United States Environmental Protection Agency

Air

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Research Triangle Park NC 27711 EPA-450/4-79-021 September 1979



## Review of NO<sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Stationary Fossil Fuel Combustion Sources

#### **GENERAL DISCLAIMER**

This document may be affected by one or more of the following statements

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the sponsoring agency. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the sponsoring agency and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

	TECHNICAL (Please read Instructions on	. REPORT DATA The reverse before con	pieting)		
REPORT NO.	2.		3. RECIPIENT	SACCESSION N	o. 7
EPA-450/4-79-021			<b>1 1001</b>	14090	1
REVIEW OF NOW ENTER	TON FACTORS FOR STAT	TONARY	Septem	oer 1979	
FOSSIL FUEL COMBUST	TION FACTORS FOR STAT	IONARI	6. PERFORMI	NG ORGANIZAT	ON CODE
			PEREORMI	NG ORGANIZAT	ON REPORT
R I Milligan et	al			IG ORGANIZAT	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	AL.		10 PROGRAM		
485 Clyde Avenue			11. CONTRAC	T/GRANT NO.	
Mountain View, CA	94042		68-0 68=0	)2-2611 an )1-4142	4
2. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME A	AND ADDRESS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13. TYPE OF F Fina	REPORT AND PE	RIOD COVER
Office of Air Quali US Environmental Pr Research Triangle P	ty Planning and Stand otection Agency ark, NC 27711	dards	14. SPONSORI	NG AGENCY CO	DE
5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
EPA Project Officer	: Thomas Lahre				
ABSTRACT			<u></u>		
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the	ssion or ssions
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and f on NOx emi ermine the	ssion or ssions
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and f on NOx emi ermine the	ssion or ssions
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the	ssion or ssions
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the	ssion or ssions
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the	ssion or ssions
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular KEY WORDS AND D	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the	ssion or ssions
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular factor so particular KEY WORDS AND D TORS	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the mine the c. cosat	ssion or ssions t Field, Group
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each DESCRIP Air Pollution Boilers Combustion Emission Factors Internal Combustion	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular factor in particular <i>KEY WORDS AND D</i> TORS Nitrogen Oxides Reciprocating Engin Turbines	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications DOCUMENT ANALYSI b.IDENTIFIERS/OPH hes	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the MS C. COSAT	ssion or ssions t Field, Group
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each DESCRIP Air Pollution Boilers Combustion Emission Factors Internal Combustion	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular factor in particular sector in particular key words and p tors Nitrogen Oxides Reciprocating Engin Turbines	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications DOCUMENT ANALYSIS b.IDENTIFIERS/OPH les	and summar rce combus fications e user det	ies of emi tion and fo on NOx emi ermine the MS c. COSAT	ssion or ssions t Field Group
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each DESCRIP Air Pollution Boilers Combustion Emission Factors Internal Combustion	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular factor in particular key words and D TORS Nitrogen Oxides Reciprocating Engin Turbines	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications b.IDENTIFIERS/OPH hes	and summar rce combus fications e user det	MS C. COSAT	SSION OF SSIONS I Field/Group
A review of re factors presented f various fossil fuel are quantified. Ba reliability of each DESCRIP Air Pollution Boilers Combustion Emission Factors Internal Combustion	cent NOx test data wa or various types of s s. The effects of co ckground data are giv factor in particular factor in particular <i>KEY WORDS AND D</i> TORS Nitrogen Oxides Reciprocating Engin Turbines	as performed, stationary sou ombustion modi ven to help th r applications DOCUMENT ANALYSIS b.IDENTIFIERS/OPH hes Unclassi	and summar rce combus fications e user det	MS C. COSAT	ssion or ssions t Field/Group PAGES

## Review of NO<sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Stationary Fossil Fuel Combustion Sources

by

R.J. Milligan, W.C. Sailor, J. Wasilewski and W.C. Kuby

Acurex Corporation 485 Clyde Avenue Mountain View, California 94042

Contract Nos. 68-02-2611 and 68-01-4142

EPA Project Officer: Thomas Lahre

#### Prepared for

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Air, Noise, and Radiation Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

September 1979

This document is issued by the Environmental Protection Agency to report technical data of interest to a limited number of readers. Copies are available free of charge to Federal employees, current EPA contractors and grantees, and nonprofit organizations - in limited quantities - from the Library Services Office (MD 35), U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; or, for a fee, from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

This report was furnished to the Environmental Protection Agency by Acurex Corporation, 485 Clyde Avenue, Mountain View, California, in fulfillment of Contract Nos. 68-02-2611 and 68-01-4142. The contents of this report are reproduced herein as received from Acurex Corporation. The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the Environmental Protection Agency.

Publication No. EPA-450/4-79-021

ii

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	UTILITY BOILERS	4
	2.1 NO, Emission Factors for Utility Boilers 2.2 Histograms of NO, Emissions for Utility Boilers 2.3 Effect of Controls on NO Emissions	<b>4</b> 8
	for Utility Boilers	8 15
3	INDUSTRIAL BOILERS	19
	3.1 NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors for Large Industrial Boilers 3.2 Histograms of NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions for Industrial Boilers . 3.3 Nitric Oxide as Percent Constituent of	19 22
	Total NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions	22
	Industrial Boilers	27 27
4	COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS	30
	4.1 NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Commercial Sized Boilers 4.2 NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Residential Furnaces	30
	and Boilers	33 36
,	and Residential Units	36
_	NU <sub>X</sub> Emissions	36
5	STATIONARY RECIPROCATING ENGINES	40
	5.1 NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors for Compression Ignition Engines	40
	5.2 $NO_x$ Emission Factors for Spark Ignition Engines 5.3 Histograms of $NO_x$ Emissions for	42
	Reciprocating Engines	44 44
6	GAS TURBINES	52
	6.1 NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors for Various Types and Sizes of Gas Turbine Engines	52

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS (Concluded)

Section			<u>Page</u>
	6.2	Histograms of NO $_{x}$ Emissions for Gas	
		Turbine Engines	52
	6.3	State-of-the-Art Control Techniques for NO <sub>v</sub>	
		Emissions Water Injection	56
	6.4	Nitric Oxide as Percent Constituent of	
		Total NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions	56

• • •

. ;

#### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		<u>Page</u>
2-1	Population Histograms of NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors for Horizontally Opposed Utility Boilers	9
2-2	Population Histograms of NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors for Single Wall Utility Boilers	10
2-3	Population Histograms of NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors for Cyclone Utility Boilers	11
2-4	Population Histograms of NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Bituminous Coal Fired Tangential Utility Boilers	12
3-1	Population Histograms of NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Industrial Boilers	23
4-1	Population Histograms of NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Natural Gas Fired Commercial Boilers, Residential Units, and Pilot Lights	37
5-1	Population Histograms of NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Compression Ignition Engines Firing Diesel Fuel	45
5-2	Population Histograms of $NO_X$ Emission Factors for Compression Ignition Engines Firing Dual Fuels	47
5 <b>-3</b>	Population Histograms of NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Stationary Recip <del>ro</del> cating, Natural Gas Fired SI Engines	_ 48
5-4	Population Histograms of NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Stationary Reciprocating Gasoline Fired Spark Ignition Engines	49
6-1	Population Histograms of NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors for Gas Turbine Engines	54
6-2	Effectiveness of Water/Steam Injection in Reducing NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions	57
6-3	NO and NO2 Concentrations at the Base of No. 3 Stack for Various Turbine Loads, i.e., Turbine Inlet Temperature (Reference 6-7)	58
6-4	NO and NO <sub>X</sub> Concentrations of a Small Turbine at Various Loads Firing No. 2 Oil	59

#### LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		Page
1-1	Conversion Factors	3
2-1	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Utility Boilers (English Units)	5
2-2	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Utility Boilers (SI Units)	6
2-3	Average Percent Reduction of NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors by State-of-the-Art Control Techniques for Utility Boilers (English Units)	13
2-4	Nitric Oxide as a Constituent of Total NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions of Utility Boilers	15
3-1	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Industrial Boil <b>er</b> s (10 to 100 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Btu/hr) (English Units)	20
3-2	NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Industrial Boilers (2.9 to 29 MW) (SI Units)	21
3-3	Nitric Oxide as a Constituent of Total NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions of Industrial Boilers	26
3-4	Comparison of Data from Ferrari <u>et al.</u> with Calculated Averages for Same Boiler Type and Size	28
4-1	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Commercial Stationary Steam and Hot Water Generating Units (0.5 to 10 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Btu/hr) (English Units)	31
4-2	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Commercial Stationary Steam and Hot Water Generating Units (0.5 to 10 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Btu/hr) <b>(SI Units)</b>	32
4-3	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Residential Steam and Hot Water Generating Units (<500,000 Btu/hr) (English Units)	34
4-4	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Residential Steam and Hot Water Generating Units (<0.15 MW) (SI Units)	35
4-5	Nitric Oxide as a Constituent of Total NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions of Commercial and Residential Boilers and Heating Units and Pilot Lights	38

vi

#### LIST OF TABLES (Concluded)

Table		Page
5-1	Baseline NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Reciprocating Compression Ignition (CI) Engines	41
5-2	Heat Rates for Compression Ignition Engines	42
5-3	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Reciprocating Spark Ignition (SI) Engines	43
5-4	Nitric Oxide as a Constituent of Total NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions of Reciprocating Engines	50
6-1	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Factors Survey of Simple and Regenerative Cycle Gas Turbines	53

## SECTION 1

In order for EPA, states, and local agenices to compile reliable emission inventories of nitrogen oxides, it is important to have accurate and precise  $NO_{\chi}$  emission factors. The two major source categories responsible for the bulk of all manmade  $NO_{\chi}$  emissions are mobile sources and stationary source combustion. The Monitoring and Data Analysis Division (MDAD) of The Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards is responsible for determining the  $NO_{\chi}$  emission factors for the latter area. Hence, it is periodically necessary that MDAD critically review the existing emission factors for the major stationary sources of  $NO_{\chi}$ and update those factors for which newer and more comprehensive data exist.

To assist MDAD in this task, The Energy and Environmental Division of Acurex has compiled and reviewed the  $NO_x$  source test data that have been generated over the last several years on the major stationary combustion sources. This compilation includes external combustion of coals, oils and gas in boilers as well as internal combustion in reciprocating and turbine engines.

Stationary external combustion units are covered in the next three sections of this report. For the purposes of this report, they are broken into four categories:

- Utility boilers -- >29 MW (>100 x 10<sup>6</sup> Btu/hr) input
- Industrial boilers -- 2.9 to 29 MW (10 to 100 x 10<sup>6</sup> Btu/hr) input
- Commercial boilers -- 150 kW to 2.9 MW (5 x 10<sup>5</sup> to 10 x 10<sup>6</sup> Btu/yr) input
- Residential furnaces and boilers -- <150 kw (<5 x 10<sup>5</sup> Btu/yr) input

Within each size category, the boilers are further classified according to design and fuel. Section 2 is devoted to utility boilers alone. Section 3 covers industrial boilers and Section 4, commercial and residential units. In support of the  $NO_x$  emission factor tables, each section also contains population- $NO_x$  emission histograms, percentage NO in  $NO_x$  and, for utility boilers, a section on state-of-the-art control techniques and their effectiveness.

The last two sections cover stationary reciprocating engines and turbines, respectively. The breakdown within each section is based on size, number of strokes per combustion cycle and fuel for reciprocating engines and size, type of cycle and fuel for turbines.

In assessing the data reviewed in this study, it was mandatory that the following information, in addition to that needed to calculate the NO, emission factors, be known:

- The type of boiler or engine -- e.g., tangential, four stroke
  - Boiler operating condition: "baseline"/state-of-the-art NO<sub>X</sub> control techniques -- This was particularly important for utility sized boilers and turbines; all other sources had no NO<sub>X</sub> control technique applied unless they were specifically operated under a control evaluation program.

This report is concerned with emissions at the "baseline," or as-found condition. Thus, baseline emissions are those measured generally in the absence of any NO<sub>x</sub> control techniques. For utility and industrial boilers, baseline measurements were included if they were made at 60 to 110 percent load. All data reported without the type of boiler delineated were rejected; data reported on utility boilers, turbines, etc. with NO<sub>x</sub> control techniques specified e.g., BOOS, FGR, water injection, etc. were included in the section on control effectiveness.

Table 1-1 includes thermal equivalents for fuels discussed in this report. Since the emission factor tables are expressed both in terms of lb/fuel unit and ng/J, these factors were used for conversions when the data was reported in only one set of units.

#### TABLE 1-1. CONVERSION FACTORS

To Obtain	From	Multiply By
ng/J	1b/10 <sup>6</sup> Btu	430
ng/J NO <sub>x</sub> (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	NO <sub>x</sub> ppm @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> dry	0.510 (natural gas)*
ng/J NO <sub>x</sub> (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	NO <sub>x</sub> ppm @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> dry	0.561 (oil)*
ng/J NO <sub>x</sub> (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	NO <sub>x</sub> ppm @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> dry	0.611 (coal)*
NO <sub>x</sub> ppm @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> dry	NO <sub>x</sub> ppm dry	$\left(\frac{17.9}{20.9 - \% 0_2 \text{ dry}}\right)$

Thermal Equivalents\*

Fuel	Heating Value (Gross)
Bituminous coal	10,000 - 14,000 Btu/1b* (used 12,000 Btu/1b)
Lignite coal	8,000 Btu/1b*
Residual oil	150,000 Btu/gal*
Distillate oil	140,500 Btu/gal*
Natural gas	1,050 Btu/ft <sup>3</sup>

\*These factors used only when data were otherwise insufficient.

Sources:

Maloney, K. L., <u>et al.</u>, "systems Evaluation of the Use of Low-Sulfur Western Coal in Existing Small and Intermediate-Sized Boilers," KVB Inc., EPA-600/7-78-153a, July 1978.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors," Third Edition, AP-42, August 1977.

#### SECTION 2

#### UTILITY BOILERS

For the purposes of this study, utility boilers are defined as field-erected watertube boilers with a heat input greater than 29 MW  $(100 \times 10^6 \text{ Btu/hr})$  used for generation of electricity. This category includes the vast majority of field-erected boilers used for utility or industrial electric power generation via steam production. The major fuels fired are coal, oil, and natural gas. Within this definition, the utility boiler population is divided into nine major boiler types and further subdivided into seven fuel categories. Firing of subbituminous coal is included in the bituminous category.

2.1 NO, EMISSION FACTORS FOR UTILITY BOILERS

Tables 2-1 and 2-2 contain the  $NO_{\chi}$  emission factors for utility boilers.\* The first table is in English units and the emission factors are based on the amount of fuel consumed. The second table is in SI units and the emission factors are given as weight per energy unit released (ng/J).

A considerable body of data were collected for horizontally opposed units firing bituminous coal, oil and natural gas. These data were abstracted from several different sources (References 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5, 2-6 and 2-7). Major differences between the new averages and the existing AP-42 values occur in the oil- and the bituminous coal-fired units. In the former, the  $NO_x$  average emission factor was 35 percent lower and in the latter 50 percent higher. Because of the number of data

\*The factors reported in the tables as well as the text are in terms of  $NO_x$  emissions as NO<sub>2</sub> except as noted.

### TABLE 2-1. NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF UTILITY BOILERS (ENGLISH UNITS)

		Baseline NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions as a Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>								
Type Boiler	Coa	1 (1b/ton burned	d)	011 (16/10 <sup>3</sup> Gal	ofl burned)	Gas (1b/10 <sup>6</sup> SCF gas)				
	Anthracite	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate	Natural	Process			
Tangential	· ·	18 <sup>b</sup> 14 <sup>c</sup> (27d) _22 <b>x</b> e	8 7 (2) -12%	50 42 (2) -15%		300 200 (3) -33%				
Horizontally Opposed		18 27 (8) +50%	14	105 68 (9) -35%		700 570 (10) -19%				
Single Wall		18 20 (11) +11%	14 13 (1) -7%	105 65 (36) -38%	28 (3)	700 340 (39) -51%	790 (8)			
Verticalb	18	18	14	105		700				
Cyclone		55 36 (7) -35≭	17 12 (3) -29%	105 87 (4) -17%		700 660 (2) -6%	· · · · · · · ·			
Wet Bottomf	×	30 48 (2) +60%	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Spreade <del>r</del> Stoker		15 15 (8) OX		· · · · ·						
Overfeed Stoker		5.4 (2)				-	·			

 $^{a}_{NO_{\chi}}$  values reported in terms of  $NO_{2}$ 

bold AP-42 value

. .

EE-T-118

 $^{\rm C}Recommended$  replacement number based on new or revised data base d.

d Number of boilers tested

<sup>e</sup>Percent change in emissions factor

fincludes one vertical and one horizontally-opposed unit

#### TABLE 2-2. NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF UTILITY BOILERS (SI UNITS)

· · ·	Baseline NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions (ng/J) as a Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>								
Type Boiler		Coal		011	· · · · ·	Gas			
	Anthracite	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate	Natural	Process		
Tangential	·- · .	320b 250 <sup>c</sup> (27d) -22 <b>%</b> <sup>e</sup>	215 190 (2) -12%	140 120 (2) -14%		120 80 (3) -33%			
Horizontally Opposed		- 320 480 (8) +50%	380	300 200 (9) -33%		290 230 (10) -21%			
Single Wall		320 360 (11) +12%	380 350 (1) -8%	300 190 (36) -37%	86 (3)	290 140 (39) -52%	320 (8)		
Vertica1 <sup>b</sup>	310	320	380	300		290	、		
Cyclone		980 650 (7) -34%	460 320 (3) -30%	300 250 (4) -17%		290 270 (2) -7%	· · ·		
Wet Bottom <sup>f</sup>		540 860 (2) +57%	380			· · · ·			
Spreader Stoke <del>r</del>		270 270 (8) 0%		-					
Overfeed Stoker		100 (2)							

 ${}^{a}\mathrm{NC}_{x}$  values reported in terms of  $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$   ${}^{b}\mathrm{Old}$  AP-42 value

EE-T-119

1

<sup>C</sup>Recommended replacement number based on new or revised data base

d<sub>Number</sub> of boilers tested

<sup>e</sup>Percent change in emissions factor <sup>f</sup>Includes one vertical and one horizontally-opposed unit

points and the variety of sources, the new numbers appear to be more justifiable than the old.

Data were also obtained for tangential units firing bituminous coal (References 2-8, 2-1, 2-2, 2-9, 2-10, 2-11, 2-12, 2-13, and 2-14). The  $NO_{\rm X}$  emission factor obtained from averaging these baseline test numbers was 22 percent less than the existing AP-42 value.

A considerable body of data were also obtained for single wall units firing bituminous coal (References 2-8, 2-2, 2-6, 2-11 through 2-17, 2-18, 2-19, 2-20, and 2-13), oil (References 2-1, 2-2 and 2-15), natural gas (References 2-1, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5 and 2-15) and process gas (Reference 2-16). All NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors were less than those given in AP-42 except for bituminous coal which showed a slight increase. The decreases for the oil-fired units (38 percent) and the gas-fired units (51 percent) give values consistent with expected results when these units are compared with horizontally opposed units and single wall, large industrial boilers burning the same fuels. Consistent with the other average values obtained for single wall and horizontally opposed boilers, it is recommended that the average value for lignite-fired, single wall boilers be reduced from 14 lb/ton (380 ng/J) to 13 lb/ton (350 ng/J). The eight process gas-fired utility boilers show an average NO<sub>x</sub> emission factor of 790 lb/10<sup>6</sup> scf (330 ng/J).

All of the cyclone boiler data came from a recent compilation of previous tests (Reference 2-21). These data show less  $NO_x$  emission than the initial AP-42 numbers in all fuel categories. In particular,  $NO_x$  emissions for bituminous coal-fired cyclone boilers were 34 percent less than the initial AP-42 value. The new data are probably more accurate as they are based on the average of seven different cyclone boilers. Some cyclone boilers may have been better classified as large industrial units but were included in the utility section to provide a better data base.

apNo new data were obtained for vertical units and only two new data points were obtained for wet bottom units. Both of these were in the bituminous coal category (References 2-8 and 2-1). These data suggest that wet bottom, coal-fired boilers should have their NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors increased by some 60 percent. In comparison to cyclone units, the original wet bottom boiler NO<sub>y</sub> emission data seem unusually low; the new

data, especially in light of the recent cyclone boiler data, would seem more reasonable for such units.

The remaining category for which new information is now available is for spreader stoker units firing bituminous coal. New data on six units are included (References 2-15, 2-16, 2-22, 2-23, 2-24, and 2-25). The average  $NO_x$  emission value, 15 lb/ton (270 ng/J) is a good representative value for these units, agreeing well with the industrial boiler NO<sub>x</sub> emission factor for the same category.

Although wet bottom boilers are not mutually exclusive from the other categories, in this report they are treated separately because of their very high NO, emission rates.

2.2 HISTOGRAMS OF NO, EMISSIONS FOR UTILITY BOILERS

Figures 2-1, 2-2, 2-3 and 2-4 are bar graphs of baseline NO. emission factors versus number of units tested within each boiler 245 type/fuel category. Figure 2-1 covers bituminous coal-, oil- and 21112 11 1 gas-fired horizontally opposed units; Figure 2-2 covers bituminous coal-, 🐃 oil- and gas-fired single wall units. Figure 2-3 covers bituminous coaland oil-fired cyclone units and Figure 2-4 covers bituminous coal-fired tangential units. Since there were only two data points for natural 480 will gas-fired cyclone units, no histogram was constructed. With few exceptions, the data fall quite close together considering the numbers of vero variables involved. Two boilers, one a gas-fired, single wall unit and the prothe other an oil-fired, single wall unit, were too far from the average areas based on Chauvenet's criterion and, were excluded from the data presented main in Tables 2-1 and 2-2. ∋ਸਤ ਪੁਰਲੋੜ

The variation within each boiler and fuel category may be due to the bold of a bold of

There are several NO<sub>x</sub> control techniques currently in use with another utility boilers. These include:

 Low Excess Air (LEA) -- The excess amount of combustion air supplied is reduced



c. Bituminous Coal-Fired Horizontally Opposed Boilers

Figure 2-1. Population histograms of  $NO_X$  emission factors for horizontally opposed utility boilers.

Q



\*after a statistical analysis these tests were not considered representative of the boiler population, and therefore not included in the replacement emission factor.

Figure 2-2. Population histograms of NO<sub>X</sub> emission factors for single wall utility boilers.











Figure 2-4. Population histograms of NO<sub>X</sub> emission factors for bituminous coal-fired tangential utility boilers.

- Off-Stoichiometric Combustion (OSC) -- Some burners fire a fuel-rich mixture and combustion is completed by injection of additional air or lean mixture downstream
- Flue Gas Recirculation (FGR) -- A portion of the flue gas is recycled to the firebox
- Load Reduction (LR) -- The boiler is fired at less than capacity
- Combinations of two or more of the above
- Low NO, Burner (LNB)

Much of the data on these controls have previously been analyzed by Acurex (Reference 2-26). In addition to this review, a section of the cyclone boiler report (Reference 2-21) considers the applicability of many  $NO_x$  control techniques to this boiler type. The Standards Support and Environmental Impact Statement report on lignite-fired boilers (Reference 2-27) also dwells on certain of the  $NO_x$  controls. Table 2-3 indicates the percent reduction one can expect by applying particular  $NO_x$  control techniques to each boiler/fuel category.

-			NO <sub>x</sub> Emission	s (1b NO <sub>2</sub> /unit	t fuel consumed) as a Function of Fuel				
Type Boiler		Co	al						
Control	Bitum	Inous	£1gn	Lignite Residual Oil Natural Gas		Gas			
Techniques	NO <sub>X</sub> (1b/ton)	% Reduction	NO <sub>x</sub> (1b/ton)	% Reduction	NO <sub>x</sub> (16/10 <sup>3</sup> gal)	% Reduction	NO <sub>x</sub> (16/10 <sup>6</sup> SCF)	(% Reduction)	
Tangential									
Baseline	15		8		50		300	·	
LEA	12	20	6	20	[40]	[20]	210	30	
OSC	9	40	5	38		· [20]	300	0	
FGK	12	16	7	12		[30]	120	60	
	13	13	'	12   *	[45]		1 300 [00]	[70]	
	8	45	4	45	351		[270]		
OSC + LR + FGR	-	*		*	[35]	[30]	[60]	[70]	
Horizontally Opposed Baseline	25		14		70		700	:	
LEA	22	10	11	20	56	20	600	15	
ÖSC	20	20	l ii	20.	45	35	300	60	
FGR	20	20	11	20	60	13	[350]	[60]	
LR	. 22	10	13	10	50	. 30	300	_60	
OSC + FGR	15	40	[8]	[40]	56	20	[175]	[ [75]	
	19	25 *	10	25 *		50	140	80	
INB	15	40			<u> </u>	.00	100		
LIND	. 15	40			, .		· · ,		

## TABLE 2-3.AVERAGE PERCENT REDUCTION OF NOx EMISSION FACTORS BY STATE-OF-THE-ART<br/>CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR UTILITY BOILERS (ENGLISH UNITS)

\*Indicates that no data is available and technique may result in severe corrosion and/or slagging problems [ ] Indicates engineering estimate

13

. .

		<u> </u>	NO <sub>K</sub> Emissi	NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions (1b NO <sub>2</sub> /unit fuel consumed) as a Function of Fuel					
Type Boiler		C	oals		Residual Oil Natural Gas				
Control	Bitum	inous	Lign	lte					
Techn1ques	NO <sub>x</sub> (1b/ton)	% Reduction	NO <sub>x</sub> (lb/ton)	% Reduction	$NO_{\chi}$ (1b/10 <sup>3</sup> gal)	% Reduction	NO <sub>x</sub> (16/10 <sup>6</sup> SCF)	(% Reduction)	
Single Wall Baseline LEA OSC FGR LR OSC + FGR OSC + LR LR + OSC + FGR LNB	19 16 13 14 10 11	 15 30 * 25 * 45 * 45	[11] [9] [8] [8] [6]	[20] [30] * [25] * [45]	50 38 30 [35] 35 22 28 22 28 22	 25 40 [30] 30 55 45 55	410 350 210 270 125 105 80 165	 15 50 35 70 75 80 60	
Cyclone Baseline LEA OSC FGR LR OSC + FGR OSC + LR OSC + LR + FGR	36 25	 * * * 30 *	13 9		87 78 [61] 70	 10 * [30] 20 * *	660 [560] 330 [330]	[15] 50 [50] •	

TABLE 2-3. Concluded

1

٠.,

\* e

\*Indicates that no data is available and technique may result in severe corrosion and/or slagging problems
[ ] Indicates engineering estimate

¥ .

.

It should be noted that off-stoichiometric combustion (OSC), also known as two-staged combustion, can be accomplished by one of the following:

- Burners-Out-Of-Service (BOOS) -- Lower burners fire a fuel-rich mixture, while upper burners supply only combustion air
- Biased Burner Firing (BBF) -- Lower burners simply fire a richer fuel-air mixture than upper burners
- Overfire Air (OFA) -- All burners fire a richer mixture, then additional combustion air is supplied above the firebox

The first two are generally used in a retrofit situation while the last is principally a new boiler feature.

2.4 NITRIC OXIDE AS PERCENT CONSTITUENT OF TOTAL NO, EMISSIONS

Some data, principally from KVB (Reference 2-10 and 2-11) and the cyclone boiler report (Reference 2-21) indicate that NO is the principal constituent of  $NO_x$ . Of the four boiler categories for which either NO or  $NO_2$  were measured along with  $NO_x$ , NO constituted at least 95 percent of the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. These data are presented in Table 2-4.

TABLE 2-4. NITRIC OXIDE AS A CONSTITUENT OF TOTAL NO<sub>X</sub> EMISSIONS OF UTILITY BOILERS

Type Boiler	NO/NO <sub>x</sub> as a Function of Fuela					
	Bituminous Coal	Residual Oil	Natural Gas			
Single wall	96% (3)b	98% (1)	95% (2)			
Cyclone	99% (6)					

<sup>a</sup>Weight percentage, NO reported as NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>Numbers in parentheses refers to number of boilers tested.

#### **REFERENCES FOR SECTION 2**

- 2-1. Bartok, W., et al., "Systematic Study of ND<sub>x</sub> Emission Control Methods for Utility Boilers," Exxon Report, GRU-4GNÔS-71, December 1971.
- 2-2. Crawford, A. R., et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control  $NO_x$  Emissions from Utility Boilers," EPA-650/2-74-066, NTIS-PB 237 344/AS, June 1974.
- 2-3. Dykema, O. W., "Analysis of Test Data for NO<sub>X</sub> Control in Gas and Oil-Fired Utility Boilers," EPA-650/2-75-012, NTIS-PB 241 918/AS, January 1975.
- 2-4. Dykema, O. W. and R. E. Hall, "Analysis of Gas-, Oil, and Coal-Fired Utility Boiler Test Data," in <u>Proceedings of the Stationary Source</u> <u>Combustion Symposium</u>, Volume III, EPA-600/2-76-152c, NTIS-PB 257 146/AS, June 1976.
- 2-5. Bartz, D. R., et al., "Control of Oxides of Nitrogen from Stationary Sources in the South Coast Air Basin," ARB 2-1471 (KVB Report No. 5800-179), September 1974.
- 2-6. Crawford, A. R., et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modification to Power Generating Combustion Sources," <u>Proceedings of</u> the Second Stationary Source Combustion Symposium, Volume II, Utility and Large Industrial Boilers, EPA 600/7-77-073b, July 1977.
- 2-7. Thompson, R. E., et al., "Effectiveness of Gas Recirculation and Staged Combustion in Reducing  $NO_X$  on a 560 MW Coal-Fired Boiler," EPRI Report No. FP-257, NTIS-PB 260 582, September 1976.
- 2-8. Crawford, A. R., et al., "Control of Utility Boiler and Gas Turbine Pollutant Emissions by Combustion Modification -- Phase I," EPA-600/7-78-036a, March 1978.
- 2-9. Blakeslee, C. E., and A. P. Selker, Program for Reduction of NO<sub>X</sub> from Tangential Coal-Fired Boilers, EPA-650/2-73-005, 5a and 5b, NTIS-PB 226 547/AS, PB 245 162/AS, PB 246 889/AS, August 1973, June 1975 and August 1975.
- 2-10. Burrington, R. L., et al., "Overfire Air Technology for Tangentially-Fired Utility Boilers Burning Western U.S. Coal," EPA-600/7-77-117, NTIS-PB 277 012/AS, October 1977.
- 2-11. Hollinden, G. H., et al., "NO<sub>x</sub> Control at TVA Coal-Fired Steam Plants," ASME Air Pollution Control Division, in Proceedings of the Third National Symposium, April 1973.

- 2-12. Higginbotham, E. B. and P. M. Goldberg, "Field Testing of a Tangential Coal-fired Utility Boiler -- Effects of Combustion Modification NO<sub>X</sub> Control on Multimedia Emissions," Acurex Draft Final Report 79-337, April 1979.
- 2-13. Crawford, A. R., <u>et al.</u>, "NO<sub>x</sub> Emission Control for Coal-Fired Utility Boilers," Esso Research and Engineering Company. Paper presented at the Coal Combustion Seminar, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, June 19-20, 1973.
- 2-14. Crawford, A. R., <u>et al.</u>, "The Effects of Combustion Modification on Pollutants and Equipment Performance of Power Generation Equipment," <u>Proceedings of the Stationary Source Combustion Symposium</u>, Volume III, Field Testing and Surveys, EPA-600/2-76-152c, June 1976.
- 2-15. Cato, G. A., et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control Pollution Emissions from Industrial Boilers --Phase I," EPA-650/2-74-078a, NTIS-PB 238 920/AS, October 1974.
- 2-16. Cato, G. A., et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control Pollution Emissions from Industrial Boilers --Phase II," EPA-600/2-76-086a, NTIS-PB 253 500/AS, April 1976.
- 2-17. Hollinden, G. H., <u>et al.</u>, "Control of NO<sub>X</sub> Formation in Wall Coal-Fired Boilers, in <u>Proceedings of the Stationary Source Combustion</u> Symposium, Volume II, EPA-600/2-76-152b, NTIS-PB 256 321/AS, June 1976.
- 2-18. Hunter, S. C. and H. J. Buening, "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control Pollutant Emissions from Industrial Boilers -- Phase I and II (Data Supplement)," EPA-600/2-77-122, June 1977.
- 2-19. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Supplement No. 6 for Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors," Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, AP-42, April 1976.
- 2-20. Maloney, K. J., "Western Coal Use in Industrial Boilers," Western States Section/The Combustion Institute, Salt Lake City, Utah, April 1976.
- 2-21. Ctvrtnicek, T. E. and S. J. Rusek, "Applicability of NO<sub>x</sub> Combustion Modifications to Cyclone Boilers (Furnaces)," EPA-600/7-77-006, January 1977.
- 2-22. Unpublished Data, EPA 68-02-2160, Acurex Corporation, August 1978.
- 2-23. Maloney, K. L., <u>et al.</u>, "Systems Evaluation of the Use of Low-Sulfur Western Coal in Existing Small and Intermediate-Sized Boilers," KVB Inc., EPA-600/7-78-153a, July 1978.
- 2-24. Gabrielson, J. E., et al., "Field Tests of Industrial Stoker Coal-Fired Boilers for Emissions Control and Efficiency Improvement - Site A," KVB Inc., EPA-600/7-78-136a, July 1978.

- 2-25. Gabrielson, J. E., et al., "Field Tests of Industrial Stoker Coal-Fired Boilers for Emissions Control and Efficiency Improvement - Site B," KVB Inc., EPA-600/7-79-041a, February 1979.
- 2-26. Lim, K. J., et al., "Environmental Assessment of Utility Boiler Combustion Modification  $NO_X$  Controls," Acurex Draft Report TR-78-105, April 1978.
- 2-27. Goodwin, D. R., "Standards Support and Environmental Impact Statement, Volume I: Proposed Standards of Performance for Lignite-Fired Steam Generators," EPA-450/2-76-030a, December 1976.

## SECTION 3

#### INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

Industrial boilers, for the purposes of this study, are defined as coal-, oil-, or gas-fired steam generators with rated heat input capacities ranging from 2.9 to 29 MW (10 to 100 x  $10^6$  Btu/hr). These units are generally packaged boilers, including small, stoker, coal-fired units as well as oil (residual and distillate) or gas burning firetube and watertube boilers. As in Section 2, subbituminous coal is included in the bituminous category.

As with all general definitions, there are exceptions. In fact, nearly 14 percent of the industrial boiler population have input capacities greater than 73 MW and nearly 26 percent have input capacities smaller than 2.9 MW (Reference 3-1). For purposes of this report those industrial boilers which have rated heat input capacities greater then 29 MW were incorporated with the previous utility boiler review, and those of less than 2.9 MW were designated as residential-commercial types, Section 4.

3.1 NO, EMISSION FACTORS FOR INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

Industrial boilers burning oil and natural gas have been divided into two boiler types, watertube and firetube. A considerable quantity of data -- much of it from the KVB reports -- were amassed for each category (Tables 3-1 and 3-2). As before, Table 3-1 is presented in English units and Table 3-2 contains the same data presented in SI units. Since existing AP-42 emission factors for natural gas combustion are expressed as a range, suggested replacement factors are expressed in the same manner. Besides these results, the data also include Ultrasystems data for a watertube and a firetube boiler tested with both natural gas and residual oil (References 3-2 and 3-3) and Battelle data for a watertube

# TABLE 3-1. NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF INDUSTRIAL BOILERS (10 to 100 x $10^6$ Btu/hr) (ENGLISH UNITS)

	Baseline NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions as a Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>							
Type Boil <del>er</del>	Coal (1b/ton burned)			0i1 (1b/1	0il (1b/10 <sup>3</sup> gal)			
	Anthracite	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate	(16/10 <sup>6</sup> SCF)		
Watertube		· · ·		60 <sup>b</sup> 60 <sup>c</sup> (14)d 0%9	22 19 (5) -14%	120-230 150 (13) 70-310 <sup>e</sup>		
Firetube		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>*</i>	60 37 (6) -38%	22 21 (7) -4%	120-230 110 (9) 65-150		
Spreader Stoker <sup>f</sup>		15 14 (3) 75	6.0 9 h	- · ·				
Underfeed Stoker <sup>f</sup>		15 9.5 (4) -37 <b>%</b>	6.0					
Overfeed Stoker <sup>f</sup>	16	15 7.8 (3) -50%	6.0			· · · ·		

 $\frac{a}{NO_{\chi}}$  values reported in terms of NO<sub>2</sub>

EE-T-120

Hat.

<sup>b</sup>Old AP-42 value

<sup>C</sup>Recommended replacement number based on new or revised data base

.

d<sub>Number</sub> of boilers tested

eRange found for boilers tested

fStokers may be of either watertube or firetube construction

e en el como de la

<sup>g</sup>Percent change in emission factor

en de la par

× .

h[ ] Engineering estimate

· .- . .

20

֥• .

· . . . \*

s.

TABLE 3-2. NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF INDUSTRIAL BOILERS (2.9 to 29 MW) (SI UNITS)

	Baseline NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions (ng/J) as a Function of Fuela								
Type Boiler		Coal			0i1				
	Anthracite	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate				
Watertube				170 <sup>b</sup> 170 <sup>c</sup> (14) <sup>d</sup> -6 <b>%</b> 9	67 58 (5) -185	49-94 60 (13) 30-130 <sup>2</sup>			
Firetube				170 110 (6) -35 <b>%</b>	67 64 (7) -3≸	49-94 45 (9) 28-60			
Spreader Stoker <sup>f</sup>		270 250 (3) 7 <b>x</b>	160 240 h						
Underfeed Stoker <sup>f</sup>		270 170 (4) -37%	160						
Overfeed Stoker <sup>f</sup>	270	270 140 (3) -50%	160						

 ${}^{a}_{NO}$  values reported in terms of NO<sub>2</sub>  ${}^{b}_{O1d}$  AP-42 value

EE-T-121

<sup>C</sup>Recommended replacement number based on new or revised data base

<sup>d</sup>Number of boilers tested

<sup>e</sup>Range found for boilers tested

fStokers may be of either watertube or firetube construction

gpercent change in emission factor

h[] Engineering estimate

and firetube boiler tested with natural gas, distillate oil and residual oil (Reference 3-4).

Coal-fired industrial boilers are generally of the stoker design. Pulverized coal units are limited to 29 MW (100 x  $10^6$  Btu/hr) as a minimum size because of efficiency considerations (Reference 3-5). The KVB data contain two spreader stokers, four underfeed stokers and one overfeed stoker. A third spreader stoker and two overfeed units were tested by Rockwell (Reference 3-6). These data for spreader stokers are consistent with utility boilers of the same category. The averages for the underfeed and overfeed units appear reasonable. Based on the bituminous coal NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors for both spreader and underfeed stokers, a value of 9 lb/ton (240 ng/J) is suggested rather than the 6 lb/ton (160 ng/J) currently employed. The underfeed stoker lignite value, however, should be retained. Also there are not enough data for lignite coal-fired spreader stokers to improve on the existing overfeed stoker NO<sub>x</sub> emission value for lignite.

3.2 HISTOGRAMS OF NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSIONS FOR INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

Figure 3-1 shows bar graphs of baseline emission values versus class of boiler for those classes in which more than two  $NO_{\chi}$  emission numbers were gathered. The variation within each boiler and fuel category may be due to load (not all baselines were run at 80 percent load), air preheat, burner type, furnace dimensions, differences in fuel nitrogen, amount of excess air, errors in measurement, to name a few. Because of the number of variables, the data are presented to only two significant figures. All baseline data found were included.

3.3 NITRIC OXIDE AS PERCENT CONSTITUENT OF TOTAL NO EMISSIONS

The total nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x)$  emissions consist primarily of two components: Nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$  and nitric oxide. Thus, if the concentration of two are known, the third can be determined to some degree of accuracy.

KVB determined NO and NO<sub>x</sub> on almost all boilers tested during its two field investigations. Table 3-3 contains this data reduced to percent NO in NO<sub>x</sub>. As can be seen, the average percent NO in NO<sub>x</sub> is at least 94. The ratio of NO to NO<sub>x</sub> does not seem to be affected by fuel type or boiler type or size.



Figure 3-1. Population histograms of  $NO_X$  emission factors for industrial boilers.



Figure 3-1. Continued.

د: د: د:





Figure 3-1. Concluded.

ŧ

TABLE 3-3.	NITRIC OXIDE	AS A	CONSTITUENT	0F	TOTAL	NOx	EMISSIONS
	OF INDUSTRIAL	_ BOIL	_ERS			~	

	Туре	NO/NO <sub>X</sub> as a Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>						
Boiler -		Bi'tuminous Coal	Residual Oil	Distillate Oil	Natural Gas			
	Watertube		99% (12)ª	97% (3)	95% (8)			
	Firetube		98% (4)	95% (5)	94% (9)			
	Spreader Stoker	98% (2)						
	Underfeed Stoker	98% (4)		. ,				

<sup>a</sup>Weight percentage, NO reported as NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>Numbers in parentheses refers to number of boilers tested

26

7

7.

3.4 EFFECT OF CONTROLS ON NO, EMISSIONS FOR INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

No long term testing of state-of-the-art NO<sub>X</sub> emission controls has yet been undertaken for industrial boilers. Because of this, it is difficult to say whether the NO<sub>X</sub> control techniques developed for utility boilers will be equally effective for industrial boilers. Short term tests by KVB and others do indicate, however, that combustion modification control techniques are effective in reducing NO<sub>X</sub> (References 3-7, 3-8, 3-2 and 3-3). It is not yet recommended that these limited controlled emissions data be published in AP-42.

3.5 OTHER DATA

One data source for coal-fired boilers which met the requirements for inclusion in the survey was that of Ferrari (3-9). However, the data are presented separately for two reasons:

- The source of the data is Australia and, although the boilers may be basically the same, some may be different in design.
- Where the data overlaps the data in this study; they are quite different and generally do not follow the expected trend with unit size.

Table 3-4 lists Ferrari's values and compares them with averages for all of the boiler types for which the design is specified. Ferrari also reported on pulverized coal units but failed to indicate the type of units tested. However, his results for pulverized coal are considerably lower than the averages for tangential, single wall and horizontally opposed boilers in the utility category.

	NO <sub>X</sub> emission f as function of	actors (ng/J) boiler size
Type Unit	Utility	Industrial
Chain Grate Stokers (overfeed)	141 (4) <sup>a</sup> 	187 (2) <sup>b</sup> 110 <sup>c</sup> (3)
Spreader Stokers	166 260 (5)	192 (2) 250 (3)

#### TABLE 3-4. COMPARISON OF DATA FROM FERRARI et al. WITH CALCULATED AVERAGES FOR SAME BOILER TYPE AND SIZE

<sup>a</sup>Top row Ferrari's values.

. . .

<sup>b</sup>Numbers in parentheses refer to number of boilers tested. <sup>C</sup>Bottom row, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions update averages.

#### **REFERENCES FOR SECTION 3**

- 3-1. "Task 2 Summary Report -- Preliminary Summary of Industrial Boiler Population," prepared by PEDCo in support of OAQPS work on NSPS for industrial boilers, June 29, 1978.
- 3-2. Cichanowicz, J. E., et al., "Pollutant Control Techniques for Package Boilers. Phase I -- Hardware Modifications and Alternate Fuels, Ultrasystems Draft Report for EPA 68-02-1498, November 1976.
- 3-3. Heap, M. P., et al., "Reduction of Nitrogen Oxide Emissions from Field Operating Package Boilers, Phase III," EPA-600/2-77-025, NTIS-PB 269 277, January 1977.
- 3-4. Barrett, R. E. and S. E. Miller, "Field Investigation of Emissions from Combustion Equipment for Space Heating," Battelle-Columbus Laboratories, EPA-R2-73-084a, API Publication 4180, June 1973.
- 3-5. "Task 7 Summary Report -- Technical and Economic Bases for Evaluation of Emission Reduction Technology," prepared by PEDCo in support of OAQPS work on NSPS for industrial boilers, June 2, 1978.
- 3-6. Littman, F. E., et al., "Regional Air Pollution Study. Point Source Emission Inventory," EPA-600/4-77-014, March 1977.
- 3-7. Cato, G. A. et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control Pollutant Emissions from Industrial Boilers --Phase 1," EPA-600/2-74-078a, NTIS-PB 238 920/AS, October 1974.
- 3-8. Cato, G. A. et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control Pollutant Emissions from Industrial Boilers --Phase 2," EPA-600/2-76-086, NTIS-PB 253 920/AS, October 1974.
- 3-9. Ferrari, L. M., et al., "Nitrogen Oxides Emissions and Emission Factors for Stationary Sources in New South Wales," International Clean Air Conference, The Clean Air Society of Australia and New Zealand," May 1967.

#### SECTION 4

#### COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS

This section not only covers all stationary steam generating sources whose rated heat input capacity is less than 2.9 MW (<10 x  $10^6$  Btu/hr) but also residential hot water, steam and forced air heaters. The arbitrary dividing line between commercial and residential units is set at 150 kW (5 x  $10^5$  Btu/hr). As noted previously in the introduction to industrial boilers, nearly 26 percent of the boilers used in industry have input capacities less than 2.9 MW. Thus, some commercial boiler data were obtained from industrial boiler reports.

#### 4.1 NO, EMISSION FACTORS FOR COMMERCIAL SIZED BOILERS

Commercial boilers fall into three categories: stoker fed coal-fired, hand fed coal-fired, and oil- or gas-fired units generally of a firetube design. Many are used as a source of hot water rather than a source of steam or electricity. Tables 4-1 and 4-2 contain information on those units whose NO<sub>v</sub> emissions have been measured.

The initial KVB boiler survey (Reference 4-1) contains data on two firetube boilers with heat input capacities of 2.1 MW (7 x  $10^6$  Btu/hr) and 2.4 MW (8 x  $10^6$  Btu/hr). One of these units was run with two grades of residual oil, distillate oil and natural gas. The other was run with natural gas only. The oil data have previously been incorporated into AP-42, supplement 6 (Reference 4-2). The natural gas data are 22 percent lower than the existing AP-42 number which is composed solely of the results from seven boilers tested by Battelle (References 4-3 and 4-10). However, two of these Battelle units were industrial size and the data have been recalculated to reflect this. Thus, the new value is the arithmetic average of the remaining five units plus the two KVB results.

## TABLE 4-1. NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF COMMERCIAL STATIONARY STEAM AND HOT WATER GENERATING UNITS (0.5 to 10 x $10^6$ Btu/hr), (ENGLISH UNITS)

Type Boiler	Baseline NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions as a Function of Fuela							
		Coal (lb/ton)		0il (1b/1	Natural Gas			
	Anthracite	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate	(1b/10 <sup>6</sup> SCF)		
Firetube	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	60 <sup>b</sup> 61 <sup>c</sup> (8) <sup>d</sup> 2 <sup>e</sup>	22 19 (7) -14%	120 92 (7) -22%		
Commercial Stokers	2.2-3.2 <sup>f</sup> (1)	6.0	6.0					
Commercial Hand-Fired Units	3	3.0						

 $^{a}_{L}NO_{x}$  values reported in terms of  $NO_{2}$ 

1″

<sup>b</sup>Old AP-42 value

 $^{\rm C}Recommended$  replacement number based on new or revised data base

<sup>d</sup>Number of boilers tested

<sup>e</sup>Percent change in emissions factor

<sup>f</sup>Range as reported in literature, best available information (Reference 4-5)

#### TABLE 4-2. NO, EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF COMMERCIAL STATIONARY STEAM AND HOT WATER GENERATING UNITS (0.15 to 2.9 MW) (SI UNITS)

Type Boiler	Basline NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions (ng/J) as Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>								
	Coal			0i	Natural Gas				
	Anthracite	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate				
Firetube				172 <sup>b</sup> 180 <sup>c</sup> (8) <sup>d</sup> 2 <sup>e</sup>	67 58 (7) -15%	49 38 (7) -22%			
Commercial Stokers	37-55(1) <sup>f</sup>	110	160						
Commercial Hand-Fired Units	51	54							

<sup>a</sup>NO<sub>x</sub> values reported in terms of NO<sub>2</sub> EE-T-119 <sup>b</sup>Old AP-42 value

<sup>C</sup>Recommended replacement number based on new or revised data base <sup>d</sup>Number of boilers tested

Percent change in emissions factor

<sup>f</sup>Range as reported in literature, best available information (Reference 4-5)

Battelle (Reference 4-3) has also tested a 200 kW commercial boiler fitted with both bituminous and anthracite stokers. This unit was operated at extremely low loads for most of the test sequences in an attempt to achieve smokeless results. The  $NO_x$  emission factors reported here for anthracite were run at 74 percent load and the bituminous at 49 percent load. Because of the low load and large excess air conditions, incorporation of the bituminous coal data in AP-42 is not recommended and have not been included in the reported average.

4.2 NO, EMISSION FACTORS FOR RESIDENTIAL FURNACES AND BOILERS

Residential units fall into the same broad categories as the commercial boilers, above.  $NO_{\chi}$  emission data for residential units are contained in Tables 4-3 and 4-4, in English units and SI units, respectively.

Monsanto (Reference 4-6) has recently published information on a 200,000 Btu/hr furnace and a 200,000 Btu/hr boiler. Both units are supplied by underfeed stokers and fired with western subbituminous coal. Average baseline  $NO_{\chi}$  for the two units is 8.5 lb/ton (152 ng/J). The  $NO_{\chi}$  emission factors were approximately twice as great for the furnace as for the boiler using the same coal. This suggests that design features may play an important part in  $NO_{\chi}$  emission factors for these units.

Several recent sources of data on  $NO_x$  emissions for natural gas-fired residential units have been abstracted (References 4-7, 4-8, and 4-9). The most extensive results, conducted by The American Gas Association (AGA) Laboratories (Reference 4-7) cover 38 gas-fired, forced air furnaces manufactured by 29 different companies with heat input rates ranging from 75 x  $10^3$  to  $180 \times 10^3$  Btu/hr. The average  $NO_x$  emission factors for these units are  $103 \ 1b \ NO_2/10^6 \ scf (42.1 \ ng \ NO_2/J)$  and they ranged from 18.8 to  $128.1 \ 1b \ NO_2/10^6 \ scf (7.7 \ to \ 52.5 \ ng \ NO_2/J)$ . The lowest number was considered outside of acceptable limits and was discarded for the final average. The data for the blue flame, high  $O_2$  condition, were considered as baseline. A second, low excess air (yellow flame adjustment) testing sequence showed an average 10 percent decrease in  $NO_y$  emissions for this control technique.

Hall (Reference 4-8) reports on the testing of two gas-fired furnaces and one gas-fired boiler. In these tests NO measurements averaged 60.5 lb  $NO/10^6$  scf (24.8 ng NO/J). If one assumes that at

#### $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$ EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF RESIDENTIAL STEAM AND TABLE 4-3. HOT WATER GENERATING UNITS (<500,000 Btu/hr) (ENGLISH UNITS)

Type Boiler	Baseline NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions as a Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>								
	Coal (lb/ton)			0il (16/	Natural Gas (1b/10 <sup>6</sup> SCF)				
	Anthracite	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate				
Residential Heating					18	80b 102 <sup>c</sup> (44) <sup>d</sup> +28% <sup>e</sup>			
Stoker Units	6.0 8.5 (2) 42%	6.0							
Hand-Fired Units	3.0 <sup>b</sup>								

 ${}^{a}_{NO_{\chi}}$  values reported in terms of NO<sub>2</sub>  ${}^{b}_{Old}$  AP-42 value

EE-T-122

-;

ŝ.

<sup>C</sup>Recommended replacement number based on new or revised data base

<sup>d</sup>Number of boilers tested .

<sup>e</sup>Percent change in emissions factor

ċ

	Baseline NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions (ng/J) as a Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>							
Type Boiler	Coal		0i1	Natural Gas				
	Bituminous	Lignite	Residual	Distillate				
Residential Heating				55b	33b 42c (44)d +28%e			
Stoker Units	107 152 (2) 42%	160						
Hand-Fired Units	54b							

#### TABLE 4-4. NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF RESIDENTIAL (<0.15 MW) STEAM AND HOT WATER GENERATING UNITS (SI UNITS)

 $^{a}NO_{x}$  values reported in terms of  $NO_{2}$ 

1.

<sup>b</sup>Old AP-42 value

<sup>C</sup>Recommended replacement number based on new or revised data base

<sup>d</sup>Number of boilers tested

<sup>e</sup>Percent change in emissions factor

**3**5

- ----

least 90 percent of  $NO_x$  is NO then the  $NO_x$  concentration (measured in terms of  $NO_2$ ) is 102 lb  $NO_2/10^6$  scf (41.8 ng  $NO_2/J$ ). The units tested by Hall were "as is." Those by the AGA were tuned (blue flame). In both cases, the tests were considered baseline. Similar lack of effects of boiler tuning on  $NO_x$  emissions were shown by KVB for industrial boilers (Reference 4-10).

Finally, Rocketdyne (Reference 4-9), prior to testing various modifications on the unit, procured and tested a Lennox Oll-140 warm air furnace equipped with a stock Lennox Burner. A baseline run on the unit gave 98 lb NO/10<sup>6</sup> scf (40 ng NO/J). This is equivalent to 167 lb  $NO_2/10^6$  scf (68 ng  $NO_2/J$ ) of  $NO_x$  measured as  $NO_2$  if the NO as measured previously accounted for 90 percent of the  $NO_x$ .

Summation of these 41 individual boilers with the two units previously averaged in AP-42 Supplement 3 (Reference 4-11) gave 102 lb  $NO_2/10^6$  scf (42.0 ng/J) as the overall average.

4.3 NO, EMISSION FACTORS FOR PILOT LIGHTS

Most residential, gas-fired waterheaters and forced air furnaces contain pilot burners. Fuel input ranged from 828 to 1570 Btu/hr for the seven pilot lights examined by the AGA (Reference 4-7). The average  $NO_{\chi}$  emission factor for these pilots is 71.3 lb/10<sup>6</sup> scf (29.2 ng/J), roughly 75 percent of that for the burners.

4.4 HISTOGRAMS OF NO, EMISSIONS FOR COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS

Population NO<sub>x</sub> emission histograms are drawn for gas-fired commercial boilers, residential heating units and pilot lights. These histograms are shown in Figure 4-1. All data are within acceptable limits except the 7.7 ng/J residential unit reported by Thrasher and Dewerth (Reference 4-7).

4.5 NITRIC OXIDE AS PERCENT CONSTITUTENT OF TOTAL NO, EMISSIONS

Much of the data reviewed was reported in terms of either NO and  $NO_2$  or NO and  $NO_x$ . These data are presented in Table 4-5. A trend in the data seems to indicate that the smaller the source, the greater the fraction of NO in the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. The pilot light data and 38 data points for gas-fired residential units were reported by the American Gas Association Report (Reference 4-7). The remaining NO/NO<sub>2</sub> data were taken from two older Battelle documents (Reference 4-3 and 4-4). Data for commercial units were reported by Battelle (Reference 4-3) and KVB (Reference 4-1).





# TABLE 4-5. NITRIC OXIDE AS A CONSTITUENT OF TOTAL NO<sub>X</sub> EMISSIONS OF COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL BOILERS AND HEATING UNITS AND PILOT LIGHTS

Type	NO/NO <sub>x</sub> as a Function of Fuel <sup>a</sup>				
Boiler	Natural Gas	Distillate Oil	Residual Oil		
Commercial 0.5 to 10 x 106 Btu/hr	97 (8)Þ	99 (7)	99 (7)		
Residential 2 to 500 x 10 <sup>3</sup> Btu/hr	As found 79 (2) Tuned 95 (38)	75 (32)			
Pilot Light <2000 Btu/hr	55 (7)				

<sup>a</sup>Weight percentage, NO reported as NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>Numbers in parentheses refers to number of boilers tested.

х t.

#### REFERENCES FOR SECTION 4

- 4-1. Cato, G. A. et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control Pollutant Emissions from Industrial Boilers -- Phase I," EPA-600/2-74-078a, NTIS-PB 238 920/AS, October 1974.
- 4-2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Supplement No. 6 for Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors," Second Edition, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Document AP-42, April 1976.
- 4-3. Barrett, R. E., et al., "Field Investigation of Emissions from Combustion Equipment for Space Heating," EPA-R2-73-084a (API Publication 4180), June 1973.
- 4-4. Levy, A., et al., "A Field Investigation of Emissions from Fuel Oil Combustion for Space Heating," API Publication 4099, November 1971.
- 4-5. Giammar, R. D., et al., "Emissions from Residential and Small Commercial Stoker-Coal-Fired Boilers Under Smokeless Operation," EPA 600/7-76-029, October 1976.
- 4-6. DeAngelis, D. G., and R. B. Reznik, "Source Assessment: Coal-Fired Residential Combustion Equipment Field Tests, June 1977, "EPA 600/2-78-0040, June 1978.
- 4-7. Thrasher, W. H. and D. W. Dewerth, "Evaluation of the Pollutant Emissions from Gas-Fired Forced Air Furnaces," American Gas Association Research Report #1503, Catalog No. U7815, May 1975.
- 4-8. Hall, R. E., et al., "A Study of Air Pollutant Emissions from Residential Heating Systems," EPA 650/2-74-003, January 1974.
- 4-9. Combs, L. P., and A. S. Okuda, "Residential Oil Furnace System Optimization, Phase II," EPA 600/2-77-028, January 1977.
- 4-10. Cato, G. A., et al., "Field Testing: Application of Combustion Modifications to Control Pollutant Emissions from Industrial Boilers --Phase 2," EPA-600/2-76-086a, NTIS-PB 253 500/AS, April 1976.
- 4-11. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Supplement No. 3 for Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors," Second Edition, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Document AP-42, July 1974.

#### SECTION 5

#### STATIONARY RECIPROCATING ENGINES

Reciprocating engines consist of two major subclasses, compression ignition (CI) and spark ignition (SI). Each subclass is divided into two-stroke and four-stroke engine cycle categories (Reference 5-1). Further division by engine use has also been customary (Reference 5-2 and 5-3); however, because engine type and size are constantly changing within each use category, the substitution of rated power output is recommended. 5.1 NO, EMISSION FACTORS FOR COMPRESSION IGNITION ENGINES

These engines are divided into three power output categories; large (>75 kW/cyl), medium (75 kW/cyl to 75 kW/engine), and small (<75 kW/engine). Further division is by fuel type and by engine cycle. Two fuel types are characteristic of compression ignition engines; diesel engines, burning diesel oil fuel, and dual fuel engines, burning a mixture of diesel oil and gas (natural and synthetic) consisting of anywhere from >95:5 to <5:95 parts by weight of the two fuels. Some dual fuel engines also have the capability of burning each fuel separately.

Table 5-1 gives the emission factors in 3 different units. To convert from output specific units, e.g., gm/hp-hr, to input specific units, e.g., ng/J and  $Kg/10^3$  liter or  $1b/10^3$  gal, heat rates for compression ignition engines were estimated. These are presented in Table 5-2.

The nitrogen oxides emissions factors for large and medium CI engines were reported in the Standards Support Document (Reference 5-1) and Hare and Springer (Reference 5-4). NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors for small engines were found in Marshall and Fleming (Reference 5-5) in addition to Hare and Springer.

TABLE 5-1. BASELINE NO<sub>X</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF RECIPROCATING COMPRESSION IGNITION (CI) ENGINES

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 <del>•</del>						
	n	NO <sub>X</sub> Emissi	NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions as a Function of Stroke and Fuel <sup>a</sup>					
Éngine Size	Units	Diesel oil		Dual	Fuel			
· ·		2 Stroke	4 Stroke	2 Stroke	4 Stroke			
arge 75 kW/cyl.	ng/jb g/hp-hr lb/103 galb No. Engines	1800 13.3 600 (14)	1200 8.8 400 (19)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1520 \\ 10.4 \\c \\ (3) \end{array} $	1260 8.6 C (6)			
edium 75 kW/eng. 75 kW/cyl.	ng/Jb g/hp-hr lb/103 galb No. Engines	1980 16.1 660 (23)	1100 9.0 360 (66)					
mall 75 kW/eng.	ng/J <sup>b</sup> g/hp-hr lb/10 <sup>3</sup> galb No. Engines		1300 10.5 430 (15)					

 $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  values reported in terms of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{Z}}$  Input Specific

:.

Constituent ratio of dual fuel unknown

#### TABLE 5-2. HEAT RATES FOR COMPRESSION IGNITION ENGINES

Engine Size	Fuel	Heat Rate (Btu/hp-hr)
Large	Diesel	7000 (Reference 5-1)
	Dual	6500 (Reference 5~1)
Medium and Small	Diesel	7680 (Reference 5-3)

AP-42, Supplement 4 previously lists Hare and Springer data without differentiation as to size or number of strokes per firing cycle. 5.2 NO\_ EMISSION FACTORS FOR SPARK IGNITION ENGINES

Spark ignition (SI) engines are divided into four categories of power output; large (>75 kW/cyl), medium (75 kW/cyl to 75 kW/engine), small (15-75 kW/engine) and very small (<15 kW/engine). Like compression ignition engines, these engines are further divided by engine cycle and fuel type. The principal fuels for spark ignition engines are gasoline and natural gas. Table 5-3 contains the average NO<sub>x</sub> emissions factors for these engines.

A substantial body of data was acquired for natural gas-fired large and medium sized, stationary, spark ignited (SI) engines (References 5-1, 5-6, 5-7, and 5-8). The current emission factors are 20 percent higher for two-stroke engines and 40 percent higher for four-stroke engines than those in AP-42, which are based on Urban and Springer and Dietzman and Springer alone (Reference 5-6 and 5-7). The best data available were considered to be that of Dietzman and Springer which were repeated in Urban and Springer. These were used in the averages in Table 5-3. These data agreed well with that abstracted from References 5-1 and 5-6.

Data for gasoline fired SI engines were obtained for all categories except large engines (References 5-4, 5-9, 5-10, and 5-11). Numbers for the small and very small engine categories are from Hare and Springer (Reference 5-4). They have previously been abstracted into AP-42 under "industrial equipment", Section 3.3.3, and "small, general utility engines", Section 3.2.5. There are no additional data in these categories, but a minor adjustment was necessary. The values reported

	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · ·		
		NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions as a Function of Stroke and Fuel <sup>a</sup>			
Engine Size	Units	Gasoline Nat		ural Gas	
		4 Stroke	2 Stroke	4 Stroke	
Large >75 kW/cyl.	ng/Jb g/hp-hr lb/10 <sup>3</sup> galb lb/10 <sup>b</sup> SCFb No. Engines		1660 13.2  4000 55	1960 15.5 4800 24	
Medium 75 kW/eng. -75 kW/cyl.	ng/Jb g/hp-hr lb/103 galb lb/10b SCFb No. Engines	740 10.8 260  9		1600 12.7  3900 23	
Small 15-75 kW/eng.	ng/Jb g/hp-hr lb/103 galb No. Engines	310 5.4 110 3			
Very Small <15 kW/eng.	ng/Jb g/hp-hr lb/10 <sup>3</sup> galb No. Engines	198 5.0 69 5			

TABLE 5-3. BASELINE NO $_{\rm X}$  EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF RECIPROCATING SPARK IGNITION (SI) ENGINES 1 Carlos and

. . .

gie in t

2019/02

, ÷., .

 ${}^{a}_{NO}$  values reported in terms of NO $_{2}$   ${}^{b}_{Input}$  specific values, all others are output specific

herein are computed on an evenly weighted average and one engine that was not tested at baseline was deleted.

5.3 HISTOGRAMS OF NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSIONS FOR RECIPROCATING ENGINES

Population versus  $NO_x$  emission factor histograms were drawn for all of the categories of SI and CI engines for which data were obtained. They appear in Figure 5-1 through 5-4. Two of the histograms contain blocks with numbers superimposed on them. These blocks represent averages of a particular number of engines as reported in the literature; values for the individivual tests were not given. Abscissae of these plots are marked in both English and SI units where possible.

5.4 NITRIC OXIDE AS PERCENT CONSTITUTENT OF TOTAL NO, EMISSIONS

Data for percent NO in total NO $_{\rm X}$  were taken from Hare and Springer, Dewerth, and Dietzman and Springer (References 5-4, 5-6, and 5-8). It is presented by engine subclass and category in Table 5-4.























Figure 5-4. Population histograms of  $NO_X$  emission factors for stationary reciprocating gasoline fired Spark Ignition engines.

## TABLE 5-4. NITRIC OXIDE AS A CONSTITUENT OF TOTAL NO<sub>X</sub> EMISSIONS OF RECIPROCATING ENGINES

	NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions as a Function of Type, Stroke, Fuel and Size <sup>a</sup>					
Engine Size	Compression Ignition		Spark Ignition			
	Diesel Fuel		Natural Gas		Gasoline	
	2 Stroke	4 Stroke	2 Stroke	4 Stroke		
Large			88 (42) <sup>b</sup>	83 (9)		
Medium	93 (1)	96 (4)		96 (15)	2 - Ş	
Small		98 (3)			98 (3)	

<sup>a</sup>Weight percentage, NO reported as NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>Number in parentheses refers to number of engines tested

50

•

And Stupie

#### **REFERENCES FOR SECTION 5**

5-1 Youngblood, S. B. et al., "Standards Support and Environmental Impact Statement for Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines," Acurex Draft Report TR-78-99, March 1978.

( **-**

- 5-2 Anon, "Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Publication AP-42, April 1973 and Supplements.
- 5-3 Shimizu, A. B., et al., "NO<sub>X</sub> Combustion Control Methods and Costs for Stationary Sources -- Summary Study," EPA 600/2-75-046, September 1975.
- 5-4 Hare, C. T. and K. J. Springer, "Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines, Final Report -- Part 5, Heavy-Duty Farm, Construction and Industrial Engines," Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, AR-898, October 1973.
- 5-5 Marshall, W. F. and R. D. Fleming, "Diesel Emissions Reinventoried," Report of Investigations No. 7530 by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1972.
- 5-6 Dietzmann, H. E., and K. J. Springer, "Exhaust Emissions from Piston and Gas Turbine Engines Used in Natural Gas Transmission," Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, prepared for American Gas Association, Arlington, VA, January 1974.
- 5-7. Urban, C. M. and K. J. Springer, "Study of Exhaust Emissions from Natural Gas Pipeline Compressor Engines," Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, prepared for American Gas Association, Arlington, VA, February 1975.
- 5-8 Dewerth, D. W., "Air Pollutant Emissions from Spark-Ignition Natural Gas Engines and Turbines," American Gas Association Laboratories Research Report No. 1491, September 1973.
- 5-9 Hare, C. T. and K. J. Springer, "Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines, Final Report, Part 4, Small Air-Cooled Spark Ignition Utility Engines," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, APTD-1493, May 1973.
- 5-10 Fleming, R. D. and F. R. French, "Durability of Advanced Emission Controls for Heavy Duty Diesel and Gasoline Fueled Engines," EPA 460/3-73-010, September 1973.
- 5-11 Springer, K. J., "Baseline Characterization and Emissions Control Technology Assessment of Heavy-Duty Gasoline Engines," Final Report Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, AF-844, November 1972.

#### SECTION 6

#### GAS TURBINES

#### 6.1 NO<sub>X</sub> EMISSION FACTORS FOR VARIOUS TYPES AND SIZES OF GAS TURBINE ENGINES

For the purpose of this study, gas turbines have been divided into three sizes: large >15 MW (>20,000 hp), medium, 4 to 15 MW (5300 hp to 20,000 hp) and small, <4 MW (<5300 hp). The units were further divided into simple and regenerative cycle\* and subsequently classified as to fuel. As no distinction could be made between the various types of oil burned, all of these were combined into liquid fuel. The liquid fuel category does not contain derived fuels such as methanol but does include heavy distillates and crudes when reported.

Table 6-1 contains a summation of the data extracted. Much of the information was obtained from the Standard Support Document (Reference 6-1). Other sources include Dietzman and Springer (which has already been incorporated into Supplement 6 of AP-42) (Reference 6-2), Dewerth (Reference 6-3), Wasser (Reference 6-4), Crawford, et al., (Reference 6-5) and Acurex (Reference 6-6). Much of the data contained heat rates for the units tested so that it was possible to determine input specific (lb/MBtu, ng/J,  $1b/10^{6}$  scf or  $1b/10^{3}$  gal) as well as output specific (ppm at 15 percent  $0_{2}$ , 1b/MWH, g/hp-hr) NO<sub>x</sub> emission terms.

6.2 HISTOGRAMS OF NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSIONS FOR GAS TURBINE ENGINES

Figure 6-1 shows population  $NO_{\chi}$  emission factor histograms for the six classes of gas turbine engines in which data on more than two units were found. The graphs for the small turbine category show numbers

\*Regenerative units use exhaust gases to preheat combustion air.

# TABLE 6-1. NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION FACTORS SURVEY OF SIMPLE AND REGENERATIVE CYCLE GAS ENGINES

		Baseline $NO_X$ Emissions as a Function of Cycle and Fuela			
Turbine Size	Units	Simple Cycle		Regenerative Cycle	
i ya		Natural Gas	Liquid Fuel	Natural Gas	Liquid Fuel
Large _>15 MW (>20,000 hp)	No. Engines b ppm @ 15% 02 1b/MWHb g/hp-hrb ng/JC 1b/MBtu <sup>C</sup> 1b/MBtu <sup>C</sup> 1b/MSCF <sup>C</sup> or 1b/10 <sup>3</sup> gal	4 98 4.1 1.4 140 0.32 350 	16 188 10.2d 3.5d 360 0.85  120		2 340 12.0 4.1 650 1.51  220
Medium 4 to 15 MW (5,300 to 20,000 hp)	No. Engines b ppm @ 15% O2 lb/MWHb g/hp-hrb ng/JC lb/MBtuC lb/MSCFC or lb/10 <sup>3</sup> gal	8 80 3.8 1.3 120 0.29 300 	3 108 6.1 2.1 210 0.48 - 70		
Small <4 MW (<5,300 hp)	No. Engines b ppm @ 15% O2 1b/MWHb g/hp-hrb ng/JC 1b/MBtuC 1b/MSCFC or 1b/10 <sup>3</sup> gal	30 78 4.9 1.7 120 0.28 300 	58 93 5.6 1.9 180 0.41  47	1  2.1 180 0.42 440	1  11.4 3.8 360 0.84  120

<sup>a</sup>NO<sub>x</sub> values reported in terms of NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>Output specific values <sup>c</sup>Input specific values <sup>d</sup>Average of 14 units only



## Figure 6-1. Population histograms of $NO_X$ emission factors for gas turbine engines.



Figure 6-1. Concluded.

in some of the boxed squares. These numbers represent averages of NO<sub>x</sub> emission values as they were presented in the literature; individual values were not presented. The small liquid fuel fired turbine histogram appears to be bimodal in appearance. This characteristic does not appear to be related to turbine size or to fuel as all four fuels, Jet A., kerosene, and No. 1 and No. 2 fuel oils appear in both modes. It may be related to the make of turbine or to the method of testing.

6.3 STATE-OF-THE-ART CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR NO<sub>X</sub> EMISSIONS -- WATER INJECTION

Water injection into the combustion zone in either as a liquid or as steam has been used to increase efficiency since 1961 with NO<sub>x</sub> control being a fringe benefit. Not until 1971 was it primarily used to meet NO<sub>x</sub> regulations (Reference 6-1). A water/fuel ratio of 0.5 generally provides a NO<sub>x</sub> reduction of 50 percent and for a water/fuel ratio of 1.0, 80 percent. Steam appears to be less effective as the energy for vaporization is no longer supplied by the combustion process of the turbine. Figure 6-2 illustrates the effect of increasing the water/fuel ratio in reducing the overall NO<sub>x</sub> concentration. Steam/water injection appears to work equally well for natural gas and distillate oils. 6.4 NITRIC OXIDE AS PERCENT CONSTITUTENT OF TOTAL NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSIONS

Dietzman and Springer (Reference 6-2) have reported NO as a percentage of  $NO_x$  for one turbine firing natural gas in the 4 to 15 MW range. The average of the tests run was 87 percent. Nitric oxide (NO) was not measured on any of the other turbines examined during this test program. Dewerth (Reference 6-3) analyzed two 400 hp turbines at 60 percent load for both NO and  $NO_x$  and obtained an 86 percent average of NO.

Previously, Tuttle, et al., (Reference 6-7) reviewed much of the data prior to 1974 and found that it was clearly contradictory as to whether  $NO_2$  (and inversely NO) is a small or large fraction of total  $NO_x$  emissions for gas turbine engines. Recently Johnson and Smith (Reference 6-8) varied a 45 MW gas turbine from idle (15 MW) to full load. NO as a percentage of  $NO_x$  increased from 0 to 78 percent as shown in Figure 6-3. This is roughly collaborated by a second test run by Wasser (Reference 6-4) on a 0.125 MW turbine at an EPA facility as shown in Figure 6-4; however, results at low loads indicate a considerable



Figure 6-2. Effectiveness of water/steam injection in reducing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (Reference 5-1).



Figure 6-3. NO and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at base of No. 3 stack for various turbine loads, i.e., turbine inlet temperature (Reference 6-7).

<u>, 1</u>



Figure 6-4. NO and  $NO_X$  concentrations of a small turbine at various loads firing No. 2 oil (Reference 6-4).

quantity of NO in the EPA turbine exhaust and virtually none reported by Johnson and Smith. Also indicated is a dropoff of  $NO_2$  directly from the low load value for the EPA unit whereas the Johnson and Smith unit shows an increase prior to dropping off. Much of this difference may be due to the difference in fuels, type and size of the turbine and operating parameters.

#### REFERENCE FOR SECTION 6

- 6-1. Anon, "Standards Support and Environmental Impact Statement Volume I: Proposed Standards of Performance for Stationary Gas Turbines," Emission Standards and Engineering Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-450/12-77-017a, September 1977.
- 6-2. Dietzmann, H. E., and K. J. Springer, "Exhaust Emissions from Piston and Gas Turbine Engines Used in Natural Gas Transmission," Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas. Prepared for American Gas Association, Arlington, VA, January 1974.
- 6-3. Dewerth, D. W., "Air Pollutant Emissions from Spark-Ignition Natural Gas Engines and Turbines," American Gas Association Laboratories, Research Report No. 1491, September 1973.
- 6-4. Wasser, J. H., "Emission Characteristics of Small Gas Turbine Engines: as reported in The Proceedings of the Stationary Source Combustion Symposium, Vol. III, EPA-600/12-76-152, June 1976.
- 6-5. Crawford, A. R., et al., "Control of Utility Boiler and Gas Turbine Pollutant Emissions by Combustion Modification -- Phase 1," EPA-600/7-78-036a, March 1978.
- 6-6. Acurex Corporation, Unpublished data.
- 6-7. Tuttle, J. H., et al., "Nitrogen Dioxide Formation in Gas Turbine Engines: Measurements and Measurement Methods," <u>Combustion Science</u> and <u>Technology</u> 9, 261 (1974)
- 6-8. Johnson, G. M. and M. Y. Smith, "Nitrogen Dioxide Emissions from a Gas Turbine Power Station," International Clean Air Conference, The Clean Air Society of Australia and New Zealand, Brisbane, Australia, May 15-19, 1978.