EPA/600/A-92/221

# Biomass Burning and the Production of Methane

Joel S. Levine and Wesley R. Cofer III
Atmospheric Sciences Division
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, Virginia 23665-5225

and

Joseph P. Pinto
Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

## DISCLAIMER

The information in this document has been funded in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. It has been subjected to Agency review and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

## Introduction

Our planet is a unique object in the solar system due to the presence of a biosphere with its accompanying biomass and the occurrence of fire (Levine, 1991a). The burning of living and dead biomass is a very significant global source of atmospheric gases and particulates. Crutzen and colleagues were the first to consider biomass burning as a source of gases and particulates to the atmosphere (Crutzen et al., 1979; and Seiler and Crutzen, 1980). However, in a recent paper, Crutzen and Andreae (1990) point out that "Studies on the environmental effects of biomass burning have been much neglected until rather recently but are now attracting increased attention." The "increased attention" reference in the Crutzen and Andreae paper was the Chapman Conference on Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications held in Williamsburg, Virginia in March, 1990 (Levine, 1990). The proceedings of the conference containing 63 chapters recently appeared (Levine, 1991b). Much of the information contained in this chapter is based on material in this volume. Biomass burning and its environmental implications have also become important research elements of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Program (IGBP) and the International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) Project (Prinn, 1991).

The production of atmospheric methane ($CH_4$) by biomass burning will be assessed. The production of methane and other gaseous and particle carbon species resulting from biomass burning will be outlined. Field measurements and laboratory studies to quantify the emission ratio of methane and other carbon species will be reviewed. The historic database suggests that global biomass burning is increasing with time and is controlled by human activities. Present estimates indicate that biomass burning contributes between about 20 to about 60 Teragrams per year of carbon in the form of methane to the atmosphere. This represents only 5 to 15% of the global annual emissions of methane. Measurements do indicate that biomass burning is the overwhelming source of $CH_4$ in tropical Africa. However, if the rate of global biomass burning increases at the rate that it has been over the last few decades, then the production of methane from biomass burning may become much more important on a global scale in the future.

## Gaseous Emissions Due to Biomass Burning

Biomass burning includes the combustion of living and dead material in forests, savannas, and agricultural wastes, and the burning of fuel wood. Under the ideal conditions of complete combustion, the burning of biomass material produces carbon dioxide ($CO_2$) and water vapor ($H_2O$), according to the reaction

$$CH_2O + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O \quad (1)$$

where $CH_2O$ represents the average composition of biomass material. Since complete combustion is not achieved under any conditions of biomass burning, other carbon species, including carbon monoxide (CO), methane ($CH_4$), nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHCs), and particulate carbon, result by the incomplete combustion of biomass material. In addition, nitrogen and sulfur species are produced from the combustion of nitrogen and sulfur in the biomass material.

While $CO_2$ is the overwhelming carbon species produced by biomass burning, its emissions into the atmosphere resulting from the burning of savannas and agricultural wastes are largely balanced by its reincorporation back into biomass via photosynthetic activity within weeks to years after burning. However, $CO_2$ emissions resulting from the burning of forests and other carbon combustion products from all biomass sources including $CH_4$, CO, NMHCs, and particulate carbon, are largely "net" fluxes into the atmosphere since these products are not reincorporated into the biosphere.

Biomass material contains 40–45% carbon by weight, with the remainder hydrogen (6.7%) and oxygen (53.3%) (Bowen, 1979). Nitrogen accounts for between 0.3 to 3.8%, and sulfur for between 0.1 to 0.9% depending on the nature of the biomass material (Bowen, 1979). The nature and amount of the combustion products depend on the characteristics of both the fire and the biomass material burned. Hot, dry, fires with a good supply of oxygen produce mostly carbon dioxide with little CO, $CH_4$, and NMHCs. The flaming phase of the fire approximates complete combustion, while the smoldering phase approximates incomplete combustion, resulting in greater production of CO, $CH_4$, and NMHCs. The percentage production of $CO_2$, CO,

$CH_4$, NMHCs, and carbon ash during the flaming and smoldering phases of burning based on laboratory studies is summarized in Table 1 (Lobert et al., 1991). Typically for forest fires, the flaming phase lasts on the order of an hour or less, while with the smoldering phase may last up to a day or more, depending on the type of fuel, the fuel moisture content, wind velocity, topography, etc. For savanna grassland and agricultural waste fires, the flaming phase lasts a few minutes and the smoldering phase lasts up to an hour.

## Emission Ratios

The total mass of the carbon species ($CO_2$ + CO + $CH_4$ + NMHCs + particulate carbon) M(C) is related to the mass of the burned biomass (M) by $M(C) = f \times M$, where f = mass fraction of carbon in the biomass material, i.e., 40–45%. To quantify the production of gases other than $CO_2$, we must determine the emission ratio (ER) for each species. The emission ratio for each species is defined as

$$ER = \frac{\Delta X}{\Delta CO_2} \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta X$ is the concentration of the species X produced by biomass burning, and $\Delta X = X^* - \overline{X}$ and $X^*$ is the measured concentration of X in the biomass burn smoke plume and $\overline{X}$ is the background (out of plume) atmospheric concentration of the species, and $\Delta CO_2$ is the concentration of $CO_2$ produced by biomass burning, $\Delta CO_2 = CO_2^* - \overline{CO_2}$, where $CO_2^*$ is the measured concentration in the biomass burn plume, and $\overline{CO_2}$ is the background (out of plume) atmospheric concentration of $CO_2$.

In general, all species emission factors are normalized with respect to $CO_2$, as the concentration of $CO_2$ produced by biomass burning may be directly related to the amount of biomass material burned by simple stoichiometric considerations as discussed earlier. Furthermore, the measurement of $CO_2$ in the background atmosphere and in the smoke plume is a relatively simple and routine measurement.

For the reasons outlined above, it is most convenient to quantify the combustion products of biomass burning in terms of the species emission ratio (ER), i.e., the excess species production

(i.e., above background) normalized with respect to the excess $CO_2$ production (i.e., above background). Measurements of the emission ratio for $CH_4$ and CO normalized with respect to $CO_2$ for diverse ecosystems (i.e., wetlands, chaparral, and boreal) for different phases of burning, i.e., flaming and smoldering phases and combined flaming and smoldering phases, called "mixed" are summarized in Table 2. Measurements of the emission ratio for $CH_4$ normalized with respect to $CO_2$ for various burning sources in tropical Africa are summarized in Table 3.

Some researchers present their biomass burn emission measurement in the ratio of grams of carbon in the gaseous and particle combustion products to the mass of the carbon in the biomass fuel in kilograms. Average emission factors for $CO_2$, CO, and $CH_4$ in these units for diverse ecosystems are summarized in Table 4 and emission factors for $CO_2$, CO, $CH_4$, NMHCs and carbon ash in terms of percentage of fuel carbon based on laboratory experiments are summarized in Table 5. Inspection of Tables 2–5 indicates that there is considerable variability in both the emission ratio and emission factor for carbon species as a function of ecosystem burning and the phase of burning (i.e., flaming or smoldering). A recent compilation of $CO_2$-normalized emission ratios for carbon species is listed in Table 6. This table gives the range for both field measurements and laboratory studies and provides a "best guess."

## Emission of Methane

Once the mass of the burned biomass (M) and the species emission ratios (ER) are known, the gaseous and particulate species produced by biomass burn combustion may be calculated. The mass of the burned biomass (M) is related to the area (A) burned in a particular ecosystem by the following relationship (Seiler and Crutzen, 1980):

$$M = A \times B \times \alpha \times \beta \tag{3}$$

where B is the average biomass material per unit area in the particular ecosystem ($g/m^2$), $\alpha$ is the fraction of the average above-ground biomass relative to the total average biomass B, and $\beta$ is the burning efficiency of the above-ground biomass. Parameters B, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ vary with the

particular ecosystem under study and are determined by assessing the total biomass before and after burning.

The total area burned during a fire may be assessed using satellite data. Recent reviews have considered the extent and geographical distribution of biomass burning from a variety of space platforms: astronaut photography (Wood and Nelson, 1991), the NOAA polar orbiting Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) (Brustet et al., 1991a; Cahoon et al., 1991; and Robinson, 1991a; and 1991b), the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) Visible Infrared Spin Scan Radiometer Atmospheric Sounder (VAS) (Menzel et al., 1991); and the Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) (Brustet et al., 1991b).

Hence, the contribution of biomass burning to the total global budget of methane or any other species depends on a variety of ecosystem and fire parameters, including the particular ecosystem that is burning (which determines the parameters B, $\alpha$, and $\beta$), the mass consumed during burning, the nature of combustion (complete vs. incomplete), the phase of combustion (flaming vs. smoldering), and knowledge of how the species emission factors (EF) vary with changing fire conditions in various ecosystems. The contribution of biomass burning to the global budgets of any particular species depends on precise knowledge of all these parameters. While all these parameters are known imprecisely, the largest uncertainty is probably associated with the total mass (M) consumed during biomass burning on an annual basis (and there are large year-to-year variations in this parameter!). The total mass of burned biomass material on an annual basis according to source of burning is summarized in Table 7 (Seiler and Crutzen, 1980; Hao et al., 1990; Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; and Andreae, 1991). The estimate for carbon released of 3940 Tg/yr includes all carbon species produced by biomass combustion ($CO_2$ + CO + $CH_4$ + NMHCs + particulate carbon). About 90% of the released carbon is in the form of $CO_2$ (about 3550 Tg/yr).

Knowledge of the $CO_2$-normalized emission ratio for $CH_4$ coupled with information on the total production of $CO_2$ due to biomass burning allows us to estimate the total global production of $CH_4$ due to biomass burning on an annual basis. Field measurements and laboratory studies

indicate that the emission ratio for $CH_4$ is in the range of 6.2 to 16 grams of carbon in the form of $CH_4$ ($C(CH_4)$) per kilogram of carbon in the form of $CO_2$ ($C(CO_2)$) (see Table 6), which corresponds to a $CH_4$ to $CO_2$ emission ratio in the range of 0.62 to 1.6%. Using a "best guess" of 1.1% and assuming that biomass burning produces about 3550 Tg/yr of $C(CO_2)$, then the global annual production of $CH_4$ due to biomass burning is in the range of 21.7 to 56 Tg/yr of $C(CH_4)$, with a "best guess" of 38.9 Tg/yr of $C(CH_4)$. The production of $CH_4$ by different burning sources on a global scale is summarized in the fourth column of Table 7. A detailed study using a chemical transport model with a $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial grid yielded an annual average $CH_4$ production due to biomass burning of 63.4 Tg (Taylor and Zimmerman, 1991), which is somewhat larger than the maximum $CH_4$ production value calculated here of 56 Tg(C)/yr. Assuming that the total annual global production of $CH_4$ from all sources is about 380 Tg/C (Cicerone and Oremland, 1988), then 21.7 to 56 Tg(C) of $CH_4$ corresponds to between 6% and 15% of the global emissions of $CH_4$, while the calculations of Taylor and Zimmerman (1991) suggest that biomass burning produces about 17% of the global emissions of $CH_4$. Considering all of the uncertainties in these calculations, there is very good agreement between these two estimates.

While biomass burning may not be the overwhelming source of $CH_4$ on a global scale, there are measurements that indicate it may be the dominant source in tropical Africa. Delmas et al. (1991) have studied the $CH_4$ budget of tropical Africa. They considered the emission of $CH_4$ from biogenic processes in the soil and from biomass burning. They found that the dry African savanna soil is always a net sink for $CH_4$. They measured an average soil uptake rate for atmospheric $CH_4$ of $2 \times 10^{10}$ $CH_4$ molecules $cm^{-2}$ $s^{-1}$. They calculated the production of $CH_4$ (and $CO_2$) due to biomass burning and found that biomass burning supplies about 9.22 Tg(C)/yr of $CH_4$ (and 3750 Tg(C)/yr of $CO_2$) (see Table 8). Hence, in tropical Africa, biomass burning, not biogenic emissions from the soil control the $CH_4$ budget.

In addition to the direct production of $CH_4$ by the combustion of biomass material, there is recent evidence to suggest that burning stimulates biogenic emissions of $CH_4$ from wetlands

(Levine et al., 1990). Flux chamber measurements indicate higher fluxes of $CH_4$ from wetlands following burning (Levine et al., 1990). It has been suggested that combustion products, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, acetate, and formate entering the wetlands following burning are used by methanogenic bacteria in the metabolic production of $CH_4$ (Levine et al., 1990).

While it does not appear that biomass burning is a significant global source of $CH_4$ at the present time, the situation may change in the future (see section on Historic Changes in Biomass Burning). At the present time, biomass burning is indeed a significant global source of several important radiatively and chemically active species. Biomass burning may supply 40% of the world's annual gross production of $CO_2$ or 26% of the world's annual net production of $CO_2$ (due to the burning of the world's forests) (Seiler and Crutzen, 1980; Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; Hao et al., 1990; Levine, 1990; Andreae, 1991; and Houghton, 1991). Biomass burning supplies 32% of the world's annual production of CO; 24% of the NMHCs, excluding isoprene and terpenes; 21% of the oxides of nitrogen (nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide); 25% of the molecular hydrogen ($H_2$); 22% of the methyl chloride ($CH_3Cl$); 38% of the precursors that lead to the photochemical production of tropospheric ozone; 39% of the particulate organic carbon (including elemental carbon); and more than 86% of the elemental carbon (Andreae, 1991; Levine, 1990).

## Historic Changes in Biomass Burning

It is generally accepted that the emissions from biomass burning have increased in recent decades, largely as a result of increasing rates of deforestation in the tropics (Houghton, 1991). Houghton (1991) estimates that gaseous and particulate emissions to the atmosphere due to deforestation have increased by a factor of 3 to 6 over the last 135 years. He also believes that the burning of grasslands, savannas, and agricultural lands has increased over the last century because rarely burned ecosystems, such as forests, have been converted to frequently burned ecosystems, such as grasslands, savannas, and agricultural lands. In Latin America, the area of grasslands, pastures, and agricultural lands increased by about 50% between 1850 and 1985 (Houghton, 1991). The same trend is true for South and Southeast Asia (Houghton, 1991). In summary, Houghton (1991) estimates that total biomass burning may have increased by about

50% since 1850. Most of the increase results from the ever-increasing rates of forest burning, with other contributions of burning (grasslands, savannas, and agricultural lands) having increased by 15% to 40% (Houghton, 1991). The increase in biomass burning is not limited to the tropics. In analyzing 50 years of fire data from the boreal forests of Canada, the U.S.S.R., the Scandinavian countries, and Alaska, Stocks (1991) has reported a dramatic increase in area burned in the 1980s. The largest fire in the recent past destroyed more than 12 million acres of boreal forest in the Peoples Republic of China and Russia in a period of less than a month in May, 1987 (Cahoon et al., 1991).

The historic data indicate that biomass burning has increased with time and that the production of greenhouse gases from biomass burning has increased with time. Furthermore, the bulk of biomass burning is human-initiated. As greenhouse gases build up in the atmosphere and global warming begins, our planet will become warmer and drier, as predicted by most general circulation models. The warmer and drier conditions are conducive to an enhanced frequency of fires. The enhanced frequency of fires may be an important positive feedback in a warming Earth. However, it has been suggested that the bulk of biomass burning worldwide may be significantly reduced (Andrasko et al., 1991). Policy options for mitigating biomass burning have been developed by Andrasko et al. (1991). For mitigating burning in the tropical forests, where much of the burning is aimed at land clearing and conversion to agricultural lands, policy options include the marketing of timber as a resource and improved productivity of existing agricultural lands to reduce the need for conversions of forests to agricultural lands. Improved productivity will result from the application of new agricultural technology, i.e., fertilizers, etc. For mitigating burning in tropical savanna grasslands, animal grazing could be replaced by stall feeding since savanna burning results from the need to replace nutrient-poor tall grass with nutrient-rich short grass. For mitigating burning on agricultural lands and croplands, incorporate crop wastes into the soil, instead of burning, as is the present practice throughout the world. The crop wastes could also be used as fuel for household heating and cooking rather than cutting down and destroying forests for fuel as is presently done.

## Uncertainties and Future Research

The construction of a global emissions inventory for methane from biomass burning must account for the high degree of variability of these emissions in both space and time. Biomass burning exhibits strong seasonal and geographic variations. As shown earlier, methane emissions from biomass burning are highly dependent on the type of ecosystem being burned, which determines the total amount of biomass consumed and the extent of flaming and smoldering phases during combustion. The calculations by Taylor and Zimmerman (1991) go a long way towards deriving a global inventory in that they have simulated the variability of biomass burning. They basically scaled the burning rate inversely with precipitation as global data sets are currently not available. Satellite techniques, when they are developed, offer a promising way to obtain global coverage.

Taylor and Zimmerman (1991) also used a constant emission ratio in their calculations since measurements of the emission ratio for methane are lacking for many different ecosystems. While some data exist for mid-latitude ecosystems, measurements are needed to better define the contributions from burning tropical forests and savannas. In addition, airborne measurements are limited to the outer edges of biomass burn plumes so little is known about variability across the plume. The use of long path remote measurements across plumes is also planned for the future.

At the present time, while biomass burning may be an overwhelming regional or continental-scale source of $CH_4$ (i.e., tropical Africa), it is not a major global source of $CH_4$ (although it is a significant global source of $CO_2$, CO, NMHCs, $H_2$, tropospheric $O_3$, and particulate carbon). However, this situation may change if biomass burning continues to increase at the rate it has been increasing over the last century.

It is appropriate to conclude this chapter with an observation of fire historian, Stephen Pyne (1991):

"We are uniquely fire creatures on a uniquely fire planet, and through fire the destiny of humans has bound itself to the destiny of the planet."

Table 1. Percentage of Production of $CO_2$, CO, $CH_4$, and NMHCs During Flaming and Smoldering Phases of Burning Based on Laboratory Experiments (Lobert et al., 1991).

| | Percentage in burning stage (%) | |
|---|---|---|
| | Flaming | Smoldering |
| $CO_2$ | 63 | 17 |
| CO | 16 | 84 |
| $CH_4$ | 27 | 73 |
| NMHCs | 33 | 67 |

Table 2. Emission Ratios for CO, $CH_4$, and NMHCs for Diverse Ecosystems (In units of $\Delta X/\Delta CO_2$, in percent) (Cofer et al., 1991).

| | CO | $CH_4$ | NMHCs |
|---|---|---|---|
| Wetlands | | | |
| Flaming | 4.7 ± 0.8 | 0.27 ± 0.11 | 0.39 ± 0.17 |
| Mixed | 5.0 ± 1.1 | 0.28 ± 0.13 | 0.45 ± 0.16 |
| Smoldering | 5.4 ± 1.0 | 0.34 ± 0.12 | 0.40 ± 0.15 |
| Chaparral | | | |
| Flaming | 5.7 ± 1.6 | 0.55 ± 0.23 | 0.52 ± 0.21 |
| Mixed | 5.8 ± 2.4 | 0.47 ± 0.24 | 0.46 ± 0.15 |
| Smoldering | 8.2 ± 1.4 | 0.87 ± 0.23 | 1.17 ± 0.33 |
| Boreal | | | |
| Flaming | 6.7 ± 1.2 | 0.64 ± 0.20 | 0.66 ± 0.26 |
| Mixed | 11.5 ± 2.1 | 1.12 ± 0.31 | 1.14 ± 0.27 |
| Smoldering | 12.1 ± 1.9 | 1.21 ± 0.32 | 1.08 ± 0.18 |

Table 3. Emission Ratio for $CH_4$ for Different Fires In Tropical Africa (In units of $\Delta CH_4/\Delta CO_2$ in percent (Delmas et al., 1991)

| Type of Combustion | Emission Ratio = $\Delta CH_4/\Delta CO_2$ | |
|---|---|---|
| | Mean | Range |
| Natural savanna bushfire | 0.28 ± 0.04 | 0.23 – 0.34 |
| Forest fire | 1.23 ± 0.60 | 0.56 – 2.22 |
| Emissions from traditional charcoal oven | 12.06 ± 2.86 | 6.7 – 14.2 |
| Firewood | 1.79 ± 0.81 | 1.04 – 3.2 |
| Charcoal | 0.14 | |

Table 4. Average Emission Factors for $CO_2$, CO, and $CH_4$ for Diverse Ecosystems (In units of grams of combustion product carbon to kilograms of fuel carbon) (Radke et al., 1991).

| | $CO_2$ | CO | $CH_4$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| Chaparral-1 | 1644 ± 44 | 74 ± 16 | 2.4 ± 0.15 |
| Chaparral-2 | 1650 ± 31 | 75 ± 14 | 3.6 ± 0.25 |
| Pine, Douglas fir and brush | 1626 ± 39 | 106 ± 20 | 3.0 ± 0.8 |
| Douglas fir, true fir and hemlock | 1637 ± 103 | 89 ± 50 | 2.6 ± 1.6 |
| Aspen, paper birch, and debris from jack pine | 1664 ± 62 | 82 ± 36 | 1.9 ± 0.5 |
| Black sage, sumac, and chamise | 1748 ± 11 | 34 ± 6 | 0.9 ± 0.2 |
| Jack pine, white and black spruce | 1508 ± 161 | 175 ± 91 | 5.6 ± 1.7 |
| "Chained" and herbicidal paper birch and poplar | 1646 ± 50 | 90 ± 21 | 4.2 ± 1.3 |
| "Chained" and herbicidal birch, polar and mixed hardwoods | 1700 ± 82 | 55 ± 41 | 3.8 ± 2.8 |
| Debris from hemlock, deciduous and Douglas fir | 1600 ± 70 | 83 ± 37 | 3.5 ± 1.9 |
| Overall average | 1650 ± 29 | 83 ± 16 | 3.2 ± 0.5 |

Table 5. Emission Factors for $CO_2$, CO, $CH_4$, and NMHC and Ash Based on Laboratory Experiments (In % of Fuel Carbon) (Lobert et al., 1991).

| | Mean | Range |
|---|---|---|
| $CO_2$ | 82.58 | 49.17 – 98.95 |
| CO | 5.73 | 2.83 – 11.19 |
| $CH_4$ | 0.424 | 0.14 – 0.94 |
| NMHC (as C) | 1.18 | 0.14 – 3.19 |
| Ash (as C) | 5.00 | 0.66 – 22.28 |
| Total sum C | 94.91 | |

Table 6. $CO_2$-Normalized Emission Ratios for Carbon Species: Summary of Field Measurements and Laboratory Studies (In units of grams of species per kilograms of C in $CO_2$) (Andreae, 1991).

| | Field measurements | Laboratory studies | "Best guess" |
|---|---|---|---|
| CO | 6.5 – 140 | 59 – 105 | 100 |
| $CH_4$ | 6.2 – 16 | 11 – 16 | 11 |
| NMHCs | 6.6 – 11.0 | 3.4 – 6.8 | 7 |
| Particulate organic carbon (including elemental carbon) | 7.9 – 54 | | 20 |
| Element carbon (black soot) | 2.2 – 16 | | 5.4 |

Table 7. Global Estimates of Annual Amount of Biomass Burning and the Resulting Release of Carbon to the Atmosphere (Seiler and Crutzen, 1980; Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; Hao et al., 1990; and Andreae, 1991).

| Source of burning | Biomass burned (Tg/yr)[1] | Carbon released (Tg(C)/yr)[2] | $CH_4$ released (Tg(C)/yr)[3] |
|---|---|---|---|
| Savanna | 3690 | 1660 | 16.4 |
| Agricultural waste | 2020 | 910 | 9.0 |
| Fuel wood | 1430 | 640 | 6.3 |
| Tropical forests | 1260 | 570 | 5.6 |
| Temperature and boreal forests | 280 | 130 | 1.3 |
| Charcoal | 21 | 30 | 0.3 |
| World total | 8700 | 3940 | 38.9 |

[1] 1 Tg (teragram) = $10^6$ metric tons = $10^{12}$ grams.

[2] Based on a carbon content of 45% in the biomass material. In the case of charcoal, the rate of burning has been multiplied by 1.4.

[3] Assuming that 90% of the carbon released is in the form of $CO_2$ and that the "best guess" emission ratio of $CH_4$ to $CO_2$ is 1.1% (see Table 5).

Table 8. Total Emissions of $CO_2$ and $CH_4$ from the Burning of Biomass in Tropical Africa

| Source | Biomass Burned[1] | $CO_2$ Emission Factor[2] | $CH_4$ Emission Factor[2] | $CO_2$ Emissions[3] | $CH_4$ Emissions[4] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Savanna bushfires | 2.52 | 1370 | 1.65 | 3.45 | 4.14 |
| Forest fires | 0.13 | 957 | 6.94 | 0.12 | 0.90 |
| Firewood burning | 0.12 | 957 | 5.42 | 0.11 | 0.65 |
| Charcoal production | 0.11 | 641 | 21.0 | 0.07 | 2.31 |
| Total | 2.88 | | | 3.75 | 9.22 |

[1] Biomass burned in units of Gigagram = $10^3$ Tg = $10^9$ metric tons = $10^{15}$ grams

[2] Emission factors units of g/kg

[3] $CO_2$ emissions in units of Gigagram/yr

[4] $CH_4$ emissions in units of Teragram/yr

## References


Andrasko, K. J.; Ahuja, D. R.; Winnett, S. M.; and Tirpak, D. A., 1991. Policy Options for Managing Biomass Burning to Mitigate Global Climate Change. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 445–456.

Andreae, M. O., 1991. Biomass Burning: Its History, Use, and Distribution and Its Impact on Environmental Quality and Global Climate. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 3–21.

Bowen, H. J. M., 1979. Environmental Chemistry of the Elements. Academic Press: London, England.

Brustet, J. M.; Vickos, J. B.; Fontan, J.; Manissadjan, K.; Podaire, A.; and Lavenue, F., 1991a. Remote Sensing of Biomass Burning in West Africa With NOAA-AVHRR. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 47–52.

Brustet, J. M.; Vickos, J. B.; Fontan, J.; Podaire, A.; and Lavenue, F., 1991b. Characterization of Active Fires in West African Savannas by Analysis of Satellite Data: Landsat Thematic Mapper. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 53–60.

Cahoon, D. R., Jr.; Levine, J. S.; Cofer, W. R. III; Miller, J. E.; Minnis, P.; Tennille, G. M.; Yip, T. W.; Stocks, B. J.; and Heck, P. W., 1991. The Great Chinese Fire of 1987: A View from Space. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 61–66.

Cicerone, R. J.; and Oremland, R. S., 1988. Biogeochemical Aspects of Atmospheric Methane. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 2, 299–327.

Cofer, W. R. III; Levine, J. S.; Winstead, E. L.; and Stocks, B. J., 1991. Trace Gas and Particulate Emissions from Biomass Burning in Temperate Ecosystems. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 203–208.

Crutzen, P. J.; and Andreae, M. O., 1990. Biomass Burning in the Tropics: Impact on Atmospheric Chemistry and Biogeochemical Cycles. Science, 250, 1669–1678.

Crutzen, P. J.; Heidt, L. E.; Krasnec, J. P.; Pollock W. H.; and Seiler, W.; 1979. Biomass Burning as a Source of Atmospheric Gases CO, $H_2$, $N_2O$ NO, $CH_3Cl$, and COS. Nature, 282, 253–256.

Delmas, R. A., Marenco, A.; Tathy, J. P.; Cros, B.; Baudet, J. G. R., 1991. Sources and Sinks of Methane in the African Savanna. $CH_4$ Emissions from Biomass Burning. Journal Geophysical Research, 96, 7287–7299.

Hao, W. M.; Liu, M. H.; and Crutzen, P. J., 1990. Estimates of Annual and Regional Release of $CO_2$ and Other Trace Gases to the Atmosphere from Fires in the Tropics, Based on the FAO Statistics for the Period 1975–1980. Fire in the Tropical Biota: Ecosystem Processes and Global Challenges (J. G. Goldammer, editor), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, Germany, 440–462.

Houghton, R. A., 1991. Biomass Burning from the Perspective of the Global Carbon Cycle. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 321–325.

Levine, J. S., 1990. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications. EOS Transactions, American Geophysical Union, 71, 1075–1077.

Levine, J. S.; Cofer, W. R. III; Sebacher, D. I.; Rhinehart, R. P.; Winstead, E. L.; Sebacher, S.; Hinkle, C. R.; Schmalzer, P. A.; and Koller, A. J., Jr., 1990. The Effects of Fire on Biogenic Emissions of Methane and Nitric Oxide from Wetlands. Journal Geophysical Research, 95, 1853–1864.

Levine, J. S., 1991a. The Biosphere as a Driver for Global Atmospheric Change. Scientists on Gaia (S. H. Schneider and P. J. Boston, editors), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 353–361.

Levine J. S., (editor) 1991b. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 569 pages.

Lobert, J. M.; Scharffe, D. H.; Hao, W.-M.; Kuhlbusch, T. A.; Seuwen, R.; Warneck, P.; and Crutzen, P. J., 1991. Experimental Evaluation of Biomass Burning Emissions: Nitrogen and Carbon Containing Compounds. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 289–304.

Menzel, W. P.; Cutrim, E. C.; and Prins, E. M., 1991. Geostationary Satellite Estimation of Biomass Burning in Amazonia during BASE-A. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 41–46.

Prinn, R. G., 1991. Biomass Burning Studies and the International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) Project. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor) The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 22–28.

Pyne, S. J., 1991. Sky of Ash, Earth of Ash: A Brief History of Fire in the United States. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 504–511.

Radke, L. F.; Hegg, D. A.; Hobbs, P. V.; Nance, J. D.; Lyons, J. H.; Laursen, K. K.; Weiss, R. E.; Riggan, P. J.; and Ward, D. E., 1991. Particulate and Trace Gas Emissions from Large Biomass Fires in North America. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 209–224.

Robinson, J. M., 1991a. Fire from Space: Global Fire Evaluation Using IR Remote Sensing. Intern. Journ. of Remote Sensing, 12, 3–24.

Robinson, J. M., 1991b. Problems in Global Fire Evaluation: Is Remote Sensing the Solution? Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 67–73.

Seiler, W.; and Crutzen, P. J., 1980. Estimates of Grass and Net Fluxes of Carbon between the Biosphere and the Atmosphere from Biomass Burning. Climatic Change, 2, 207–247.

Stocks, B. J., 1991. The Extent and Impact of Forest Fires in North Circumpolar Countries. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 197–202.

Wood, C. A.; and Nelson R., 1991. Astronaut Observations of Global Biomass Burning. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 29–40.

Taylor, J. A.; and Zimmerman, P. R., 1991. Modeling Trace Gas Emissions from Biomass Burning. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications (J. S. Levine, editor), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 345–350.

# TECHNICAL REPORT DATA

| 1. REPORT NO | 2. | 3. |
|---|---|---|
| EPA/600/A-92/221 | | PB93-119824 |

| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | 5. REPORT DATE |
|---|---|
| Biomass Burning and the Production of Methane | |
| | 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE |

| 7. AUTHOR(S) | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. |
|---|---|
| Joel S. Levine and Wesley R. Cofer III<br>Joseph P Pinto | |

| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. |
|---|---|
| NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Va.<br>Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S Environmental Protection Agency<br>Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27711 | 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO. |

| 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS | 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED |
|---|---|
| Same as 9. | |
| | 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE |

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

16. ABSTRACT

Biomass burning and its environmental implications have also become important research elements of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Program and the International Global Atmospheric Chemistry Project. The production of atmospheric methane (CH4) by biomass burning will be assessed. The production of methane and other gaseous and particle carbon species resulting from biomass burning will be outlined. Field measurements and laboratory studies to quantify the emission ratio of methane and other carbon species will be reviewed. The historic database suggests that global biomass burning is increasing with time and is controlled by human activities. Present estimates indicate that biomass burning contributes between about 20 to about 60 Teragrams per year of carbon in the form of methane to the atmosphere. This represents only 5 to 15% of the global annual emissions of methane. Measurements do indicate that biomass burning is the overwhelming source of CH4 in tropical Africa. However, if the rate of global biomass burning increases at the rate that it has been over the last few decades, then the production of methane from biomass burning may become much more important on a global scale in the future.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

| a. DESCRIPTORS | b. IDENTIFIERS/ OPEN ENDED TERMS | c. COSATI |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

| 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT | 19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) | 21. NO. OF PAGES |
|---|---|---|
| | | 24 |
| | 20. SECURITY CLASS (This Page) | 22. PRICE |