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## DISCLAIMER

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.


#### Abstract

Fish consumption rates derived from national surveys may not accurately reflect consumption rates in a particular population such as recreational anglers. Many state and local health agencies in the United States have conducted area-specific surveys to study fish consumption patterns in local populations, assess exposure to environmental contaminants, or evaluate compliance with fish advisories. The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Research and Development (ORD) has conducted an analysis of data from fish consumption surveys from the states of Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota. The primary objective of the analysis was to identify populations within these state that consume more fish and shellfish than either the state's or the Nation's general population and thus may be at higher risk from exposure to contaminants in fish. EPA was particularly interested in estimating each state's fish and shellfish consumption for recreational anglers, low income populations, children, and ethnic groups. The report provides distribution of fish consumption rates for different age cohorts, ethnic groups, socioeconomic status, fish types (i.e., freshwater, marine, estuarine), and fish sources (i.e., storebought versus self-caught).

Fish and shellfish intake for those who consume both self-caught and store-bought fish is higher than for those who reported eating only bought or only self-caught. In Connecticut, mean fish consumption per kilogram of bodyweight ranged from $0.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day to $0.84 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. In Minnesota, mean fish consumption per kilogram of bodyweight ranged from $0.11 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day to $0.69 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. The highest values observed in the Connecticut and Minnesota data corresponded to females 16-29 years of age. In Florida, mean fish consumption per kilogram of bodyweight ranged from $0.64 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day to $2.34 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. In North Dakota, mean fish consumption per kilogram of bodyweight ranged from $0.20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day to $0.70 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. The highest values observed in the Florida and North Dakota data corresponded to children 1-5 years of age. The Florida data showed a statistically significant increase in the percentage of the population that reported eating fish and shellfish with increased household income and education. This trend was not observed in the other states. Some minor differences were observed between Whites,


non-Hispanic and other ethnic groups, but these differences were not statistically significant and not consistent across states. Because of differences in survey methodologies for the collection, processing, and analysis of the data, comparisons across states should be interpreted with caution.
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## PREFACE

The Exposure Factors Program of the of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Research and Development (ORD) has three main goals: (1) provide updates to the Exposure Factors Handbook (U.S. EPA, 2011) and the Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook (U.S. EPA, 2008); (2) identify exposure factors data gaps and needs in consultation with clients; and (3) develop companion documents to assist clients in the use of exposure factors data. The activities under each goal are supported by and respond to the needs of the various EPA Program Offices.

The Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition (U.S. EPA, 2011) and the Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook (U.S. EPA, 2008) provide a summary of statistical data on various exposure factors used in assessing environmental exposures to both adults and children. Fish consumption is one of the factors included in both handbooks. The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) of EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) has conducted an analysis of data from fish consumption surveys from the states of Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota. These states were selected from a previous effort aimed at collecting available fish consumption rate data from state-wide surveys. These states were selected for analysis based on sample size, study design, and data availability. The primary objective of the analysis was to identify populations that consume more fish and shellfish than the general population within each state and relative to the national general population and thus may be at higher risk from exposure to contaminants in fish. EPA was particularly interested in estimating fish and shellfish consumption for recreational anglers, low income populations, children, and ethnic groups within each state. Results of this analysis were incorporated into both the Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition (U.S. EPA, 2011) and the Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook (U.S. EPA, 2008).

## AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), Office of Research and Development was responsible for the preparation of this report. This report was prepared by Westat under EPA contract No. GS-23F-8144H. Jacqueline Moya served as Task Order Manager, providing overall direction and technical assistance. Sherry Selevan (retired) and Cheryl Itkin served as Task Order Manager on previous drafts of this report.

## AUTHORS

Westat
Robert Clickner
John Rogers

## EPA

Jacqueline Moya
Laurie Schuda
Cheryl Itkin

The following EPA individuals reviewed an earlier draft of this document and provided valuable comments:

## Denis Borum, Office of Water

Peter P. Egeghy, Office of Research and Development, National Exposure Research Lab Ann Johnson, Office of Policy, Economics, and Innovation Henry Kahn, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment

This document was reviewed by an external panel of experts. The panel was composed of the following individuals:

Joanna Burger, Ph.D.
Rutgers University
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8082

Margy Gassel, Ph.D.
Consultant
Albany, CA 94706

Patricia Guenther, Ph.D., R.D.
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion
Alexandria, VA 22134

Koenraad Mariën, Ph.D.
Washington Department of Health
Office of Environmental Health Assessments
Olympia, WA 98504-7846

Nayak Polissar, Ph.D.
The Mountain-Whisper-Light Statistical Consulting
Seattle, WA 98112

Alan Stern, Dr.P.H., D.A.B.T. (Chair)
University of Medicine \& Dentistry of NJ-School of Public Health
Piscataway, NJ 08854

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Many state and local health agencies throughout the United States conduct area-specific surveys that monitor and evaluate contaminant levels in local fish and study local populations for fish consumption behavior. Summary information on these fish consumption surveys, which are also available to the public, were compiled, summarized, and published by the National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), Office of Research and Development (ORD) of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition (U.S. EPA, 2011).

Three surveys covering four states were selected for further analysis because they contained data for specific targeted populations, had adequate sample size, and obtainable raw data. In addition, they were selected because they identified recreational anglers and collected information on the amount of fish consumed that was purchased versus self-caught. These surveys covered Connecticut, Florida, and a combined survey of Minnesota and North Dakota. The fish consumption data were extracted from each of the database files provided by the states and a single file was created in order to analyze the data consistently for each individual state.

The primary objective of the analysis was to identify populations that consume more fish and shellfish within the state's general population and relative to the national general population and thus may be at higher risk of exposure to contaminants in fish and shellfish. To achieve this objective, the study focused on estimating fish and shellfish consumption for not only the general population within the state, but also for recreational anglers, low income populations, children, and other targeted populations.

The three surveys had similar procedures for selecting participants. The Florida survey selected participants using a random sample within strata defined by counties. The Connecticut and Minnesota/North Dakota surveys selected participants randomly from a state's general population mailing list. The Connecticut and Minnesota/North Dakota surveys also collected data from targeted populations of interest (e.g., anglers, Native American tribes). The respondents that were randomly selected from the state's general population are referred to as the "general" population. The means and percentiles for the general populations in each state were statistically weighted in order to estimate the values for the states' general population. The means and percentiles from the targeted populations were not weighted, since they statistically represent only themselves.

Tables at the end of each section and in the Appendices show the fish and shellfish consumption rates for subgroups classified by demographic characteristics and by the source of the fish and shellfish consumed (i.e., freshwater versus marine, and store-bought versus selfcaught). The measurement units used to describe consumption rates are: (1) grams of fish and
shellfish consumed per day (g/day), and (2) grams per kilogram of bodyweight per day ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ day). Both of these measures are presented for consumer-only of fish and shellfish and for the entire surveyed population (i.e., per capita). Consumer-only intake is defined as the quantity of fish and shellfish consumed by individuals during the survey period. These estimates are generated by averaging intake across only the individuals in the survey who consumed fish and shellfish. Per capita intake rates are generated by averaging consumer-only intakes over the entire population (including those individuals that reported no intake).

The three surveys had different questionnaires for collecting the fish consumption information, procedures for classifying the fish consumed into species groups, and for processing the data. The primary difference between surveys was the method for collection of fish consumption data. Florida used a telephone survey approach, while Connecticut, North Dakota and Minnesota used mail surveys. In Connecticut, the respondents were asked how often each type of seafood was eaten, without specifying a recall period. However, a long recall period was implied, since one of the precoded response options was the number of times per year. In the Minnesota/North Dakota survey, respondents were asked the rate of fish or shellfish consumption in the previous 12 months. In Florida, the respondents were asked for their fish consumption during the "last 7 days" prior to the telephone interview.

The difference in data collection procedures may result in different consumption patterns. Therefore, comparisons among the states should be interpreted with caution. Alternatively, differences in reported fish and shellfish consumption across states may be due to geography or other factors. For example, the fishing habits of the populations vary by state. In particular, Florida residents have easy access to marine fishing, whereas, Minnesota and North Dakota residents have good access to freshwater fishing, but not marine fishing.

This report focuses primarily on differences among groups of respondents within states, where the groups are defined by categorical independent variables for income, age and gender, race-ethnicity, education, and source of fish consumed. General observations are summarized below.

Overall, the percentage of respondents surveyed in the four states (Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota) that consumed fish and shellfish was higher for adults than children. The consumption rate in $\mathrm{g} /$ day was higher for adults than children, but the consumption rate in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day was generally lower for adults than children.

Anglers had higher consumption rates than the general population in Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota. Anglers were not sampled in the Florida survey. In Connecticut, Asians, commercial fishermen, Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP) participants, and Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC) participants also had higher fish consumption rates than the state's general population. In all four states, respondents that ate both
self-caught and store-bought fish and shellfish consumed more fish and shellfish than other respondents.

In the Florida and Minnesota/North Dakota surveys, the percentage of the population that reported eating fish increased with increasing household income. The Florida data showed a statistically significant difference: for households with annual incomes below $\$ 20,000,45 \%$ of residents reported they ate fish or shellfish during the previous week, whereas households with annual incomes above $\$ 50,000$ the percentage increased to $57 \%$.

Because age and bodyweight are closely related, fish and shellfish consumption per kilogram bodyweight adjusts in part for differences associated with age. The results from these four states suggest that a combination of females, non-whites, children, and those that consume both self-caught and store-bought fish will have the highest fish and shellfish consumption rate per kilogram bodyweight.

For race-ethnicity, the data suggest that Asians and American Indians may consume more fish and shellfish than Whites, Blacks, or Hispanics on a per capita and per consumer basis. Some significant differences between Whites and other race-ethnicity groups were found, with Whites generally being more likely to consume fish and shellfish, but having a lower consumption rate than other race-ethnicity groups. However, it is difficult to make generalizations because the differences among race-ethnicity categories were not consistent across states and were often not significant.

In the Florida survey, there was a significant increase in the percentage of the population that reported eating fish with an increase in the education level of the head of the household. A similar, though non-significant trend was seen in Minnesota and North Dakota. Although comparisons across states should be done with caution, given the differences in survey methodologies, some observations can be made based on per capita estimates. The per capita fish and shellfish intake (averaged across the entire population of those who eat fish and those that do not) is not directly affected by the length of the recall period and thus is more comparable between states. The estimated average per capita intake in grams of "as-consumed" (generally cooked) fish and shellfish per day is $27.10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Florida, $26.46 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Connecticut, 18.06 $\mathrm{g} /$ day in Minnesota, and $18.99 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in North Dakota. Given that the Florida consumption is likely to be underestimated because away-from-home consumption was not obtained for some respondents, Florida residents may consume as much or more fish and shellfish per capita than residents of the other three states. The estimates from the four states are higher than those for the entire U.S. general population as calculated from the USDA's 1994-1998 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII) (USDA, 1998). The average per capita consumption of fish and shellfish for the U.S. population, based on the CSFII survey, is $12.83 \mathrm{~g} /$ day.

Although fish and shellfish consumption data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2006 are reported in the Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition (U.S. EPA, 2011), they are presented in g/kg-day and therefore not directly comparable. The average per capita fish and shellfish consumption from NHANES 2003-2006 for all ages combined was estimated to be $0.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (U.S. EPA, 2011). The average per capita fish and shellfish intake from Florida, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota in g/kgday can be estimated by calculating a weighted average from all age groups and genders combined. These are calculated to be $0.47 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, $0.42 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, $0.29 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, and 0.33 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for Florida, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota, respectively. These are higher than the value obtained from NHANES 2003-2006 of $0.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. United States regional estimates based on NHANES 1999-2002 have also been reported (Mahaffey et al., 2005). The average per capita 24 -hour consumption estimates reported are: $20.8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for the Atlantic Coast region (which includes most of Connecticut and almost half of Florida); $13.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for the Gulf Coast region (which includes the rest of Florida); and $11.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for the Midwest (which includes Minnesota and North Dakota).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. OVERVIEW OF THE SURVEYS

Many state and local health agencies throughout the U.S. conduct area-specific surveys that monitor and evaluate contaminant levels in local fish as well as survey local populations for fish consumption behavior. These fish consumption surveys were compiled, summarized, and published by the National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), Office of Research and Development (ORD) of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition (U.S. EPA, 2011).

Three studies covering four states for which raw data were available were selected for further analysis. These were selected because they contained data for specific targeted populations, had adequate sample size, and obtainable raw data. In addition, they were selected because they identified recreational anglers and collected information on the amount of fish consumed that was purchased versus self-caught. Appendix A provides additional information about each survey and describes the development of the combined database with fish consumption data from all three studies. Reports on those three studies (referred to as the study reports) are:

- Steven A. Benson, Charlene R. Crocker, John Erjavec, Robert R. Jensen, Carolyn M. Nyberg, Constance Y. Wixo, and Jill M. Zola. (2001) Fish Consumption Survey: Minnesota and North Dakota, prepared by of the Energy \& Research Center, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND.
- N.C. Balcom, C.M. Capacchione, and D.W. Hirsch. (1999) Quantification of Fish and Seafood Consumption Rates for Connecticut; submitted to the CT Dept. of Environmental Protection, Contract No. CWF-332-R. CT Sea Grant Publication No. CT-SG-99- 02.
- Robert L. Degner, Charles M. Adams, Susan D. Moss, and Stephanie K. Mack. (1994) Per Capita Fish and Shellfish Consumption in Florida, University of Florida, Gainsville, FL.

Although these reports provide state-wide estimates of fish consumption rates, information provided in the surveys allowed for a more thorough examination of the variability in the survey population. Thus, EPA obtained the raw data from these studies and conducted further analysis of the data. The primary objective of the EPA's analysis was to identify populations that consume more fish and shellfish than the general population within each state and relative to the national data and thus may be at higher risk to exposure from contaminants in fish and shellfish. To achieve this objective, the study focused on estimating fish and shellfish
intake for not only the general population within the state, but also for recreational anglers, low income populations, children, and other targeted populations.

Table 1-1 presents summary information for the three studies that are discussed in this report. The three surveys had different questionnaires and procedures for collecting the fish consumption information, classifying the fish consumed into species groups, and processing the data. The primary difference between surveys was the method for collection of fish consumption data. All three studies used household surveys in which data was collected on fish and shellfish consumption for all or most members of the household.

Table 1-1. Summary of fish consumption surveys

| Study Location | Florida | Connecticut | Minnesota/North Dakota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population/sample/mode |  |  |  |
| General population | RDD <br> Phone interviews | Randomized HH list Mailed questionnaire | Randomized HH list Mailed questionnaire |
| Saltwater anglers |  | Convenience <br> Mailed questionnaire |  |
| Freshwater anglers |  |  | Randomized license list Mailed questionnaire |
| Commercial fishermen |  | Randomized license list Mailed questionnaire |  |
| Southeast Asians |  | Convenience <br> Mailed questionnaire |  |
| Food stamp recipients/WIC participants | Convenience <br> In-person interviews | Convenience In-person interviews |  |
| New mothers |  |  | Randomized list (MN only) Mailed questionnaire |
| Tribal members |  |  | Convenience <br> Direct contact canvassing |
| Fish | All fish for respondent. Fish eaten at home for other HH members | All fish for all family members | All fish for up to five family members |
| Recall | 7 days | Unspecified, asked rate of consumption | Past 12 months, asked rate of consumption |

Table 1-1 Summary of fish consumption surveys (continued)

| Study Location | Florida | Connecticut | Minnesota/North Dakota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Information on seasonal patterns in fish consumption | Calls uniformly distributed over 1 year, call date in the file | As reported by respondent | As reported by respondent |
| How fish consumption was asked | Type of fish/seafood, amount eaten, how cooked in the last 7 days <br> Asked specifically for 24 fish types and 13 shellfish types and room for 'others' | 1 - FFQ (how often/much fish/seafood types eaten) list 19 fish dishes + space for Other <br> 2-10-day diary including type, amount, preparation (for a subset of respondents) | Number of meals, including usual portion size for five categories of purchased fish/swordfish/shark, breaded fish products, canned tuna, shellfish, other fish) and seven categories of caught fish (panfish, walleye/sauger, pike/muskie, bass, salmon/lake trout, stream trout, other selfcaught fish) |
| How fish consumption was reported | Uncooked edible weight by person by fish | Apparently as-consumed weight of edible fish by person by fish ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ ), response units not carefully defined | Apparently, as-eaten consumption by person by category of fish, as reported by the respondent |
| Self-caught vs. storebought categories of each fish type | Percent caught by fish | Source check boxes: Selfcaught, store-bought, restaurant | Non-purchased or (storebought or restaurant) |
| Information on location of caught fish | Asked but not provided in the data file, respondent county known | Text description, name of waterbody, town | One of several geographic areas |
| Data collection period | March 1993 to March 1994 | July 1996 to May 1997 | October to November 2000 |
| Number of households | 8,740 | 810 | 1,568 |
| Number of individuals | 17,213 | 2,080 | 4,262 |

HH stands for household; FFQ stands for Food Frequency Questionnaire; RDD stand for Random Digit Dialing.

There are several approaches for collecting fish consumption data. The approach used depends on the purpose of the data collection. More detailed information regarding approaches used for collecting fish consumption data and their advantages and limitations can be found in
the report entitled Consumption Surveys for Fish and Shellfish: A Review and Analysis of Survey Methods (U.S. EPA, 1992). Five approaches reviewed by the EPA included: (1) recalled information collected by telephone; (2) recalled information collected by in-person interviews; (3) recalled information using mailed questionnaires; (4) diaries maintained by anglers; and (5) on-site creel surveys (U.S. EPA, 1992). Each approach has its advantages and limitations and usually a combination of various approaches is used to improve the validity of the data collected. Survey instruments used by the three studies are described below.

A mail survey instrument was used to collect fish consumption data for the Minnesota/North Dakota study (Dillman, 1978; Dillman, 2000; U.S. EPA, 1998). The questionnaire design in this study was aimed at obtaining the most recent years' intake of fish from all sources, personal information from each respondent, and enough sociodemographic information to categorize responses. In the Minnesota/North Dakota survey, respondents were asked the rate of fish or shellfish consumption in the past 12 months. An advisory board was selected to aid in the development of the survey design.

In the Connecticut study, a mailed survey questionnaire was also used to collect the fish consumption data, with a telephone follow-up (Peters and Houseknecht, 1992; West et al., 1993). A food frequency questionnaire was used in the Connecticut survey as a more accurate approach to collecting food consumption data than a 24 -hour recall survey. The Connecticut respondents were asked how often each type of seafood was eaten, without specifying a recall period. However, a long recall period was implied, since one of the precoded response options was the number of times per year.

For the Florida study, a telephone survey was chosen for its relatively low cost and the ease of probability sampling (Peters and Houseknecht, 1992; Dubois and Boivin, 1990; Block, 1982). To enhance the accuracy of the respondents recall, an approach known as aided recall was used. In this approach, the questionnaires used six commonly eaten types of finfish and five major types of shellfish as memory cues to screen for finfish and shellfish consumers. To improve portion size estimates, a range of portion sizes were offered to respondents (Block et al., 1986; Block, 1982). The respondents were asked for their fish consumption during the last 7 days prior to the telephone interview (Anderson, 1988). Thus, these data represent short-term consumption patterns and comparisons among the states should be interpreted with caution.

It should be noted that fishing habits of the populations in the states covered by the surveys are quite different. In particular, Florida residents have easy access to marine fishing and Minnesota and North Dakota residents have good access to freshwater fishing. Since the consumption differences between states may be due in part to different procedures used in the different surveys and the focus of the analysis is to identify subgroups with high fish and shellfish consumption, the statistical analysis focuses on differences between subgroups within
states rather than comparisons between states. At the same time, the data from the surveys were combined using common categories for demographic variables and a common definition of consumption in order to allow a general comparison of per capita consumption across states.

### 1.2. DIFFERENCES AMONG THE SURVEYS

The three surveys differed primarily in their procedures for the collection of the fish consumption data. In Connecticut, the respondents were asked how often each type of seafood was eaten, without specifying a recall period. However, a long recall period was implied by the option of responding using the number of times per year. In the Minnesota/North Dakota survey, respondents were asked the rate of fish or shellfish consumption in the past 12 months. In Florida, the respondents were asked for their fish consumption during the "last 7 days" prior to the telephone interview. All of these values were converted to a consumption rate and, using the raw data and the respondents reported weight, a consumption rate per kilogram bodyweight.In the Connecticut and the Minnesota/North Dakota surveys, the questionnaires collected data on all fish and shellfish consumption for all or most respondents in the household. However, in Florida, the questionnaire did not collect away-from-home fish and shellfish consumption data for children. Away-from-home meals are defined in the Florida survey as meals not prepared in the household (e.g., fast food places, restaurants, ready-to-eat meals, TV-dinners). As a result, the consumption estimates presented in this report for children in Florida tend to underestimate their fish and shellfish consumption. Also, the lower proportion of children eating fish and shellfish in Florida is due, in part, to not collecting away-from-home consumption.

In all three surveys, some populations were less likely to respond to the questionnaire than others. In addition, the Minnesota/North Dakota survey collected data on up to five household members and the Florida survey did not collect data on some household members if the primary meal preparer was not available. All three surveys collected data from a random sample of the state's populations stratified by county, referred to in this report as the state's general population. The fish and shellfish consumption estimates for the general population were weighted in order to calculate estimates for the state's population, after adjusting for different sampling rates in different counties and different response rates.

The Minnesota/North Dakota and Connecticut surveys also collected questionnaire data from members of targeted populations of interest. The consumption estimates for these populations were not weighted.

### 1.3. OVERVIEW OF THE REPORT

This report has three sections, this Introduction (Section 1) and:

- Section 2 describes data processing and statistical analysis methodologies, including:
o The conversion between raw and as-consumed weight of fish and shellfish;
o The calculation of analytical weights;
o The identification and handling of outliers; and
o The calculation of summary statistics (including percentiles).
- Section 3 provides a discussion of the results from the surveys.
- Appendices A though D describe the database development, QA/QC process, and calculation of percentiles, and provide a glossary of terms.
- Appendix E provides extensive tables and graphics, including:
o Tables of fish and shellfish consumption, as-consumed, for the general population; and
o Plots of consumption estimates with $95 \%$ confidence intervals and significance tests for the general population and its populations defined by state, state, age, gender, education, income, race-ethnicity, and fish type consumed. These plots provide basic summary statistics for the three surveys.

Because there are a large number of data tables, Appendix E begins with a listed directory to the tables within the Appendix organized to help the reader find the tables by consumption characteristics - consumers only, per capita, amount consumed, percent consumers-and state, age, gender, education, income, race-ethnicity, and type of fish consumed.

## 2. METHODS AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES

The quality of the fish and shellfish consumption estimates depends on the quality of the original data, the processing of the data to obtain consumption estimates for individuals, and the processing of the data to calculate the estimates within states and demographic subgroups. Appendix A has information on the surveys and provides details on the processing of the data. An important part of the processing is the conversion between raw weight and as-consumed (or cooked) weight of fish and shellfish. Section 2.1 describes how this conversion was done for each survey. Section 2.2 describes the variables used in the analysis. Section 2.3 describes the identification and handling of outliers. Section 2.4 tabulates the sample sizes for the analysis tables. Section 2.5 discusses the summary statistics calculated and presented in the tables. Finally, Section 2.6 describes the analysis weights used for the estimation of fish and shellfish consumption for the general populations in each state. All calculations were performed using SAS version 9.1.3.

### 2.1. CONVERSIONS BETWEEN RAW AND AS-CONSUMED WEIGHT

The weight of fish consumed can be expressed as the weight of fish as caught, as cleaned and prepared for cooking, and as cooked. As a general rule depending on cooking practices, the cooked weight is less than the uncooked weight due to moisture loss. The data from the three surveys were processed to estimate the raw weight and the as-consumed weight (raw or cooked weight, depending on how the fish or shellfish was consumed). Where possible, the procedures used by the original investigators were used for this conversion. In general, there was inadequate data to distinguish between weight loses due to trimming the raw fish or removing the shell from the shellfish (refuse factors) and due to the cooking method. The following sections describe the conversions to calculate the raw and as-consumed weights of fish and shellfish for each of the surveys. Additional details are provided in Appendix A.

### 2.1.1. Florida Survey

The Florida survey questionnaire requested both the quantity eaten and the cooking method used. The as-consumed weight of fish was calculated from the respondent's estimate of the volume of fish consumed compared to a slice of bread and the typical density of fish. The weight of shellfish was requested in units likely to be familiar to the respondent, such as pounds for lobster, number and size of shrimp, and cups of canned fish. The respondent's replies were converted to the as-consumed weight in the data files received from Florida. The reported asconsumed quantity was converted to uncooked weight using conversion factors documented in the study report and shown in Table 2-1. The conversion factors depend on the type of food
(several types of shellfish, other shellfish, or any finfish) and the cooking method. An assumed value was used when the cooking method was not provided.

Table 2-1. Factors for converting the Florida data from uncooked to asconsumed weights

| Cooking Method | Salad <br> Shrimp | Clams | Blue crab | Crab <br> meat | Imita- <br> tion <br> crab <br> meat | Conch | Crayfish | Misc. <br> shell- <br> fish | Finfish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fried | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.75 |
| Broiled | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.78 |
| Steamed | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.78 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 0.78 |
| Broiled or poached | 0.80 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.78 |
| Raw | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Microwave baked | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 0.66 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.87 |
| Conventional oven | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0.79 | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.79 |
| Grilled or smoked | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.78 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.82 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Other includes: other cooking methods, don't know, and missing data values.

### 2.1.2. Connecticut Survey

The Connecticut data files provide the quantity of consumed fish as "cooked weight of edible fish." However, for the few items that were clearly eaten uncooked (e.g., oysters, sushi), the quantity is assumed to be the uncooked weight. This weight is referred to as the asconsumed weight. The Connecticut respondents assessed the quantity of a food item by selecting a shape of the food item from a set of pictures and a corresponding thickness. Connecticut collected cooking method information for only a subset of the respondents and this information was apparently not used in the conversion to as-consumed consumption. When converting the reported shape and thickness to the as-consumed weight some assumptions were made such as assuming that certain dishes were eaten uncooked or cooked or that the reported shape corresponded to an uncooked or cooked food item. The conversion factors used were provided in an appendix to the study report. If the respondent reported the as-consumed quantity, then no conversion was needed.

For this analysis, the as-consumed weights in the data file were converted to uncooked weights. The conversion used the conversion factors in the report, if provided. In a few cases judgment was used to decide what conversion factor to use. Otherwise, a conversion factor from the EPA Mercury Study Report to Congress (U.S. EPA, 1997) was used. The conversion factors used to calculate the uncooked weight from the as-consumed weight for each fish or shellfish are shown in Table A-10 in Appendix A. If the conversion factor did not come directly from the Connecticut study report, a comment column explains the source of the conversion factor. In some cases the conversion factors were based on the report Agriculture Handbook (USDA, 1987).

### 2.1.3. Minnesota and North Dakota Survey

The Minnesota/North Dakota questionnaire asked for the "usual" portion size in ounces. Pictures of the food items with associated weights were provided as a guide. The pictures showed the food on a plate, suggesting that the weight provided with each picture was the asconsumed quantity. The respondent was asked the weight of the fish, and if that weight was the cooked or raw weight. The questionnaire did not inquire if the item was cooked before being eaten. The Minnesota and North Dakota data file has programming code to calculate fish consumption. However, this code ignores the uncooked versus cooked responses. A distinction between cooked and uncooked weights was made for this analysis. For the purposes of converting from uncooked to as-consumed or visa versa, it was assumed that all reported consumption was eaten cooked because the questionnaire was not specific enough to identify food items that might have been consumed uncooked. All food items were converted from asconsumed to uncooked weight by dividing the as-consumed weight by 0.75 , the value used in the EPA Mercury Study Report to Congress (U.S EPA, 1997).

### 2.2. VARIABLES USED FOR THE DATA ANALYSIS

The combined database has fish consumption data for Florida, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota. The variables were divided into three files, one with household information, a second with information about individuals within the sampled households, and a third with fish consumption information for each type or species of fish or shellfish eaten by each individuals. It also has information on individual fish and shellfish consumption of both self-caught and purchased (or store-bought) fish and shellfish. The total consumption for a specific fish or shellfish is the sum of the self-caught and store-bought quantities. The fish consumption rates were expressed in two units, grams of uncooked fish (i.e., weight before any cooking[g]) and grams of as-consumed fish (i.e., weight of fish as prepared for eating) per day (g/day). The data also include the type of fish (e.g., finfish or shellfish) and fish habitat (freshwater, estuarine, or
marine). The files with household and individual characteristics include demographic information.

### 2.2.1. Dependent Variables

For the purpose of analysis, the variables in the data file can be divided into dependent variables that are the primary focus of the analysis, and independent variables that may be associated with differences in the dependent variables. The primary dependent variables are the: (1) consumption rate in grams of fish or shellfish per day; (2) consumption rate per kilogram of bodyweight ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day), and (3) percentage of respondents that reported consuming fish and shellfish.

The data from the combined database were processed to calculate the total fish and shellfish consumption for each individual by summing the consumption across all (or a selected subset of) fish and shellfish species. For those individuals that did not report any fish or shellfish consumption, the total is zero. For some tables the consumption was calculated separately for (1) fish and shellfish, (2) fish habitat (freshwater, estuarine, and marine), and (3) fish source, store-bought or self-caught.

### 2.2.2. Independent Variables

Summary statistics for the dependent variables were calculated for selected populations defined by state, income categories, race-ethnicity categories, age and gender categories, and education categories. The following paragraphs describe the independent variables that were used.

Household income was derived from the original data files. Different surveys used different categories for classifying income. However, the categories used in the surveys could be combined to create the following common income categories across the three surveys: $\$ 0$ to $\$ 20,000, \$ 20,000$ to $\$ 50,000$, and greater than $\$ 50,000$. Fifteen percent of households did not provide income information. Households without income information were placed into an "Unknown" category.

Race-ethnicity was also defined differently in the three surveys. Categories were combined to create the following common set of generic race-ethnicity categories:

- American Indian
- Asian (includes a general Asian category, Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Filipino, Hmong, Laotian, and Vietnamese)
- Black non-Hispanic
- Hispanic (include a general Hispanic category and Central American, Dominican, Mexican, Puerto Rican, and South American)
- White non-Hispanic, and
- Unknown

The population was divided into age and gender groups using several different variables to create several sets of age and gender categories, including: adults (18 and older) and children (aged $<18$ ), ages 1 to $<6,6$ to $<11$ and 11 to $<16$ for children, ages 16 to $<30,30$ to $<50$, and 15 to $<45$ to cover women of child-bearing age, females 45 and older, 50 and over, and men aged 16 to $<30,30$ to $<50,15$ to $<45,45$ and older, and 50 and over. In the tables, these age categories are written as $1-5,6-10,11-15,16-29,30-49,50+, 15-44$, and $45+$, respectively.

Education of the head of household was defined differently in the different surveys. The categories in the different surveys were recoded to create the following common categories for the highest level of education:

- Some High School: Completion of some high school or 11 or fewer years of education.
- High School: Completion of high school, 12 years of education, or a GED.
- Some College: Completion of "Some College" or 13 to 15 years of education.
- College Graduate: Completion of 16 or more years of education, a 2-4 year degree, graduate or post-graduate education.

Some judgment was used to define the common education categories. In addition, different respondents were asked about their education in the different surveys. In the Minnesota/North Dakota study education was requested for the first two listed household members, the respondent and the second listed member. The combined files have the education of the respondent. The Connecticut study asked for the education of the head of household. The Florida study asked for the education of the randomly selected adult. Since the randomly selected adult may not be the head of household and the respondent (the person that filled out the questionnaire) in the Minnesota/North Dakota study was not randomly selected and might not be an adult, the measures of education level associated with the household are not completely equivalent between studies. The education is thus a general way to classify households rather
than a classification related to a specific definition of household education or an indication of the education of individuals within the household.

Based on their reported fish consumption, respondents were classified as eating only selfcaught fish, both self-caught fish and self-caught and store-bought fish and shellfish, or only store-bought fish and shellfish. A second classification grouped freshwater and estuarine selfcaught fish to create the classifications: (1) exclusively, (2) occasionally, or (3) never eats freshwater and estuarine self-caught fish and shellfish.

Finally, the Connecticut and Minnesota/North Dakota surveys collected fish consumption information from populations of interest, referred to here a targeted populations. The questionnaires for the targeted populations were collected in addition to the questionnaires for the general population. The general population and the populations are described in Table 2-2. The last two columns of Table 2-2 indicate if the respondents were selected randomly from an identifiable targeted population and, if so, the response rate reported in the survey reports.

Table 2-2. Targeted populations

| State | Populations | Sampled from: | Statistical Sample | Response Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida | General population | Random digit dialing procedure | Yes | Not reported |
|  | General population | Stratified random sample of household addresses | Yes | 6\% |
|  | Angler/Recreational fisherman | Lists of saltwater anglers Interviewers compiled lists of anglers at sites with shore- and vessel-based fishing opportunities along Long Island Sound | No |  |
| Connecticut | Aquaculture students | Students at a vocational aquaculture school | No |  |
|  | Asians | Southeast Asian households identified by one field interviewer | No |  |
|  | Commercial fishermen | List of licensed commercial fishermen | Yes | 10\% |
|  | EFNEP ${ }^{\text {a }}$ participants | Identified through Connecticut EFNEP offices | No |  |
|  | WIC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ participants | Identified through Connecticut WIC offices | No |  |
| Minnesota | General population | Stratified random sample of household addresses | Yes | 21\% |
|  | American Indians | Identified through members of the Bois Forte Tribe | No |  |
|  | Angler/Recreational fishermen | List of licensed fishermen | Yes | 21\% |
|  | Families with new mothers | List of women who gave birth in 1999 | Yes | 15\% |
| North Dakota | General population | Stratified random sample of household addresses | Yes | 21\% |
|  | American Indians | Identified through members of the Spirit Lake Nation and three Affiliated Tribes | No |  |
|  | Angler/Recreational fishermen | Two different lists of licensed fishermen | Yes | 21\% |

${ }^{a}$ Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program.
${ }^{6}$ Women Infants and Children Program.

### 2.3. IDENTIFICATION AND HANDLING OF OUTLIERS

Individuals with particularly unusual observations were removed from the data before the analysis tables were prepared. Outliers are observations that are particularly unusual compared to expected values or compared to other observed values. Outliers may be correct observations
for very unusual individuals or incorrect observations. Removing incorrect observations will improve the quality of the results by removing a source of bias. However, removing correct observations for unusual individuals can result in increased bias and reduced quality of the results. Unfortunately, without additional data collection, it is not possible to determine whether an unusual observation is an error or is a correct value for an unusual individual. There may also be observations that are incorrect but are not unusual. Such observations are difficult to identify without additional data collection. The procedures for identifying outliers focused on identifying highly unusual observations that were unlikely to be correct. Although the primary observations are estimates of fish consumption, important outcomes of the research is an analysis of fish consumption by age and an analysis of fish consumption per kilogram bodyweight. As a result, unusual combinations of age and bodyweight may also affect the fish consumption estimates. Decisions about how to detect and handle outliers are somewhat subjective. The objective was to remove the values that were most unusual on the assumption that these values were most likely to be incorrect and removing them would decrease the bias in the fish consumption estimates.

A common procedure is to remove cases from analyses if they have suspect or missing data for the variables in that analysis. Thus, for example, a bivariate analysis of bodyweight by gender would exclude cases with suspect or missing data for either of these variables. However, a multiple regression analysis of, say, fish consumption on weight, gender, age, geographic region, and ethnicity would necessarily exclude all cases with suspect or missing data for any of these variables. The regression analysis would therefore likely be based on fewer cases than the bivariate tabulation. An alternative approach would be to remove all cases with any missing or suspect data from any of the variables in any of the analyses. All analyses would be based on the same number of observations. This latter approach is what was used. As detailed below, a total of 13 observations were removed from all analyses due to outlying observations.

The highest eight age values were $98,110,120,140,190,190,341$, and 731 years. There were seven values of 98 years. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (CDC, 2002) is an ongoing national survey that collects health data on Americans. The NHANES data provides a comparison dataset against which to judge whether the age ranges and weight ranges in the various fish consumption surveys are reasonable. In the 2001-2002 NHANES data the highest ages are reported as $\geq 85$ years and $2.14 \%$ of respondents were in this bracket. In the data from the three studies, less than $2 \%$ of respondents have ages over 84 years. In the NHANES data, the oldest age when the respondent's heaviest weight was attained was 103 years. NHANES respondents were asked their age when various medical conditions were diagnosed. The oldest age of a reported medical condition was 98 years. Based on this information from the NHANES survey, seven values of 110 years or greater are assumed to be
unusually high, likely to be incorrect, and therefore outliers. One was from Florida, three were from Minnesota, and three were from North Dakota. Five of these records were also missing bodyweight data.

The six largest bodyweights reported were $400,416,420,500,530$, and 686 pounds. The value of 500 pounds appeared in the data four times. In the 2001-2002 NHANES data (CDC, 2002), the highest reported bodyweight for a respondent was 434 pounds; the self-reported greatest bodyweight attained by a respondent was 500 pounds. Based on the distribution of the bodyweights in the study data and the NHANES data, six values over 425 pounds were judged to be outliers. One was from Connecticut, one was from North Dakota, and four were from Florida.

If children have an exponential bodyweight growth rate up to approximately age 18 and fairly constant bodyweight after age 18, a plot of log-transformed bodyweight versus age would show a linear increase up to age 18 and a constant level for ages above 18. The distribution of the log-transformed bodyweights around the mean for a selected age would be expected to be roughly normally distributed. Figure 2-1 shows a plot of log-transformed bodyweight versus age. The plot shows the basic pattern described above. However, there are some unusual combinations of bodyweight and age and the linear relationship expected at the lowest ages may not describe the data. The unusual combinations of bodyweight and age were identified by: (1) fitting a non-parametric smooth relationship between log-transformed weight and age; and (2) classifying bodyweights that differed significantly from the predicted mean for the observed age as outliers.

The smooth relationship was fit using SAS PROC LOESS with two iterations, one for an initial fit and a second iteration to reduce the effect of possible outliers. Separate smooth curves were fit for each study to accommodate differences between populations and differences in how the data were collected and reported.


Figure 2-1. Log-transformed bodyweight (pounds) versus age (years).

Classifying the bodyweights as unusual assumed that the residuals around the predicted log-transformed bodyweights could be reasonably described by a smooth, roughly normal distribution. Figure 2-2 shows a Q-Q plot of the sorted residuals versus the corresponding values that would be obtained if the data had a standard normal distribution. If the residuals have a normal distribution, the data will fall on a straight line in the Q-Q plot. In Figure 2-2, most of the data fall on a straight line. However, there are several high and low residuals that are inconsistent with the assumption that the log-transformed bodyweights at a fixed age have a normal distribution. There are 21,293 residuals. If the residuals do have a normal distribution, the probability that all residuals are within 4.72 standard deviations of the mean is only $5 \%$. One criterion for identifying outliers from a normal distribution is to classify all values outside 4.72 standard deviations from the mean as an outlier (Barnett and Lewis, 1996). In this case that corresponds to classifying residuals outside -0.50 to 0.50 as outliers. Since the distribution of the data might differ somewhat from a normal distribution, as indicated by the slight curvature in the
middle of portion of Figure 2-2, wider limits were used. The most extreme residuals, judged to be those outside the range from -0.62 to 0.62 , were assumed to be outliers and were removed from the analysis. The cut-off of 0.62 was chosen because it corresponded to a gap in the Q-Q plot curve that separated the lower group of outliers from the majority of the residuals. The red values in Figure 2-2 were classified as outliers. Figure 2-3 shows the same data in Figure 2-1 with observations classified as outliers shown in red.


Figure 2-2. Q-Q plot of weight residuals (outliers in red).


Figure 2-3. Log-transformed bodyweight (pounds) versus age (years) (outliers in red).

Similar procedures were used to identify outliers in raw fish consumption ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day). SAS PROC LOESS was used to fit a smooth curve to the fish consumption data. The normality assumption for the residuals appeared to be reasonable. There were only one or two observations that appeared to be inconsistent with the overall curve. Based on 13,270 residuals, the probability that all residuals are within 4.63 standard deviations of the mean is only $5 \%$. In this case, a range of 4.63 standard deviations corresponds to residuals between -1.97 and 1.97.
However, to accommodate the fact that the distribution might not be quite normal, a cutoff of 2.20 was used resulting in classifying the lowest two residuals as outliers. Figure 2-4 shows the log-transformed fish consumption per day per kilogram versus age with the two outliers shown in red. Figure 2-5 shows the Q-Q plot for the residuals when fitting the log-transformed fish consumption in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day.


Figure 2-4. Log-transformed fish consumption as raw g/kg-day versus age (outliers in red).


Figure 2-5. Q-Q plot of fish consumption residuals (outliers in red).

Only the relationships between age and bodyweight and age and raw consumption (g/kgday) were used to identify outliers. A review of the other consumption variables found no other outliers. A total of 44 individuals out of 23,566 ( $0.2 \%$ ) were classified having unusual bodyweight or fish consumption and removed from the analysis based on the procedures above. Two individuals were removed due to unusual fish consumption values; 29 were removed due to unusual combinations of age and weight; and 13 were removed due to unreasonably high ages or bodyweights.

For most estimates, removing these outliers is not expected to have an important effect on the results. Removing outliers will generally have the greatest effect on estimates of extreme percentiles. Using data with and without the outliers, the minimum, maximum, mean and percentiles were calculated for the raw fish consumption in both $\mathrm{g} /$ day and $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for fish and shellfish consumers in the general population from each state and per capita. Most percentile estimates and means changed by much less than $3 \%$ as a result of removing the outlying values.

Differences that were greater than $3 \%$ are shown in Table 2-3. Even if the removed data values are correct, the estimates of extreme percentiles can be sensitive to the observed values and are not very precise.

Table 2-3. State level estimates that changed by more than 3\% as a result of removing outliers

| Variable | State | Statistic | Population | Estimate: <br> All Data | Estimate: With Outliers Removed | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish consumption (g/day) | CT | $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Per capita | 0.0106 | 0.1131 | 10.67 |
|  |  | Minimum | Consumers | 0.0388 | 0.4242 | 10.93 |
|  | MN | $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Consumers | 0.0590 | 0.4899 | 1.07 |
| Fish consumption per kilogram (g/kg-day) | CT | $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Per capita | 0.0002 | 0.0023 | 11.50 |
|  |  | Minimum | Consumers | 0.0007 | 0.0070 | 10.50 |
|  | FL | $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Consumers | 7.618 | 7.127 | 0.94 |
|  |  | Maximum | Per capita and consumers | 127.31 | 38.29 | 0.30 |
|  | ND | Minimum | Consumers | 0.0030 | 0.0047 | 1.58 |
|  |  | $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Per capita | 0.0452 | 0.0466 | 1.03 |
|  |  | $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Consumers | 0.0414 | 0.0438 | 1.06 |

### 2.4. SAMPLE SIZES

The summary tables in Section 3 and Appendix E tabulate fish consumption by state broken down by demographic characteristics or by the type of fish and shellfish consumed. Table 2-4 shows the number of survey respondents by state for the general population and targeted populations. The first two columns list the state and sampled population. The third column has the number of respondents in the combined data file. The fourth through seventh columns have the number of respondents for which summary statistics are presented after removing outliers. Sample sizes are shown separately for estimates of fish and shellfish consumption in $\mathrm{g} /$ day and $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day on a per capita basis and for consumers only. The difference between the sample sizes for consumption (g/day) and (g/kg-day) are due to missing bodyweights for some individuals. The sample sizes are for statistics that are broken out by state and demographic characteristics. Since an individual can consume multiple types of fish, the sample size column in the tabulations by type of fish consumed will generally not add to the numbers shown in Table 2-4.

Table 2-4. Sample sizes for the general population and targeted populations

| State | Sampled population | Number of respondents in data file | Number of records after removing outliers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Consumption (g/day) |  | Consumption (g/day-kg) |  |
|  |  |  | Per capita | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumers } \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}$ | Per capita | Consumers only |
| CT | Angler/recreational fishermen | 267 | 266 | 257 | 250 | 244 |
|  | Aquaculture students | 25 | 25 | 19 | 25 | 19 |
|  | Asians | 402 | 402 | 396 | 396 | 393 |
|  | Commercial fishermen | 178 | 178 | 171 | 173 | 166 |
|  | EFNEP participants | 71 | 71 | 60 | 67 | 58 |
|  | General population | 433 | 431 | 369 | 420 | 362 |
|  | WIC participants | 704 | 703 | 557 | 699 | 553 |
| FL | General population | 17,213 | 17,181 | 8,566 | 15,367 | 7,757 |
| MN | American Indians | 221 | 221 | 196 | 216 | 192 |
|  | Angler/recreational fishermen | 1,172 | 1,171 | 1,127 | 1,152 | 1,109 |
|  | General population | 843 | 841 | 796 | 837 | 793 |
|  | Families with new mothers | 415 | 415 | 352 | 401 | 341 |
| ND | American Indians | 134 | 133 | 78 | 106 | 64 |
|  | Angler/recreational fishermen | 872 | 871 | 825 | 854 | 808 |
|  | General population | 605 | 602 | 570 | 575 | 546 |

The summary statistics for the general population were weighted. Table $2-5$ shows the weighted totals for the general population in both $\mathrm{g} /$ day and $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day on a per capita basis and for fish consumers.

Table 2-5. Weighted totals for the general population, in thousands

|  | Consumption (g/day) |  | Consumption (g/kg-day) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Per capita | Consumers only | Per capita | Consumers only |
| CT | 3,378 | 2,854 | 3,296 | 2,804 |
| FL | 15,952 | 7,912 | 14,827 | 7,490 |
| MN | 4,900 | 4,623 | 4,897 | 4,621 |
| ND | 639 | 606 | 610 | 580 |

In tables displaying demographic characteristics, if the demographic characteristic was missing for some respondents, an "Unknown" category was created so the totals would be consistent across tables.

### 2.5. SUMMARY STATISTICS AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS METHODS

The tables in Section 3 show summary statistics for the dependent variables. Separate tables show statistics for per capita consumption and for consumer only. Separate statistics are shown for each category of the independent variables. The following summary statistics are presented in various tables:

- Sample size: The number of respondents used to calculate the summary statistics.
- Weighted population estimate, in thousands: The size of the general population represented by the respondents. The population size is not calculated for the targeted populations.
- Arithmetic mean (or average): For the population, this is the arithmetic mean fish consumption across all individuals (including zero consumption for those that ate no fish or shellfish). The arithmetic mean is useful for summarizing average consumption and estimating long-term exposure.
- Geometric mean: The geometric mean cannot be calculated when there are zeroes in the data. Therefore, the geometric mean is calculated only for respondents that reported consuming fish or shellfish. The geometric mean is an approximate estimate of the median consumption and, for fish and shellfish consumers, provides a better test of differences among population groups.
- Percent of respondents: Reported consuming fish or shellfish during a 7-day (in Florida) or year-long period.
- Minimum: The minimum reported consumption of fish and shellfish.
- Percentiles: The $5^{\text {th }}, 10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}, 90^{\text {th }}, 95^{\text {th }}$, and $99^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of the distribution of fish consumption quantities. Lower percentiles for the population (i.e., per capita) may be zero because some respondents did not report eating any fish or shellfish. For example, if $40 \%$ of the respondents consumed fish or shellfish, then the $5^{\text {th }}, 10^{\text {th }}$, and $25^{\text {th }}$ percentiles will be zero. All percentiles for consumers are greater than zero. As explained in Appendix C, extreme percentiles that cannot be estimated are shown as a dot, indicating a missing value
- Maximum: The maximum reported consumption of fish and shellfish.
- $95 \%$ Confidence interval for the mean.

Section 2.5.1 discusses the skewness and distribution of the consumption data and usefulness of the geometric mean. Section 2.5.2 discusses the calculation of percentiles. Summary tables in Appendix E provide means for subgroups, $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the means, and significance tests for differences of means among the subgroups. Section 2.5.3 discusses the calculation of the confidence intervals and significance tests.

### 2.5.1. Distribution of the Consumption Data

Table 2-6 shows the skewness values for as-consumed fish and shellfish consumption in both $\mathrm{g} /$ day and $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day or both for consumers-only of fish and shellfish and per capita. For a symmetric distribution the skewness is zero. Except for the log-transformed variables, all of the skewness values in Table 2-6 are greater than 3.0, indicating the data have a skewed distribution with a long tail on the high side of the distribution. For example, Figure 2-6 is a histogram of asconsumed fish and shellfish consumption for consumers in Florida. The distribution is highly skewed with a maximum observation $(2,338)$ much higher than the range with most of the data. Figure 2-7 shows a histogram of the log-transformed data. The log-transformed fish and shellfish consumption data is reasonably normally distributed.

Table 2-6. Skewness of consumption variables, general population (asconsumed weight)

|  | Per capita |  | Fish and Shellfish Consumers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | g/day | g/kg-day | g/day | g/kg-day | Log $_{10}$ (g/day) | Log $_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ (g/kg-day) |
| CT | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | -0.6 | -0.7 |
| FL | 11.1 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 8.3 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| MN | 8.4 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 9.8 | -0.3 | -0.1 |
| ND | 3.5 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 5.9 | -0.1 | 0.1 |



Figure 2-6. Histogram of fish and shellfish consumption, consumers only, asconsumed g/day.


Figure 2-7. Histogram of log-transformed fish and shellfish consumption, consumers only, as-consumed g/day.

For consumers, the distributions of both the consumption in $g /$ day and the consumption in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day are skewed. However, the distribution of the log-transformed values (see Figures 2-5 and 2-7) is reasonably normally distributed. Because many statistical methods, such as analysis of variance, have higher power when the data are normally distributed, comparing the mean of the log-transformed consumption, or equivalently the geometric mean of the consumption, may be more useful for comparing different categories of respondents. The arithmetic mean is more useful for summarizing average consumption and estimating long-term exposure. However, estimates of the arithmetic mean can be influenced by the small number of high consumption values in the data file.

All of the statistics for the general population are weighted to estimate state population values. For targeted populations, the statistics are unweighted. Means were calculated using SAS PROC MEANS. Geometric means were calculated as: GeomMean =
$10 \times($ Mean(log10(data))), where the mean of the log-transformed data was calculated using SAS PROC MEANS.

### 2.5.2. Calculation of Percentiles

There are many different methods for calculating percentiles. SAS provides several different algorithms for calculating percentiles. However only one of those algorithms (SAS PROC Univariate with PCTLDEF $=5$ ) is implemented for weighted data. The disadvantage of this option is that:

- The estimated percentiles are always equal to the observed values even though values between the observed values are possible responses. In this case interpolation between the observed values can be used to improve the estimate.
- When the number of observations is small the SAS estimates of extreme percentiles are all equal to the minimum or maximum. However, with small sample sizes the upper percentiles of the population may be greater than the observed maximum and lower percentiles of the population may be less than the observed minimum.

To remedy these problems, a macro was used to calculate weighted percentile estimates with the following characteristics:

- Percentile estimates interpolate between the observed values to create a continuous (rather than discrete) distribution function; and
- Extreme percentiles are reported as missing when the expected percentile is greater than the maximum or less than the minimum observed value.

Details of the algorithm are shown in Appendix C.
The percentiles of the consumption distribution are affected by the measurement method. If the data are meant to represent the long-term consumption rate, the ideal consumption estimate might be obtained using a detailed consumption diary with measurements of fish and shellfish weight, completed over an extended time period. Measurements based on a one-time questionnaire with approximate weights (judged perhaps in terms of the equivalent number of bread slices) will be less precise, sometimes over- and sometimes under-estimating the correct long-term fish consumption. As a result, the measurements based on the survey questionnaire are likely to be more spread out (have a higher standard deviation) than the true values. In
particular, the estimated upper percentiles may over-estimate the long-term consumption rate for those with the highest consumption rate.

### 2.5.3. Calculation of Confidence Intervals and Significance Tests

Appendix E provides confidence intervals and significance tests for differences in fish and shellfish consumption among subgroups defined by selected analysis variables. The confidence interval calculations assume the estimates have a normal distribution. This assumption is valid if the sample size is large or the log-transformed data have a reasonably normal distribution. When the data are highly skewed and the sample sizes in the subgroups are small (perhaps $<100$ ), the confidence intervals and significance tests are approximate. The significance tests evaluate if there are mean (or geometric mean) differences among all categories being tested versus a null hypothesis that the means (or geometric means) in all groups are identical.

Q-Q plots were used to evaluate the distribution of the data. Formal tests of normality to evaluate if the data deviated from a normal distribution were not done. The confidence intervals have the nominal coverage (in this case $95 \%$ ) if the data has a normal distribution or if, due to the central limit theorem, the sample size is large enough that the distribution of the mean has a reasonably normal distribution. As long as the data are roughly normally distributed, as are the log-transformed values, the results are not sensitive to the exact distribution. Since the logtransformed fish consumption values have a roughly normal distribution, confidence intervals for the geometric mean calculated from the log-transformed values should have the nominal coverage. Confidence intervals for the arithmetic mean will be approximate, particularly when based on small sample sizes.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SAS PROC SURVEYMEANS. Significance tests for consumption differences among subgroups defined by categorical variables were calculated using PROC SURVEYREG using analysis of variance. Significance tests for differences among subgroups in the percentage of respondents that eat fish were calculated using PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC. The significance tests excluded the "Unknown" category from the calculations. However, the mean for the "Unknown" category is still shown in the tables along with its confidence interval. Note that confidence intervals based on a small number of respondents can be very imprecise. If there is only one respondent in a subgroup, the confidence interval has width of zero, incorrectly implying great precision. Confidence intervals for subgroups with only one respondent were set to missing (indicated by a period in the output). Significance tests comparing the percentages of respondents that eat fish and shellfish among subgroups can be adversely affected if all respondents in a subgroup have the same response. In these cases, subgroups in which all responses were identical were combined with another
subgroup to create an "Other" group for assessing significance. The tables indicate which groups were combined to assess significance. For race-ethnicity in North Dakota, it was not possible to assess significance because all responses were identical in all but one subgroup.
$p$-values below 0.05 are described as statistically significant. If the differences among subgroups are statistically significant, apparent trends across ordered subgroups may be described without a formal test for a linear trend.

### 2.6. ANALYSIS WEIGHTS

All of the surveys collected some fish consumption data from members of randomly selected households within the surveyed states (referred to as the general population). Based on the sample selection methods described in the survey reports, statistical weights were calculated to calculate weighted estimates of population statistics, that is, summary statistics that describe the entire population of the state. The weighted results are presented for the general population. Details of the weight calculation can be found in Appendix A, Section A.6. Note that the weights adjust for missing household members in Minnesota and North Dakota (if there are more than five household members) and Florida (if the primary meal preparer was not available).

The Connecticut and Minnesota/North Dakota surveys also collected data from target populations of particular interest. The targeted populations are listed in Table 2-2. In some cases the populations were selected using a probability sample from a list of individuals (such as a sample of those with fishing licenses in Minnesota). In such cases, weighted estimates could be calculated for the populations. However, without additional information for calculating nonresponse adjustments, the weighted estimates would be the same as the unweighted estimates. Members of other populations were selected using non-probability methods, such as those that happened to go to the WIC office at the time the interviewer was present. It is difficult to describe the population represented by these respondents and there is no basis for constructing weights. Unweighted results are presented for all targeted populations.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The combined database used in this analysis has information on fish consumption in four states, Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota. The data are useful for identifying consumption patterns within states among respondents from the general population including various age groups, gender, and different targeted populations. The survey estimates may differ between states because of differences in fish consumption or because of differences in how the data were collected in the three surveys. The discussion is divided into five characteristics of interest within states, such as differences by income, gender and age, race-ethnicity and targeted populations, education, and fish source (i.e., self-caught and store-bought). A selected number of tables are presented in Section 3. This is followed by Section 3.7 discussing the differences between data collection methods in the different surveys and the resulting effect on the consumption estimates. The results and discussion focus primarily on patterns of differences among subgroups within states. However, a general discussion of consumption differences between states is also included at the end of this Section 3. Additional tables and plots showing more detailed results are included in Appendix E.

### 3.1. DIFFERENCES BY INCOME

The Florida data show a statistically significant difference in the percentage of the population that report eating fish among income categories ( $p<0.0001$ ), corresponding to an increase in the percentage with increasing household income. Differences in fish consumption by income are shown in Table 3-1. For households with annual incomes below \$20,000, 45.1\% of residents ate fish or shellfish in the previous week. For households with annual income above $\$ 50,000$ that percentage increased to $56.7 \%$. Differences among income categories in Minnesota and North Dakota show a similar pattern, but are only marginally significant ( $p=0.067$ ) in Minnesota. Table 3-2 shows that for Minnesotans that eat fish and shellfish, increasing income is associated with a significant decrease in the geometric mean grams of fish and shellfish eaten per day ( $p=0.012$ ). Table 3-3 shows that differences in the arithmetic mean were not significant. Other differences associated with income were not significant and not consistent across states.

Table 3-1. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and income (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted N/1,000 | Percent | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$0-20,000 | 41 | 312 | 86.8 | 74.7 | 98.8 | 0.9161 |
|  | \$20,000-50,000 | 155 | 1,179 | 85.3 | 76.0 | 94.7 |  |
|  | \$50,000- | 219 | 1,778 | 83.8 | 76.2 | 91.4 |  |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 73.4 | 50.1 | 96.7 |  |
| FL | \$0-20,000 | 3,746 | 3,408 | 45.1 | 41.8 | 48.4 | $<0.0001$ |
|  | \$20,000-50,000 | 7,353 | 6,814 | 50.0 | 48.2 | 51.7 |  |
|  | \$50,000- | 3,417 | 3,250 | 56.7 | 54.1 | 59.4 |  |
|  | Unknown | 2,665 | 2,480 | 45.4 | 42.0 | 48.8 |  |
| MN | \$0-20,000 | 89 | 373 | 91.0 | 82.5 | 99.6 | 0.0668 |
|  | \$20,000-50,000 | 328 | 1,802 | 91.3 | 85.0 | 97.6 |  |
|  | \$50,000- | 327 | 2,155 | 97.9 | 95.0 | 100.8 |  |
|  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 92.9 | 86.2 | 99.7 |  |
| ND | \$0-20,000 | 53 | 56 | 94.0 | 87.6 | 100.5 | 0.1729 |
|  | \$20,000-50,000 | 252 | 268 | 93.3 | 89.4 | 97.3 |  |
|  | \$50,000- | 239 | 251 | 97.1 | 95.0 | 99.3 |  |
|  | Unknown | 58 | 63 | 93.1 | 86.0 | 100.2 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Table 3-2. Geometric mean consumption, consumers only, by state and income (asconsumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Conf. <br> Weighted <br> N/1,000 | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Table 3-3. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and income (asconsumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup |  |  |  | Lower <br> Conf. <br> N/1,000 | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

### 3.2. DIFFERENCES BY GENDER AND AGE

By far the most statistically significant patterns in the data were differences by age. These differences vary somewhat among states and genders. The percentages of individuals eating fish and shellfish by state, age, and gender are presented in Table 3-4. As would be expected, the percentage of individuals eating fish and shellfish is less for children than adults. As children grow, the grams of fish and shellfish consumed per day increases to around age 18, after which the consumption rate continues to increase more slowly until about age 50 . On a per kilogram bodyweight basis, fish and shellfish consumption is generally higher for younger children.

Table 3-4. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and age/gender (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted N/1,000 | Percent | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 28 | 274 | 47.6 | 25.1 | 70.1 | <0.0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 28 | 259 | 80.0 | 61.2 | 98.8 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 22 | 201 | 86.1 | 67.4 | 104.9 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 17 | 141 | 79.9 | 57.8 | 102.0 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 88 | 656 | 87.1 | 80.4 | 93.9 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 79 | 579 | 90.9 | 83.6 | 98.1 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 14 | 119 | 70.5 | 48.3 | 92.7 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 81 | 600 | 92.9 | 87.3 | 98.6 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 63 | 461 | 90.5 | 82.4 | 98.6 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 76.1 | 48.4 | 103.9 |  |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 1,107 | 1,138 | 37.6 | 33.7 | 41.4 | <0.0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 943 | 962 | 39.3 | 35.5 | 43.2 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 865 | 849 | 42.8 | 38.4 | 47.2 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 1,636 | 1,518 | 48.7 | 45.8 | 51.5 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 2,546 | 2,296 | 56.4 | 54.2 | 58.3 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 2,367 | 2,142 | 55.7 | 53.5 | 57.8 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 1,702 | 1,567 | 45.9 | 43.0 | 48.7 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 2,673 | 2,411 | 52.9 | 50.9 | 54.8 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 2,347 | 2,127 | 54.4 | 52.2 | 56.6 |  |
|  | Unknown | 995 | 941 | 39.2 | 34.3 | 44.1 |  |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 47 | 437 | 97.4 | 92.0 | 102.8 | $<0.0001$ |
|  | Child 6-10 | 47 | 299 | 88.4 | 73.0 | 103.8 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 68 | 337 | 92.8 | 83.5 | 102.1 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 47 | 331 | 96.0 | 89.1 | 103.0 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 133 | 723 | 95.0 | 89.3 | 100.6 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 162 | 854 | 94.9 | 90.7 | 99.0 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 55 | 275 | 92.3 | 77.8 | 106.8 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 120 | 731 | 96.0 | 91.8 | 100.3 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 156 | 852 | 99.8 | 99.5 | 100.1 |  |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 1.5 | -2.0 | 5.0 |  |

Table 3-4. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and age/gender (with 95\% CIs) (continued)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted N/1,000 | Percent | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 31 | 30 | 91.5 | 82.6 | 100.4 | 0.0942 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 46 | 44 | 92.4 | 80.7 | 104.0 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 58 | 54 | 97.2 | 93.5 | 100.9 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 45 | 47 | 85.6 | 73.2 | 98.0 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 99 | 105 | 98.4 | 96.1 | 100.7 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 102 | 116 | 93.6 | 89.1 | 98.1 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 37 | 39 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 92 | 99 | 97.8 | 94.8 | 100.9 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 85 | 97 | 94.3 | 89.4 | 99.2 |  |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 75.2 | 39.5 | 111.0 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

The Florida data show a significant difference in the percentage of the population that reports eating fish and shellfish among nine age and gender categories ( $p<0.0001$ ), corresponding to increasing percentages of consumers with increasing age, up to age 49. The percentage for those 50 and over is similar to that for ages $30-49$. An estimated $37.6 \%$ of children aged 1-5 consume fish or shellfish during a one week period. For adults aged 30-49, $56.4 \%$ of females and $52.9 \%$ of males consume fish or shellfish in a week. Due to the survey procedures used, the Florida data will underestimate the percentage of children that consume fish and shellfish. Thus, the actual percentages for children may be higher, possibly making the trend versus age less significant.

In the Connecticut data, the percentage of the population that eats fish and shellfish is lower ( $47.6 \%$ ) for children aged $1-5$ and higher (roughly $80-90 \%$ ) for other age groups. This difference is statistically significant ( $p<0.0001$ ). Among fish and shellfish consumers, adults consume significantly more fish and shellfish than children ( $p<0.0001$ ). Consumption generally increases from the younger to older age groups, with a slight decrease for the oldest age group. On a per bodyweight basis, consumption for fish consumers varies among categories. However, the differences are statistically significant ( $p=0.0006$ on a per capita basis and $p=0.0004$ for fish and shellfish consumers). For consumers, consumption per kilogram per day has a generally decreasing trend from the youngest to the oldest age group.

Of those that do consume fish and shellfish, differences among age and gender categories are significant ( $p<0.0001$ ): children in Florida aged $1-5$ consume $28.98 \mathrm{~g} /$ day; adults aged 3049 consume about $60 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( 61.17 for females and 66.19 for males) (see Table 3-5). Above age 50 , consumption drops off. The per capita fish and shellfish consumption is a combination of the percentage of respondents that consume fish and the amount consumed. The per capita consumption also shows significant differences ( $p<0.0001$ ) corresponding to a significant increase to age 50 followed by a modest decrease for consumers over 50. The decrease appears to be due to a decrease in the quantity consumed per day rather than a decrease in the percentage of people that consume fish and shellfish.

Because bodyweight is closely related to age, the patterns in fish and shellfish consumption in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ of bodyweight versus age are quite different than for consumption on a $\mathrm{g} /$ day basis. In Florida, differences in per capita fish and shellfish consumption per kilogram bodyweight per day were statistically significant ( $p<0.0001$ ), decreasing from $0.89 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for children aged $1-5$ to $0.37 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for children $11-15$, increasing slightly for middle aged adults and decreasing slightly to $0.41 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for females 50 and over and $0.38 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for males 50 and over (see Table 3-6). Similar patterns were seen for consumers of fish and shellfish (see Appendix E). Any adjustment for not collecting away-from-home fish and shellfish consumption for children will make the differences more significant.

Table 3-5. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and age/gender (asconsumed g/day) (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted <br> N/1,000 | Mean | Lower <br> Conf. <br> Limit | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | $\boldsymbol{p}$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 14 | 131 | 8.92 | 5.60 | 12.25 | $<0.0001$ |
|  | Child 6-10 | 22 | 207 | 14.48 | 9.82 | 19.14 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 19 | 173 | 16.35 | 8.78 | 23.91 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 14 | 113 | 44.94 | 7.85 | 82.03 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 77 | 571 | 36.16 | 21.93 | 50.38 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 72 | 526 | 31.45 | 24.28 | 38.62 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 10 | 84 | 16.92 | 11.80 | 22.05 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 75 | 557 | 44.63 | 33.43 | 55.82 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 57 | 417 | 32.19 | 24.74 | 39.64 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 9.02 | -0.72 | 18.76 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3-5. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and age/gender (asconsumed g/day) (with 95\% CIs) (continued)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted $\mathrm{N} / 1,000$ | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 421 | 428 | 28.98 | 26.53 | 31.44 | $<0.0001$ |
|  | Child 6-10 | 376 | 378 | 30.52 | 27.51 | 33.54 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 365 | 364 | 40.58 | 35.47 | 45.70 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 791 | 739 | 54.62 | 45.97 | 63.28 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 1,446 | 1,292 | 61.17 | 56.31 | 66.02 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 1,315 | 1,192 | 47.18 | 44.64 | 49.71 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 785 | 719 | 72.00 | 60.33 | 85.66 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 1,406 | 1,275 | 66.19 | 60.90 | 71.48 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 1,272 | 1,156 | 56.85 | 52.46 | 61.06 |  |
|  | Unknown | 389 | 369 | 41.83 | 34.22 | 49.43 |  |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 46 | 425 | 8.17 | 4.77 | 11.57 | $<0.0001$ |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 265 | 10.76 | 6.09 | 15.43 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 63 | 313 | 12.89 | 8.08 | 17.70 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 44 | 318 | 38.44 | -8.98 | 85.87 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 128 | 686 | 16.31 | 13.58 | 19.04 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 150 | 810 | 24.53 | 17.89 | 31.18 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 52 | 254 | 8.00 | 5.77 | 12.22 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 115 | 702 | 21.16 | 15.16 | 27.15 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 154 | 851 | 20.88 | 16.98 | 24.79 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.05 | - | - |  |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 28 | 28 | 11.91 | 3.20 | 20.62 | 0.0155 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 41 | 15.98 | 9.76 | 22.19 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 56 | 53 | 20.32 | 11.86 | 28.78 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 39 | 40 | 12.10 | 7.92 | 16.27 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 97 | 103 | 18.08 | 13.76 | 22.40 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 95 | 108 | 25.87 | 17.75 | 34.00 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 37 | 39 | 16.36 | 9.96 | 22.77 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 18.75 | 15.03 | 22.47 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 80 | 91 | 24.00 | 17.02 | 32.97 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 31.88 | 5.11 | 58.65 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Table 3-6. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and age/gender (as-consumed g/kg-day) (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \mathrm{N} / 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 26 | 253 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.0006 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 26 | 239 | 0.51 | 0.33 | 0.69 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 21 | 193 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.41 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 17 | 141 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 1.28 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 85 | 634 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.61 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 77 | 563 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.54 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 14 | 119 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.25 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 80 | 594 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.57 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 63 | 461 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.42 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 0.09 | -0.03 | 0.21 |  |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 1,102 | 1,134 | 0.89 | 0.75 | 1.02 | <0.0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 938 | 956 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.50 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 864 | 848 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.44 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 1,537 | 1,477 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.52 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 2,264 | 2,178 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.57 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 2,080 | 2,025 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.44 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 1,638 | 1,551 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.52 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 2,540 | 2,383 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.47 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 2,206 | 2,090 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.41 |  |
|  | Unknown | 198 | 185 | 0.35 | 0.26 | 0.45 |  |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 47 | 437 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 0.83 | <0.0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 46 | 298 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.50 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 68 | 337 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.29 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 47 | 331 | 0.67 | -0.20 | 1.53 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 132 | 722 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.29 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 162 | 854 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.43 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 55 | 275 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.14 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 120 | 731 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.31 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 155 | 851 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.29 |  |

Table 3-6. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and age/gender (as-consumed g/kg-day) (with 95\% CIs) (continued)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1,000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ND | Unknown | 5 | 61 | 0.00 | -0.00 | 0.01 |  |
|  | Child 1-5 | 30 | 30 | 0.67 | 0.25 | 1.08 | 0.0617 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 44 | 42 | 0.51 | 0.28 | 0.75 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 55 | 52 | 0.40 | 0.22 | 0.58 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 42 | 43 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.24 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 95 | 101 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.35 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 99 | 112 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.50 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 36 | 38 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.31 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.26 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 81 | 92 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.39 |  |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 3 | 0.11 | -0.08 | 0.29 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

When looking at gender alone, a slightly higher percentage of Florida females consume fish and shellfish than males ( $51.5 \%$ versus $49.1 \%, p=0.0001$ ). Per capita consumption of fish and shellfish is higher for males than for females ( 29.15 versus $26.29 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}, p=0.0113$ ). However, because males generally weigh more than females, females consume slightly more fish and shellfish per kilogram bodyweight per day than males ( 0.50 versus $0.44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, $p=$ 0.0040). These results are shown in Tables 3-7, 3-8 and 3-9.

Table 3-7. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and gender (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted <br> N/1,000 | Percent | Lower <br> Conf. <br> Limit | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | $\boldsymbol{p}$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 205 | 1,617 | 85.1 | 78.6 | 91.7 | 0.5786 |
|  | Female | 226 | 1,771 | 83.4 | 77.5 | 89.3 |  |
| FL | Male | 8,262 | 7,662 | 49.1 | 47.7 | 50.4 | 0.0001 |
|  | Female | 8,110 | 7,517 | 51.5 | 50.1 | 52.9 |  |
|  | Unknown | 809 | 774 | 36.1 | 30.4 | 41.8 |  |
| MN | Male | 422 | 2,497 | 95.3 | 91.4 | 99.1 | 0.3933 |
|  | Female | 419 | 2,403 | 93.4 | 89.5 | 97.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ND | Male | 288 | 306 | 96.3 | 94.1 | 98.6 | 0.1378 |
|  | Female | 314 | 332 | 93.5 | 90.2 | 96.7 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Table 3-8. Mean consumption, general population, per capita, by state and gender (as-consumed g/day with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1,000 | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 205 | 1,617 | 27.45 | 21.65 | 33.25 | 0.5193 |
|  | Female | 206 | 1,771 | 25.56 | 19.54 | 31.59 |  |
| FL | Male | 8,262 | 7,662 | 29.15 | 27.29 | 31.01 | 0.0113 |
|  | Female | 8,110 | 7,517 | 26.29 | 24.44 | 28.14 |  |
|  | Unknown | 809 | 774 | 14.73 | 10.22 | 19.25 |  |
| MN | Male | 422 | $2,497$ | $16.35$ | $13.43$ | $19.27$ | 0.3630 |
|  | Female | 419 | $2,403$ | $19.85$ | $12.19$ | $27.51$ |  |
| ND | Male | 288 | 306 | 20.21 | 16.02 | 24.40 | 0.1626 |
|  | Female | 314 | 332 | 17.85 | 14.13 | 21.58 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Table 3-9. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted <br> $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | Mean | Lower <br> Conf. <br> Limit | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | $\boldsymbol{p}$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 201 | 1,581 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.2782 |
|  | Female | 219 | 1,715 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.53 |  |
| FL | Male | 7,911 | 7,568 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.0040 |
|  | Female | 7,426 | 7,229 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.53 |  |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 30 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.70 |  |
| MN | Male |  |  |  |  |  | 0.31 |
|  | Female | 419 | 2,495 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.1897 |  |
| ND | Male | 418 | 2,402 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.50 |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | 0.22 |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

The estimates for other states are less precise and the patterns in the data by age are less distinct than in the Florida data, most likely due to differences in sample size. In Minnesota and North Dakota the percentage of the population that consumes fish and shell fish is high and relatively constant for all age groups, with a slight, but inconsistent increase with age. The differences among nine age and gender groups are significant in Minnesota ( $p<0.0001$ ) and marginally significant in North Dakota ( $p=0.094$ ). Per capita fish and shellfish consumption is higher in adults than children, with significant differences in Minnesota ( $p=0.0001$ ) and North Dakota ( $p=0.039$ ). The grams of fish and shellfish consumed per day generally increases from the youngest to the oldest respondents. The consumption per kilogram bodyweight generally decreases from the youngest to the oldest age groups, with significant differences among age/gender categories in Minnesota ( $p<0.0001$ ) and significant or marginally significant differences in North Dakota ( $p=0.062$ per capita, $p=0.0001$ for differences in the geometric mean for consumers only). However the decrease is not as dramatic as in the Florida data.

In the Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota data, a general trend line for consumption can be drawn between the youngest age groups, (1-5 and 6-10) and the older aged groups (30-49 and 50 and over). However, the consumption for intermediate aged groups, those $11-15$ and 16-29, are not always consistent with the overall trend. The differences may be due to differences in the survey procedures, imprecision in the estimates, or to real patterns in the data that are not easy to generalize. One possible explanation is that young women increase their consumption of fish and shellfish at an earlier age than men who may not increase fish and
shellfish consumption until around age 30 . In addition, there is a wider confidence interval for females age 16-29 compared to that of other age groups. More investigation would be required to provide additional insight into the patterns across age categories.

Across all states there were generally consistent and significant age and gender trends, with more adults reporting consumption of fish and shellfish than children and adults consuming more fish and shellfish than children on a g/day basis. The fish and shellfish consumption per kilogram bodyweight is generally higher for children than adults. However the difference is not always significant. The consumption broken out by age categories is generally consistent with this summary, with the youngest children generally having the lowest overall consumption but the highest consumption per kilogram bodyweight. There are also consistent differences between genders, with males consuming more fish and shellfish, but less on a per kilogram bodyweight basis. However, the differences are significant only in Florida.

### 3.3. DIFFERENCES BY RACE-ETHNICITY AND TARGETED POPULATIONS

In Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota members of targeted populations were sampled separately in addition to being represented in the state's general population sample. In most cases, the populations were defined by race-ethnicity or factors closely related to raceethnicity. As a result, this section discusses both the results from the state's general population and from the targeted populations.

In the Florida survey, $50.9 \%$ of non-Hispanic Whites (hereafter Whites) reported eating fish or shellfish in the previous week (Table 3-10). A lower percentage of non-Hispanic Black $(46.2 \%)$ and Hispanic ( $45.0 \%$ ) respondents reported eating fish and shellfish during the previous week. These differences are significant $(p=0.0341)$. For those that consumed fish and shellfish, the consumption rate of fish and shellfish was less for Whites than for minorities $(p=0.0726$; Table 3-11). Similar patterns were observed on a per kilogram bodyweight basis ( $p=0.0025$; Appendix E). The higher percentage of Whites eating fish and shellfish and the lower daily consumption combine so that the per capita fish and shellfish consumption is similar for Whites, Blacks, and Hispanics.

In Connecticut, the number of minority respondents from the general population is small. However, differences among race-ethnicity groups are statistically significant ( $p<0.0001$ ) for all of the dependent variables. The general population respondents included 9 classified as Black of which only 3 reported fish or shellfish consumption (Tables 3-10 and 3-11). Thus sample sizes for Blacks are particularly small. In Connecticut, the estimated fish consumption and percentage of respondents consuming fish and shellfish is less for Blacks than other race-ethnicity groups. However, the sample size for Blacks was small in the Connecticut survey. Therefore, generalizations cannot be made with regard to this minority group. While a higher percentage of

Whites consume fish and shellfish than Hispanics and other minorities, Whites consume less fish and shellfish than Hispanics and other minorities.

Table 3-10. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and raceethnicity (with 95\% CIs)

|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Coighted <br> State | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | $\boldsymbol{p}$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| CT | Subgroup | Nhite, Non-Hispanic | 380 | 2,968 | 88.0 | 83.2 | 92.8 |$<0.0001$

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population

Table 3-11. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1,000 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 338 | 2,612 | 30.67 | 25.65 | 35.67 | <0.0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 13.03 | 8.45 | 17.61 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 15 | 126 | 41.85 | 18.18 | 65.52 |  |
|  | Other | 12 | 85 | 47.01 | 0.39 | 93.63 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 0.99 | - | - |  |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 6,607 | 6,053 | 53.17 | 50.77 | 55.58 | 0.0726 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 867 | 780 | 57.53 | 50.59 | 64.47 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 762 | 773 | 59.17 | 48.01 | 70.33 |  |
|  | Other | 191 | 166 | 71.11 | 50.70 | 91.51 |  |
|  | Unknown | 139 | 139 | 57.92 | 37.09 | 78.76 |  |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 735 | 4,197 | 17.17 | 14.43 | 19.91 | 0.5354 |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 45.01 | -10.06 | 100.08 |  |
|  | Other | 19 | 173 | 56.04 | -45.60 | 157.68 |  |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 22.25 | 12.13 | 32.38 |  |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 521 | 555 | 20.16 | 15.91 | 24.41 | 0.0578 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 15.72 | 15.03 | 16.41 |  |
|  | Other | 17 | 16 | 20.89 | 14.32 | 27.46 |  |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 33 | 17.37 | 8.07 | 26.66 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Table 3-12 and 3-13 show that anglers had higher consumption rates than the general population in Connecticut, Minnesota and North Dakota. In Connecticut, Asians, commercial fishermen, Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP) and Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC) participants also had higher fish consumption rates than the state's general population. In Minnesota, new mothers had lower fish consumption rates than the state's general population. American Indians in Minnesota consumed less fish and shellfish than other groups (Table 3-12 and 3-13). No significance tests were performed to evaluate these differences due to differences in how the populations were sampled.

Table 3-12. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and targeted populations (as consumed, g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subpopulation | N | Weighted $\mathrm{N} / \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | General | 369 | 2,854 | 31.41 | 25.84 | 36.98 |
|  | Angler | 257 | - | 49.16 | 40.87 | 57.44 |
|  | Aquaculture Student | 19 | - | 19.33 | 4.23 | 34.42 |
|  | Asians | 396 | - | 57.37 | 48.50 | 66.23 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 171 | - | 48.78 | 36.47 | 61.08 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 60 | - | 59.95 | 18.67 | 101.22 |
|  | WIC Participants | 557 | - | 45.85 | 38.57 | 53.14 |
| FL | General | 8,566 | 7,912 | 54.65 | 52.14 | 57.16 |
| MN | General | 796 | 4,623 | 19.15 | 14.66 | 23.63 |
|  | American Indians | 196 | - | 13.20 | 8.80 | 17.60 |
|  | Anglers | 1,127 | - | 21.68 | 17.90 | 25.46 |
|  | New Mothers | 352 | - | 16.83 | 12.75 | 20.91 |
| ND | General | 570 | 606 | 20.01 | 16.28 | 23.75 |
|  | American Indians | 78 | - | 26.04 | 11.50 | 40.58 |
|  | Anglers | 825 | - | 20.57 | 18.32 | 22.81 |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Table 3-13. Mean consumption, per capita, by state and targeted populations (as consumed, g/day with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CIs)

| State | Subpopulation | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \mathrm{N} / 1,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | General | 431 | 3388 | 26.46 | 21.30 | 31.62 |
|  | Angler | 266 | - | 47.49 | 39.31 | 55.68 |
|  | Aquaculture Student | 25 | - | 14.69 | 2.32 | 27.05 |
|  | Asians | 402 | - | 56.51 | 47.73 | 65.29 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 178 | - | 46.86 | 34.78 | 58.94 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 71 | - | 50.66 | 15.51 | 85.81 |
|  | WIC Participants | 703 | - | 36.33 | 30.35 | 42.31 |
| FL | General | 17,181 | 15,952 | 27.10 | 25.61 | 28.60 |
| MN | General | 841 | 4,900 | 18.06 | 13.76 | 22.37 |
|  | American Indians | 221 | - | 11.70 | 7.83 | 15.58 |
|  | Anglers | 1,171 | - | 20.87 | 17.17 | 24.57 |
|  | New Mothers | 415 | - | 14.28 | 10.61 | 17.94 |
| ND | General | 602 | 639 | 18.99 | 15.39 | 22.58 |
|  | American Indians | 133 | - | 15.27 | 5.74 | 24.80 |
|  | Anglers | 871 | - | 19.48 | 17.26 | 21.70 |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

Overall, there were often significant differences among race-ethnicity groups. The differences were not always consistent except that a higher percentage of Whites reported consuming fish or shellfish and Whites generally consumed less than minorities. The differences between the general populations and the targeted populations in each state are generally consistent with this summary.

### 3.4. DIFFERENCES BY EDUCATION

The Florida data show significant differences in the percentage of the population that consumed fish and shellfish among education groups ( $p<0.0001$ ). The estimates correspond to an increase in the percentage of the population that reported eating fish with an increase in education of the head of household. When the head of household had less than a high school education, $40.7 \%$ of occupants consumed fish or shellfish in a period of a week (Table 3-14). For college graduates, the percentage increased to $53.6 \%$. Similar, though non-significant, trends were seen in the Minnesota and North Dakota percentages.

Table 3-14. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and education (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1,000 } \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | $0-11$ years | 13 | 97 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.3444 |
|  | High School | 89 | 682 | 85.6 | 73.0 | 98.2 |  |
|  | Some College | 66 | 504 | 89.3 | 81.3 | 97.3 |  |
|  | College Graduate | 263 | 2,105 | 81.9 | 75.1 | 88.7 |  |
| FL | 0-11 years | 1,744 | 1,523 | 40.7 | 36.2 | 45.3 | $<0.0001$ |
|  | High School | 5,677 | 5,118 | 47.3 | 45.2 | 49.3 |  |
|  | Some College | 5,261 | 4,948 | 51.5 | 49.0 | 54.0 |  |
|  | College Graduate | 4,367 | 4,240 | 53.6 | 51.3 | 56.0 |  |
|  | Unknown | 132 | 123 | 39.4 | 28.5 | 50.3 |  |
| MN | 0-11 years | 46 | 214 | 86.2 | 70.9 | 101.6 | 0.4294 |
|  | High School | 236 | 1,332 | 92.9 | 84.6 | 101.1 |  |
|  | Some College | 260 | 1,330 | 95.3 | 91.4 | 99.3 |  |
|  | College Graduate | 256 | 1,808 | 95.0 | 90.9 | 99.2 |  |
|  | Unknown | 43 | 215 | 99.7 | 99.1 | 100.3 |  |
| ND | 0-11 years | 31 | 35 | 87.6 | 74.1 | 101.1 | 0.1680 |
|  | High School | 143 | 144 | 97.4 | 94.8 | 99.9 |  |
|  | Some College | 195 | 212 | 93.9 | 89.2 | 98.7 |  |
|  | College Graduate | 196 | 206 | 96.8 | 94.2 | 99.4 |  |
|  | Unknown | 37 | 42 | 87.2 | 77.7 | 96.6 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

### 3.5. DIFFERENCES BY FISH AND SHELLFISH SOURCE

For those that consumed fish and shellfish, respondents were classified by the source of fish and shellfish they eat, using the categories: eats only self-caught fish, only store-bought fish, or both store-bought and self-caught fish. A second set of categories was also defined to focus on whether respondents consume self-caught fish from freshwater or estuaries (classified as exclusively, sometimes, and never). Fish consumption was then summarized for these categories
of respondents. Since the differences using just the store-bought and self-caught categories were more significant, only those results are discussed in this report.

In all four states, using consumption in $\mathrm{g} /$ day or $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, and using the mean or the geometric mean, fish consumption is highest for those that consume both self-caught and storebought fish and shellfish as opposed to either only self-caught or only store-bought fish and shellfish. In all cases the difference is statistically significant. As shown in Table 3-15, those that eat both caught and bought fish in Florida consume $111.97 \mathrm{~g} /$ day compared to $49.64 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for those that consume only purchased fish and shellfish. In Connecticut, those that eat both selfcaught and bought fish consume $38.47 \mathrm{~g} /$ day compared to $29.80 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for those that consume only purchased fish and shellfish. In Minnesota and North Dakota these numbers are 24.30 and $23.31 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for consumers of bought and self-caught fish and 12.23 and $13.50 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for consumers of purchased fish and shellfish only. In all but Florida the number of respondents that consume only self-caught fish was relatively small. In Florida, the consumption rate for those that eat only self-caught fish and shellfish is similar to that for those that eat only store-bought fish and shellfish.

Table 3-15. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and angler status (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1,000 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Eats Caught Only | 1 | 9 | 0.99 | - | - | <0.0001 |
|  | Eats Caught and Bought | 74 | 559 | 38.47 | 25.44 | 51.51 |  |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 294 | 2286 | 29.80 | 24.51 | 35.10 |  |
| FL | Eats Caught Only | 600 | 493 | 45.59 | 40.35 | 50.83 | <0.0001 |
|  | Eats Caught and Bought | 802 | 667 | 111.97 | 97.35 | 126.60 |  |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 7,164 | 6,752 | 49.64 | 47.34 | 51.95 |  |
| MN | Eats Caught Only | 38 | 221 | 6.80 | 2.84 | 10.76 | 0.0001 |
|  | Eats Caught \& Bought | 556 | 2,747 | 24.31 | 17.70 | 30.91 |  |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 202 | 1,655 | 12.23 | 9.27 | 15.19 |  |
| ND | Eats Caught Only | 33 | 36 | 13.31 | 6.08 | 20.55 | 0.0054 |
|  | Eats Caught and Bought | 376 | 403 | 23.31 | 18.71 | 27.90 |  |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 161 | 167 | 13.50 | 7.88 | 19.12 |  |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, FL = Florida, MN = Minnesota, ND = North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

### 3.6. PROCEDURAL DIFFERENCES AMONG SURVEYS

Although there are multiple differences between the survey methods used for the three surveys, two differences in the Florida survey - the shorter recall period used and the exclusion of away-from-home fish and shellfish consumption-may have an important effect on the results. This section discusses the likely effect of these differences.

Differences in fish and shellfish consumption between states depend in part on the different recall periods used in the surveys. Respondents were asked how frequently they ate fish and shellfish (such as number of meals per week or month) and how much was eaten on each occasion. An individual's fish consumption is based on the product of the frequency (number of meals or servings per unit time) and the typical quantity per meal, summed over all species.

Between-state comparisons of the per capita (or population average for both consumers and non-consumers) fish and shellfish consumption are affected by the respondent's ability to recall their fish and shellfish consumption and by differences in reporting in response to different questionnaires. The respondent's ability to recall their fish and shellfish consumption may be affected by the length of the recall period (Medlin and Skinner, 1988). Even when the respondents have perfect recollection and reporting of their fish and shellfish consumption, the estimates of the proportion of respondents that report eating fish or shellfish and the consumption rate for those that report consuming fish and shellfish will be affected by the length of the recall period. Therefore, these estimates are not directly comparable between surveys.

The effect of recall period on the calculations is illustrated by the following simplified example. Assume for now that respondents have perfect recollection and reporting of their fish and shellfish consumption. If a respondent eats 112 g of salmon once every 4 weeks on a regular schedule and the recall period is 1 week, there is a $25 \%$ chance that the recall period will fall in the week when salmon is consumed. If so, the estimated consumption rate is the weight of salmon consumed divided by the length of the recall period in days, i.e., 112 g per 7 days $=16$ $\mathrm{g} /$ day. If the recall period does not fall on a week when salmon is consumed, the salmon consumption rate is $0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. For a group of respondents with similar salmon consumption patterns, $25 \%$ of respondents will be classified as eating salmon, for those that eat salmon the consumption rate is $16 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. The consumption rate is 0 for the $75 \%$ of respondents that did not eat salmon in the recall period. Across the targeted population, the standard deviation of the consumption rate is $6.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The average consumption across the entire targeted population is $25 \% \times 16=4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day .

If the recall period is 4 weeks, every respondent in the population will report eating one serving of salmon. Across the population of similar respondents, $100 \%$ of respondents will be classified as eating salmon with an average consumption rate of $4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. In this example, the estimated average consumption rate is the same, regardless of the recall period. However, the
percent of respondents that reported eating salmon and the consumption rate for those that consume salmon depend on the recall period. Table 3-16 summarizes the results for this illustrative example. Although the numbers would change somewhat, the relationships would be the same if the population also included some respondents that never consume salmon.

Table 3-16. Illustrative results for two recall periods

|  | Recall Period |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Result | 1 Week | 4 Weeks |
| Percentage of respondents eating salmon | $25 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Salmon consumption rate for consumers (those <br> that ate salmon during the recall period) | $16 \mathrm{~g} /$ day | $4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day |
| Per capita salmon consumption | $4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day | $4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day |
| Standard deviation of salmon consumption rate <br> per capita | $6.9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day | $0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day |

Although the arguments are somewhat more complicated, still assuming that respondents have perfect recollection and reporting of their fish and shellfish consumption, similar conclusions apply to the summary statistics calculated from the all of surveys. In general:

- Estimates of the percentage of the population that consume fish and shellfish depend on the recall period. Longer recall periods are associated with higher percentages of respondents consuming fish and shellfish during the recall period.
- Estimates of the fish and shellfish consumption rate (in g/day) for those that consume fish also depend on the length of the recall period. Longer recall periods are associated with lower estimates of fish and shellfish consumption rates by those that consume fish and shellfish.
- Per capita estimates of the fish and shellfish consumption rate averaged across the population do not depend on the length of the recall period. However, the precision of the per capita estimate does. Longer recall periods are associated with more precise estimates of the population average consumption.

Thus, still assuming that respondents have perfect recollection and reporting of their fish and shellfish consumption, the per capita fish consumption estimates may be compared among states with less uncertainty than the consumers only estimates. However, any comparison of (1)
the percentage of respondents that consume fish and shellfish during the recall period, or (2) the consumption rate for those that eat fish and shellfish must take into account the length of the recall period (Tran et al., 2004; Stern et al., 1996). Since the same recall period is used for all respondents within a state, comparison of groups within states has no similar problem.

The patterns cited in the bullets above can be seen in the data, although other factors also affect the differences. The estimated per capita fish consumption is similar in Florida and Connecticut, 26.9 and $27.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day respectively. However, half of the Florida respondent's reported eating fish or shellfish in the 7 day recall period; whereas, approximately $88 \%$ of the Connecticut respondents reported eating fish or shellfish over a longer 1 year recall period. For those that ate fish or shellfish, the consumption was $31 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Connecticut and $55 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Florida. As a result, the estimates of the percentage of respondents that consumed fish and shellfish and the consumption rate for those that consumed fish or shellfish during the recall period are not directly comparable between surveys.

The simplifying assumption in the previous paragraphs may not be realistic. In particular, the following factors may also affect comparison of the fish and shellfish consumption between states:

- The surveys used different operational definitions of a serving or meal;
- The surveys used different questions to assess the quantity of fish and shellfish consumed in an average serving;
- Beside differences in the recall period, the surveys used different questions to assess the frequency of fish and shellfish consumption;
- The surveys used different methods to summarize the data and convert between uncooked and as-consumed weights (see Section 2.1);
- The methods used to aid recall, such as providing a list of possible fish species, differed among surveys; and
- With longer recall periods, respondents will have more difficulty remembering the fish and shellfish that they consumed, how often it was consumed, and quantity consumed. As a result, respondents may compensate by overestimating or underestimating their fish and shellfish consumption.

One important difference between the survey methods in Florida and those in the other states is that the Florida questionnaire procedures did not collect away-from-home consumption for household members other than the randomly selected adult respondent (RSA). As a result the fish consumption in Florida is underestimated. Using fish and shellfish consumption in raw
$\mathrm{g} /$ day, the mean fish and shellfish consumption for the RSA is 2.12 times the at-home consumption. Assuming this ratio holds for the as-consumed consumption rate and holds for children also, the fish and shellfish consumption for children, including the away-from-home consumption, would be 2.12 times the values shown in the tables. Since away-from-home proportion of fish and shellfish consumption for children is likely to be lower than for adults, the fish and shellfish consumption for children is likely to be between 1 and 2.12 times the values in the tables. When combining the RSA, for whom away-from-home consumption is available, and the other adults in the home, for whom away-from-home consumption was not available, the fish consumption for adults would be higher than the tabled values by a factor of 1.46.

### 3.7. COMPARISONS AMONG STATES

The procedural differences described in Section 3.7 make comparisons among states difficult to interpret. Therefore, the tables and discussions emphasize comparison among subgroups within states rather than comparisons among states. However, some observations can be made.

The percentages of state residents that consumed fish and shellfish during the recall period were $50 \%$ for Florida, $90 \%$ for Connecticut, $94 \%$ for Minnesota, and $95 \%$ for North Dakota (Table 3-17). The proportion of state residents that consumed fish and shellfish, as estimated from the survey data, was much lower for Florida than for the other states. This difference is due in part to the shorter recall period in the Florida survey. The Florida survey used a seven day recall period. The Minnesota and North Dakota surveys used a 1 year recall period. The recall period for the Connecticut survey was not specified, however the questionnaire response category "\# times per year" for the question "How often do you eat each type of seafood?" allows for recall periods of up to a year. The proportion of respondents eating fish and shellfish in Connecticut is more comparable to the proportion in Minnesota and North Dakota. However, differences may still be due to differences in how the questions were asked.

Table 3-17. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and subpopulation (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Population | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted <br> $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | Percent | Lower <br> Conf. <br> Limit | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | General | 431 | 3388 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.92 |
|  | Angler | 266 | - | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.99 |
|  | Aquaculture Student | 25 | - | 0.76 | 0.56 | 0.96 |
|  | Asians | 402 | - | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 178 | - | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.99 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 71 | - | 0.85 | 0.71 | 0.98 |
|  | WIC Participants | 703 | - | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FL | General | 17,181 | 15,952 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MN | General | 841 | 4,900 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.98 |
|  | American Indians | 221 | - | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.96 |
|  | Anglers | 1,171 | - | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
|  | New Mothers | 415 | - | 0.85 | 0.79 | 0.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ND | General | 602 | 639 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.97 |
|  | American Indians | 133 | - | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.74 |
|  | Anglers | 871 | - | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.97 |

$\mathrm{CT}=$ Connecticut, $\mathrm{FL}=$ Florida, $\mathrm{MN}=$ Minnesota, $\mathrm{ND}=$ North Dakota, $\mathrm{N}=$ population.

For those that consumed fish and shellfish, the quantity consumed depends on the recall period. The average fish consumption for those that reported any consumption is $31.41 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Connecticut, $54.65 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Florida, $19.15 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Minnesota, and $20.01 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in North Dakota (Table 3-12). These consumption rates are for the fish and shellfish as-consumed. The higher value for Florida reflects, in part, the shorter recall period.

The per capita fish and shellfish consumption rate across the entire population (those that eat fish and those that do not) is not directly affected by the length of the recall period and thus is more comparable between states. However uncertainties arise because there might be differences in the survey estimates due to how the fish consumption data were collected by the different surveys and how well the respondents remembered the fish and shellfish they ate. Each survey obtained information on the frequency and quantity using different questions. Some
questions may elicit better recall or different responses than others, resulting in differences in the per capita fish and shellfish consumption between states. In general, it is difficult to say how the different data collection methodologies might affect the per capita estimates.

In Florida, the survey procedures are likely to underestimate the total fish and shellfish consumption. The Florida survey collected away-from-home fish consumption for the randomly selected adult respondent, but not for other adults in the household and not for children. As a result, the estimated per capita fish and shellfish consumption in Florida is likely to underestimate the true amount. The estimated average per capita consumption for the general population was 27.10 g/day in Florida, 26.46 g/day in Connecticut, $18.06 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in Minnesota, and $18.99 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in North Dakota (Table 3-13). The highest estimated consumption was in Florida. Given that the Florida consumption is likely to be underestimated, one might conclude that Florida residents consume as much or more fish and shellfish per capita than residents of the other three states. At the same time possible differences in how well respondents can estimate consumption over different recall periods and other differences between the surveys make any comparison more speculative.

The average per capita consumption of fish and shellfish for the U.S. population, based on the CSFII survey, is $12.83 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (U.S. EPA, 2002). This consumption rate is somewhat lower than the per capita values for the general population in Florida, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota shown above. Although fish and shellfish consumption data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2006 are reported in the Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition (U.S. EPA, 2011), they are presented in g/kg-day and therefore not directly comparable. The average per capita fish and shellfish consumption from NHANES 2003-2006 for all ages combined was estimated to be $0.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. The average per capita fish and shellfish intake from Florida, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota in g/kg-day can be estimated from Table 3-8 by calculating a weighted average from all age groups and genders combined. These are calculated to be $0.47 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, $0.42 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, $0.29 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, and 0.33 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for Florida, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota, respectively. These are higher than the value obtained from NHANES 2003-2006. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES; CDC, 2002) survey has also collected fish consumption data. United States regional estimates based on NHANES 1999-2002 have been reported (Mahaffey et al., 2005). The average per capita 24-hour consumption estimates reported are: $20.8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for the Atlantic Coast region (which includes most of Connecticut and almost half of Florida; 13.5 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ for the Gulf Coast region (which includes the rest of Florida) and $11.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for the Midwest (which includes Minnesota and North Dakota).

Differences between states may also be associated with seasonal or year-to-year changes in fish consumption. The Minnesota and North Dakota data were collected in September and

October. The data from the other surveys were collected over a year-long period. Consumption of self-caught fish is higher in summer months and lower in the winter. Although respondents in Minnesota and North Dakota were asked about fish and shellfish consumption in the last year, the timing of the survey may have affected the responses. There may also have been changes in fish and shellfish consumption across years that would affect the comparisons, since the three surveys were completed in different years. The effect of changes over time has not been assessed.

### 3.8. LIMITATIONS OF THE ANALYSIS

The analysis reported here consists primary of tabulated means by subgroups within states. This represents a first attempt to find factors that might identify populations that consume more fish and shellfish than the mean for the general population within each state and thus may be at greater risk from contaminants in fish and shellfish. More complicated statistical models may be used in the future.

Although the data from the three surveys discussed in this report provide a useful source of information on fish consumption, any analysis results are limited by the fact that the original data files for Connecticut and Florida had some missing and inconsistent values such that EPA could not replicate numerous statistics derived from the original study reports. Conclusions that might apply to other states outside of the four states covered by the surveys are limited due to differences among the surveys and to unknown differences between the four states and other regions of the United States.

## 4. REFERENCES

Anderson, S.A. (1988) Guidelines for use of dietary intake data. J. Amer. Diet. Assoc. 88(10):1258-1259.
Balcom, N.C., Capacchione, C.M., Hirsch, D.W. (1999) Quantification of fish and seafood consumption rates for Connecticut, submitted to the CT Dept. of Environmental Protection, Contract No. CWF-332-R. CT Sea Grant Publication No. CT-SG-99-02. 187 pp.

Barnett, V., Lewis, T. (1996) Outliers in statistical data. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ed. reprinted with corrections. Chichester, NY: John Wiley and Sons.

Benson, S.A., Crocker, C.R., Erjavec, J., et al. (2001) Fish consumption survey: Minnesota and North Dakota, prepared by the Energy and Research Center, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND.

Blake, A.J., Guthrie, H.A., Smiciklas-Wright, H. (1989) Accuracy of food portion estimation by overweight and normal-weight subjects. J. Amer. Diet. Assoc. 89(7):962-966.

Block, G., Hartman, C.M., Dresserr, C.M., et al. (1986) A data-based approach to diet questionnaire design and testing. Amer. J. Epidemiol. 124(3):453-469.

Block, G. (1982) A review of validations of dietary assessment methods. Amer. J. Epidemiol. 115(6):492-504.
Centers for Disease Control (CDC). (2002) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) National Center of Health Statistics (NCHS). NHANES' web site http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nhanes2001-2002/nhanes01_02.htm.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2006) National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Data 2005-2006. US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Controland Prevention: Hyattsville, MD 2006, Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nhanes2005-2006/nhanes05_06.htm.

Degner, R.L., Adams, C.M., Moss, S.D., et al. (1994) Per capita fish and shellfish consumption in Florida, University of Florida, Gainsville, FL.

Dillman, D.A. (1978) Mail and telephone surveys: The total design method. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 325 pp .

Dillman, D.A. (2000) Mail and internet surveys: The tailored design method. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ed. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

Dubois, S., Boivin, J.F. (1990) Accuracy of telephone dietary recalls in elderly subjects. J. Amer. Diet. Assoc. 90(12):1680-1687.

Hyndman, R.J., Fan, Y. (1996) Sample quantiles in statistical packages, Am. Statistician. 50(4): 361-365.
Lohr, S.L. (1999) Sampling: design and analysis. Pacific Grove, CA: Duxbury Press.
Mahaffey, K.R., Clickner, R.P., Phillips, L.J., Jeffries, R.A. (2005) Patterns of fish and shellfish consumption in the U.S. population. Presented to the International Society of Exposure Analysis. November 1, 2005.

Medlin, C., Skinner, J.D. (1988) Individual dietary intake methodology: a 50-year review of progress. J. Am. Diet Assoc. 88(10):1250-1257.

Peters, E., Houseknect, C. (1992) A review and analysis of fish/shellfish consumption survey methods and approaches. Tetra Tech. Inc., EPA Contract No. 68-C9-0013.

Portier, K.M., Um, Y., Degner, R.L., Mack, S.K., Adams, C.M. (1995) Statistical analysis of Florida per capita fish and shellfish consumption data, Florida Department of Environmental Protection in fulfillment of contract SP332. Florida Agricultural Research Market Center Food and Resource Economic Department, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Univeristy of Florida, Gainsville, Fl. Available at http://www.fred.ifas.ufl.edu/agmarketing/pubs/1990s/Fish\ \&\ Shellfish\ statistical\ analysis.pd f.

Rogers, J.W. (2003) Estimating the variance of percentiles using replicate weights. ASA Proceedings of the Joint Statistical Meetings, 3525-3532. Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.

Stern, A.H., Korn, L.R., Ruppel, B.E. (1996) Estimation of fish consumption and methylmercury intake in the New Jersey population. J. Expo Anal. Environ. Epidemiol. 6:503-525.

Tran, N.L., Barraj, L., Smith K., Javier, A., Burke, T.A. (2004) Combining food frequency and survey data to quantify long-term dietary exposure: a methyl mercury case study. Risk Anal. 24(1):19-30.

USDA Agricultural Research Service. (1987) Composition of foods: finfish and shellfish products: Raw, processed, prepared. Agriculture Handbook:8-15.

USDA. (1998) Continuing survey of food intakes by individuals: 1994-96, 1998. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service.
U.S. EPA. (1992) Consumption surveys for fish and shellfish: A review and analysis of survey methods. Office of Water, Washington, D.C. EPA/822/R-92/001.
U.S. EPA. (1997) Mercury study report to Congress. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Office of Research and Development, Washington, D.C. EPA-452/R-97-003.
U.S. EPA. (1998) Guidance for conducting fish and wildlife consumption surveys. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C. EPA-823-B-98-007.
U.S. EPA. (2002) Estimated per capita fish consumption in the United States. Chapter 4.1.1.1 Table 3. (http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/health/upload/consumption_report.pdf).
U.S. EPA. (2008) Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook (Final Report) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-06/096F, 2008. Available at http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=199243
U.S. EPA. (2011) Exposure Factors Handbook 2011 Edition (Final). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-09/052F, 2011. Available at http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=236252.

West, P.C., Fly, J.M., Marans, R., Larkin, F., Rosenblatt, D. (1993) 1991-1992 Michigan sport anglers fish consumption study. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan Department of Natural Resources

## APPENDIX A. DATABASE DEVELOPMENT

## A.1. INTRODUCTION

The following sections described the processing of the data from the three studies to convert them to a common format in a combined file. The first step was to process each study's data to create SAS files with the same file organization across studies. Sections A.2, A.3, and A. 4 describe the processing of the data from individual studies. Section A. 5 describes how the files were combined to create one file for further analysis. Section A. 6 describes how approximate sampling weights were constructed.

File names are shown in capital letters (such as HOMERH), generally without the extension. In the text, variable names are shown in Courier font (such as CNTYNAME).

Abbreviations used throughout the appendix are shown in Table A-1. The general approach was to reorganize the files to create one file with household information, a second file with information about individuals within households (excluding the household information common to all individuals in the same household), and a third file with fish consumption information for each individual (excluding information in the other files). For analysis, these files are combined to create a file for analysis. From the combined files, fish consumption quantities are totaled across species to calculate a total for each individual. These totals are then merged with the individual and household data to create a file with one record per individual for analysis. When the files are merged, individuals with no fish consumption in the fish consumption file are given a value of 0 for total fish consumption.

Table A-1. Abbreviations used throughout the data documentation report

| FL | Florida |
| :--- | :--- |
| CT | Connecticut |
| MN | Minnesota |
| ND | North Dakota |
| HH | Household |
| RSQ | Food frequency questionnaire |
| PMP | Randomly selected adult (in the Florida survey) |
| MNND | Primary meal preparer (in the Florida survey) |

## A.2. FLORIDA DATA

## A.2.1. Description of the Survey and the Original Data Files

## A.2.1.1. Survey methodology

The Florida data were collected by telephone from a random sample of households stratified by county. The telephone survey approach was chosen because of its relatively low cost and the ease of probability sampling (Peters and Houseknecht, 1992). The telephone surveys targeted two populations, residents statewide and residents of five counties where industrial pollution from bleached kraft paper mills could result in chemically contaminated fish and shellfish. Households from all counties in Florida were sampled at the same sampling rate for the "State" sample. An additional supplemental sample of 740 households was selected in the five counties that had paper mills (Escambia, Gulf, Nassau, Putnam, and Taylor). In total, 1,000 households were interviewed in the five paper mill counties ( 260 from the State sample plus 740 from the supplemental sample). In the study report, the "Paper Mill" sample refers to the 1,000 households from counties with paper mills. Data collection took place from March 15, 1993 to March 13, 1994.

In each household, one randomly selected adult (RSA) was selected. The RSA was asked about his/her away-from-home fish and shellfish consumption (referred to here as just fish consumption). Then the household primary meal preparer (PMP), if available, was asked about at-home fish consumption by all family members (referred to as householders in the study report). If the PMP was not available or the RSA was the only household member, the RSA was asked about their at-home fish consumption. According to the published questionnaire, if the PMP was not available and the RSA was not the only household member, fish consumption for non-RSA household members was not collected. Therefore, the data may underestimate the inhome fish consumption for non-RSA household members and the data do not have away-fromhome fish consumption for non-RSA household members. The analysis weights adjust for the lack of information for non-RSA household members in households where the PMP was not available. However the weights do not adjust for missing away-from-home fish and shellfish consumption. According to the survey report, the primary meal preparer was available in 6,617 of the 8,000 households in the general population.

## A.2.1.2. Survey data files

The Florida data were received in seven files. The data in the files overlap. The files and their contents are:

- HOMERH has at-home fish consumption for the RSA for the State sample of 8,000 respondents;
- AWAYRH has away-from-home fish consumption for the RSA for the State sample of 8,000 respondents;
- HOMERC has at-home fish consumption for the RSA for the Paper Mill sample, with 1,000 respondents. The Paper Mill sample includes respondents from the State sample that lived in the counties with paper mills;
- AWAYRC has away-from-home fish consumption for the RSA for the Paper Mill sample, with 1,000 respondents;
- STHHOLD has at-home fish consumption for all household members in the State sample. This file is essentially the concatenation of HOMERH and the at-home fish consumption data for the non-RSA household members;
- COHHOLD has at-home fish consumption for all household members for the Paper Mill sample. This file is essentially the concatenation of HOMERC and at-home fish consumption data from the non-RSA household members in the paper mill counties; and
- Finally the TOTALRH file has the total fish consumption by species for each RSA in the State sample. This total is essentially the sum of the values in AWAYRH and HOMERH.

The study reports show 15,672 individuals in the State sample. However, two RSAs in the HOMERH file were not in the STHHOLD file. Thus, after combining the data from all of the files, the processed data files have 15,674 individuals in the State sample. The study report also shows 2,099 household members in the Paper Mill sample; the processed files have 2,100 household members in the Paper Mill sample.

## A.2.2. Processing of the Data Files

## A.2.2.1. Demographic data

Each of the files mentioned in Section A.2.1.2 include demographic data. However, for some individuals, the demographic data differed among files or was present in some files and not in others. Also, most of the bodyweight values for the RSA's were missing. In response to questions, Professor Ken Portier from the University of Florida, who was one of the authors of the study report and published some additional analysis of the data, provided an additional file (WTOT96.TXT) with the RSA bodyweights for the State sample. However, many of the bodyweight values for RSAs in the Paper Mill supplemental sample were still missing.

Various data files were used to attempt to replicate some of the numbers in the study report. Use of the TOTALRH file provided the closest approximation to the values of total consumption and recreationally caught consumption by species for the RSA State sample. The demographic data in the WTOT96.TXT file agreed with the corresponding data in the TOTALRH file (when the data in TOTALRH was not missing). In addition, the WTOT96.TXT demographic variables were also apparently used in the analysis performed by Professor Portier (Portier et al., 1995). As a result, the values in the WTOT96.TXT file were assumed to be the correct demographic variables for the State RSA sample.

Cases where demographic data differed between files were printed and reviewed. Differences were resolved as follows:

- Values in WTOT96.TXT were used, if present;
- Otherwise, the demographic variables were selected by taking the first non-missing value found when going through the files in the order: HOMERH, AWAYRH, HOMWRC, AWAYRC, STHHOLD, COHHOLD, and TOTALRH.

This was a somewhat arbitrary approach. However, no information was found to suggest that one value was more likely than another to be correct. Records were flagged to indicate if the demographic variables were not consistent across all files (see the variable FAMPROB).

The data from these seven files were reorganized into three files:

- FLFAMDAT with data for households (e.g., county and household income);
- FLINDDAT with data for individuals within households (e.g., sex, age, bodyweight); and
- FLFISHDAT with data on fish consumption.

In the questionnaire, sex and age were obtained for all household members from the initial questions (used to identify the RSA). Bodyweight was requested from the RSA and, for other household members, from the PMP. Bodyweight from non-RSA household members was apparently not obtained if the RSA was not the PMP and the PMP was not available. These variables were in the FLINDDAT file.

In the questionnaire, race-ethnicity was obtained from two questions. The first asked for race (White, Black, American Indian, and Asian). The second question was about Hispanic or Spanish origin or descent. The results of these two questions were combined to create a race-
ethnicity variable (White non-Hispanic, Black non-Hispanic, Hispanic, American Indian, and Asian). In the data files, the race-ethnicity variable is named Race. To minimize confusion, the race-ethnicity variable was renamed to RSARaceEthn in the processed data files. The responses to the first race question were in a variable named RSARaceResp.

Education (as years of schooling), race-ethnicity, and household income were obtained for the PMP or, if not available, for the RSA. Thus, there was some inconsistency as to who provided this information. It is reasonable to assume the household income was essentially the same regardless of who answers the question. However, the education and race-ethnicity was asked for the respondent and may be different between the RSA and PMP. The data files provide no indication of whether the race and education refers to the RSA or the PMP. In the processed data files, these values were associated with the household. This is consistent with how the results were presented in the study report. Since these variables were asked once for the household, they are considered household variables and were in the FLFAMDAT file.

In the study report, the summary tables used race-ethnicity rather than reported race (RSARaceResp). Therefore, although the RSARaceResp variable is retained in the final files, this variable was not used. In addition, there are a few inconsistencies between the RSARaceEthn and RSARaceResp variables. No attempt was made to resolve these inconsistencies.

In the original files, household variables appeared on other records for some children. In a few cases the values on the non-RSA records differed from those on the corresponding RSA records. In these cases the values for the RSA record was used.

Finally, if the sex was coded as unknown (5) it was set to female (2). This was done to (1) obtain values that were consistent with the values in the WTOT96.TXT file and conform to procedures in a program provided by Professor Portier, and (2) to get the distribution of demographic data to agree, or agree much more closely, with the values in the published report. This change affected non-RSA household members. There were no non-RSA records coded as female in the data files. The number of non-RSA records recoded from code 5 (unknown) to code 2 (female) was approximately equal to the number of non-RSA females, consistent with the assumption that these records are for females. After recoding the sex variables, there were still 812 missing values for sex (coded as -8 or -9 in the original files).

Tables A-2 through A-4 show the number of household records, individuals records, and fish consumption records for which the demographic variables differed among files and the percent of missing values in the processed files. In general, individual demographic information was more likely to be missing for the non-RSA household members. However, bodyweight was missing on 735 of 740 RSA records in the Paper Mill supplemental sample.

Table A-2. RSA/Household records with inconsistent and missing values (out of $\mathbf{8 , 7 4 0}$ records)

| Variable | Records with inconsistencies |  | Missing values |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of records | Percent | Number of <br> records | Percent |
| County | 13 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Income | 39 | 0.45 | 1,532 | 17.53 |
| Race-Ethnicity | 200 | 2.29 | 175 | 2.00 |
| Education | 8 | 0.09 | 99 | 1.13 |
| RaceResp | 2 | 0.02 | 170 | 1.95 |
| Any HH demographic variable | 227 | 2.60 |  |  |

Table A-3. Individual records with inconsistent and missing values (out of 17,213 records)

|  | Records with inconsistencies |  | Missing values |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Number of records | Percent | Number of <br> records | Percent |
| Weight | 16 | 0.09 | 1,814 | 10.54 |
| Age | 30 | 0.17 | 827 | 4.80 |
| Sex | 16 | 0.09 | 812 | 4.72 |
| Any individual demographic variable | 43 | 0.25 |  |  |

Table A-4. Fish consumption records with inconsistent and missing values (out of $\mathbf{1 6 , 0 9 9}$ records)

|  | Records with inconsistencies |  | Missing values |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Number of records | Percent | Number of <br> records | Percent |
| Cooking Method | 1 | 0.01 | 6,868 | 42.7 |
| Skinned before cooking | 0 | 0.00 | 12,952 | 80.5 |
| Number of Occasions | 1 | 0.01 | 5,422 | 33.7 |
| Uncooked Grams | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Percent recreationally caught | 16 | 0.10 | 4,338 | 26.9 |
| Inconsistencies in any variable | 17 | 0.11 |  |  |

## A.2.2.2. Fish consumption data

The data files received from Florida had one record per individual with separate variables for each of 62 species of fish or shellfish. The fish consumption data were processed to create a file with one record per combination of individual, species, and location (at-home or away-fromhome). The fish consumption file had 16,099 records after eliminating records for which no fish consumption was reported. As with the demographic variables, the fish consumption variables for some individuals were replicated in several files and the values in those files differed for a few records; the inconsistencies were resolved by arbitrarily selecting the first non-missing value for the individual when searching through the files in the order: HOMERH, AWAYRH, HOMWRC, AWAYRC, STHHOLD, COHHOLD, and TOTALRH. In some cases the values were missing because they were not appropriate (e.g., the survey files did not record if canned tuna was skinned before cooking). In a few cases a variable in one file was not in another. For example, the cooking method for king mackerel was not in the STHHOLD and COHHOLD files, but was in other files. As a result, the cooking method for king mackerel is missing for non-RSA household members. Variables that were in some files and missing in other files generally recorded if the fish was skinned before cooking. These missing variables were treated as if they had been present, but had contained no information.

## A.2.2.3. Data file comparisons

Table A-5 illustrates how well the values in the study report can be replicated using the processed data files. This table shows the annual seafood consumption for the State and Paper Mill samples. The top portion of the table shows the consumption as calculated from the processed files. The lower half of the table shows the corresponding values from the study report. The totals generally agree within a few percent. However, the totals for shellfish are higher using the processed file. Since the subtotal for all fish or shellfish is about the same from both sources, the classification of species into finfish or shellfish may be different. The classification of species into finfish and shellfish was based on the tables in Appendix B of the study report. There were many missing values for demographic variables in the data file that were apparently not missing when the original report was compiled. When broken down by demographic variables, most annual fish consumption estimates from the processed files were within $5 \%$ of the corresponding values in the study report. However, there were some notable exceptions. The estimates derived from the processed file for fish and shellfish consumption for Hispanics, American Indians, and Asians are much lower in the Paper Mill sample than reported in the study report.

Table A-5. Annual seafood consumption estimates for the two samples (kg/yr)

|  |  | State Sample |  | Paper Mill Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RSAs | Householders | RSAs | Householders |
| Values from Processed Files |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 8,000 | 15,674 | 1,000 | 2,099 |
| Away | Finfish | 6.35 |  | 6.73 |  |
| Away | Shellfish | 2.49 |  | 2.98 |  |
| Away | Subtotal | 8.85 |  | 9.71 |  |
| Home | Finfish | 6.73 | 6.88 | 7.86 | 7.87 |
| Home | Shellfish | 1.22 | 1.21 | 1.62 | 1.83 |
| Home | Subtotal | 7.95 | 8.09 | 9.48 | 9.70 |
| All | Finfish | 13.09 |  | 14.58 |  |
| All | Shellfish | 3.71 |  | 4.60 |  |
| All | Subtotal | 16.80 |  | 19.19 |  |
| Values from Study Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 8,000 | 15,672 | 1,000 | 2,099 |
| Away | Finfish | 6.38 |  | 6.60 |  |
| Away | Shellfish | 2.48 |  | 2.97 |  |
| Away | Subtotal | 8.85 |  | 9.57 |  |
| Home | Finfish | 6.82 | 6.98 | 7.93 | 8.14 |
| Home | Shellfish | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.54 | 1.55 |
| Home | Subtotal | 7.95 | 8.10 | 9.47 | 9.69 |
| All | Finfish | 13.20 |  | 14.53 |  |
| All | Shellfish | 3.60 |  | 4.51 |  |
| All | Subtotal | 16.80 |  | 19.04 |  |

The appendix to the data documentation report has tables of demographic variable frequencies by populations that can be compared to the values in the appendix of the study report. For many variables the distribution is very close (often within several respondents). However there are some notable differences. For the State RSA population:

- The processed files appeared to have classified 12 missing codes as female. These 12 individuals were classified as female in the WTOT96.TXT file, but not in other files.
- Rather than showing a missing category, the processed files had more respondents in the $65+$ category.

For the Paper Mill RSA population:

- The number of American Indian and Asian respondents differed somewhat from the report. This may be because many of the inconsistencies in race-ethnicity were either American Indian or Asian classifications.
- The bodyweight distributions were very different because there were only 44 weight measurements for the Paper Mills RSAs in the files.

In general, the differences between values from the processed files and values in Appendix A of the study report are greater for all household members (Householders) than for just the RSAs. For the State householder population:

- The processed files had many more values for bodyweight than were reported in the study report.
- Differences in the number of respondents by income category were greater than for other variables.

For the Paper Mill householder population:

- The male and female rows appeared to be reversed in the report.
- The processed files had more bodyweights than were shown in the study report.

The total consumption by species for the State RSAs is shown in the Table B-1 of the study report. The numbers in this table can be calculated from the TOTALRH file. However, there were some differences between the totals from the processed files and the TOTALRH file. The processed file was derived from files other than TOTALRH and had separate information on at-home and away-from-home fish consumption. The total fish consumption (away-from-home + at-home) for each individual from the processed files differed from the TOTALRH values in the following ways:

- There were 13 fish consumption records that were in the processed files, but not in the TOTALRH file.
- There were five fish consumption amounts that were in both files, but were attributed to different respondents in different files. In all five cases, the IDs of the different
respondents differ only in the first digit (either a ' 1 ' or ' 9 '). This pattern might be due to data entry or editing errors.
- There were five fish consumption records for which the total consumption differed.


## A.2.2.4. Calculation of uncooked and as-consumed fish consumption

The Florida survey questionnaire asked for the quantity of fish eaten and the cooking method used. The reported as-consumed quantity was converted to uncooked weight (in $\mathrm{g} /$ week) in the original data files. The conversions used were documented in the study report and depended on type of food (several types of shellfish, other shellfish, or any finfish) and the cooking method. Assumptions were made when the cooking method was not provided. The conversions that were reported in the study report were used to convert the uncooked quantities to as-consumed quantities. Those conversions are shown in Table A-6.

Table A-6. Factors for converting the Florida data from uncooked to asconsumed weights

|  | Fish Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooking <br> Method | Salad shrimp | Clams | Blue <br> crab | Crab meat | Imitation crab meat | Conch | Crayfish | Other <br> misc <br> shell <br> fish | Finfish |
| Fried | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.75 |
| Broiled | 0.78 | 0.6 | 0.78 | 0.6 | 0.78 | 0.6 | 0.78 | 0.7 | 0.78 |
| Steamed | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.78 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 0.78 |
| Boiled or poached | 0.8 | 0.63 | 0.8 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.8 | 0.72 | 0.78 |
| Raw | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Microwave baked | 0.8 | 0.66 | 0.8 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 0.66 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 0.87 |
| Conventional oven | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0.79 | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.79 |
| Grilled or smoked | 0.78 | 0.6 | 0.78 | 0.6 | 0.78 | 0.6 | 0.78 | 0.7 | 0.78 |
| Other | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.82 |

Other includes: Other cooking methods, Don't Know, and missing data values.

The FLFISHDAT data file had two variables, GDayRaw and GDayCooked, with the fish and shellfish consumption in g/day as uncooked weight or cooked (as-consumed) weight, respectively. GDayRaw was calculated by dividing the quantity in the survey data files by seven to convert from weekly to daily consumption. GDayCooked is GDayRaw multiplied by the appropriate conversion factor from Table A-6. The conversion factors used were in the FLFISHDAT data file in the Conversion variable.

## A.3. CONNECTICUT DATA

## A.3.1. Description of the Survey and the Original Data Files

## A.3.1.1. Survey methodology

The Connecticut survey data were collected from July 1996 through May 1997. Data were collected from several different populations using a combination of a mail questionnaire and personal interviews (for the Southeast Asians, food stamp recipients, and some anglers). The survey asked for the rate of fish consumption for all family members and any fish or shellfish dish. The fish consumption was reported as as-consumed (generally cooked) fish consumption (in $\mathrm{g} /$ day). The survey results were presented in the Connecticut study report (Balcom et al., 1999).

## A.3.1.2. Data files

The data and documentation for the Connecticut data included:

- An IBM format tape with SAS data sets in SAS transport format;
- A notebook with some programs that were used for processing the files; and
- A box of SAS output.

According to the file contents and the programs in the notebook, (1) the programs in the notebook comprised a series of programs used to process the data, (2) the data files from the last program in the series (GDAY and INDIV) were not on the tape, and (3) corrections were made to the data in essentially all of the data processing steps. Based on the output in the box, (1) there were apparently other programs which were not available, and (2) the files used to create the output that went into the study report were derived from files other than INDIV and GDAY, and (3) at least one additional variable (Complete) that was used to create the reports was not in the data files (it indicated which records to keep when summarizing the data). The algorithm to create Complete was not been found in any of the programs. Table A-7 shows the variables with differences between the INDIV and GDAY files for the same household.

Table A-7. Variables with differences between the INDIV and GDAY files for the same household (out of 827 households)

| Variable | Question | Number of differences |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| EATING | Do you know fish is good for you? | 1 |
| PEOPLE | Number of people in the household | 9 |
| Multiple variables | Multiple questions | 10 |
| COUNTY | County | 2 |
| WHYNOT | Why do you not follow the advisories? | 2 |
| APPLYFIS | Do the advisories apply to the fish you eat? | 1 |

The printed program that created INDIV and GDAY was input and run using the data files from the tape. The INDIV and GDAY files were then processed to eliminate inconsistencies and, to the extent possible, to create a file from which the reported values could be derived. The INDIV file contains fish information on fish dish consumption, with one record per combination of dish with individual. The GDAY file contains total fish consumption by person. Each file also contains additional information, such as demographic information and responses to questions about fish advisories.

The variables in the data files were divided into three files:

- CTFAMDAT with household demographic data (such as household income);
- CTINDDAT with demographic data for individuals within households (such as bodyweight); and
- CTFISHDAT with fish consumption data for individuals by species.

In these files, individuals were identified by a combination of the family ID (FamID) and the individual ID (IndID).

## A.3.2. Processing the Data Files

## A.3.2.1. Demographic data

Both the INDIV and GDAY files have information on 827 households. However, the demographic information differed between files for some households. Cases where the family data differed between the files were printed and reviewed. Differences were resolved as follows:

- If information was missing on one record and present on another, the non-missing data was assumed to be correct.
- If the number of people in the family (as indicated by the PEOPLE variable) was inconsistent between files, the number of people in the family was set to equal the number of household members in the data files (in all cases where there were inconsistent values, at least one file had a value for PEOPLE that equaled the number of household members in the data files). Note that there might have been more people in the family than were reported because some respondents apparently lost interest in filling out the questionnaire for all family members.
- Otherwise, for three individuals, the data from the first record in the INDIV was arbitrarily selected as the correct record, assuming this record corresponded to the person filling out the questionnaire. This arbitrary choice affected the PEOPLE and COUNTY variables for two families and affected most variables for the third family.

For the family data, 25 records with inconsistent data were found.
The LIMITED variable indicated limited income families. As a result of changing the PEOPLE variable, there were two cases where the LIMITED variable (derived from PEOPLE and INCOME) was inconsistent with the PEOPLE variable. As a result, the LIMITED variable was recalculated using the algorithm found in the notebook.

The files had information on 2,133 individuals. There were discrepancies in the individual data for 12 individuals (four from the same family). Six records had differences in one variable only. In these cases the non-missing value was used. Six records had differences in multiple variables, including AGE, BFEED, fishing frequency, and the TRIM variable (Does the person trim skin and fatty meat from the fish they catch?). In all cases, the values were different on only one of several records in the INDIV file. The most common value in the INDIV file was used.

The following additional changes were made to the individual data:

- For most records, respondents who never fish have FISHYR set to 0 . Therefore, some records with missing FISHYR were set to 0 .
- Respondent birth date and age were inconsistent for respondents born before 1919 (possibly due to how SAS handles two digit years). The respondent age as of 9/1/1997 was recalculated from their birthday. There were 59 inconsistencies between SEX, AGE, and AGECAT, so AGECAT was recalculated also based on SEX and AGE.


## A.3.2.2. Fish consumption data

The fish consumption data had many variables that could be combined and were unlikely to be used for the EPA analysis. The primary revisions to the fish consumption data were to combine multiple variables into one. The following changes were made:

- Convert months in which fish were eaten (variables: JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC) to a text string. A ' $J$ ' in the first position of the text string indicates that fish were eaten in January. An ' $F$ ' in the second position of the text string indicates that fish were eaten in February, etc. Each month was indicated by one character. The order of the months and the letters used to indicate fish consumption are:

| J | January |
| :--- | :--- |
| F | February |
| M | March |
| A | April |
| M | Ma |
| J | June |
| J | July |
| A | August |
| S | September |
| O | October |
| N | November |
| D | December |

A value of" MAM S "indicates that the fish was eaten in March, April, May, and September. Spaces indicate that the fish was not eaten in the corresponding months.

- Convert parts eaten (variables: PARTA PARTB PARTC PARTD PARTE PARTF PARTG PARTH) to a text string. As above the parts that were eaten are noted by the position in the text string and by the letters used. The character codes, in order from left to right are:

| A | Entire fish |
| :--- | :--- |
| M | Meat |
| S | Skin/Fin |
| H | Head |
| B | Bones |
| E | Eyes |
| R | Roe/eggs |
| T | Lobster Tomally |
| M | Crab Muster |
| O | Organs |
| F | Fat/oil |

- Convert cook methods (variables: COOKA COOKB COOKC COOKD COOKE COOKF COOKG) to a text string. As above the cooking methods used are noted by the position in the text string and by the letters used. In this case, each cooking method used two character positions. The character codes, in order from left to right are:

| Ba | Baked |
| :--- | :--- |
| Br | Broiled |
| Po | Poached/boiled/steamed |
| SF | Sautéed/stir fried |
| DF | Deep fried |
| Gr | Grilled |
| Sm | Smoked |
| Mi | Microwaved |
| SS | Soup stock |
| Sl | Salad |
| SC | Soup/chowder/stew/casserole/bisque |
| Ra | Raw |
| Su | Sauce |

- Convert parts cooked (variables: PTCA PTCB PTCC PTCD PTCE PTCF PTCG PTCH) to a text string. As above the parts cooked are noted by the position in the text string and by the letters used. The character codes, in order from left to right are:

| A | All |
| :--- | :--- |
| M | Meat |
| S | Skin/Fin |


| H | Head |
| :--- | :--- |
| B | Bones |
| E | Eyes |
| R | Roe/eggs |
| T | Lobster Tomally |
| M | Crab Muster |
| O | Organs |
| F | Fat/oil |

In the data files, the dishes were identified by a numeric code. A file with the codes and corresponding text descriptions was created and merged the text descriptions into the file.

The fish data file has one record for each dish eaten by each individual. One record for a respondent who reported not eating fish or seafood was removed from the file So that there are would be no records in the file for respondents that do not eat fish.

## A.3.2.3. Removing incomplete cases

The reported analysis of the Connecticut data excluded records for surveys that were incomplete. In the programs received from Connecticut, the incomplete surveys were identified by records with COMPLETE $=3$ (based on discussions with Nancy Balcom at the University of Connecticut and review of the available programs). However, the COMPLETE variable used by Connecticut to process the files was not on the INDIV or GDAY files. There was also no information on how the COMPLETE variable was calculated. Data that were likely to be from incomplete surveys were identified and removed as follows. Based on the box of computer output, there were 53 records for which COMPLETE is 3 and for which values for numerous variables were all missing (LOBSTER, LOBROLL, CRAB, CRABCAKE, CLAMS, CHOWDER, STRIPS, OYSTERS, OYSTSTEW, MUSSELS, BLUEFISH, STRIPER, EEL, PORGY, BLACKFSH, TUNACAN, TUNASTK, FSHSTICK, FAKECRAB). Therefore, the records where these variables were all missing were removed. No other algorithms for identifying the cases to be removed for analysis were identified.

After processing and removing incomplete survey data, the resulting files have 810 families, 2,080 individuals, and 15,367 fish items eaten. For 18 of the fish items eaten, either the meals per year or grams per meal are missing, so the grams per year consumption cannot be calculated. For analysis, the fish consumption for these 18 individuals was set to zero. Finally, internal consistency of variables was not checked for many variables that were not used for the analysis.

Two variables, EATYR and EATWK, were unique within individual and did not differ by fish item. These variables were thus inconsistent with the documentation. Another variable, ANGTYPE (Angler type) was a character variable that had been truncated in INDIV and GDAY so as to be useless. All these variables had been left off the individual file.

## A.3.2.4. Data file comparison

The following tables illustrate how well the values in the study report can be replicated using the data files.

Table A-8 compares the reported number of respondents in each population to the number calculated from the processed files. Population sizes from the processed files are within $4 \%$ of the reported values. The response frequencies for demographic variables are generally within 5\%.

Table A-8. Sample sizes by population from the processed files and Table 5 of the study report

|  | Number of Households |  | Number of Individuals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | Processed data | Report Table 5 | Processed data | Report Table 5 |
| General | 206 | 207 | 433 | 434 |
| Angler | 341 | 341 | 524 | 504 |
| Commercial | 74 | 73 | 178 | 178 |
| Minority | 245 | 245 | 866 | 860 |
| Limited Income | 274 | 276 | 935 | 937 |
| Women | 406 | 420 | 480 | 493 |
| Children | 303 | 305 | 554 | 559 |
| Southeast Asian | 89 | 89 | 334 | 329 |
| Non- South East Asian | 156 | 156 | 532 | 531 |

The study report showed the population mean and standard deviation of total fish consumption (total across all fish dishes, in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ ). The values calculated from the processed files are within $5 \%$ of the reported values. Table A-9 compares the reported mean, standard deviation, and maximum total fish consumption (in $\mathrm{g} /$ day) from the study report with the values calculated from the processed files.

Table A-9. Total fish consumption (g/day) by population from the processed files and Table 11 of the Study report

| Population | Calculated from the processed files |  |  | From Table 11 of the Study report |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean | Std Dev | Maximum | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean | Std Dev | Maximum |
|  | 433 | 27.7 | 42.6 | 493.6 | 437 | 27.7 | 42.7 | 494.8 |
| Angler | 524 | 49.5 | 64.2 | 570.5 | 502 | 51.1 | 66.1 | 586.0 |
| Commercial | 178 | 46.9 | 57.3 | 490.2 | 178 | 47.4 | 58.5 | 504.3 |
| Minority | 866 | 49.7 | 57.1 | 429.0 | 861 | 50.3 | 57.5 | 430.0 |
| Southeast Asian | 334 | 57.9 | 48.9 | 245.0 | 329 | 59.2 | 49.3 | 245.6 |
| Non Southeast Asian | 532 | 44.6 | 61.2 | 429.0 | 532 | 44.8 | 61.5 | 430.0 |
| Limited Income | 935 | 43.0 | 60.3 | 570.5 | 937 | 43.1 | 60.4 | 571.9 |
| Women | 480 | 47.2 | 57.8 | 493.6 | 497 | 46.5 | 57.4 | 494.8 |
| Children | 554 | 18.4 | 29.9 | 324.1 | 559 | 18.3 | 29.8 | 324.8 |

## A.3.2.5. Calculation of uncooked and as-consumed fish consumption

The Connecticut data files provided the quantity of fish as "cooked weight of edible fish." However, for the few items that were clearly eaten uncooked (e.g., oysters, sushi), the quantity appeared to be the uncooked weight. This weight is referred to as the as-consumed weight. The Connecticut respondents assessed the quantity of a food item by selecting a shape of the food item and a corresponding size. Connecticut collected cooking method information for only a subset of the respondents. That information was apparently not used in the conversion to as-consumed consumption. When converting the reported shape and size to the as-consumed weights, some assumptions were made, such as that certain dishes were eaten uncooked or cooked or that the reported shape corresponded to an uncooked or cooked food item.

The conversion factors used were provided in an appendix to the study report. If the respondent reported the as-consumed quantity, then no conversion was needed. Table A-10 lists the factors used to convert the Connecticut data from as-consumed to uncooked weights. If provided, the conversion factors in the report were used. In a few cases judgment was used to decide which conversion factor to use. Otherwise, the conversion factor from the EPA Mercury Study Report to Congress (U.S. EPA, 1997) was used. If the conversion factor did not come directly from the Connecticut study report, a comment column explained the source of the conversion factor. In some cases the conversion factors were based on a USDA publication (USDA, 1987). The conversion factor for dried shark fin was somewhat arbitrary because no basis for a conversion factor was found. The CTFISHDAT file had variables with the uncooked
and as-consumed fish consumption in g/day (GDayRaw and GDayCooked) as well as the conversion factor for that fish dish (Conversion).

Table A-10. Factors for converting the Connecticut data from as-consumed to uncooked weights

| Species | Code | Conversion (divide by this <br> to get uncooked weight) | Notes* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| caviar | 2 | 0.75 | EPA |
| combination platter | 4 | 0.75 | EPA |
| frozen sticks | 6 | 0.78 | (assuming pollock) |
| gefilte fish | 7 | 0.75 | EPA |
| lox | 9 | 0.75 | EPA |
| sardines | 10 | 0.75 | EPA |
| seafood salad | 11 | 0.82 | (used by FL for unknown |
|  |  |  | cooking method for imitation |
| sushi | 13 | 1 | Assume uncooked |
| sushimi | 14 | 1 | Assume uncooked |
| frozen filets | 16 | 0.78 | (assuming pollock) |
| clams, stuffed | 17 | 0.5 |  |
| mackerel, canned | 19 | 0.75 | EPA |
| herring, canned | 20 | 0.705 | EPA |
| salmon croquettes | 21 | 0.705 |  |
| salmon, canned | 22 | 0.75 | EPA |
| fish cakes | 23 | 0.79 |  |
| shrimp roll/salad | 24 | 0.75 | EPA |
| fish chowder, canned | 25 | 0.75 | EPA |
| anchovies, canned | 26 | 0.79 |  |
| shrimp soup | 27 | 0.79 |  |
| lg \& jumbo stuffed | 28 | 0.75 |  |
| shrimp | 29 | 0.78 |  |
| undefined | 101 |  |  |
| largemouth bass | 102 |  |  |
| smallmouth bass |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A-10. Factors for converting the Connecticut data from as-consumed to uncooked weights (continued)

| Species | Code | Conversion (divide by this to get uncooked weight) | Notes* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| striped bass | 103 | 0.78 |  |
| unspecified bass | 104 | 0.78 |  |
| bluefish | 105 | 0.78 |  |
| tautog | 106 | 0.78 |  |
| bonito | 108 | 0.78 |  |
| bream | 109 | 0.75 | EPA |
| buffalo fish | 110 | 0.75 | EPA |
| bullhead | 111 | 0.78 |  |
| carp | 112 | 0.75 | EPA |
| catfish, freshwater | 113 | 0.788 |  |
| catfish, saltwater | 114 | 0.788 |  |
| catfish, farm raised | 115 | 0.788 |  |
| catfish, unspecified | 116 | 0.788 |  |
| cod | 118 | 0.788 |  |
| crappie | 119 | 0.75 | EPA |
| mahi-mahi, dolphin fish | 121 | 0.78 |  |
| drum | 123 | 0.75 | EPA |
| eel | 124 | 0.677 |  |
| eel, unspecified | 126 | 0.677 |  |
| flounder | 127 | 0.721 |  |
| grouper | 128 | 0.78 |  |
| haddock | 129 | 0.722 |  |
| halibut | 130 | 0.717 |  |
| hake | 131 | 0.78 |  |
| herring | 132 | 0.75 | EPA |
| mackerel | 135 | 0.659 |  |
| lobster whole | 205 | 0.75 | EPA |
| blue mussels | 206 | 0.75 | EPA |
| octopus | 207 | 0.75 | EPA |
| oysters, raw/cooked, unspecified | 208 | 0.75 |  |
| scallops, unspecified | 210 | 0.769 |  |
| scungilli/whelk | 211 | 0.485 |  |

Table A-10. Factors for converting the Connecticut data from as-consumed to uncooked weights (continued)

| Species | Code | Conversion (divide by this to get uncooked weight) | Notes* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shrimp, unspecified | 212 | 0.79 |  |
| squid, cooked | 213 | 0.822 |  |
| shellfish, other | 214 | 0.75 | EPA |
| crab, imitation | 215 | 0.75 | EPA |
| crab, Alaskan king legs | 216 | 0.78 |  |
| crab, stone | 217 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| crab, dungeness | 218 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| crab, canned | 219 | 0.75 | EPA |
| rock crab | 220 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| squid, dried | 222 | 0.215 | 78.55 g water in 100 g raw squid (USDA) |
| undefined | 223 | 0.75 | EPA |
| spot | 224 | 0.75 | EPA |
| whitesucker | 225 | 0.75 | EPA |
| sea urchin roe | 226 | 0.75 | EPA |
| blowfish | 228 | 0.75 | EPA |
| bass, calico | 230 | 0.75 | EPA |
| salmon, smoked | 231 | 0.75 | EPA |
| shad, smoked | 232 | 0.75 | EPA |
| fish chowder, bluefish | 233 | 0.78 | (based on bluefish, probably higher as cooked in water) |
| fish chowder, scup | 234 | 0.75 | EPA |
| fish chowder, tautog | 235 | 0.78 | (based on tautog, probably higher as cooked in water) |
| milkfish | 237 | 0.75 | EPA |
| covina, yellow | 238 | 0.75 | EPA |
| cod, dried | 239 | 0.187 | 81.28 g water in 100 g raw Pacific cod \& 81.22 g water in 100 g raw Atlantic cod (USDA) |
| eel, raw | 241 | 1 |  |
| salmon, raw | 242 | 1 |  |
| squid, raw | 243 | 1 |  |

Table A-10. Factors for converting the Connecticut data from as-consumed to uncooked weights (continued)

| Species | Code | Conversion (divide by this to get uncooked weight) | Notes* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| grunt | 244 | 0.75 | EPA |
| doctorfish | 245 | 0.75 | EPA |
| snow crab | 246 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| sheepshead | 247 | 0.75 | EPA |
| sharkfin, dried | 248 | 0.25 | Bold assumption |
| herring, dried | 249 | 0.284 | 71.52 g water in 100 g raw Pacific herring \& 72.05 g water in 100 g raw Atlantic herring (USDA) |
| snapper, yellowtail | 250 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for snapper blues) |
| snapper, pink | 251 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for snapper blues) |
| undefined | 300 | 0.75 | EPA |
| clams, raw | 20101 | 1 |  |
| clam chowder | 20102 | 0.5 | (maybe higher as cooked in water) |
| clam sauce | 20103 | 0.5 | (maybe higher as cooked in water) |
| clam strips | 20104 | 0.425 |  |
| clams, raw/cooked unspecified | 20105 | 0.75 |  |
| clams, undefined | 20106 | 0.5 |  |
| clams, quohogs cooked | 20107 | 0.5 |  |
| clam chowder/sauce unspecified | 20109 | 0.5 | (maybe higher as cooked in water) |
| crab cakes | 20201 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| crab salad | 20202 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| crab cake/salad | 20203 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| crab, undefined | 20204 | 0.78 | (based on conversion for crab legs) |
| lobster roll | 20501 | 0.75 | EPA |
| lobster salad | 20502 | 0.75 | EPA |

Table A-10. Factors for converting the Connecticut data from as-consumed to uncooked weights (continued)

| Species | Code | Conversion (divide by this <br> to get uncooked weight) | Notes* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| lobster tail | 20503 | 0.75 | EPA |
| lobster unspecified | 20504 | 0.75 | EPA |
| oysters, raw | 20801 | 1 |  |
| oysters, cooked | 20802 | 0.5 | (maybe higher as cooked in |
| oyster stew | 20803 | 0.5 |  |
| water) | 0.5 |  |  |
| oysters, rockefeller | 20805 | 0.769 |  |
| sea scallops | 21001 | 0.769 |  |
| bay scallops | 21002 | 0.79 |  |
| popcorn shrimp | 21201 | 0.79 |  |
| small shrimp | 21202 | 0.79 |  |
| med shrimp | 21203 | 0.79 |  |
| large shrimp | 21204 |  |  |
| jumbo shrimp | 21205 |  |  |

* EPA $=$ EPA Mercury Study Report to Congress (U.S. EPA, 1997).


## A.4. MINNESOTA AND NORTH DAKOTA DATA

## A.4.1. Description of the Survey and the Original Data Files

The Minnesota and North Dakota data were distributed (1) by mail from a stratified random sample of the general population, and (2) in person for tribal members. For mailed surveys, sampling strata were defined by lists of (1) the general populations of each state, (2) anglers, and (3) new mothers. A portion of the total sample was allocated to each stratum, with $60 \%$ of surveys going to Minnesota and $40 \%$ to North Dakota. The Minnesota portion was allocated equally between the nine county region in the northeast portion of the state and the rest of the state. The general population list came from a mailing services provider. The lists of licensed anglers were provided by the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources and the North Dakota Department of Game and Fish. The list of new mothers in Minnesota was provided by the Minnesota Department of Health. No list of new mothers was available within the survey period in North Dakota. The Indian tribes distributed questionnaires to tribal members following a non-random procedure. Finally, there were five additional surveys in the "Add-on by project personnel" category. The data were provided in a Microsoft Access file
(MN-ND_fish consumption_97.mdb). Data collection took place in October and November of 2000.

## A.4.1.1. Reformatting the data

The survey respondent was asked to provide fish consumption information for up to five household members. If there were more than five household members, data was to be provided for one or two adults and the oldest, middle, and youngest children.

The questionnaire requested demographic information and information about fish consumption for 12 different classes of fish species, divided into two groups, purchased fish and non-purchased or self-caught fish. For self-caught fish the respondent was asked for the minimum and maximum length of the fish and the locations where the fish were caught. To define location the questionnaire divided Minnesota and North Dakota into 17 areas. Different locations could be selected for each class of fish. The file included coefficients for predicting the mercury concentration in the fish from the length of the fish, the location the fish was caught, and the class of fish.

Several types of information were requested in multiple different ways. Question 3 allowed the respondent to check-off all purchased (restaurant or store-bought) fish consumed by any family member in the last year-listing 37 different species of fish. The respondent was not asked how much of these fish were eaten. Fish consumption was requested for the 12 classes of fish. Consumption was defined both in terms of general frequency of consumption (such as 1-5 times per year or 1-2 times per week) and in the number of meals in the last month. The Microsoft Access file included programmed procedures to calculate the fish consumption in grams per unit time. The frequency of consumption was used for those calculations rather than the number of meals per month. As a result, the frequency of consumption was used to calculate fish consumption in g/day.

Except for seven surveys, the survey ID (household ID) was used to identify the population from which the household was sampled and the state of residence. Charlene Crocker from the University of North Dakota, one of the authors of the Minnesota/North Dakota study report (Benson et al., 2001), provided recommendations for resolving the status of the final seven surveys. The State variable had the state of residence for each survey. In addition, for the mail questionnaires, the database had information on the state to which the survey was mailed (MailState). The respondent was also asked their state of residence (Q15State). In some cases the respondent reported state of residence was missing or reported as "Other", i.e., than Minnesota or North Dakota.

The mailing addresses included zip code. The address and zip code were used to get the county name (Cnt yName) from geographic databases. The county name was undefined for some respondents, primarily surveys that were distributed by the Indian tribes and not mailed.

An additional variable (St rat 9) flagged the nine northeast counties in Minnesota. This variable was defined based on the available information on sample population and address. All members of the Bois Forte Tribe were assumed to live in the nine northeast counties because the tribal reservations were located there. As a result, the variable Strat 9 had no missing values.

The data files have information on 1,572 households and 4,272 individuals. The data from many Microsoft Access tables were separated into five files:

- MNNDHHDat with data for the households (e.g., household income);
- MNNDIndDat with data for individuals within households (sex, age, bodyweight);
- MNNDFishDat with data on fish consumption;
- MNNDQ3 with data on fish purchased by any family member in the last year; and
- MNNDFISHLOC with information on where fish were caught.


## A.4.1.2. Data file comparison

Because of how the data files were organized there were no inconsistencies between files (as was found in the Florida and Connecticut data). Demographic information in the study report could be replicated from the processed files with the exception of Income and Angler. Charlene Crocker from the University of North Dakota provided a revised summary of Income that agreed with the numbers derived from the processed files. In a few cases, the values in the tables in the report could only be replicated by assuming the table was mislabeled.

## A.4.1.3. Calculation of uncooked and as-consumed fish consumption

The Minnesota and North Dakota questionnaire asked for the "usual" portion size in ounces. Pictures of the food items with associated weights were provided as a guide. The pictures showed the food on a plate, suggesting that the weight provided with each picture was the as-consumed quantity. The respondent was asked the weight of the fish, and if that weight was the cooked or raw weight. The questionnaire did not ask if the item was cooked before being eaten. The MN/ND Microsoft Access data file had programming code to calculate fish consumption. However, that code ignores the uncooked versus cooked responses. Since the Microsoft Access file had the reported values, EPA chose how to convert the reported values to uncooked or as-consumed consumption. For the purposes of converting from uncooked to asconsumed or visa versa, EPA assumed that all reported consumption was eaten cooked because the questionnaire was not specific enough to identify food items that might have been consumed uncooked. All food items were converted from uncooked to as-consumed weight by multiplying
the uncooked weight by 0.75, the value used in the EPA Mercury Study Report to Congress (U.S. EPA, 1997).

## A.5. COMBINED DATA

## A.5.1. Description of the Combined Data Files

The data from the three survey databases were combined into one database for analysis. The combined file contained variables that were common to all three databases. For example, fish consumption was available in all three databases and was also in the combined database. The variable indicating whether the respondent catches fish in fresh or salt water locations was in the Connecticut database, but not in the other files and was not included in the combined database. Although the variables may be present in all databases, in some cases the values were measured differently. As a result, some transformations were made to transform the data into similar units. For categorical data the categories may differ among databases-such as for raceethnicity. The combined file contains the categories as recorded in the individual files. To represent income, education, and race-ethnicity, three variables (CHHIncome, CEducation, CRaceEthn) were created for the categories that were common across all three studies. In a few cases, the combined files had variables that were of interest to this analysis even if those variables were not available in all of the individual databases. For example, the Connecticut and Minnesota/North Dakota questionnaire had information about whether a household member was pregnant. The combined file has this information; however, it is missing for the Florida data.

There were three combined files, one for household variables, one for individual variables, and the third for fish consumption data. In general, these files correspond to the organization of the files in the individual state databases. In a few cases some transformations were needed. For example, Connecticut had the education for the head of household, Florida had education for the randomly selected adult, and Minnesota and North Dakota had education for the first two listed members, generally the adults. The individual data for the respondent (member 1) in the Minnesota and North Dakota data was judged to be the closest approximation to the education data in the other two files. In this case, the education data was moved from the individual file to the household file.

Table A-11 lists the variables in the combined files and the corresponding variables in the original files for each survey. If a cell was blank, then the individual survey file had no information for that variable. When the files were combined, the "Add-on" surveys from the Minnesota/North Dakota survey were excluded from the combined files. Table A-12 shows the number of household, individual, and fish species records coming from each of the individual files and in the combined file.

Table A-11. Crosswalk of variables in original and combined data files

| Combined File | FL | CT | MNND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identifiers |  |  |  |
| Study | "FL" | "CT" | "MNND" |
| HHID | ID | FAMID | SurveyID |
| PersonID | PERSON | INDID | HMID |
| Household (HH) variables |  |  |  |
| State | "FL" | "СТ" | MailState or State |
| CntyName | CntyName | CntyName | CntyName |
| HHIncome | HHIncome | HHIncome | HHIncome |
| Education | RSAEduc | HHHEduc | Education[Member 1] |
| RaceEthn | RSARaceEthn | HHRace | RaceEthn[Member 1] |
| Population | "General" | Group [See text] | Population |
| HHSize |  | People | NumPeople |
| HHEatsCaughtFish |  |  | CaughtFish |
| AnyCurPregNurs |  |  | AnyCurPregNurs |
| Consumption data by person and species |  |  |  |
| Location [Away, Home or Both] | Location | "Both" | "Both" |
| Species | Name Species | FishName Species | HMFish |
| MealPerYr | NumOccasions | MealsYr | MealsPerYear |
| CookGDayBought | RawGrams, PctRecCaught, and raw to cooked conversion | Fsource and Gday | Species, RawCook, MealPerYear, and GramsPerMeal |
| CookGDayCaught |  |  |  |
| RawGDayBought |  |  |  |
| RawGDayCaught |  |  |  |
| GramsPerMeal |  | Gmeal | GramsPerMeal |
| Person variables |  |  |  |
| Age | Age | Age | Age |
| Sex | Sex | SexC | Sex |
| Weight | Weight | Wt | Weight |
| CurPregNurs |  | BFeedC and PregC | CurPregNurs |

Table A-12. Number of records in the processed data files

|  | Combined | FL | CT | MNND |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households | 11,118 | 8,740 | 810 | 1,568 |
| Individuals | 23,555 | 17,213 | 2,080 | 4,262 |
| Fish dishes or meals | 51,653 | 16,099 | 15,367 | 20,187 |

FL $=$ Florida, CT - Connecticut, MNND = Minnesota/North Dakota.

The variable Study identifies the study from which the data was derived. The HHID numeric variable was used to identify households. HHID was unique within a study, but may not be unique between studies. The Study and HHID variables were in all files. The PersonID was a character variable and was used to identify individuals within households. PersonID was unique within households. These variables were used when merging files.

## A.5.1.1. Demographic data

The household variables are variables that were obtained once for each household or apply to all members of the household. Location variables included the state of residence of the household (State) and the county name (CntyName).

All studies asked questions about total household income, each using a different set of questions. The income responses were in the HHIncome variable as descriptive text strings. Table A-13 shows how the income categories from the different studies were combined into a common set of income categories.

In the Minnesota/North Dakota study education was requested for the first two listed household members, the respondent and the second listed member. The combined files had the education of the respondent. The Connecticut study asked for the education of the head of household. The Florida study asked for the education of the randomly selected adult. Since the randomly selected adult may not be the head of household and the respondent (the person that filled out the questionnaire) in the Minnesota/North Dakota study was not randomly selected and might not be an adult, the measures of education level associated with the household were not completely equivalent between studies. Table A-14 shows how the education categories from the different studies were combined into a common set of education categories.

Race-ethnicity was obtained in all studies, each using a different set of questions. Since the race-ethnicity of all household members was often the same, uncertainty in defining a household race-ethnicity using the respondent in the Minnesota/North Dakota study is probably
less of a problem than with education. Table A-15 shows how the race-ethnicity categories from the different studies were combined into a common set of race-ethnicity categories.

Table A-13. Common income categories

| Common income category <br> (CHHIncome) | Household income <br> (HHIncome) |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$0-20000 | Less than \$10,000 |
| \$0-20000 | \$0-4,999 |
| \$0-20000 | \$5,000-9,999 |
| \$0-20000 | \$10,000 to $<\$ 15,000$ |
| \$0-20000 | \$10,000-14,999 |
| \$0-20000 | \$15,000 to $<\$ 20,000$ |
| \$0-20000 | \$15,000-19,999 |
| \$0-20000 | \$20,000 and under |
| \$20000-50000 | \$20,000 to $<\$ 25,000$ |
| \$20000-50000 | \$20,000-24,999 |
| \$20000-50000 | \$20,000-35,000 |
| \$20000-50000 | \$25,000 to $<\$ 35,000$ |
| \$20000-50000 | \$25,000-29,999 |
| \$20000-50000 | \$30,000-39,999 |
| \$20000-50000 | \$35,000 to $<\$ 50,000$ |
| \$20000-50000 | \$35,000 to \$50,000 |
| \$20000-50000 | \$40,000-49,999 |
| \$50000- | \$50,000 to $<\$ 75,000$ |
| \$50000- | \$50,000-59,999 |
| \$50000- | \$60,000-69,999 |
| \$50000- | \$70,000- |
| \$50000- | \$75,000 and over |
| \$50000- | Over \$50,000 |
| No Response |  |
| No Response | Missing |
| No Response | No response |
| No Response | Retired |

Table A-14. Common education categories

| Common education category (CEducation) | Education |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unknown |  |
| Unknown | No data recorded |
| Unknown | No response |
| Unknown | Unknown |
| 1)Some High School or less | 0 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 1 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 2 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 3 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 4 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 5 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 6 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 7 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 8 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 9 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 10 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 11 years |
| 1)0-11 years | 11 years or less |
| 1)0-11 years | Grade 1 to 5 |
| 1)0-11 years | Grade 6 to 8 |
| 1)0-11 years | Some High School |
| 2)High School | 12 years |
| 2)High School | High School/GED |
| 2)High School | High school (12 years) |
| 3)Some College | 13 years |
| 3)Some College | 14 years |
| 3)Some College | 15 years |
| 3)Some College | Some college |
| 3)Some College | Some college (13-15 years) |
| 4)College graduate | 16 years |
| 4)College graduate | 17 years |
| 4)College graduate | 18 years |
| 4)College graduate | 2-4 year degree |
| 4)College graduate | College grad. (>15 years) |
| 4)College graduate | Post Graduate Degree |

Table A-15. Common race-ethnicity categories

| Common race-ethnicity categories (CRaceEthn) | Race-ethnicity (RaceEthn) |
| :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | Amer Indian |
| American Indian | American Indian |
| Asian | Asian |
| Asian | Asian Indian |
| Asian | Cambodian |
| Asian | Chinese |
| Asian | Filipino |
| Asian | Hmong |
| Asian | Korean |
| Asian | Laotian |
| Asian | Vietnamese |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | African American |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | Black non-H |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | Black, Non-Hispanic |
| Hispanic | Central American |
| Hispanic | Dominican |
| Hispanic | Hispanic |
| Hispanic | Mexican |
| Hispanic | Puerto Rican |
| Hispanic | South American |
| Unknown |  |
| Unknown | No response |
| Unknown | Other |
| Unknown | Unknown |
| White, Non-Hispanic | White |
| White, Non-Hispanic | White non-H |
| White, Non-Hispanic | White, Non-Hispanic |

The Population variable indicated the population (in a statistical sampling sense) from which the respondents were selected. "General" refers to a random sample of residents from the state (note that the Minnesota/North Dakota study report uses this term differently in some tables). The Florida study report discussed the state sample and the Paper Mill sample. However, from a statistical point of view, these were samples of the general population with different rates of selection in different counties.

Household size (HHSize) included the number of household members. In the Connecticut study, the respondent provided information for all household members. However, sometimes the fish consumption information was not reported for some members. For this study, HHSize was the reported number of household members, not the number in the files. Similar information was not provided in the other studies. If family size is of interest, it would be possible to calculate the number of records for individuals each household as an indication of the family size.

It was also possible to identify families that ate self-caught fish from the data files. Since this variable was of interest in the EPA analysis and because it was provided in the Minnesota/North Dakota study, it was included in the combined files. This variable was missing for the Florida and Connecticut data.

The Florida study did not collect information on whether any household members were pregnant or breastfeeding, while the Connecticut study and Minnesota/North Dakota study did collect this information. In the Minnesota/North Dakota study, the question asked if any household member was pregnant or nursing. If so, the survey then asked which household member was pregnant or nursing. In most cases, when there was someone in the home that was pregnant or nursing, the specific household member was not identified. The AnyCurPregNurs variable contained the response to the question about any currently pregnant or nursing women in the household from the Minnesota/North Dakota study.

## A.5.1.2. Fish consumption data

The Florida study asked separate questions regarding fish consumption at-home and away-from-home. This distinction was retained in the data files using the location variable (Location). For the Florida study, the at-home and away-from-home fish consumption were on separate records in the fish consumption data file, Location is set to either "Away" or "Home". For the other studies, Location = "Both".

The surveys collected information on fish consumption of individual fish species or classes of species. Each survey defined species differently. The surveys generally reported fish consumption by species (or a classification very similar to common names for biological species)
or by classifications similar to a dish name (e.g., crab cake). The Minnesota/North Dakota study asked about classes of species. In the combined file, the Species variable described the fish species or fish class.

Each survey asked in some way about grams of fish per meal and the number of fish meals per unit time. This information was used to calculate the fish consumption. The Florida data provided the fish consumption in grams of uncooked fish per week and the meals per week (NumOccasions). The Florida study did not keep the grams per meal data in the data file. The Connecticut data had the grams per meal, meals per year, and the grams of as-consumed fish per day. The Minnesota/North Dakota study provided the meals per year and the grams of fish per meal. The fish consumption was calculated from these variables. Note that a "meal" in general refers to one type of fish at a meal. If a respondent eats two types of fish at the same meal, these will be recorded as separate meals. Thus "meals" does not have the usual interpretation.

The information on fish consumption was used to calculate fish consumption for bought and caught fish. Each of these was also provided as uncooked weight and cooked (as-consumed) weight. The following paragraphs describe how these values were calculated.

For the Florida study, the data file provided fish consumption in grams of uncooked fish per week and the percent of the fish that were recreationally caught (the percentage was almost always $0 \%$ or $100 \%$ ). The percent recreationally caught was used to divide the uncooked grams into self-caught and store-bought portions. Dividing the value by 7 (days) converted the weekly consumption to $\mathrm{g} /$ day. The study report also reported conversions for translating from uncooked to as-consumed weight that were used. These same conversions were used to calculate the asconsumed weights.

For the Connecticut study, the data files provided the as-consumed fish consumption in grams per day. The respondents were also asked if the fish was bought at a restaurant, store, or self-caught. Any combinations of sources could be checked. If the respondent selected two sources (such as store and caught), half of fish was assumed to come from each source. If all three sources were selected, one-third was assumed to come from each source. The Study report also provided some information on how weights were converted from uncooked to as-consumed (Balcom et al, 1999). This information was used to convert from the as-consumed weight to the uncooked weight.

The Minnesota/North Dakota survey asked for the frequency of eating fish in each class as well as the usual serving size. Another check box indicated if the weight was uncooked weight or as-consumed weight. These categories were associated with numeric values for the number of meals per year and the grams of fish per meal. All fish was assumed to be eaten cooked. A generic value from the Mercury Study Report to Congress (U.S. EPA, 1997) was
used to convert weights from uncooked to as-consumed. The as-consumed weight was assumed to be $75 \%$ of the uncooked weight for all fish. The survey divided the fish classes into storebought and self-caught fish. These classes were used to define the consumption of store-bought and self-caught fish.

All surveys collected data on the age, sex, and bodyweight of the respondents. The Minnesota/North Dakota study collected information on individuals that were pregnant or nursing. However, as noted above, this information may not be reliable. Nonetheless, this information was provided in the individual data file in the CurPregNurs variable. The Connecticut study asked if the household member was pregnant or breast feeding. If either of these questions was answered positively, the CurPregNurs variable was "Yes". If either was missing the CurPregNurs variable was DK, otherwise the CurPregNurs variable was "No". The CurPregNurs variable was "NA" for men.

## A.6. CALCULATION OF WEIGHTS

In general, a statistical sampling weight can the thought of as the number of units (generally, people or households) in the target population "statistically represented" by a unit in the sample. In a formal sense, the weight is the inverse of the product of the probability of selection into the sample and the probability of responding to the survey. If weighting strata are defined such that the probability of selection and the probability of responding are believed to be the same for all individuals in the strata, the weights for individuals in the strata can be calculated as the population in the stratum divided by the sample size in the stratum. Typically, additional weight adjustments are then made to force agreement with certain population counts (Lohr, 1999).

Sampling weights were calculated to extrapolate the reported fish consumption for the "General" population respondents to the population in the four states for which there was data: Florida, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota.

The weights were calculated in three steps. In the first step weighting strata were defined for calculation of the initial weights. In each survey, the sample of households to be contacted was a random sample stratified by county. In most states the probability of selecting a household was the same in each county. However, in Minnesota, the probability of selecting a household was higher in the nine northeast counties and in Florida the probability of selection was higher in counties with paper mills. Without additional information on which segments of the population were more likely to respond to the survey once selected, it was assumed that every household within a county had the same probability of responding to the survey. In some counties the number of completed surveys was very small, making the sampling weight sensitive to the precise number of respondents if the county was used as the weighting strata. Having more
respondents in a weighting strata helps to reduce any random variation in the weights. Having fewer respondents in a strata helps to create weights that reflect different probabilities of selection in different counties, if differences exist. However, having more variable weights will increase the variance of the estimates.

The following procedures were used to minimize variation in the weights due to a small number of respondents in some counties: In each state the counties were sorted from smallest to largest. Counties were grouped into weighting strata, starting with the smallest counties, until the number of respondents in the strata was roughly 70 or greater. The cut-off of 70 was considered reasonable to balance these two kinds of errors. The exact choice of the cutoff is not expected to have much effect on the estimates. In Minnesota, a different sampling rate was used for the nine northeast counties compared to the other counties. As a result, the counties were divided into two groups, the nine northeast counties and other counties. The weighting strata were then defined separately within these two groups of counties. In all states, the weighting strata are either individual counties or groups of small counties.

The initial weight was calculated in the second step as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\text {initial }}=\frac{N_{\text {Pop }}}{N_{\operatorname{Re} s p}} \tag{A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\text {Pop }}$ is the 2000 census population in the weighting strata in which the respondent lives and $N_{\text {Resp }}$ is the number of respondents from the weighting strata with completed surveys. This calculation assumes that households in the weighting strata are equally likely to respond to the survey regardless of other characteristics of the household, such as whether they have an answering machine. A more detailed adjustment for non-response was not possible because data on non-responding households was not available.

In the last step, the weights were adjusted, or raked, so that the total weights for selected populations equals known totals from the 2000 Census. Raking the data required classifying all respondents within each state by characteristics, such as child versus adult. Using population totals for these groups, raking adjusts the initial weights to agree with the population totals. When the weights are raked to agree with more that one set of totals (in this case, the total population in each weighting strata and the total state population for adults and children) the weights are adjusted sequentially for each set of totals until the weights converge. The raking procedure can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{J}=\frac{T_{\text {Subgroup }}}{\sum_{\text {Subgroup }} W_{J-1}} W_{J-1} \tag{A-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{J}$ is the weight after raking step $J, W_{J-1}$ is the weight after the previous raking step ( $W_{J-1}=W_{\text {initial }}$ for the first calculation), the sum is over the subgroup to which the respondent belongs, and $T_{\text {Subgroup }}$ is the population total for that subgroup. All weights were raked to agree with the Census 2000 total population for the weighting strata and for the number of adults and children in the state.

In Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Dakota, the raking had a minimal effect on the weights and the weighted estimates. In Florida, responses for children and adults other than the RSA were not included in the data unless the primary meal preparer (PMP) was present. Because the PMP was not present in 1,383 of the 8,000 households, the data under-represented the children and some adults. The raked weights corrected for the under representation of the number children and of some adults by increasing their weights relative to the RSA. As a result, the weighted survey estimates for Florida should fairly represent the characteristics of at-home consumption of fish and shellfish for all Florida residents. However, the weights cannot adjust the estimates to account for the fact that out-of-home fish and shellfish consumption was not obtained household members other than the RSA.

The weights estimate the number of individuals in the population represented by each respondent (individual with data). When calculating estimates for a state, counties with more people (and a higher weight total) contribute more to the state estimate than counties with few people. Thus the estimates do not weight the counties equally. The same weights can be used for estimates of fish and shellfish consumption by the general population within the state as for the population of fish and shellfish consumers. The weight for a consumer of fish and shellfish estimates the number of fish and shellfish consumers in the population represented by the respondent. When calculating estimates for consumers in a state, counties with more consumers contribute more to the state estimate than counties with few consumers. If counties have a different proportions of the population that eat fish and shellfish, the relative influence of each county on the state total will be different than for estimates for all of the population.

Standard statistical procedures do not calculate the correct variance (from which the standard errors and confidence intervals are derived) for weighted estimates because (1) the weights are not equal, and (2) household, not individuals, were selected using a random sample. Special procedures must be used to calculate the correct variances. To implement those procedures defined "Variance units" and replicate weights. Using these variables, the variance
of weighted estimates can be calculated using the WesVar or SUDAAN programs or other software, such as the SAS PROC SURVEYMEANS procedure. WesVar uses replicate weights to calculate the variance. The replicate weights are related to the variance units. The data files had replicate weights that can be used in WesVar or other programs. Other programs, using a method called Taylor series linearization, use the full sample weight and the variance units to approximate the variance calculated by WesVar. SUDAAN uses Taylor series linearization and can use the replicate weight for some procedures. PROC SURVEYMEANS also uses Taylor series linearization.

The variance units were defined by randomly ordering the households within each state and targeted population. Households were then sequentially numbered starting from 1 up to 50 . After 50, the next household was numbered 1, etc. The numbers defined the variance units, for example, all households (and individuals in those households) that had the number 1 formed the first variance unit. Together, these variance units were used to calculate a jackknife estimate of variance. The variance estimate will have about 49 degrees of freedom.

## APPENDIX B. QA/QC PROCESS

The data files collected and originally processed by others were analyzed. The documentation for the original files was sometimes inadequate or was not consistent with the contents of the data files. The reports on the original surveys did not include discussions of the QA/QC process used in the implementation and data processing of the surveys.

These files were processed as described in Appendix A. When processing the files, various QC checks were performed, including:

- Cross-tabulations of original and recoded variables to verify that the recoding was completed correctly;
- Calculation of summary statistics to compare results from different steps in the processing of the files;
- Comparing summary statistics from the processed files to published summary statistics;
- Using SAS macros for preparation of the tables to simplify some repetitive operations and minimize some coding problems;
- Recreate a subset of the tables using a completely unrelated program to check for possible errors in the SAS macros; and
- The calculations of derived variables were checked by exporting to a Microsoft Excel file the derived variables and the variables from which they were derived. The calculation of the derived variables was then checked for a stratified random subset of cases.

Any errors that were found were corrected.

## APPENDIX C. CALCULATION OF PERCENTILES

Different programs use different formulas for estimating percentiles for data from a random sample. Estimating percentiles for weighted survey data based on a complex probability sample design requires selection of a formula for calculating the percentiles. The choice can affect the bias of the percentile estimate.

Hyndman and Fan (1996) provide a summary of commonly used formulas used for calculating percentiles. The various formulas fall into two general categories, discontinuous and piecewise continuous functions to approximate the population quantile function. For a continuous distribution, extreme percentiles can be outside the range of the observed data. Using a discontinuous quantile function, estimates of extreme percentiles can be very biased. Extreme percentiles cannot be estimated when using a piecewise continuous quantile function. However, for percentiles within the range of the data, the piecewise continuous functions interpolate between the observed values and can provide more reasonable estimates for intermediate percentiles.

The following formula (Equation C-1, presented by Hyndman and Fan, 1996) defines plotting points. Linear interpolation between the plotting points is used to define the piecewise continuous sample quantile function. The location of the plotting points depends on two parameters, $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Assume a continuous underlying variable and a piecewise-linear sample quantile function $p=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ defined by plotting points $\left(x_{k}, p_{k}\right)$, where $x_{k}$ is the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ ordered observation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=\frac{k-\alpha}{n+1-\alpha-\beta} \tag{C-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants between 0 and 1 . The percentile corresponding to the desired percentage P is obtained by interpolation between neighboring plotting points.

Different software programs use different $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values. For symmetric distributions, Hyndman and Fan argue that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ should be equal. For simple random samples they recommended setting $\alpha$ and $\beta$ between $1 / 3$ and $3 / 8$. Unless $\alpha$ and $\beta$ equal 1.0 , there will be percentages $<p_{1}$ and $>p_{n}$ for which percentiles cannot be calculated. Some software uses the maximum $\left(x_{n}\right)$ or minimum $\left(x_{1}\right)$ for these percentiles. However the maximum and minimum may provide very biased estimates of the desired percentiles. Many programs set these percentiles to missing.

There are no standard formulas for calculating percentiles from weighted data. Hyndman and Fan (1996) implicitly assume that there are no ties and do not discuss weighted data. Equation (C-2) is a reasonable analog of Equation (C-1) for weighted data and data with tied values. Note that if all the weights are equal, Equation (C-2) is the same as Equation (C-1).

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{k}=k^{t h} \text { ordered unique value, } k=1 \ldots n, \\
& S_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{j}, W_{k}=\text { weight for observations equal to } x_{k}, \\
& p_{k}=\frac{S_{k}-W_{k} \alpha}{S_{n}+W_{k}(1-\alpha-\beta)} . \tag{C-2}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on simulated weighted data, Rogers (2003) recommends setting $\alpha=\beta=0.5$ to minimize bias, unless adequate data are available for selecting other values.

The only procedure in SAS for calculating weighted percentiles uses a discrete quantile function. The SUDAAN and WesVar programs that are designed for weighted data use a calculation procedure equivalent to setting $\alpha=0.0$ and $\beta=1.0$. This choice can results in biased quantile estimates for many distributions.

A SAS macro was written to calculate percentiles using Equation (C-2) with $\alpha=\beta=0.5$.
The following example illustrates the calculation of weights and compares the results to SAS PROC Univariate. The characteristics of the calculations are easiest to illustrate using a small data set. The data used are in Table C-1

Table C-1. Example data

| Data value | Weight |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 7 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 16 |

Table C-2 shows the percentiles for the example data calculated using the macro and SAS PROC Univariate.

Table C-2. Percentile estimates from the macro and from PROC Univariate for the example data

|  | Percentile estimate calculated from |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage for the <br> desired percentile | Macro | PROC <br> Univariate |
| $1 \%$ | 1.667 | 1 |
| $5 \%$ | 2.222 | 2 |
| $10 \%$ | 3.182 | 2.5 |
| $25 \%$ | 4.30 | 3 |
| $50 \%$ |  | 5 |
| $75 \%$ |  | 5 |
| $90 \%$ |  | 5 |
| $95 \%$ |  | 5 |
| $99 \%$ |  | 5 |

Figure C-1 shows the assumed inverse cumulative distribution function defined by the macro and by PROC Univariate. On the horizontal axis is the percentile to be estimated (expressed as a fraction) and on the vertical axis is the estimated percentile value. For example, going up from 0.50 on the horizontal axis until hitting the line for the macro estimate and then going left from that point to the vertical axis gives an estimate of the median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) of 4.3 using the macro. Based on the line for PROC Univariate, the estimate of the median is 5.0. The curves in Figure C-1 approximate the cumulative distribution function for the population values. Note that the macro uses a piecewise continuous function to approximate the cumulative distribution function and PROC Univariate uses a step function where the width of the step is proportional to the weight of the observation. If the percentage for the desired percentile falls exactly on the rise between steps, the estimate is the midpoint between the two steps. If $\alpha=\beta=$ 0.5 then the linear interpolation from Equation (C-2) goes through the middle of each step. If the percentage for the desired percentile is close to $0 \%$ or $100 \%$, PROC Univariate returns the minimum or maximum respectively and the macro returns a missing value.


Figure C-1. Comparison of the cumulative inverse cumulative distribution function estimates from the macro and PROC Univariate.

If the values in the population (in this report, fish consumption rates) can be considered measures on a continuous scale, then (1) the true percentiles can take values other than the sampled values, (2) the extreme percentiles of the distribution can be less than the sampled minimum or greater than the sampled maximum value, and (3) the extent to which the extreme percentiles are outside the range of the observed minimum and maximum cannot be determined from the data (in this case the macro returns a missing value). On the assumption that the fish consumption rate can be considered a continuous measure, the characteristics of the estimates from the macro more closely match the characteristics of the true percentiles than do the estimates from PROC Univariate. Note that the difference between the estimates from the macro and PROC Univariate will always be less than the difference between the two closest observations that bracket the estimate from the macro. For a fixed population, larger sample sizes will be associated with smaller differences between the percentiles from the macro and PROC Univariate.

Even with a small number of observations, the macro will provide estimates of extreme percentiles if the weight for the smallest or largest observation is small. In the example data, the weight for the smallest observation is $3.33 \%$ of the total weight. In this case, the macro will
estimate percentiles for percentages as low as $1.67 \%$ (half of $3.33 \%$ ). However, the weight for the highest observation is $46.67 \%$ of the total weight. As a result, the macro will not estimate percentiles for percentages greater than $73.3 \%$ ( $100 \%$-half of ( $100 \%-46.67 \%$ ) ). The maximum observed value returned by PROC Univariate is likely to be a biased estimate for extreme upper percentiles. Likewise, the minimum observed value is likely to be a biased estimate for extreme lower percentiles.

The macro uses linear interpolation between the observed values to calculate percentiles. Linear interpolation is expected to work best when the cumulative distribution function is close to linear in the region where interpolation is used. If the cumulative distribution function is not close to linear, the percentile estimates may be slightly improved by transforming the data so that the cumulative distribution function in the transformed scale is approximately linear, calculating percentiles in the transformed scale, and then transforming the percentiles back to the original measurement scale. Percentiles were calculated using the log-transformed values because the cumulative distribution function for the log-transformed values is likely to be more linear than for the untransformed values when the data are skewed. The differences in the percentiles between using the measured data and the log-transformed data are small relative to the differences between using the macro with interpolation and using PROC Univariate. Table C-3 compares the example-data percentile estimates using different methods.

Table C-3. Percentile estimates from the macro and from PROC Univariate for the example data

|  | Percentile estimate calculated from |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage for the desired <br> percentile | Macro, original data | Macro, log- <br> transformed data | PROC Univariate |
| $5 \%$ | 1.667 | 1.587 | 2 |
| $10 \%$ | 2.222 | 2.189 | 2.5 |
| $25 \%$ | 3.182 | 3.161 | 3 |
| $50 \%$ | 4.300 | 4.277 | 5 |

## APPENDIX D. GLOSSARY

Table D-1 details abbreviations used in this report.

## Table D-1. Abbreviations used throughout the data documentation report

| FL | Florida |
| :--- | :--- |
| CT | Connecticut |
| MN | Minnesota |
| HH | North Dakota |
| FFQ | Household |
| RSA | Food frequency questionnaire |
| PMP | Randomly selected adult (in the Florida survey) |
| MNND | Primary meal preparer (in the Florida survey) |
| CI | Minnesota/North Dakota study |

Consumption-Unless otherwise quantified, the fish and shellfish consumption rate is given in g/day.

Consumption per kilogram bodyweight—Fish and shellfish consumption rate (g/day) divided by the respondents reported bodyweight in grams per day to calculate grams per kilogram bodyweight per day.

Consumption rate-The fish or shellfish consumption during the recall period divided by the length of the recall period. The consumption rate can also be calculated as the typical weight of fish consumed per meal divided by the number of meals per unit time (such as three meals per month). For this report, the consumption rate was converted to $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$.

General population-The general population refers to a set of respondents that were randomly selected to represent the state population. The term "General" distinguishes those respondents that are used to calculate population estimates from targeted populations.

Population-All residents within a state. In this document, "Population" associated with survey results refers to estimates of values for a state population derived from the weighted survey data. See also "General population."

Subgroup-A subset of the data defined by an analysis variable, such as a subset of respondents in an income, race-ethnicity, or gender group. Confidence intervals are calculated for respondents within subgroups. Significance tests test if the means or geometric means are the same across subgroups defined by an analysis variable.

Targeted population-A subset of the general population within a state (e.g., children, recreational anglers).

## APPENDIX E. TABLES OF FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION

Appendix E has fish and shellfish consumption tables for each state's general population using the as-consumed weight of fish and shellfish, additional tables summarizing consumption as raw weight, and tables for targeted populations. Section E. 1 has a detailed overview of the tables. For the state's general population, Sections E. 2 through E. 8 have tables presenting mean and geometric mean fish and shellfish consumption and the percentage of respondents that reported eating fish or shellfish. Each table provides separate estimates for each state. Additional tables provide percentile estimates, a more detailed breakdown of the general population, and consumption in raw weight and raw weight per kilogram bodyweight. Section E. 9 has plots showing the mean and confidence intervals for fish and shellfish consumption and the percentage of respondents that reported eating fish or shellfish. Section E. 10 has tables showing the mean and geometric mean fish consumption for each state's general population and targeted populations. Section E. 11 lists the species of fish consumed by the general population. Tables for the targeted populations with additional details are in Section E-12 along with tables listing the species consumed by the targeted populations.

## E.1. ORGANIZATION OF THE TABLES

There are tables for each summary statistic organized into the following sections:

- Section E. 2 Mean fish consumption;
- Section E. 3 Mean fish consumption per kilogram bodyweight;
- Section E. 4 Percentage of respondents that reported eating fish and shellfish;
- Section E. 5 Mean fish consumption for respondents that consumed fish or shellfish (consumers only);
- Section E. 6 Mean fish consumption per kilogram bodyweight for respondents that consumed fish or shellfish (consumers only);
- Section E. 7 Geometric mean fish consumption for respondents that consumed fish or shellfish (consumers only);
- Section E. 8 Geometric mean fish consumption per kilogram bodyweight for respondents that consumed fish or shellfish (consumers only);
- Section E-9 Plots of confidence intervals for the state's general population;
- Section E-10 Fish and shellfish consumption for targeted populations;
- Section E-11 Species eaten and caught; and
- Section E-12 Additional details for targeted populations.

Within each of these sections are separate tables, each using a different independent variable to define the subgroups for analysis. Each table presents summary statistics, confidence intervals, and a $p$-value to assess the significance of differences among subgroups within states. In the discussion of the results in Section 3, differences with $p$-values $<0.05$ are described as statistically significant.

The tables reporting the data on fish and shellfish consumption show the state, the levels of the independent variable (the column heading is Subgroup), the number of respondents ( N ), the weighted population size (in thousands) represented by the respondents, (weighted $\mathrm{N} / 1,000$ ), the mean or average fish and shellfish consumption rate (as-consumed in $\mathrm{g} /$ day or $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day), the upper and lower $95 \%$ confidence interval for the mean, and a $p$-value for assessing the statistical significance of within-state differences between the subgroup means. The confidence intervals are shown for each level of the independent variable except for race-ethnicity for which the Asian and American Indian categories were combined as "Other." Missing values of the independent variable are shown as "Unknown." The $p$-value calculations excluded the "Unknown" category.

The calculations for the confidence interval and $p$-values assume the estimates of the mean have a normal distribution. If the sample size ( N ) is smaller than about 100 , this assumption is uncertain and the confidence interval and $p$-value are approximate. If there are relatively few respondents in a subgroup category, the confidence intervals can be imprecise. Results for these categories are left in the tables for completeness, although the results may not be useful due to the small sample sizes. If there is only one respondent, the confidence interval cannot be calculated. In a few cases with small sample sizes the normality assumption is not correct and the calculated lower confidence interval is $<0$ even though fish and shellfish consumption rates can never be negative. In these cases, the calculated values are presented even though they are unrealistic. A plus sign $(+)$ between the columns for the upper confidence limit and the $p$-value indicates categories that were combined for the calculation of the $p$-value.

## E.2. MEAN FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION FOR THE STATE'S GENERAL POPULATION, AS-CONSUMED WEIGHT PER DAY

Tables described in this section (Tables E-1-E-5) present the estimated mean consumption rate of fish and shellfish (as-consumed g /day) for the general population in Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota. The estimates are broken out by state and categorical level of the independent variable, in separate tables defined by the independent variables: adult versus child, two classifications of age and gender combinations, education, income, race-ethnicity, gender, and type of fish consumed.

Table E-1. Mean consumption, general population, per capita, by state and adult/child (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | 337 | 2502 | 32.442 | 25.853 | 39.032 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 83 | 786 | 9.897 | 6.091 | 13.704 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 6.869 | -2.802 | 16.539 |  |
| FL | Adult | 13589 | 12339 | 31.052 | 29.253 | 32.851 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 3592 | 3613 | 13.617 | 12.100 | 15.134 |  |
| MN | Adult | 650 | 3614 | 21.252 | 15.786 | 26.718 | 0.0001 |
|  | Child | 185 | 1224 | 9.573 | 7.342 | 11.803 |  |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 0.016 | -0.021 | 0.053 |  |
| ND | Adult | 430 | 474 | 20.215 | 16.538 | 23.893 | 0.0387 |
|  | Child | 165 | 157 | 15.028 | 9.565 | 20.490 |  |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 23.980 | 1.703 | 46.257 |  |

Table E-2. Mean consumption, general population, per capita, by state and age/gender (5 categories) (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 77 | 726 | 9.600 | 6.265 | 12.936 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 91 | 689 | 34.496 | 20.707 | 48.284 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 94 | 694 | 26.670 | 20.539 | 32.801 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 14 | 119 | 11.932 | 6.369 | 17.495 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 144 | 1061 | 36.109 | 28.098 | 44.120 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 6.869 | -2.802 | 16.539 |  |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 2751 | 2787 | 13.005 | 11.487 | 14.523 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 3799 | 3486 | 30.470 | 27.289 | 33.652 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 2833 | 2553 | 27.750 | 25.710 | 29.790 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 1783 | 1646 | 32.497 | 26.412 | 38.582 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 5020 | 4539 | 33.076 | 30.915 | 35.236 |  |
|  | Unknown | 995 | 941 | 16.388 | 12.419 | 20.356 |  |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 146 | 1017 | 9.556 | 7.052 | 12.059 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 147 | 968 | 22.499 | 6.050 | 38.948 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 203 | 978 | 22.370 | 16.996 | 27.745 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 63 | 292 | 8.648 | 5.523 | 11.774 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 276 | 1583 | 20.602 | 16.736 | 24.468 |  |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 0.016 | -0.021 | 0.053 |  |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 121 | 116 | 15.634 | 9.745 | 21.524 | 0.0728 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 124 | 129 | 15.468 | 11.810 | 19.125 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 128 | 144 | 22.323 | 16.237 | 28.409 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 45 | 47 | 17.781 | 12.024 | 23.537 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 177 | 196 | 20.924 | 16.509 | 25.339 |  |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 23.980 | 1.703 | 46.257 |  |

Table E-3. Mean consumption, general population, per capita, by state and education (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 25.237 | 7.646 | 42.828 | 0.6465 |
|  | High School | 89 | 682 | 23.778 | 17.407 | 30.150 |  |
|  | Some College | 66 | 504 | 34.481 | 18.457 | 50.505 |  |
|  | College grad | 263 | 2105 | 25.468 | 19.295 | 31.641 |  |
| FL | 0-11 years | 1744 | 1523 | 23.775 | 18.914 | 28.635 | 0.1296 |
|  | High School | 5677 | 5118 | 26.277 | 24.179 | 28.375 |  |
|  | Some College | 5261 | 4948 | 28.233 | 25.340 | 31.127 |  |
|  | College grad | 4367 | 4240 | 27.998 | 26.156 | 29.839 |  |
|  | Unknown | 132 | 123 | 26.400 | 16.310 | 36.490 |  |
| MN | 0-11 years | 46 | 214 | 23.954 | 6.975 | 40.934 | 0.5431 |
|  | High School | 236 | 1332 | 16.890 | 11.833 | 21.947 |  |
|  | Some College | 260 | 1330 | 22.761 | 10.248 | 35.274 |  |
|  | College grad | 256 | 1808 | 14.963 | 10.690 | 19.236 |  |
|  | Unknown | 43 | 215 | 16.509 | 12.264 | 20.754 |  |
| ND | $0-11 \text { years }$ | 31 | 35 | 13.946 | 6.303 | 21.589 | 0.2235 |
|  | High School | 143 | 144 | 23.007 | 16.089 | 29.924 |  |
|  | Some College | 195 | 212 | 17.185 | 12.559 | 21.812 |  |
|  | College grad | 196 | 206 | 18.738 | 12.270 | 25.206 |  |
|  | Unknown | 37 | 42 | 19.614 | 7.342 | 31.886 |  |

Table E-4. Mean consumption, general population, per capita, by state and income (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 41 | 312 | 23.532 | 13.970 | 33.094 | 0.5246 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 155 | 1179 | 32.019 | 18.294 | 45.744 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 219 | 1778 | 23.551 | 18.869 | 28.234 |  |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 22.525 | 11.253 | 33.797 |  |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 3746 | 3408 | 26.004 | 22.007 | 30.000 | 0.1249 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 7353 | 6814 | 28.114 | 25.880 | 30.349 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 3417 | 3250 | 30.601 | 28.187 | 33.015 |  |
|  | Unknown | 2665 | 2480 | 21.251 | 19.083 | 23.419 |  |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 89 | 373 | 28.456 | 15.506 | 41.406 | 0.2516 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 328 | 1802 | 19.268 | 9.906 | 28.630 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 16.354 | 12.093 | 20.614 |  |
|  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 13.932 | 10.095 | 17.769 |  |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 53 | 56 | 24.527 | 9.301 | 39.753 | 0.4371 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 252 | 268 | 17.542 | 13.218 | 21.866 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 239 | 251 | 19.215 | 15.597 | 22.834 |  |
|  | Unknown | 58 | 63 | 19.253 | 10.808 | 27.699 |  |

Table E-5. Mean consumption, general population, per capita, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 380 | 2968 | 26.984 | 22.218 | 31.751 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 9 | 66 | 4.362 | -3.422 | 12.145 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 20 | 178 | 29.688 | 8.433 | 50.942 |  |
|  | Other | 20 | 155 | 25.709 | -8.090 | 59.508 |  |
|  | Unknown | 2 | 21 | 0.427 | 0.427 | 0.427 |  |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 12957 | 11887 | 27.077 | 25.660 | 28.494 | 0.6051 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1842 | 1690 | 26.552 | 22.572 | 30.532 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 1673 | 1719 | 26.604 | 20.661 | 32.547 |  |
|  | Other | 382 | 330 | 35.779 | 24.189 | 47.369 |  |
|  | Unknown | 327 | 325 | 24.751 | 15.478 | 34.024 |  |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 779 | 4473 | 16.111 | 13.389 | 18.832 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.000 |  |  |  |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 45.011 | -10.06 | 100.08 |  |
|  | Other | 19 | 173 | 56.041 | -45.60 | 157.68 |  |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 22.254 | 12.125 | 32.383 |  |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 551 | 585 | 19.111 | 14.972 | 23.250 | 0.1451 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 15.717 | 15.028 | 16.405 |  |
|  | Other | 17 | 16 | 20.887 | 14.317 | 27.457 |  |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 16.239 | 6.998 | 25.479 |  |

## E.3. MEAN FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION FOR THE GENERAL POPULATION, AS-CONSUMED WEIGHT PER KILOGRAM BODYWEIGHT PER DAY

Tables described in this section (Tables E-6-E-11) present the estimated mean consumption rate per kilogram bodyweight (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) for the general population in Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota. The estimates are broken out by state and categorical level of the independent variable, in separate tables defined by the independent variables: adult versus child, two classifications of age and gender combinations, education, income, race-ethnicity, gender, and type of fish consumed.

Table E-6. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and adult/child (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | 331 | 2460 | 0.437 | 0.357 | 0.517 | 0.2807 |
|  | Child | 78 | 737 | 0.365 | 0.237 | 0.494 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 0.089 | -0.032 | 0.210 |  |
| FL | Adult | 12078 | 11517 | 0.442 | 0.415 | 0.468 | 0.0003 |
|  | Child | 3289 | 3310 | 0.557 | 0.497 | 0.617 |  |
| MN | Adult | 648 | 3612 | 0.297 | 0.202 | 0.392 | 0.3830 |
|  | Child | 184 | 1224 | 0.361 | 0.241 | 0.481 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 61 | 0.003 | -0.004 | 0.009 |  |
| ND | Adult | 414 | 456 | 0.283 | 0.229 | 0.337 | 0.0577 |
|  | Child | 158 | 151 | 0.443 | 0.259 | 0.627 |  |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 3 | 0.107 | -0.076 | 0.290 |  |

Table E-7. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and age/gender ( 9 categories) (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 26 | 253 | 0.317 | 0.133 | 0.501 | 0.0006 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 26 | 239 | 0.508 | 0.325 | 0.692 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 21 | 193 | 0.270 | 0.129 | 0.410 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 17 | 141 | 0.671 | 0.061 | 1.281 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 85 | 634 | 0.463 | 0.316 | 0.611 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 77 | 563 | 0.434 | 0.332 | 0.536 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 14 | 119 | 0.162 | 0.079 | 0.246 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 80 | 594 | 0.470 | 0.372 | 0.569 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 63 | 461 | 0.345 | 0.270 | 0.420 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 0.089 | -0.032 | 0.210 |  |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 1102 | 1134 | 0.885 | 0.752 | 1.019 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 938 | 956 | 0.435 | 0.370 | 0.500 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 864 | 848 | 0.365 | 0.290 | 0.440 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 1537 | 1477 | 0.436 | 0.349 | 0.523 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 2264 | 2178 | 0.529 | 0.489 | 0.570 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 2080 | 2025 | 0.412 | 0.382 | 0.442 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 1638 | 1551 | 0.441 | 0.358 | 0.524 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 2540 | 2383 | 0.428 | 0.386 | 0.469 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 2206 | 2090 | 0.384 | 0.354 | 0.414 |  |
|  | Unknown | 198 | 185 | 0.352 | 0.256 | 0.447 |  |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 47 | 437 | 0.568 | 0.304 | 0.832 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 46 | 298 | 0.333 | 0.168 | 0.498 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 68 | 337 | 0.219 | 0.147 | 0.292 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 47 | 331 | 0.665 | -0.200 | 1.530 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 132 | 722 | 0.240 | 0.195 | 0.285 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 162 | 854 | 0.342 | 0.251 | 0.433 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 55 | 275 | 0.099 | 0.060 | 0.138 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 120 | 731 | 0.237 | 0.161 | 0.313 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 155 | 851 | 0.243 | 0.197 | 0.290 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 61 | 0.003 | -0.004 | 0.009 |  |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 30 | 30 | 0.665 | 0.251 | 1.080 | 0.0617 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 44 | 42 | 0.513 | 0.279 | 0.746 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 55 | 52 | 0.397 | 0.217 | 0.576 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 42 | 43 | 0.180 | 0.121 | 0.238 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 95 | 101 | 0.281 | 0.212 | 0.350 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 99 | 112 | 0.377 | 0.254 | 0.500 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 36 | 38 | 0.217 | 0.128 | 0.306 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 0.215 | 0.169 | 0.261 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 81 | 92 | 0.288 | 0.187 | 0.389 |  |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 3 | 0.107 | -0.076 | 0.290 |  |

Table E-8. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and age/gender (5 categories) (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 72 | 676 | 0.374 | 0.252 | 0.496 | 0.0002 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 88 | 668 | 0.539 | 0.343 | 0.736 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 92 | 679 | 0.403 | 0.311 | 0.494 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 14 | 119 | 0.162 | 0.079 | 0.246 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 143 | 1055 | 0.415 | 0.342 | 0.489 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 0.089 | -0.032 | 0.210 |  |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 2740 | 2776 | 0.607 | 0.540 | 0.675 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 3477 | 3350 | 0.479 | 0.428 | 0.529 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 2487 | 2413 | 0.437 | 0.402 | 0.473 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 1719 | 1629 | 0.429 | 0.350 | 0.509 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 4746 | 4473 | 0.407 | 0.381 | 0.434 |  |
|  | Unknown | 198 | 185 | 0.352 | 0.256 | 0.447 |  |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 145 | 1016 | 0.405 | 0.262 | 0.549 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 146 | 968 | 0.376 | 0.065 | 0.687 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 203 | 978 | 0.335 | 0.255 | 0.414 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 63 | 292 | 0.106 | 0.067 | 0.145 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 275 | 1582 | 0.240 | 0.195 | 0.286 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 61 | 0.003 | -0.004 | 0.009 |  |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 115 | 111 | 0.523 | 0.304 | 0.743 | 0.0117 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 118 | 123 | 0.253 | 0.195 | 0.310 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 124 | 139 | 0.347 | 0.246 | 0.448 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 44 | 46 | 0.249 | 0.160 | 0.339 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 171 | 189 | 0.250 | 0.194 | 0.307 |  |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 3 | 0.107 | -0.076 | 0.290 |  |

Table E-9. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and education (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 0.325 | 0.073 | 0.576 | 0.8676 |
|  | High School | 87 | 667 | 0.378 | 0.264 | 0.491 |  |
|  | Some College | 62 | 477 | 0.410 | 0.271 | 0.549 |  |
|  | College grad | 258 | 2055 | 0.425 | 0.324 | 0.526 |  |
| FL | 0-11 years | 1481 | 1387 | 0.400 | 0.322 | 0.478 | 0.2720 |
|  | High School | 4992 | 4722 | 0.464 | 0.422 | 0.506 |  |
|  | Some College | 4791 | 4650 | 0.488 | 0.431 | 0.546 |  |
|  | College grad | 4012 | 3979 | 0.471 | 0.439 | 0.502 |  |
|  | Unknown | 91 | 89 | 0.464 | 0.275 | 0.654 |  |
| MN | 0-11 years | 46 | 214 | 0.340 | 0.101 | 0.579 | 0.5706 |
|  | High School | 234 | 1331 | 0.290 | 0.158 | 0.421 |  |
|  | Some College | 259 | 1329 | 0.407 | 0.180 | 0.634 |  |
|  | College grad | 255 | 1808 | 0.256 | 0.200 | 0.312 |  |
|  | Unknown | 43 | 215 | 0.242 | 0.177 | 0.307 |  |
| ND | 0-11 years | 29 | 32 | 0.225 | 0.091 | 0.360 | 0.4066 |
|  | High School | 138 | 139 | 0.420 | 0.222 | 0.617 |  |
|  | Some College | 183 | 200 | 0.275 | 0.197 | 0.352 |  |
|  | College grad | 188 | 197 | 0.311 | 0.208 | 0.413 |  |
|  | Unknown | 37 | 42 | 0.345 | 0.107 | 0.583 |  |

Table E-10. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and income (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 40 | 303 | 0.389 | 0.230 | 0.547 | 0.6479 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 150 | 1137 | 0.472 | 0.298 | 0.646 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 214 | 1737 | 0.380 | 0.292 | 0.467 |  |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 0.323 | 0.148 | 0.497 |  |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 3314 | 3158 | 0.473 | 0.401 | 0.545 | 0.4704 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 6678 | 6430 | 0.480 | 0.438 | 0.523 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 3136 | 3066 | 0.514 | 0.471 | 0.558 |  |
|  | Unknown | 2239 | 2172 | 0.354 | 0.315 | 0.393 |  |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 87 | 371 | 0.401 | 0.204 | 0.597 | 0.6062 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 326 | 1801 | 0.337 | 0.166 | 0.507 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 0.288 | 0.197 | 0.379 |  |
|  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 0.244 | 0.191 | 0.297 |  |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 51 | 54 | 0.517 | 0.035 | 0.999 | 0.3507 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 251 | 0.272 | 0.200 | 0.344 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 233 | 245 | 0.307 | 0.252 | 0.361 |  |
|  | Unknown | 56 | 60 | 0.415 | 0.189 | 0.642 |  |

Table E-11. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, per capita, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 370 | 2888 | 0.407 | 0.342 | 0.473 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 9 | 66 | 0.050 | -0.037 | 0.136 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 20 | 178 | 0.483 | 0.140 | 0.826 |  |
|  | Other | 19 | 143 | 0.609 | -0.115 | 1.332 |  |
|  | Unknown | 2 | 21 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 |  |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 11607 | 11113 | 0.456 | 0.431 | 0.481 | 0.2214 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1603 | 1522 | 0.535 | 0.448 | 0.623 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 1556 | 1619 | 0.463 | 0.352 | 0.574 |  |
|  | Other | 327 | 297 | 0.592 | 0.367 | 0.817 |  |
|  | Unknown | 274 | 276 | 0.431 | 0.292 | 0.571 |  |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 775 | 4469 | 0.269 | 0.218 | 0.320 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.000 | . | . |  |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.645 | -0.152 | 1.442 |  |
|  | Other | 19 | 173 | 1.235 | -0.590 | 3.060 |  |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 0.322 | 0.179 | 0.466 |  |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 528 | 559 | 0.325 | 0.239 | 0.410 | 0.2590 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.250 | 0.216 | 0.284 |  |
|  | Other | 13 | 13 | 0.275 | 0.181 | 0.370 |  |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 0.296 | 0.080 | 0.512 |  |

## E.4. PERCENTAGE OF THE GENERAL POPULATION RESPONDENTS THAT REPORTED EATING FISH AND SHELLFISH

Tables described in this section (Tables E-12 and E-13) present the estimated percentage of respondents in the general population that reported eating fish or shellfish in Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota. The estimates are broken out by state and categorical level of the independent variable, in separate tables defined by the independent variables: adult versus child, two classifications of age and gender combinations, education, income, raceethnicity, gender, and type of fish consumed. The expected percentage of respondents eating fish or shellfish depends in part on the recall period used by the surveys. In Florida the recall period was 7 days. In Minnesota and North Dakota the recall period was 1 year. In Connecticut the recall period was roughly a year based on the question wording, but was not specified. As a result, the percentage of respondents reporting that they ate fish or shellfish during the recall period is expected to be lower for Florida than for the other states.

Table E-12. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and adult/child (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1000 } \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | 337 | 2502 | 89.4 | 85.2 | 93.6 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 83 | 786 | 68.9 | 54.7 | 83.1 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 76.1 | 48.4 | 103.9 |  |
| FL | Adult | 13589 | 12339 | 52.5 | 51.4 | 53.5 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 3592 | 3613 | 39.8 | 37.1 | 42.5 |  |
| MN | Adult | 650 | 3614 | 96.6 | 94.3 | 98.9 | 0.0492 |
|  | Child | 185 | 1224 | 92.4 | 84.8 | 99.9 |  |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 1.5 | -2.0 | 5.0 |  |
| ND | Adult | 430 | 474 | 95.5 | 93.5 | 97.5 | 0.5587 |
|  | Child | 165 | 157 | 93.9 | 88.5 | 99.3 |  |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 75.2 | 39.5 | 111.0 |  |

Table E-13. Percent eating fish and shellfish, per capita, by state and age/gender (5 categories) (with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Percent | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 77 | 726 | 69.2 | 54.3 | 84.2 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 91 | 689 | 89.1 | 81.0 | 97.2 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 94 | 694 | 87.0 | 79.9 | 94.0 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 14 | 119 | 70.5 | 48.3 | 92.7 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 144 | 1061 | 91.9 | 87.1 | 96.6 |  |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 76.1 | 48.4 | 103.9 |  |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 2751 | 2787 | 39.9 | 36.9 | 42.9 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 3799 | 3486 | 52.3 | 50.6 | 54.0 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 2833 | 2553 | 55.9 | 54.0 | 57.9 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 1783 | 1646 | 45.4 | 42.6 | 48.1 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 5020 | 4539 | 53.6 | 52.1 | 55.0 |  |
|  | Unknown | 995 | 941 | 39.2 | 34.3 | 44.1 |  |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 146 | 1017 | 93.3 | 86.4 | 100.1 | 0.0435 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 147 | 968 | 94.9 | 89.2 | 100.7 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 203 | 978 | 95.4 | 91.6 | 99.1 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 63 | 292 | 92.4 | 78.8 | 106.0 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 276 | 1583 | 98.1 | 96.1 | 100.1 |  |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 1.5 | -2.0 | 5.0 |  |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 121 | 116 | 93.5 | 88.0 | 99.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5223 \\ &+ \\ &+\end{aligned}$ |
|  | Female 15-44 | 124 | 129 | 93.5 | 88.3 | 98.7 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 128 | 144 | 94.9 | 91.2 | 98.5 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 45 | 47 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 177 | 196 | 96.1 | 93.3 | 98.8 |  |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 75.2 | 39.5 | 111.0 |  |

## E.5. MEAN FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION FOR THE GENERAL POPULATION, CONSUMERS ONLY, AS-CONSUMED WEIGHT PER DAY

Tables described in this section (Tables E-14-E-18) present the estimated mean consumption rate of fish and shellfish (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} /$ day) for those that reported consuming fish and shellfish. The estimates are broken out by state (Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota) and categorical level of the independent variable, in separate tables defined by the independent variables: adult versus child, two classifications of age and gender combinations, education, income, race-ethnicity, gender, and type of fish consumed. The expected consumption rate for those that eat fish or shellfish depends in part on the recall period used by the surveys. In Florida the recall period was 7 days. In Minnesota and North Dakota the recall period was 1 year. In Connecticut the recall period was roughly a year based on the question
wording, but was not specified. As a result, the calculated consumption rate for consumers of fish and shellfish is expected to be higher for Florida than for the other states.

Table E-14. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and adult/child (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | 302 | 2237 | 36.290 | 29.214 | 43.365 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 58 | 542 | 14.369 | 10.231 | 18.508 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 9.022 | -0.715 | 18.760 |  |
| FL | Adult | 7131 | 6473 | 59.191 | 56.201 | 62.181 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 1435 | 1438 | 34.204 | 31.663 | 36.745 |  |
| MN | Adult | 623 | 3492 | 21.994 | 16.371 | 27.618 | 0.0002 |
|  | Child | 172 | 1131 | 10.365 | 8.024 | 12.706 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.047 | . | . |  |
| ND | Adult | 410 | 453 | 21.172 | 17.323 | 25.022 | 0.0498 |
|  | Child | 155 | 147 | 16.001 | 10.303 | 21.699 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 31.880 | 5.107 | 58.654 |  |

Table E-15. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and age/gender (5 categories) (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 54 | 503 | 13.865 | 10.367 | 17.364 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 82 | 614 | 38.702 | 23.801 | 53.603 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 82 | 604 | 30.671 | 24.209 | 37.133 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 10 | 84 | 16.924 | 11.800 | 22.048 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 132 | 974 | 39.302 | 30.770 | 47.834 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 9.022 | -0.715 | 18.760 |  |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 1102 | 1113 | 32.579 | 30.108 | 35.050 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 1996 | 1825 | 58.221 | 52.826 | 63.616 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 1588 | 1428 | 49.624 | 46.652 | 52.595 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 813 | 747 | 71.620 | 59.401 | 83.838 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 2678 | 2431 | 61.748 | 58.206 | 65.290 |  |
|  | Unknown | 389 | 369 | 41.825 | 34.216 | 49.434 |  |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 138 | 948 | 10.247 | 7.583 | 12.911 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 140 | 920 | 23.697 | 6.438 | 40.957 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 189 | 932 | 23.460 | 17.786 | 29.135 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 59 | 270 | 9.358 | 6.197 | 12.520 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 269 | 1552 | 21.007 | 17.154 | 24.859 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.047 | . | . |  |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 113 | 108 | 16.715 | 10.514 | 22.917 | 0.0879 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 116 | 121 | 16.547 | 12.747 | 20.346 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 121 | 137 | 23.533 | 16.916 | 30.150 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 45 | 47 | 17.781 | 12.024 | 23.537 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 170 | 188 | 21.781 | 17.263 | 26.298 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 31.880 | 5.107 | 58.654 |  |

Table E-16. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and education (asconsumed g/day with $95 \%$ CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 25.237 | 7.646 | 42.828 | 0.6934 |
|  | High School | 78 | 584 | 27.778 | 21.950 | 33.606 |  |
|  | Some College | 60 | 450 | 38.610 | 21.327 | 55.893 |  |
|  | College grad | 218 | 1723 | 31.107 | 24.497 | 37.717 |  |
| FL | 0-11 years | 716 | 620 | 58.377 | 48.154 | 68.600 | 0.2546 |
|  | High School | 2683 | 2419 | 55.605 | 51.627 | 59.583 |  |
|  | Some College | 2739 | 2550 | 54.783 | 49.992 | 59.573 |  |
|  | College grad | 2376 | 2274 | 52.198 | 49.400 | 54.997 |  |
|  | Unknown | 52 | 48 | 67.073 | 46.072 | 88.073 |  |
| MN | 0-11 years | 41 | 185 | 27.774 | 8.233 | 47.315 | 0.4751 |
|  | High School | 220 | 1237 | 18.186 | 13.065 | 23.307 |  |
|  | Some College | 250 | 1268 | 23.877 | 10.956 | 36.799 |  |
|  | College grad | 243 | 1719 | 15.743 | 11.295 | 20.190 |  |
|  | Unknown | 42 | 215 | 16.559 | 12.302 | 20.816 |  |
| ND | 0-11 years | 27 | 31 | 15.916 | 7.527 | 24.305 | 0.3684 |
|  | High School | 139 | 141 | 23.624 | 16.534 | 30.714 |  |
|  | Some College | 183 | 199 | 18.295 | 13.611 | 22.980 |  |
|  | College grad | 189 | 199 | 19.350 | 12.759 | 25.942 |  |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 22.504 | 8.620 | 36.388 |  |

Table E-17. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and income (asconsumed g/day with $95 \%$ CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1000 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 36 | 270 | 27.124 | 16.491 | 37.756 | 0.5102 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 135 | 1006 | 37.523 | 22.601 | 52.444 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 186 | 1490 | 28.100 | 23.137 | 33.063 |  |
|  | Unknown | 12 | 87 | 30.695 | 20.059 | 41.330 |  |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 1707 | 1537 | 57.651 | 51.154 | 64.147 | 0.5398 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 3709 | 3404 | 56.274 | 52.279 | 60.269 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 1960 | 1844 | 53.931 | 50.346 | 57.516 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1190 | 1126 | 46.809 | 43.284 | 50.333 |  |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 79 | 339 | 31.261 | 17.285 | 45.238 | 0.1734 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 302 | 1645 | 21.111 | 11.055 | 31.166 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 321 | 2109 | 16.707 | 12.417 | 20.998 |  |
|  | Unknown | 94 | 530 | 14.994 | 11.327 | 18.660 |  |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 50 | 53 | 26.081 | 10.031 | 42.132 | 0.5251 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 250 | 18.797 | 14.404 | 23.189 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 231 | 244 | 19.783 | 16.124 | 23.441 |  |
|  | Unknown | 54 | 59 | 20.685 | 11.667 | 29.704 |  |

Table E-18. Mean consumption, consumers only, by state and gender (asconsumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1000 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 177 | 1377 | 32.234 | 25.921 | 38.547 | 0.6219 |
|  | Female | 192 | 1478 | 30.641 | 24.115 | 37.167 |  |
| FL | Male | 4066 | 3758 | 59.424 | 56.160 | 62.687 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female | 4206 | 3874 | 51.011 | 47.944 | 54.077 |  |
|  | Unknown | 294 | 279 | 40.828 | 31.163 | 50.494 |  |
| MN | Male | 403 | 2379 | 17.159 | 14.273 | 20.045 | 0.3173 |
|  | Female | 393 | 2244 | 21.252 | 13.085 | 29.419 |  |
| ND | Male | 277 | 295 | 20.980 | 16.718 | 25.243 | 0.2808 |
|  | Female | 293 | 311 | 19.095 | 15.132 | 23.059 |  |

## E.6. MEAN FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION FOR THE GENERAL POPULATION, CONSUMERS ONLY, AS-CONSUMED WEIGHT PER KILOGRAM BODYWEIGHT PER DAY

Tables described in this section (Tables E-19-E-26) present the estimated mean consumption rate of fish and shellfish per kilogram bodyweight (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) for those that reported consuming fish and shellfish. The estimates are broken out by state (Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota) and categorical level of the independent variable, in separate tables defined by the independent variables: adult versus child, two classifications of age and gender combinations, education, income, race-ethnicity, gender, and type of fish consumed. The expected consumption rate for those that eat fish or shellfish depends in part on the recall period used by the surveys. In Florida the recall period was 7 days. In Minnesota and North Dakota the recall period was 1 year. In Connecticut the recall period was roughly a year based on the question wording, but was not specified. As a result, the calculated consumption rate for fish and shellfish consumers is expected to be higher for Florida than for the other states.

Table E-19. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and adult/child (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean |  | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | 296 | 2195 | 0.490 | 0.404 | 0.575 | 0.8510 |
|  | Child | 57 | 534 | 0.504 | 0.362 | 0.647 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 0.117 | -0.003 | 0.238 |  |
| FL | Adult | 6425 | 6155 | 0.827 | 0.782 | 0.871 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 1332 | 1335 | 1.380 | 1.264 | 1.495 |  |
| MN | Adult | 621 | 3490 | 0.307 | 0.210 | 0.405 | 0.2862 |
|  | Child | 171 | 1130 | 0.391 | 0.264 | 0.519 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.178 | . | . |  |
| ND | Adult | 396 | 437 | 0.295 | 0.238 | 0.352 | 0.0501 |
|  | Child | 149 | 142 | 0.470 | 0.276 | 0.664 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.341 | . | . |  |

Table E-20. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and age/gender ( 9 categories) (as-consumed g/kgday with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | p -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 14 | 131 | 0.613 | 0.416 | 0.811 | 0.0004 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 22 | 207 | 0.587 | 0.399 | 0.774 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 18 | 165 | 0.315 | 0.169 | 0.461 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 14 | 113 | 0.839 | 0.098 | 1.580 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 74 | 550 | 0.534 | 0.369 | 0.699 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 70 | 511 | 0.479 | 0.371 | 0.587 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 10 | 84 | 0.230 | 0.142 | 0.319 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 74 | 551 | 0.507 | 0.404 | 0.609 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 57 | 417 | 0.381 | 0.300 | 0.462 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 0.117 | -0.003 | 0.238 |  |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 420 | 428 | 2.343 | 2.053 | 2.634 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 375 | 377 | 1.103 | 0.980 | 1.225 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 365 | 364 | 0.852 | 0.728 | 0.975 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 753 | 725 | 0.887 | 0.735 | 1.039 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 1287 | 1232 | 0.936 | 0.871 | 1.001 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 1171 | 1145 | 0.728 | 0.686 | 0.771 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 754 | 714 | 0.958 | 0.796 | 1.120 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 1334 | 1264 | 0.806 | 0.738 | 0.875 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 1192 | 1139 | 0.704 | 0.655 | 0.753 |  |
|  | Unknown | 106 | 102 | 0.643 | 0.496 | 0.789 |  |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 46 | 425 | 0.583 | 0.315 | 0.852 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 42 | 264 | 0.377 | 0.209 | 0.544 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 63 | 313 | 0.236 | 0.161 | 0.312 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 44 | 318 | 0.693 | -0.205 | 1.590 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 127 | 686 | 0.253 | 0.208 | 0.298 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 150 | 810 | 0.361 | 0.263 | 0.458 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 52 | 254 | 0.107 | 0.067 | 0.147 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 115 | 702 | 0.247 | 0.170 | 0.323 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 153 | 850 | 0.244 | 0.197 | 0.290 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.178 | . | . |  |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 28 | 28 | 0.705 | 0.244 | 1.166 | 0.0810 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 41 | 39 | 0.557 | 0.309 | 0.805 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 53 | 50 | 0.409 | 0.226 | 0.591 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 38 | 39 | 0.200 | 0.139 | 0.260 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 93 | 99 | 0.286 | 0.216 | 0.355 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 92 | 104 | 0.404 | 0.268 | 0.540 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 36 | 38 | 0.217 | 0.128 | 0.306 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 88 | 95 | 0.220 | 0.173 | 0.267 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 76 | 86 | 0.306 | 0.200 | 0.412 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.341 | . | . |  |

Table E-21. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and age/gender (5 categories) (as-consumed g/kgday with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 53 | 495 | 0.511 | 0.377 | 0.646 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 79 | 593 | 0.608 | 0.397 | 0.818 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 80 | 589 | 0.464 | 0.368 | 0.561 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 10 | 84 | 0.230 | 0.142 | 0.319 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 131 | 968 | 0.452 | 0.375 | 0.530 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 0.117 | -0.003 | 0.238 |  |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 1100 | 1112 | 1.517 | 1.385 | 1.649 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 1835 | 1764 | 0.908 | 0.825 | 0.992 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 1408 | 1368 | 0.771 | 0.720 | 0.823 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 782 | 742 | 0.943 | 0.787 | 1.099 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 2526 | 2403 | 0.758 | 0.714 | 0.802 |  |
|  | Unknown | 106 | 102 | 0.643 | 0.496 | 0.789 |  |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 137 | 947 | 0.435 | 0.282 | 0.587 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 139 | 919 | 0.396 | 0.070 | 0.723 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 189 | 932 | 0.351 | 0.267 | 0.435 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 59 | 270 | 0.115 | 0.075 | 0.154 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 268 | 1552 | 0.245 | 0.199 | 0.291 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.178 | . | . |  |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 108 | 104 | 0.556 | 0.323 | 0.790 | 0.0108 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 112 | 117 | 0.266 | 0.205 | 0.326 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 117 | 131 | 0.367 | 0.257 | 0.477 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 44 | 46 | 0.249 | 0.160 | 0.339 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 164 | 181 | 0.261 | 0.203 | 0.319 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.341 | . | . |  |

Table E-22. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and education (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 0.325 | 0.073 | 0.576 | 0.5364 |
|  | High School | 76 | 569 | 0.443 | 0.331 | 0.554 |  |
|  | Some College | 56 | 424 | 0.462 | 0.311 | 0.613 |  |
|  | College grad | 217 | 1714 | 0.510 | 0.405 | 0.614 |  |
| FL | 0-11 years | 613 | 576 | 0.963 | 0.805 | 1.121 | 0.1936 |
|  | High School | 2405 | 2291 | 0.956 | 0.885 | 1.028 |  |
|  | Some College | 2511 | 2430 | 0.934 | 0.838 | 1.030 |  |
|  | College grad | 2190 | 2157 | 0.868 | 0.815 | 0.921 |  |
|  | Unknown | 38 | 37 | 1.127 | 0.770 | 1.483 |  |
| MN | 0-11 years | 41 | 185 | 0.394 | 0.121 | 0.668 | 0.5026 |
|  | High School | 219 | 1237 | 0.312 | 0.175 | 0.449 |  |
|  | Some College | 249 | 1267 | 0.427 | 0.193 | 0.661 |  |
|  | College grad | 242 | 1718 | 0.269 | 0.211 | 0.327 |  |
|  | Unknown | 42 | 215 | 0.243 | 0.178 | 0.308 |  |
| ND | 0-11 years | 25 | 28 | 0.260 | 0.110 | 0.410 | 0.5174 |
|  | High School | 134 | 135 | 0.431 | 0.229 | 0.633 |  |
|  | Some College | 174 | 190 | 0.289 | 0.210 | 0.367 |  |
|  | College grad | 181 | 190 | 0.321 | 0.217 | 0.425 |  |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 0.396 | 0.117 | 0.675 |  |

Table E-23. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and income (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 35 | 261 | 0.450 | 0.274 | 0.626 | 0.6951 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 133 | 994 | 0.540 | 0.359 | 0.722 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 182 | 1461 | 0.451 | 0.360 | 0.543 |  |
|  | Unknown | 12 | 87 | 0.440 | 0.270 | 0.609 |  |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 1534 | 1451 | 1.030 | 0.914 | 1.146 | 0.1342 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 3370 | 3241 | 0.953 | 0.876 | 1.030 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 1806 | 1763 | 0.895 | 0.827 | 0.963 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1047 | 1035 | 0.743 | 0.685 | 0.801 |  |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 77 | 337 | 0.440 | 0.227 | 0.653 | 0.4633 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 301 | 1644 | 0.369 | 0.185 | 0.552 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 321 | 2109 | 0.294 | 0.202 | 0.386 |  |
|  | Unknown | 94 | 530 | 0.263 | 0.201 | 0.325 |  |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 48 | 50 | 0.551 | 0.042 | 1.060 | 0.4064 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 221 | 236 | 0.289 | 0.215 | 0.363 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 225 | 238 | 0.316 | 0.260 | 0.372 |  |
|  | Unknown | 52 | 56 | 0.448 | 0.202 | 0.694 |  |

Table E-24. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 331 | 2562 | 0.459 | 0.393 | 0.525 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 0.149 | 0.119 | 0.179 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 15 | 126 | 0.681 | 0.308 | 1.053 |  |
|  | Other | 12 | 85 | 1.028 | 0.126 | 1.930 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 0.015 | . | . |  |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 5957 | 5734 | 0.884 | 0.842 | 0.927 | 0.0025 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 785 | 736 | 1.107 | 0.968 | 1.246 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 721 | 742 | 1.009 | 0.810 | 1.209 |  |
|  | Other | 167 | 151 | 1.165 | 0.775 | 1.555 |  |
|  | Unknown | 127 | 127 | 0.940 | 0.697 | 1.183 |  |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 732 | 4194 | 0.287 | 0.235 | 0.339 | 0.5923 |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.645 | -0.152 | 1.442 |  |
|  | Other | 19 | 173 | 1.235 | -0.590 | 3.060 |  |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 0.322 | 0.179 | 0.466 |  |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 501 | 532 | 0.341 | 0.252 | 0.430 | 0.1532 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.250 | 0.216 | 0.284 |  |
|  | Other | 13 | 13 | 0.275 | 0.181 | 0.370 |  |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 33 | 0.317 | 0.097 | 0.537 |  |

Table E-25. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subgroup | $N$ | N/1000 | Mean | Lower <br> Conf. <br> Limit | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | p-value |
| CT | Male | 175 | 1362 | 0.447 | 0.367 | 0.527 | 0.1277 |
|  | Female | 187 | 1441 | 0.517 | 0.416 | 0.617 |  |
| FL | Male | 3880 | 3723 | 0.896 | 0.841 | 0.950 | 0.0623 |
|  | Female | 3861 | 3753 | 0.955 | 0.896 | 1.013 |  |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 14 | 0.852 | 0.297 | 1.407 |  |
| MN | Male | 401 | 2378 | 0.277 | 0.232 | 0.323 | 0.1627 |
|  | Female | 392 | 2243 | 0.381 | 0.231 | 0.531 |  |
| ND | Male | 265 | 282 | 0.332 | 0.234 | 0.430 | 0.7750 |
|  | Female | 281 | 298 | 0.344 | 0.264 | 0.424 |  |

Table E-26. Mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and angler status (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State |  | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Eats | Caught Only | 1 | 9 | 0.015 |  |  | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 70 | 530 | 0.486 | 0.360 | 0.612 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 291 | 2265 | 0.484 | 0.399 | 0.568 |  |
| FL | Eats | Caught Only | 511 | 454 | 0.761 | 0.661 | 0.860 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 701 | 636 | 1.811 | 1.567 | 2.056 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 6545 | 6400 | 0.849 | 0.806 | 0.892 |  |
| MN | Eats | Caught Only | 38 | 221 | 0.156 | 0.053 | 0.259 | 0.0103 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 555 | 2746 | 0.399 | 0.274 | 0.524 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 200 | 1653 | 0.232 | 0.184 | 0.280 |  |
| ND | Eats | Caught Only | 30 | 32 | 0.208 | 0.094 | 0.322 | 0.0312 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 359 | 384 | 0.388 | 0.288 | 0.488 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 157 | 164 | 0.247 | 0.133 | 0.362 |  |

## E.7. GEOMETRIC MEAN FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION FOR THE GENERAL POPULATION, CONSUMERS ONLY, AS-CONSUMED WEIGHT PER DAY

Tables described in this section (Tables E-27-E-33) present the estimated geometric mean consumption rate of fish and shellfish (as-consumed g /day) for those that reported consuming fish and shellfish. The estimates are broken out by state (Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota) and categorical level of the independent variable, in separate tables defined by the independent variables: adult versus child, two classifications of age and gender combinations, education, income, race-ethnicity, gender, and type of fish consumed. The expected consumption rate for those that eat fish or shellfish depends in part on the recall period used by the surveys. In Florida the recall period was 7 days. In Minnesota and North Dakota the recall period was 1 year. In Connecticut the recall period was roughly a year based on the question wording, but was not specified. As a result, the calculated consumption rate for fish and shellfish consumers is expected to be higher for Florida than for the other states.

Table E-27. Geometric mean consumption, general population, consumers only, by state and adult/child (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | 302 | 2237 | 21.274 | 18.248 | 24.803 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 58 | 542 | 9.839 | 7.121 | 13.593 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 3.143 | 1.318 | 7.492 |  |
| FL | Adult | 7131 | 6473 | 37.780 | 36.517 | 39.087 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 1435 | 1438 | 25.093 | 23.459 | 26.840 |  |
| MN | Adult | 623 | 3492 | 12.789 | 10.741 | 15.229 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 172 | 1131 | 6.239 | 4.838 | 8.045 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.047 | . | . |  |
| ND | Adult | 410 | 453 | 12.572 | 10.762 | 14.687 | 0.0101 |
|  | Child | $155$ | 147 | 8.939 | 6.837 | 11.688 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 24.819 | 10.163 | 60.612 |  |

Table E-28. Geometric mean consumption, general population, consumers only, by state and age/gender (9 categories) (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 14 | 131 | 6.635 | 4.183 | 10.522 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 22 | 207 | 10.440 | 7.164 | 15.215 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 19 | 173 | 10.828 | 6.772 | 17.314 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 14 | 113 | 24.849 | 13.213 | 46.733 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 77 | 571 | 17.869 | 13.360 | 23.898 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 72 | 526 | 18.294 | 13.705 | 24.420 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 10 | 84 | 14.888 | 10.779 | 20.563 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 75 | 557 | 28.423 | 22.689 | 35.607 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 57 | 417 | 22.789 | 18.326 | 28.338 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 3.143 | 1.318 | 7.492 |  |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 421 | 428 | 22.043 | 20.157 | 24.106 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 376 | 378 | 23.165 | 20.748 | 25.863 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 365 | 364 | 29.486 | 26.519 | 32.785 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 791 | 739 | 33.011 | 30.565 | 35.651 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 1446 | 1292 | 38.500 | 36.550 | 40.553 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 1315 | 1192 | 33.672 | 32.098 | 35.325 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 785 | 719 | 40.315 | 37.066 | 43.848 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 1406 | 1275 | 42.527 | 40.339 | 44.833 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 1272 | 1156 | 38.282 | 36.021 | 40.684 |  |
|  | Unknown | 389 | 369 | 30.154 | 26.559 | 34.235 |  |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 46 | 425 | 5.104 | 2.860 | 9.107 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 265 | 5.743 | 3.579 | 9.216 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 63 | 313 | 8.324 | 5.326 | 13.010 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 44 | 318 | 10.056 | 6.363 | 15.891 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 128 | 686 | 11.674 | 9.449 | 14.423 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 150 | 810 | 14.195 | 11.003 | 18.314 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 52 | 254 | 5.361 | 3.203 | 8.972 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 115 | 702 | 15.045 | 11.805 | 19.174 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 154 | 851 | 14.156 | 11.565 | 17.327 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.047 | . | . |  |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 28 | 28 | 4.185 | 2.456 | 7.133 | 0.0004 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 41 | 9.873 | 7.142 | 13.649 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 56 | 53 | 12.564 | 9.044 | 17.453 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 39 | 40 | 7.880 | 5.798 | 10.709 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 97 | 103 | 11.594 | 9.333 | 14.402 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 95 | 108 | 13.748 | 10.534 | 17.943 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 37 | 39 | 9.847 | 6.901 | 14.051 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 13.316 | 10.811 | 16.402 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 80 | 91 | 14.294 | 10.613 | 19.251 |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 24.819 | 10.163 | 60.612 |  |

Table E-29. Geometric mean consumption, general population, consumers only, by state and education (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 13.944 | 6.880 | 28.260 | 0.3066 |
|  | High School | 78 | 584 | 15.425 | 10.726 | 22.184 |  |
|  | Some College | 60 | 450 | 23.309 | 16.390 | 33.148 |  |
|  | College grad | 218 | 1723 | 17.121 | 14.162 | 20.698 |  |
| FL | 0-11 years | 716 | 620 | 37.689 | 33.549 | 42.340 | 0.3940 |
|  | High School | 2683 | 2419 | 35.233 | 33.416 | 37.149 |  |
|  | Some College | 2739 | 2550 | 34.348 | 32.680 | 36.101 |  |
|  | College grad | 2376 | 2274 | 34.758 | 33.126 | 36.470 |  |
|  | Unknown | 52 | 48 | 50.745 | 38.708 | 66.524 |  |
| MN | 0-11 years | 41 | 185 | 16.164 | 9.938 | 26.292 | 0.2394 |
|  | High School | 220 | 1237 | 11.554 | 8.802 | 15.166 |  |
|  | Some College | 250 | 1268 | 11.066 | 8.051 | 15.209 |  |
|  | College grad | 243 | 1719 | 9.237 | 6.913 | 12.342 |  |
|  | Unknown | 42 | 215 | 13.472 | 9.926 | 18.286 |  |
| ND | 0-11 years | 27 | 31 | 10.797 | 7.334 | 15.894 | 0.5899 |
|  | High School | 139 | 141 | 13.564 | 10.147 | 18.132 |  |
|  | Some College | 183 | 199 | 11.188 | 8.655 | 14.461 |  |
|  | College grad | 189 | 199 | 11.001 | 8.093 | 14.955 |  |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 11.676 | 7.019 | 19.424 |  |

Table E-30. Geometric mean consumption, general population, consumers only, by state and income (as-consumed g/day with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 36 | 270 | 16.716 | 11.067 | 25.250 | 0.8365 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 135 | 1006 | 18.587 | 13.904 | 24.847 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 186 | 1490 | 16.538 | 13.017 | 21.012 |  |
|  | Unknown | 12 | 87 | 25.127 | 16.802 | 37.579 |  |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 1707 | 1537 | 35.746 | 33.297 | 38.375 | 0.6722 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 3709 | 3404 | 35.950 | 34.169 | 37.824 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 1960 | 1844 | 34.825 | 32.981 | 36.772 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1190 | 1126 | 32.078 | 29.831 | 34.494 |  |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 79 | 339 | 19.157 | 13.388 | 27.412 | 0.0118 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 302 | 1645 | 10.490 | 7.792 | 14.122 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 321 | 2109 | 9.880 | 7.578 | 12.880 |  |
|  | Unknown | 94 | 530 | 10.983 | 8.077 | 14.935 |  |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 50 | 53 | 13.705 | 8.438 | 22.258 | 0.0517 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 250 | 10.038 | 8.137 | 12.383 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 231 | 244 | 12.933 | 10.719 | 15.604 |  |
|  | Unknown | 54 | 59 | 12.235 | 8.071 | 18.547 |  |

Table E-31. Geometric mean consumption, general population, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 338 | 2612 | 17.458 | 14.907 | 20.446 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 12.500 | 8.391 | 18.619 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 15 | 126 | 20.972 | 11.899 | 36.966 |  |
|  | Other | 12 | 85 | 20.267 | 9.586 | 42.848 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 0.985 | . | . |  |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 6607 | 6053 | 34.492 | 33.325 | 35.701 | 0.0082 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 867 | 780 | 38.820 | 35.598 | 42.334 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 762 | 773 | 34.600 | 31.337 | 38.203 |  |
|  | Other | 191 | 166 | 42.005 | 35.562 | 49.615 |  |
|  | Unknown | 139 | 139 | 35.615 | 29.410 | 43.129 |  |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 735 | 4197 | 10.263 | 8.596 | 12.253 | 0.0319 |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 30.013 | 9.260 | 97.272 |  |
|  | Other | 19 | 173 | 13.301 | 5.454 | 32.436 |  |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 17.168 | 11.802 | 24.974 |  |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 521 | 555 | 11.576 | 9.775 | 13.709 | 0.0036 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 15.709 | 15.032 | 16.417 |  |
|  | Other | 17 | 16 | 13.933 | 9.008 | 21.552 |  |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 33 | 11.568 | 6.589 | 20.308 |  |

Table E-32. Geometric mean consumption, general population, consumers only, by state and gender (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

|  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Subgroup | N | Geometric <br> Mean | Lower <br> Conf. <br> Limit | Upper <br> Conf. <br> Limit | p-value |  |
| CT | Male | 177 | 1377 | 19.202 | 15.832 | 23.291 | 0.0771 |
|  | Female | 192 | 1478 | 16.002 | 13.392 | 19.120 |  |
| FL | Male | 4066 | 3758 | 37.609 | 36.250 | 39.018 | $<.0001$ |
|  | Female | 4206 | 3874 | 33.187 | 31.872 | 34.556 |  |
|  | Unknown | 294 | 279 | 29.474 | 25.206 | 34.465 |  |
| MN | Male | 403 | 2379 | 10.494 | 8.801 | 12.513 | 0.6470 |
|  | Female | 393 | 2244 | 10.974 | 8.996 | 13.389 |  |
| ND | Male | 277 | 295 | 12.826 | 10.706 | 15.367 | 0.0103 |
|  | Female | 293 | 311 | 10.624 | 9.129 | 12.363 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table E-33. Geometric mean consumption, general population, consumers only, by state and angler status (as-consumed g/day with 95\% CIs)

| State |  | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Eats | Caught Only | 1 | 9 | 0.985 |  |  | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 74 | 559 | 23.413 | 17.888 | 30.646 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 294 | 2286 | 16.453 | 13.853 | 19.540 |  |
| FL | Eats | Caught Only | 600 | 493 | 30.625 | 27.371 | 34.267 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 802 | 667 | 77.649 | 72.359 | 83.326 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 7164 | 6752 | 32.743 | 31.584 | 33.946 |  |
| MN | Eats | Caught Only | 38 | 221 | 3.996 | 1.759 | 9.077 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 556 | 2747 | 14.987 | 12.827 | 17.511 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 202 | 1655 | 7.021 | 5.267 | 9.359 |  |
| ND | Eats | Caught Only | 33 | 36 | 8.437 | 5.757 | 12.364 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 376 | 403 | 15.345 | 13.176 | 17.872 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 161 | 167 | 6.414 | 4.784 | 8.599 |  |

## E.8. GEOMETRIC MEAN FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION FOR THE GENERAL POPULATION, CONSUMERS ONLY, AS-CONSUMED WEIGHT PER KILOGRAM BODYWEIGHT PER DAY

Tables described in this section (Tables E-34-E-41) present the estimated geometric mean consumption rate of fish and shellfish per kilogram bodyweight (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) for those that reported consuming fish and shellfish. The estimates are broken out by state (Connecticut, Florida, Minnesota, and North Dakota) and categorical level of the independent variable, in separate tables defined by the independent variables: adult versus child, two classifications of age and gender combinations, education, income, race-ethnicity, gender, and type of fish consumed. The expected consumption rate for those that eat fish or shellfish depends in part on the recall period used by the surveys. In Florida the recall period was 7 days. In Minnesota and North Dakota the recall period was 1 year. In Connecticut the recall period was roughly a year based on the question wording, but was not specified. As a result, the calculated consumption rate for those that consumed fish or shellfish is expected to be higher for Florida than for the other states.

Table E-34. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and adult/child (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | 296 | 2195 | 0.292 | 0.250 | 0.340 | 0.3222 |
|  | Child | 57 | 534 | 0.348 | 0.244 | 0.496 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 0.047 | 0.024 | 0.092 |  |
| FL | Adult | 6425 | 6155 | 0.530 | 0.513 | 0.547 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child | 1332 | 1335 | 0.870 | 0.810 | 0.935 |  |
| MN | Adult | 621 | 3490 | 0.168 | 0.141 | 0.200 | 0.1801 |
|  | Child | 171 | 1130 | 0.211 | 0.157 | 0.284 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.178 | . | . |  |
| ND | Adult | 396 | 437 | 0.169 | 0.144 | 0.198 | 0.0013 |
|  | Child | 149 | 142 | 0.253 | 0.198 | 0.323 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.341 | . | . |  |

Table E-35. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by State and age/gender ( 9 categories) (asconsumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit |  | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 14 | 131 | 0.450 | 0.288 | 0.703 | 0.0233 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 22 | 207 | 0.423 | 0.283 | 0.633 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 18 | 165 | 0.221 | 0.139 | 0.351 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 14 | 113 | 0.437 | 0.226 | 0.845 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 74 | 550 | 0.281 | 0.209 | 0.377 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 70 | 511 | 0.279 | 0.209 | 0.372 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 10 | 84 | 0.191 | 0.130 | 0.280 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 74 | 551 | 0.333 | 0.267 | 0.415 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 57 | 417 | 0.270 | 0.216 | 0.337 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 0.047 | 0.024 | 0.092 |  |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 420 | 428 | 1.625 | 1.453 | 1.818 | <. 0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 375 | 377 | 0.808 | 0.721 | 0.905 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 365 | 364 | 0.594 | 0.536 | 0.657 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 753 | 725 | 0.542 | 0.502 | 0.585 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 1287 | 1232 | 0.601 | 0.570 | 0.634 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 1171 | 1145 | 0.517 | 0.492 | 0.544 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 754 | 714 | 0.530 | 0.488 | 0.575 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 1334 | 1264 | 0.519 | 0.492 | 0.547 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 1192 | 1139 | 0.475 | 0.448 | 0.503 |  |
|  | Unknown | 106 | 102 | 0.472 | 0.394 | 0.565 |  |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 46 | 425 | 0.344 | 0.186 | 0.638 | 0.0101 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 42 | 264 | 0.202 | 0.126 | 0.323 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 63 | 313 | 0.153 | 0.098 | 0.238 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 44 | 318 | 0.158 | 0.097 | 0.258 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 127 | 686 | 0.177 | 0.142 | 0.222 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 150 | 810 | 0.205 | 0.158 | 0.266 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 52 | 254 | 0.063 | 0.035 | 0.113 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 115 | 702 | 0.171 | 0.133 | 0.219 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | $153$ | 850 | 0.166 | 0.134 | 0.205 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.178 | . | - |  |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 28 | 28 | 0.272 | 0.161 | 0.461 | 0.0001 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 41 | 39 | 0.353 | 0.256 | 0.487 |  |
|  | Child 11-15 | 53 | 50 | 0.243 | 0.173 | 0.342 |  |
|  | Female 16-29 | 38 | 39 | 0.135 | 0.099 | 0.184 |  |
|  | Female 30-49 | 93 | 99 | 0.183 | 0.146 | 0.229 |  |
|  | Female 50+ | 92 | 104 | 0.203 | 0.153 | 0.270 |  |
|  | Male 16-29 | 36 | 38 | 0.129 | 0.089 | 0.186 |  |
|  | Male 30-49 | 88 | 95 | 0.154 | 0.125 | 0.191 |  |
|  | Male 50+ | 76 | 86 | 0.166 | 0.121 | 0.228 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.341 | . | . |  |

Table E-36. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and age/gender (5 categories) (asconsumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 53 | 495 | 0.357 | 0.254 | 0.501 | 0.1133 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 79 | 593 | 0.318 | 0.240 | 0.421 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 80 | 589 | 0.263 | 0.199 | 0.349 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 10 | 84 | 0.191 | 0.130 | 0.280 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 131 | 968 | 0.304 | 0.258 | 0.359 |  |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 0.047 | 0.024 | 0.092 |  |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 1100 | 1112 | 0.974 | 0.902 | 1.053 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 1835 | 1764 | 0.576 | 0.547 | 0.606 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 1408 | 1368 | 0.533 | 0.506 | 0.562 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 782 | 742 | 0.525 | 0.485 | 0.569 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 2526 | 2403 | 0.498 | 0.475 | 0.521 |  |
|  | Unknown | 106 | 102 | 0.472 | 0.394 | 0.565 |  |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 137 | 947 | 0.240 | 0.165 | 0.350 | 0.0017 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 139 | 919 | 0.164 | 0.131 | 0.206 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 189 | 932 | 0.204 | 0.162 | 0.257 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 59 | 270 | 0.068 | 0.038 | 0.120 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 268 | 1552 | 0.168 | 0.142 | 0.199 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.178 | . | . |  |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 108 | 104 | 0.301 | 0.225 | 0.404 | <. 0001 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 112 | 117 | 0.172 | 0.140 | 0.210 |  |
|  | Female 45+ | 117 | 131 | 0.189 | 0.150 | 0.240 |  |
|  | Male 15-44 | 44 | 46 | 0.139 | 0.101 | 0.191 |  |
|  | Male 45+ | 164 | 181 | 0.160 | 0.131 | 0.195 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.341 | . | . |  |

Table E-37. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and education (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 0.175 | 0.087 | 0.349 | 0.4268 |
|  | High School | 76 | 569 | 0.259 | 0.182 | 0.369 |  |
|  | Some College | 56 | 424 | 0.313 | 0.227 | 0.431 |  |
|  | College grad | 217 | 1714 | 0.299 | 0.238 | 0.377 |  |
| FL | 0-11 years | 613 | 576 | 0.611 | 0.545 | 0.685 | 0.6419 |
|  | High School | 2405 | 2291 | 0.585 | 0.551 | 0.621 |  |
|  | Some College | 2511 | 2430 | 0.574 | 0.543 | 0.607 |  |
|  | College grad | 2190 | 2157 | 0.566 | 0.539 | 0.594 |  |
|  | Unknown | 38 | 37 | 0.852 | 0.621 | 1.168 |  |
| MN | 0-11 years | 41 | 185 | 0.229 | 0.142 | 0.369 | 0.6016 |
|  | High School | 219 | 1237 | 0.173 | 0.124 | 0.241 |  |
|  | Some College | 249 | 1267 | 0.193 | 0.142 | 0.263 |  |
|  | College grad | 242 | 1718 | 0.164 | 0.129 | 0.209 |  |
|  | Unknown | 42 | 215 | 0.189 | 0.134 | 0.268 |  |
| ND | 0-11 years | 25 | 28 | 0.160 | 0.102 | 0.251 | 0.4063 |
|  | High School | 134 | 135 | 0.225 | 0.165 | 0.307 |  |
|  | Some College | 174 | 190 | 0.176 | 0.135 | 0.230 |  |
|  | College grad | 181 | 190 | 0.181 | 0.138 | 0.237 |  |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 0.172 | 0.091 | 0.325 |  |

Table E-38. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and income (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 35 | 261 | 0.264 | 0.166 | 0.418 | 0.9251 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 133 | 994 | 0.296 | 0.218 | 0.401 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 182 | 1461 | 0.283 | 0.217 | 0.368 |  |
|  | Unknown | 12 | 87 | 0.347 | 0.221 | 0.547 |  |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 1534 | 1451 | 0.608 | 0.562 | 0.657 | 0.3966 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 3370 | 3241 | 0.596 | 0.563 | 0.630 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 1806 | 1763 | 0.569 | 0.533 | 0.607 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1047 | 1035 | 0.509 | 0.470 | 0.551 |  |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 77 | 337 | 0.243 | 0.166 | 0.356 | 0.2493 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 301 | 1644 | 0.183 | 0.140 | 0.240 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 321 | 2109 | 0.163 | 0.126 | 0.211 |  |
|  | Unknown | 94 | 530 | 0.186 | 0.137 | 0.254 |  |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 48 | 50 | 0.213 | 0.114 | 0.399 | 0.0563 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 221 | 236 | 0.157 | 0.126 | 0.196 |  |
|  | \$50000- | 225 | 238 | 0.212 | 0.175 | 0.257 |  |
|  | Unknown | 52 | 56 | 0.201 | 0.124 | 0.325 |  |

Table E-39. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kgday with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 331 | 2562 | 0.283 | 0.236 | 0.339 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 0.147 | 0.118 | 0.182 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 15 | 126 | 0.393 | 0.217 | 0.710 |  |
|  | Other | 12 | 85 | 0.472 | 0.177 | 1.255 |  |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 0.015 | . | . |  |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 5957 | 5734 | 0.559 | 0.541 | 0.578 | <. 0001 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 785 | 736 | 0.712 | 0.644 | 0.787 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 721 | 742 | 0.592 | 0.530 | 0.660 |  |
|  | Other | 167 | 151 | 0.691 | 0.584 | 0.816 |  |
|  | Unknown | 127 | 127 | 0.607 | 0.490 | 0.752 |  |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 732 | 4194 | 0.168 | 0.139 | 0.202 | 0.1082 |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.421 | 0.127 | 1.400 |  |
|  | Other | 19 | 173 | 0.376 | 0.159 | 0.888 |  |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 0.240 | 0.160 | 0.361 |  |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 501 | 532 | 0.186 | 0.156 | 0.223 | 0.0204 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.249 | 0.217 | 0.284 |  |
|  | Other | 13 | 13 | 0.202 | 0.126 | 0.324 |  |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 33 | 0.186 | 0.097 | 0.357 |  |

Table E-40. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subgroup | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean |  | Upper Conf. Limit | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 175 | 1362 | 0.286 | 0.231 | 0.354 | 0.9195 |
|  | Female | 187 | 1441 | 0.289 | 0.237 | 0.352 |  |
| FL | Male | 3880 | 3723 | 0.555 | 0.534 | 0.577 | 0.0002 |
|  | Female | 3861 | 3753 | 0.604 | 0.578 | 0.631 |  |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 14 | 0.503 | 0.224 | 1.128 |  |
| MN | Male | 401 | 2378 | 0.164 | 0.140 | 0.192 | 0.0632 |
|  | Female | 392 | 2243 | 0.194 | 0.160 | 0.233 |  |
| ND | Male | 265 | 282 | 0.184 | 0.153 | 0.222 | 0.7060 |
|  | Female | 281 | 298 | 0.189 | 0.162 | 0.222 |  |

Table E-41. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, general population, consumers only, by state and angler status (as-consumed g/kgday with $95 \%$ CIs)

| State |  | Subgroup | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1000 } \end{gathered}$ | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit | p -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Eats | Caught Only | 1 | 9 | 0.015 |  |  | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 70 | 530 | 0.330 | 0.252 | 0.430 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 291 | 2265 | 0.282 | 0.229 | 0.345 |  |
| FL | Eats | Caught Only | 511 | 454 | 0.501 | 0.443 | 0.566 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 701 | 636 | 1.249 | 1.155 | 1.351 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 6545 | 6400 | 0.542 | 0.521 | 0.563 |  |
| MN | Eats | Caught Only | 38 | 221 | 0.086 | 0.034 | 0.214 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 555 | 2746 | 0.236 | 0.201 | 0.278 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 200 | 1653 | 0.122 | 0.098 | 0.151 |  |
| ND | Eats | Caught Only | 30 | 32 | 0.132 | 0.086 | 0.201 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 359 | 384 | 0.242 | 0.207 | 0.283 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 157 | 164 | 0.110 | 0.080 | 0.150 |  |
| ND | Eats | Caught Only | 30 | . | 0.132 | 0.086 | 0.201 | <. 0001 |
|  | Eats | Caught\&Bought | 359 |  | 0.242 | 0.207 | 0.283 |  |
|  | Eats | Bought Only | 157 | . | 0.110 | 0.080 | 0.150 |  |

## E.9. PLOTS OF CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR THE GENERAL POPULATION

Figures E-1 through E-20 show confidence intervals for selected estimates for each state's general population. There are separate figures for each combination of state and independent variable (per capita consumption, per capita consumption per bodyweight, percent of respondents eating fish or shellfish, consumption for consumers only, and consumption per bodyweight for consumers only).

Each figure shows the independent variable on the vertical axis and the categorical dependent variables on the horizontal axis. For each level of each variable, the figure shows the mean as a dot and the $95 \%$ confidence interval using a line going vertically through the dot. In a few cases there was only one observation in a category and no confidence interval could be calculated. These cases are indicated by a dot with an open center.

The plots using the same summary statistic all have the same range on the vertical axis to facilitate rough comparisons among states. However, the expected percentage of respondents that report eating fish or shellfish during the recall period and the consumption rate for those that eat fish or shellfish depends in part on the length of the recall period used by the surveys. In

Florida the recall period was 7 days. In Minnesota and North Dakota the recall period was 1 year. In Connecticut the recall period was roughly a year based on the question wording, but was not specified. As a result, in Florida the percentage of respondents that consume fish and shellfish is expected to be lower and the consumption rate for them is expected to be higher than for the other states.

The confidence intervals give the reader a visual indication of the precision of the means and facilitate comparisons of the means between levels of the variable. The values plotted can be found in the tables in Sections E. 2 through E. 6 along with a $p$-value for evaluating the significance of differences between levels. In general, longer confidence intervals are associated with more variable intake data or fewer respondents. In a few cases there is only one respondent for a level. In this case, an open dot is used and no confidence interval is shown. The confidence interval calculations assume the mean estimates have a normal distribution. If the sample size $(\mathrm{N})$ is smaller than about 100 , this assumption is uncertain and the confidence interval and $p$-value are approximate. If there are relatively few respondents in a subgroup category, the confidence intervals can be imprecise. Results for these categories are shown in the plots for completeness, although the results may not be useful due to the small sample sizes. In a few cases with small sample sizes the normality assumption is not correct and the calculated lower confidence interval for mean consumption is $<0$ or the confidence limits for the percentage of respondents consuming fish and shellfish go outside the range from $0 \%$ to $100 \%$. In these cases, the line connecting the confidence limits may be truncated at the edge of the plot.

Figures E-1 through E-5 show means and confidence intervals for Connecticut. Figures E-6 through E-10 show means and confidence intervals for Florida. Figures E-11 through E-15 show means and confidence intervals for Minnesota. Figures E-16 through E-20 show means and confidence intervals for North Dakota.


Figure E-1. Mean consumption, Connecticut general population, per capita, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-2. Mean consumption per bodyweight, Connecticut general population, per capita, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-3. Percent eating fish and shellfish during a year period, Connecticut general population, per capita, with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-4. Mean consumption, Connecticut general population, consumers only, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-5. Mean consumption per bodyweight, Connecticut general population, consumers only, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-6. Mean consumption, Florida general population, per capita, with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-7. Mean consumption per bodyweight, Florida general population, per capita, with $95 \%$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-8. Percent eating fish and shellfish during a 7-day period, Florida general population, per capita, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-9. Mean consumption, Florida general population, consumers only, with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-10. Mean consumption per bodyweight, Florida general population, consumers only, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-11. Mean consumption, Minnesota general population, per capita, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-12. Mean consumption per bodyweight, Minnesota general population, per capita, with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-13. Percent eating fish and shellfish during a roughly year-long period, Minnesota general population, per capita, with $95 \%$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-14. Mean consumption, Minnesota general population, consumers only, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-15. Mean consumption per bodyweight, Minnesota general population, consumers only, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-16. Mean consumption, North Dakota general population, per capita, with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-17. Mean consumption per bodyweight, North Dakota general population, per capita, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-18. Percent eating fish and shellfish during a roughly year-long period, North Dakota general population, per capita, with $95 \%$ confidence intervals.


Figure E-19. Mean consumption, North Dakota general population, consumers only, with 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E-20. Mean consumption per bodyweight, North Dakota general population, consumers only, with 95\% confidence intervals.

## E.10. FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION FOR TARGETED POPULATIONS

Tables E-42 through E-45 summarize fish and shellfish consumption for the general population and for targeted populations within each state, tabulating values for the following summary statistics:

- Mean consumption of fish and shellfish per capita (as-consumed g/day);
- Mean consumption per kilogram bodyweight per capita (as-consumed g/kg-day);
- Percentage of respondents that reported eating fish and shellfish;
- Mean consumption for those than consume fish and shellfish (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ );
- Mean consumption per kilogram bodyweight for those than consume fish and shellfish (as-consumed g/kg-day);
- Geometric mean consumption for those than consume fish and shellfish (as-consumed g/day);
- Geometric mean consumption per kilogram bodyweight for those than consume fish and shellfish (as-consumed g/kg-day);

The expected percentage of respondents that report eating fish or shellfish during the recall period and the consumption rate for those that eat fish or shellfish depends in part on the length of the recall period used by the surveys. In Florida the recall period was 7 days. In Minnesota and North Dakota the recall period was 1 year. In Connecticut the recall period was roughly a year based on the question wording, but was not specified. As a result, for Florida the percentage of respondents that consume fish and shellfish is expected to be lower and the consumption rate for them is expected to be higher than for the other states.

The tables show the state, the targeted population, the number of respondents $(\mathrm{N})$, the weighted population size (in thousands) represented by the respondents in the general population (Weighted $\mathrm{N} / 1,000$ ), the mean (either the arithmetic or geometric mean fish and shellfish consumption rate or the percentage consuming fish and shellfish), and the upper and lower $95 \%$ confidence limit. Because the respondents for the general population in each state were selected using a stratified random sample of all households in the state, the calculations for the general population are weighted to estimate the mean for the each states' general population. Unweighted means are calculated for the targeted populations because either, (1) there is inadequate information to determine appropriate weights, or (2) the respondents were selected
using a simple random sample, in which case weights (if they could be calculated) would not make a difference.

The tables include $95 \%$ confidence intervals. In general longer confidence intervals are associated with more variable intake data or fewer respondents. The confidence interval calculations assume the mean estimates have a normal distribution. If the sample size $(\mathrm{N})$ is smaller than about 100, this assumption is uncertain and the confidence interval and $p$-value are approximate. If there are relatively few respondents in a subgroup category, the confidence intervals can be imprecise.

Table E-42. Mean consumption per bodyweight, per capita, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subpopulation | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1000 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | General | 420 | 3296 | 0.410 | 0.335 | 0.486 |
|  | Angler | 250 | . | 0.640 | 0.532 | 0.747 |
|  | Aquaculture Student | 25 | . | 0.224 | 0.035 | 0.414 |
|  | Asians | 396 |  | 1.153 | 0.974 | 1.331 |
|  | Commercial Fisherme | 173 | . | 0.650 | 0.506 | 0.795 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 67 | . | 0.995 | 0.253 | 1.738 |
|  | WIC Participants | 699 | . | 0.801 | 0.655 | 0.947 |
| FL | General | 15367 | 14827 | 0.467 | 0.440 | 0.495 |
| MN | General | 837 | 4897 | 0.309 | 0.232 | 0.387 |
|  | American Indians | 216 | . | 0.212 | 0.147 | 0.276 |
|  | Anglers | 1152 | . | 0.306 | 0.254 | 0.358 |
|  | New Mothers | 401 | . | 0.325 | 0.239 | 0.410 |
| ND | General | 575 | 610 | 0.322 | 0.248 | 0.396 |
|  | American Indians | 106 | . | 0.352 | 0.089 | 0.615 |
|  | Anglers | 854 | . | 0.323 | 0.280 | 0.365 |

Table E-43. Mean consumption per bodyweight, consumers only, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subpopulation | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted } \\ & \text { N/1000 } \end{aligned}$ | Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | General | 362 | 2804 | 0.483 | 0.404 | 0.561 |
|  | Angler | 244 |  | 0.655 | 0.548 | 0.763 |
|  | Aquaculture Student | 19 |  | 0.295 | 0.067 | 0.524 |
|  | Asians | 393 | . | 1.161 | 0.981 | 1.342 |
|  | Commercial Fisherme | 166 |  | 0.678 | 0.532 | 0.824 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 58 |  | 1.149 | 0.309 | 1.989 |
|  | WIC Participants | 553 | . | 1.013 | 0.839 | 1.186 |
| FL | General | 7757 | 7490 | 0.925 | 0.878 | 0.972 |
| MN | General | 793 | 4621 | 0.328 | 0.247 | 0.409 |
|  | American Indians | 192 |  | 0.238 | 0.167 | 0.309 |
|  | Anglers | 1109 |  | 0.317 | 0.264 | 0.370 |
|  | New Mothers | 341 | . | 0.382 | 0.286 | 0.477 |
| ND | General | 546 | 580 | 0.338 | 0.260 | 0.416 |
|  | American Indians | 64 |  | 0.583 | 0.192 | 0.974 |
|  | Anglers | 808 |  | 0.341 | 0.297 | 0.385 |

Table E-44. Geometric mean consumption, consumers only, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/day with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CIs)

| State | Subpopulation | N | Weighted <br> N/1000 | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. Limit | Upper Conf. Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | General | 369 | 2854 | 17.472 | 14.952 | 20.418 |
|  | Angler | 257 | . | 27.364 | 22.598 | 33.134 |
|  | Aquaculture Student | 19 | . | 7.563 | 2.136 | 26.772 |
|  | Asians | 396 |  | 38.441 | 30.593 | 48.302 |
|  | Commercial Fisherme | 171 |  | 27.687 | 21.322 | 35.952 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 60 | . | 26.291 | 13.760 | 50.233 |
|  | WIC Participants | 557 | . | 24.012 | 20.126 | 28.648 |
| FL | General | 8566 | 7912 | 35.071 | 33.922 | 36.260 |
| MN | General | 796 | 4623 | 10.724 | 9.138 | 12.586 |
|  | American Indians | 196 | . | 7.192 | 5.369 | 9.634 |
|  | Anglers | 1127 | . | 12.472 | 11.282 | 13.789 |
|  | New Mothers | 352 | . | 8.246 | 6.813 | 9.979 |
| ND | General | 570 | 606 | 11.644 | 10.004 | 13.553 |
|  | American Indians | 78 | . | 9.773 | 5.105 | 18.709 |
|  | Anglers | 825 | . | 12.641 | 11.591 | 13.787 |

Table E-45. Geometric mean consumption per bodyweight, consumers only, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/kg-day with 95\% CIs)

| State | Subpopulation | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { N/1000 } \end{gathered}$ | Geometric Mean | Lower Conf. <br> Limit | Upper Conf. <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | General | 362 | 2804 | 0.287 | 0.240 | 0.344 |
|  | Angler | 244 |  | 0.385 | 0.321 | 0.462 |
|  | Aquaculture Student | 19 |  | 0.127 | 0.042 | 0.389 |
|  | Asians | 393 | . | 0.832 | 0.663 | 1.045 |
|  | Commercial Fisherme | 166 | . | 0.416 | 0.325 | 0.533 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 58 | . | 0.479 | 0.246 | 0.935 |
|  | WIC Participants | 553 | . | 0.552 | 0.463 | 0.658 |
| FL | General | 7757 | 7490 | 0.579 | 0.559 | 0.600 |
| MN | General | 793 | 4621 | 0.178 | 0.153 | 0.206 |
|  | American Indians | 192 |  | 0.127 | 0.095 | 0.169 |
|  | Anglers | 1109 |  | 0.188 | 0.170 | 0.207 |
|  | New Mothers | 341 | . | 0.192 | 0.159 | 0.232 |
| ND | General | 546 | 580 | 0.187 | 0.160 | 0.219 |
|  | American Indians | 64 |  | 0.196 | 0.100 | 0.382 |
|  | Anglers | 808 | . | 0.197 | 0.180 | 0.217 |

## E.11. SPECIES EATEN AND CAUGHT

Tables E-46-E-49 present consumption statistics by species categories using asconsumed grams of fish or shellfish per day. Each survey categorized the species differently. The categories in the Connecticut data files (corresponding roughly to seafood dishes) were recoded to species categories. The categories in the Florida data were left unchanged. However, note that there is some repetition in the Florida data in that there are separate records for tuna and tuna salad. In the Minnesota/North Dakota survey respondents were asked about five classifications of purchased fish and shellfish and seven classifications of self-caught fish. The data are summarized using these classifications.

The species tables list the habitat of the species (freshwater versus marine or estuarine), the species name or category, the number of records $(\mathrm{N})$, the percent of total consumption that is in that species category, the average fish or shellfish consumption in as-consumed in $\mathrm{g} /$ day for those that consumed the species, the number of records reporting caught fish quantities, the percent of total caught fish consumption in that species category, and the mean consumption of caught fish or shellfish for those that caught and consumed the species.

Table E-46. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut general population (weighted, as-consumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Catfish | 32 | 0.8 | 2.89 | 1 | 0.4 | 1.41 |
| Freshwater | Bass | 50 | 0.8 | 1.68 | 26 | 14.2 | 1.57 |
| Freshwater | Trout | 48 | 0.7 | 1.74 | 15 | 12.8 | 2.64 |
| Freshwater | Sunfish | 5 | 0.2 | 6.30 | 3 | 8.4 | 10.20 |
| Freshwater | Tilapia | 8 | 0.2 | 2.18 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Bullhead | 2 | 0.0 | 1.45 | 2 | 0.8 | 1.45 |
| Freshwater | Walleye | 2 | 0.0 | 0.88 | 2 | 0.6 | 0.88 |
| Freshwater | Snails | 1 | 0.0 | 0.48 | 0 |  | . |
| Marine | Tuna | 417 | 34.0 | 9.28 | 12 | 7.4 | 1.83 |
| Marine | Shrimp | 210 | 14.0 | 7.63 | 2 | 3.1 | 5.58 |
| Marine | Clams | 506 | 6.9 | 1.56 | 11 | 2.4 | 0.68 |
| Marine | Scallops | 127 | 6.0 | 5.45 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Lobster | 365 | 4.3 | 1.37 | 6 | 3.2 | 1.91 |
| Marine | Crab | 236 | 4.0 | 1.98 | 6 | 1.6 | 0.70 |
| Marine | Flounder | 100 | 3.6 | 4.09 | 8 | 3.4 | 1.16 |
| Marine | Unspecified Fish | 170 | 3.4 | 2.27 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish | 108 | 3.4 | 3.51 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Cod | 85 | 3.1 | 4.34 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Salmon | 109 | 3.1 | 3.34 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.22 |
| Marine | Sardines | 14 | 1.6 | 14.64 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shad | 6 | 1.5 | 30.16 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sole | 56 | 1.2 | 2.21 | 1 | 0.9 | 3.30 |
| Marine | Haddock | 35 | 1.1 | 3.72 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Scrod | 28 | 0.8 | 3.55 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Bluefish | 76 | 0.7 | 1.09 | 20 | 6.9 | 1.05 |
| Marine | Oysters | 88 | 0.5 | 0.64 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.86 |
| Marine | Perch | 16 | 0.4 | 3.37 | 4 | 11.0 | 9.41 |
| Marine | Porgy | 17 | 0.4 | 2.61 | 5 | 3.6 | 2.09 |
| Marine | Snapper | 15 | 0.4 | 2.92 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Mussels | 56 | 0.4 | 0.74 | 1 | 1.9 | 4.61 |

Table E-46. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut general population (weighted, as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marine | Pollock | 13 | 0.4 | 3.16 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Whiting | 12 | 0.3 | 3.04 | 2 | 0.7 | 1.28 |
| Marine | Tautog | 19 | 0.3 | 1.73 | 14 | 10.1 | 2.14 |
| Marine | Turbot | 3 | 0.2 | 8.53 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Grouper | 8 | 0.2 | 3.02 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Herring | 8 | 0.2 | 3.10 | 0 | . |  |
| Marine | Halibut | 21 | 0.2 | 1.04 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shark | 15 | 0.1 | 0.98 | 1 | 1.5 | 4.40 |
| Marine | Eel | 8 | 0.1 | 1.34 | 2 | 3.0 | 4.40 |
| Marine | Sea Trout | 2 | 0.1 | 5.07 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Orange Roughy | 7 | 0.1 | 1.08 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Milkfish | 4 | 0.1 | 1.95 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Mackerel | 7 | 0.1 | 1.05 | 2 | 0.6 | 1.17 |
| Marine | Monkfish | 7 | 0.1 | 0.91 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sea bass | 2 | 0.1 | 4.05 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Anchovies | 17 | 0.0 | 0.30 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Scungilli | 3 | 0.0 | 1.64 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Whitefish | 6 | 0.0 | 0.58 | 4 | 1.0 | 0.66 |
| Marine | Dolphinfish | 6 | 0.0 | 0.56 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Bonito | 1 | 0.0 | 2.91 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Butterfirsh | 1 | 0.0 | 2.57 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Bream | 1 | 0.0 | 0.59 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Smelt | 2 | 0.0 | 0.29 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Caviar | 5 | 0.0 | 0.05 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-47. Total and caught fish consumption for the Florida general population (weighted, as-consumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Freshwater catfish | 597 | 4.9 | 42.85 | 126 | 7.0 | 53.81 |
| Freshwater | Panfish | 273 | 1.8 | 34.42 | 69 | 4.1 | 49.77 |
| Freshwater | Largemouth bass | 107 | 0.8 | 39.16 | 107 | 5.5 | 39.16 |
| Freshwater | Other freshwater finfish | 39 | 0.2 | 26.54 | 2 | 0.0 | 19.12 |
| Freshwater | Freshwater crayfish | 25 | 0.1 | 24.12 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Sunshine bass | 13 | 0.1 | 29.33 | 5 | 0.2 | 38.80 |
| Freshwater | Panfish roe | 3 | 0.0 | 34.29 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Largemouth bass roe | 1 | 0.0 | 21.65 | 1 | 0.0 | 21.65 |
| Marine | Canned tuna | 2888 | 23.9 | 37.80 | 0 |  |  |
| Marine | Shrimp | 2895 | 7.2 | 11.88 | 271 | 4.2 | 12.54 |
| Marine | Flounder | 819 | 6.6 | 38.46 | 139 | 6.9 | 35.29 |
| Marine | Grouper | 832 | 6.5 | 35.53 | 176 | 9.2 | 34.80 |
| Marine | Snapper | 663 | 5.8 | 38.76 | 158 | 13.0 | 51.58 |
| Marine | Breaded fish fillets | 485 | 3.9 | 38.59 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Fish sticks | 391 | 3.7 | 42.13 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Salmon | 618 | 3.5 | 25.34 | 13 | 0.5 | 21.27 |
| Marine | Mullet | 402 | 3.3 | 50.37 | 154 | 9.1 | 52.54 |
| Marine | Dolphin | 360 | 3.0 | 35.63 | 82 | 5.7 | 42.72 |
| Marine | Fresh tuna | 219 | 1.8 | 38.38 | 33 | 4.3 | 87.44 |
| Marine | Clams | 289 | 1.6 | 25.37 | 10 | 0.4 | 25.62 |
| Marine | Seatrout | 221 | 1.6 | 36.88 | 85 | 5.0 | 40.41 |
| Marine | Stone crab claws | 148 | 1.5 | 47.00 | 29 | 3.4 | 81.33 |
| Marine | Oysters | 366 | 1.5 | 23.58 | 48 | 1.6 | 29.01 |
| Marine | Other marine finfish | 301 | 1.5 | 22.08 | 25 | 1.3 | 33.20 |
| Marine | Sardines | 241 | 1.4 | 26.61 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Mackerel | 156 | 1.3 | 42.21 | 50 | 3.2 | 47.19 |
| Marine | Cod | 230 | 1.2 | 22.41 | 8 | 0.2 | 16.13 |
| Marine | Crab meat | 349 | 1.1 | 15.30 | 20 | 0.4 | 13.16 |
| Marine | Immitation crab meat | 218 | 1.0 | 19.76 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shark | 110 | 0.9 | 38.55 | 54 | 3.0 | 41.71 |
| Marine | Unknown finfish | 111 | 0.8 | 34.04 | 19 | 0.8 | 33.48 |

Table E-47. Total and caught fish consumption for the Florida general population (weighted, as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marine | Swordfish | 112 | 0.8 | 30.04 | 8 | 0.4 | 31.67 |
| Marine | Red drum | 115 | 0.8 | 33.14 | 115 | 5.5 | 33.14 |
| Marine | Scallops | 398 | 0.7 | 8.51 | 28 | 0.7 | 16.46 |
| Marine | Blue crab | 126 | 0.6 | 24.19 | 0 |  |  |
| Marine | Whole lobster | 152 | 0.6 | 17.60 | 28 | 0.8 | 18.48 |
| Marine | Lobster tails | 155 | 0.6 | 15.94 | 29 | 0.6 | 12.68 |
| Marine | Orange Roughy | 93 | 0.4 | 19.97 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | King Mackerel | 55 | 0.4 | 27.37 | 4 | 0.1 | 21.17 |
| Marine | Whitefish | 80 | 0.3 | 21.19 | 5 | 0.1 | 18.14 |
| Marine | Conch | 50 | 0.3 | 27.94 | 5 | 0.4 | 48.36 |
| Marine | Mussels | 44 | 0.3 | 29.35 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Other fresh frozen shellfish | 38 | 0.3 | 29.89 | 0 | . |  |
| Marine | Salad shrimp | 69 | 0.2 | 15.07 | 2 | 0.0 | 23.51 |
| Marine | Sheepshead | 33 | 0.2 | 26.36 | 6 | 0.2 | 25.96 |
| Marine | Snook | 30 | 0.2 | 26.51 | 7 | 0.3 | 29.52 |
| Marine | Mullet roe | 23 | 0.2 | 41.10 | 10 | 0.8 | 49.87 |
| Marine | Haddock | 33 | 0.2 | 19.75 | 3 | 0.1 | 12.94 |
| Marine | Bluefish | 16 | 0.1 | 24.03 | 6 | 0.2 | 24.61 |
| Marine | Pompano | 11 | 0.1 | 33.22 | 2 | 0.1 | 21.65 |
| Marine | Saltwater catfish | 14 | 0.1 | 27.44 | 3 | 0.1 | 20.84 |
| Marine | Amberjack | 25 | 0.1 | 20.27 | 19 | 0.3 | 16.11 |
| Marine | Sea bass | 17 | 0.1 | 23.04 | 5 | 0.1 | 16.27 |
| Marine | Halibut | 16 | 0.1 | 16.17 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Processed shellfish | 2 | 0.0 | 57.96 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Unknown shellfish | 10 | 0.0 | 17.47 | 0 | $\cdot 1$ | . |
| Marine | Seatrout roe | 6 | 0.0 | 26.01 | 3 | 0.1 | 26.14 |
| Marine | Other fresh/frozen finfish | 3 | 0.0 | 22.48 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Other processed finfish | 3 | 0.0 | 17.76 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-48. Total and caught fish consumption for the Minnesota general population (weighted, as-consumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Panfish | 447 | 13.6 | 4.84 | 447 | 38.5 | 4.84 |
| Freshwater | Walleye or Sauger | 498 | 8.2 | 3.21 | 498 | 23.3 | 3.21 |
| Freshwater | Northern pike or Muskie | 275 | 4.2 | 3.05 | 275 | 11.9 | 3.05 |
| Freshwater | Salmon or Lake trout | 206 | 4.1 | 4.76 | 206 | 11.6 | 4.76 |
| Freshwater | Bass | 125 | 1.9 | 2.48 | 125 | 5.4 | 2.48 |
| Freshwater | Other non-purchased fish | 71 | 1.9 | 3.87 | 71 | 5.4 | 3.87 |
| Freshwater | Stream trout | 53 | 1.4 | 5.30 | 53 | 3.9 | 5.30 |
| Marine | Canned tuna | 657 | 21.3 | 5.10 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Other purchased fish | 559 | 14.5 | 3.93 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Breaded fish, fish sticks | 524 | 13.3 | 3.99 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shellfish | 560 | 13.2 | 3.54 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish \& Shark | 102 | 2.4 | 2.82 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-49. Total and caught fish consumption for the North Dakota general population (weighted, asconsumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating <br> Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Walleye or Sauger | 369 | 13.2 | 4.08 | 369 | 40.8 | 4.08 |
| Freshwater | Panfish | 274 | 10.1 | 4.05 | 274 | 31.2 | 4.05 |
| Freshwater | Northern pike or Muskie | 230 | 5.5 | 2.69 | 230 | 17.0 | 2.69 |
| Freshwater | Salmon or Lake trout | 105 | 1.5 | 1.68 | 105 | 4.8 | 1.68 |
| Freshwater | Other non-purchased fish | 36 | 1.0 | 3.17 | 36 | 3.2 | 3.17 |
| Freshwater | Bass | 62 | 0.8 | 1.39 | 62 | 2.4 | 1.39 |
| Freshwater | Stream trout | 14 | 0.2 | 1.72 | 14 | 0.6 | 1.72 |
| Marine | Canned tuna | 472 | 20.8 | 5.07 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Other purchased fish | 389 | 16.5 | 4.82 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Breaded fish, fish sticks | 375 | 15.5 | 4.68 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shellfish | 423 | 12.2 | 3.28 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish \& Shark | 58 | 2.6 | 5.01 | 0 | . | - |

## E.12. ADDITIONAL DETAILS FOR TARGETED POPULATIONS

Tables E-50 through E-181 summarize fish and shellfish consumption by various dependent variables. Tables E-182 to E-192 have lists of species consumed, tabled by targeted populations.

There are separate tables for fish and shellfish consumption per capita and for those individuals that reported consuming fish or shellfish (consumers only). The tables tabulate the fish and shellfish consumption rate (either as $\mathrm{g} /$ day or $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day bodyweight). There are separate tables for fish and shellfish consumption in as-consumed weight per day and raw or uncooked weight per day. In the table titles, the word "Consumption" is used to stand for the fish and shellfish consumption rate. Within each table the fish and shellfish consumption is broken out by the independent variables.

Tables with summaries using per capita, or estimates for the sampled populations, show the following statistics (column headers are in parentheses):

- State abbreviation (State);
- Independent variables;
- Sample size (SampN);
- Weighted sample size for the general population in thousands (PopN/1,000);
- Arithmetic mean (Pop Arith Mean);
- Geometric mean (Pop Geom Mean), this is missing in all cases;
- Percent of respondents in the row that reported eating fish or shellfish (Percent Eating Fish);
- Minimum (Min);
- Percentiles, the $5^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}, 90^{\text {th }}, 95^{\text {th }}$, and $99^{\text {th }}$ percentiles (Pop Q5, Pop Q10, Pop Q25, Pop Q50, Pop Q75, Pop Q90, Pop Q95, and Pop Q99); and
- Maximum (Max).

Since some respondents did not report fish or shellfish consumption during the recall period, the consumption rate is zero for some respondents. As a result, the minimum consumption rate is generally 0 . Because the geometric mean cannot be calculated for the population when individuals do not report consuming fish or shellfish, the population geometric mean is missing in all cases. This column is retained so the tables per capita and consumers only
have the same format. Tables with summaries for those that consumed fish or shellfish in the recall period, consumers only, show the following statistics (column headers are in parentheses):

- State abbreviation (State);
- Independent variables;
- Sample size for consumers (SampNC);
- Weighted number of fish and shellfish consumers in the general population in thousands (WtdNC/1,000);
- Arithmetic mean (Arith Mean);
- Geometric mean (Geom Mean);
- Percent of respondents in the row that reported eating fish or shellfish (Percent Eating Fish), this value is $100 \%$ in the tables for consumers only;
- Minimum (Min);
- Percentiles, the $5^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}, 90^{\text {th }}, 95^{\text {th }}$, and $99^{\text {th }}$ percentiles (Q5, Q10, Q25, Q50, Q75, Q90, Q95, and Q99); and
- Maximum (Max).

In the tables for consumers only, for the respondents in each row the percentage eating fish is $100 \%$. This column is retained so the tables per capita and consumers only have the same format.

Tables E-182 to E-192 have lists of species eaten, classified by the following targeted populations:

- Table 182, Connecticut recreational anglers;
- Table 183, Connecticut aquaculture students;
- Table 184, Connecticut Asian students;
- Table 185, Connecticut Commercial fishermen
- Table 186, Connecticut EFNEP participants;
- Table 187, Connecticut WIC participants;
- Table 188, Minnesota American Indians;
- Table 189, Minnesota recreational anglers;
- Table 190, Minnesota families with new mothers;
- Table 191, North Dakota American Indians; and
- Table 192, North Dakota recreational anglers.

These tables present consumption statistics by species categories using as-consumed grams of fish or shellfish per day. Each survey categorized the species differently. The categories in the Connecticut data files (corresponding roughly to seafood dishes) were recoded to species categories. The categories in the Florida data were left unchanged. However, note that there is some repetition in the Florida data in that there are separate records for tuna and tuna salad. In the Minnesota/North Dakota survey respondents were asked about five classifications of purchased fish and shellfish and seven classifications of self-caught fish. The data are summarized using these classifications.

The species tables list the habitat of the species (freshwater versus marine or estuarine), the species name or category, the number of records $(\mathrm{N})$, the percent of total consumption that is in that species category (Percent of Total), the average fish or shellfish consumption in asconsumed $\mathrm{g} /$ day for those that consumed the species (Mean $\mathrm{g} /$ day), the number of records reporting caught fish quantities ( N Eating Caught Fish), the percent of total caught fish consumption in that species category (Percent of Total Caught), and the mean consumption of caught fish or shellfish for those that caught and consumed the species (Mean g/day of Caught Fish).

Table E-50. Consumption, per capita, by state and income (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Household <br> Income | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Arith } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 41 | 312 | 23.5 | . | 86.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 27 | 53 | 82 | 172 | 172 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 155 | 1179 | 32.0 | . | 85.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 35 | 69 | 122 | 341 | 494 |
|  | \$50000- | 219 | 1778 | 23.6 |  | 83.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 32 | 66 | 74 | 116 | 143 |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 22.5 | . | 73.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 37 | 41 | 65 |  | 83 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 26.5 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 33 | 66 | 85 | 169 | 494 |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 3746 | 3408 | 26.0 | . | 45.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 65 | 108 | 228 | 2339 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 7353 | 6814 | 28.1 | . | 50.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 74 | 108 | 236 | 1508 |
|  | \$50000- | 3417 | 3250 | 30.6 | . | 56.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 42 | 78 | 114 | 263 | 1308 |
|  | Unknown | 2665 | 2480 | 21.3 | . | 45.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 65 | 91 | 175 | 639 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 27.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 228 | 2339 |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 89 | 373 | 28.5 | . | 91.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 27 | 90 | 111 | . | 140 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 328 | 1802 | 19.3 | . | 91.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 108 | 489 |
|  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 16.4 | . | 97.9 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 19 | 33 | 48 | 97 | 167 |
|  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 13.9 | . | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 16 | 31 | 35 | 59 | 59 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 18.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 103 | 489 |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 53 | 56 | 24.5 | . | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 89 | 110 | 169 | 177 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 252 | 268 | 17.5 |  | 93.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 52 | 65 | 116 | 176 |
|  | \$50000- | 239 | 251 | 19.2 |  | 97.1 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 40 | 58 | 94 | 126 |
|  | Unknown | 58 | 63 | 19.3 |  | 93.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 25 | 34 | 41 | 221 | 240 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 19.0 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 64 | 122 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-51. Consumption, consumers only, by state and income (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Household Income | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 36 | 270 | 27.1 | 16.7 | 100 | 0.66 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 57 | 86 |  | 172 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 135 | 1006 | 37.5 | 18.6 | 100 | 0.34 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 42 | 75 | 124 | 353 | 494 |
|  | \$50000- | 186 | 1490 | 28.1 | 16.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 19 | 39 | 67 | 80 | 122 | 143 |
|  | Unknown | 12 | 87 | 30.7 | 25.1 | 100 | 5.53 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 32 | 38 | 45 | 68 | . | 83 |
|  | All | 369 | 2854 | 31.4 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 68 | 94 | 178 | 494 |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 1707 | 1537 | 57.7 | 35.7 | 100 | 0.69 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 38 | 65 | 114 | 172 | 313 | 2339 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 3709 | 3404 | 56.3 | 35.9 | 100 | 0.35 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 37 | 65 | 108 | 160 | 347 | 1508 |
|  | \$50000- | 1960 | 1844 | 53.9 | 34.8 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 11 | 20 | 36 | 65 | 108 | 151 | 340 | 1308 |
|  | Unknown | 1190 | 1126 | 46.8 | 32.1 | 100 | 0.34 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 33 | 56 | 95 | 143 | 237 | 639 |
|  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 54.6 | 35.1 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 108 | 157 | 317 | 2339 |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 79 | 339 | 31.3 | 19.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 36 | 95 | 114 | . | 140 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 302 | 1645 | 21.1 | 10.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 39 | 55 | 120 | 489 |
|  | \$50000- | 321 | 2109 | 16.7 | 9.9 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 19 | 33 | 50 | 97 | 167 |
|  | Unknown | 94 | 530 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 100 | 1.02 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 18 | 33 | 35 | 59 | 59 |
|  | All | 796 | 4623 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 105 | 489 |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 50 | 53 | 26.1 | 13.7 | 100 | 1.16 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 90 | 108 | 166 | 177 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 250 | 18.8 | 10.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 21 | 53 | 67 | 119 | 176 |
|  | \$50000- | 231 | 244 | 19.8 | 12.9 | 100 | 0.87 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 41 | 59 | 94 | 126 |
|  | Unknown | 54 | 59 | 20.7 | 12.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 25 | 35 | 41 | 213 | 240 |
|  | All | 570 | 606 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 46 | 68 | 123 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-52. Consumption, per capita, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Race Ethnicity | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Arith } \end{gathered}$ Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 380 | 2968 | 27.0 |  | 88.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 36 | 66 | 80 | 158 | 494 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 9 | 66 | 4.4 |  | 33.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | . |  | 16 |
|  | Hispanic | 20 | 178 | 29.7 |  | 70.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 110 | 136 |  | 186 |
|  | Asian | 20 | 155 | 25.7 |  | 54.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 27 | 164 |  | 349 |
|  | Unknown | 2 | 21 | 0.4 |  | 43.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . | . |  | 1 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 26.5 |  | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 33 | 66 | 85 | 169 | 494 |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 12957 | 11887 | 27.1 |  | 50.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 228 | 1508 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1842 | 1690 | 26.6 | . | 46.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 71 | 108 | 213 | 1308 |
|  | Hispanic | 1673 | 1719 | 26.6 |  | 45.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 66 | 108 | 227 | 2339 |
|  | Asian | 260 | 216 | 33.6 |  | 50.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 74 | 120 | 322 | 1191 |
|  | American Indian | 122 | 114 | 40.0 |  | 50.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 47 | 99 | 173 | 440 | 449 |
|  | Unknown | 327 | 325 | 24.8 |  | 42.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 64 | 86 | 217 | 1213 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 27.1 |  | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 228 | 2339 |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 779 | 4473 | 16.1 |  | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 37 | 52 | 97 | 167 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 45.0 |  | 100 | 15.9 | . | . | 16 | 17 | 81 | . | . |  | 103 |
|  | Asian | 7 | 94 | 9.8 |  | 100 | 4.87 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  | . | . |  | 10 |
|  | American Indian | 12 | 78 | 111.5 | . | 100 | 4.01 | . | . | 5 | 10 | 78 | . |  | . | 489 |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 22.3 |  | 100 | 2.33 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 21 | 55 | 62 |  | 78 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 18.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 103 | 489 |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 551 | 585 | 19.1 |  | 94.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 22 | 45 | 70 | 124 | 240 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 15.7 |  | 100 | 15.1 | . | . | 15 | 16 |  | . | . | . | 16 |
|  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 12.9 |  | 100 | 3.14 | . | . | 3 | 13 | 23 | - |  |  | 23 |
|  | American Indian | 13 | 13 | 23.0 |  | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 25 | 47 | . | . | 65 |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 16.2 |  | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 28 | 38 | 48 |  | 53 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 19.0 |  | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 64 | 122 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-53. Consumption, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Race Ethnicity | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 338 | 2612 | 30.7 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 67 | 86 | 160 | 494 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 100 | 8.24 | . | . | 10 | 14 | 16 | . | . | . | 16 |
|  | Hispanic | 15 | 126 | 41.8 | 21.0 | 100 | 3.11 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 62 | 112 | 149 |  | 186 |
|  | Asian | 12 | 85 | 47.0 | 20.3 | 100 | 3.27 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 21 | 27 | 120 | 254 |  | 349 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 0.99 | . | . | . | . |  |  | . |  | 1 |
|  | All | 369 | 2854 | 31.4 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 68 | 94 | 178 | 494 |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 6607 | 6053 | 53.2 | 34.5 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 36 | 63 | 108 | 153 | 302 | 1508 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 867 | 780 | 57.5 | 38.8 | 100 | 0.34 | 11 | 17 | 22 | 41 | 65 | 110 | 161 | 314 | 1308 |
|  | Hispanic | 762 | 773 | 59.2 | 34.6 | 100 | 1.60 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 36 | 64 | 114 | 169 | 437 | 2339 |
|  | Asian | 128 | 108 | 67.1 | 39.9 | 100 | 5.41 | 10 | 13 | 22 | 40 | 67 | 120 | 208 | 656 | 1191 |
|  | American Indian | 63 | 58 | 78.7 | 46.3 | 100 | 3.25 | 6 | 14 | 22 | 47 | 86 | 173 | 305 | 448 | 449 |
|  | Unknown | 139 | 139 | 57.9 | 35.6 | 100 | 2.40 | 6 | 16 | 22 | 35 | 62 | 96 | 150 | 507 | 1213 |
|  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 54.6 | 35.1 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 108 | 157 | 317 | 2339 |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 735 | 4197 | 17.2 | 10.3 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 39 | 53 | 98 | 167 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  | 100 |  | . | . |  |  |  | . | . | . |  |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 45.0 | 30.0 | 100 | 15.9 | . | . | 16 | 17 | 59 | . | . | . | 103 |
|  | Asian | 7 | 94 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 100 | 4.87 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 |  | . | . | . | 10 |
|  | American Indian | 12 | 78 | 111.5 | 19.1 | 100 | 4.01 | . | . | 5 | 10 | 27 | . | . | . | 489 |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 22.3 | 17.2 | 100 | 2.33 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 21 | 55 | 62 | . | 78 |
|  | All | 796 | 4623 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 105 | 489 |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 521 | 555 | 20.2 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 46 | 77 | 125 | 240 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 100 | 15.1 | . | . | 15 | 16 |  | . |  | . | 16 |
|  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 12.9 | 8.4 | 100 | 3.14 | . | . | 3 | 8 | 23 | . | . |  | 23 |
|  | American Indian | 13 | 13 | 23.0 | 15.9 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 22 | 25 | 38 | $\cdot$ | . | 65 |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 33 | 17.4 | 11.6 | 100 | 3.11 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 28 | 39 | 48 | . | 53 |
|  | All | 570 | 606 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 46 | 68 | 123 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-54. Consumption, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Adult Child | Race Ethnicity | Samp N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 302 | 2236 | 32.4 |  | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 44 | 70 | 92 | 165 | 494 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | - 5 | 34 | 8.4 | . | 64.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | . |  | . | 16 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 13 | 103 | 47.0 |  | 82.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 22 | 79 | 122 | 173 |  | 186 |
|  |  | Asian | 16 | 119 | 30.4 | . | 51.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 72 | 235 | . | 349 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 1.0 | . | 100 | 0.99 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| CT | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | C 67 | 633 | 11.1 | . | 75.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 27 | 50 | 63 | 66 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | - 4 | 32 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
|  |  | Hispanic | 7 | 74 | 5.7 | . | 54.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 17 | . | . | 18 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 36 | 10.0 |  | 66.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | . | . | . | 21 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 12 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| CT | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | C 11 | 99 | 6.9 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 31 | . | 32 |
| FL | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 10502 | 9460 | 30.6 | . | 53.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 43 | 84 | 121 | 249 | 1508 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1229 | 1080 | 32.5 | . | 50.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 43 | 88 | 123 | 264 | 1308 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 1283 | 1280 | 31.2 | . | 46.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 79 | 124 | 306 | 2339 |
|  |  | Asian | 211 | 171 | 40.4 | . | 55.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 46 | 88 | 143 | 445 | 1191 |
|  |  | American Indian | 103 | 95 | 41.6 | . | 47.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 121 | 204 | 443 | 449 |
|  |  | Unknown | 261 | 252 | 29.5 | . | 45.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 67 | 103 | 246 | 1213 |
| FL | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 2455 | 2427 | 13.3 | . | 40.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 42 | 57 | 107 | 501 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 613 | 610 | 16.0 | . | 38.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 47 | 70 | 159 | 810 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 390 | 440 | 13.1 | . | 39.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 41 | 56 | 150 | 280 |
|  |  | Asian | 49 | 45 | 7.4 | . | 29.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 34 | 44 | . | 47 |
|  |  | American Indian | 19 | 19 | 31.9 | . | 67.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 62 | 76 |  |  | 85 |
|  |  | Unknown | 66 | 73 | 8.4 |  | 34.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 31 | 39 |  | 46 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-54. Consumption, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Race Ethnicity | $\underset{N}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PopN/ } \\ 1000 \end{array}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 604 | 3298 | 18.7 |  | 96.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 41 | 58 | 100 | 167 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | . | . |  |  | . | . | . |
|  |  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 45.0 |  | 100 | 15.9 |  |  | 16 | 17 | 81 | . |  |  | 103 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 35 | 9.7 | . | 100 | 4.87 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | . | . | . | . | 10 |
|  |  | American Indian | 10 | 57 | 152.3 |  | 100 | 5.41 | . | 6 | 10 | 18 | 274 | . |  |  | 489 |
|  |  | Unknown | 28 | 173 | 23.1 | . | 100 | 2.33 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 24 | 59 | 66 | . | 78 |
| MN | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 169 | 1113 | 9.4 |  | 91.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 20 | 32 | 51 | 109 |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 59 | 9.9 |  | 100 | 9.90 | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | 10 |
|  |  | American Indian | 2 | 22 | 4.0 | . | 100 | 4.01 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 4 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 31 | 17.8 | . | 100 | 2.33 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 35 | . | 42 |
| MN | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 6 | 62 | 0.0 | . | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ND | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 389 | 430 | 20.5 | . | 95.6 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 46 | 83 | 127 | 240 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 15.7 |  | 100 | 15.1 | . | . | 15 | 16 |  | . |  |  | 16 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 12.9 |  | 100 | 3.14 | . | . | 3 | 13 | 23 | . | . | . | 23 |
|  |  | American Indian | 8 | 8 | 28.4 |  | 100 | 12.7 | . | 13 | 21 | 24 | 25 | 61 | . | . | 65 |
|  |  | Unknown | 27 | 30 | 15.8 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 27 | 40 | 50 | . | 53 |
| ND | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 155 | 147 | 14.9 |  | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 33 | 59 | . | 97 |
|  |  | American Indian | 5 | 5 | 13.5 |  | 100 | 0.58 | . | . | 7 | 16 | 21 | . | . | . | 22 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 5 | 19.0 | . | 100 | 3.98 | . | . | 9 | 27 | 28 | . | . | . | 28 |
| ND | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 7 | 7 | 24.0 | . | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 38 | . | . | . | 60 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-55. Consumption, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Race Ethnicity | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 278 | 2060 | 35.2 | 21.2 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 45 | 71 | 95 | 168 | 494 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 100 | 8.24 | . | . | 10 | 14 | 16 |  |  |  | 16 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 11 | 85 | 56.9 | 32.7 | 100 | 7.56 | . | 8 | 10 | 27 | 98 | 130 | 177 |  | 186 |
|  |  | Asian | 9 | 61 | 59.6 | 23.3 | 100 | 3.27 | . | 4 | 10 | 23 | 28 | 175 | . | . | 349 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 0.99 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| CT | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 51 | 477 | 14.7 | 9.8 | 100 | 0.63 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 51 | 64 | 66 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  | 100 |  | . | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 4 | 41 | 10.4 | 8.3 | 100 | 3.11 | . | . | 5 | 9 | 16 | . | . |  | 18 |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 24 | 14.9 | 14.2 | 100 | 10.0 | . | . | 11 | 14 | 18 | . |  | . | 21 |
|  |  | Unknown | . | . |  |  | 100 | . | . | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| CT | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | - 9 | 75 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 100 | 0.31 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 30 |  | . | 32 |
| FL | Adult Wh | White, Non-Hispanic | 5620 | 5076 | 57.1 | 36.9 | 100 | 0.17 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 39 | 65 | 116 | 165 | 3181 | 1508 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 625 | 545 | 64.6 | 42.5 | 100 | 0.34 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 43 | 73 | 123 | 174 | 3541 | 1308 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 605 | 599 | 66.8 | 38.9 | 100 | 1.60 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 43 | 70 | 130 | 173 | 5072 | 2339 |
|  |  | Asian | 114 | 95 | 73.0 | 43.8 | 100 | 5.41 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 43 | 69 | 137 | 216 | 7281 | 1191 |
|  |  | American Indian | 51 | 45 | 87.4 | 49.3 | 100 | 5.41 | 6 | 14 | 21 | 47 | 99 | 215 | 352 |  | 449 |
|  |  | Unknown | 116 | 114 | 65.2 | 39.9 | 100 | 2.40 | 10 | 17 | 22 | 43 | 65 | 107 | 168 | 6081 | 1213 |
| FL | Child Wh | White, Non-Hispanic | 987 | 978 | 32.9 | 24.1 | 100 | 1.01 | 5 | 9 | 18 | 23 | 42 | 67 | 89 | 164 | 501 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 242 | 236 | 41.3 | 31.6 | 100 | 1.62 | 9 | 17 | 22 | 34 | 47 | 72 | 105 | 174 | 810 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 157 | 174 | 33.1 | 23.2 | 100 | 3.09 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 41 | 63 | 74 | 185 | 280 |
|  |  | Asian | 14 | 13 | 24.6 | 20.4 | 100 | 5.92 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 19 | 40 | 46 | 47 | . | 47 |
|  |  | American Indian | 12 | 13 | 47.4 | 37.1 | 100 | 3.25 | 5 | 16 | 24 | 45 | 66 | 83 |  | . | 85 |
|  |  | Unknown | 23 | 25 | 24.7 | 21.1 | 100 | 4.06 | 5 | 6 | 20 | 23 | 34 | 43 | 45 | . | 46 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-55. Consumption, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-56. Consumption, per capita, by state and gender (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Gender | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 205 | 1617 | 27.4 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 35 | 70 | 92 | 158 | 311 |
|  | Female | 226 | 1771 | 25.6 | . | 83.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 33 | 58 | 74 | 185 | 494 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 26.5 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 33 | 66 | 85 | 169 | 494 |
| FL | Male | 8262 | 7662 | 29.1 | . | 49.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 76 | 119 | 250 | 2339 |
|  | Female | 8110 | 7517 | 26.3 | . | 51.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 69 | 102 | 208 | 1358 |
|  | Unknown | 809 | 774 | 14.7 | . | 36.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 45 | 69 | 177 | 284 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 27.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 228 | 2339 |
| MN | Male | 422 | 2497 | 16.3 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 53 | 97 | 167 |
|  | Female | 419 | 2403 | 19.8 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 134 | 489 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 18.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 103 | 489 |
| ND | Male | 288 | 306 | 20.2 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 25 | 46 | 71 | 120 | 130 |
|  | Female | 314 | 332 | 17.9 | . | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 43 | 61 | 127 | 240 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 19.0 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 64 | 122 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-57. Consumption, consumers only, by state and gender (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Gender | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith <br> Mean | Geom <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 177 | 1377 | 32.2 | 19.2 | 100 | 0.63 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 40 | 72 | 109 | 160 | 311 |
|  | Female | 192 | 1478 | 30.6 | 16.0 | 100 | 0.26 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 39 | 65 | 86 | 227 | 494 |
|  | All | 369 | 2854 | 31.4 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 68 | 94 | 178 | 494 |
| FL | Male | 4066 | 3758 | 59.4 | 37.6 | 100 | 0.34 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 40 | 65 | 120 | 173 | 359 | 2339 |
|  | Female | 4206 | 3874 | 51.0 | 33.2 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 61 | 100 | 144 | 279 | 1358 |
|  | Unknown | 294 | 279 | 40.8 | 29.5 | 100 | 3.25 | 10 | 16 | 18 | 24 | 46 | 84 | 140 | 211 | 284 |
|  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 54.6 | 35.1 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 108 | 157 | 317 | 2339 |
| MN | Male | 403 | 2379 | 17.2 | 10.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 37 | 54 | 97 | 167 |
|  | Female | 393 | 2244 | 21.3 | 11.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 41 | 61 | 137 | 489 |
|  | All | 796 | 4623 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 105 | 489 |
| ND | Male | 277 | 295 | 21.0 | 12.8 | 100 | 0.87 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 25 | 48 | 78 | 119 | 130 |
|  | Female | 293 | 311 | 19.1 | 10.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 45 | 63 | 133 | 240 |
|  | All | 570 | 606 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 46 | 68 | 123 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-58. Consumption, per capita, by state, adult/child and gender (as-consumed g/day)


[^0]Table E-59. Consumption, consumers only, by state, adult/child and gender (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Gender | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | Male | 141 | 1047 | 37.8 | 25.0 | 100 | 1.72 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 23 | 46 | 81 | 114 | 170 | 311 |
|  |  | Female | 161 | 1190 | 34.9 | 18.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 45 | 67 | 96 | 298 | 494 |
| CT | Child | Male | 31 | 286 | 15.5 | 9.9 | 100 | 0.63 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 35 | 53 | . | 66 |
|  |  | Female | 27 | 256 | 13.1 | 9.7 | 100 | 1.34 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 34 | . | 51 |
| CT | Unknown | Male | 5 | 44 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 100 | 0.68 | . | . | 1 | 2 | 6 | . | . | . | 32 |
|  |  | Female | 4 | 32 | 10.4 | 4.0 | 100 | 0.31 | . | 0 | 1 | 4 | 14 | . | . | . | 29 |
| FL | Adult | Male | 3405 | 3096 | 64.7 | 40.7 | 100 | 0.34 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 43 | 71 | 130 | 187 | 398 | 2339 |
|  |  | Female | 3545 | 3212 | 54.6 | 35.5 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 37 | 65 | 108 | 152 | 305 | 1358 |
|  |  | Unknown | 181 | 166 | 46.2 | 32.6 | 100 | 4.27 | 10 | 16 | 19 | 24 | 53 | 107 | 165 | 255 | 284 |
| FL | Child | Male | 661 | 663 | 34.9 | 26.1 | 100 | 1.22 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 24 | 45 | 68 | 94 | 160 | 239 |
|  |  | Female | 661 | 662 | 33.7 | 24.1 | 100 | 1.01 | 5 | 8 | 17 | 23 | 41 | 67 | 85 | 175 | 810 |
|  |  | Unknown | 113 | 113 | 32.9 | 25.5 | 100 | 3.25 | 10 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 33 | 68 | 85 | 187 | 200 |
| MN | Adult | Male | 310 | 1746 | 19.7 | 13.1 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 24 | 47 | 59 | 98 | 167 |
|  |  | Female | 313 | 1746 | 24.3 | 12.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 47 | 76 | 186 | 489 |
| MN | Child | Male | 92 | 633 | 10.1 | 5.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 109 |
|  |  | Female | 80 | 498 | 10.6 | 7.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 41 | 109 |
| MN | Unknown | Male | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 1.05 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
|  |  | Female | . | . | . | . | 100 |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | - | . | . |
| ND | Adult | Male | 193 | 214 | 21.4 | 13.2 | 100 | 1.05 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 26 | 44 | 84 | 126 | 130 |
|  |  | Female | 217 | 239 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 49 | 70 | 169 | 240 |
| ND | Child | Male | 81 | 77 | 19.4 | 11.4 | 100 | 0.87 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 24 | 55 | 67 |  | 97 |
|  |  | Female | 74 | 70 | 12.2 | 6.9 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 27 | 37 | 96 | 97 |
| ND | Unknown | Male | 3 | 3 | 33.2 | 29.1 | 100 | 18.8 | . | . | 19 | 24 | 43 | . | . |  | 60 |
|  |  | Female | 2 | 2 | 30.0 | 19.6 | 100 | 8.91 | . | . | . | 19 | 49 | . | . | . | 60 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-60. Consumption, per capita, by state and education (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Respondent <br> Education | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \end{aligned}$ Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \end{aligned}$ Mean | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 25.2 |  | 100 | 1.59 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 28 | 82 | 95 |  | 96 |
|  | High School | 89 | 682 | 23.8 | . | 85.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 39 | 66 | 74 | 104 | 122 |
|  | Some College | 66 | 504 | 34.5 | . | 89.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 39 | 67 | 125 | 285 | 311 |
|  | College grad | 263 | 2105 | 25.5 | . | 81.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 29 | 58 | 87 | 171 | 494 |
| FL | 0-11 years | 1744 | 1523 | 23.8 | . | 40.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 65 | 101 | 212 | 1213 |
|  | High School | 5677 | 5118 | 26.3 | . | 47.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 68 | 107 | 240 | 2339 |
|  | Some College | 5261 | 4948 | 28.2 | . | 51.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 218 | 1484 |
|  | College grad | 4367 | 4240 | 28.0 | . | 53.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 41 | 73 | 108 | 218 | 1308 |
|  | Unknown | 132 | 123 | 26.4 | . | 39.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 88 | 150 | 191 | 238 |
| MN | 0-11 years | 46 | 214 | 24.0 | . | 86.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 21 | 85 | 111 | . | 119 |
|  | High School | 236 | 1332 | 16.9 | . | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 59 | 101 | 140 |
|  | Some College | 260 | 1330 | 22.8 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 22 | 46 | 55 | 305 | 489 |
|  | College grad | 256 | 1808 | 15.0 | . | 95.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 32 | 50 | 94 | 107 |
|  | Unknown | 43 | 215 | 16.5 | . | 99.7 | 0.00 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 32 | 37 | 42 | 45 |
| ND | 0-11 years | 31 | 35 | 13.9 | . | 87.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 36 | 55 |  | 83 |
|  | High School | 143 | 144 | 23.0 | . | 97.4 | 0.00 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 26 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 177 |
|  | Some College | 195 | 212 | 17.2 | . | 93.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 24 | 41 | 59 | 88 | 121 |
|  | College grad | 196 | 206 | 18.7 | . | 96.8 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 19 | 48 | 87 | 115 | 176 |
|  | Unknown | 37 | 42 | 19.6 | . | 87.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 27 | 37 | 53 | . | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-61. Consumption, consumers only, by state and education (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Respondent Education | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 25.2 | 13.9 | 100 | 1.59 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 28 | 81 | 95 | . | 96 |
|  | High School | 78 | 584 | 27.8 | 15.4 | 100 | 0.63 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 18 | 44 | 67 | 74 | 103 | 122 |
|  | Some College | 60 | 450 | 38.6 | 23.3 | 100 | 0.66 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 23 | 45 | 70 | 133 | 283 | 311 |
|  | College grad | 218 | 1723 | 31.1 | 17.1 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 36 | 67 | 96 | 187 | 494 |
| FL | 0-11 years | 716 | 620 | 58.4 | 37.7 | 100 | 1.23 | 11 | 16 | 22 | 40 | 65 | 113 | 173 | 314 | 1213 |
|  | High School | 2683 | 2419 | 55.6 | 35.2 | 100 | 0.82 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 36 | 64 | 109 | 168 | 364 | 2339 |
|  | Some College | 2739 | 2550 | 54.8 | 34.3 | 100 | 0.69 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 35 | 64 | 108 | 152 | 349 | 1484 |
|  | College grad | 2376 | 2274 | 52.2 | 34.8 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 37 | 65 | 108 | 148 | 290 | 1308 |
|  | Unknown | 52 | 48 | 67.1 | 50.7 | 100 | 13.2 | 17 | 19 | 31 | 47 | 88 | 161 | 175 | 234 | 238 |
| MN | 0-11 years | 41 | 185 | 27.8 | 16.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 26 | 95 | 111 | . | 119 |
|  | High School | 220 | 1237 | 18.2 | 11.6 | 100 | 1.02 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 37 | 60 | 103 | 140 |
|  | Some College | 250 | 1268 | 23.9 | 11.1 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 47 | 55 | 263 | 489 |
|  | College grad | 243 | 1719 | 15.7 | 9.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 32 | 50 | 92 | 107 |
|  | Unknown | 42 | 215 | 16.6 | 13.5 | 100 | 1.05 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 19 | 32 | 36 | 42 | 45 |
| ND |  | 27 | 31 | 15.9 | 10.8 | 100 | 2.23 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 19 | 37 | 52 | . | 83 |
|  | High School | 139 | 141 | 23.6 | 13.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 27 | 60 | 91 | 130 | 177 |
|  | Some College | 183 | 199 | 18.3 | 11.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 25 | 41 | 59 | 89 | 121 |
|  | College grad | 189 | 199 | 19.4 | 11.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 49 | 87 | 114 | 176 |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 22.5 | 11.7 | 100 | 2.62 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 28 | 39 | 56 | . | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT , MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-62. Consumption, per capita, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop <br> Arith <br> Mean | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{array}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 28 | 274 | 4.2 | . | 47.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 19 |  | 25 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 28 | 259 | 11.6 | . | 80.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 19 | 26 | 35 | . | 50 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 22 | 201 | 14.1 | . | 86.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 35 | 58 | . | 66 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 17 | 141 | 35.9 | . | 79.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 37 | 61 | 200 | . | 349 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 88 | 656 | 31.5 | . | 87.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 39 | 66 | 84 | 376 | 494 |
|  | Female 50+ | 79 | 579 | 28.6 | . | 90.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 21 | 43 | 64 | 94 | 151 | 172 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 14 | 119 | 11.9 | . | 70.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 30 | . | 31 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 81 | 600 | 41.5 | . | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 24 | 56 | 101 | 127 | 265 | 311 |
|  | Male 50+ | 63 | 461 | 29.1 | . | 90.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 38 | 69 | 84 | 157 | 161 |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 6.9 | - | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 31 | . | 32 |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 1107 | 1138 | 10.9 | . | 37.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 40 | 47 | 78 | 232 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 943 | 962 | 12.0 |  | 39.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 41 | 54 | 87 | 170 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 865 | 849 | 17.4 | . | 42.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 47 | 70 | 147 | 501 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 1636 | 1518 | 26.6 | . | 48.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 65 | 108 | 250 | 1191 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 2546 | 2296 | 34.4 |  | 56.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 43 | 87 | 125 | 262 | 1358 |
|  | Female 50+ | 2367 | 2142 | 26.3 |  | 55.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 | 74 | 100 | 173 | 366 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 1702 | 1567 | 33.5 |  | 45.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 87 | 130 | 337 | 2339 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 2673 | 2411 | 35.0 |  | 52.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 43 | 93 | 147 | 298 | 1484 |
|  | Male 50+ | 2347 | 2127 | 30.9 |  | 54.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 43 | 83 | 118 | 254 | 1508 |
|  | Unknown | 995 | 941 | 16.4 | - | 39.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 47 | 71 | 177 | 304 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-62. Consumption, per capita, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Age-Gender Category | Samp N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 47 | 437 | 8.0 | . | 97.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 20 | 61 | 109 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 47 | 299 | 9.5 | . | 88.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 42 | 67 | 109 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 68 | 337 | 12.0 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 28 | 39 | 45 | 68 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 47 | 331 | 36.9 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 37 | 241 | . | 489 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 133 | 723 | 15.5 | . | 95.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 20 | 32 | 39 | 61 | 107 |
|  | Female 50+ | 162 | 854 | 23.3 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 55 | 86 | 132 | 140 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 55 | 275 | 8.3 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 38 | 59 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 120 | 731 | 20.3 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 26 | 34 | 59 | 104 | 167 |
|  | Male 50+ | 156 | 852 | 20.8 | . | 99.8 | 0.00 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 24 | 50 | 59 | 94 | 108 |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 0.0 | . | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 31 | 30 | 10.9 | . | 91.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 28 | 86 | . | 97 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 46 | 44 | 14.8 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 62 |  | 97 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 58 | 54 | 19.7 | . | 97.2 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 25 | 54 | 66 |  | 97 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 45 | 47 | 10.4 | . | 85.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 21 | 33 | . | 65 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 99 | 105 | 17.8 | . | 98.4 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 41 | 59 | 99 | 177 |
|  | Female 50+ | 102 | 116 | 24.2 | . | 93.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 63 | 91 | 196 | 240 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 37 | 39 | 16.4 | . | 100 | 1.16 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 34 | 51 | . | 130 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 92 | 99 | 18.3 | . | 97.8 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 23 | 35 | 60 | 85 | 87 |
|  | Male 50+ | 85 | 97 | 23.6 | . | 94.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 28 | 53 | 93 | 127 | 127 |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 24.0 | - | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 38 | . | . | . | 60 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-63. Consumption, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-63. Consumption, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Age-Gender Category | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 46 | 425 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 17 | 20 | 41 | 109 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 265 | 10.8 | 5.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 44 | 70 | 109 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 63 | 313 | 12.9 | 8.3 | 100 | 1.02 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 16 | 31 | 39 | 45 | 68 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 44 | 318 | 38.4 | 10.1 | 100 | 1.05 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 41 | 163 |  | 489 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 128 | 686 | 16.3 | 11.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 32 | 40 | 61 | 107 |
|  | Female 50+ | 150 | 810 | 24.5 | 14.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 27 | 59 | 87 | 130 | 140 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 52 | 254 | 9.0 | 5.4 | 100 | 1.05 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 38 | 59 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 115 | 702 | 21.2 | 15.0 | 100 | 1.16 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 27 | 35 | 61 | 102 | 167 |
|  | Male 50+ | 154 | 851 | 20.9 | 14.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 24 | 50 | 59 | 92 | 108 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 1.05 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 28 | 28 | 11.9 | 4.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 30 | 92 | . | 97 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 41 | 16.0 | 9.9 | 100 | 1.40 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 22 | 28 | 63 |  | 97 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 56 | 53 | 20.3 | 12.6 | 100 | 1.02 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 55 | 64 | . | 97 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 39 | 40 | 12.1 | 7.9 | 100 | 1.16 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 37 | . | 65 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 97 | 103 | 18.1 | 11.6 | 100 | 1.57 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 24 | 41 | 59 | 80 | 177 |
|  | Female 50+ | 95 | 108 | 25.9 | 13.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 25 | 70 | 92 | 192 | 240 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 37 | 39 | 16.4 | 9.8 | 100 | 1.16 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 34 | 48 |  | 130 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 18.8 | 13.3 | 100 | 1.05 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 36 | 60 | 85 | 87 |
|  | Male 50+ | 80 | 91 | 25.0 | 14.3 | 100 | 1.05 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 57 | 94 | 126 | 127 |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 31.9 | 24.8 | 100 | 8.91 | . | . | 14 | 23 | 45 | . | . |  | 60 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-64. Consumption, per capita, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \end{aligned}$ Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 77 | 726 | 9.6 |  | 69.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 24 | 31 | 61 | 66 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 91 | 689 | 34.5 |  | 89.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 40 | 66 | 80 | 425 | 494 |
|  | Female 45+ | 94 | 694 | 26.7 | . | 87.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 41 | 63 | 95 | 142 | 172 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 14 | 119 | 11.9 | . | 70.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 30 |  | 31 |
|  | Male 45+ | 144 | 1061 | 36.1 | . | 91.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 22 | 45 | 80 | 113 | 176 | 311 |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 6.9 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 31 | . | 32 |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 2751 | 2787 | 13.0 | . | 39.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 43 | 54 | 102 | 501 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 3799 | 3486 | 30.5 | . | 52.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 81 | 114 | 250 | 1358 |
|  | Female 45+ | 2833 | 2553 | 27.8 | . | 55.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 43 | 76 | 104 | 195 | 606 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 1783 | 1646 | 32.5 | . | 45.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 83 | 130 | 325 | 2339 |
|  | Male 45+ | 5020 | 4539 | 33.1 |  | 53.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 43 | 87 | 132 | 260 | 1508 |
|  | Unknown | 995 | 941 | 16.4 | . | 39.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 47 | 71 | 177 | 304 |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 146 | 1017 | 9.6 | . | 93.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 33 | 53 | 109 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 147 | 968 | 22.5 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 32 | 41 | 429 | 489 |
|  | Female 45+ | 203 | 978 | 22.4 | . | 95.4 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 53 | 82 | 129 | 140 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 63 | 292 | 8.6 |  | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 29 | 40 | 59 |
|  | Male 45+ | 276 | 1583 | 20.6 | . | 98.1 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 25 | 48 | 59 | 99 | 167 |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 0.0 | . | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 121 | 116 | 15.6 | . | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 21 | 34 | 59 | . | 97 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 124 | 129 | 15.5 |  | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 59 | 87 | 177 |
|  | Female 45+ | 128 | 144 | 22.3 |  | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 24 | 53 | 87 | 176 | 240 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 45 | 47 | 17.8 | . | 100 | 1.16 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 34 | 66 | 129 | 130 |
|  | Male 45+ | 177 | 196 | 20.9 |  | 96.1 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 43 | 84 | 121 | 127 |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 24.0 | . | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 38 | . | . |  | 60 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-65. Consumption, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 54 | 503 | 13.9 | 9.6 | 100 | 0.63 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 44 | 63 | 66 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 82 | 614 | 38.7 | 19.7 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 23 | 46 | 66 | 87 | 419 | 494 |
|  | Female 45+ | 82 | 604 | 30.7 | 17.2 | 100 | 0.34 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 22 | 45 | 70 | 96 | 142 | 172 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 10 | 84 | 16.9 | 14.9 | 100 | 6.35 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 24 | 29 | 31 | . | 31 |
|  | Male 45+ | 132 | 974 | 39.3 | 25.9 | 100 | 1.72 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 24 | 47 | 83 | 119 | 178 | 311 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 100 | 0.31 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 30 | . | . | 32 |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 1102 | 1113 | 32.6 | 24.2 | 100 | 1.01 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 23 | 43 | 64 | 75 | 134 | 501 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 1996 | 1825 | 58.2 | 36.0 | 100 | 1.54 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 38 | 65 | 111 | 164 | 364 | 1358 |
|  | Female 45+ | 1588 | 1428 | 49.6 | 34.6 | 100 | 0.17 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 96 | 141 | 236 | 606 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 813 | 747 | 71.6 | 39.7 | 100 | 0.35 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 40 | 71 | 136 | 199 | 669 | 2339 |
|  | Male 45+ | 2678 | 2431 | 61.7 | 40.5 | 100 | 0.34 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 43 | 71 | 130 | 182 | 348 | 1508 |
|  | Unknown | 389 | 369 | 41.8 | 30.2 | 100 | 1.50 | 9 | 16 | 19 | 24 | 47 | 85 | 134 | 211 | 304 |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 138 | 948 | 10.2 | 6.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 20 | 33 | 53 | 109 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 140 | 920 | 23.7 | 10.8 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 19 | 32 | 41 | 400 | 489 |
|  | Female 45+ | 189 | 932 | 23.5 | 13.9 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 26 | 54 | 83 | 127 | 140 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 59 | 270 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 100 | 1.05 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 30 | 41 | 59 |
|  | Male 45+ | 269 | $1552$ | 21.0 | 14.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 26 | 48 | 59 | 98 | 167 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 1.05 | . | . | - | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 113 | 108 | 16.7 | 8.8 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 37 | 62 | . | 97 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 116 | 121 | 16.5 | 10.5 | 100 | 1.16 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 36 | 60 | 78 | 177 |
|  | Female 45+ | 121 | 137 | 23.5 | 12.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 25 | 54 | 88 | 179 | 240 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 45 | 47 | 17.8 | 10.4 | 100 | 1.16 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 34 | 63 | 127 | 130 |
|  | Male 45+ | 170 | 188 | 21.8 | 13.8 | 100 | 1.05 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 26 | 45 | 85 | 121 | 127 |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 31.9 | 24.8 | 100 | 8.91 | . | . | 14 | 23 | 45 | . | . | . | 60 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-66. Consumption, per capita, by state and acquisition method (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Bought | 431 | 3388 | 25.8 |  | 84.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 33 | 59 | 83 | 168 | 494 |
|  | Caught | 431 | 3388 | 0.7 |  | 16.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 37 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 26.5 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 33 | 66 | 85 | 169 | 494 |
| FL | Bought | 17181 | 15952 | 23.4 | . | 46.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 65 | 95 | 210 | 2317 |
|  | Caught | 17181 | 15952 | 3.7 | . | 7.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 87 | 1315 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 27.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 228 | 2339 |
| mN | Bought | 841 | 4900 | 11.7 | . | 89.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 29 | 45 | 87 | 136 |
|  | Caught | 841 | 4900 | 6.4 | . | 60.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 19 | 70 | 396 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 18.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 103 | 489 |
| ND | Bought | 602 | 639 | 12.9 | . | 89.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 30 | 53 | 95 | 173 |
|  | Caught | 602 | 639 | 6.1 |  | 68.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 27 | 46 | 127 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 19.0 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 64 | 122 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-67. Consumption, consumers only, by state and acquisition method (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Bought | 368 | 2845 | 30.7 | 17.1 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 39 | 66 | 92 | 178 | 494 |
|  | Caught | 75 | 568 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 100 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 14 | 33 | 37 |
|  | All | 369 | 2854 | 31.4 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 68 | 94 | 178 | 494 |
| FL | Bought | 7966 | 7419 | 50.3 | 32.9 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 34 | 57 | 100 | 142 | 278 | 2317 |
|  | Caught | 1402 | 1160 | 51.1 | 31.8 | 100 | 1.03 | 6 | 10 | 22 | 32 | 53 | 108 | 147 | 273 | 1315 |
|  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 54.6 | 35.1 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 108 | 157 | 317 | 2339 |
| MN | Bought | 758 | 4402 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 30 | 47 | 89 | 136 |
|  | Caught | 594 | 2968 | 10.5 | 4.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 28 | 90 | 396 |
|  | All | 796 | 4623 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 105 | 489 |
| ND | Bought | 537 | 570 | 14.4 | 7.5 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 35 | 58 | 103 | 173 |
|  | Caught | 409 | 439 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 23 | 32 | 53 | 127 |
|  | All | 570 | 606 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 46 | 68 | 123 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-68. Consumption, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-68. Consumption, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Household <br> Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 89 | 373 | 18.2 | - | 90.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 41 | 77 |  | 136 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 328 | 1802 | 10.6 | . | 84.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 47 | 71 | 92 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 11.5 | . | 93.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 25 | 44 | 87 | 96 |
|  |  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 11.5 | . | 91.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 29 | 31 | 55 | 56 |
| mN | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 89 | 373 | 10.3 | . | 70.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 25 | 72 |  | 86 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 328 | 1802 | 8.7 | . | 65.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 24 | 103 | 396 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 4.8 | . | 55.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 18 | 38 | 163 |
|  |  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 2.4 | . | 56.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 22 | 37 |
| ND | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 53 | 56 | 18.3 | . | 83.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 75 | 90 | 130 | 133 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 252 | 268 | 13.0 | . | 89.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 30 | 59 | 98 | 173 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 239 | 251 | 11.7 | . | 90.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 30 | 46 | 68 | 122 |
|  |  | Unknown | 58 | 63 | 12.2 | . | 86.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 19 | 21 | 35 | 134 | 140 |
| ND | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 53 | 56 | 6.2 | . | 56.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 121 | 127 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 252 | 268 | 4.6 | . | 59.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 60 | 88 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 239 | 251 | 7.6 |  | 76.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 28 | 47 | 55 |
|  |  | Unknown | 58 | 63 | 7.0 | . | 86.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 18 | 91 | 99 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-69. Consumption, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-69. Consumption, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (as-consumed g/day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-70. Consumption, per capita, by state and habitat (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Habitat | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 431 | 3388 | 0.9 |  | 36.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 32 |
|  | Estuarine | 431 | 3388 | 7.3 | . | 75.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 26 | 77 | 265 |
|  | Marine | 431 | 3388 | 18.2 | . | 84.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 23 | 45 | 56 | 140 | 282 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 26.5 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 33 | 66 | 85 | 169 | 494 |
| FL | Freshwater | 17181 | 15952 | 2.5 | . | 9.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 56 | 433 |
|  | Estuarine | 17181 | 15952 | 6.2 | . | 26.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 34 | 82 | 1199 |
|  | Marine | 17181 | 15952 | 18.4 | . | 39.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 47 | 79 | 173 | 2339 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 27.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 228 | 2339 |
| MN | Freshwater | 841 | 4900 | 6.4 | . | 60.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 6 | 14 | 19 | 70 | 396 |
|  | Estuarine | 841 | 4900 | 1.2 | . | 67.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 24 |
|  | Marine | 841 | 4900 | 10.5 | . | 89.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 27 | 40 | 75 | 136 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 18.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 103 | 489 |
| ND | Freshwater | 602 | 639 | 6.1 | . | 68.7 | 0.00 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 27 | 46 | 127 |
|  | Estuarine | 602 | 639 | 1.2 | . | 70.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 32 |
|  | Marine | 602 | 639 | 11.7 |  | 89.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 25 | 47 | 95 | 172 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 19.0 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 64 | 122 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-71. Consumption, consumers only, by state and habitat (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Habitat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Samp } \\ & \text { NC } \end{aligned}$ | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 161 | 1230 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 100 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 28 | 32 |
|  | Estuarine | 333 | 2550 | 9.7 | 3.5 | 100 | 0.01 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 36 | 94 | 265 |
|  | Marine | 368 | 2846 | 21.7 | 11.7 | 100 | 0.06 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 27 | 49 | 61 | 154 | 282 |
|  | All | 369 | 2854 | 31.4 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 68 | 94 | 178 | 494 |
| FL | Freshwater | 1609 | 1443 | 27.9 | 17.6 | 100 | 1.80 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 87 | 173 | 433 |
|  | Estuarine | 4624 | 4145 | 24.0 | 14.9 | 100 | 0.04 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 27 | 48 | 68 | 146 | 1199 |
|  | Marine | 6681 | 6303 | 46.4 | 29.4 | 100 | 0.04 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 28 | 48 | 87 | 130 | 260 | 2339 |
|  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 54.6 | 35.1 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 108 | 157 | 317 | 2339 |
| MN | Freshwater | 594 | 2968 | 10.5 | 4.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 28 | 90 | 396 |
|  | Estuarine | 560 | 3309 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 100 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 24 |
|  | Marine | 758 | 4402 | 11.7 | 6.6 | 100 | 0.29 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 29 | 46 | 77 | 136 |
|  | All | 796 | 4623 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 105 | 489 |
| ND | Freshwater | 409 | 439 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 23 | 32 | 53 | 127 |
|  | Estuarine | 422 | 451 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 32 |
|  | Marine | $537$ | $570$ | $13.1$ | $6.5$ | 100 | 0.29 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 29 | 54 | 100 | 172 |
|  | All | 570 | 606 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 46 | 68 | 123 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-72. Consumption, per capita, by state and fish/shellfish type (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-73. Consumption, consumers only, by state and fish/shellfish type (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-74. Consumption, consumers only, by state and type of fish consumed (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-75. Consumption, consumers only, by state and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-76. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and income (uncooked g/day)

| State | Household <br> Income | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 41 | 312 | 31.4 | . | 86.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 37 | 69 | 107 | 229 | 230 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 155 | 1179 | 43.2 | . | 85.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 47 | 93 | 163 | 445 | 651 |
|  | \$50000- | 219 | 1778 | 32.4 | . | 83.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 44 | 87 | 101 | 179 | 187 |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 31.2 |  | 73.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 51 | 58 | 89 |  | 113 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 36.1 |  | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 46 | 90 | 113 | 227 | 651 |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 3746 | 3408 | 32.3 | . | 45.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 84 | 136 | 280 | 2605 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 7353 | 6814 | 35.4 | . | 50.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 94 | 139 | 294 | 1679 |
|  | \$50000- | 3417 | 3250 | 38.7 | . | 56.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 53 | 100 | 147 | 345 | 1676 |
|  | Unknown | 2665 | 2480 | 26.8 |  | 45.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 79 | 115 | 225 | 780 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 34.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 89 | 137 | 288 | 2605 |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 89 | 373 | 37.9 | . | 91.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 18 | 36 | 120 | 149 | . | 187 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 328 | 1802 | 25.7 | . | 91.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 26 | 52 | 72 | 144 | 651 |
|  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 21.8 |  | 97.9 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 44 | 64 | 129 | 222 |
|  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 18.6 |  | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 22 | 42 | 47 | 78 | 78 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 24.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 52 | 73 | 138 | 651 |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 53 | 56 | 32.7 | . | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 25 | 119 | 147 | 225 | 236 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 252 | 268 | 23.4 |  | 93.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 26 | 70 | 86 | 155 | 234 |
|  | \$50000- | 239 | 251 | 25.6 |  | 97.1 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 33 | 54 | 78 | 125 | 168 |
|  | Unknown | 58 | 63 | 25.7 | . | 93.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 33 | 46 | 55 | 294 | 320 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 25.3 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 86 | 163 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-77. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and income (uncooked g/day)

| State | Household <br> Income | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | ```Percent Eating Fish``` | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 36 | 270 | 36.2 | 22.6 | 100 | 0.88 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 40 | 76 | 111 | . | 230 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 135 | 1006 | 50.6 | 25.9 | 100 | 0.46 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 29 | 58 | 100 | 165 | 460 | 651 |
|  | \$50000- | 186 | 1490 | 38.7 | 23.0 | 100 | 0.42 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 26 | 55 | 92 | 109 | 180 | 187 |
|  | Unknown | 12 | 87 | 42.5 | 34.9 | 100 | 7.75 | 9 | 13 | 20 | 44 | 53 | 63 | 94 | . | 113 |
|  | All | 369 | 2854 | 42.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 0.42 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 54 | 93 | 123 | 232 | 651 |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 1707 | 1537 | 71.5 | 45.2 | 100 | 1.11 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 48 | 79 | 144 | 212 | 401 | 2605 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 3709 | 3404 | 70.9 | 45.6 | 100 | 0.57 | 11 | 16 | 26 | 46 | 81 | 139 | 203 | 433 | 1679 |
|  | \$50000- | 1960 | 1844 | 68.3 | 44.5 | 100 | 0.28 | 9 | 15 | 25 | 43 | 80 | 137 | 194 | 439 | 1676 |
|  | Unknown | 1190 | 1126 | 59.0 | 40.9 | 100 | 0.56 | 9 | 14 | 25 | 42 | 69 | 120 | 177 | 291 | 780 |
|  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 68.7 | 44.6 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 44 | 79 | 137 | 199 | 407 | 2605 |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 79 | 339 | 41.7 | 25.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 23 | 48 | 127 | 152 | . | 187 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 302 | 1645 | 28.1 | 14.0 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 27 | 53 | 73 | 161 | 651 |
|  | \$50000- | 321 | 2109 | 22.3 | 13.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 44 | 66 | 129 | 222 |
|  | Unknown | 94 | 530 | 20.0 | 14.6 | 100 | 1.36 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 17 | 24 | 44 | 47 | 78 | 78 |
|  | All | 796 | 4623 | 25.5 | 14.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 27 | 52 | 74 | 140 | 651 |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 50 | 53 | 34.8 | 18.3 | 100 | 1.55 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 120 | 144 | 222 | 236 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 250 | 25.1 | 13.4 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 28 | 71 | 89 | 159 | 234 |
|  | \$50000- | 231 | 244 | 26.4 | 17.2 | 100 | 1.16 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 18 | 33 | 54 | 78 | 125 | 168 |
|  | Unknown | 54 | 59 | 27.6 | 16.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 34 | 47 | 55 | 284 | 320 |
|  | All | 570 | 606 | 26.7 | 15.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 61 | 91 | 164 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-78. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/day)

| State | Race Ethnicity | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 380 | 2968 | 36.8 | . | 88.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 48 | 89 | 108 | 216 | 651 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 9 | 66 | 5.9 | . | 33.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 21 |  | . | 22 |
|  | Hispanic | 20 | 178 | 41.1 | . | 70.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 34 | 151 | 202 |  | 236 |
|  | Asian | 20 | 155 | 34.1 | . | 54.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 31 | 37 | 214 |  | 453 |
|  | Unknown | 2 | 21 | 0.9 | . | 43.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 36.1 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 46 | 90 | 113 | 227 | 651 |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 12957 | 11887 | 34.1 | . | 50.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 44 | 91 | 137 | 284 | 1770 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1842 | 1690 | 33.6 | . | 46.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 87 | 139 | 273 | 1676 |
|  | Hispanic | 1673 | 1719 | 33.1 | . | 45.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 85 | 136 | 291 | 2605 |
|  | Asian | 260 | 216 | 42.2 | . | 50.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 53 | 94 | 161 | 401 | 1455 |
|  | American Indian | 122 | 114 | 49.7 | . | 50.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 58 | 125 | 229 | 539 | 554 |
|  | Unknown | 327 | 325 | 30.2 | . | 42.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 83 | 115 | 267 | 1232 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 34.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 89 | 137 | 288 | 2605 |
| MN |  | 779 | 4473 |  | . | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 49 | 69 | 130 | 222 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | $0.0$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . |  |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 60.0 | . | 100 | 21.3 | . | . | 21 | 22 | 108 | . | . | . | 137 |
|  | Asian | 7 | 94 | 13.1 | . | 100 | 6.50 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | . | . | . | . | 13 |
|  | American Indian | 12 | 78 | 148.7 | . | 100 | 5.35 | . | . | 6 | 14 | 104 | . | . | . | 651 |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 29.7 | . | 100 | 3.11 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 22 | 28 | 74 | 82 | . | 104 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 24.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 52 | 73 | 138 | 651 |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 551 | 585 | 25.5 | . | 94.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 93 | 165 | 320 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 21.0 | . | 100 | 20.2 | . | . | 20 | 21 |  | . | . | . | 22 |
|  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 17.2 | . | 100 | 4.19 |  | . | 4 | 17 | 30 | . |  | . | 30 |
|  | American Indian | 13 | 13 | 30.7 |  | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 33 | 62 | . | . | 87 |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 21.7 | . | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 37 | 50 | 64 | . | 71 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 25.3 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 86 | 163 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-79. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-80. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/day)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Race Ethnicity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \text { N } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | Pop Q5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q50 | Pop Q75 | Pop Q90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 302 | 2236 | 44.1 | . | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 29 | 59 | 96 | 123 | 225 | 651 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 5 | 34 | 11.5 | . | 64.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 21 | . |  |  | 22 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 13 | 103 | 65.2 |  | 82.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 113 | 193 | 227 |  | 236 |
|  |  | Asian | 16 | 119 | 40.4 | . | 51.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 94 | 305 |  | 453 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 2.0 | . | 100 | 1.97 | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | 2 |
| CT | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 67 | 633 | 15.2 | . | 75.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 22 | 35 | 67 | 85 | 90 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 4 | 32 | 0.0 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 7 | 74 | 7.6 | . | 54.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 23 |  |  | 24 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 36 | 13.2 | . | 66.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 22 |  |  |  | 28 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 12 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | . | . |  |  | . |
| CT | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 11 | 99 | 9.5 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 41 | 43 |  | 44 |
| FL | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 10502 | 9460 | 38.6 | . | 53.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 53 | 105 | 152 | 303 | 1770 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1229 | 1080 | 41.4 |  | 50.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 57 | 114 | 158 | 327 | 1676 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 1283 | 1280 | 38.8 | . | 46.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 100 | 154 | 403 | 2605 |
|  |  | Asian | 211 | 171 | 50.8 |  | 55.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 58 | 110 | 184 | 558 | 1455 |
|  |  | American Indian | 103 | 95 | 51.9 | . | 47.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 146 | 245 | 544 | 554 |
|  |  | Unknown | 261 | 252 | 35.9 | . | 45.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 85 | 121 | 310 | 1232 |
| FL | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 2455 | 2427 | 16.5 | . | 40.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 55 | 75 | 133 | 611 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 613 | 610 | 19.8 | . | 38.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 58 | 87 | 194 | 990 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 390 | 440 | 16.4 |  | 39.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 51 | 74 | 206 | 342 |
|  |  | Asian | 49 | 45 | 9.3 | . | 29.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 42 | 55 | . | 58 |
|  |  | American Indian | 19 | 19 | 38.6 | . | 67.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 71 | 96 | . | . | 104 |
|  |  | Unknown | 66 | 73 | 10.6 | . | 34.1 | 0.00 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 24 | 38 | 52 | . | 56 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-80. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/day) (continued)

|  | State | Adult <br> Child | Race Ethnicity | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MN | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 604 | 3298 | 24.9 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 55 | 77 | 133 | 222 |
|  |  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 60.0 | . | 100 | 21.3 |  |  | 21 | 22 | 108 | . |  |  | 137 |
|  |  |  | Asian | 4 | 35 | 13.0 |  | 100 | 6.50 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  |  |  | American Indian | 10 | 57 | 203.0 |  | 100 | 7.21 |  | 8 | 13 | 24 | 365 |  |  |  | 651 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 28 | 173 | 30.7 | . | 100 | 3.11 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 18 | 33 | 78 | 88 | . | 104 |
|  | MN | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 169 | 1113 | 12.6 | . | 91.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 43 | 68 | 145 |
|  |  |  | Asian | 3 | 59 | 13.2 |  | 100 | 13.2 |  | . | . | . |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  |  |  | American Indian | 2 | 22 | 5.4 | . | 100 | 5.35 |  |  | . | . |  | , |  |  | 5 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 11 | 31 | 23.7 | . | 100 | 3.11 | 4 | 12 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 28 | 47 | . | 56 |
|  | MN | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 6 | 62 | 0.0 | . | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | ND | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 389 | 430 | 27.3 | . | 95.6 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 31 | 61 | 111 | 169 | 320 |
| T1 |  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 21.0 | . | 100 | 20.2 | . | . | 20 | 21 |  | . | . | . | 22 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ |  |  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 17.2 |  | 100 | 4.19 |  | . | 4 | 17 | 30 |  | . | . | 30 |
| $\omega$ |  |  | American Indian | 8 | 8 | 37.9 |  | 100 | 17.0 |  | 18 | 27 | 32 | 34 | 81 |  | . | 87 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 27 | 30 | 21.0 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 36 | 54 | 66 | . | 71 |
|  | ND | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 155 | 147 | 19.9 | . | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 22 | 44 | 79 | . | 130 |
|  |  |  | American Indian | 5 | 5 | 18.0 |  | 100 | 0.78 |  |  | 9 | 21 | 29 |  |  |  | 29 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 5 | 5 | 25.4 | . | 100 | 5.31 | . | . | 12 | 36 | 38 | . | . | . | 38 |
|  | ND | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 7 | 7 | 32.0 | . | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 51 | . | . | . | 79 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-81. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-81. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-82. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and gender (uncooked g/day)

| State | Gender | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q95 | Pop Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 205 | 1617 | 37.6 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 48 | 96 | 125 | 216 | 411 |
|  | Female | 226 | 1771 | 34.7 | . | 83.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 46 | 78 | 100 | 235 | 651 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 36.1 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 46 | 90 | 113 | 227 | 651 |
| FL | Male | 8262 | 7662 | 36.7 | . | 49.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 99 | 148 | 315 | 2605 |
|  | Female | 8110 | 7517 | 33.1 | . | 51.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 87 | 128 | 260 | 1770 |
|  | Unknown | 809 | 774 | 18.3 | . | 36.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 56 | 86 | 216 | 346 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 34.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 89 | 137 | 288 | 2605 |
| MN | Male | 422 | 2497 | 21.8 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 26 | 46 | 71 | 129 | 222 |
|  | Female | 419 | 2403 | 26.5 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 26 | 54 | 80 | 179 | 651 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 24.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 52 | 73 | 138 | 651 |
| ND | Male | 288 | 306 | 27.0 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 33 | 61 | 95 | 159 | 174 |
|  | Female | 314 | 332 | 23.8 | . | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 27 | 57 | 82 | 169 | 320 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 25.3 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 86 | 163 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-83. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and gender (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-84. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, adult/child and gender (uncooked g/day)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Gender | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | Male | 156 | 1158 | 46.8 | - | 90.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 105 | 156 | 222 | 411 |
|  |  | Female | 181 | 1344 | 41.9 | . | 88.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 26 | 56 | 88 | 120 | 358 | 651 |
| CT | Child | Male | 43 | 403 | 15.0 | . | 71.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 34 | 68 | 90 | 90 |
|  |  | Female | 40 | 384 | 12.1 | . | 66.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 28 | 40 | . | 68 |
| CT | Unknown | Male | 6 | 55 | 8.6 | . | 78.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 39 | . | . | 44 |
|  |  | Female | 5 | 44 | 10.6 | . | 72.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | . | . | . | 40 |
| FL | Adult | Male | 6589 | 5975 | 42.2 | . | 51.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 55 | 111 | 171 | 367 | 2605 |
|  |  | Female | 6507 | 5908 | 37.4 | . | 54.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 52 | 100 | 141 | 277 | 1770 |
|  |  | Unknown | 493 | 456 | 20.9 | . | 36.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 61 | 95 | 217 | 346 |
| FL | Child | Male | 1673 | 1687 | 17.0 | . | 39.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 55 | 77 | 137 | 296 |
|  |  | Female | 1603 | 1609 | 17.3 | . | 41.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 54 | 77 | 138 | 990 |
|  |  | Unknown | 316 | 317 | 14.6 | . | 35.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 36 | 63 | 162 | 244 |
| MN | Adult | Male | 319 | 1787 | 25.7 |  | 97.7 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 32 | 63 | 78 | 131 | 222 |
|  |  | Female | 331 | 1827 | 30.9 | . | 95.6 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 29 | 61 | 96 | 300 | 651 |
| MN | Child | Male | 98 | 669 | 12.8 | . | 94.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 46 | 76 | 145 |
|  |  | Female | 87 | 556 | 12.7 | . | 89.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 34 | 55 | 145 |
| MN | Unknown | Male | 5 | 42 | 0.0 | . | 2.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
|  |  | Female | 1 | 20 | 0.0 | . |  |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . |
| ND | Adult | Male | 200 | 222 | 27.5 | . | 96.5 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 34 | 57 | 111 | 168 | 174 |
|  |  | Female | 230 | 253 | 26.5 | . | 94.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 61 | 88 | 219 | 320 |
| ND | Child | Male | 83 | 79 | 25.4 | . | 97.9 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 32 | 73 | 90 |  | 130 |
|  |  | Female | 82 | 78 | 14.6 | . | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 35 | 44 | 128 | 130 |
| ND | Unknown | Male | 5 | 5 | 28.5 | . | 64.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 42 | . | . |  | 79 |
|  |  | Female | 2 | 2 | 40.0 | - | 100 | 11.9 | . | . | . | 40 | 74 | . | . |  | 79 |

[^1]Table E-85. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, adult/child and gender (uncooked g/day)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Gender | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | Male | 141 | 1047 | 51.8 | 34.7 | 100 | 2.58 | 9 | 12 | 20 | 34 | 61 | 110 | 164 | 233 | 411 |
|  |  | Female | 161 | 1190 | 47.3 | 25.6 | 100 | 0.46 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 30 | 60 | 91 | 123 | 385 | 651 |
| CT | Child | Male | 31 | 286 | 21.1 | 13.8 | 100 | 0.83 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 27 | 46 | 71 |  | 90 |
|  |  | Female | 27 | 256 | 18.1 | 13.6 | 100 | 1.77 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 23 | 35 | 47 | . | 68 |
| CT | Unknown | Male | 5 | 44 | 11.0 | 3.6 | 100 | 0.91 | . | . | 1 | 3 | 8 | . | . | . | 44 |
|  |  | Female | 4 | 32 | 14.5 | 5.5 | 100 | 0.42 | . | 0 | 2 | 6 | 20 | . | . |  | 40 |
| FL | Adult | Male | 3405 | 3096 | 81.5 | 52.0 | 100 | 0.56 | 12 | 18 | 28 | 55 | 91 | 168 | 239 | 489 | 2605 |
|  |  | Female | 3545 | 3212 | 68.7 | 45.2 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 15 | 26 | 46 | 82 | 136 | 194 | 387 | 1770 |
|  |  | Unknown | 181 | 166 | 57.6 | 41.2 | 100 | 5.41 | 14 | 20 | 23 | 33 | 65 | 130 | 183 | 311 | 346 |
| FL | Child | Male | 661 | 663 | 43.4 | 32.6 | 100 | 1.71 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 29 | 55 | 84 | 111 | 195 | 296 |
|  |  | Female | 661 | 662 | 41.9 | 30.1 | 100 | 1.43 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 29 | 51 | 82 | 104 | 230 | 990 |
|  |  | Unknown | 113 | 113 | 40.9 | 31.7 | 100 | 4.57 | 12 | 20 | 22 | 27 | 47 | 86 | 104 | 228 | 244 |
| MN | Adult | Male | 310 | 1746 | 26.3 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 32 | 63 | 78 | 131 | 222 |
|  |  | Female | 313 | 1746 | 32.4 | 16.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 18 | 30 | 62 | 101 | 249 | 651 |
| MN | Child | Male | 92 | 633 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 46 | 74 | 145 |
|  |  | Female | 80 | 498 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 19 | 27 | 34 | 55 | 145 |
| MN | Unknown | Male | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 100 | 1.40 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
|  |  | Female | . | . |  |  | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| ND | Adult | Male | 193 | 214 | 28.5 | 17.6 | 100 | 1.40 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 34 | 59 | 112 | 168 | 174 |
|  |  | Female | 217 | 239 | 28.0 | 16.0 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 31 | 66 | 93 | 226 | 320 |
| ND | Child | Male | 81 | 77 | 25.9 | 15.1 | 100 | 1.16 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 33 | 74 | 90 |  | 130 |
|  |  | Female | 74 | 70 | 16.3 | 9.1 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 36 | 49 | 128 | 130 |
| ND | Unknown | Male | 3 | 3 | 44.2 | 38.8 | 100 | 25.1 | . | . | 26 | 32 | 57 | . | . | . | 79 |
|  |  | Female | 2 | 2 | 40.0 | 26.2 | 100 | 11.9 | . | . | . | 25 | 65 | . | . | . | 79 |

[^2]Table E-86. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and education (uncooked g/day)

| State | Respondent <br> Education | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \end{array}$ Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 33.1 |  | 100 | 2.11 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 38 | 107 | 119 |  | 120 |
|  | High School | 89 | 682 | 32.5 | . | 85.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 53 | 90 | 102 | 141 | 163 |
|  | Some College | 66 | 504 | 46.9 | . | 89.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 55 | 97 | 165 | 378 | 411 |
|  | College grad | 263 | 2105 | 34.8 | . | 81.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 40 | 78 | 117 | 229 | 651 |
| FL | 0-11 years | 1744 | 1523 | 29.7 | . | 40.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 85 | 132 | 259 | 1373 |
|  | High School | 5677 | 5118 | 33.2 | . | 47.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 86 | 135 | 300 | 2605 |
|  | Some College | 5261 | 4948 | 35.2 | . | 51.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 45 | 89 | 137 | 275 | 1628 |
|  | College grad | 4367 | 4240 | 35.5 | . | 53.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 50 | 99 | 139 | 275 | 1676 |
|  | Unknown | 132 | 123 | 32.8 | . | 39.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 116 | 171 | 238 | 267 |
| MN | 0-11 years | 46 | 214 | 31.9 | . | 86.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 28 | 114 | 148 | . | 158 |
|  | High School | 236 | 1332 | 22.5 | . | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 26 | 45 | 79 | 135 | 187 |
|  | Some College | 260 | 1330 | 30.3 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 30 | 61 | 73 | 407 | 651 |
|  | College grad | 256 | 1808 | 20.0 | . | 95.0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 24 | 42 | 66 | 126 | 143 |
|  | Unknown | 43 | 215 | 22.0 | . | 99.7 | 0.00 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 26 | 42 | 49 | 56 | 59 |
| ND | 0-11 years | 31 | 35 | 18.6 | . | 87.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 17 | 48 | 73 |  | 111 |
|  | High School | 143 | 144 | 30.7 | . | 97.4 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 35 | 80 | 120 | 173 | 236 |
|  | Some College | 195 | 212 | 22.9 | . | 93.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 33 | 54 | 79 | 117 | 162 |
|  | College grad | 196 | 206 | 25.0 |  | 96.8 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 64 | 116 | 154 | 234 |
|  | Unknown | 37 | 42 | 26.2 | . | 87.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 36 | 49 | 71 | . | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-87. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and education (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT , MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-88. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop <br> Arith <br> Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 28 | 274 | 5.7 |  | 47.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 26 |  | 34 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 28 | 259 | 16.0 | . | 80.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 25 | 35 | 48 |  | 67 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 22 | 201 | 19.3 | . | 86.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 23 | 46 | 78 |  | 90 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 17 | 141 | 47.2 | . | 79.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 49 | 81 | 261 | . | 453 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 88 | 656 | 43.1 | . | 87.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 55 | 90 | 113 | 493 | 651 |
|  | Female 50+ | 79 | 579 | 38.6 | . | 90.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 29 | 58 | 89 | 121 | 201 | 230 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 14 | 119 | 16.6 | . | 70.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 27 | 37 | 42 | . | 44 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 81 | 600 | 56.6 | . | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 35 | 75 | 133 | 173 | 355 | 411 |
|  | Male 50+ | 63 | 461 | 40.1 | . | 90.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 28 | 51 | 93 | 115 | 207 | 213 |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 9.5 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 41 | 43 |  | 44 |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 1107 | 1138 | 13.5 | . | 37.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 49 | 58 | 102 | 283 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 943 | 962 | 14.9 |  | 39.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 51 | 68 | 107 | 239 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 865 | 849 | 21.7 | . | 42.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 59 | 87 | 181 | 611 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 1636 | 1518 | 33.5 | . | 48.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 85 | 137 | 315 | 1455 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 2546 | 2296 | 43.4 |  | 56.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 55 | 113 | 158 | 345 | 1770 |
|  | Female 50+ | 2367 | 2142 | 33.0 |  | 55.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 49 | 92 | 126 | 222 | 459 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 1702 | 1567 | 41.8 |  | 45.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 108 | 169 | 474 | 2605 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 2673 | 2411 | 44.2 |  | 52.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 56 | 116 | 186 | 387 | 1628 |
|  | Male 50+ | 2347 | 2127 | 39.1 |  | 54.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 55 | 104 | 147 | 332 | 1545 |
|  | Unknown | 995 | 941 | 20.5 | . | 39.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 59 | 87 | 217 | 387 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-88. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/day) (continued)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 47 | 437 | 10.6 | . | 97.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 22 | 26 | 81 | 145 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 47 | 299 | 12.7 | . | 88.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 27 | 57 | 90 | 145 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 68 | 337 | 15.9 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 21 | 38 | 52 | 60 | 90 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 47 | 331 | 49.2 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 50 | 322 |  | 651 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 133 | 723 | 20.6 | . | 95.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 18 | 27 | 43 | 53 | 81 | 143 |
|  | Female 50+ | 162 | 854 | 31.0 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 34 | 73 | 114 | 176 | 187 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 55 | 275 | 11.1 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 24 | 39 | 51 | 79 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 120 | 731 | 27.1 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 35 | 46 | 79 | 138 | 222 |
|  | Male 50+ | 156 | 852 | 27.8 | . | 99.8 | 0.00 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 32 | 67 | 78 | 125 | 144 |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 0.0 | . | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 31 | 30 | 14.5 | . | 91.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 38 | 115 | . | 130 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 46 | 44 | 19.7 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 25 | 37 | 83 |  | 130 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 58 | 54 | 26.3 | . | 97.2 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 34 | 72 | 88 |  | 130 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 45 | 47 | 13.8 | . | 85.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 28 | 44 |  | 87 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 99 | 105 | 23.7 | . | 98.4 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 31 | 54 | 78 | 131 | 236 |
|  | Female 50+ | 102 | 116 | 32.3 | . | 93.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 84 | 121 | 261 | 320 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 37 | 39 | 21.8 | . | 100 | 1.55 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 46 | 67 | . | 174 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 92 | 99 | 24.5 | . | 97.8 | 0.00 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 31 | 47 | 79 | 113 | 116 |
|  | Male 50+ | 85 | 97 | 31.4 | . | 94.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 37 | 70 | 124 | 169 | 170 |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 32.0 | . | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 51 | . | . | . | 79 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-89. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/day)

|  | State | Age-Gender Category | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CT | Child 1-5 | 14 | 131 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 100 | 0.83 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 26 | 31 |  | 34 |
|  |  | Child 6-10 | 22 | 207 | 20.0 | 14.6 | 100 | 1.77 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 30 | 38 | 51 | . | 67 |
|  |  | Child 11-15 | 19 | 173 | 22.4 | 15.4 | 100 | 2.68 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 23 | 52 | 78 | . | 90 |
|  |  | Female 16-29 | 14 | 113 | 59.1 | 33.1 | 100 | 4.28 | 6 | 10 | 22 | 29 | 61 | 86 | 214 | . | 453 |
|  |  | Female 30-49 | 77 | 571 | 49.4 | 25.1 | 100 | 0.46 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 30 | 60 | 91 | 125 | 445 | 651 |
|  |  | Female 50+ | 72 | 526 | 42.5 | 25.1 | 100 | 0.88 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 31 | 60 | 96 | 122 | 196 | 230 |
|  |  | Male 16-29 | 10 | 84 | 23.5 | 20.9 | 100 | 8.71 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 22 | 32 | 39 | 43 | . | 44 |
|  |  | Male 30-49 | 75 | 557 | 60.9 | 39.4 | 100 | 2.58 | 7 | 13 | 22 | 38 | 85 | 141 | 176 | 334 | 411 |
|  |  | Male 50+ | 57 | 417 | 44.2 | 31.9 | 100 | 2.61 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 32 | 58 | 95 | 118 | 208 | 213 |
|  |  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 100 | 0.42 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 42 | . | . | 44 |
| $\stackrel{T}{1} \stackrel{1}{N}$ | FL | Child 1-5 | 421 | 428 | 36.0 | 27.5 | 100 | 1.71 | 6 | 9 | 21 | 27 | 49 | 71 | 86 | 131 | 283 |
|  |  | Child 6-10 | 376 | 378 | 37.8 | 28.9 | 100 | 1.43 | 7 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 51 | 76 | 92 | 140 | 239 |
|  |  | Child 11-15 | 365 | 364 | 50.7 | 37.0 | 100 | 2.29 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 38 | 58 | 100 | 133 | 233 | 611 |
|  |  | Female 16-29 | 791 | 739 | 68.8 | 42.3 | 100 | 2.29 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 43 | 76 | 140 | 195 | 437 | 1455 |
|  |  | Female 30-49 | 1446 | 1292 | 77.1 | 49.1 | 100 | 2.14 | 11 | 16 | 27 | 51 | 88 | 148 | 215 | 549 | 1770 |
|  |  | Female 50+ | 1315 | 1192 | 59.3 | 42.7 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 43 | 77 | 121 | 173 | 257 | 459 |
|  |  | Male 16-29 | 785 | 719 | 91.0 | 51.4 | 100 | 0.57 | 11 | 17 | 27 | 53 | 90 | 173 | 256 | 801 | 2605 |
|  |  | Male 30-49 | 1406 | 1275 | 83.6 | 54.4 | 100 | 1.71 | 12 | 20 | 28 | 55 | 99 | 179 | 249 | 460 | 1628 |
|  |  | Male 50+ | $1272$ | 1156 | 71.9 | 48.8 | 100 | 0.56 | 12 | 18 | 27 | 53 | 83 | 143 | 200 | 429 | 1545 |
|  |  | Unknown | 389 | 369 | 52.4 | 38.0 | 100 | 2.14 | 13 | 21 | 23 | 29 | 59 | 103 | 168 | 257 | 387 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-89. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/day) (continued)

| State | Age-Gender Category | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 46 | 425 | 10.9 | 6.8 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 22 | 26 | 55 | 145 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 265 | 14.3 | 7.7 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 17 | 29 | 58 | 93 | 145 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 63 | 313 | 17.2 | 11.1 | 100 | 1.36 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 22 | 42 | 52 | 60 | 90 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 44 | 318 | 51.3 | 13.4 | 100 | 1.40 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 54 | 218 |  | 651 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 128 | 686 | 21.7 | 15.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 27 | 43 | 53 | 81 | 143 |
|  | Female 50+ | 150 | 810 | 32.7 | 18.9 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 36 | 79 | 116 | 173 | 187 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 52 | 254 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 100 | 1.40 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 26 | 40 | 51 | 79 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 115 | 702 | 28.2 | 20.1 | 100 | 1.55 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 20 | 36 | 46 | 81 | 136 | 222 |
|  | Male 50+ | 154 | 851 | 27.8 | 18.9 | 100 | 0.78 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 32 | 67 | 78 | 123 | 144 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 100 | 1.40 | . | . | . | . | . |  |  |  | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 28 | 28 | 15.9 | 5.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 40 | 123 |  | 130 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 43 | 41 | 21.3 | 13.2 | 100 | 1.86 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 30 | 37 | 84 |  | 130 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 56 | 53 | 27.1 | 16.8 | 100 | 1.36 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 35 | 73 | 85 |  | 130 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 39 | 40 | 16.1 | 10.5 | 100 | 1.55 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 50 |  | 87 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 97 | 103 | 24.1 | 15.5 | 100 | 2.10 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 32 | 54 | 78 | 106 | 236 |
|  | Female 50+ | 95 | 108 | 34.5 | 18.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 34 | 93 | 123 | 257 | 320 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 37 | 39 | 21.8 | 13.1 | 100 | 1.55 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 46 | 64 |  | 174 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 25.0 | 17.8 | 100 | 1.40 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 32 | 48 | 80 | 113 | 116 |
|  | Male 50+ | 80 | 91 | 33.3 | 19.1 | 100 | 1.40 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 40 | 76 | 125 | 169 | 170 |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 42.5 | 33.1 | 100 | 11.9 | . | . | 19 | 31 | 60 | . | . | . | 79 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-90. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{array}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 77 | 726 | 13.1 |  | 69.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 32 | 41 | 84 | 90 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 91 | 689 | 46.8 | . | 89.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 56 | 89 | 107 | 558 | 651 |
|  | Female 45+ | 94 | 694 | 36.1 |  | 87.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 56 | 86 | 121 | 189 | 230 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 14 | 119 | 16.6 |  | 70.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 27 | 37 | 42 |  | 44 |
|  | Male 45+ | 144 | 1061 | 49.4 |  | 91.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 32 | 60 | 109 | 164 | 239 | 411 |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 9.5 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 41 | 43 |  | 44 |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 2751 | 2787 | 16.2 |  | 39.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 54 | 72 | 127 | 611 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 3799 | 3486 | 38.4 |  | 52.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 50 | 104 | 147 | 304 | 1770 |
|  | Female 45+ | 2833 | 2553 | 34.8 |  | 55.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 51 | 96 | 130 | 256 | 678 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 1783 | 1646 | 40.5 |  | 45.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 106 | 162 | 432 | 2605 |
|  | Male 45+ | 5020 | 4539 | 41.8 |  | 53.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 56 | 112 | 171 | 345 | 1628 |
|  | Unknown | 995 | 941 | 20.5 |  | 39.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 59 | 87 | 217 | 387 |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 146 | 1017 | 12.7 |  | 93.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 26 | 44 | 71 | 145 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 147 | 968 | 30.0 |  | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 25 | 43 | 55 | 572 | 651 |
|  | Female 45+ | 203 | 978 | 29.8 |  | 95.4 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 18 | 32 | 71 | 109 | 172 | 187 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 63 | 292 | 11.5 |  | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 24 | 39 | 54 | 79 |
|  | Male 45+ | 276 | 1583 | 27.5 |  | 98.1 | 0.00 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 33 | 64 | 79 | 132 | 222 |
|  | Unknown | 6 | 62 | 0.0 |  | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 121 | 116 | 20.8 |  | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 29 | 46 | 79 |  | 130 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 124 | 129 | 20.6 | , | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 27 | 40 | 79 | 115 | 236 |
|  | Female 45+ | 128 | 144 | 29.8 |  | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 32 | 71 | 116 | 235 | 320 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 45 | 47 | 23.7 |  | 100 | 1.55 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 24 | 46 | 88 | 172 | 174 |
|  | Male 45+ | 177 | 196 | 27.9 |  | 96.1 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 34 | 57 | 113 | 161 | 170 |
|  | Unknown | 7 | 7 | 32.0 | . | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 51 | . | . | . | 79 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-91. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 54 | 503 | 19.0 | 13.3 | 100 | 0.83 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 23 | 37 | 59 | 87 | 90 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 82 | 614 | 52.5 | 27.6 | 100 | 0.52 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 61 | 90 | 120 | 549 | 651 |
|  | Female 45+ | 82 | 604 | 41.5 | 23.6 | 100 | 0.46 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 59 | 95 | 124 | 188 | 230 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 10 | 84 | 23.5 | 20.9 | 100 | 8.71 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 22 | 32 | 39 | 43 | . | 44 |
|  | Male 45+ | 132 | 974 | 53.8 | 36.0 | 100 | 2.58 | 9 | 12 | 20 | 34 | 62 | 113 | 165 | 243 | 411 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 100 | 0.42 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 42 | . | . | 44 |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 1102 | 1113 | 40.5 | 30.2 | 100 | 1.43 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 28 | 54 | 79 | 100 | 164 | 611 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 1996 | 1825 | 73.4 | 46.0 | 100 | 2.14 | 10 | 14 | 26 | 47 | 83 | 144 | 203 | 468 | 1770 |
|  | Female 45+ | 1588 | 1428 | 62.3 | 43.9 | 100 | 0.28 | 11 | 16 | 26 | 43 | 78 | 124 | 176 | 293 | 678 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 813 | 747 | 89.3 | 50.6 | 100 | 0.57 | 11 | 17 | 27 | 52 | 88 | 172 | 250 | 719 | 2605 |
|  | Male 45+ | 2678 | 2431 | 78.0 | 51.7 | 100 | 0.56 | 12 | 18 | 28 | 54 | 90 | 164 | 231 | 437 | 1628 |
|  | Unknown | 389 | 369 | 52.4 | 38.0 | 100 | 2.14 | 13 | 21 | 23 | 29 | 59 | 103 | 168 | 257 | 387 |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 138 | 948 | 13.7 | 8.0 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 17 | 27 | 44 | 71 | 145 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 140 | 920 | 31.6 | 14.4 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 26 | 43 | 55 | 533 | 651 |
|  | Female 45+ | 189 | 932 | 31.3 | 18.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 34 | 72 | 110 | 169 | 187 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 59 | 270 | 12.5 | 7.5 | 100 | 1.40 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 26 | 40 | 55 | 79 |
|  | Male 45+ | 269 | 1552 | 28.0 | 19.4 | 100 | 0.78 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 19 | 34 | 64 | 79 | 131 | 222 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 100 | 1.40 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 113 | 108 | 22.3 | 11.7 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 31 | 50 | 82 | . | 130 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 116 | 121 | 22.1 | 14.1 | 100 | 1.55 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 27 | 47 | 79 | 104 | 236 |
|  | Female 45+ | 121 | 137 | 31.4 | 16.9 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 33 | 72 | 117 | 239 | 320 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 45 | 47 | 23.7 | 13.9 | 100 | 1.55 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 24 | 46 | 84 | 170 | 174 |
|  | Male 45+ | 170 | 188 | 29.0 | 18.4 | 100 | 1.40 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 114 | 161 | 170 |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 6 | 42.5 | 33.1 | 100 | 11.9 | . | . | 19 | 31 | 60 | . | . | . | 79 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-92. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and acquisition method (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-93. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and acquisition method (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-94. Fish consumption, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (uncooked g/day)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Household <br> Income | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 41 | 312 | 30.5 | . | 86.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 36 | 69 | 107 | 229 | 230 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 155 | 1179 | 41.9 | . | 84.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 22 | 46 | 88 | 163 | 445 | 651 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 219 | 1778 | 31.8 | . | 83.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 44 | 78 | 101 | 179 | 186 |
|  |  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 31.1 | . | 73.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 51 | 57 | 89 | . | 113 |
| CT | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 41 | 312 | 0.9 | . | 13.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |  | 16 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 155 | 1179 | 1.3 | . | 17.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 37 | 51 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 219 | 1778 | 0.6 | . | 17.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 21 |
|  |  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 0.1 | . | 6.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . | 1 |
| FL | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 3746 | 3408 | 27.7 | . | 41.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 76 | 116 | 258 | 2576 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 7353 | 6814 | 30.0 | . | 46.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 82 | 121 | 262 | 1679 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 3417 | 3250 | 33.8 | . | 53.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 43 | 90 | 132 | 284 | 1676 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2665 | 2480 | 24.1 | . | 43.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 69 | 110 | 222 | 603 |
| FL | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 3746 | 3408 | 4.6 | . | 6.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 109 | 1458 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 7353 | 6814 | 5.5 | . | 7.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 123 | 1422 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 3417 | 3250 | 5.0 | . | 8.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 112 | 1126 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2665 | 2480 | 2.7 | . | 5.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 58 | 780 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-94. Fish consumption, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (uncooked g/day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-95. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-95. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (uncooked g/day) (continued)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Household <br> Income | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 78 | 338 | 26.7 | 15.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 24 | 60 | 111 |  | 181 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 285 | 1521 | 16.7 | 9.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 42 | 66 | 98 | 123 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 312 | 2023 | 16.4 | 9.1 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 39 | 61 | 114 | 127 |
|  |  | Unknown | 83 | 520 | 16.8 | 11.4 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 18 | 39 | 43 | 74 | 75 |
| MN | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 56 | 261 | 19.6 | 8.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 62 | 103 |  | 114 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 233 | 1189 | 17.5 | 6.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 38 | 282 | 528 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 235 | 1195 | 11.6 | 6.8 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 24 | 35 | 72 | 217 |
|  |  | Unknown | 70 | 323 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 100 | 0.78 | . | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 41 | 50 |
| ND | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 45 | 47 | 29.1 | 15.7 | 100 | 2.33 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 24 | 104 | 124 | 173 | 177 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 226 | 240 | 19.3 | 9.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 45 | 86 | 137 | 230 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 216 | 228 | 17.1 | 9.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 42 | 62 | 91 | 163 |
|  |  | Unknown | 50 | 54 | 18.9 | 9.9 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 26 | 30 | 53 | 183 | 187 |
| ND | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 29 | 32 | 14.8 | 7.4 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 19 | 51 | . | 170 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 152 | 160 | 10.2 | 5.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 43 | 84 | 117 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 179 | 193 | 13.1 | 7.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 18 | 36 | 45 | 64 | 73 |
|  |  | Unknown | 49 | 55 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 18 | 25 | 123 | 132 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-96. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and habitat (uncooked g/day)

| State | Habitat | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \end{aligned}$ Mean | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 431 | 3388 | 1.2 | . | 36.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 41 |
|  | Estuarine | 431 | 3388 | 10.2 | . | 75.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 22 | 35 | 99 | 347 |
|  | Marine | 431 | 3388 | 24.7 | . | 84.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 31 | 60 | 79 | 186 | 365 |
|  | All | 431 | 3388 | 36.1 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 46 | 90 | 113 | 227 | 651 |
| FL | Freshwater | 17181 | 15952 | 3.2 | . | 9.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 69 | 577 |
|  | Estuarine | 17181 | 15952 | 8.3 | . | 26.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 46 | 108 | 1543 |
|  | Marine | 17181 | 15952 | 22.5 | . | 39.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 59 | 97 | 220 | 2605 |
|  | All | 17181 | 15952 | 34.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 89 | 137 | 288 | 2605 |
| MN | Freshwater | 841 | 4900 | 8.5 | . | 60.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 19 | 25 | 94 | 528 |
|  | Estuarine | 841 | 4900 | 1.6 | . | 67.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 32 |
|  | Marine | 841 | 4900 | 14.0 | . | 89.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 37 | 54 | 100 | 181 |
|  | All | 841 | 4900 | 24.1 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 52 | 73 | 138 | 651 |
| ND | Freshwater | 602 | 639 | 8.2 | . | 68.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 36 | 62 | 170 |
|  | Estuarine | 602 | 639 | 1.5 | . | 70.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 42 |
|  | Marine | 602 | 639 | 15.6 | . | 89.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 33 | 63 | 126 | 229 |
|  | All | 602 | 639 | 25.3 | - | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 86 | 163 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-97. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and habitat (uncooked g/day)

| State | Habitat | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | ```Percent Eating Fish``` | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 161 | 1230 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 100 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 37 | 41 |
|  | Estuarine | 333 | 2550 | 13.5 | 5.3 | 100 | 0.01 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 28 | 57 | 121 | 347 |
|  | Marine | 368 | 2846 | 29.4 | 16.1 | 100 | 0.09 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 18 | 36 | 65 | 82 | 205 | 365 |
|  | All | 369 | 2854 | 42.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 0.42 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 54 | 93 | 123 | 232 | 651 |
| FL | Freshwater | 1609 | 1443 | 35.4 | 22.1 | 100 | 2.20 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 26 | 44 | 83 | 115 | 225 | 577 |
|  | Estuarine | 4624 | 4145 | 32.1 | 20.3 | 100 | 0.07 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 21 | 37 | 64 | 90 | 191 | 1543 |
|  | Marine | 6681 | 6303 | 57.1 | 36.6 | 100 | 0.07 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 60 | 111 | 162 | 329 | 2605 |
|  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 68.7 | 44.6 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 44 | 79 | 137 | 199 | 407 | 2605 |
| MN | Freshwater | 594 | 2968 | 14.0 | 6.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 24 | 37 | 120 | 528 |
|  | Estuarine | 560 | 3309 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 100 | 0.29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 32 |
|  | Marine | 758 | 4402 | 15.6 | 8.8 | 100 | 0.39 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 39 | 62 | 102 | 181 |
|  | All | 796 | 4623 | 25.5 | 14.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 27 | 52 | 74 | 140 | 651 |
| ND | Freshwater | 409 | 439 | 11.9 | 6.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 30 | 43 | 71 | 170 |
|  | Estuarine | 422 | 451 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 100 | 0.29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 42 |
|  | Marine | 537 | 570 | 17.5 | 8.7 | 100 | 0.39 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 38 | 72 | 133 | 229 |
|  | All | 570 | 606 | 26.7 | 15.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 61 | 91 | 164 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-98. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and fish/shellfish type (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-99. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and fish/shellfish type (uncooked g/day)

|  | State | Finfish or Shellfish Type | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CT | Shellfish | 326 | 2502 | 17.9 | 8.0 | 100 | 0.02 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 39 | 61 | 159 | 370 |
|  |  | Finfish | 360 | 2777 | 26.8 | 13.1 | 100 | 0.08 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 35 | 62 | 75 | 203 | 288 |
|  |  | All | 369 | 2854 | 42.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 0.42 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 54 | 93 | 123 | 232 | 651 |
|  | FL | Shellfish | 3633 | 3289 | 31.3 | 20.2 | 100 | 0.14 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 65 | 92 | 181 | 514 |
|  |  | Finfish | 7061 | 6549 | 67.3 | 46.1 | 100 | 5.41 | 16 | 22 | 27 | 43 | 76 | 130 | 188 | 403 | 2605 |
|  |  | All | 8566 | 7912 | 68.7 | 44.6 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 44 | 79 | 137 | 199 | 407 | 2605 |
|  | MN | Shellfish | 560 | 3309 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 43 | 64 |
|  |  | Finfish | 794 | 4606 | 22.2 | 11.8 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 50 | 70 | 135 | 615 |
|  |  | All | 796 | 4623 | 25.5 | 14.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 27 | 52 | 74 | 140 | 651 |
| $\stackrel{\substack{1 \\ \stackrel{1}{\omega} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | ND | Shellfish | 422 | 451 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 31 | 85 |
|  |  | Finfish | 565 | 601 | 23.6 | 13.1 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 27 | 55 | 84 | 142 | 304 |
|  |  | All | 570 | 606 | 26.7 | 15.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 61 | 91 | 164 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-100. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and type of fish consumed (uncooked g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-101. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (uncooked g/day)

|  | Eats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Freshwater/ <br> Estuarine Caught <br> Fish | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| CT | Sometimes | 53 | 408 | 47.2 | 28.8 | 100 | 1.97 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 31 | 82 | 101 | 126 | 185 | 187 |
|  | Never | 316 | 2446 | 42.1 | 23.6 | 100 | 0.42 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 53 | 92 | 121 | 242 | 651 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 369 | 2854 | 42.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 0.42 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 54 | 93 | 123 | 232 | 651 |
| FL | Exclusively | 288 | 205 | 53.7 | 33.7 | 100 | 1.43 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 34 | 58 | 121 | 174 | 289 | 289 |
|  | Sometimes | 539 | 424 | 136.6 | 93.3 | 100 | 5.36 | 27 | 36 | 55 | 87 | 156 | 257 | 402 | 680 | 1770 |
|  | Never | 7739 | 7282 | 65.2 | 43.0 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 16 | 25 | 43 | 76 | 129 | 185 | 366 | 2605 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 8566 | 7912 | 68.7 | 44.6 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 44 | 79 | 137 | 199 | 407 | 2605 |
| MN | Exclusively | 38 | 221 | 9.1 | 5.3 | 100 | 1.55 | . | 2 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 54 |
|  | Sometimes | 556 | 2747 | 32.4 | 20.0 | 100 | 1.36 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 19 | 36 | 67 | 98 | 170 | 651 |
|  | Never | 202 | 1655 | 16.3 | 9.4 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 21 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 145 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 796 | 4623 | 25.5 | 14.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 27 | 52 | 74 | 140 | 651 |
| ND | Exclusively | 33 | 36 | 17.8 | 11.2 | 100 | 1.55 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 33 | . | 170 |
|  | Sometimes | 376 | 403 | 31.1 | 20.5 | 100 | 2.14 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 37 | 71 | 111 | 169 | 320 |
|  | Never | 161 | 167 | 18.0 | 8.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 45 | 87 | 126 | 162 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 570 | 606 | 26.7 | 15.5 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 61 | 91 | 164 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-102. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and income (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-103. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and income (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-104. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Race Ethnicity | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | Pop Q5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q50 | Pop Q75 | Pop Q90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 370 | 2888 | 0.407 | - | 88.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.57 | 0.98 | 1.27 | 2.01 | 4.53 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 9 | 66 | 0.050 | . | 33.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.17 |  | . | 0.18 |
|  | Hispanic | 20 | 178 | 0.483 | . | 70.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 1.53 | 2.29 | . | 2.93 |
|  | Asian | 19 | 143 | 0.609 | . | 59.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 1.33 | 3.80 | . | 6.99 |
|  | Unknown | 2 | 21 | 0.006 | . | 43.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | . |  | . | 0.01 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.410 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 6.99 |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 11607 | 11113 | 0.456 | . | 51.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.58 | 1.24 | 1.84 | 3.79 | 23.38 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1603 | 1522 | 0.535 | . | 48.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 1.49 | 2.24 | 5.03 | 14.41 |
|  | Hispanic | 1556 | 1619 | 0.463 | . | 45.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 1.20 | 1.96 | 4.06 | 34.37 |
|  | Asian | 223 | 199 | 0.576 | . | 49.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 1.33 | 1.78 | 5.31 | 24.77 |
|  | American Indian | 104 | 98 | 0.625 |  | 53.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.70 | 1.95 | 3.61 | 4.96 | 4.97 |
|  | Unknown | 274 | 276 | 0.431 | . | 45.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 1.17 | 1.71 | 3.63 | 12.15 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.467 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 1.27 | 1.91 | 3.99 | 34.37 |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 775 | 4469 | 0.269 | . | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.59 | 0.90 | 1.64 | 8.00 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . |  | . |  | . | . | . |  |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.645 |  | 100 | 0.19 |  |  | 0.21 | 0.27 | 1.18 |  |  | . | 1.48 |
|  | Asian | 7 | 94 | 0.534 | . | 100 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.84 | . | . | . | 1.09 |
|  | American Indian | 12 | 78 | 2.078 | . | 100 | 0.07 |  | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 1.38 | . | . | . | 9.21 |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 0.322 | . | 100 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.79 | 1.02 | 1.67 | 1.94 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.309 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.81 | 9.21 |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 528 | 559 | 0.325 | . | 95.1 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.72 | 1.21 | 2.22 | 6.75 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.250 | . | 100 | 0.23 | . | . |  | 0.25 | 0.27 | . | . | . | 0.28 |
|  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 0.198 | . | 100 | 0.04 | . | . | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.34 | - | . | . | 0.38 |
|  | American Indian | 9 | 9 | 0.303 | . | 100 | 0.05 |  | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.69 |  | . | 0.80 |
|  | Unknown | 32 | 36 | 0.296 |  | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.71 | 0.94 | . | 1.68 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.322 |  | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 2.17 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-105. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Race Ethnicity | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 331 | 2562 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.62 | 1.05 | 1.31 | 2.08 | 4.53 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.12 |  |  | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.17 |  |  | . | 0.18 |
|  | Hispanic | 15 | 126 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 100 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.98 | 1.86 | 2.47 |  | 2.93 |
|  | Asian | 12 | 85 | 1.03 | 0.47 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 1.03 | 1.95 | 4.78 |  | 6.99 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 100 | 0.01 |  | . | . |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |
|  | All | 362 | 2804 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 2.40 | 6.99 |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 5957 | 5734 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 1.03 | 1.82 | 2.61 | 5.26 | 23.38 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 785 | 736 | 1.11 | 0.71 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.73 | 1.30 | 2.27 | 3.21 | 5.92 | 14.41 |
|  | Hispanic | 721 | 742 | 1.01 | 0.59 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 1.12 | 2.08 | 2.81 | 6.95 | 34.37 |
|  | Asian | 110 | 99 | 1.16 | 0.69 | 100 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.67 | 1.18 | 1.78 | 3.29 | 11.34 | 24.77 |
|  | American Indian | 57 | 52 | 1.17 | 0.69 | 100 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.69 | 1.27 | 3.13 | 4.70 | 4.97 | 4.97 |
|  | Unknown | 127 | 127 | 0.94 | 0.61 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 1.08 | 1.73 | 2.43 | 6.83 | 12.15 |
|  | All | 7757 | 7490 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 1.07 | 1.89 | 2.73 | 5.70 | 34.37 |
| MN | White, Non-Hispanic | 732 | 4194 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.98 | 1.65 | 8.00 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | . |  |  |  | 100 |  | . | . |  |  |  | . | . | . | . |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.65 | 0.42 | 100 | 0.19 |  |  | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.88 | . | . | . | 1.48 |
|  | Asian | 7 | 94 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 100 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.80 | . | . | . | 1.09 |
|  | American Indian | 12 | 78 | 2.08 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.07 | . | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.37 |  | . | . | 9.21 |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.79 | 1.01 | 1.37 | 1.94 |
|  | All | 793 | 4621 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 1.08 | 1.84 | 9.21 |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 501 | 532 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.74 | 1.23 | 2.25 | 6.75 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.23 | . | . |  | 0.25 | 0.27 |  | . | . | 0.28 |
|  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.04 |  | . | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.34 |  | . | . | 0.38 |
|  | American Indian | 9 | 9 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.05 |  | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.61 | . | . | 0.80 |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 33 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.44 | 0.73 | 0.95 | . | 1.68 |
|  | All | 546 | 580 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 2.20 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-106. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-106. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kgday) (continued)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Race Ethnicity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 602 | 3296 | 0.248 |  | 96.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.55 | 0.81 | 1.48 | 1.88 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.000 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | . | . |  |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.645 |  | 100 | 0.19 |  |  | 0.21 | 0.27 | 1.18 | . |  |  | 1.48 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 35 | 0.164 | . | 100 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.20 | . |  |  | 0.20 |
|  |  | American Indian | 10 | 57 | 2.816 | . | 100 | 0.07 | . | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 5.12 | . | . |  | 9.21 |
|  |  | Unknown | 28 | 173 | 0.319 |  | 100 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.85 | 1.03 |  | 1.07 |
| MN | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 168 | 1112 | 0.346 |  | 91.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.47 | 0.75 | 1.15 | 2.32 | 8.00 |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 59 | 0.752 | . | 100 | 0.44 | . | . | 0.51 | 0.73 | 1.00 | . | . |  | 1.09 |
|  |  | American Indian | 2 | 22 | 0.129 | . | 100 | 0.11 | . |  | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.15 | . | . |  | 0.15 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 31 | 0.339 | . | 100 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.71 |  | 1.94 |
| MN | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 5 | 61 | 0.003 | . | 1.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| ND | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 375 | 414 | 0.288 | . | 95.9 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 1.11 | 1.97 | 4.23 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.250 | . | 100 | 0.23 | . | . | . | 0.25 | 0.27 | . | . |  | 0.28 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 0.198 | . | 100 | 0.04 | . |  | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.34 | . | . |  | 0.38 |
|  |  | American Indian | 6 | 6 | 0.348 |  | 100 | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.44 | . |  |  | 0.80 |
|  |  | Unknown | 27 | 30 | 0.211 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.65 |  | 0.69 |
| ND | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 150 | 143 | 0.435 |  | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 1.04 | 1.43 | 4.96 | 6.75 |
|  |  | American Indian | 3 | 3 | 0.208 | . | 100 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.31 |  |  |  | 0.32 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 5 | 0.810 | . | 100 | 0.18 |  | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.85 | 1.19 | . | . |  | 1.68 |
| ND | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 3 | 0.107 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | . | . |  | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-107. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Race Ethnicity | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { th Geom } \\ & \text { n Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | 5 Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 272 | 2017 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.030 | 0.080 | 0.16 | 60.32 | 0.62 | 0.99 | 1.32 | 2.17 | 4.53 |  |  |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 22 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.12 |  | 0 | 0.13 | 30.15 | 0.17 |  |  |  | 0.18 |  |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 11 | 85 | 0.89 | 0.50 | 100 | 0.08 | 0.080 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 70.55 | 1.31 | 2.22 | 2.82 | . | 2.93 |  |  |
|  |  | Asian | 9 | 61 | 1.04 | 0.36 | 100 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.070 | 0.16 | 60.32 | 0.50 | 2.46 | . | . | 6.99 |  |  |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 100 | 0.01 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0.01 |  |  |
| CT | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 50 | 469 | 0.50 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.060 | 0.080 | 0.19 | 90.34 | 0.69 | 1.13 | 1.31 | 1.58 | 1.59 |  |  |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | . | . |  |  | 100 |  | . | . |  |  |  | . | . | . |  |  |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 4 | 41 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.16 | . | 0 | 0.19 | 90.25 | 0.31 | . | . | . | 0.35 |  |  |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 24 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 100 | 0.55 | . | 0 | 0.65 | 50.93 | 1.30 | . | . | . | 1.51 |  |  |
|  |  | Unknown | . | . | . |  | 100 | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |  |  |
| CT | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 9 | 75 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.02 | 20.04 | 0.14 | 0.39 | . | . | 0.45 |  |  |
| FL | Adult |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.93 |  | 2.30 |  | $23.38$ |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 557 | - 516 |  | 0.870 | 0.59 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.15 | $50$ | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.59 | 1.08 | 1.74 | 2.32 | 4.96 | 14.41 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 571 | - 575 |  | 0.940 | 0.55 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.11 |  | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.56 | 1.01 | 1.74 | 2.53 | 7.35 | 34.37 |
|  |  | Asian | 97 | 76 |  | 1.240 | 0.72 | 100 | 0.10 | 0.20 |  | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.67 | 1.20 | 1.86 | 3.46 | 13.27 | 24.77 |
|  |  | American Indian | 49 | - 44 |  | 1.00 | 0.62 | 100 | 0.06 | 0.12 |  | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.69 | 1.02 | 2.37 | 4.14 |  | 4.97 |
|  |  | Unknown | 109 | - 107 |  | 0.880 | 0.56 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.13 |  | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.95 | 1.50 | 2.34 | 7.65 | 12.15 |
| FL | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 915 | -907 |  | 1.350 | 0.84 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.16 |  | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.84 | 1.66 | 2.83 | 3.70 | 8.74 | 14.63 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 228 | - 220 |  | 1.651 | 1.13 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.25 |  | 0.37 | 0.67 | 1.12 | 2.07 | 3.22 | 4.93 | 10.77 | 12.77 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 150 | 168 |  | 1.240 | 0.76 | 100 | 0.06 | 0.13 |  | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.72 | 1.53 | 2.79 | 3.79 | 6.60 | 9.49 |
|  |  | Asian | 13 | 13 |  | 0.630 | 0.55 | 100 | 0.20 | 0.25 |  | 0.33 0. | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.83 | 1.13 | 1.35 | 1.66 | 1.96 |
|  |  | American Indian | 8 | 8 |  | 2.031 | 1.19 | 100 | 0.14 |  |  | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.31 | 3.44 | 4.63 |  | . | 4.96 |
|  |  | Unknown | 18 | - 20 |  | 1.260 | 0.90 | 100 | 0.09 | 0.10 |  | 0.13 | 0.82 | 1.08 | 1.77 | 2.29 | 2.94 | . | 3.82 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-107. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) (continued)

| State | Adult Child | Race Ethnicity | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith <br> Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 576 | 3175 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.81 | 1.49 | 1.88 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  | 100 |  | . | . |  |  |  |  | . | . |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.65 | 0.42 | 100 | 0.19 |  | . | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.88 | . | . | . | 1.48 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 35 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.20 | . | . | . | 0.20 |
|  |  | American Indian | 10 | 57 | 2.82 | 0.50 | 100 | 0.07 |  | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 1.46 |  |  | . | 9.21 |
|  |  | Unknown | 28 | 173 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.84 | 1.01 | . | 1.07 |
| MN | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 155 | 1018 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.53 | 0.84 | 1.31 | 2.33 | 8.00 |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 59 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 100 | 0.44 |  | . | 0.51 | 0.73 | 0.97 |  | . | . | 1.09 |
|  |  | American Indian | 2 | 22 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.11 |  | . | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.15 |  | . | . | 0.15 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 31 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.70 | . | 1.94 |
| MN | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.18 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0.18 |
| ND | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 359 | 397 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 1.14 | 1.99 | 4.23 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.23 | . | . |  | 0.25 | 0.27 | . | . | . | 0.28 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.04 |  | . | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.34 |  | . | . | 0.38 |
|  |  | American Indian | 6 | 6 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.40 |  |  |  | 0.80 |
|  |  | Unknown | 25 | 28 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.65 | . | 0.69 |
| ND | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 141 | 134 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 1.05 | 1.47 | 4.71 | 6.75 |
|  |  | American Indian | 3 | 3 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.05 |  | . | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.31 |  | . | . | 0.32 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 5 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 100 | 0.18 | . | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.85 | 1.11 | . | . | . | 1.68 |
| ND | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.34 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-108. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Gender | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Mean | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 201 | 1581 | 0.385 | . | 86.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.53 | 1.05 | 1.34 | 1.80 | 2.21 |
|  | Female | 219 | 1715 | 0.434 | . | 84.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.57 | 0.95 | 1.30 | 2.96 | 6.99 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.410 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 6.99 |
| FL | Male | 7911 | 7568 | 0.441 | . | 49.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 1.22 | 1.84 | 3.73 | 34.37 |
|  | Female | 7426 | 7229 | 0.496 | . | 51.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.64 | 1.32 | 1.98 | 4.18 | 24.77 |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 30 | 0.409 | . | 48.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 1.41 | 2.38 |  | 2.68 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.467 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 1.27 | 1.91 | 3.99 | 34.37 |
| MN | Male | 419 | 2495 | 0.264 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.33 | 0.58 | 1.06 | 1.51 | 8.00 |
|  | Female | 418 | 2402 | 0.356 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.65 | 1.10 | 1.87 | 9.21 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.309 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.81 | 9.21 |
| ND | Male | 276 | 293 | 0.319 | . | 96.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.68 | 1.20 | 1.87 | 4.29 |
|  | Female | 299 | 317 | 0.324 |  | 94.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.73 | 1.16 | 2.26 | 6.75 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.322 |  | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 2.17 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-109. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Gender | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 175 | 1362 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.58 | 1.11 | 1.40 | 1.91 | 2.21 |
|  | Female | 187 | 1441 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.68 | 1.03 | 1.35 | 3.38 | 6.99 |
|  | All | 362 | 2804 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 2.40 | 6.99 |
| FL | Male | 3880 | 3723 | 0.90 | 0.56 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 1.03 | 1.85 | 2.65 | 5.66 | 34.37 |
|  | Female | 3861 | 3753 | 0.95 | 0.60 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.11 | 1.94 | 2.78 | 6.04 | 24.77 |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 14 | 0.85 | 0.50 | 100 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.69 | 1.19 | 2.37 | 2.61 |  | 2.68 |
|  | All | 7757 | 7490 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 1.07 | 1.89 | 2.73 | 5.70 | 34.37 |
| MN | Male | 401 | 2378 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.52 | 8.00 |
|  | Female | 392 | 2243 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.70 | 1.22 | 1.88 | 9.21 |
|  | All | 793 | 4621 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 1.08 | 1.84 | 9.21 |
| ND | Male | 265 | 282 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.74 | 1.22 | 1.88 | 4.29 |
|  | Female | 281 | 298 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.74 | 1.20 | 2.31 | 6.75 |
|  | All | 546 | 580 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 2.20 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-110. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, adult/child and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Gender | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop <br> Arith Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q90 | Pop Q95 | Pop Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | Male | 155 | 1152 | 0.395 | - | 90.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.31 | 2.09 | 2.21 |
|  |  | Female | 176 | 1308 | 0.474 | . | 88.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.63 | 0.98 | 1.34 | 3.83 | 6.99 |
| CT | Child | Male | 40 | 373 | 0.400 | . | 74.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.57 | 1.16 | 1.41 | . | 1.59 |
|  |  | Female | 38 | 364 | 0.330 | . | 70.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.94 | 1.13 | . | 1.51 |
| CT | Unknown | Male | 6 | 55 | 0.065 | . | 78.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.28 | . |  | 0.32 |
|  |  | Female | 5 | 44 | 0.120 | . | 72.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.18 |  |  | . | 0.45 |
| FL | Adult | Male | 6244 | 5887 | 0.419 | . | 52.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 1.10 | 1.66 | 3.44 | 34.37 |
|  |  | Female | 5828 | 5626 | 0.466 | . | 54.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 1.23 | 1.77 | 3.60 | 24.77 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 5 | 0.347 | . | 60.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.73 | 0.90 | 1.54 | 2.04 | 2.11 |
| FL | Child | Male | 1667 | 1681 | 0.517 | . | 39.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 1.68 | 2.39 | 4.94 | 14.63 |
|  |  | Female | 1598 | 1603 | 0.601 | . | 41.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 1.76 | 2.89 | 6.35 | 14.03 |
|  |  | Unknown | 24 | 25 | 0.421 | . | 45.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 1.67 | 2.46 | . | 2.68 |
| MN | Adult | Male | 318 | 1786 | 0.225 | . | 97.7 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 1.33 | 1.88 |
|  |  | Female | 330 | 1826 | 0.368 |  | 95.6 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 1.13 | 3.67 | 9.21 |
| MN | Child | Male | 97 | 668 | 0.387 | . | 94.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.56 | 1.07 | 1.13 | 2.40 | 8.00 |
|  |  | Female | 87 | 556 | 0.330 | . | 89.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.65 | 1.10 | 1.86 | 5.34 |
| MN | Unknown | Male | 4 | 41 | 0.004 | . | 2.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | . | 0.18 |
|  |  | Female | 1 | 20 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| ND | Adult | Male | 194 | 215 | 0.248 | . | 96.4 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.54 | 0.85 | 1.78 | 1.92 |
|  |  | Female | 220 | 241 | 0.314 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.72 | 1.16 | 2.14 | 4.23 |
| ND | Child | Male | 79 | 76 | 0.529 | . | 97.8 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.27 | 0.59 | 1.21 | 1.57 |  | 4.29 |
|  |  | Female | 79 | 75 | 0.357 | . | 90.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.74 | 1.31 | 4.91 | 6.75 |
| ND | Unknown | Male | 3 | 3 | 0.107 |  | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | . | . | . | 0.34 |

[^3]Table E-111. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, adult/child and gender (as-consumed g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-112. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and education (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Respondent Education | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | 0-11 years | 13 | 97 | 0.325 | . | 100 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.39 | 1.04 | 1.39 |  | 1.42 |
|  | High School | 87 | 667 | 0.378 | . | 85.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 1.14 | 1.55 | 1.59 |
|  | Some College | 62 | 477 | 0.410 | . | 88.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.80 | 1.41 | 2.59 | 2.71 |
|  | College grad | 258 | 2055 | 0.425 | . | 83.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 1.03 | 1.32 | 2.57 | 6.99 |
| FL | 0-11 years | 1481 | 1387 | 0.400 | . | 41.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 1.16 | 1.69 | 3.76 | 19.86 |
|  | High School | 4992 | 4722 | 0.464 | . | 48.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 1.26 | 1.96 | 4.12 | 34.37 |
|  | Some College | 4791 | 4650 | 0.488 | . | 52.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.61 | 1.30 | 1.98 | 3.92 | 24.77 |
|  | College grad | 4012 | 3979 | 0.471 | . | 54.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 1.30 | 1.85 | 3.97 | 14.41 |
|  | Unknown | 91 | 89 | 0.464 |  | 41.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 1.57 | 2.61 | 2.75 | 2.75 |
| MN | 0-11 years | 46 | 214 | 0.340 | . | 86.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 1.23 | 1.56 |  | 1.64 |
|  | High School | 234 | 1331 | 0.290 | . | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 1.11 | 2.02 | 2.40 |
|  | Some College | 259 | 1329 | 0.407 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 0.65 | 0.95 | 8.53 | 9.21 |
|  | College grad | 255 | 1808 | 0.256 | . | 95.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 1.05 | 1.36 | 1.68 |
|  | Unknown | 43 | 215 | 0.242 | . | 99.7 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.74 | 1.94 |
| ND | 0-11 years | 29 | 32 | 0.225 | . | 86.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.65 | 0.86 |  | 1.36 |
|  | High School | 138 | 139 | 0.420 | . | 97.3 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 0.89 | 1.56 | 3.91 | 4.29 |
|  | Some College | 183 | 200 | 0.275 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.63 | 0.99 | 1.59 | 2.06 |
|  | College grad | $188$ | 197 | $0.311$ |  | 96.7 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 1.26 | 1.87 | 6.75 |
|  | Unknown | 37 | 42 | 0.345 | . | 87.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 1.32 | . | 4.23 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-113. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and education (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-114. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 26 | 253 | 0.317 |  | 51.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.58 | 0.95 | 1.47 |  | 1.59 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 26 | 239 | 0.508 |  | 86.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.89 | 1.13 | 1.29 |  | 1.53 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 21 | 193 | 0.270 | . | 85.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.89 | . | 1.32 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 17 | 141 | 0.671 | . | 79.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.71 | 1.06 | 4.02 | . | 6.99 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 85 | 634 | 0.463 | . | 86.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.62 | 1.00 | 1.36 | 3.97 | 4.53 |
|  | Female 50+ | 77 | 563 | 0.434 | . | 90.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 0.96 | 1.33 | 2.41 | 2.71 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 14 | 119 | 0.162 |  | 70.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.53 |  | 0.58 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 80 | 594 | 0.470 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.66 | 1.13 | 1.44 | 2.18 | 2.21 |
|  | Male 50+ | 63 | 461 | 0.345 | . | 90.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.86 | 1.11 | 1.43 | 1.43 |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 0.089 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.45 |  | 0.45 |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 1102 | 1134 | 0.885 | . | 37.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 2.75 | 3.97 | 8.37 | 14.63 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 938 | 956 | 0.435 | . | 39.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 1.37 | 2.03 | 3.22 | 9.36 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 864 | 848 | 0.365 | . | 42.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1.02 | 1.44 | 3.04 | 11.04 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 1537 | 1477 | 0.436 | . | 49.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 1.10 | 1.75 | 3.64 | 24.77 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 2264 | 2178 | 0.529 | . | 56.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.71 | 1.38 | 1.98 | 4.15 | 19.86 |
|  | Female 50+ | 2080 | 2025 | 0.412 | . | 56.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 1.14 | 1.62 | 2.78 | 7.41 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 1638 | 1551 | 0.441 | . | 46.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 1.11 | 1.72 | 4.28 | 34.37 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 2540 | 2383 | 0.428 | . | 53.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 1.17 | 1.77 | 3.41 | 23.38 |
|  | Male 50+ | 2206 | 2090 | 0.384 | . | 54.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.53 | 0.98 | 1.46 | 3.25 | 13.71 |
|  | Unknown | 198 | 185 | 0.352 | . | 54.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 1.22 | 3.26 | 4.54 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-114. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/kg-day) (continued)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 47 | 437 | 0.568 | . | 97.4 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.73 | 1.09 | 1.74 | 4.48 | 8.00 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 46 | 298 | 0.333 | . | 88.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.82 | 1.34 | 1.68 | 5.34 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 68 | 337 | 0.219 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.79 | 1.48 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 47 | 331 | 0.665 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.61 | 4.48 | . | 9.21 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 132 | 722 | 0.240 | . | 95.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.99 | 1.32 |
|  | Female 50+ | 162 | 854 | 0.342 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.38 | 0.90 | 1.35 | 1.75 | 1.87 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 55 | 275 | 0.099 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.74 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 120 | 731 | 0.237 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.64 | 1.48 | 1.88 |
|  | Male 50+ | 155 | 851 | 0.243 | . | 99.8 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 1.18 | 1.25 |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 61 | 0.003 | . | 1.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 30 | 30 | 0.665 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.57 | 1.56 | 3.83 | . | 6.75 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 44 | 42 | 0.513 | . | 92.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.57 | 1.14 | 1.49 | . | 4.29 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 55 | 52 | 0.397 | . | 97.1 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.52 | 1.01 | 1.24 | . | 2.26 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 42 | 43 | 0.180 | . | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.39 | 0.63 |  | 0.80 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 95 | 101 | 0.281 | . | 98.3 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 1.73 | 2.60 |
|  | Female 50+ | 99 | 112 | 0.377 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.99 | 1.47 | 2.89 | 4.23 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 36 | 38 | 0.217 | . | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.56 |  | 1.92 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 0.215 | . | 97.8 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 1.21 | 1.30 |
|  | Male 50+ | 81 | 92 | 0.288 | . | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 1.16 | 1.79 | 1.81 |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 3 | 0.107 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | . | . | . | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-115. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-115. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/kgday) (continued)

| State | Age-Gender Category | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 46 | 425 | 0.58 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.46 | 0.73 | 1.10 | 1.75 | 3.02 | 8.00 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 42 | 264 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 1.01 | 1.36 | 1.81 | 5.34 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 63 | 313 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.82 | 1.48 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 44 | 318 | 0.69 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.66 | 2.95 |  | 9.21 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 127 | 686 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.99 | 1.32 |
|  | Female 50+ | 150 | 810 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.93 | 1.37 | 1.75 | 1.87 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 52 | 254 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.74 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 115 | 702 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.64 | 1.42 | 1.88 |
|  | Male 50+ | 153 | 850 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 1.17 | 1.25 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.18 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0.18 |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 28 | 28 | 0.70 | 0.27 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.68 | 1.58 | 3.82 | . | 6.75 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 41 | 39 | 0.56 | 0.35 | 100 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 1.17 | 1.51 | . | 4.29 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 53 | 50 | 0.41 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 1.04 | 1.26 | . | 2.26 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 38 | 39 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.67 | . | 0.80 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 93 | 99 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.56 | 0.87 | 1.52 | 2.60 |
|  | Female 50+ | 92 | 104 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 1.14 | 1.52 | 2.69 | 4.23 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 36 | 38 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.56 | . | 1.92 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 88 | 95 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 1.18 | 1.30 |
|  | Male 50+ | 76 | 86 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.74 | 1.20 | 1.79 | 1.81 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.34 | . | . |  | . | . | . | . |  | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-116. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 72 | 676 | 0.374 | . | 73.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 1.28 | 1.57 | 1.59 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 88 | 668 | 0.539 | . | 88.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 0.64 | 1.06 | 1.49 | 5.67 | 6.99 |
|  | Female 45+ | 92 | 679 | 0.403 | . | 86.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.58 | 0.89 | 1.28 | 2.28 | 2.71 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 14 | 119 | 0.162 | . | 70.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.53 |  | 0.58 |
|  | Male 45+ | 143 | 1055 | 0.415 | . | 91.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 1.03 | 1.39 | 2.12 | 2.21 |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 0.089 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.45 | . | 0.45 |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 2740 | 2776 | 0.607 | - | 40.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 1.84 | 2.80 | 6.34 | 14.63 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 3477 | 3350 | 0.479 | . | 52.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.63 | 1.27 | 1.84 | 3.77 | 24.77 |
|  | Female 45+ | 2487 | 2413 | 0.437 | . | 56.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.63 | 1.16 | 1.65 | 3.08 | 11.14 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 1719 | 1629 | 0.429 | . | 45.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 1.10 | 1.70 | 4.19 | 34.37 |
|  | Male 45+ | 4746 | 4473 | 0.407 | . | 53.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 1.09 | 1.63 | 3.34 | 23.38 |
|  | Unknown | 198 | 185 | 0.352 | . | 54.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 1.22 | 3.26 | 4.54 |
| MN |  | 145 | 1016 | 0.405 | . | 93.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.57 | 1.06 | 1.33 | 2.34 |  |
|  | Female 15-44 | 146 | 968 | 0.376 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 8.43 | 9.21 |
|  | Female 45+ | 203 | 978 | 0.335 | . | 95.4 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.89 | 1.33 | 1.71 | 1.87 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 63 | 292 | 0.106 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.74 |
|  | Male 45+ | 275 | 1582 | 0.240 | . | 98.1 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 1.34 | 1.88 |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 61 | 0.003 | . | 1.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 115 | 111 | 0.523 | . | 94.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.60 | 1.19 | 1.58 | 5.51 | 6.75 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 118 | 123 | 0.253 | . | 95.1 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.78 | 1.41 | 2.60 |
|  | Female 45+ | 124 | 139 | 0.347 | . | 94.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.82 | 1.37 | 2.29 | 4.23 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 44 | 46 | 0.249 | . | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.90 | 1.91 | 1.92 |
|  | Male 45+ | 171 | 189 | 0.250 | . | 95.9 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.88 | 1.71 | 1.81 |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 3 | 0.107 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | . | . | . | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-117. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (as-consumed g/kgday)

| State | Age-Gender Category | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-14 | 53 | 495 | 0.51 | 0.36 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.69 | 1.13 | 1.44 | 1.58 | 1.59 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 79 | 593 | 0.61 | 0.32 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.68 | 1.12 | 1.65 | 5.51 | 6.99 |
|  | Female 45+ | 80 | 589 | 0.46 | 0.26 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 1.03 | 1.33 | 2.25 | 2.71 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 10 | 84 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.56 |  | 0.58 |
|  | Male 45+ | 131 | 968 | 0.45 | 0.30 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.58 | 1.09 | 1.40 | 2.12 | 2.21 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 75 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.45 |
| FL | Child 1-14 | 1100 | 1112 | 1.52 | 0.97 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.99 | 1.84 | 3.12 | 4.56 | 9.46 | 14.63 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 1835 | 1764 | 0.91 | 0.58 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.59 | 1.06 | 1.80 | 2.53 | 4.85 | 24.77 |
|  | Female 45+ | 1408 | 1368 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.54 | 0.95 | 1.57 | 2.14 | 3.72 | 11.14 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 782 | 742 | 0.94 | 0.53 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.52 | 0.98 | 1.74 | 2.55 | 9.17 | 34.37 |
|  | Male 45+ | 2526 | 2403 | 0.76 | 0.50 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.51 | 0.89 | 1.58 | 2.24 | 4.00 | 23.38 |
|  | Unknown | 106 | 102 | 0.64 | 0.47 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.74 | 1.15 | 1.55 | 4.22 | 4.54 |
| MN | Child 1-14 | 137 | 947 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 1.07 | 1.42 | 2.35 | 8.00 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 139 | 919 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.64 | 7.78 | 9.21 |
|  | Female 45+ | 189 | 932 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.89 | 1.33 | 1.71 | 1.87 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 59 | 270 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.74 |
|  | Male 45+ | 268 | 1552 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 1.34 | 1.88 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.18 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0.18 |
| ND | Child 1-14 | 108 | 104 | 0.56 | 0.30 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.63 | 1.21 | 1.61 | 5.09 | 6.75 |
|  | Female 15-44 | 112 | 117 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 0.80 | 1.37 | 2.60 |
|  | Female 45+ | 117 | 131 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.84 | 1.38 | 2.30 | 4.23 |
|  | Male 15-44 | 44 | 46 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.74 | 1.91 | 1.92 |
|  | Male 45+ | 164 | 181 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.91 | 1.71 | 1.81 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.34 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | , | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-118. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and acquisition method (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Bought | 420 | 3296 | 0.402 | . | 84.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.96 | 1.30 | 2.18 | 6.99 |
|  | Caught | 420 | 3296 | 0.008 |  | 16.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.51 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.410 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 6.99 |
| FL | Bought | 15367 | 14827 | 0.407 | . | 47.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 1.12 | 1.70 | 3.56 | 34.05 |
|  | Caught | 15367 | 14827 | 0.061 | . | 7.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 1.51 | 20.70 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.467 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 1.27 | 1.91 | 3.99 | 34.37 |
| MN | Bought | 837 | 4897 | 0.200 | . | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.76 | 1.34 | 8.00 |
|  | Caught | 837 | 4897 | 0.110 | . | 60.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 1.19 | 7.47 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.309 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.81 | 9.21 |
| ND | Bought | 575 | 610 | 0.228 | . | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.52 | 0.93 | 2.05 | 6.75 |
|  | Caught | 575 | 610 | 0.094 | . | 68.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.74 | 1.81 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.322 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 2.17 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-119. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and acquisition method (as-consumed g/kgday)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Bought | 361 | 2795 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 1.05 | 1.38 | 2.41 | 6.99 |
|  | Caught | 71 | 539 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.49 | 0.51 |
|  | All | 362 | 2804 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 2.40 | 6.99 |
| FL | Bought | 7246 | 7036 | 0.86 | 0.54 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.99 | 1.77 | 2.55 | 5.01 | 34.05 |
|  | Caught | 1212 | 1090 | 0.83 | 0.51 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 1.74 | 2.36 | 4.75 | 20.70 |
|  | All | 7757 | 7490 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 1.07 | 1.89 | 2.73 | 5.70 | 34.37 |
| MN | Bought | 755 | 4400 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.83 | 1.44 | 8.00 |
|  | Caught | 593 | 2968 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.57 | 1.26 | 7.47 |
|  | All | 793 | 4621 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 1.08 | 1.84 | 9.21 |
| ND | Bought | 516 | 548 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.61 | 1.02 | 2.06 | 6.75 |
|  | Caught | 389 | 416 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.80 | 1.81 |
|  | All | 546 | 580 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 2.20 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-120. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (as-consumed g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $\mathrm{CT}, \mathrm{MN}, \mathrm{ND}$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-120. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (as-consumed g/kgday) (continued)

|  | State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Household Income | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MN | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 87 | 371 | 0.264 | . | 90.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.61 | 1.06 | 3.82 | 8.00 |
|  |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 326 | 1801 | 0.184 |  | 84.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.58 | 1.58 | 1.74 |
|  |  |  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 0.200 |  | 93.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 1.28 | 1.82 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 0.206 | . | 91.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.54 | 0.65 |  | 0.86 |
|  | mN | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 87 | 371 | 0.137 | . | 70.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 1.00 |  | 1.23 |
|  |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 326 | 1801 | 0.153 | . | 66.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 1.25 | 7.47 |
|  |  |  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 0.087 | . | 55.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 1.10 | 1.84 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 0.038 | . | 56.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 1.41 |
| (1) | ND | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 51 | 54 | 0.414 | . | 88.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 1.34 | 2.03 |  | 3.87 |
| $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 251 | 0.206 | . | 90.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 1.01 | 1.80 | 2.11 |
| 3 |  |  | \$50000- | 233 | 245 | 0.191 | . | 90.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.77 | 1.23 | 1.39 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 56 | 60 | 0.304 | . | 85.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.91 | 5.68 | 6.75 |
|  | ND | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 51 | 54 | 0.102 | . | 53.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 1.76 | 1.81 |
|  |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 251 | 0.066 |  | 59.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.77 | 1.19 |
|  |  |  | \$50000- | 233 | 245 | 0.115 |  | 76.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 56 | 60 | 0.112 |  | 85.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 1.64 | 1.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT , MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-121. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-121. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (as-consumed $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) (continued)

| State | ```Bought or Caught Acquisition Method``` | Household Income | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 76 | 337 | 0.29 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.64 | 1.08 | 3.02 | 8.00 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 284 | 1520 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.74 | 1.61 | 1.74 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 312 | 2023 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.57 | 0.97 | 1.28 | 1.82 |
|  |  | Unknown | 83 | 520 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.54 | 0.65 |  | 0.86 |
| MN | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 56 | 261 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 1.09 |  | 1.23 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 232 | 1188 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 3.55 | 7.47 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 235 | 1195 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.65 | 1.21 | 1.84 |
|  |  | Unknown | 70 | 323 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.51 | 1.41 |
| ND | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 45 | 47 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 1.54 | 2.22 |  | 3.87 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 213 | 227 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.52 | 1.03 | 1.83 | 2.11 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 210 | 222 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.48 | 0.79 | 1.23 | 1.39 |
|  |  | Unknown | 48 | 52 | 0.35 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.70 | 1.08 | 5.32 | 6.75 |
| ND | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 27 | 29 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.64 |  | 1.81 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 142 | 149 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.87 | 1.19 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 173 | 187 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
|  |  | Unknown | 47 | 52 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 1.75 | 1.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-122. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and habitat (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Habitat | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \end{aligned}$ Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 420 | 3296 | 0.014 |  | 36.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.52 |
|  | Estuarine | 420 | 3296 | 0.104 | . | 76.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 1.13 | 2.93 |
|  | Marine | 420 | 3296 | 0.292 |  | 84.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.97 | 1.58 | 5.66 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.410 |  | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 6.99 |
| FL | Freshwater | 15367 | 14827 | 0.042 | . | 9.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 1.02 | 6.27 |
|  | Estuarine | 15367 | 14827 | 0.099 | . | 26.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 1.30 | 13.22 |
|  | Marine | 15367 | 14827 | 0.326 | . | 40.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.90 | 1.43 | 3.21 | 34.37 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.467 |  | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 1.27 | 1.91 | 3.99 | 34.37 |
| MN | Freshwater | 837 | 4897 | 0.110 | . | 60.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 1.19 | 7.47 |
|  | Estuarine | 837 | 4897 | 0.021 | . | 67.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 1.33 |
|  | Marine | 837 | 4897 | 0.178 | . | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 0.68 | 1.30 | 6.67 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.309 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.81 | 9.21 |
| ND | Freshwater | 575 | 610 | 0.094 | . | 68.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.74 | 1.81 |
|  | Estuarine | 575 | 610 | 0.019 | . | 71.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.57 |
|  | Marine | 575 | 610 | 0.209 |  | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.45 | 0.80 | 1.98 | 6.75 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.322 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 2.17 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-123. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and habitat (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Habitat | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 157 | 1201 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.37 | 0.52 |
|  | Estuarine | 327 | 2506 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 1.14 | 2.93 |
|  | Marine | 361 | 2796 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.78 | 1.09 | 1.60 | 5.66 |
|  | All | 362 | 2804 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 2.40 | 6.99 |
| FL | Freshwater | 1426 | 1346 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 1.09 | 1.51 | 2.54 | 6.27 |
|  | Estuarine | 4124 | 3932 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.80 | 1.14 | 2.24 | 13.22 |
|  | Marine | 6124 | 5981 | 0.81 | 0.49 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 1.64 | 2.40 | 4.98 | 34.37 |
|  | All | 7757 | 7490 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 1.07 | 1.89 | 2.73 | 5.70 | 34.37 |
| MN | Freshwater | 593 | 2968 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.57 | 1.26 | 7.47 |
|  | Estuarine | 559 | 3308 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 1.33 |
|  | Marine | 755 | 4400 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 1.35 | 6.67 |
|  | All | 793 | 4621 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 1.08 | 1.84 | 9.21 |
| ND | Freshwater | 389 | 416 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.80 | 1.81 |
|  | Estuarine | 407 | 435 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.57 |
|  | Marine | 516 | 548 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.86 | 2.04 | 6.75 |
|  | All | 546 | 580 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 2.20 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-124. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and fish/shellfish type (as-consumed g/kg-day)

|  | State | Finfish or Shellfish Type | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CT | Shellfish | 420 | 3296 | 0.133 |  | 74.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 1.19 | 2.93 |
|  |  | Finfish | 420 | 3296 | 0.266 | . | 82.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 0.95 | 1.57 | 4.49 |
|  |  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.410 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 6.99 |
|  | FL | Shellfish | 15367 | 14827 | 0.074 | . | 21.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 1.06 | 9.36 |
|  |  | Finfish | 15367 | 14827 | 0.393 | . | 41.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 1.10 | 1.67 | 3.69 | 34.37 |
|  |  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.467 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 1.27 | 1.91 | 3.99 | 34.37 |
|  | MN | Shellfish | 837 | 4897 | 0.043 | . | 67.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.51 | 2.67 |
|  |  | Finfish | 837 | 4897 | 0.267 | . | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.83 | 1.59 | 8.69 |
|  |  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.309 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.81 | 9.21 |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | ND | Shellfish | 575 | 610 | 0.039 |  | 71.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.46 | 1.13 |
|  |  | Finfish | 575 | 610 | 0.283 |  | 94.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.63 | 1.01 | 2.05 | 6.75 |
|  |  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.322 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 2.17 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-125. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and fish/shelffish type (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Finfish or Shellfish Type | Samp NC | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Shellfish | 320 | 2458 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.68 | 1.36 | 2.93 |
|  | Finfish | 353 | 2727 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.77 | 1.08 | 1.70 | 4.49 |
|  | All | 362 | 2804 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 2.40 | 6.99 |
| FL | Shellfish | 3260 | 3132 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 2.58 | 9.36 |
|  | Finfish | 6428 | 6209 | 0.94 | 0.63 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.60 | 1.06 | 1.85 | 2.72 | 5.81 | 34.37 |
|  | All | 7757 | 7490 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 1.07 | 1.89 | 2.73 | 5.70 | 34.37 |
| MN | Shellfish | 559 | 3308 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.53 | 2.67 |
|  | Finfish | 791 | 4603 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.86 | 1.60 | 8.69 |
|  | All | 793 | 4621 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 1.08 | 1.84 | 9.21 |
| ND | Shellfish | 407 | 435 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 1.13 |
|  | Finfish | 541 | 575 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.67 | 1.08 | 2.08 | 6.75 |
|  | All | 546 | 580 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 2.20 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-126. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and type of fish consumed (as-consumed g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-127. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (asconsumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-128. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and income (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Household Income | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | Pop Q10 | Pop Q25 | Pop Q50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q90 | Pop Q95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | \$ 0-20000 | 40 | 303 | 0.520 |  | 86.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 1.28 | 1.86 |  | 3.62 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 150 | 1137 | 0.638 | . | 87.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.39 | 0.78 | 1.40 | 1.93 | 5.51 | 9.08 |
|  | \$50000- | 214 | 1737 | 0.524 | . | 84.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 0.72 | 1.37 | 1.69 | 2.62 | 3.30 |
|  | Unknown | 16 | 119 | 0.446 | . | 73.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.69 | 1.02 | 1.36 |  | 1.55 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.560 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 3.19 | 9.08 |
| FL | \$ 0-20000 | 3314 | 3158 | 0.588 | . | 45.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 1.55 | 2.61 | 5.50 | 38.29 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 6678 | 6430 | 0.605 | . | 50.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 1.61 | 2.42 | 5.03 | 30.27 |
|  | \$50000- | 3136 | 3066 | 0.648 | . | 57.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.82 | 1.77 | 2.53 | 5.46 | 18.48 |
|  | Unknown | 2239 | 2172 | 0.445 | . | 47.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 1.36 | 1.99 | 3.58 | 8.18 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.587 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 1.59 | 2.39 | 5.00 | 38.29 |
| MN | \$ 0-20000 | 87 | 371 | 0.534 | . | 91.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 1.60 | 2.14 | 5.13 | 10.67 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 326 | 1801 | 0.449 | . | 91.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.83 | 1.20 | 2.20 | 12.27 |
|  | \$50000- | 327 | 2155 | 0.384 | . | 97.9 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.82 | 1.46 | 2.38 | 3.20 |
|  | Unknown | 97 | 570 | 0.326 | . | 92.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.74 | 0.91 | 1.84 | 2.59 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.412 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 1.43 | 2.41 | 12.27 |
| ND | \$ 0-20000 | 51 | 54 | 0.689 | . | 93.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 2.39 | 3.40 |  | 5.72 |
|  | \$20000-50000 | 235 | 251 | 0.363 | . | 94.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.93 | 1.51 | 2.59 | 2.87 |
|  | \$50000- | 233 | 245 | 0.409 | . | 97.1 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.84 | 1.36 | 1.90 | 2.06 |
|  | Unknown | 56 | 60 | 0.554 |  | 92.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 1.05 | 1.62 | 8.16 | 9.00 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.429 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 1.58 | 2.89 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT , MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-129. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and income (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-130. Fish consumption per kg , per capita, by state and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Race Ethnicity | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | White, Non-Hispanic | 370 | 2888 | 0.556 | . | 88.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.78 | 1.32 | 1.69 | 2.64 | 5.98 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 9 | 66 | 0.068 | . | 33.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.23 |  | . | 0.26 |
|  | Hispanic | 20 | 178 | 0.672 | . | 70.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.70 | 2.14 | 3.43 |  | 3.71 |
|  | Asian | 19 | 143 | 0.806 | . | 59.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 1.74 | 4.96 |  | 9.08 |
|  | Unknown | 2 | 21 | 0.013 | . | 43.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 0.03 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.560 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 3.19 | 9.08 |
| FL | White, Non-Hispanic | 11607 | 11113 | 0.574 | . | 51.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.73 | 1.56 | 2.33 | 4.77 | 26.20 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1603 | 1522 | 0.674 | . | 48.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 1.87 | 2.77 | 6.14 | 18.48 |
|  | Hispanic | 1556 | 1619 | 0.573 | . | 45.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 1.52 | 2.46 | 5.34 | 38.29 |
|  | Asian | 223 | 199 | 0.722 | . | 49.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 1.65 | 2.34 | 6.64 | 30.27 |
|  | American Indian | 104 | 98 | 0.777 | . | 53.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.92 | 2.46 | 4.52 | 6.22 | 6.38 |
|  | Unknown | 274 | 276 | 0.531 | . | 45.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 1.45 | 2.14 | 4.46 | 12.34 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.587 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 1.59 | 2.39 | 5.00 | 38.29 |
| MN |  | 775 | 4469 | 0.359 | . | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.79 | 1.19 | 2.19 | 10.67 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
|  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.860 | . | 100 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.28 | 0.36 | 1.57 | . | . |  | 1.97 |
|  | Asian | 7 | 94 | 0.712 | . | 100 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.63 | 1.12 | . | . | . | 1.46 |
|  | American Indian | 12 | 78 | 2.771 | . | 100 | 0.09 | . | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 1.83 | . | . | . | 12.27 |
|  | Unknown | 39 | 204 | 0.430 | . | 100 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 1.05 | 1.36 | 2.22 | 2.59 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.412 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 1.43 | 2.41 | 12.27 |
| ND | White, Non-Hispanic | 528 | 559 | 0.433 | . | 95.1 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.96 | 1.62 | 2.96 | 9.00 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.333 | . | 100 | 0.31 | . | . |  | 0.33 | 0.37 | . | . | . | 0.37 |
|  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 0.264 |  | 100 | 0.06 | . |  | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.46 |  |  | . | 0.51 |
|  | American Indian | 9 | 9 | 0.404 |  | 100 | 0.07 | . | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.92 |  |  | 1.06 |
|  | Unknown | $32$ | 36 | $0.395$ |  | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.95 | 1.25 |  | 2.24 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.429 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 1.58 | 2.89 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-131. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-132. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Adult Child | Race Ethnicity | Samp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percen Eating Fish |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { in } & \text { Pop } \\ \text { in } & \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{p} & \text { Por } \\ 5 & \text { Q1e } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { pp } & \text { Po } \\ 10 & \text { Q2 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { op } & \text { Po } \\ 25 & \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} p & \text { Pop } \\ 50 & \text { Q75 } \end{array}$ | Pop Q90 | Pop Q95 | Pop Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | C 296 | 2194 | 0.579 | . | 92.0 | 0.00 | 000.00 | 00.03 | 30.1 | 80.40 | 00.79 | 1.27 | 1.71 | 2.77 | 75.98 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | C 5 | 34 | 0.131 |  | 64.5 | 0.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.0 | 00.16 | 60.22 |  |  |  | 0.26 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 13 | 103 | 1.023 |  | 82.5 | 0.00 | 000.00 | 00.00 | 00.1 | 30.41 | 11.61 | 3.35 | 3.63 |  | 3.71 |
|  |  | Asian | 16 | 119 | 0.703 | . | 51.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 00.00 | 000 | 000.06 | 60.45 | 1.21 | 5.50 |  | 9.08 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 9 | 0.029 | . | 100 | 0.03 | 3 | . | . | . |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |
| CT | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | c 63 | 595 | 0.545 |  | 78.8 | 0.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.0 | 70.32 | 220.88 | 1.52 | 1.66 | 2.10 | 2.11 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | C 4 | 32 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 7 | 74 | 0.184 | . | 54.9 | 0.00 | 000.00 | 00.00 | 00.0 | 00.20 | 00.35 | 0.44 | . | . | 0.46 |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 24 | 1.318 | . | 100 | 0.73 | 3 | . | 0.8 | 1.22 | 1.81 |  | . |  | 2.01 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 12 | 0.000 | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| CT | Unknown | n White, Non-Hispanic | c 11 | 99 | 0.124 |  | 76.1 | 0.00 | 000.00 | 00.00 | 00.0 | 10.03 | 30.11 | 0.52 | 0.62 | . | 0.63 |
| FL | Adult Wh | White, Non-Hispanic | 9325 | 88510 | 0.549 |  | 54.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.72 | 1.47 | 2.16 | 4.372 | 26.20 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1072 | 9990 | 0.576 |  | 51.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.76 | 1.60 | 2.22 | 5.02 | 18.48 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 1189 | 12030 | 0.557 |  | 47.8 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 1.45 | 2.16 | 4.163 | 38.29 |
|  |  | Asian | 177 | 1580 | 0.851 |  | 54.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.91 | 1.85 | 2.55 | 8.42 | 30.27 |
|  |  | American Indian | 89 | 830 | 0.660 |  | 52.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.91 | 1.59 | 2.97 | 6.18 | 6.38 |
|  |  | Unknown | 226 | 2230 | 0.517 |  | 47.9 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 1.42 | 1.86 | 5.36 | 12.34 |
| FL | Child W | White, Non-Hispanic | 2282 | 22620 | 0.672 |  | 40.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 2.04 | 3.15 | 7.731 | 18.97 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 531 | 5230 | 0.861 |  | 42.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 2.65 | 3.76 | 7.021 | 15.60 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 367 | 4160 | 0.622 |  | 40.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 1.87 | 3.32 | 6.261 | 11.58 |
|  |  | Asian | 46 | 420 | 0.232 |  | 30.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.91 | 1.19 | 1.93 | 2.39 |
|  |  | American Indian | 15 | 151 | 1.444 |  | 58.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 2.90 | 5.23 | 5.86 | . | 6.04 |
|  |  | Unknown | 48 | 530 | 0.592 | . | 37.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 2.26 | 2.43 | 4.60 | 4.70 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-132. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, adult/child and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/kg-day) (continued)

| State | Adult <br> Child | Race Ethnicity | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | PopN/ 1000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \end{gathered}$ | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Pop Min | Pop Q5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 602 | 3296 | 0.331 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.73 | 1.08 | 1.98 | 2.51 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | 0.000 | . |  |  | . | . |  |  | . | . |  | . |  |
|  |  | Hispanic | 3 | 50 | 0.860 | . | 100 | 0.25 |  | . | 0.28 | 0.36 | 1.57 | . | . | . | 1.97 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 35 | 0.218 | . | 100 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.26 |  |  | . | 0.26 |
|  |  | American Indian | 10 | 57 | 3.755 | . | 100 | 0.09 |  | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 6.82 |  |  | . | 12.27 |
|  |  | Unknown | 28 | 173 | 0.426 | . | 100 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.47 | 1.13 | 1.37 | . | 1.43 |
| MN | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 168 | 1112 | 0.461 | . | 91.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.62 | 1.00 | 1.54 | 3.09 | 10.67 |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 59 | 1.002 | . | 100 | 0.58 | . | . | 0.68 | 0.97 | 1.33 | . | . | . | 1.46 |
|  |  | American Indian | 2 | 22 | 0.172 | . | 100 | 0.15 |  | . | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.20 |  |  | . | 0.20 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 31 | 0.452 | . | 100 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.95 | . | 2.59 |
| MN | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 5 | 61 | 0.004 | . | 1.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| ND | Adult | White, Non-Hispanic | 375 | 414 | 0.384 | . | 95.9 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.83 | 1.47 | 2.63 | 5.64 |
|  |  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 2 | 2 | 0.333 | . | 100 | 0.31 | . | . |  | 0.33 | 0.37 | . | . | . | 0.37 |
|  |  | Asian | 4 | 3 | 0.264 | . | 100 | 0.06 |  | . | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.46 |  |  | . | 0.51 |
|  |  | American Indian | 6 | 6 | 0.464 |  | 100 | 0.18 |  | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.58 |  |  | . | 1.06 |
|  |  | Unknown | 27 | 30 | 0.282 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.73 | 0.87 | . | 0.92 |
| ND | Child | White, Non-Hispanic | 150 | 143 | 0.580 | . | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.55 | 1.39 | 1.91 | 6.61 | 9.00 |
|  |  | American Indian | 3 | 3 | 0.277 |  | 100 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.42 |  |  |  | 0.43 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 5 | 1.080 | . | 100 | 0.23 |  | 0.24 | 0.32 | 1.13 | 1.58 | . | . | . | 2.24 |
| ND | Unknown | White, Non-Hispanic | 3 | 3 | 0.143 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | . | . | . | 0.45 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-133. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-133. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, adult/child, and race-ethnicity (uncooked g/kgday) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-134. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and gender (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Gender | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Male | 201 | 1581 | 0.527 | - | 86.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.72 | 1.48 | 1.78 | 2.34 | 2.92 |
|  | Female | 219 | 1715 | 0.591 | . | 84.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.82 | 1.29 | 1.73 | 3.75 | 9.08 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.560 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 3.19 | 9.08 |
| FL | Male | 7911 | 7568 | 0.553 | . | 49.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 1.51 | 2.32 | 4.65 | 38.29 |
|  | Female | 7426 | 7229 | 0.622 | . | 51.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.81 | 1.66 | 2.48 | 5.45 | 30.27 |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 30 | 0.505 | . | 48.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 1.73 | 2.90 |  | 3.27 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.587 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 1.59 | 2.39 | 5.00 | 38.29 |
| MN | Male | 419 | 2495 | 0.352 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.77 | 1.41 | 2.01 | 10.67 |
|  | Female | 418 | 2402 | 0.475 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.47 | 0.87 | 1.46 | 2.49 | 12.27 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.412 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 1.43 | 2.41 | 12.27 |
| ND | Male | 276 | 293 | 0.425 | . | 96.2 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.44 | 0.91 | 1.60 | 2.50 | 5.72 |
|  | Female | 299 | 317 | 0.432 | . | 94.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.97 | 1.55 | 3.01 | 9.00 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.429 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 1.58 | 2.89 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-135. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and gender (uncooked g/kg-day)

|  | State | Gender | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | ```Percent Eating Fish``` | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CT | Male | 175 | 1362 | 0.61 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.79 | 1.54 | 1.85 | 2.46 | 2.92 |
|  |  | Female | 187 | 1441 | 0.70 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.47 | 0.93 | 1.40 | 1.77 | 4.34 | 9.08 |
|  |  | All | 362 | 2804 | 0.66 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.88 | 1.51 | 1.80 | 3.42 | 9.08 |
|  | FL | Male | 3880 | 3723 | 1.12 | 0.71 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.69 | 1.31 | 2.33 | 3.32 | 7.08 | 38.29 |
|  |  | Female | 3861 | 3753 | 1.20 | 0.77 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 1.37 | 2.42 | 3.48 | 7.29 | 30.27 |
|  |  | Unknown | 16 | 14 | 1.05 | 0.62 | 100 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.91 | 1.48 | 2.90 | 3.19 | . | 3.27 |
|  |  | All | 7757 | 7490 | 1.16 | 0.74 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 1.35 | 2.39 | 3.37 | 7.13 | 38.29 |
|  | MN | Male | 401 | 2378 | 0.37 | 0.22 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.82 | 1.43 | 2.03 | 10.67 |
|  |  | Female | 392 | 2243 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.93 | 1.62 | 2.51 | 12.27 |
|  |  | All | 793 | 4621 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.86 | 1.44 | 2.45 | 12.27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T1 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | ND | Male | 265 | 282 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 1.62 | 2.51 | 5.72 |
|  |  | Female | 281 | 298 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.99 | 1.60 | 3.08 | 9.00 |
|  |  | All | 546 | 580 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 1.62 | 2.93 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-136. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, adult/child and gender (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Adult Child | Gender | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Adult | Male | 155 | 1152 | 0.541 | - | 90.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.71 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 2.65 | 2.92 |
|  |  | Female | 176 | 1308 | 0.643 | . | 88.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.41 | 0.86 | 1.32 | 1.77 | 4.99 | 9.08 |
| CT | Child | Male | 40 | 373 | 0.548 | . | 74.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.86 | 1.58 | 1.88 | . | 2.11 |
|  |  | Female | 38 | 364 | 0.454 | . | 70.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.68 | 1.24 | 1.52 | . | 2.01 |
| CT | Unknown | Male | 6 | 55 | 0.090 | . | 78.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.44 |
|  |  | Female | 5 | 44 | 0.167 | . | 72.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.25 | . | . | . | 0.63 |
| FL | Adult | Male | 6244 | 5887 | 0.527 | . | 52.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.67 | 1.40 | 2.11 | 4.38 | 38.29 |
|  |  | Female | 5828 | 5626 | 0.587 | . | 54.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.80 | 1.56 | 2.25 | 4.46 | 30.27 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 5 | 0.440 | . | 60.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.92 | 1.12 | 1.88 | 2.49 | 2.57 |
| FL | Child | Male | 1667 | 1681 | 0.643 | . | 39.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 2.12 | 2.91 | 6.03 | 17.84 |
|  |  | Female | 1598 | 1603 | 0.746 | . | 41.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 2.18 | 3.59 | 7.79 | 18.97 |
|  |  | Unknown | 24 | 25 | 0.517 | . | 45.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 2.04 | 3.00 | . | 3.27 |
| MN | Adult |  | $318$ | $1786$ | $0.299$ |  |  |  |  | $0.04$ | $0.13$ | $0.22$ |  |  | $0.86$ |  |  |
|  |  | Female | $330$ | $1826$ | $0.490$ | . | $95.6$ | $0.00$ | $0.02$ | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.27 | $0.45$ | 0.87 | 1.50 | $4.89$ | $12.27$ |
| MN | Child | Male | 97 | 668 | 0.516 | . | 94.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.74 | 1.43 | 1.51 | 3.20 | 10.67 |
|  |  | Female | 87 | 556 | 0.440 | . | 89.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.87 | 1.47 | 2.49 | 7.11 |
| MN | Unknown | Male | $4$ | 41 | 0.005 | . | 2.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | . | 0.24 |
|  |  | Female | $1$ | 20 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| ND | Adult | Male | 194 | 215 | 0.330 | . | 96.4 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.72 | 1.13 | 2.37 | 2.56 |
|  |  | Female | 220 | 241 | 0.419 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.96 | 1.54 | 2.86 | 5.64 |
| ND | Child | Male | 79 | 76 | 0.705 | . | 97.8 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.78 | 1.62 | 2.10 |  | 5.72 |
|  |  | Female | 79 | 75 | 0.476 | . | 90.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.99 | 1.75 | 6.54 | 9.00 |
| ND | Unknown | Male | 3 | 3 | 0.143 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | . |  | . | 0.45 |

[^4]Table E-137. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, adult/child and gender (uncooked g/kg-day)


[^5]Table E-138. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and education (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-139. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and education (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-140. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Arith } \end{gathered}$ Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \end{aligned}$ Mean | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Child 1-5 | 26 | 253 | 0.429 |  | 51.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.77 | 1.25 | 1.95 |  | 2.11 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 26 | 239 | 0.706 | . | 86.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 1.17 | 1.55 | 1.74 | . | 2.05 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 21 | 193 | 0.369 | . | 85.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 1.20 | . | 1.77 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 17 | 141 | 0.882 | . | 79.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.93 | 1.41 | 5.25 | . | 9.08 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 85 | 634 | 0.636 | . | 86.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.83 | 1.39 | 1.80 | 5.18 | 5.98 |
|  | Female 50+ | 77 | 563 | 0.587 |  | 90.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.89 | 1.28 | 1.74 | 3.24 | 3.62 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 14 | 119 | 0.227 | . | 70.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.74 |  | 0.81 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 80 | 594 | 0.642 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.91 | 1.56 | 1.97 | 2.85 | 2.92 |
|  | Male 50+ | 63 | 461 | 0.474 | . | 90.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.63 | 1.15 | 1.55 | 1.91 | 1.91 |
|  | Unknown | 11 | 99 | 0.124 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.62 | . | 0.63 |
| FL | Child 1-5 | 1102 | 1134 | 1.101 | . | 37.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.59 | 3.41 | 4.85 | 10.33 | 18.97 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 938 | 956 | 0.539 | . | 39.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 1.69 | 2.55 | 3.96 | 13.19 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 864 | 848 | 0.455 | . | 42.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 1.27 | 1.92 | 3.76 | 13.47 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 1537 | 1477 | 0.548 | . | 49.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 1.42 | 2.20 | 4.54 | 30.27 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 2264 | 2178 | 0.669 | . | 56.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.88 | 1.73 | 2.56 | 5.32 | 24.22 |
|  | Female 50+ | 2080 | 2025 | 0.517 | . | 56.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.76 | 1.44 | 2.04 | 3.47 | 9.28 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 1638 | 1551 | 0.550 | . | 46.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 1.41 | 2.20 | 5.95 | 38.29 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 2540 | 2383 | 0.540 | . | 53.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.70 | 1.49 | 2.21 | 4.40 | 25.64 |
|  | Male 50+ | 2206 | 2090 | 0.485 | . | 54.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 1.24 | 1.86 | 4.12 | 16.75 |
|  | Unknown | 198 | 185 | 0.445 | . | 54.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.68 | 1.07 | 1.53 | 4.11 | 5.78 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-140. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/kg-day) (continued)

| State | Age-Gender Category | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | Child 1-5 | 47 | 437 | 0.757 | . | 97.4 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 0.97 | 1.46 | 2.32 | 5.97 | 10.67 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 46 | 298 | 0.444 | . | 88.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 1.09 | 1.79 | 2.24 | 7.11 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 68 | 337 | 0.292 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.72 | 0.78 | 1.06 | 1.97 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 47 | 331 | 0.887 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.81 | 5.97 |  | 12.27 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 132 | 722 | 0.320 | . | 95.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 0.77 | 1.32 | 1.76 |
|  | Female 50+ | 162 | 854 | 0.456 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 1.19 | 1.80 | 2.33 | 2.49 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 55 | 275 | 0.132 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.99 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 120 | 731 | 0.316 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.85 | 1.98 | 2.51 |
|  | Male 50+ | 155 | 851 | 0.324 | . | 99.8 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.70 | 0.91 | 1.58 | 1.67 |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 61 | 0.004 | . | 1.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| ND | Child 1-5 | 30 | 30 | 0.887 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.77 | 2.08 | 5.10 | . | 9.00 |
|  | Child 6-10 | 44 | 42 | 0.683 | . | 92.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.76 | 1.52 | 1.99 | . | 5.72 |
|  | Child 11-15 | 55 | 52 | 0.529 | . | 97.1 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.69 | 1.35 | 1.65 | . | 3.01 |
|  | Female 16-29 | 42 | 43 | 0.239 | . | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.84 | . | 1.06 |
|  | Female 30-49 | 95 | 101 | 0.375 | . | 98.3 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.74 | 1.14 | 2.31 | 3.46 |
|  | Female 50+ | 99 | 112 | 0.503 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.51 | 1.32 | 1.95 | 3.86 | 5.64 |
|  | Male 16-29 | 36 | 38 | 0.290 | . | 100 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.61 | 0.75 |  | 2.56 |
|  | Male 30-49 | 90 | 97 | 0.287 | . | 97.8 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.59 | 0.71 | 1.62 | 1.74 |
|  | Male 50+ | 81 | 92 | 0.383 | . | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.90 | 1.54 | 2.39 | 2.41 |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 3 | 0.143 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | . | . | . | 0.45 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-141. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-141. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/kg-day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-142. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-143. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and age-gender category (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-144. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and acquisition method (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-145. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and acquisition method (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-146. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-146. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state acquisition method, and income (uncooked g/kg-day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-147. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (uncooked
g/kg-day)

| State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Household <br> Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Samp } \\ & \text { NC } \end{aligned}$ | WtdNC/ 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 35 | 261 | 0.59 | 0.35 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.75 | 1.53 | 1.90 |  | 3.62 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 132 | 985 | 0.71 | 0.41 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.88 | 1.40 | 1.98 | 5.96 | 9.08 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 182 | 1461 | 0.62 | 0.39 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.91 | 1.45 | 1.75 | 2.64 | 3.27 |
|  |  | Unknown | 12 | 87 | 0.61 | 0.48 | 100 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.57 | 0.78 | 1.14 | 1.41 | . | 1.55 |
| CT | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 4 | 33 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 100 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.14 |  |  | . | 0.21 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 30 | 206 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.62 |  | 0.68 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 36 | 292 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.15 |  | 0.24 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 7 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 100 | 0.01 |  | . |  | . |  |  | . |  | 0.01 |
| FL | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 1418 | 1342 | 1.20 | 0.72 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.72 | 1.28 | 2.54 | 3.44 | 8.93 | 37.86 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 3141 | 3047 | 1.09 | 0.70 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.70 | 1.22 | 2.18 | 3.21 | 6.81 | 30.27 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 1695 | 1662 | 1.05 | 0.68 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.67 | 1.27 | 2.18 | 3.17 | 5.80 | 18.48 |
|  |  | Unknown | 992 | 984 | 0.89 | 0.62 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.60 | 1.12 | 1.96 | 2.50 | 4.33 | 7.96 |
| FL | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 246 | 213 | 1.14 | 0.75 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.42 | 0.76 | 1.27 | 2.40 | 3.72 | 6.53 | 16.08 |
|  |  | \$20000-50000 | 563 | 500 | 1.14 | 0.69 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 1.39 | 2.31 | 3.13 | 5.94 | 23.57 |
|  |  | \$50000- | 274 | 258 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.61 | 1.11 | 2.09 | 3.06 | 5.62 | 11.28 |
|  |  | Unknown | 129 | 119 | 0.74 | 0.54 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.54 | 0.87 | 1.36 | 2.03 | 3.51 | 8.18 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-147. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, acquisition method, and income (uncooked g/kg-day) (continued)

|  | State | Bought or Caught Acquisition Method | Household <br> Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Samp } \\ & \text { NC } \end{aligned}$ | WtdNC/ <br> 1000 | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MN | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 76 | 337 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 1.44 | 4.02 | 10.67 |
|  |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 284 | 1520 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.63 | 0.99 | 2.14 | 2.32 |
|  |  |  | \$50000- | 312 | 2023 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.76 | 1.30 | 1.71 | 2.42 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 83 | 520 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.73 | 0.87 |  | 1.14 |
|  | MN | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 56 | 261 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.65 | 1.45 |  | 1.64 |
|  |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 232 | 1188 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 4.73 | 9.95 |
|  |  |  | \$50000- | 235 | 1195 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 0.86 | 1.62 | 2.46 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 70 | 323 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.67 | 1.88 |
|  | ND | Bought | \$ 0-20000 | 45 | 47 | 0.63 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 2.06 | 2.97 |  | 5.16 |
| $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 213 | 227 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 1.37 | 2.44 | 2.82 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ |  |  | \$50000- | 210 | 222 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 1.05 | 1.64 | 1.85 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 48 | 52 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.93 | 1.44 | 7.09 | 9.00 |
|  | ND | Caught | \$ 0-20000 | 27 | 29 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.56 | 0.86 |  | 2.41 |
|  |  |  | \$20000-50000 | 142 | 149 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 1.16 | 1.59 |
|  |  |  | \$50000- | 173 | 187 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 1.07 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 47 | 52 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 2.33 | 2.33 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-148. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and habitat (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Habitat | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PopN/ } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \end{aligned}$ Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 420 | 3296 | 0.019 |  | 36.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.67 |
|  | Estuarine | 420 | 3296 | 0.145 |  | 76.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.59 | 1.44 | 3.70 |
|  | Marine | 420 | 3296 | 0.396 |  | 84.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.53 | 0.90 | 1.29 | 2.15 | 7.32 |
|  | All | 420 | 3296 | 0.560 |  | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 3.19 | 9.08 |
| FL | Freshwater | 15367 | 14827 | 0.053 | . | 9.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 1.31 | 7.65 |
|  | Estuarine | 15367 | 14827 | 0.132 | . | 26.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.73 | 1.70 | 17.01 |
|  | Marine | 15367 | 14827 | 0.401 | . | 40.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 1.11 | 1.76 | 3.91 | 38.29 |
|  | All | 15367 | 14827 | 0.587 |  | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 1.59 | 2.39 | 5.00 | 38.29 |
| MN | Freshwater | 837 | 4897 | 0.146 | . | 60.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.49 | 1.59 | 9.95 |
|  | Estuarine | 837 | 4897 | 0.028 | . | 67.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.34 | 1.78 |
|  | Marine | 837 | 4897 | 0.238 | . | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 1.74 | 8.89 |
|  | All | 837 | 4897 | 0.412 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 1.43 | 2.41 | 12.27 |
| ND | Freshwater | 575 | 610 | 0.125 | . | 68.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.98 | 2.41 |
|  | Estuarine | 575 | 610 | 0.026 |  | 71.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.75 |
|  | Marine | 575 | 610 | 0.278 |  | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.60 | 1.07 | 2.63 | 9.00 |
|  | All | 575 | 610 | 0.429 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 1.58 | 2.89 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-149. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and habitat (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Habitat | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Freshwater | 157 | 1201 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.49 | 0.67 |
|  | Estuarine | 327 | 2506 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.69 | 1.46 | 3.70 |
|  | Marine | 361 | 2796 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 1.03 | 1.45 | 2.19 | 7.32 |
|  | All | 362 | 2804 | 0.66 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.88 | 1.51 | 1.80 | 3.42 | 9.08 |
| FL | Freshwater | 1426 | 1346 | 0.59 | 0.35 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.76 | 1.36 | 1.89 | 3.20 | 7.65 |
|  | Estuarine | 4124 | 3932 | 0.50 | 0.31 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.59 | 1.05 | 1.46 | 2.86 | 17.01 |
|  | Marine | 6124 | 5981 | 0.99 | 0.61 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.62 | 1.10 | 2.01 | 2.94 | 6.14 | 38.29 |
|  | All | 7757 | 7490 | 1.16 | 0.74 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 1.35 | 2.39 | 3.37 | 7.13 | 38.29 |
| MN | Freshwater | 593 | 2968 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.76 | 1.68 | 9.95 |
|  | Estuarine | 559 | 3308 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 1.78 |
|  | Marine | 755 | 4400 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.67 | 0.97 | 1.80 | 8.89 |
|  | All | 793 | 4621 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.86 | 1.44 | 2.45 | 12.27 |
| ND | Freshwater | 389 | 416 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.61 | 1.07 | 2.41 |
|  | Estuarine | 407 | 435 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.75 |
|  | Marine | 516 | 548 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.72 | 1.15 | 2.73 | 9.00 |
|  | All | 546 | 580 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 1.62 | 2.93 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-150. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and fish/shellfish type (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $\mathrm{CT}, \mathrm{MN}, \mathrm{ND}$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-151. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and fish/shellfish type (uncooked g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
A respondent can be represented in more than one row.

Table E-152. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and type of fish consumed (uncooked g/kg-day)

| State | Type of Fish/Shellfish Eaten | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith <br> Mean | Geom | ```Percent Eating Fish``` | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Eats Caught Only | 1 | 9 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 100 | 0.03 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |
|  | Eats Caught\&Bought | 70 | 530 | 0.67 | 0.45 | 100 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.46 | 1.01 | 1.54 | 1.71 | 3.18 | 3.30 |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 291 | 2265 | 0.66 | 0.39 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.86 | 1.50 | 1.82 | 3.64 | 9.08 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 362 | 2804 | 0.66 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.88 | 1.51 | 1.80 | 3.42 | 9.08 |
| FL | Eats Caught Only | 511 | 454 | 0.97 | 0.65 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.64 | 1.16 | 2.14 | 2.89 | 4.94 | 9.55 |
|  | Eats Caught\&Bought | 701 | 636 | 2.28 | 1.59 | 100 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.65 | 0.97 | 1.48 | 2.49 | 4.38 | 6.37 | 13.74 | 38.29 |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 6545 | 6400 | 1.06 | 0.69 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.68 | 1.22 | 2.20 | 3.08 | 6.08 | 30.27 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 7757 | 7490 | 1.16 | 0.74 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 1.35 | 2.39 | 3.37 | 7.13 | 38.29 |
| MN | Eats Caught Only | 38 | 221 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.68 | . | 0.75 |
|  | Eats Caught\&Bought | 555 | 2746 | 0.53 | 0.31 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.93 | 1.76 | 3.04 | 12.27 |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 200 | 1653 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.75 | 1.21 | 1.65 | 10.67 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 793 | 4621 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.86 | 1.44 | 2.45 | 12.27 |
| ND | Eats Caught Only | 30 | 32 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.68 | . | 2.41 |
|  | Eats Caught\&Bought | 359 | 384 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 1.10 | 1.66 | 3.32 | 5.72 |
|  | Eats Bought Only | 157 | 164 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.71 | 1.29 | 2.60 | 9.00 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 546 | 580 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 1.62 | 2.93 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

# Table E-153. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (uncooked g/kg-day) 

|  | Eats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Freshwater/ Estuarine Caught Fish | Samp NC | $\begin{gathered} \text { WtdNC/ } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| CT | Sometimes | 50 | 388 | 0.64 | 0.42 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.99 | 1.53 | 1.68 | 3.22 | 3.30 |
|  | Never | 312 | 2416 | 0.66 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.87 | 1.50 | 1.83 | 3.62 | 9.08 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 362 | 2804 | 0.66 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.88 | 1.51 | 1.80 | 3.42 | 9.08 |
| FL | Exclusively | 235 | 189 | 0.91 | 0.55 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 1.22 | 2.14 | 2.70 | 4.65 | 9.55 |
|  | Sometimes | 458 | 404 | 2.21 | 1.49 | 100 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0.89 | 1.40 | 2.46 | 4.54 | 6.17 | 13.80 | 26.20 |
|  | Never | 7064 | 6896 | 1.11 | 0.71 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.71 | 1.28 | 2.27 | 3.24 | 6.42 | 38.29 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 7757 | 7490 | 1.16 | 0.74 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 1.35 | 2.39 | 3.37 | 7.13 | 38.29 |
| MN | Exclusively | 38 | 221 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.68 | . | 0.75 |
|  | Sometimes | 555 | 2746 | 0.53 | 0.31 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.93 | 1.76 | 3.04 | 12.27 |
|  | Never | 200 | 1653 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.75 | 1.21 | 1.65 | 10.67 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 793 | 4621 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.86 | 1.44 | 2.45 | 12.27 |
| ND | Exclusively | 30 | 32 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.68 | . | 2.41 |
|  | Sometimes | 359 | 384 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 1.10 | 1.66 | 3.32 | 5.72 |
|  | Never | 157 | 164 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.71 | 1.29 | 2.60 | 9.00 |
|  | All Fish Consumers | 546 | 580 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 1.62 | 2.93 | 9.00 |

$F L$ consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.

Table E-154. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | SampN | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | 266 | 47.5 | . | 96.6 | 0.00 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 28 | 58 | 99 | 160 | 319 | 550 |
|  | Aquaculture Students | 25 | 14.7 | . | 76.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 41 | 61 |  | 82 |
|  | Asians | 402 | 56.5 |  | 98.5 | 0.00 | 2 | 9 | 26 | 46 | 76 | 109 | 157 | 219 | 245 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 178 | 46.9 |  | 96.1 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 29 | 65 | 100 | 129 | 280 | 490 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 71 | 50.7 | . | 84.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 44 | 160 | 188 | 404 | 414 |
|  | General | 431 | 26.5 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 33 | 66 | 85 | 169 | 494 |
|  | WIC Participants | 703 | 36.3 | . | 79.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 44 | 89 | 142 | 280 | 571 |
| FL | General | 17181 | 27.1 | . | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 71 | 108 | 228 | 2339 |
| MN | American Indians | 221 | 11.7 | . | 88.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 24 | 40 | 118 | 140 |
|  | Anglers | 1171 | 20.9 | . | 96.2 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 45 | 64 | 171 | 434 |
|  | General | 841 | 18.1 |  | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 103 | 489 |
|  | New Mothers | 415 | 14.3 | . | 84.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 32 | 49 | 143 | 227 |
| ND | American Indians | 133 | 15.3 | . | 58.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 47 | 98 | 139 | 144 |
|  | Anglers | 871 | 19.5 |  | 94.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 22 | 42 | 68 | 117 | 172 |
|  | General | 602 | 19.0 | . | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 64 | 122 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-155. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Samp NC | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angler | 257 | 49.2 | 27.4 | 100 | 0.34 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 30 | 59 | 99 | 162 | 320 | 550 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | 19 | 19.3 | 7.6 | 100 | 0.47 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 34 | 48 | 65 |  | 82 |
|  | Asians | 396 | 57.4 | 38.4 | 100 | 0.13 | 5 | 12 | 26 | 46 | 77 | 109 | 157 | 219 | 245 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 171 | 48.8 | 27.7 | 100 | 0.27 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 66 | 102 | 129 | 280 | 490 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 60 | 59.9 | 26.3 | 100 | 1.35 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 24 | 54 | 168 | 243 | 406 | 414 |
|  | General | 369 | 31.4 | 17.5 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 68 | 94 | 178 | 494 |
|  | WIC Participants | 557 | 45.9 | 24.0 | 100 | 0.34 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 27 | 52 | 102 | 154 | 302 | 571 |
| FL | General | 8566 | 54.6 | 35.1 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 65 | 108 | 157 | 317 | 2339 |
| MN | American Indians | 196 | 13.2 | 7.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 27 | 43 | 119 | 140 |
| T | Anglers | 1127 | 21.7 | 12.5 | 100 | 0.44 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 23 | 45 | 65 | 174 | 434 |
| N | General | 796 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 105 | 489 |
| $0$ | New Mothers | 352 | 16.8 | 8.2 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 19 | 35 | 58 | 171 | 227 |
| ND | American Indians | 78 | 26.0 | 9.8 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 31 | 93 | 107 | . | 144 |
|  | Anglers | 825 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 100 | 0.44 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 44 | 69 | 119 | 172 |
|  | General | 570 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 46 | 68 | 123 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-156. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day)

|  | State | Population for sample selection | Adult Child | SampN | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Arith } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CT | Angler | Adult | 227 | 49.3 | - | 97.8 | 0.00 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 57 | 100 | 164 | 343 | 550 |
|  |  |  | Child | 20 | 36.1 | . | 100 | 0.77 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 75 | 85 | 102 | . | 117 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 19 | 38.2 | . | 78.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 42 | 82 | 218 | . | 322 |
|  | CT | Aquaculture Students | Adult | 19 | 14.9 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 29 | 39 | 48 | . | 54 |
|  |  |  | Child | 6 | 14.1 | . | 50.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 74 | . | . | 82 |
|  | CT | Asians | Adult | 294 | 66.1 | . | 99.7 | 0.00 | 13 | 19 | 33 | 54 | 84 | 127 | 182 | 228 | 245 |
|  |  |  | Child | 101 | 29.5 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 23 | 38 | 70 | 91 | 105 | 109 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 7 | 43.2 | . | 85.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 69 | 88 | . | . | 92 |
| $$ | CT | Commercial Fishermen | Adult | 142 | 53.5 | . | 97.2 | 0.00 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 35 | 74 | 108 | 136 | 303 | 490 |
|  |  |  | Child | 30 | 19.1 | . | 93.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 21 | 29 | 87 | . | 128 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 6 | 29.1 | . | 83.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 29 | 43 | 61 | . | . | 63 |
|  | CT | EFNEP Participants | Adult | 36 | 64.2 | . | 91.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 23 | 54 | 174 | 312 | . | 414 |
|  |  |  | Child | 31 | 38.1 | . | 74.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 34 | 104 | 177 | . | 324 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 4 | 25.6 | . | 100 | 6.98 | . | . | 16 | 28 | 35 | . | . | . | 40 |
|  | CT | General | Adult | 337 | 32.4 | . | 89.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 43 | 71 | 99 | 186 | 494 |
|  |  |  | Child | 83 | 9.9 | . | 68.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 37 | 61 | 66 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 11 | 6.9 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 31 | . | 32 |
|  | CT | WIC Participants | Adult | 339 | 52.6 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 33 | 66 | 125 | 185 | 369 | 571 |
|  |  |  | Child | 352 | 21.1 | . | 66.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 29 | 48 | 86 | 174 | 363 |
|  |  |  | Unknown | 12 | 23.4 | . | 75.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 47 | 55 | 65 | . | 66 |
|  | FL | General | Adult | 13589 | 31.1 | . | 52.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 84 | 122 | 252 | 2339 |
|  |  |  | Child | 3592 | 13.6 | . | 39.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 44 | 63 | 112 | 810 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-156. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | SampN | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Arith } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Adult | 140 | 14.3 |  | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 16 | 31 | 46 | 127 | 140 |
|  |  | Child | 79 | 7.5 | . | 84.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 48 | 49 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| mN | Anglers | Adult | 913 | 22.2 | . | 97.7 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 48 | 68 | 165 | 434 |
| MN | Anglers | Child | 249 | 15.6 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 30 | 48 | 194 | 251 |
|  |  | Unknown | 9 | 28.7 | . | 44.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 87 | . | . | 99 |
| m | General | Adult | 650 | 21.3 | . | 96.6 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 47 | 61 | 112 | 489 |
|  |  | Child | 185 | 9.6 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 32 | 48 | 109 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 0.0 | . | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| MN | New Mothers | Adult | 216 | 19.0 | . | 93.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 21 | 40 | 67 | 192 | 227 |
|  |  | Child | 187 | 9.7 | . | 79.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 24 | 30 | 100 | 172 |
|  |  | Unknown | 12 | 0.3 | . | 16.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | . | 2 |
| ND | American Indians | Adult | 63 | 16.4 | . | 63.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 49 | 107 | 141 | 144 |
|  |  | Child | 65 | 15.4 | . | 58.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 47 | 91 | 137 | 144 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . |
| ND | Anglers | Adult | 625 | 21.0 | . | 96.5 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 24 | 47 | 71 | 119 | 172 |
|  |  | Child | 240 | 15.9 | . | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 19 | 35 | 48 | 101 | 158 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 9.2 | . | 16.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | . | . | 55 |
| ND | General | Adult | 430 | 20.2 |  | 95.5 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 45 | 71 | 126 | 240 |
|  |  | Child | 165 | 15.0 |  | 93.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 32 | 59 |  | 97 |
|  |  | Unknown | 7 | 24.0 | . | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 38 | . | . | . | 60 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-157. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult Child | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Adult | 222 | 50.4 | 29.8 | 100 | 0.34 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 30 | 58 | 102 | 166 | 337 | 550 |
|  |  | Child | 20 | 36.1 | 14.5 | 100 | 0.77 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 75 | 85 | 98 | . | 117 |
|  |  | Unknown | 15 | 48.4 | 18.3 | 100 | 0.86 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 27 | 57 | 91 | 209 | . | 322 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Adult | 16 | 17.6 | 8.3 | 100 | 0.53 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 33 | 40 | 49 | . | 54 |
|  |  | Child | 3 | 28.3 | 4.7 | 100 | 0.47 | . | . | 1 | 3 | 29 | . | . | . | 82 |
| CT | Asians | Adult | 293 | 66.3 | 48.9 | 100 | 0.23 | 13 | 20 | 34 | 54 | 84 | 128 | 183 | 228 | 245 |
|  |  | Child | 97 | 30.7 | 18.9 | 100 | 0.13 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 23 | 40 | 70 | 92 | 105 | 109 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 50.4 | 28.8 | 100 | 0.98 | . | 2 | 37 | 49 | 71 | 89 | . | . | 92 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Adult | 138 | 55.0 | 33.3 | 100 | 0.49 | 4 | 7 | 20 | 36 | 76 | 108 | 138 | 299 | 490 |
|  |  | Child | 28 | 20.5 | 11.0 | 100 | 0.27 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 22 | 30 | 90 | . | 128 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 34.9 | 29.1 | 100 | 9.80 | . | 10 | 18 | 38 | 46 | 63 | . | . | 63 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Adult | 33 | 70.1 | 30.4 | 100 | 1.35 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 73 | 177 | 325 | . | 414 |
|  |  | Child | 23 | 51.4 | 22.1 | 100 | 2.95 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 24 | 72 | 124 | 210 | . | 324 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 25.6 | 21.4 | 100 | 6.98 | . | . | 14 | 27 | 34 | . | . | . | 40 |
| CT | General | Adult | 302 | 36.3 | 21.3 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 45 | 74 | 109 | 217 | 494 |
|  |  | Child | 58 | 14.4 | 9.8 | 100 | 0.63 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 28 | 51 | 63 | 66 |
|  |  | Unknown | 9 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 100 | 0.31 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 30 | . | . | 32 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Adult | 313 | 57.0 | 31.2 | 100 | 0.34 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 35 | 72 | 135 | 193 | 381 | 571 |
|  |  | Child | 235 | 31.6 | 17.0 | 100 | 0.41 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 36 | 76 | 100 | 197 | 363 |
|  |  | Unknown | 9 | 31.2 | 24.6 | 100 | 7.08 | . | 9 | 15 | 18 | 48 | 58 | . | . | 66 |
| FL | General | Adult | 7131 | 59.2 | 37.8 | 100 | 0.17 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 41 | 66 | 118 | 171 | 350 | 2339 |
|  |  | Child | 1435 | 34.2 | 25.1 | 100 | 1.01 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 23 | 44 | 67 | 88 | 170 | 810 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-157. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-158. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | Pop Q50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Male | 204 | 53.8 |  | 97.1 | 0.00 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 32 | 67 | 118 | 175 | 394 | 550 |
|  |  | Female | 59 | 28.2 |  | 98.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 23 | 41 | 59 | 79 | 112 | 113 |
|  |  | Unknown | 3 | 0.3 | . | 33.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . | . | . | 1 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Male | 10 | 12.2 | . | 90.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 44 | 54 | . | 54 |
|  |  | Female | 15 | 16.3 | . | 66.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 41 | 72 | . | 82 |
| CT | Asians | Male | 192 | 58.2 |  | 99.0 | 0.00 | 2 | 6 | 27 | 47 | 82 | 113 | 157 | 207 | 245 |
|  |  | Female | 210 | 55.0 | . | 98.1 | 0.00 | 5 | 12 | 25 | 45 | 68 | 104 | 158 | 227 | 244 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Male | 97 | 52.5 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 36 | 72 | 108 | 131 | 394 | 490 |
|  |  | Female | 81 | 40.2 | . | 100 | 0.27 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 22 | 53 | 95 | 129 | 262 | 264 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Male | 26 | 63.7 | . | 88.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 97 | 166 | 227 | . | 414 |
|  |  | Female | 44 | 44.1 | . | 84.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 35 | 159 | 229 | . | 365 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.0 | . |  | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |  |  |  |
| CT | General | Male | 205 | 27.4 |  | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 35 | 70 | 92 | 158 | 311 |
|  |  | Female | 226 | 25.6 | . | 83.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 33 | 58 | 74 | 185 | 494 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Male | 313 | 37.7 | . | 79.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 42 | 91 | 145 | 384 | 571 |
|  |  | Female | 390 | 35.2 | . | 79.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 47 | 90 | 136 | 232 | 363 |
| FL | General | Male | 8262 | 29.1 | . | 49.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 76 | 119 | 250 | 2339 |
|  |  | Female | 8110 | 26.3 | , | 51.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 69 | 102 | 208 | 1358 |
|  |  | Unknown | 809 | 14.7 | . | 36.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 45 | 69 | 177 | 284 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-158. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\operatorname{Samp}}$ | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Male | 112 | 12.5 | - | 89.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 27 | 41 | 127 | 140 |
|  |  | Female | 109 | 10.8 | . | 88.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 118 | 119 |
| MN | Anglers | Male | 615 | 22.7 | . | 96.7 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 47 | 68 | 183 | 434 |
|  |  | Female | 556 | 18.8 | . | 95.7 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 21 | 42 | 64 | 161 | 265 |
| MN | General | Male | 422 | 16.3 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 53 | 97 | 167 |
|  |  | Female | 419 | 19.8 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 134 | 489 |
| MN | New Mothers | Male | 211 | 14.1 | . | 86.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 30 | 47 | 122 | 227 |
|  |  | Female | 204 | 14.4 | . | 83.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 34 | 52 | 174 | 224 |
| ND | American Indians | Male | $62$ | $15.8$ |  | $58.1$ | $0.00$ | $0$ | $0$ |  | $2$ | 14 |  | $103$ |  |  |
|  |  | Female | 71 | $14.8$ | . | 59.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 47 | 91 | 136 | $144$ |
| ND | Anglers | Male | 472 | 20.6 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 44 | 71 | 128 | 172 |
|  |  | Female | 399 | 18.1 | . | 94.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 21 | 40 | 66 | 113 | 172 |
| ND | General | Male | 288 | 20.2 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 25 | 46 | 71 | 120 | 130 |
|  |  | Female | 314 | 17.9 | . | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 43 | 61 | 127 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-159. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Male | 198 | 55.4 | 31.2 | 100 | 0.34 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 33 | 70 | 121 | 178 | 387 | 550 |
|  |  | Female | 58 | 28.7 | 18.5 | 100 | 0.47 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 23 | 42 | 60 | 79 | 111 | 113 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.86 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Male | 9 | 13.6 | 5.2 | 100 | 0.53 | . | 1 | 1 | 7 | 16 | 43 |  | . | 54 |
|  |  | Female | 10 | 24.5 | 10.6 | 100 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 35 | 54 | 82 | . | 82 |
| CT | Asians | Male | 190 | 58.8 | 36.9 | 100 | 0.13 | 2 | 7 | 27 | 48 | 82 | 113 | 157 | 207 | 245 |
|  |  | Female | 206 | 56.1 | 39.9 | 100 | 1.14 | 7 | 12 | 26 | 45 | 69 | 105 | 162 | 227 | 244 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Male | 90 | 56.5 | 34.1 | 100 | 0.49 | 4 | 6 | 21 | 38 | 76 | 109 | 132 | 371 | 490 |
|  |  | Female | 81 | 40.2 | 22.0 | 100 | 0.27 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 22 | 53 | 95 | 129 | 261 | 264 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Male | 23 | 72.0 | 37.1 | 100 | 5.26 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 25 | 110 | 170 | 224 | . | 414 |
|  |  | Female | 37 | 52.4 | 21.2 | 100 | 1.35 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 23 | 43 | 166 | 261 | . | 365 |
|  |  | Unknown |  | . |  | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | . |  |
| CT | General |  | 177 | 32.2 | 19.2 | 100 | 0.63 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 40 | 72 | 109 | 160 | 311 |
|  |  | Female | 192 | 30.6 | 16.0 | 100 | 0.26 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 39 | 65 | 86 | 227 | 494 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Male | 248 | 47.6 | 23.8 | 100 | 0.41 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 27 | 48 | 100 | 181 | 420 | 571 |
|  |  | Female | 309 | 44.4 | 24.2 | 100 | 0.34 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 29 | 54 | 103 | 153 | 251 | 363 |
| FL | General | Male | 4066 | 59.4 | 37.6 | 100 | 0.34 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 40 | 65 | 120 | 173 | 359 | 2339 |
|  |  | Female | 4206 | 51.0 | 33.2 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 61 | 100 | 144 | 279 | 1358 |
|  |  | Unknown | 294 | 40.8 | 29.5 | 100 | 3.25 | 10 | 16 | 18 | 24 | 46 | 84 | 140 | 211 | 284 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-159. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | Samp NC | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Male | 100 | 14.1 | 8.1 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 29 | 42 | 126 | 140 |
|  |  | Female | 96 | 12.3 | 6.3 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 43 | 118 | 119 |
| MN | Anglers | Male | 595 | 23.5 | 13.8 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 48 | 69 | 185 | 434 |
|  |  | Female | 532 | 19.7 | 11.2 | 100 | 0.44 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 21 | 43 | 64 | 163 | 265 |
| MN | General | Male | 403 | 17.2 | 10.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 37 | 54 | 97 | 167 |
|  |  | Female | 393 | 21.3 | 11.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 41 | 61 | 137 | 489 |
| MN | New Mothers | Male | 182 | 16.4 | 8.1 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 19 | 34 | 54 | 124 | 227 |
|  |  | Female | 170 | 17.3 | 8.4 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 37 | 58 | 175 | 224 |
| ND | American Indians | Male | 36 | 27.2 | 10.7 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 32 | 98 | 118 | . | 144 |
|  |  | Female | 42 | 25.1 | 9.1 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 31 | 87 | 105 | . | 144 |
| ND | Anglers |  | 450 |  | 13.5 | 100 | 0.44 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 24 | 48 | 71 | 130 | 172 |
|  |  | Female | 375 | 19.3 | 11.7 | 100 | 0.44 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 22 | 41 | 66 | 114 | 172 |
| ND | General | Male | 277 | 21.0 | 12.8 | 100 | 0.87 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 25 | 48 | 78 | 119 | 130 |
|  |  | Female | 293 | 19.1 | 10.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 45 | 63 | 133 | 240 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-160. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Eats <br> Freshwater/ Estuarine Caught Fish | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Exclusively | 2 | 32.7 | 14.7 | 100 | 3.52 | . |  | 4 | 15 | 62 |  |  |  | 62 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 197 | 55.6 | 33.0 | 100 | 0.77 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 33 | 67 | 121 | 179 | 389 | 550 |
|  |  | Never | 58 | 27.8 | 14.8 | 100 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 21 | 36 | 69 | 89 | 112 | 113 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Sometimes | 2 | 24.2 | 17.1 | 100 | 7.13 |  |  | 7 | 17 | 41 |  |  |  | 41 |
|  |  | Never | 17 | 18.8 | 6.9 | 100 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 49 | 68 | . | 82 |
| CT | Asians | Sometimes | 200 | 61.2 | 42.4 | 100 | 0.98 | 7 | 13 | 26 | 49 | 78 | 131 | 185 | 209 | 245 |
|  |  | Never | 196 | 53.4 | 34.8 | 100 | 0.13 | 4 | 7 | 26 | 44 | 77 | 105 | 124 | 228 | 244 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Sometimes | 125 | 57.8 | 38.4 | 100 | 1.90 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 38 | 76 | 109 | 145 | 315 | 490 |
|  |  | Never | 46 | 24.3 | 11.4 | 100 | 0.27 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 28 | 76 | 93 |  | 129 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Sometimes | 8 | 15.5 | 13.0 | 100 | 4.38 | . | 5 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 24 | . |  | 25 |
|  |  | Never | 52 | 66.8 | 29.3 | 100 | 1.35 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 94 | 174 | 305 | 413 | 414 |
| CT | General | Sometimes | 53 | 34.0 | 20.6 | 100 | 0.99 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 62 | 76 | 94 | 113 | 113 |
|  |  | Never | 316 | 31.0 | 17.0 | 100 | 0.26 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 66 | 94 | 191 | 494 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Sometimes | 67 | 90.1 | 48.5 | 100 | 1.85 | 9 | 15 | 23 | 44 | 105 | 234 | 384 | 531 | 571 |
|  |  | Never | 490 | 39.8 | 21.8 | 100 | 0.34 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 26 | 48 | 95 | 138 | 197 | 304 |
| FL | General | Exclusively | 288 | 41.8 | 25.7 | 100 | 1.03 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 47 | 95 | 142 | 217 | 217 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 539 | 106.4 | 72.2 | 100 | 3.75 | 20 | 28 | 43 | 69 | 120 | 202 | 305 | 522 | 1484 |
|  |  | Never | 7739 | 52.0 | 33.9 | 100 | 0.17 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 36 | 61 | 103 | 149 | 295 | 2339 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-160. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption
(as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Eats <br> Freshwater/ <br> Estuarine Caught Fish | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Exclusively | 32 | 9.9 | 4.5 | 100 | 0.58 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 24 | 30 |  | 67 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 139 | 15.9 | 10.4 | 100 | 1.60 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 34 | 47 | 124 | 140 |
|  |  | Never | 25 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 100 | 0.58 | . | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | . | 13 |
| MN | Anglers | Exclusively | 58 | 27.6 | 9.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 24 | 64 | 135 | 272 | 283 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 888 | 23.2 | 14.4 | 100 | 1.02 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 24 | 49 | 70 | 178 | 434 |
|  |  | Never | 181 | 12.3 | 6.6 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 35 | 43 | 70 | 106 |
| mN | General | Exclusively | 38 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 100 | 1.16 | . | 1 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 41 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 556 | 24.3 | 15.0 | 100 | 1.02 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 27 | 50 | 74 | 127 | 489 |
|  |  | Never | 202 | 12.2 | 7.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 23 | 41 | 67 | 109 |
| mN | New Mothers | Exclusively | 17 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 100 | 0.58 | . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | . | . | 9 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 198 | 22.5 | 13.1 | 100 | 1.60 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 40 | 71 | 197 | 227 |
|  |  | Never | 137 | 10.5 | 5.2 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 26 | 42 | 72 | 73 |
| ND | American Indians | Exclusively | 8 | 36.7 | 14.1 | 100 | 2.79 | . | . | 3 | 10 | 62 | 106 | . | . | 122 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 32 | 42.9 | 26.3 | 100 | 7.90 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 19 | 65 | 106 | 126 | . | 144 |
|  |  | Never | 38 | 9.6 | 3.9 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 25 | 37 | . | 47 |
| ND | Anglers | Exclusively | 48 | 12.5 | 5.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 23 | 94 | . | 95 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 676 | 23.0 | 15.5 | 100 | 2.04 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 49 | 71 | 128 | 172 |
|  |  | Never | 101 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 100 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 23 | 48 | 64 |
| ND | General | Exclusively | 33 | 13.3 | 8.4 | 100 | 1.16 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 22 | 25 | . | 127 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 376 | 23.3 | 15.3 | 100 | 1.60 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 27 | 53 | 83 | 127 | 240 |
|  |  | Never | 161 | 13.5 | 6.4 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 34 | 65 | 94 | 121 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-161. Fish consumption, per capita, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | SampN | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | 266 | 64.5 |  | 96.6 | 0.00 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 38 | 80 | 140 | 217 | 426 | 724 |
|  | Aquaculture Students | 25 | 20.7 |  | 76.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 38 | 59 | 83 |  | 109 |
|  | Asians | 402 | 76.1 |  | 98.5 | 0.00 | 4 | 12 | 34 | 62 | 103 | 148 | 206 | 304 | 350 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 178 | 63.8 |  | 96.1 | 0.00 | 1 | 6 | 19 | 39 | 89 | 139 | 175 | 373 | 639 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 71 | 67.5 |  | 84.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 30 | 58 | 214 | 245 | 552 | 566 |
|  | General | 431 | 36.1 |  | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 46 | 90 | 113 | 227 | 651 |
|  | WIC Participants | 703 | 49.6 |  | 79.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 60 | 126 | 192 | 365 | 753 |
| FL | General | 17181 | 34.1 |  | 49.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 89 | 137 | 288 | 2605 |
| MN | American Indians | 221 | 15.6 | . | 88.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 17 | 32 | 53 | 158 | 186 |
|  | Anglers | 1171 | 27.8 |  | 96.2 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 30 | 60 | 86 | 228 | 578 |
|  | General | 841 | 24.1 |  | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 52 | 73 | 138 | 651 |
|  | New Mothers | 415 | 19.0 |  | 84.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 23 | 42 | 65 | 191 | 302 |
| ND | American Indians | 133 | 20.4 |  | 58.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 62 | 130 | 185 | 192 |
|  | Anglers | 871 | 26.0 |  | 94.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 30 | 56 | 91 | 156 | 229 |
|  | General | 602 | 25.3 |  | 94.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 86 | 163 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-162. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-163. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | SampN | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Adult | 227 | 66.9 | - | 97.8 | 0.00 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 79 | 148 | 223 | 456 | 724 |
|  |  | Child | 20 | 49.1 | . | 100 | 1.10 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 23 | 102 | 114 | 136 | . | 159 |
|  |  | Unknown | 19 | 51.7 | . | 78.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 56 | 111 | 294 | . | 430 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Adult | 19 | 21.3 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 42 | 55 | 67 | . | 74 |
|  |  | Child | 6 | 18.9 | . | 50.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 99 | . | . | 109 |
| CT | Asians | Adult | 294 | 89.2 | . | 99.7 | 0.00 | 17 | 27 | 44 | 72 | 113 | 168 | 240 | 319 | 350 |
|  |  | Child | 101 | 39.2 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 30 | 52 | 95 | 120 | 140 | 147 |
|  |  | Unknown | 7 | 57.1 | . | 85.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 50 | 92 | 116 | . | . | 122 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Adult | 142 | 72.8 | . | 97.2 | 0.00 | 2 | 7 | 24 | 49 | 101 | 147 | 185 | 401 | 639 |
|  |  | Child | 30 | 25.8 | . | 93.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 19 | 32 | 39 | 115 | . | 172 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 41.7 | . | 83.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 43 | 63 | 87 | . | . | 89 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Adult | 36 | 86.1 | . | 91.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 31 | 73 | 232 | 423 | . | 566 |
|  |  | Child | 31 | 50.1 | . | 74.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 44 | 137 | 234 | . | 418 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 34.3 | . | 100 | 9.41 | . | . | 22 | 37 | 47 | . | . | . | 54 |
| CT | General | Adult | 337 | 44.2 | . | 89.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 58 | 97 | 134 | 235 | 651 |
|  |  | Child | 83 | 13.6 | . | 68.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 33 | 50 | 82 | 90 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 9.5 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 41 | 43 | . | 44 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Adult | 339 | 71.8 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 44 | 92 | 170 | 255 | 539 | 753 |
|  |  | Child | 352 | 28.8 | . | 66.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 39 | 66 | 117 | 232 | 620 |
|  |  | Unknown | 12 | 30.5 | . | 75.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 61 | 72 | 83 | . | 85 |
| FL | General |  |  | $39.1$ |  | $52.5$ | $0.00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 53 | 105 | 154 | 313 | 2605 |
|  |  | Child | $3592$ | $16.9$ | . | 39.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 55 | 76 | 137 | 990 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-163. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | SampN | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Adult | 140 | 19.0 | . | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 21 | 41 | 61 | 169 | 186 |
|  |  | Child | 79 | 10.0 | . | 84.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 19 | 21 | 64 | 65 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | Anglers | Adult | 913 | 29.6 | - | 97.7 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 32 | 64 | 91 | 221 | 578 |
|  |  | Child | 249 | 20.8 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 21 | 40 | 64 | 259 | 334 |
|  |  | Unknown | 9 | 38.3 | . | 44.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 116 | . | . | 132 |
| MN | General | Adult | 650 | 28.3 | - | 96.6 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 62 | 81 | 150 | 651 |
|  |  | Child | 185 | 12.8 | . | 92.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 42 | 64 | 145 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 0.0 | . | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| MN | New Mothers | Adult | 216 | 25.3 | . | 93.1 | $0.00$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 28 | 53 | 89 | 256 | $302$ |
|  |  | Child | 187 | 13.0 | . | 79.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 33 | 40 | 134 | 230 |
|  |  | Unknown | 12 | 0.3 | . | 16.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | . | 2 |
| ND | American Indians | Adult | 63 | 21.8 | . | 63.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 65 | 142 | 188 | 192 |
|  |  | Child | 65 | 20.5 | . | 58.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 62 | 121 | 183 | 192 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | , |
| ND | Anglers | Adult | 625 | 27.9 | . | 96.5 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 32 | 63 | 95 | 158 | 229 |
|  |  | Child | 240 | 21.2 | . | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 26 | 46 | 63 | 135 | 210 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 12.2 | . | 16.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | . | . | 73 |
| ND | General | Adult | 430 | 27.0 | . | 95.5 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 60 | 94 | 168 | 320 |
|  |  | Child | 165 | 20.0 | . | 93.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 25 | 43 | 79 | . | 130 |
|  |  | Unknown | 7 | 32.0 | . | 75.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 51 | . | . | . | 79 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-164. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult Child | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Samp } \\ & \text { NC } \end{aligned}$ | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Adult | 222 | 68.4 | 40.4 | 100 | 0.46 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 42 | 80 | 149 | 225 | 448 | 724 |
|  |  | Child | 20 | 49.1 | 19.9 | 100 | 1.10 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 23 | 101 | 114 | 130 | . | 159 |
|  |  | Unknown | 15 | 65.5 | 25.1 | 100 | 1.14 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 37 | 78 | 127 | 283 | . | 430 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Adult | 16 | 25.3 | 11.6 | 100 | 0.70 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 45 | 58 | 68 | . | 74 |
|  |  | Child | 3 | 37.7 | 6.3 | 100 | 0.65 | . | . | 1 | 4 | 39 | . | . | . | 109 |
| CT | Asians | Adult | 293 | 89.5 | 66.9 | 100 | 0.94 | 17 | 28 | 44 | 72 | 114 | 168 | 240 | 319 | 350 |
|  |  | Child | 97 | 40.8 | 25.4 | 100 | 0.17 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 31 | 55 | 97 | 121 | 139 | 147 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 66.6 | 38.1 | 100 | 1.31 | . | 2 | 49 | 65 | 94 | 117 | . | . | 122 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Adult | 138 | 74.9 | 45.6 | 100 | 0.65 | 6 | 10 | 27 | 50 | 101 | 147 | 186 | 396 | 639 |
|  |  | Child | 28 | 27.7 | 15.0 | 100 | 0.36 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 32 | 40 | 118 | . | 172 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 50.0 | 41.0 | 100 | 12.9 | . | 13 | 24 | 58 | 67 | 89 | . | . | 89 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Adult | 33 | 93.9 | 40.5 | 100 | 1.80 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 96 | 235 | 440 | . | 566 |
|  |  | Child | 23 | 67.6 | 29.4 | 100 | 4.02 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 33 | 94 | 164 | 276 | . | 418 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 34.3 | 28.8 | 100 | 9.41 | . | . | 20 | 37 | 46 | . | . | . | 54 |
| CT | General | Adult | 302 | 49.4 | 29.5 | 100 | 0.46 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 31 | 60 | 100 | 141 | 278 | 651 |
|  |  | Child | 58 | 19.7 | 13.7 | 100 | 0.83 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 37 | 68 | 85 | 90 |
|  |  | Unknown | 9 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 100 | 0.42 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 42 | . | . | 44 |
| CT | WIC Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 9 |  | 48 | 96 |  | 263 | 542 | 753 |
|  |  | Child | 235 | 43.1 | 22.9 | 100 | 0.54 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 23 | 48 | 103 | 134 | 263 | 620 |
|  |  | Unknown | 9 | 40.7 | 32.0 | 100 | 9.24 | . | 12 | 19 | 23 | 63 | 76 | . | . | 85 |
| FL | General | Adult | 7131 | 74.6 | 48.2 | 100 | 0.28 | 11 | 17 | 27 | 50 | 86 | 148 | 214 | 448 | 2605 |
|  |  | Child | 1435 | 42.5 | 31.4 | 100 | 1.43 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 29 | 55 | 83 | 107 | 218 | 990 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-164. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult Child | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Adult | 129 | 20.6 | 10.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 23 | 45 | 63 | 167 | 186 |
|  |  | Child | 67 | 11.8 | 8.0 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 22 | 64 | 65 |
|  |  | Unknown | . | . | . | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | Anglers | Adult | 892 | 30.3 | 18.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 32 | 64 | 91 | 221 | 578 |
|  |  | Child | 231 | 22.4 | 12.0 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 43 | 67 | 263 | 334 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 86.1 | 77.9 | 100 | 35.8 | . | . | 59 | 88 | 107 | . | . | . | 132 |
| MN | General | Adult | 623 | 29.3 | 17.1 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 31 | 63 | 82 | 152 | 651 |
|  |  | Child | 172 | 13.8 | 8.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 18 | 27 | 43 | 66 | 145 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 100 | 1.40 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| MN | New Mothers | Adult | 201 | 27.2 | 14.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 94 | 260 | 302 |
|  |  | Child | 149 | 16.3 | 8.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 22 | 38 | 46 | 159 | 230 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100 | 1.86 | . | . | 2 | 2 | 2 | . |  | . | 2 |
| ND | American Indians | Adult | 40 | 34.4 | 13.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 30 | 140 | 153 | . | 192 |
|  |  | Child | 38 | 35.1 | 12.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 50 | 117 | 128 | . | 192 |
|  |  | Unknown | . | . | . | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| ND | Anglers |  | 603 | 29.0 | 17.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 32 | 65 | 95 | 160 | 229 |
|  |  | Child | 221 | 23.0 | 14.9 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 28 | 47 | 64 | 142 | 210 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 73.2 | 73.2 | 100 | 73.2 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 73 |
| ND | General | Adult | 410 | 28.2 | 16.8 | 100 | 0.78 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 33 | 61 | 103 | 169 | 320 |
|  |  | Child | 155 | 21.3 | 11.9 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 27 | 44 | 79 | . | 130 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 42.5 | 33.1 | 100 | 11.9 | . | . | 19 | 31 | 60 | . |  | . | 79 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-165. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samp } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Male | 204 | 72.8 | - | 97.1 | 0.00 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 43 | 90 | 160 | 239 | 528 | 724 |
|  |  | Female | 59 | 39.1 | . | 98.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 30 | 54 | 81 | 109 | 171 | 173 |
|  |  | Unknown | 3 | 0.4 | . | 33.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . | . | . | 1 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Male | 10 | 17.1 | . | 90.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 22 | 61 | 74 | . | 74 |
|  |  | Female | 15 | 23.1 | . | 66.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 42 | 59 | 97 | . | 109 |
| CT | Asians | Male | 192 | 78.0 | . | 99.0 | 0.00 | 3 | 8 | 35 | 63 | 110 | 150 | 200 | 278 | 318 |
|  |  | Female | 210 | 74.4 | . | 98.1 | 0.00 | 6 | 16 | 33 | 61 | 94 | 144 | 224 | 324 | 350 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Male | 97 | 71.5 | . | 92.8 | 0.00 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 98 | 147 | 178 | 518 | 639 |
|  |  | Female | 81 | 54.6 | . | 100 | 0.36 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 32 | 71 | 130 | 174 | 350 | 356 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Male | 26 | 85.1 | . | 88.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 32 | 128 | 224 | 304 | . | 566 |
|  |  | Female | 44 | 58.6 | . | 84.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 45 | 211 | 297 | . | 499 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . |
| CT | General |  | $205$ | $37.6$ |  | $85.1$ | $0.00$ | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 48 |  | $125$ | $216$ | $411$ |
|  |  | Female | $226$ | $34.7$ | . | 83.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 46 | 78 | 100 | 235 | 651 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Male | 313 | 51.1 | . | 79.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 56 | 125 | 195 | 542 | 753 |
|  |  | Female | 390 | 48.3 | . | 79.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 63 | 128 | 182 | 313 | 620 |
| FL | General | Male | 8262 | 36.7 | . | 49.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 99 | 148 | 315 | 2605 |
|  |  | Female | 8110 | 33.1 | . | 51.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 87 | 128 | 260 | 1770 |
|  |  | Unknown | 809 | 18.3 | . | 36.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 56 | 86 | 216 | 346 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-165. Fish consumption, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Arith } \end{gathered}$ Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Pop Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Male | 112 | 16.7 |  | 89.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 19 | 36 | 54 | 169 | 186 |
|  |  | Female | 109 | 14.4 | . | 88.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 52 | 157 | 158 |
| MN | Anglers | Male | 615 | 30.3 | . | 96.7 | 0.00 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 33 | 63 | 91 | 244 | 578 |
|  |  | Female | 556 | 25.1 | . | 95.7 | 0.00 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 28 | 56 | 85 | 215 | 354 |
| MN | General | Male | 422 | 21.8 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 26 | 46 | 71 | 129 | 222 |
|  |  | Female | 419 | 26.5 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 26 | 54 | 80 | 179 | 651 |
| MN | New Mothers | Male | 211 | 18.8 | . | 86.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 23 | 40 | 63 | 163 | 302 |
|  |  | Female | 204 | 19.3 | . | 83.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 22 | 46 | 69 | 232 | 298 |
| ND | American Indians | Male | 62 | 21.0 | . | 58.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 65 | 137 | 188 | 192 |
|  |  | Female | 71 | 19.8 | . | 59.2 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 62 | 122 | 181 | 192 |
| ND | Anglers |  |  | $27.5$ |  | $95.3$ | $0.00$ | $1$ | $4$ |  |  | $32$ |  |  | $171$ | $229$ |
|  |  | Female | 399 | $24.2$ | . | $94.0$ | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | $16$ | 28 | 53 | 88 | $151$ | $229$ |
| ND | General | Male | 288 | 27.0 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 33 | 61 | 95 | 159 | 174 |
|  |  | Female | 314 | 23.8 |  | 93.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 27 | 57 | 82 | 169 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-166. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Male | 198 | 75.0 | 42.3 | 100 | 0.46 | 6 | 12 | 22 | 44 | 92 | 160 | 242 | 519 | 724 |
|  |  | Female | 58 | 39.8 | 25.5 | 100 | 0.62 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 31 | 55 | 81 | 110 | 170 | 173 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100 | 1.14 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Male | 9 | 19.0 | 7.2 | 100 | 0.70 | . | 1 | 2 | 10 | 26 | 59 | . | . | 74 |
|  |  | Female | 10 | 34.7 | 15.0 | 100 | 0.65 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 36 | 49 | 76 | 109 | . | 109 |
| CT | Asians | Male | 190 | 78.8 | 50.7 | 100 | 0.17 | 4 | 10 | 36 | 64 | 111 | 151 | 200 | 277 | 318 |
|  |  | Female | 206 | 75.8 | 53.9 | 100 | 1.45 | 9 | 19 | 34 | 61 | 95 | 147 | 226 | 324 | 350 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Male | 90 | 77.0 | 46.7 | 100 | 0.65 | 6 | 9 | 27 | 53 | 106 | 150 | 181 | 488 | 639 |
|  |  | Female | 81 | 54.6 | 30.0 | 100 | 0.36 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 32 | 71 | 130 | 174 | 347 | 356 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Male | 23 | 96.2 | 49.2 | 100 | 6.77 | 9 | 12 | 20 | 34 | 145 | 229 | 298 | . | 566 |
|  |  | Female | 37 | 69.7 | 28.3 | 100 | 1.80 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 31 | 58 | 223 | 338 | . | 499 |
|  |  | Unknown | . | . | . | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| CT | General | Male | 177 | 44.1 | 26.7 | 100 | 0.83 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 29 | 56 | 99 | 141 | 219 | 411 |
|  |  | Female | 192 | 41.6 | 22.2 | 100 | 0.42 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 25 | 53 | 86 | 114 | 290 | 651 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Male | 248 | 64.5 | 32.1 | 100 | 0.54 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 36 | 66 | 131 | 245 | 552 | 753 |
|  |  | Female | 309 | 61.0 | 32.9 | 100 | 0.46 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 40 | 76 | 135 | 209 | 331 | 620 |
| FL | General |  | 4066 | 74.8 | 47.9 | 100 | 0.56 | 11 | 17 | 27 | 50 | 84 | 149 | 221 | 451 | 2605 |
|  |  | Female | 4206 | 64.2 | 42.2 | 100 | 0.28 | 9 | 14 | 23 | 43 | 76 | 126 | 182 | 349 | 1770 |
|  |  | Unknown | 294 | 50.8 | 37.0 | 100 | 4.57 | 14 | 21 | 22 | 29 | 58 | 102 | 172 | 257 | 346 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-166. Fish consumption, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | Samp NC | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | ```Percent Eating Fish``` | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Male | 100 | 18.7 | 10.9 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 169 | 186 |
|  |  | Female | 96 | 16.4 | 8.4 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 31 | 58 | 157 | 158 |
| MN | Anglers | Male | 595 | 31.3 | 18.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 34 | 64 | 92 | 247 | 578 |
|  |  | Female | 532 | 26.3 | 14.9 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 28 | 57 | 85 | 218 | 354 |
| MN | General | Male | 403 | 22.9 | 14.0 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 16 | 27 | 49 | 72 | 129 | 222 |
|  |  | Female | 393 | 28.3 | 14.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 27 | 54 | 81 | 183 | 651 |
| MN | New Mothers | Male | 182 | 21.8 | 10.8 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 26 | 45 | 72 | 165 | 302 |
|  |  | Female | 170 | 23.1 | 11.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 26 | 49 | 78 | 233 | 298 |
| ND | American Indians |  |  | $36.2$ |  | $100$ | $0.78$ |  |  |  | $16$ |  |  |  |  | 192 |
|  |  | Female | 42 | 33.4 | 12.1 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | $1$ | 3 | 18 | 42 | 116 | $140$ | . | 192 |
| ND | Anglers | Male | 450 | 28.8 | 18.0 | 100 | 0.58 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 33 | 63 | 94 | 173 | 229 |
|  |  | Female | 375 | 25.7 | 15.6 | 100 | 0.58 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 29 | 55 | 88 | 152 | 229 |
| ND | General |  | $277$ | 28.0 | 17.1 | 100 | 1.16 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 18 | 34 | 64 | 104 | 159 | 174 |
|  |  | Female | $293$ | 25.5 | 14.2 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 29 | 59 | 84 | 178 | 320 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-167. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Eats <br> Freshwater/ Estuarine Caught Fish | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Exclusively | 2 | 45.2 | 20.0 | 100 | 4.69 | . |  | 5 | 20 | 86 |  |  |  | 86 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 197 | 75.5 | 45.0 | 100 | 1.10 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 44 | 91 | 163 | 242 | 521 | 724 |
|  |  | Never | 58 | 37.7 | 20.0 | 100 | 0.46 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 27 | 49 | 91 | 120 | 150 | 150 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Sometimes | 2 | 34.6 | 24.0 | 100 | 9.70 | . |  | 10 | 24 | 59 |  |  |  | 59 |
|  |  | Never | 17 | 26.4 | 9.6 | 100 | 0.65 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 45 | 67 | 91 |  | 109 |
| CT | Asians | Sometimes | 200 | 82.1 | 57.1 | 100 | 1.31 | 9 | 18 | 35 | 66 | 102 | 171 | 263 | 278 | 318 |
|  |  | Never | 196 | 72.3 | 47.9 | 100 | 0.17 | 5 | 10 | 33 | 60 | 103 | 141 | 164 | 325 | 350 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Sometimes | 125 | 78.7 | 52.6 | 100 | 2.51 | 9 | 19 | 30 | 52 | 101 | 152 | 194 | 416 | 639 |
|  |  | Never | 46 | 33.1 | 15.5 | 100 | 0.36 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 38 | 102 | 134 |  | 171 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Sometimes | 8 | 20.7 | 17.3 | 100 | 5.64 | . | 6 | 10 | 21 | 31 | 33 | . | . | 34 |
|  |  | Never | 52 | 88.9 | 39.0 | 100 | 1.80 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 40 | 124 | 233 | 393 | 563 | 566 |
| CT | General | Sometimes | 53 | 47.2 | 28.8 | 100 | 1.97 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 31 | 82 | 101 | 126 | 185 | 187 |
|  |  | Never | 316 | 42.1 | 23.6 | 100 | 0.42 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 53 | 92 | 121 | 242 | 651 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Sometimes | 67 | 127.0 | 66.3 | 100 | 2.37 | 12 | 20 | 31 | 61 | 150 | 318 | 556 | 725 | 753 |
|  |  | Never | 490 | 53.7 | 29.6 | 100 | 0.46 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 36 | 67 | 127 | 182 | 272 | 429 |
| FL | General | Exclusively | 288 | 53.7 | 33.7 | 100 | 1.43 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 34 | 58 | 121 | 174 | 289 | 289 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 539 | 136.6 | 93.3 | 100 | 5.36 | 27 | 36 | 55 | 87 | 156 | 257 | 402 | 680 | 1770 |
|  |  | Never | 7739 | 65.2 | 43.0 | 100 | 0.28 | 10 | 16 | 25 | 43 | 76 | 129 | 185 | 366 | 2605 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-167. Fish consumption, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Eats <br> Freshwater/ <br> Estuarine <br> Caught Fish | Samp <br> NC | Arith Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Exclusively | 32 | 13.2 | 6.0 | 100 | 0.78 | . | 1 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 31 | 40 |  | 89 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 139 | 21.2 | 13.9 | 100 | 2.14 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 20 | 45 | 62 | 166 | 186 |
|  |  | Never | 25 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 100 | 0.78 | . | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | . | 18 |
| MN | Anglers | Exclusively | 58 | 36.8 | 12.7 | 100 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 32 | 85 | 179 | 363 | 377 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 888 | 30.9 | 19.3 | 100 | 1.36 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 32 | 66 | 93 | 238 | 578 |
|  |  | Never | 181 | 16.5 | 8.8 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 20 | 47 | 57 | 93 | 141 |
| MN | General | Exclusively | 38 | 9.1 | 5.3 | 100 | 1.55 | . | 2 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 54 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 556 | 32.4 | 20.0 | 100 | 1.36 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 19 | 36 | 67 | 98 | 170 | 651 |
|  |  | Never | 202 | 16.3 | 9.4 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 21 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 145 |
| mN | New Mothers | Exclusively | 17 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 100 | 0.78 | . | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | . | . | 11 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 198 | 29.9 | 17.4 | 100 | 2.14 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 33 | 53 | 94 | 262 | 302 |
|  |  | Never | 137 | 14.0 | 6.9 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 35 | 56 | 96 | 98 |
| ND | American Indians | Exclusively | 8 | 48.9 | 18.8 | 100 | 3.72 |  | . | 5 | 13 | 82 | 141 |  | . | 163 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 32 | 57.2 | 35.0 | 100 | 10.5 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 25 | 87 | 142 | 167 | . | 192 |
|  |  | Never | 38 | 12.8 | 5.2 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 33 | 49 | . | 63 |
| ND | Anglers | Exclusively | 48 | 16.6 | 7.3 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 31 | 125 |  | 127 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 676 | 30.6 | 20.6 | 100 | 2.72 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 35 | 65 | 94 | 171 | 229 |
|  |  | Never | 101 | 11.2 | 6.5 | 100 | 0.58 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 24 | 31 | 64 | 85 |
| ND | General | Exclusively | 33 | 17.8 | 11.2 | 100 | 1.55 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 33 | . | 170 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 376 | 31.1 | 20.5 | 100 | 2.14 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 37 | 71 | 111 | 169 | 320 |
|  |  | Never | 161 | 18.0 | 8.6 | 100 | 0.78 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 45 | 87 | 126 | 162 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-168. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Population for sample selection | SampN | Pop Arith Mean | Pop Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Pop <br> Min | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | 250 | 0.640 | . | 97.6 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.40 | 0.79 | 1.51 | 2.07 | 3.38 | 7.09 |
|  | Aquaculture Students | 25 | 0.224 | . | 76.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 0.89 | . | 1.00 |
|  | Asians | 396 | 1.153 | . | 99.2 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 0.91 | 1.53 | 2.28 | 3.15 | 4.16 | 4.89 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 173 | 0.650 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.86 | 1.51 | 1.63 | 4.31 | 5.84 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 67 | 0.995 | . | 86.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 1.04 | 2.46 | 3.50 | 11.84 | 12.99 |
|  | General | 420 | 0.410 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 6.99 |
|  | WIC Participants | 699 | 0.801 | . | 79.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 1.93 | 3.02 | 5.32 | 18.11 |
| FL | General | 15367 | 0.467 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 1.27 | 1.91 | 3.99 | 34.37 |
| MN | American Indians | 216 | 0.212 | . |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.52 |  |  | 2.38 |
|  | Anglers | 1152 | 0.306 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 0.97 | 2.21 | 4.63 |
|  | General | 837 | 0.309 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.81 | 9.21 |
|  | New Mothers | 401 | 0.325 | . | 85.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.80 | 1.21 | 2.65 | 8.26 |
| ND | American Indians | 106 | 0.352 | . | 60.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 1.10 | 2.27 | 4.35 | 5.28 |
|  | Anglers | 854 | 0.323 | . | 94.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.77 | 1.14 | 2.01 | 5.89 |
|  | General | 575 | 0.322 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 2.17 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-169. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Samp NC | Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | 244 | 0.66 | 0.38 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.80 | 1.55 | 2.07 | 3.48 | 7.09 |
|  | Aquaculture Students | 19 | 0.30 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.75 | 0.91 |  | 1.00 |
|  | Asians | 393 | 1.16 | 0.83 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.91 | 1.55 | 2.28 | 3.16 | 4.16 | 4.89 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 166 | 0.68 | 0.42 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.86 | 1.53 | 1.65 | 4.32 | 5.84 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 58 | 1.15 | 0.48 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.39 | 1.38 | 2.69 | 4.51 | 11.83 | 12.99 |
|  | General | 362 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 2.40 | 6.99 |
|  | WIC Participants | 553 | 1.01 | 0.55 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.61 | 1.23 | 2.30 | 3.39 | 5.32 | 18.11 |
| FL | General | 7757 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 1.07 | 1.89 | 2.73 | 5.70 | 34.37 |
| MN | American Indians | 192 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.53 | 0.70 | 1.71 | 2.38 |
|  | Anglers | 1109 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.67 | 0.99 | 2.22 | 4.63 |
|  | General | 793 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 1.08 | 1.84 | 9.21 |
|  | New Mothers | 341 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.89 | 1.30 | 2.78 | 8.26 |
| ND | American Indians | 64 | 0.58 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.63 | 1.75 | 2.65 | 4.88 | 5.28 |
|  | Anglers | 808 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.81 | 1.17 | 2.03 | 5.89 |
|  | General | 546 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 2.20 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-170. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kgday)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | SampN | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Adult | 220 | 0.626 | . | 98.2 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.78 | 1.36 | 2.08 | 4.00 | 7.09 |
|  |  | Child | 20 | 0.809 | . | 100 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.56 | 1.55 | 1.81 | 1.91 |  | 1.94 |
|  |  | Unknown | 10 | 0.595 | . | 80.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.56 | 2.08 | 3.38 | . | 3.38 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Adult | 19 | 0.234 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.47 | 0.64 | 0.76 | . | 0.85 |
|  |  | Child | 6 | 0.194 | . | 50.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.91 | . | . | 1.00 |
| CT | Asians | Adult | 294 | 1.173 | . | 99.7 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.94 | 1.54 | 2.22 | 3.16 | 4.37 | 4.89 |
|  |  | Child | 95 | 1.121 | . | 98.9 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.73 | 1.59 | 2.29 | 3.13 | 4.09 | 4.12 |
|  |  | Unknown | 7 | 0.730 | . | 85.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.70 | 1.13 | 1.60 | . | . | 1.70 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Adult | 138 | 0.698 | . | 97.1 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.89 | 1.57 | 1.69 | 4.80 | 5.84 |
|  |  | Child | 29 | 0.473 | . | 93.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 1.55 |  | 1.88 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 0.418 | . | 83.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 0.80 | . | . | 0.82 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Adult |  | 0.833 |  | 91.2 |  | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 0.30 | 0.66 | 1.75 | 5.36 |  | 6.20 |
|  |  | Child | 29 | 1.272 | . | 79.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.33 | 1.60 | 2.82 | 3.54 | . | 12.99 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 0.369 | . | 100 | 0.12 | . | . | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.54 | . | . | . | 0.66 |
| CT | General | Adult | 331 | 0.437 | . | 89.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.57 | 0.99 | 1.35 | 2.68 | 6.99 |
|  |  | Child | 78 | 0.365 |  | 72.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.54 | 1.10 | 1.24 | 1.57 | 1.59 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 0.089 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.45 | , | 0.45 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Adult | 336 | 0.706 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.92 | 1.64 | 2.29 | 5.03 | 8.39 |
|  |  | Child | 351 | 0.902 |  | 66.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 1.20 | 2.38 | 3.55 | 5.40 | 18.11 |
|  |  | Unknown | 12 | 0.503 | . | 75.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 1.18 | 1.36 | . | 1.39 |
| FL | General | Adult | 12078 | 0.442 | . | 53.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 1.16 | 1.70 | 3.46 | 34.37 |
|  |  | Child | 3289 | 0.557 | . | 40.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 1.74 | 2.67 | 5.55 | 14.63 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-170. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kgday) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Adult | 139 | 0.188 | . | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.57 | 2.09 | 2.38 |
|  |  | Child | 75 | 0.260 | . | 85.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | Anglers | Adult | 906 | 0.291 | . | 97.7 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.62 | 0.93 | 2.04 | 4.63 |
| MN | Anglers | Child | 242 | 0.365 | . | 92.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.92 | 1.22 | 2.38 | 3.16 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | General | Adult | 648 | 0.297 | . | 96.6 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.90 | 1.64 | 9.21 |
|  |  | Child | 184 | 0.361 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.84 | 1.13 | 2.29 | 8.00 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 0.003 | . | 1.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| MN | New Mothers | Adult | 208 | 0.212 | . | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 1.30 | 2.16 |
|  |  | Child | 182 | 0.471 | . | 79.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.51 | 1.18 | 1.76 | 3.61 | 8.26 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 0.036 | . | 18.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | . | 0.20 |
| ND | American Indians | Adult |  |  |  | $63.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2.58$ | 2.64 |
|  |  | Child | 50 | 0.531 | . | 58.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 1.98 | 2.66 | 5.28 | 5.28 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| ND | Anglers | Adult | 615 | 0.269 |  | 96.4 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.95 | 1.70 | 2.10 |
|  |  | Child | $234$ | $0.470$ | . | 91.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.57 | 1.12 | 1.53 | 3.11 | 5.89 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | $0.000$ | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| ND | General | Adult | 414 | 0.283 |  | 95.8 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 1.02 | 1.92 | 4.23 |
|  |  | Child | 158 | $0.443$ |  | $94.3$ | $0.00$ | $0.00$ | $0.05$ | $0.10$ | $0.22$ | $0.43$ | 1.04 | 1.49 | 4.82 | 6.75 |
|  |  | Unknown | 3 | 0.107 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | . | . |  | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children $<18$.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-171. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-171. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kg-day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult Child | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Adult | 128 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.57 | 2.11 | 2.38 |
|  |  | Child | 64 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
|  |  | Unknown | . |  |  | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | Anglers | Adult | 885 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 0.94 | 2.05 | 4.63 |
| MN | Anglers | Child | 224 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.95 | 1.28 | 2.47 | 3.16 |
|  |  | Unknown |  |  |  | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . |
| mN | General | Adult | 621 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.94 | 1.64 | 9.21 |
|  |  | Child | 171 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.96 | 1.15 | 2.30 | 8.00 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.18 | . | . |  | . | . |  |  |  | 0.18 |
| MN | New Mothers |  | 195 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 100 |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | 0.73 | 1.30 |  |
|  |  | Child | 144 | 0.60 | 0.32 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.74 | 1.39 | 2.13 | 4.11 | 8.26 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.19 | . | . | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.20 | . | . | . | 0.20 |
| ND | American Indians | Adult | 35 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 1.03 | 1.31 | . | 2.64 |
|  |  | Child | 29 | 0.92 | 0.34 | $100$ | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 1.17 | 2.52 | 3.67 | . | 5.28 |
|  |  | Unknown |  |  |  | 100 | . |  | . |  | . | . | . |  | . |  |
| ND | Anglers | Adult | 593 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 0.98 | 1.72 | 2.10 |
|  |  | Child | 215 | 0.51 | 0.30 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.60 | 1.14 | 1.64 | 3.24 | 5.89 |
|  |  | Unknown | . |  |  | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | . |
| ND | General | Adult | 396 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.63 | 1.03 | 1.94 | 4.23 |
|  |  | Child | 149 | 0.47 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 1.07 | 1.53 | 4.63 | 6.75 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.34 | . | . |  | . | . | . |  |  | 0.34 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-172. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $\mathrm{CT}, \mathrm{MN}, \mathrm{ND}$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-172. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-173. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-173. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kgday) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Gender | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Male | 97 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 1.27 | 1.31 |
|  |  | Female | 95 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.59 | 0.95 | 2.19 | 2.38 |
| MN | Anglers | Male | 587 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.63 | 0.93 | 2.18 | 4.34 |
|  |  | Female | 522 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.72 | 1.05 | 2.93 | 4.63 |
| MN | General | Male | 401 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 1.52 | 8.00 |
|  |  | Female | 392 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.70 | 1.22 | 1.88 | 9.21 |
| MN | New Mothers | Male | 177 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.37 | 0.75 | 1.06 | 1.77 | 2.13 |
|  |  | Female | 164 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.44 | 1.04 | 1.83 | 3.76 | 8.26 |
| ND | American Indians |  |  | $0.60$ | $0.18$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Female |  | 0.57 | 0.21 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 2.25 | $2.55$ | . | $2.66$ |
| ND | Anglers | Male | 445 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.78 | 1.14 | 1.94 | 5.89 |
|  |  | Female | 363 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.83 | 1.29 | 2.07 | 4.13 |
| ND | General |  | $265$ | $0.33$ | $0.18$ |  |  | $0.03$ | $0.04$ | $0.09$ |  | $0.34$ |  |  |  | 4.29 |
|  |  | Female | $281$ | $0.34$ | $0.19$ | $100$ | $0.01$ | $0.03$ | $0.05$ | $0.10$ | $0.18$ | $0.35$ | $0.74$ | $1.20$ | $2.31$ | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-174. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-174. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/kg-day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Eats <br> Freshwater/ Estuarine Caught Fish | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Exclusively | 31 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 0.55 |  | 1.40 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 136 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.57 | 0.92 | 2.09 | 2.38 |
|  |  | Never | 25 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.15 | . | 0.23 |
| MN | Anglers | Exclusively | 57 | 0.35 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.35 | 0.89 | 1.93 | 2.76 | 2.83 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 879 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.71 | 1.05 | 2.28 | 4.63 |
|  |  | Never | 173 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.66 | 1.15 | 2.12 |
| MN | General | Exclusively | 38 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.51 |  | 0.57 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 555 | 0.40 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.70 | 1.32 | 2.28 | 9.21 |
|  |  | Never | 200 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.56 | 0.91 | 1.24 | 8.00 |
| MN | New Mothers | Exclusively | 17 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.25 |  | 0.31 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 189 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 3.41 | 8.26 |
|  |  | Never | 135 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.74 | 1.35 | 2.47 | 2.53 |
| ND | American Indians | Exclusively |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sometimes | 30 | 1.08 | 0.60 | 100 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.60 | 1.39 | 2.65 | 3.62 | . | 5.28 |
|  |  | Never | 30 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.66 | . | 1.10 |
| ND | Anglers | Exclusively | 47 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 1.02 |  | 1.68 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 660 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.84 | 1.29 | 2.07 | 5.89 |
|  |  | Never | 101 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.53 | 1.16 | 1.24 |
| ND | General | Exclusively | 30 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.51 |  | 1.81 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 359 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.82 | 1.25 | 2.49 | 4.29 |
|  |  | Never | 157 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.53 | 0.97 | 1.95 | 6.75 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-175. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Population for sample selection | SampN | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | 250 | 0.868 | . | 97.6 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 1.06 | 2.06 | 2.88 | 4.52 | 9.33 |
|  | Aquaculture Students | 25 | 0.316 | . | 76.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.62 | 0.89 | 1.20 |  | 1.34 |
|  | Asians | 396 | 1.550 | . | 99.2 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.73 | 1.20 | 2.05 | 3.04 | 4.22 | 5.54 | 7.02 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 173 | 0.886 | . | 96.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 0.61 | 1.16 | 2.01 | 2.29 | 5.80 | 7.62 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 67 | 1.318 | . | 86.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 1.38 | 3.22 | 4.66 | 15.34 | 16.74 |
|  | General | 420 | 0.560 | . | 85.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 3.19 | 9.08 |
|  | WIC Participants | 699 | 1.095 | . | 79.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 1.32 | 2.64 | 4.11 | 7.19 | 25.54 |
| FL | General | 15367 | 0.587 | . | 50.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 1.59 | 2.39 | 5.00 | 38.29 |
| MN | American Indians | 216 | 0.282 | . | 88.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.70 | 0.86 | 2.16 | 3.17 |
|  | Anglers | 1152 | 0.407 | . | 96.3 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.88 | 1.30 | 2.95 | 6.17 |
|  | General | 837 | 0.412 | . | 94.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 1.43 | 2.41 | 12.27 |
|  | New Mothers | 401 | 0.433 | . | 85.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 1.06 | 1.61 | 3.54 | 11.01 |
| ND | American Indians | 106 | 0.469 | . | 60.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 1.46 | 3.02 | 5.80 | 7.05 |
|  | Anglers | 854 | 0.430 | . | 94.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.02 | 1.52 | 2.68 | 7.85 |
|  | General | 575 | 0.429 | . | 95.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 1.58 | 2.89 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-176. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state and subpopulation (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Samp NC | Arith <br> Mean | Geom <br> Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | 244 | 0.89 | 0.52 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 2.08 | 2.88 | 4.66 | 9.33 |
|  | Aquaculture Students | 19 | 0.42 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.82 | 1.03 | 1.24 |  | 1.34 |
|  | Asians | 393 | 1.56 | 1.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 2.06 | 3.05 | 4.23 | 5.54 | 7.02 |
|  | Commercial Fishermen | 166 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 1.18 | 2.03 | 2.29 | 5.81 | 7.62 |
|  | EFNEP Participants | 58 | 1.52 | 0.64 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.53 | 1.87 | 3.56 | 6.07 | 15.36 | 16.74 |
|  | General | 362 | 0.66 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.88 | 1.51 | 1.80 | 3.42 | 9.08 |
|  | WIC Participants | 553 | 1.38 | 0.75 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.85 | 1.70 | 3.05 | 4.63 | 7.40 | 25.54 |
| FL | General | 7757 | 1.16 | 0.74 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 1.35 | 2.39 | 3.37 | 7.13 | 38.29 |
| MN | American Indians | 192 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.71 | 0.93 | 2.28 | 3.17 |
|  | Anglers | 1109 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 1.32 | 2.96 | 6.17 |
|  | General | 793 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.86 | 1.44 | 2.45 | 12.27 |
|  | New Mothers | 341 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 1.19 | 1.73 | 3.71 | 11.01 |
| ND | American Indians | 64 | 0.78 | 0.26 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.84 | 2.33 | 3.53 | 6.50 | 7.05 |
|  | Anglers | $808$ | 0.45 | 0.26 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 1.08 | 1.56 | 2.70 | 7.85 |
|  | General | 546 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 1.62 | 2.93 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-177. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kgday)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Geom } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Adult | 220 | 0.851 | . | 98.2 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 1.05 | 1.87 | 2.89 | 5.29 | 9.33 |
|  |  | Child | 20 | 1.094 | . | 100 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.84 | 2.05 | 2.41 | 2.59 | . | 2.64 |
|  |  | Unknown | 10 | 0.799 | . | 80.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.74 | 2.77 | 4.52 | . | 4.52 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Adult | 19 | 0.334 | . | 84.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.68 | 0.89 | 1.04 | . | 1.16 |
|  |  | Child | 6 | 0.259 | . | 50.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 1.22 | . | . | 1.34 |
| CT | Asians | Adult | 294 | 1.584 | . | 99.7 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.82 | 1.26 | 2.06 | 3.00 | 4.23 | 6.12 | 7.02 |
|  |  | Child | 95 | 1.490 | . | 98.9 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.42 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 2.06 | 3.19 | 4.19 | 5.42 | 5.49 |
|  |  | Unknown | 7 | 0.965 | . | 85.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.94 | 1.51 | 2.11 | . | . | 2.23 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Adult | 138 | 0.951 | . | 97.1 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 1.25 | 2.09 | 2.30 | 6.44 | 7.62 |
|  |  | Child | 29 | 0.638 | . | 93.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.47 | 0.98 | 1.38 | 2.05 | . | 2.52 |
|  |  | Unknown | 6 | 0.599 | . | 83.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.63 | 0.94 | 1.14 | . | . | 1.16 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Adult | 34 | 1.118 | . | 91.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.40 | 0.91 | 2.30 | 7.29 | . | 8.46 |
|  |  | Child | 29 | 1.666 | . | 79.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.45 | 2.11 | 3.74 | 4.65 | . | 16.74 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 0.494 | . | 100 | 0.17 | . | . | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.72 | . | . | . | 0.89 |
| CT | General |  | 331 | 0.595 | . | 89.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.39 | 0.78 | 1.34 | 1.77 | 3.60 | 9.08 |
|  |  | Child | $78$ | 0.502 | . | 72.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.76 | 1.49 | 1.67 | 2.09 | 2.11 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 0.124 | . | 76.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.62 | . | 0.63 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Adult | 336 | 0.967 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.58 | 1.25 | 2.13 | 3.14 | 6.44 | 11.07 |
|  |  | Child | 351 | 1.233 | . | 66.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 1.62 | 3.15 | 4.74 | 7.41 | 25.54 |
|  |  | Unknown | 12 | 0.655 | . | 75.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 1.53 | 1.75 |  | 1.79 |
| FL | General | Adult | 12078 | 0.556 | . | 53.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.72 | 1.48 | 2.17 | 4.40 | 38.29 |
|  |  | Child | 3289 | 0.692 | . | 40.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 2.16 | 3.28 | 7.08 | 18.97 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $\mathrm{CT}, \mathrm{MN}, \mathrm{ND}$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-177. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kgday) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | SampN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Arith } \\ & \text { Mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{array}$ | Percent Eating Fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Adult | 139 | 0.251 | . | 92.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 0.76 | 2.79 | 3.17 |
|  |  | Child | 75 | 0.347 | . | 85.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.76 | 1.16 | 1.30 | 1.30 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | Anglers | Adult | 906 | 0.388 | . | 97.7 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.82 | 1.24 | 2.72 | 6.17 |
| MN | Anglers | Child | 242 | 0.487 | . | 92.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.55 | 1.23 | 1.63 | 3.17 | 4.21 |
|  |  | Unknown | 4 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | General | Adult | 648 | 0.396 | . | 96.6 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.74 | 1.20 | 2.19 | 12.27 |
|  |  | Child | 184 | 0.482 | . | 92.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.67 | 1.13 | 1.51 | 3.06 | 10.67 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | 0.004 | . | 1.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| MN | New Mothers | Adult | 208 | 0.282 | . | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 0.95 | 1.73 | 2.87 |
|  |  | Child | 182 | 0.628 | . | 79.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.68 | 1.58 | 2.35 | 4.81 | 11.01 |
|  |  | Unknown | 11 | 0.048 | . | 18.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.27 | . | 0.27 |
| ND | American Indians |  | 55 | 0.260 | . | 63.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.55 | 3.44 | 3.52 |
|  |  | Child | 50 | 0.708 | . | 58.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.80 | 2.64 | 3.55 | 7.05 | 7.05 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.000 | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |
| ND | Anglers | Adult | 615 | 0.359 | . | 96.4 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.80 | 1.27 | 2.27 | 2.81 |
|  |  | Child | 234 | $0.627$ | . | 91.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.76 | 1.50 | 2.04 | 4.15 | 7.85 |
|  |  | Unknown | 5 | $0.000$ | . | . |  | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |
| ND | General | Adult | 414 | 0.377 | . | 95.8 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.83 | 1.36 | 2.56 | 5.64 |
|  |  | Child | 158 | 0.591 |  | 94.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.57 | 1.39 | 1.99 | 6.42 | 9.00 |
|  |  | Unknown | 3 | 0.143 | . | 31.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | . | . | . | 0.45 |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-178. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, ~ M N, ~ N D ~ c o n s u m t p i o n ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ c o n s u m p t i o n . ~$
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-178. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and adult/child (as-consumed g/kg-day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Adult <br> Child | Samp NC | Arith <br> Mean | Geom <br> Mean | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Percent } \\ \text { Eating } \\ \text { Fish } \end{gathered}$ | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Adult | 128 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.54 | 0.77 | 2.81 | 3.17 |
|  |  | Child | 64 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.61 | 0.79 | 1.23 | 1.30 | 1.30 |
|  |  | Unknown |  | . | . | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | Anglers | Adult | 885 | 0.40 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.84 | 1.25 | 2.73 | 6.17 |
| MN | Anglers | Child | 224 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 1.27 | 1.70 | 3.29 | 4.21 |
|  |  | Unknown |  | . |  | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MN | General | Adult | 621 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.76 | 1.25 | 2.19 | 12.27 |
|  |  | Child | 171 | 0.52 | 0.28 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.73 | 1.28 | 1.54 | 3.07 | 10.67 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.24 | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | 0.24 |
| MN | New Mothers | Adult |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.67 | 0.97 |  | 2.87 |
|  |  | Child | 144 | 0.79 | 0.42 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.99 | 1.85 | 2.85 | 5.48 | 11.01 |
|  |  | Unknown | 2 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 100 | 0.26 | . | . | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.27 | . | . | . | 0.27 |
| ND | American Indians | Adult | 35 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 1.37 | 1.75 | . | 3.52 |
|  |  | Child | 29 | 1.22 | 0.46 | $100$ | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.58 | 1.56 | 3.37 | 4.89 |  | 7.05 |
|  |  | Unknown |  |  |  | 100 | . |  |  |  | . |  | . | . | . | . |
| ND | Anglers | Adult | 593 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0.84 | 1.31 | 2.30 | 2.81 |
|  |  | Child | 215 | 0.68 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.44 | 0.80 | 1.52 | 2.19 | 4.32 | 7.85 |
|  |  | Unknown |  | . | . | 100 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . |
| ND | General | Adult | 396 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.84 | 1.37 | 2.59 | 5.64 |
|  |  | Child | 149 | 0.63 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.43 | 2.04 | 6.17 | 9.00 |
|  |  | Unknown | 1 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 100 | 0.45 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0.45 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-179. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $\mathrm{CT}, \mathrm{MN}, \mathrm{ND}$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-179. Fish consumption per kg, per capita, by state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kg-day) (continued)

|  | State | Population for sample selection | Gender | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Samp }}$ | Pop Arith Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Geom } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Eating } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Q5 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q90 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Q99 } \end{aligned}$ | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MN | American Indians | Male | 108 | 0.254 |  | 89.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 1.70 | 1.75 |
|  |  |  | Female | 108 | 0.310 | . | 88.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.76 | 1.23 | 2.92 | 3.17 |
|  | MN | Anglers | Male | 606 | 0.397 | . | 96.9 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.84 | 1.23 | 2.88 | 5.79 |
|  |  |  | Female | 546 | 0.419 | . | 95.6 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.94 | 1.39 | 3.89 | 6.17 |
|  | MN | General | Male | 419 | 0.352 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.77 | 1.41 | 2.01 | 10.67 |
|  |  |  | Female | 418 | 0.475 | . | 93.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.47 | 0.87 | 1.46 | 2.49 | 12.27 |
|  | MN | New Mothers | Male | 205 | 0.354 | . | 86.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.44 | 0.89 | 1.23 | 2.32 | 2.83 |
|  |  |  | Female | 196 | 0.515 | . | 83.7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 1.26 | 1.89 | 4.59 | 11.01 |
| 1 | ND | American Indians | Male | 50 | 0.461 | . | 58.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 1.02 | 1.86 | 7.05 | 7.05 |
| N |  |  | Female | 56 | 0.477 | . | 62.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 1.78 | 3.09 | 3.55 | 3.55 |
| $\checkmark$ | ND | Anglers | Male | 467 | 0.423 | . | 95.3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 1.02 | 1.52 | 2.57 | 7.85 |
|  |  |  | Female | 387 | 0.438 | . | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 1.03 | 1.58 | 2.75 | 5.51 |
|  | ND | General | Male | 276 | 0.425 | . | 96.2 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.44 | 0.91 | 1.60 | 2.50 | 5.72 |
|  |  |  | Female | 299 | 0.432 | . | 94.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.97 | 1.55 | 3.01 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-180. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kgday)


FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $C T, M N, N D$ consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18.
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-180. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, state, subpopulation, and gender (as-consumed g/kgday) (continued)


FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-181. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/kg-day)

| State | Population for sample selection | Eats <br> Freshwater/ <br> Estuarine Caught Fish | Samp NC | Arith Mean | Geom Mean | Percent Eating Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT | Angler | Exclusively | 1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 100 | 0.05 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 190 | 1.00 | 0.62 | 100 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.61 | 1.21 | 2.31 | 2.94 | 6.31 | 9.33 |
|  |  | Never | 53 | 0.51 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.80 | 1.17 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 2.21 |
| CT | Aquaculture Students | Sometimes | 2 | 0.49 | 0.30 | 100 | 0.10 |  |  | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.88 |  |  |  | 0.88 |
|  |  | Never | 17 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.75 | 1.09 | 1.25 |  | 1.34 |
| CT | Asians | Sometimes | 199 | 1.65 | 1.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.71 | 1.25 | 2.11 | 3.88 | 4.81 | 5.53 | 6.70 |
|  |  | Never | 194 | 1.47 | 1.09 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.77 | 1.17 | 1.92 | 2.74 | 3.14 | 5.47 | 7.02 |
| CT | Commercial Fishermen | Sometimes | 120 | 1.06 | 0.73 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.76 | 1.33 | 2.13 | 2.69 | 6.54 | 7.62 |
|  |  | Never | 46 | 0.56 | 0.30 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 0.78 | 1.19 | 1.87 |  | 2.30 |
| CT | EFNEP Participants | Sometimes | 8 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 100 | 0.17 | . 0 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.54 | . | . 7 | 0.64 |
|  |  | Never | 50 | 1.71 | 0.72 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.67 | 2.09 | 3.74 | 8.32 | 16.74 | 16.74 |
| CT | General | Sometimes | 50 | 0.64 | 0.42 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.99 | 1.53 | 1.68 | 3.22 | 3.30 |
|  |  | Never | 312 | 0.66 | 0.40 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.87 | 1.50 | 1.83 | 3.62 | 9.08 |
| CT | WIC Participants | Sometimes | 67 | 2.11 | 1.27 | 100 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.56 | 1.22 | 2.49 | 4.97 | 6.83 | 12.07 | 12.42 |
|  |  | Never | 486 | 1.28 | 0.70 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.80 | 1.58 | 2.78 | 4.15 | 7.19 | 25.54 |
| FL | General $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Ex } \\ & \text { So } \\ & \mathrm{Ne}\end{array}$ | Exclusively <br> Sometimes Never | 0.91 | 0.55 |  | $100 \quad 0.02$ | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 1.22 | 2.14 | 2.70 | 4.65 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2.21 | 1.49 |  | 1000.07 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0.89 | 1.40 | 2.46 | 4.54 | 6.17 | 13.80 | 26. |  |
|  |  |  | 1.11 | 0.71 |  | $100 \quad 0.00$ | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.71 | 1.28 | - 2.27 | 3.24 | 6.42 | 238. |  |

FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-181. Fish consumption per kg, consumers only, by state, subpopulation, and fresh/estuarine fish consumption (as-consumed g/kg-day) (continued)

| State | Population for sample selection | Eats <br> Freshwater/ Estuarine Caught Fish | Samp NC | Arith <br> Mean | Geom Mean | Percent <br> Eating <br> Fish | Min | Q5 | Q10 | Q25 | Q50 | Q75 | Q90 | Q95 | Q99 | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN | American Indians | Exclusively | 31 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.56 | 0.73 |  | 1.86 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 136 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.76 | 1.23 | 2.78 | 3.17 |
|  |  | Never | 25 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.19 | . | 0.30 |
| MN | Anglers | Exclusively | 57 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 1.19 | 2.57 | 3.67 | 3.77 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 879 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.49 | 0.95 | 1.40 | 3.05 | 6.17 |
|  |  | Never | 173 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.62 | 0.88 | 1.54 | 2.82 |
| MN | General | Exclusively | 38 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.68 | . | 0.75 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 555 | 0.53 | 0.31 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.93 | 1.76 | 3.04 | 12.27 |
|  |  | Never | 200 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.75 | 1.21 | 1.65 | 10.67 |
| MN | New Mothers | Exclusively | 17 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.34 |  | 0.41 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 189 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.68 | 1.33 | 1.76 | 4.54 | 11.01 |
|  |  | Never | 135 | 0.40 | 0.19 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.42 | 0.99 | 1.80 | 3.29 | 3.37 |
| ND | American Indians | Exclusively | 4 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 100 | 0.04 | . | . | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | . | . | . | 0.10 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 30 | 1.44 | 0.79 | 100 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.81 | 1.86 | 3.54 | 4.82 | . | 7.05 |
|  |  | Never | 30 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.88 | . | 1.47 |
| ND | Anglers | Exclusively | 47 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.82 | 1.36 |  | 2.24 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 660 | 0.50 | 0.31 | 100 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 1.13 | 1.72 | 2.76 | 7.85 |
|  |  | Never | 101 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.55 | 0.71 | 1.55 | 1.65 |
| ND | General | Exclusively | 30 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.68 | . | 2.41 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 359 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 100 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 1.10 | 1.66 | 3.32 | 5.72 |
|  |  | Never | 157 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.71 | 1.29 | 2.60 | 9.00 |

FL consumption is based on a 7 -day recall, $\mathrm{CT}, \mathrm{MN}$, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
Statistics are weighted to represent the general populations. Subpopulations statistics are unweighted.

Table E-182. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut angler population (as-consumed g/day)


Table E-182. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut angler population (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

|  | Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean g/day | N Eating <br> Caught <br> Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marine | Pollock | 5 | 0.7 | 17.48 | 0 | - |  |
|  | Marine | Scrod | 11 | 0.6 | 7.27 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Sardines | 7 | 0.6 | 10.56 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Oysters | 31 | 0.5 | 2.22 | 5 | 0.5 | 5.95 |
|  | Marine | Eel | 18 | 0.5 | 3.64 | 14 | 0.6 | 2.22 |
|  | Marine | Shark | 12 | 0.4 | 4.31 | 1 | 0.3 | 18.47 |
|  | Marine | Sole | 17 | 0.4 | 2.96 | 0 | . | . |
| T1 | Marine | Halibut | 8 | 0.3 | 5.00 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.22 |
| N | Marine | Sea Trout | 1 | 0.3 | 34.61 | 1 | 0.6 | 34.61 |
| $\omega$ | Marine | Haddock | 18 | 0.3 | 1.75 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Mackerel | 2 | 0.2 | 14.40 | 2 | 0.5 | 14.40 |
|  | Marine | Other fish | 1 | 0.2 | 24.62 | 1 | 0.4 | 24.62 |
|  | Marine | Snapper | 6 | 0.1 | 2.04 | 3 | 0.1 | 1.17 |
|  | Marine | Sea bass | 5 | 0.1 | 2.18 | 4 | 0.2 | 2.46 |
|  | Marine | Weakfish | 2 | 0.1 | 4.51 | 2 | 0.2 | 4.51 |
|  | Marine | Grouper | 1 | 0.1 | 7.72 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Herring | 3 | 0.1 | 2.12 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Dorado | 1 | 0.0 | 3.49 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Monkfish | 1 | 0.0 | 1.98 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Shad | 1 | 0.0 | 1.76 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.76 |
|  | Marine | Anchovies | 4 | 0.0 | 0.20 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Drum | 1 | 0.0 | 0.73 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.73 |
|  | Marine | Smelt | 1 | 0.0 | 0.44 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Sheepshead | 1 | 0.0 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.31 |

Table E-183. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut aquaculture students population (asconsumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean g/day | ```N Eating Caught Fish``` | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Trout | 2 | 1.3 | 2.27 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Catfish | 1 | 0.6 | 2.20 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Tilapia | 1 | 0.4 | 1.47 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Tuna | 20 | 43.1 | 7.77 | 2 | 32.5 | 1.92 |
| Marine | Scallops | 6 | 13.0 | 7.83 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Clams | 17 | 12.7 | 2.70 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.18 |
| Marine | Lobster | 14 | 8.0 | 2.05 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Crab | 7 | 7.0 | 3.59 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shrimp | 7 | 4.7 | 2.43 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Anchovies | 4 | 1.9 | 1.67 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Salmon | 5 | 1.4 | 1.05 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Bluefish | 4 | 1.4 | 1.22 | 4 | 33.7 | 1.00 |
| Marine | Mussels | 3 | 1.1 | 1.30 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish | 6 | 1.0 | 0.60 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Oysters | 2 | 0.9 | 1.58 | 1 | 23.9 | 2.82 |
| Marine | Unspecified Fish | 5 | 0.8 | 0.59 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Halibut | 1 | 0.2 | 0.88 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Flounder | 1 | 0.2 | 0.88 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sole | 1 | 0.2 | 0.73 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Grouper | 1 | 0.1 | 0.37 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sardines | 1 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-184. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut Asian population (as-consumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Bass | 218 | 7.5 | 7.40 | 93 | 19.0 | 6.75 |
| Freshwater | Trout | 122 | 4.2 | 7.31 | 68 | 13.3 | 6.45 |
| Freshwater | Catfish | 164 | 2.3 | 3.05 | 113 | 9.0 | 2.64 |
| Freshwater | Sunfish | 130 | 1.7 | 2.84 | 95 | 8.9 | 3.08 |
| Freshwater | Carp | 63 | 1.4 | 4.82 | 36 | 6.4 | 5.87 |
| Freshwater | Crappie | 14 | 0.3 | 4.97 | 1 | 0.1 | 1.65 |
| Freshwater | Northern Pike | 20 | 0.2 | 2.26 | 5 | 0.4 | 2.49 |
| Freshwater | Covina | 4 | 0.1 | 5.57 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Bullhead | 4 | 0.0 | 1.31 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Whitesucker | 2 | 0.0 | 2.54 | 2 | 0.2 | 2.54 |
| Freshwater | Snails | 1 | 0.0 | 3.33 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shrimp | 355 | 28.4 | 17.18 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Flounder | 225 | 9.5 | 9.11 | 17 | 3.2 | 6.17 |
| Marine | Tuna | 184 | 9.3 | 10.85 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Mackerel | 187 | 7.5 | 8.65 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Bluefish | 124 | 6.2 | 10.70 | 74 | 22.4 | 9.98 |
| Marine | Salmon | 260 | 5.5 | 4.57 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Porgy | 101 | 2.2 | 4.62 | 18 | 1.3 | 2.30 |
| Marine | Butterfirsh | 83 | 1.6 | 4.03 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Crab | 200 | 1.5 | 1.66 | 9 | 0.3 | 0.94 |
| Marine | Tautog | 25 | 1.2 | 10.62 | 21 | 5.9 | 9.32 |
| Marine | Whitefish | 52 | 1.0 | 4.16 | 24 | 4.7 | 6.46 |
| Marine | Perch | 47 | 1.0 | 4.57 | 23 | 4.0 | 5.71 |
| Marine | Mussels | 43 | 1.0 | 4.99 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Whiting | 39 | 1.0 | 5.45 | 2 | 0.4 | 6.87 |
| Marine | Lobster | 89 | 0.9 | 2.06 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Clams | 120 | 0.8 | 1.46 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.92 |
| Marine | Oysters | 65 | 0.6 | 1.91 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-184. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut Asian population (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean g/day | N Eating <br> Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marine | Mullet | 54 | 0.5 | 2.10 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish | 18 | 0.5 | 5.72 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Skate | 33 | 0.5 | 2.93 | 0 | . | - |
| Marine | Sea bass | 23 | 0.3 | 3.10 | 4 | 0.1 | 0.58 |
| Marine | Pollock | 4 | 0.3 | 14.47 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sardines | 27 | 0.2 | 1.76 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shad | 22 | 0.2 | 2.16 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Scallops | 14 | 0.2 | 2.52 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Eel | 24 | 0.1 | 1.08 | 3 | 0.2 | 2.70 |
| Marine | Shark | 3 | 0.1 | 7.85 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Spot | 6 | 0.1 | 2.93 | 0 | . | - |
| Marine | Cod | 4 | 0.0 | 2.41 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Halibut | 2 | 0.0 | 1.09 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Unspecified Fish | 2 | 0.0 | 0.51 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.18 |
| Marine | Herring | 1 | 0.0 | 0.86 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-185. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut commercial fishermen population (asconsumed g/day)

|  | Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freshwater | Bass | 86 | 4.0 | 3.77 | 83 | 10.2 | 3.77 |
|  | Freshwater | Trout | 19 | 0.9 | 3.63 | 15 | 2.1 | 4.38 |
|  | Freshwater | Catfish | 14 | 0.3 | 1.58 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.88 |
|  | Freshwater | Crawfish | 2 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Freshwater | Bullhead | 1 | 0.0 | 0.88 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.88 |
|  | Freshwater | Snails | 2 | 0.0 | 0.32 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.32 |
|  | Marine | Tuna | 217 | 20.3 | 7.57 | 36 | 3.5 | 2.97 |
| (1) | Marine | Lobster | 217 | 16.7 | 6.25 | 140 | 35.5 | 7.79 |
| N | Marine | Clams | 266 | 8.1 | 2.47 | 95 | 8.2 | 2.66 |
| $\checkmark$ | Marine | Shrimp | 83 | 6.7 | 6.56 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Cod | 68 | 5.1 | 6.06 | 18 | 4.0 | 6.83 |
|  | Marine | Scallops | 57 | 5.0 | 7.17 | 5 | 0.9 | 5.42 |
|  | Marine | Flounder | 75 | 4.8 | 5.18 | 57 | 8.8 | 4.76 |
|  | Marine | Tautog | 86 | 4.3 | 4.02 | 82 | 10.6 | 3.96 |
|  | Marine | Crab | 171 | 4.3 | 2.02 | 65 | 3.1 | 1.47 |
|  | Marine | Salmon | 42 | 3.8 | 7.28 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Bluefish | 75 | 2.9 | 3.12 | 75 | 7.5 | 3.07 |
|  | Marine | Swordfish | 55 | 2.8 | 4.06 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Unspecified Fish | 65 | 1.6 | 1.97 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.12 |
|  | Marine | Snapper | 4 | 1.1 | 23.24 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Porgy | 25 | 1.0 | 3.35 | 24 | 1.8 | 2.33 |
|  | Marine | Sole | 13 | 1.0 | 6.07 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Mussels | 56 | 0.8 | 1.17 | 24 | 0.4 | 0.55 |
|  | Marine | Oysters | 59 | 0.6 | 0.77 | 13 | 0.3 | 0.61 |
|  | Marine | Eel | 23 | 0.5 | 1.77 | 17 | 0.3 | 0.60 |
|  | Marine | Haddock | 18 | 0.5 | 2.25 | 2 | 0.2 | 3.47 |
|  | Marine | Dolphinfish | 8 | 0.4 | 4.12 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.73 |

Table E-185. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut commercial fishermen population (asconsumed g/day) (continued)

|  | Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean g/day | N Eating <br> Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marine | Shark | 20 | 0.4 | 1.62 | 14 | 0.8 | 1.86 |
|  | Marine | Scrod | 4 | 0.3 | 5.43 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Milkfish | 2 | 0.3 | 10.45 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Whitefish | 2 | 0.2 | 9.85 | 2 | 0.4 | 6.57 |
|  | Marine | Smelt | 3 | 0.2 | 5.89 | 3 | 0.5 | 4.78 |
|  | Marine | Shad | 10 | 0.2 | 1.68 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Scungilli | 11 | 0.2 | 1.33 | 4 | 0.2 | 1.44 |
| (1) | Marine | Sardines | 11 | 0.2 | 1.32 | 0 | . | . |
| N | Marine | Halibut | 8 | 0.2 | 1.80 | 0 | . | . |
| $\infty$ | Marine | Pollock | 6 | 0.1 | 1.66 | 3 | 0.1 | 1.32 |
|  | Marine | Herring | 4 | 0.1 | 1.78 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Caviar | 5 | 0.1 | 1.34 | 2 | 0.1 | 1.38 |
|  | Marine | Anchovies | 5 | 0.1 | 0.83 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Mackerel | 4 | 0.0 | 0.90 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.82 |
|  | Marine | Grouper | 4 | 0.0 | 0.87 | 0 | . | . |
|  | Marine | Sea bass | 3 | 0.0 | 0.66 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.88 |
|  | Marine | Blowfish | 1 | 0.0 | 1.86 | 1 | 0.1 | 1.86 |
|  | Marine | Other fish | 2 | 0.0 | 0.54 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.73 |
|  | Marine | Weakfish | 2 | 0.0 | 0.48 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.48 |
|  | Marine | Sea Urchin | 2 | 0.0 | 0.47 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.47 |
|  | Marine | Perch | 2 | 0.0 | 0.33 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.33 |
|  | Marine | Mullet | 1 | 0.0 | 0.22 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-186. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut EFNEP participant population (asconsumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent <br> of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Catfish | 15 | 2.5 | 5.94 | 0 | . |  |
| Freshwater | Bass | 8 | 0.6 | 2.67 | 8 | 8.1 | 2.67 |
| Freshwater | Trout | 1 | 0.0 | 0.32 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Tuna | 55 | 36.8 | 23.75 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Shrimp | 30 | 16.8 | 19.86 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Perch | 18 | 10.0 | 19.79 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Crab | 27 | 7.6 | 9.99 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Salmon | 15 | 5.5 | 13.02 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Bluefish | 22 | 3.1 | 4.95 | 18 | 37.3 | 5.48 |
| Marine | Tautog | 8 | 3.0 | 13.18 | 8 | 39.9 | 13.18 |
| Marine | Unspecified Fish | 20 | 2.6 | 4.53 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Scallops | 13 | 2.5 | 6.80 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Lobster | 27 | 2.1 | 2.75 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Clams | 35 | 1.8 | 1.84 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Pollock | 1 | 1.2 | 43.53 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish | 4 | 1.2 | 10.35 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Porgy | 12 | 0.9 | 2.80 | 12 | 12.7 | 2.80 |
| Marine | cod | 13 | 0.6 | 1.56 | 4 | 1.6 | 1.06 |
| Marine | Flounder | 11 | 0.3 | 1.04 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.41 |
| Marine | Oysters | 5 | 0.3 | 2.20 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Haddock | 2 | 0.3 | 4.64 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sardines | 4 | 0.2 | 2.21 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Mussels | 2 | 0.1 | 1.40 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sole | 5 | 0.1 | 0.44 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Mackerel | 3 | 0.0 | 0.43 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |

Table E-187. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut WIC participant population (as-consumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating <br> Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Bass | 62 | 1.5 | 5.97 | 45 | 27.6 | 6.55 |
| Freshwater | Trout | 34 | 0.5 | 3.28 | 12 | 3.6 | 3.20 |
| Freshwater | Catfish | 10 | 0.3 | 7.32 | 5 | 2.0 | 4.28 |
| Freshwater | Crawfish | 2 | 0.0 | 5.45 | 0 | . | . |
| Freshwater | Crappie | 4 | 0.0 | 1.92 | 4 | 0.7 | 1.92 |
| Freshwater | Whitesucker | 2 | 0.0 | 2.08 | 2 | 0.4 | 2.08 |
| Marine | Tuna | 522 | 34.2 | 16.14 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Unspecified Fish | 330 | 14.3 | 10.67 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shrimp | 257 | 9.0 | 8.65 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Crab | 259 | 5.9 | 5.64 | 6 | 0.6 | 1.01 |
| Marine | Clams | 589 | 5.9 | 2.47 | 12 | 0.8 | 0.67 |
| Marine | Porgy | 88 | 4.9 | 13.76 | 16 | 11.5 | 7.71 |
| Marine | Lobster | 418 | 4.8 | 2.84 | 10 | 3.6 | 3.85 |
| Marine | Whiting | 58 | 3.0 | 12.73 | 5 | 8.6 | 18.30 |
| Marine | Bluefish | 116 | 2.8 | 5.98 | 60 | 28.3 | 5.04 |
| Marine | Cod | 68 | 2.3 | 8.44 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Haddock | 35 | 1.5 | 10.68 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Salmon | 23 | 1.4 | 14.93 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Snapper | 17 | 1.2 | 16.69 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Scallops | 87 | 1.2 | 3.26 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.64 |
| Marine | Swordfish | 43 | 0.8 | 4.39 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sole | 26 | 0.7 | 6.62 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.43 |
| Marine | Oysters | 52 | 0.6 | 3.01 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Flounder | 25 | 0.5 | 5.23 | 6 | 0.5 | 0.83 |
| Marine | Tautog | 12 | 0.3 | 6.45 | 5 | 2.9 | 6.16 |
| Marine | Mussels | 41 | 0.3 | 1.75 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-187. Total and caught fish consumption for the Connecticut WIC participant population (as-consumed g/day) (continued)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent <br> of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marine | Perch | 10 | 0.3 | 7.10 | 4 | 4.0 | 10.59 |
| Marine | Scrod | 23 | 0.3 | 3.04 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Eel | 5 | 0.2 | 11.07 | 3 | 5.0 | 17.70 |
| Marine | Bream | 2 | 0.2 | 26.06 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Herring | 3 | 0.2 | 15.79 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Butterfirsh | 4 | 0.2 | 11.23 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shark | 2 | 0.2 | 19.89 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Whitefish | 4 | 0.1 | 6.76 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Mackerel | 2 | 0.1 | 10.41 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Other fish | 3 | 0.1 | 6.37 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Halibut | 8 | 0.0 | 1.54 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Doctorfish | 1 | 0.0 | 10.90 | 0 | - | . |
| Marine | Scungilli | 1 | 0.0 | 3.78 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Grunt | 1 | 0.0 | 0.93 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Anchovies | 2 | 0.0 | 0.36 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Grouper | 1 | 0.0 | 0.66 | 0 | - | - |
| Marine | Smelt | 1 | 0.0 | 0.64 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Sea Urchin | 1 | 0.0 | 0.14 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.14 |
| Marine | Caviar | 3 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-188. Total and caught fish consumption for the Minnesota American Indian population (as-consumed g/day)


Table E-189. Total and caught fish consumption for the Minnesota angler population (as-consumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean <br> g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | ```Percent of Total Caught``` | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Panfish | 743 | 16.7 | 5.49 | 743 | 37.3 | 5.49 |
| Freshwater | Walleye or Sauger | 779 | 13.6 | 4.28 | 779 | 30.5 | 4.28 |
| Freshwater | Northern pike or Muskie | 484 | 8.5 | 4.28 | 484 | 18.9 | 4.28 |
| Freshwater | Salmon or Lake trout | 287 | 2.2 | 1.90 | 287 | 5.0 | 1.90 |
| Freshwater | Bass | 255 | 1.9 | 1.78 | 255 | 4.2 | 1.78 |
| Freshwater | Other non-purchased fish | 148 | 1.2 | 1.98 | 148 | 2.7 | 1.98 |
| Freshwater | Stream trout | 90 | 0.7 | 1.83 | 90 | 1.5 | 1.83 |
| Marine | Canned tuna | 927 | 17.9 | 4.72 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Breaded fish, fish sticks | 745 | 12.0 | 3.95 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Other purchased fish | 763 | 11.1 | 3.57 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shellfish | 808 | 11.1 | 3.35 | 0 |  | . |
| Marine | Swordfish \& Shark | 109 | 3.2 | 7.08 | 0 | . | - |

Table E-190. Total and caught fish consumption for the Minnesota families with new mothers (as-consumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean g/day | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N Eating } \\ & \text { Caught } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Panfish | 175 | 11.3 | 3.84 | 175 | 37.5 | 3.84 |
| Freshwater | Walleye or Sauger | 168 | 9.4 | 3.30 | 168 | 31.0 | 3.30 |
| Freshwater | Other non-purchased fish | 33 | 3.8 | 6.77 | 33 | 12.5 | 6.77 |
| Freshwater | Northern pike or Muskie | 116 | 2.7 | 1.37 | 116 | 8.8 | 1.37 |
| Freshwater | Bass | 69 | 1.6 | 1.39 | 69 | 5.3 | 1.39 |
| Freshwater | Salmon or Lake trout | 54 | 1.2 | 1.31 | 54 | 3.9 | 1.31 |
| Freshwater | Stream trout | 15 | 0.3 | 1.04 | 15 | 0.9 | 1.04 |
| Marine | Canned tuna | 282 | 25.5 | 5.36 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Breaded fish, fish sticks | 256 | 14.9 | 3.44 | $\bigcirc$ | . | . |
| Marine | Other purchased fish | 189 | 13.7 | 4.30 | 0 |  | . |
| Marine | Shellfish | 203 | 12.8 | 3.74 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish \& Shark | 32 | 2.9 | 5.30 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-191. Total and caught fish consumption for the North Dakota American Indian population (asconsumed g/day)

| Habitat | Species or class of fish | N | Percent of Total | Mean g/day | N Eating Caught Fish | Percent of Total Caught | Mean g/day of Caught Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater | Panfish | 10 | 14.5 | 29.47 | 10 | 48.9 | 29.47 |
| Freshwater | Walleye or Sauger | 36 | 12.4 | 7.00 | 36 | 41.8 | 7.00 |
| Freshwater | Northern pike or Muskie | 13 | 2.2 | 3.40 | 13 | 7.3 | 3.40 |
| Freshwater | Bass | 8 | 0.3 | 0.79 | 8 | 1.0 | 0.79 |
| Freshwater | Other non-purchased fish | 5 | 0.2 | 0.77 | 5 | 0.6 | 0.77 |
| Freshwater | Salmon or Lake trout | 2 | 0.1 | 0.58 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.58 |
| Marine | Canned tuna | 56 | 31.0 | 11.23 | 0 |  | . |
| Marine | Breaded fish, fish sticks | 61 | 22.5 | 7.51 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Shellfish | 45 | 11.5 | 5.21 | 0 | . |  |
| Marine | Other purchased fish | 17 | 2.8 | 3.30 | 0 | . | . |
| Marine | Swordfish \& Shark | 2 | 2.5 | 25.73 | 0 | . | . |

Table E-192. Total and caught fish consumption for the North Dakota angler population (as-consumed g/day)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


\&EPA
United States
Environmental Protection
Agency


[^0]:    FL consumption is based on a 7-day recall, CT, MN, ND consumtpion is based on rate of consumption.
    FL consumption excludes away-from-home consumption by children < 18 .
    Statistics are weighted to represent the general population in the states.
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