minimum Detectable Concentrations
Gross Alpha	Water	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	2 pCi/L
† Gross Beta	Air	pCi/m ³	0.01 pCi/m ³	0.0015 pCi/m ³
	Water	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	2 pCi/L
	Precipitation	nCi/m ²	0.01 nCi/m ²	0.005 nCi/m ²
	(specific radiochemical analyses)			
Tritium	Water	nCi/L	0.1 nCi/L	0.15 nCi/L
	Milk	nCi/L	0.1 nCi/L	0.15 nCi/L
†† Plutonium-238,239/240	Air	aCi/m ³	0.1 aCi/m ³	1.5 aCi/m ³
	Water	pCi/L	0.001 pCi/L	0.1 pCi/L
‡ Uranium-234,235,238	Air	aCi/m ³	0.1 aCi/m ³	1.5 aCi/m ³
	Water	pCi/L	0.001 pCi/L	0.1 pCi/L
Radium-226	Water	pCi/L	0.1 pCi/L	0.02 pCi/L
Strontium-90	Milk	pCi/L	0.1 pCi/L	2 pCi/L
	Water	pCi/L	0.1 pCi/L	1 pCi/L
‡‡ Iodine-131	Milk (gamma)	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	4 pCi/L
	Water (gamma)	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	4 pCi/L
	Water	pCi/L	0.1 pCi/L	0.3 pCi/L
Cesium-137	Milk	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	5 pCi/L
	Water	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	5 pCi/L
‡‡ Barium-140	Milk	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	15 pCi/L
	Water	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	15 pCi/L
Potassium	Milk	g/L	0.1 g/L	0.06 g/L
	Water	g/L	0.1 g/L	0.06 g/L
Potassium-40	Water	pCi/L	1 pCi/L	50 pCi/L

† The MDC for precipitation is based on the assumption of 1 cm of precipitation.

†† The MDC for air is based on an assumed total sample volume of 60,000 m³. Measurement by alpha spectroscopy includes contributions of plutonium-239 and plutonium-240.

‡ The MDC for air is based on an assumed total sample volume of 60,000 m³.

‡‡ Activity as of the day of counting.

1. Air Program

Airborne Particulates and Precipitation

Gross beta radioactivity measurements and certain specific analyses are performed on air particulates and precipitation samples as indicator measurements in assessing the general (national) impact of all contributing sources on environmental levels of radiation.

Airborne particulates are collected continuously at field stations representing wide geographic coverage, including present and potential sources of environmental radioactivity. Sampling sites are located throughout the United States.

Filters (10-cm diameter synthetic fiber) from air samplers are changed twice weekly and field measurements are made with a G-M survey meter† at 5 hours after collection to allow for radon and thoron daughter product decay. Field estimates are reported to appropriate EPA officials by telephone or mail depending on the activity levels found.

The filters are sent to NAREL for more sensitive analyses in a low background beta counter. Gamma scans are performed on all filters showing gross beta counts greater than 1 pCi/m³. The laboratory obtained values are usually lower than the field estimates due to the decay of naturally occurring radionuclides between the times of the two measurements.

Precipitation samples are collected at many field stations collecting air filters. These samples are also sent to NAREL where they are composited monthly for gamma scans, tritium, and gross beta activity measurements. A composite of the March, April, and May precipitation samples is analyzed for plutonium-238, -239, -240, and uranium-234, -235, and -238.

A compilation of individual measurements is available from the National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory, 540 South Morris Avenue, Montgomery, AL 36115-2601.

Tables 2–4 contain the data from airborne particulate samples for April–June 1995. Tables 5–7 contain the data from precipitation samples for April–June 1995. Table 8 contains the data from tritium in precipitation samples for April–June 1995 at the selected sites.

† The counts at five hours for the Montgomery, Alabama, station are performed on a low background beta counter.

Table 2
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
April 1995

Location	Number of Samples	5-Hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)	Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)
AK:Fairbanks	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.00	0.01
AK:Juneau	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
AL:Montgomery	8	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
AR:Little Rock	7	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.00	0.01
AZ:Phoenix	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
CA:Berkeley	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
CA:Los Angeles	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
CO:Denver	5	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.01	0.01	0.01
CT:Hartford	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
DE:Wilmington	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
FL:Jacksonville	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
FL:Miami	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
HI:Honolulu	7	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.00	0.00
IA:Iowa City	8	2.4	0.2	0.5	0.02	0.01	0.01
ID:Boise	7	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.00	0.01
ID:Idaho Falls	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
IL:Chicago	7	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.02	0.01	0.01
IN:Indianapolis	8	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.02	0.01	0.01
KS:Topeka	7	1.1	0.3	0.8	0.02	0.01	0.01
ME:Augusta	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.00	0.01
MI:Lansing	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
MN:Minneapolis	4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
MN:Welch	6	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.04	0.01	0.01
MO:Jefferson City	8	1.4	0.2	0.4	0.02	0.01	0.01
MS:Jackson	6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
NC:Charlotte	7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
NC:Wilmington	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.01	0.01
ND:Bismarck	5	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
NH:Concord	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
NJ:Trenton	8	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.01	0.01	0.01
NM:Santa Fe	5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
NV:Las Vegas	8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
NY:Albany	4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
NY:Niagara Falls	7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
NY:Yaphank	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
OH:Columbus	5	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
OH:Painesville	8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01

Table 2 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
April 1995

Location	Number of Samples	5-Hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)	Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)
OH:Ross	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.00	0.01
OH:Toledo	8	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
OR:Portland	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
PA:Harrisburg	8	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
PA:Pittsburgh	8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
SC:Barnwell	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
SC:Columbia	8	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
SD:Pierre	6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
TN:Knoxville	3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
TN:Nashville	8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
TX:Austin	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
TX:El Paso	8	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01
UT:Salt Lake City	6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
VA:Lynchburg	8	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01
VA:Virginia Beach	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
WA:Olympia	7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.00
WA:Spokane	8	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.00	0.01
WI:Madison	8	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01

Minimum Detectable Concentration for field estimates – 0.1 pCi/m³.

Table 3
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
May 1995

Location	Number of Samples	5-Hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)	Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)
AK:Fairbanks	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
AK:Juneau	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
AL:Montgomery	9	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.03	0.01	0.02
AR:Little Rock	8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
AZ:Phoenix	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
CA:Berkeley	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
CA:Los Angeles	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
CO:Denver	8	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.01	0.00	0.01
CT:Hartford	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
DE:Wilmington	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
FL:Jacksonville	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
FL:Miami	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
HI:Honolulu	8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.00
IA:Iowa City	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
ID:Boise	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.00	0.01
ID:Idaho Falls	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
IL:Chicago	4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
IN:Indianapolis	9	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.01	0.01	0.01
KS:Topeka	9	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.01	0.00	0.01
ME:Augusta	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
MI:Lansing	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
MN:Minneapolis	5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
MN:Welch	9	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.01	0.00	0.01
MO:Jefferson City	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
MS:Jackson	8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
NC:Charlotte	9	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
NC:Wilmington	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.01	0.02
ND:Bismarck	2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.00	0.00
NH:Concord	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.00
NJ:Trenton	9	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.01	0.00	0.01
NM:Santa Fe	8	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.01	0.00	0.01
NV:Las Vegas	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
NY:Albany	5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
NY:Niagara Falls	9	4.6	0.0	0.7	0.01	0.01	0.01
NY:Yaphank	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
OH:Columbus	6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
OH:Painesville	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01

Table 3 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
May 1995

Location	Number of Samples	5-Hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg
OH:Ross	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
OH:Toledo	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
OR:Portland	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
PA:Harrisburg	9	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
PA:Pittsburgh	9	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
SC:Barnwell	2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.03	0.01	0.02
SC:Columbia	9	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
SD:Pierre	5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.00	0.01
TN:Knoxville	4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.02	0.01	0.01
TN:Nashville	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
TX:Austin	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
TX:El Paso	9	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01
UT:Salt Lake City	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
VA:Lynchburg	9	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.01	0.00	0.01
VA:Virginia Beach	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
WA:Olympia	8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
WA:Spokane	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
WI:Madison	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01

Minimum Detectable Concentration for field estimates – 0.1 pCi/m³.

Table 4
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
June 1995

Location	Number of Samples	5-Hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)	Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)
AK:Fairbanks	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
AK:Juneau	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
AL:Montgomery	9	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.05	0.01	0.02
AR:Little Rock	8	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.02	0.01	0.01
AZ:Phoenix	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
CA:Berkeley	7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.00
CA:Los Angeles	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
CO:Denver	8	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.01	0.01	0.01
CT:Hartford	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
DE:Wilmington	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
FL:Jacksonville	9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
FL:Miami	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.00	0.01
HI:Honolulu	8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.00	0.00	0.00
IA:Iowa City	8	1.9	0.3	0.8	0.02	0.00	0.01
ID:Boise	9	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.01	0.00	0.01
ID:Idaho Falls	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.01
IL:Chicago	7	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
IN:Indianapolis	9	1.2	0.2	0.5	0.02	0.01	0.01
KS:Topeka	9	3.7	0.2	1.1	0.03	0.01	0.01
ME:Augusta	9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
MI:Lansing	9	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01
MN:Minneapolis	4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
MN:Welch	9	1.8	0.1	0.6	0.03	0.01	0.01
MO:Jefferson City	7	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
MS:Jackson	9	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.04	0.01	0.02
NC:Charlotte	8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
NC:Wilmington	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.06	0.01	0.03
ND:Bismarck	5	1.0	0.2	0.6	0.01	0.01	0.01
NH:Concord	10	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
NJ:Trenton	9	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.02	0.00	0.01
NM:Santa Fe	4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
NV:Las Vegas	9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
NY:Albany	3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
NY:Niagara Falls	9	4.3	0.1	0.7	0.02	0.01	0.01
NY:Yaphank	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
OH:Columbus	5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
OH:Painesville	9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01

Table 4 (continued)
Gross Beta in Airborne Particulates
June 1995

Location	Number of Samples	5-Hour Field Estimate			NAREL Lab Measurement		
		Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)	Max	Min	Avg (pCi/m ³)
OH:Ross	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.04	0.01	0.02
OH:Toledo	8	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
OR:Portland	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00
PA:Harrisburg	9	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.02	0.00	0.01
PA:Pittsburgh	9	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
SC:Barnwell	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
SC:Columbia	8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
SD:Pierre	3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.01	0.01	0.01
TN:Knoxville	5	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.02	0.00	0.01
TN:Nashville	9	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.01
TX:Austin	9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01
TX:El Paso	9	1.0	0.2	0.5	0.02	0.01	0.01
UT:Salt Lake City	9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01
VA:Lynchburg	9	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01
WA:Olympia	7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.00
WA:Spokane	8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.00	0.01
WI:Madison	9	0.7	0.1	0.5	0.02	0.01	0.01

Minimum Detectable Concentration for field estimates – 0.1 pCi/m³.

Table 5
Gross Beta and Specific Gamma in Precipitation
April 1995

Location	Depth (mm)	Gross Beta Activity nCi/m ² $\pm 2\sigma$		Specific Gamma Activity pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
AK: Juneau	40.8	0.03	0.01	⁷ Be: 30.4±27.8
AL: Montgomery	100.0	0.16	0.03	ND
AR: Little Rock	84.0	0.08	0.03	ND
AZ: Phoenix	6.0	0.01	0.00	ND
CA: Berkeley	14.0	0.01	0.00	²³⁴ Th: 87.5±86.5
CO: Denver	44.6	0.03	0.01	ND
CT: Hartford	36.0	0.11	0.02	⁷ Be: 68.6±28.9 ²¹⁴ Pb: 7.5±6.0 ²¹⁴ Bi: 15.6±6.2
DE: Wilmington	35.0	0.08	0.01	⁷ Be: 58.0±29.6
FL: Jacksonville	145.6	0.10	0.04	ND
FL: Miami	64.4	0.06	0.02	²¹⁴ Pb: 7.2±6.0
HI: Honolulu	38.6	0.04	0.01	ND
IA: Iowa City	96.8	0.05	0.03	ND
ID: Boise	6.0	0.01	0.00	ND
ID: Idaho Falls	42.0	0.04	0.01	ND
IL: Chicago	130.4	0.13	0.04	⁷ Be: 38.7±37.1
MI: Lansing	73.0	0.13	0.03	⁷ Be: 46.7±26.5
MN: Minneapolis	62.0	0.08	0.02	ND
MN: Welch	8.0	0.01	0.00	²¹⁴ Bi: 13.5±5.7
MO: Jefferson City	114.0	0.16	0.04	⁷ Be: 46.6±27.2
MS: Jackson	126.0	0.13	0.04	ND
NC: Charlotte	16.0	0.05	0.01	ND
ND: Bismarck	16.4	0.02	0.01	²¹⁴ Bi: 18.3±6.2
NE: Lincoln	74.0	0.07	0.02	ND
NH: Concord	9.2	0.04	0.00	⁷ Be: 57.9±29.2
NJ: Trenton	23.6	0.05	0.01	⁷ Be: 35.1±24.2
NM: Santa Fe	15.0	0.03	0.01	⁷ Be: 41.2±28.6
NY: Albany	24.0	0.06	0.01	ND
NY: Yaphank	42.0	0.20	0.02	²¹⁴ Bi: 13.8±7.9 ⁷ Be: 119±35
OH: Painesville	102.2	0.44	0.05	⁷ Be: 106±29 ⁴⁰ K: 41.1±40.7
OH: Toledo	83.0	0.10	0.03	⁷ Be: 49.2±27.0
OR: Portland	105.0	0.06	0.03	ND
PA: Harrisburg	84.4	0.11	0.03	²¹⁴ Bi: 8.4±6.0 ⁷ Be: 80.0±25.2
SC: Barnwell	28.4	0.23	0.02	²¹⁴ Bi: 8.2±6.5 ⁷ Be: 38.4±25.5
SC: Columbia	12.4	0.19	0.01	ND

Table 5 (continued)

Gross Beta and Specific Gamma in Precipitation

April 1995

Location	Depth (mm)	Gross Beta Activity nCi/m ² $\pm 2\sigma$		Specific Gamma Activity pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
TN:Knoxville	50.0	0.06	0.02	ND
TN:Nashville	57.4	0.06	0.02	ND
TX:Austin	70.0	0.11	0.02	ND
UT:Salt Lake City	42.4	0.04	0.01	ND
VA:Lynchburg	9.6	0.02	0.00	ND
WA:Olympia	81.0	0.11	0.03	^{214}Bi : 18.6 \pm 8.8
WI:Madison	88.0	0.10	0.03	^7Be : 43.2 \pm 21.5

Note: σ = Counting Error. ND = Not Detectable.

Table 6
Gross Beta and Specific Gamma in Precipitation
May 1995

Location	Depth (mm)	Gross Beta Activity nCi/m ² ±2σ		Specific Gamma Activity pCi/L ±2σ
AK:Juneau	32.0	0.02	0.01	ND
AL:Montgomery	28.4	0.14	0.02	ND
AR:Little Rock	151.0	0.19	0.05	ND
CA:Berkeley	58.8	0.05	0.02	ND
CO:Denver	131.2	0.18	0.04	ND
CT:Hartford	52.0	0.11	0.02	⁷ Be: 90.6±33.3
DE:Wilmington	107.0	0.09	0.03	⁷ Be: 35.5±29.6
FL:Jacksonville	42.4	0.05	0.01	ND
FL:Miami	105.4	0.02	0.03	ND
HI:Honolulu	4.6	0.01	0.00	⁷ Be: 40.7±32.5
IA:Iowa City	121.0	0.09	0.03	ND
ID:Boise	53.0	0.08	0.02	ND
ID:Idaho Falls	10.0	0.02	0.00	²¹⁴ Bi: 17.7±7.1
IL:Chicago	79.8	0.08	0.03	ND
ME:Augusta	99.0	0.15	0.03	⁷ Be: 42.2±21.5
MI:Lansing	63.8	0.10	0.03	⁷ Be: 32.0±27.9
MN:Minneapolis	62.2	0.08	0.02	²¹⁴ Bi: 9.7±6.3
MN:Welch	2.0	0.00	0.00	²¹² Bi: 40.4±24.6
MO:Jefferson City	187.0	0.31	0.06	⁷ Be: 43.2±28.2
MS:Jackson	84.0	0.07	0.02	ND
NC:Charlotte	110.0	0.21	0.04	⁷ Be: 68.8±25.5
NC:Wilmington	27.0	0.04	0.01	²¹⁴ Bi: 11.8±6.8
ND:Bismarck	52.6	0.07	0.02	ND
NE:Lincoln	106.0	0.12	0.03	ND
NH:Concord	102.0	0.39	0.05	⁷ Be: 81.6±23.7
NJ:Trenton	68.2	0.13	0.02	⁷ Be: 39.0±23.0
NV:Las Vegas	10.0	0.05	0.01	ND
NY:Albany	17.0	0.04	0.01	²¹⁴ Pb: 8.7±6.3 ²¹⁴ Bi: 13.4±8.3 ⁷ Be: 60.8±29.5
NY:Niagara Falls	13.0	0.02	0.00	⁷ Be: 23.1±21.3
NY:Yaphank	71.0	0.19	0.03	⁷ Be: 69.8±39.1
OH:Painesville	82.0	0.16	0.03	⁷ Be: 38.4±23.7
OH:Toledo	70.0	0.18	0.03	ND
OR:Portland	39.0	0.11	0.02	⁷ Be: 78.5±36.7
PA:Harrisburg	71.8	0.18	0.03	⁷ Be: 90.4±23.8
SC:Barnwell	84.0	0.21	0.04	ND
SC:Columbia	64.2	0.24	0.03	ND
TN:Knoxville	72.0	0.08	0.02	⁷ Be: 38.8±31.6
TN:Nashville	166.6	0.25	0.06	ND

Table 6 (continued)

Gross Beta and Specific Gamma in Precipitation

May 1995

Location	Depth (mm)	Gross Beta Activity nCi/m ² $\pm 2\sigma$		Specific Gamma Activity pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
TX:Austin	80.0	0.13	0.03	ND
UT:Salt Lake City	84.2	0.11	0.03	^{214}Pb : 8.6 \pm 6.8 ^7Be : 54.9 \pm 30.3
VA:Lynchburg	31.0	0.36	0.02	ND
WA:Olympia	38.0	0.05	0.01	ND
WI:Madison	80.4	0.08	0.03	ND

Note: σ = Counting Error. ND = Not Detectable.

Table 7
Gross Beta and Specific Gamma in Precipitation
June 1995

Location	Depth (mm)	Gross Beta Activity nCi/m ² $\pm 2\sigma$		Specific Gamma Activity pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
AK:Fairbanks	11.2	0.01	0.00	⁷ Be: 59.1±30.0
AK:Juneau	80.0	0.05	0.02	²¹⁴ Bi: 7.5±5.8
AL:Montgomery	59.8	0.10	0.02	⁷ Be: 92.9±28.2
AR:Little Rock	38.0	0.03	0.01	ND
CA:Berkeley	22.8	0.01	0.01	ND
CO:Denver	40.4	0.02	0.01	⁷ Be: 35.9±30.8
CT:Hartford	38.0	0.08	0.01	ND
DE:Wilmington	22.0	0.05	0.01	ND
FL:Jacksonville	161.6	0.21	0.05	²¹⁴ Bi: 12.2±6.6
FL:Miami	229.0	0.14	0.06	ND
HI:Honolulu	20.0	0.02	0.01	ND
IA:Iowa City	53.8	0.03	0.01	⁷ Be: 44.2±30.1
ID:Boise	8.6	0.01	0.00	ND
ID:Idaho Falls	47.0	0.09	0.02	ND
IL:Chicago	26.6	0.02	0.01	⁷ Be: 30.3±26.2
ME:Augusta	66.0	0.15	0.02	⁷ Be: 58.5±26.8
MI:Lansing	32.6	0.05	0.01	⁷ Be: 50.7±26.9
MN:Minneapolis	78.0	0.08	0.02	ND
MN:Welch	188.0	0.17	0.06	⁷ Be: 51.0±25.0
MO:Jefferson City	37.6	0.09	0.01	⁷ Be: 42.4±29.2
MS:Jackson	8.0	0.01	0.00	ND
NC:Charlotte	62.0	0.10	0.02	⁷ Be: 75.2±33.1
NC:Wilmington	98.0	0.13	0.03	⁷ Be: 25.9±24.4
ND:Bismarck	28.0	0.04	0.01	ND
NH:Concord	35.0	0.11	0.02	⁷ Be: 51.7±21.8
NJ:Trenton	51.0	0.09	0.02	ND
NY:Albany	58.4	0.08	0.02	ND
NY:Niagara Falls	60.0	0.10	0.02	⁷ Be: 30.9±25.2
NY:Yaphank	70.0	0.53	0.04	ND
OH:Painesville	75.0	0.26	0.03	⁷ Be: 84.8±38.1
OH:Toledo	40.0	0.06	0.01	ND
OR:Portland	43.0	0.04	0.01	ND
PA:Harrisburg	89.8	0.21	0.04	²¹⁴ Bi: 10.8±6.3
SC:Barnwell	140.8	0.27	0.05	ND
SC:Columbia	310.0	0.68	0.12	²¹⁴ Bi: 12.6±6.5 ⁷ Be: 54.4±29.1
TN:Knoxville	77.0	0.08	0.02	⁷ Be: 46.9±33.9
TN:Nashville	71.2	0.09	0.02	²¹⁴ Pb: 6.2±5.2 ⁷ Be: 87.2±31.9
TX:Austin	120.0	0.08	0.03	ND

Table 7 (continued)

Gross Beta and Specific Gamma in Precipitation

June 1995

Location	Depth (mm)	Gross Beta Activity nCi/m ² $\pm 2\sigma$		Specific Gamma Activity pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
TX:El Paso	12.0	0.02	0.00	ND
UT:Salt Lake City	30.0	0.06	0.01	ND
VA:Lynchburg	52.4	0.35	0.03	^{214}Bi : 6.5 \pm 5.7
WA:Olympia	56.8	0.05	0.02	ND
WI:Madison	16.0	0.02	0.01	^{214}Bi : 10.0 \pm 6.8 ^7Be : 65.1 \pm 36.9 ^{212}Bi : 14.5 \pm 14.4

Note: σ = Counting Error. ND = Not Detectable.

Table 8
Tritium in Precipitation
April–June 1995

Location	April 1995		May 1995		June 1995	
	nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
AK:Fairbanks	NS		NS		0.1	0.1
AK:Juneau	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
AL:Montgomery	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
AR:Little Rock	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
AZ:Phoenix	0.1	0.2	NS		NS	
CA:Berkeley	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
CO:Denver	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
CT:Hartford	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
DE:Wilmington	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
FL:Jacksonville	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
FL:Miami	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
HI:Honolulu	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
IA:Iowa City	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
ID:Boise	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
ID:Idaho Falls	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
IL:Chicago	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
ME:Augusta	NS		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
MI:Lansing	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
MN:Minneapolis	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
MN:Welch	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
MO:Jefferson City	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
MS:Jackson	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
NC:Charlotte	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
NC:Wilmington	NS		0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
ND:Bismarck	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
NE:Lincoln	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	NS	
NH:Concord	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
NJ:Trenton	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
NM:Santa Fe	0.1	0.1	NS		NS	
NV:Las Vegas	NS		0.2	0.1	NS	
NY:Albany	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
NY:Niagara Falls	NS		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
NY:Yaphank	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1
OH:Painesville	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
OH:Toledo	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
OR:Portland	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
PA:Harrisburg	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
SC:Barnwell	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
SC:Columbia	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
TN:Knoxville	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
TN:Nashville	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
TX:Austin	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Table 8 (continued)
Tritium in Precipitation
April–June 1995

Location	April 1995		May 1995		June 1995	
	nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
TX:El Paso	NS		NS		0.1	0.1
UT:Salt Lake City	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
VA:Lynchburg	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
WA:Olympia	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
WI:Madison	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: σ = Counting Error. NS = No Sample.

Plutonium and Uranium in Airborne Particulates and Precipitation

Environmental radiation levels of plutonium and uranium are determined by the analysis of semiannually composited samples (air filters) collected from the continuously operating airborne particulate samplers.

Concentrations of the specific isotopes of plutonium-238, -239, and -240 and uranium-234, -235, and -238 are determined by alpha spectroscopy following chemical separation. The volume of air represented by the semiannual composite ranges from 60,000 to 250,000 cubic meters.

Plutonium and uranium results are published when they become available.

Table 9 contains the plutonium and uranium results for the period January–June 1995. Table 10 contains the plutonium and uranium in precipitation data for January–June 1995. Values are based upon composites of the March, April, and May samples. Samples from these three months only are analyzed annually because, due to the spring rains, they usually contain the year's highest concentrations of plutonium and uranium.

Table 9
Plutonium and Uranium In Airborne Particulates
January–June 1995 Composites

Location	^{238}Pu		$^{239-240}\text{Pu}$		^{234}U		^{235}U		^{238}U	
	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$
AK:Fairbanks	ND		ND		9.9	1.7	0.3	0.3	10.4	1.8
AK:Juneau	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	6.3	1.4	0.1	0.2	5.4	1.2
AL:Montgomery	0.1	0.3	ND		8.0	1.2	0.3	0.2	8.6	1.2
AR:Little Rock	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.3	30.3	4.1	1.5	0.8	28.1	3.9
AZ:Phoenix	ND		0.2	0.3	29.4	3.8	1.5	0.8	25.1	3.5
CA:Berkeley	ND		ND		5.7	1.1	0.1	0.2	4.1	1.0
CA:Los Angeles	0.7	0.6	0.1	0.2	20.1	3.0	0.8	0.5	15.5	2.6
CO:Denver	ND		0.2	0.4	31.9	4.3	1.4	0.8	28.2	4.0
CT:Hartford	ND		ND		8.1	1.7	0.3	0.3	7.5	1.7
DE:Wilmington	ND		0.1	0.2	21.9	3.2	0.9	0.6	21.2	3.2
FL:Jacksonville	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3	13.5	1.9	0.9	0.5	12.0	1.8
FL:Miami	ND		ND		11.2	1.8	0.3	0.3	11.4	1.8
HI:Honolulu	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.3	3.3	1.0	0.3	0.3	2.6	0.9
IA:Iowa City	0.1	0.5	ND		8.7	1.6	0.5	0.4	10.1	1.7
ID:Boise	0.3	0.5	ND		16.6	2.3	0.9	0.5	15.4	2.2
ID:Idaho Falls	0.5	0.4	1.6	0.6	11.5	1.8	0.5	0.4	10.2	1.7
IL:Chicago	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.4	19.9	2.6	1.1	0.6	21.0	2.7
IN:Indianapolis	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.4	26.1	3.8	2.3	1.0	28.7	4.0
KS:Topeka	0.5	0.8	0.1	0.2	13.2	2.2	0.3	0.4	13.9	2.3
KY:Frankfort	1.0	2.0	0.5	0.9	15.8	4.0	0.5	0.8	10.2	3.1
ME:Augusta	0.5	0.5	ND		19.9	3.0	1.1	0.6	23.0	3.3
MI:Lansing	0.1	0.5	ND		10.8	1.7	0.8	0.5	10.4	1.7
MN:Minneapolis	ND		ND		10.5	1.9	1.0	0.6	13.1	2.1
MN:Welch	ND		0.4	0.4	16.2	2.5	1.0	0.6	18.8	2.7
MO:Jefferson City	0.2	0.4	ND		10.3	1.9	1.6	0.8	13.3	2.3
MS:Jackson	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.2	12.4	1.7	0.7	0.4	12.0	1.7
NC:Charlotte	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.4	19.6	3.1	1.0	0.6	16.0	2.7
NC:Wilmington	0.9	0.8	0.2	0.3	16.2	2.7	1.4	0.9	14.5	2.7
ND:Bismarck	ND		ND		14.5	2.5	0.7	0.5	14.2	2.5
NH:Concord	ND		0.1	0.2	10.9	2.0	0.3	0.3	10.5	1.9
NJ:Trenton	ND		ND		6.3	1.4	0.5	0.4	6.3	1.4
NM:Santa Fe	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	19.4	2.5	1.1	0.5	18.0	2.4
NV:Las Vegas	0.3	1.0	0.6	0.6	53.6	6.3	2.7	1.3	38.7	5.2
NY:Albany	ND		0.2	0.3	17.6	2.3	1.3	0.6	16.1	2.2
NY:Niagara Falls	ND		0.1	0.2	37.9	3.9	2.4	0.8	34.7	3.7
NY:Yaphank	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.3	5.7	1.2	0.4	0.3	5.0	1.1
OH:Columbus	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	14.5	2.2	1.3	0.6	14.8	2.3

Table 9 (continued)
Plutonium and Uranium In Airborne Particulates
January–June 1995 Composites

Location	^{238}Pu		$^{239-240}\text{Pu}$		^{234}U		^{235}U		^{238}U	
	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$	aCi/m ³	$\pm 2\sigma$
OH:Painesville	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.3	9.8	1.8	0.3	0.4	10.7	1.9
OH:Ross	ND		0.5	0.7	34.5	5.1	2.5	1.3	34.8	5.1
OH:Toledo	ND		ND		17.9	2.4	1.0	0.5	20.7	2.6
OR:Portland	ND		ND		9.9	1.7	0.6	0.4	8.0	1.5
PA:Harrisburg	ND		0.1	0.1	9.2	1.2	0.4	0.2	10.3	1.2
PA:Pittsburgh	0.4	0.5	ND		23.2	2.7	0.9	0.5	19.5	2.5
SC:Barnwell	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	8.8	1.2	0.4	0.3	10.9	1.4
SC:Columbia	ND		0.2	0.2	21.2	1.7	1.2	0.3	22.0	1.8
SD:Pierre	0.2	0.4	ND		12.1	1.7	0.4	0.3	11.7	1.7
TN:Knoxville	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	13.2	2.5	1.0	0.6	16.5	2.8
TN:Nashville	0.2	0.5	ND		16.2	2.6	0.3	0.4	16.0	2.5
TX:Austin	0.9	0.8	ND		11.0	1.9	0.2	0.2	9.7	1.8
TX:El Paso	ND		0.8	1.2	59.9	7.7	2.3	1.4	51.9	7.0
UT:Salt Lake City	ND		0.3	0.7	19.6	2.3	0.7	0.4	18.4	2.2
VA:Lynchburg	ND		ND		184	12	3.9	1.2	9.8	2.0
VA:Virginia Beach	ND		ND		19.7	3.2	0.7	0.6	21.2	3.3
WA:Olympia	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	4.6	0.8	0.3	0.2	3.6	0.7
WA:Spokane	0.3	1.2	ND		20.0	3.4	1.6	1.0	17.1	3.1
WI:Madison	ND		0.2	0.6	14.8	2.0	0.9	0.5	13.8	1.9

Note: σ = Counting Error. NA = No Analysis. ND = Not Detectable.

Table 10
Plutonium and Uranium Analyses
Selected Precipitation Composite Samples
January–June 1995

Location	^{238}Pu		$^{239-240}\text{Pu}$		^{234}U		^{235}U		^{238}U	
	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
AK: Juneau	ND		0.004	0.006	0.014	0.010	0.004	0.008	0.026	0.015
AL: Montgomery	0.009	0.017	0.005	0.007	0.027	0.014	0.011	0.008	0.013	0.009
AR: Little Rock	0.005	0.010	0.000	0.004	0.013	0.008	0.003	0.004	0.007	0.006
AZ: Phoenix	ND		ND		0.022	0.017	0.006	0.009	0.025	0.018
CA: Berkeley	0.008	0.010	0.007	0.007	0.014	0.009	0.002	0.003	0.013	0.008
CO: Denver	0.012	0.014	0.001	0.003	0.013	0.008	0.001	0.004	0.006	0.005
CT: Hartford	0.002	0.008	0.001	0.002	0.013	0.009	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.004
DE: Wilmington	ND		ND		0.036	0.021	0.006	0.008	0.010	0.011
FL: Jacksonville	ND		0.001	0.003	ND		0.002	0.003	0.005	0.006
FL: Miami	0.011	0.010	ND		0.010	0.006	0.001	0.003	0.006	0.006
HI: Honolulu	0.004	0.013	0.001	0.003	0.017	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.020	0.015
IA: Iowa City	0.003	0.010	ND		0.021	0.013	0.012	0.010	0.017	0.012
ID: Boise	0.009	0.010	ND		0.021	0.013	0.003	0.004	0.007	0.008
ID: Idaho Falls	0.003	0.013	ND		0.040	0.018	0.007	0.009	0.031	0.016
IL: Chicago	0.009	0.012	0.001	0.004	0.040	0.016	0.004	0.005	0.013	0.009
ME: Augusta	0.003	0.007	ND		0.011	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.008	0.005
MI: Lansing	0.001	0.011	ND		0.033	0.012	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.005
MN: Minneapolis	ND		0.002	0.003	0.021	0.009	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004
MN: Welch	0.001	0.010	0.003	0.005	0.018	0.012	ND		0.011	0.009
MO: Jefferson City	ND		ND		0.010	0.008	0.001	0.003	0.007	0.007
MS: Jackson	0.003	0.008	0.000	0.004	0.020	0.010	0.004	0.005	0.012	0.008
NC: Charlotte	ND		0.002	0.005	0.014	0.011	0.000	0.001	0.012	0.009
NC: Wilmington	0.013	0.024	ND		0.015	0.018	0.006	0.009	0.003	0.009
ND: Bismarck	0.006	0.011	0.000	0.001	0.017	0.010	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.006
NE: Lincoln	ND		0.002	0.004	0.016	0.009	0.003	0.005	0.010	0.007
NH: Concord	0.007	0.009	0.000	0.001	0.016	0.011	ND		0.005	0.006
NJ: Trenton	ND		0.001	0.003	0.009	0.010	ND		0.007	0.006
NM: Santa Fe	0.006	0.039	0.031	0.027	0.089	0.052	0.043	0.037	0.102	0.061
NV: Las Vegas	0.001	0.017	0.001	0.005	0.146	0.049	0.003	0.012	0.048	0.030
NY: Albany	0.005	0.008	0.000	0.001	0.015	0.008	0.001	0.003	0.016	0.009
NY: Niagara Falls	ND		ND		0.014	0.010	0.003	0.007	0.008	0.012
NY: Yaphank	ND		0.000	0.003	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.006
OH: Painesville	0.000	0.009	ND		0.009	0.010	0.002	0.003	0.006	0.008
OH: Toledo	0.017	0.014	ND		0.018	0.013	ND		0.010	0.008
OR: Portland	0.005	0.009	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.005	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.002
PA: Harrisburg	ND		ND		0.018	0.010	0.001	0.003	0.014	0.009
SC: Barnwell	0.001	0.018	0.006	0.008	0.045	0.019	0.007	0.012	0.014	0.019

Table 10 (continued)
Plutonium and Uranium Analyses
Selected Precipitation Composite Samples
January–June 1995

Location	^{238}Pu pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$		$^{239-240}\text{Pu}$ pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$		^{234}U pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$		^{235}U pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$		^{238}U pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	
SC:Columbia	0.002	0.013	0.001	0.004	0.015	0.008	0.002	0.003	0.012	0.008
TN:Knoxville	ND		ND		0.036	0.015	0.021	0.011	0.013	0.009
TN:Nashville	0.006	0.011	0.001	0.004	0.010	0.007	0.002	0.003	0.010	0.007
TX:Austin	ND		0.003	0.007	0.027	0.012	0.001	0.003	0.009	0.007
TX:El Paso	0.016	0.031	ND		0.045	0.032	0.022	0.021	0.025	0.027
UT:Salt Lake City	0.004	0.013	ND		0.023	0.012	0.005	0.006	0.014	0.010
VA:Lynchburg	0.002	0.009	0.000	0.003	0.110	0.020	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.004
WA:Olympia	ND		0.003	0.006	0.023	0.009	0.007	0.005	0.007	0.005
WI:Madison	ND		0.002	0.004	0.024	0.015	0.001	0.004	0.014	0.011

Note: σ = Counting Error. NA = No Analysis. ND = Not Detectable.

2. Water Program

The ERAMS water program provides data on ambient radiation levels in the nation's rivers, streams, and drinking water supplies.

Surface Water

Quarterly grab samples are taken downstream from operating or future nuclear facilities at 58 stations. Surface water samples are analyzed for tritium quarterly and specific gamma activity annually. Tritium is a primary radioactive pollutant from nuclear power plants and weapons production activities. Tritium concentrations are determined by liquid scintillation counting of distilled samples. Gamma scans are performed annually to determine levels of gamma emitting radionuclides.

Table 11 contains the tritium concentration data for April–June 1995.

Table 11
Tritium in Surface Water
April–June 1995

Location	Source	Date Collected	${}^3\text{H}$	
			nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
AL:Decatur	Tennessee River	04/06/95	0.2	0.1
AL:Decatur	Tennessee River	06/29/95	0.4	0.4
AL:Gordon	Chattahoochee River	04/18/95	0.1	0.1
AL:Scottsboro	Tennessee River	06/27/95	0.4	0.1
AL:Scottsboro	Tennessee River	04/06/95	0.4	0.1
AR:Little Rock	Arkansas River	04/11/95	0.1	0.1
CA:Clay Station	Folsom S. Canal	04/11/95	0.1	0.1
CA:Diablo Canyon	Pacific Ocean	06/30/95	0.1	0.1
CA:Diablo Canyon	Pacific Ocean	04/05/95	0.1	0.2
CA:Eureka	Humboldt Bay	04/06/95	0.1	0.1
CA:San Onofre	Pacific Ocean	05/03/95	0.1	0.1
CO:Platteville	South Platte River	04/13/95	0.1	0.1
CT:East Haddam	Connecticut River	05/17/95	0.2	0.1
CT:Waterford	Long Island Sound	05/17/95	0.1	0.1
FL:Crystal River	Gulf Of Mexico	04/04/95	0.1	0.1
FL:Ft. Pierce	Atlantic Ocean	04/12/95	0.1	0.1
FL:Homestead	Biscayne Bay	04/13/95	0.1	0.1
IA:Cedar Rapids	Cedar River	06/12/95	0.1	0.1
IL:E. Moline	Mississippi River	04/03/95	0.2	0.1
IL:Morris	Illinois River	04/06/95	0.1	0.1
IL:Zion	Lake Michigan	05/15/95	0.1	0.1
KS:Le Roy	Neosho River	06/28/95	0.1	0.1
LA:New Orleans	Mississippi River	04/30/95	0.1	0.1
MA:Plymouth	Cape Cod Bay	04/12/95	0.1	0.1
MD:Conowingo	Susquehanna River	04/11/95	0.1	0.1
MD:Lusby	Chesapeake Bay	04/10/95	0.1	0.1
ME:Wiscasset	Montseway Bay	04/12/95	0.1	0.1
MI:Bridgman	Lake Michigan	04/11/95	0.3	0.1
MI:Charlevoix	Lake Michigan	04/06/95	0.1	0.1
MI:Monroe	Lake Erie	04/11/95	0.1	0.1
MI:South Haven	Lake Michigan	04/11/95	0.3	0.1
MN:Monticello	Mississippi River	04/05/95	0.1	0.1
MN:Red Wing	Mississippi River	04/12/95	0.1	0.1
MN:Welch	Larson Lake	05/17/95	0.1	0.2
MS:Port Gibson	Mississippi River	04/04/95	0.1	0.1
NC:Charlotte	Catawba River	05/09/95	0.3	0.1
NC:Southport	Atlantic Ocean	04/14/95	0.6	0.2

Table 11 (continued)
Tritium in Surface Water
April–June 1995

Location	Source	Date Collected	${}^3\text{H}$	nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
NE:Rulo	Missouri River	04/18/95	0.1	0.1	
NJ:Bayside	Delaware River	04/11/95	0.1	0.2	
NJ:Oyster Creek	Oyster Creek	04/13/95	0.1	0.1	
NV:Boulder City	Colorado River	04/26/95	0.1	0.1	
NY:Chelsea	Hudson River	04/05/95	0.1	0.2	
NY:Croton-On-Hudson	Hudson River	04/05/95	0.2	0.1	
NY:Oswego	Lake Ontario	06/16/95	0.2	0.1	
OH:Toledo	Lake Erie	06/01/95	0.3	0.1	
OR:Bradwood	Columbia River	04/19/95	0.1	0.1	
PA:Danville	Susquehanna River	04/19/95	0.1	0.1	
PA:Philadelphia	Schuylkill R. - Belmont	04/18/95	0.1	0.1	
PA:Philadelphia	Schuylkill R. - Queen	04/18/95	0.1	0.1	
PA:Philadelphia	Delaware River	04/18/95	0.1	0.1	
SC:Allendale	Savannah River	04/28/95	1.8	0.2	
SC:Broad River	Broad River	04/28/95	0.2	0.1	
SC:Hartsville	Lake Robinson	04/10/95	4.0	0.2	
TN:Daisy	Tennessee River	04/18/95	0.4	0.1	
TN:Kingston	Clinch River	04/05/95	0.4	0.2	
TN:Oak Ridge	Clinch River	05/23/95	0.7	0.1	
TX:El Paso	Rio Grande	05/24/95	0.1	0.1	
TX:Matagorda	Colorado River	04/10/95	0.2	0.1	
VA:Doswell	North Anna River	04/05/95	4.0	0.2	
VA:Newport News	James River	04/07/95	0.1	0.1	
VT:Vernon	Connecticut River	04/10/95	0.1	0.2	
WA:Northport	Columbia River	05/02/95	0.2	0.1	
WA:Richland	Columbia River	04/03/95	0.2	0.1	
WI:Two Creeks	Lake Michigan	04/11/95	0.1	0.1	
WI:Victory	Mississippi River	04/11/95	0.1	0.1	
WV:Wheeling	Ohio River	04/03/95	0.2	0.2	

Note: σ = Counting Error.

Drinking Water

This program monitors ambient radiation levels in drinking water at 78 sites. These data serve to assess trends and anomalies in concentrations, and to compare with standards set forth in the EPA "National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations." These regulations provide for approval of supplies when the combined radium-226 and radium-228 levels do not exceed 5 pCi/L, when the gross alpha (excluding radon and uranium) levels do not exceed 15 pCi/L, when tritium levels do not exceed 20,000 pCi/L, when the strontium-90 levels do not exceed 8 pCi/L, and when the gross beta levels do not exceed 50 pCi/L.

Grab samples are taken at the 78 sites which are either major population centers or selected nuclear facility environs.

The analyses include (a) tritium on a quarterly basis; (b) gross alpha, gross beta, strontium-90, and gamma on annual composites; (c) radium-226 if the gross alpha exceeds 2 pCi/L and radium-228 if the radium-226 falls between 3 and 5 pCi/L; (d) specific iodine-131 on one quarterly sample per year for each station; and (e) an annual composite for plutonium-238, -239, and -240 and uranium-234, -235, and -238 for stations that demonstrate gross alpha levels greater than 2 pCi/L.

Tritium analyses are performed by scintillation counting of the distilled samples. Gross beta and alpha are determined by evaporating an aliquot on a stainless steel planchet for counting. Radium-226 is determined by the standard emanation technique. Strontium-90 is determined by beta counting a strontium carbonate precipitate isolated by ion exchange.

Table 12 contains the data from drinking water samples for April–June 1995.

Table 12
Tritium in Drinking Water
April–June 1995

Location	Date Collected	${}^3\text{H}$ nCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	
AK:Fairbanks	04/03/95	0.1	0.1
AL:Dothan	04/18/95	0.2	0.1
AL:Montgomery	06/06/95	0.1	0.1
AL:Muscle Shoals	04/07/95	0.1	0.1
AL:Muscle Shoals	06/28/95	0.2	0.2
AL:Scottsboro	06/27/95	0.2	0.3
AL:Scottsboro	04/06/95	0.2	0.1
AR:Little Rock	04/11/95	0.1	0.1
CA:Berkeley	04/04/95	0.2	0.1
CA:Los Angeles	04/03/95	0.1	0.2
CO:Denver	05/03/95	0.2	0.2
CO:Platteville	05/03/95	0.2	0.1
DE:Dover	04/12/95	0.0	0.1
FL:Miami	04/03/95	0.1	0.1
FL:Tampa	05/09/95	0.1	0.1
GA:Baxley	04/04/95	0.1	0.1
GA:Savannah	04/27/95	0.1	0.1
IA:Cedar Rapids	06/12/95	0.1	0.1
ID:Boise	04/03/95	0.1	0.1
ID:Idaho Falls	05/02/95	0.1	0.1
IL:Morris	04/03/95	0.1	0.1
IL:W. Chicago	04/06/95	0.1	0.1
KS:Topeka	04/04/95	0.2	0.1
LA:New Orleans	04/03/95	0.2	0.2
MA:Lawrence	04/05/95	0.2	0.1
MD:Baltimore	04/03/95	0.1	0.2
MD:Conowingo	04/11/95	0.1	0.1
ME:Augusta	04/04/95	0.1	0.1
MI:Detroit	04/05/95	0.3	0.1
MI:Grand Rapids	04/06/95	0.2	0.1
MN:Minneapolis	04/12/95	0.1	0.2
MN:Red Wing	04/04/95	0.2	0.1
MS:Jackson	04/04/95	0.1	0.1
MS:Port Gibson	04/04/95	0.1	0.2
MT:Helena	04/06/95	0.2	0.1
NC:Charlotte	05/09/95	0.2	0.1
NC:Wilmington	05/11/95	0.1	0.1
ND:Bismarck	04/05/95	0.1	0.1
NE:Lincoln	04/21/95	0.2	0.1
NJ:Trenton	04/03/95	0.1	0.1
NJ:Waretown	04/13/95	0.2	0.1

Table 12 (continued)
Tritium in Drinking Water
April–June 1995

Location	Date Collected	${}^3\text{H}$	
		nCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
NM:Santa Fe	04/26/95	0.1	0.1
NV:Las Vegas	04/03/95	0.1	0.1
NY:New York City	04/03/95	0.1	0.2
NY:Niagara Falls	04/03/95	0.3	0.2
NY:Syracuse	04/19/95	0.2	0.1
OH:Cincinnati	06/06/95	0.1	0.1
OH:East Liverpool	04/07/95	0.1	0.1
OH:Painesville	04/05/95	0.2	0.1
OR:Portland	04/03/95	0.2	0.2
PA:Columbia	04/13/95	0.1	0.2
PA:Harrisburg	04/25/95	0.1	0.1
PA:Philadelphia	04/18/95	0.1	0.2
PA:Philadelphia - Queen	04/18/95	0.2	0.1
PA:Philadelphia - Baxter	04/18/95	0.2	0.1
PA:Pittsburgh	04/07/95	0.1	0.1
PC:Corozal	04/05/95	0.1	0.1
RI:Providence	04/17/95	0.1	0.1
SC:Barnwell	04/13/95	0.1	0.1
SC:Columbia	04/04/95	0.2	0.1
SC:Jenkinsville	04/07/95	0.2	0.1
SC:Seneca	04/17/95	0.1	0.1
TN:Chattanooga	04/03/95	0.2	0.2
TX:Austin	04/03/95	0.1	0.1
VA:Doswell	06/09/95	0.1	0.1
VA:Lynchburg	04/04/95	0.1	0.1
VA:Virginia Beach	04/19/95	0.1	0.1
WA:Richland	04/03/95	0.2	0.1
WA:Seattle	04/03/95	0.1	0.2
WI:Genoa City	04/11/95	0.1	0.1
WI:Madison	04/03/95	0.1	0.1

Note: σ = Counting Error.

3. Milk Program

Pasteurized Milk

Milk is a reliable indicator of the general population's intake of radionuclides since it is consumed fresh by a large segment of the population and can contain several of the biologically important radionuclides that result from environmental releases from nuclear activities. A primary function of this program is to obtain reliable monitoring data relative to current radionuclide concentrations and determine any long-term trends.

Monthly samples are collected at approximately 55 sampling sites with at least one located in most states, Puerto Rico, and the Panama Canal Zone. The samples are composited, according to production, from the major milk suppliers representing more than 80 percent of the milk consumed in a given population center.

The samples are analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides, including iodine-131, barium-140, cesium-137, and potassium. All samples collected in July are analyzed for strontium-90.

Note: As of the first quarter of 1995, NAREL has discontinued regional compositing of milk samples for strontium-90 analysis.

Iodine-131, barium-140, cesium-137, and potassium are determined by gamma spectral analysis. Strontium-90 is determined by beta counting a total strontium precipitate that has been chemically separated by ion exchange.

Tables 13–15 contain the concentrations of radionuclides in pasteurized milk for April–June 1995.

Table 13
Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk
April 1995

Location	Date Collected	K		^{137}Cs		^{140}Ba		^{131}I	
		g/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
AL:Montgomery	04/07/95	1.57	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
AR:Little Rock	04/03/95	1.64	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
AZ:Phoenix	04/18/95	1.63	0.12	ND		ND		ND	
CA:Los Angeles	04/06/95	1.68	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
CA:San Francisco	04/06/95	1.64	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
CO:Denver	04/10/95	1.68	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
CT:Hartford	04/03/95	1.59	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
DE:Wilmington	04/05/95	1.57	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
FL:Tampa	04/03/95	1.68	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
GA:Atlanta	04/11/95	1.53	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
HI:Honolulu	04/11/95	1.84	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
IA:Des Moines	04/04/95	1.66	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
IL:Chicago	04/06/95	1.61	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
IN:Indianapolis	04/10/95	1.56	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
KS:Wichita	04/17/95	1.63	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
KY:Louisville	04/04/95	1.59	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
MA:Boston	04/06/95	1.52	0.14	ND		ND		ND	
MD:Baltimore	04/07/95	1.67	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
ME:Portland	04/11/95	1.45	0.14	ND		ND		ND	
MI:Detroit	04/13/95	1.59	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
MI:Grand Rapids	04/03/95	1.68	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
MO:Kansas City	04/10/95	1.48	0.14	ND		ND		ND	
MS:Jackson	04/04/95	1.55	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
NC:Charlotte	04/04/95	1.60	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
NJ:Trenton	04/06/95	1.59	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
NM:Albuquerque	04/18/95	1.68	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
NV:Las Vegas	04/17/95	1.64	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
NY:Syracuse	04/04/95	1.63	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
OH:Cincinnati	04/11/95	1.60	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
OH:Cleveland	04/11/95	1.63	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
OR:Portland	04/04/95	1.64	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
PA:Philadelphia	04/03/95	1.62	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
PA:Pittsburgh	04/04/95	1.60	0.12	ND		ND		ND	
PC:Cristobal	04/06/95	1.66	0.05	8	2	ND		ND	
PR:San Juan	04/05/95	1.59	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
SC:Charleston	04/07/95	1.60	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
SD:Rapid City	04/05/95	1.54	0.12	ND		ND		ND	

Table 13 (continued)
Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk
April 1995

Location	Date Collected	K g/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{137}Cs pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{140}Ba pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{131}I pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
TN:Chattanooga	04/04/95	1.64 0.09	ND	ND	ND
TN:Knoxville	04/03/95	1.62 0.08	ND	ND	ND
TN:Memphis	04/10/95	1.67 0.09	ND	ND	ND
TX:Ft. Worth	04/03/95	1.70 0.08	ND	ND	ND
VA:Norfolk	04/03/95	1.62 0.11	ND	ND	ND
VT:Montpelier	04/29/95	1.66 0.06	ND	ND	ND
WA:Seattle	04/07/95	1.62 0.09	ND	ND	ND
WA:Spokane	04/25/95	1.57 0.08	ND	ND	ND
WV:Charleston	04/03/95	1.60 0.08	ND	ND	ND

Note: σ = Counting Error. ND = Not Detectable.

Table 14
Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk
May 1995

Location	Date Collected	K		^{137}Cs		^{140}Ba		^{131}I	
		g/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
AL:Montgomery	05/04/95	1.55	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
AR:Little Rock	05/01/95	1.62	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
CA:Los Angeles	05/15/95	1.66	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
CA:Sacramento	05/24/95	1.57	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
CA:San Francisco	05/03/95	1.75	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
CO:Denver	05/08/95	1.52	0.12	ND		ND		ND	
DE:Wilmington	05/22/95	1.57	0.12	ND		ND		ND	
FL:Tampa	05/01/95	1.56	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
GA:Atlanta	05/08/95	1.66	0.12	ND		ND		ND	
HI:Honolulu	05/23/95	1.68	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
IA:Des Moines	05/08/95	1.56	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
IN:Indianapolis	05/09/95	1.64	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
KY:Louisville	05/01/95	1.63	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
MA:Boston	05/12/95	1.70	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
MD:Baltimore	05/05/95	1.68	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
MI:Detroit	05/10/95	1.62	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
MI:Grand Rapids	05/08/95	1.67	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
MN:St. Paul	05/12/95	1.66	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
MO:Kansas City	05/30/95	1.61	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
MS:Jackson	05/10/95	1.60	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
NC:Charlotte	05/10/95	1.63	0.14	ND		ND		ND	
ND:Minot	05/02/95	1.64	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
NJ:Trenton	05/10/95	1.63	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
NM:Albuquerque	05/01/95	1.68	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
NV:Las Vegas	05/15/95	1.67	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
NY:Buffalo	05/04/95	1.66	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
NY:Syracuse	05/01/95	1.66	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
OH:Cincinnati	05/03/95	1.73	0.10	ND		ND		ND	
OH:Cleveland	05/30/95	1.68	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
OK:Oklahoma City	05/04/95	1.68	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
OR:Portland	05/01/95	1.63	0.14	ND		ND		ND	
PA:Philadelphia	05/08/95	1.67	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
PA:Pittsburgh	05/08/95	1.76	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
PR:San Juan	05/11/95	1.72	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
SC:Charleston	05/10/95	1.61	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
TN:Chattanooga	05/31/95	1.66	0.07	ND		ND		ND	
TN:Knoxville	05/01/95	1.67	0.07	ND		ND		ND	

Table 14 (continued)
Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk
May 1995

Location	Date Collected	K g/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{137}Cs pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{140}Ba pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{131}I pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
TN:Memphis	05/10/95	1.66 0.07	ND	ND	ND
TX:Austin	05/05/95	1.65 0.07	ND	ND	ND
TX:Ft. Worth	05/09/95	1.66 0.08	ND	ND	ND
VT:Burlington	05/23/95	1.70 0.09	ND	ND	ND
WA:Seattle	05/02/95	1.62 0.06	ND	ND	ND
WV:Charleston	05/01/95	1.61 0.09	ND	ND	ND

Note: σ = Counting Error. ND = Not Detectable.

Table 15
Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk
June 1995

Location	Date Collected	K		^{137}Cs		^{140}Ba		^{131}I	
		g/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$	pCi/L	$\pm 2\sigma$
AL:Montgomery	06/13/95	1.57	0.12	ND		ND		ND	
AR:Little Rock	06/05/95	1.56	0.14	ND		ND		ND	
AZ:Phoenix	06/26/95	1.73	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
CA:Los Angeles	06/05/95	1.52	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
CA:San Francisco	06/08/95	1.54	0.10	ND		ND		ND	
CO:Denver	06/19/95	1.49	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
DE:Wilmington	06/20/95	1.64	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
FL:Tampa	06/12/95	1.64	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
GA:Atlanta	06/12/95	1.62	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
HI:Honolulu	06/16/95	1.59	0.12	ND		ND		ND	
IA:Des Moines	06/05/95	1.57	0.14	ND		ND		ND	
IL:Chicago	06/01/95	1.48	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
IN:Indianapolis	06/06/95	1.67	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
KS:Wichita	06/28/95	1.60	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
KY:Louisville	06/05/95	1.77	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
MA:Boston	06/09/95	1.60	0.11	ND		ND		ND	
MD:Baltimore	06/02/95	1.64	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
ME:Portland	06/12/95	1.71	0.04	4	1	ND		ND	
MI:Detroit	06/08/95	1.73	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
MI:Grand Rapids	06/05/95	1.64	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
MN:St. Paul	06/12/95	1.73	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
MO:Kansas City	06/27/95	1.63	0.10	ND		ND		ND	
MS:Jackson	06/08/95	1.58	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
NC:Charlotte	06/06/95	1.59	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
ND:Minot	06/05/95	1.61	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
NJ:Trenton	06/07/95	1.70	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
NM:Albuquerque	06/06/95	1.55	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
NV:Las Vegas	06/20/95	1.62	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
NY:Buffalo	06/06/95	1.64	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
NY:Syracuse	06/05/95	1.75	0.09	ND		ND		ND	
OH:Cincinnati	06/01/95	1.62	0.06	ND		ND		ND	
OR:Portland	06/05/95	1.59	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
PA:Philadelphia	06/05/95	1.59	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
PA:Pittsburgh	06/08/95	1.60	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
PC:Cristobal	06/08/95	1.61	0.06	5	2	ND		ND	
PR:San Juan	06/15/95	1.66	0.08	ND		ND		ND	
TN:Knoxville	06/02/95	1.61	0.14	ND		ND		ND	

Table 15 (continued)
Radionuclides in Pasteurized Milk
June 1995

Location	Date Collected	K g/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{137}Cs pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{140}Ba pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$	^{131}I pCi/L $\pm 2\sigma$
TN:Memphis	06/20/95	1.62 0.12	ND	ND	ND
TX:Austin	06/12/95	1.66 0.09	ND	ND	ND
TX:Ft. Worth	06/12/95	1.61 0.09	ND	ND	ND
VA:Norfolk	06/28/95	1.47 0.14	ND	ND	ND
VT:Burlington	06/16/95	1.55 0.08	ND	ND	ND
WA:Seattle	06/05/95	1.79 0.09	ND	ND	ND
WA:Spokane	06/01/95	1.56 0.06	ND	ND	ND
WV:Charleston	06/05/95	1.67 0.06	ND	ND	ND

Note: σ = Counting Error. ND = Not Detectable.

Environmental Radiation Data (ERD) is published quarterly (January, April, July, October) by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Radiation and Indoor Air.

Requests for information concerning publication and distribution of ERD should be directed to:

Charles M. Petko
Office of the Director
National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory
540 South Morris Avenue
Montgomery, Alabama 36115-2601

Requests for information concerning the operation of ERAMS should be directed to:

John G. Griggs, Chief
Monitoring and Analytical Services Branch
National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory
540 South Morris Avenue
Montgomery, Alabama 36115-2601

or to:

William C. Conklin
Policy and Emergency Response Branch
Criteria and Standards Division (6602J)
USEPA Waterside Mall
401 M Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20460
